
RobocupGym: A challenging continuous control benchmark in Robocup

Michael Beukman^{1,2*} Branden Ingram¹ Geraud Nangue Tasse¹
Benjamin Rosman¹ Pravesh Ranchod¹

¹University of the Witwatersrand ²University of Oxford

Abstract

Reinforcement learning (RL) has progressed substantially over the past decade, with much of this progress being driven by benchmarks. Many benchmarks are focused on video or board games, and a large number of robotics benchmarks lack diversity and real-world applicability. In this paper, we aim to simplify the process of applying reinforcement learning in the 3D simulation league of Robocup, a robotic football competition. To this end, we introduce a Robocup-based RL environment based on the open source `rcssserver3d` soccer server, simple pre-defined tasks, and integration with a popular RL library, Stable Baselines 3. Our environment enables the creation of high-dimensional continuous control tasks within a robotics football simulation. In each task, an RL agent controls a simulated Nao robot, and can interact with the ball or other agents. We open-source our environment and training code at <https://github.com/Michael-Beukman/RobocupGym>.




Figure 1: An illustration of the Robocup 3D simulation domain, where two teams of 11 players compete against each other.

1 Introduction

Reinforcement learning (RL) has achieved remarkable progress in recent years, owing in part to advancements in algorithms [1, 2], improvements in hardware, and the development and widespread use of benchmark environments [3–5]. These benchmarks provide standardised platforms for assessing the performance of RL algorithms, enabling comparative analysis and tracking of progress within the field. Despite these advancements, there is a clear need for benchmarks that can bridge the gap between simulated environments and real-world applications, particularly in the context of robotics.

*Correspondence to mbeukman@robots.ox.ac.uk.

Another trend in the field has been to apply RL to more real-world and challenging robotics tasks [6–10]. One notable challenging task is Robocup, a robotic football competition, which has the goal of having a robotic team beat the human football World Cup winners by 2050 [11]. The Robocup competition started in 1997, and has several leagues, ranging from various physical robot morphologies playing football, to a 2D and 3D simulation league and, more recently, other non-football competitions, such as search and rescue and the completion of household tasks. We focus specifically on the 3D simulation football league. This domain is suitable for research on low-level continuous control, and while not a focus of this work, can also be a suitable testbed for multi-agent and hierarchical reinforcement learning.

While RL has previously been applied to this domain [8, 12, 13], the lack of available open-source infrastructure means that it remains difficult for RL practitioners to train low-level continuous control skills in this setting. In particular, other publicly available environments require either the integration with an existing codebase [12, 13] or focus on high-level behaviours [12].

In our work, we aim to bridge these two areas. To this end, we introduce a convenient reinforcement learning library for the 3D simulation league of Robocup. Our library integrates with the Simspark server [14] and allows RL practitioners to easily apply and benchmark RL algorithms on a challenging continuous-control domain. We further integrate with Stable Baselines 3 [15], a commonly used RL library. The contributions of this work are:

1. We present RobocupGym, a Python library that allows users to interact with the 3D Robocup simulation.
2. We provide inbuilt functionality to run RL algorithms in this domain, and provide premade environments.
3. We provide initial benchmark results of current SoTA RL algorithms on these domains.

2 RobocupGym

In this section, we describe our environment, RobocupGym, how it functions, and how to use it. We conclude this section by describing the technical architecture of RobocupGym. See Figure 1 for an overview of the Robocup 3D domain.

2.1 Usage

The following code snippet illustrates the usage of RobocupGym; the environment can be used as standard in many libraries, such as Stable Baselines. This initialisation process is illustrated in Figure 2a.

```


1 from robocup_gym.envs.tasks.env_simple_kick import EnvSimpleKick
2 from stable_baselines3 import PPO
3
4 env = EnvSimpleKick()
5 agent = PPO("MlpPolicy", env)
6 agent.learn()

```

Figure 2b describes the processing pipeline during training. Firstly, The RL environment receives perceptor information from the football server in the form of joint angles and other important information. This information is parsed into an observation which is sent to the RL agent along with the reward, “is terminal flag” and additional information fields. The RL agent then returns an action which is passed back to the football server in the form of per-joint velocities. The server processes these effector messages, applies them to the simulation, and the cycle repeats.

2.2 Existing Tasks

We provide two variations of a kicking task, one based on the absolute distance the ball is kicked (SimpleKick), and the second based on the ball’s velocity after a short waiting time (VelocityKick). We choose to start with kicking as it is a core skill in Robocup [8]. However, our environment is general enough to define various other tasks, allowing users to train skills such as running, goal blocking, etc.



(a) Processing pipeline during initialisation. Step 1: RobocupGym creates both the RL environment as well as the RL agent. Step 2: Our environment starts a SimSpark football simulation instance. Step 3: We then initialise an RL agent from Stable Baselines.

(b) Processing pipeline during training demonstrating the cycle of information between the football server, RL Environment and RL agent.

Figure 2: Illustrating the (a) initialisation and (b) processing pipeline of RobocupGym.

SimpleKick defines the reward function as the x-distance the ball moves, waiting for N_{wait} steps after the episode terminates. This environment must wait for the ball to stop moving; however, this can lead to most of the time being spent waiting, instead of actually training. To alleviate this issue, we propose an alternate reward function as well (VelocityKick): The x-position and x-velocity after a smaller number of timesteps. To incentivise a straight kick, we further penalise y-variation. Finally, in both of these environments, the episode terminates when a maximum number of timesteps has been reached, or the agent has fallen.

2.3 Adding New Tasks

We provide functionality to easily create new tasks. Each task inherits from the BaseEnv class, and must specify the following aspects:

Observation Space The default observation space consists of the joint positions, joint velocities, relative ball position, force on the robot’s foot, and accelerometer and gyroscope sensor readings. This can be customised, however, to include additional aspects, or hide certain information from the agent.

Action Space The action space has two parameters, one is which of the 22 joints are controllable, and the second is what each action represents. The three options are: (a) velocity, meaning that the action directly controls the target velocity; (b) target angle, meaning that the action corresponds to a desired angle, and the velocity is chosen accordingly; and (c) desired angle, with a variable maximum speed per joint. By default, all of the joints, except the head and neck, are used, and the action directly determines the velocity.

Reward Function The reward function can either be dense or sparse, and can be arbitrarily based on the current simulator state. For instance, the definition of a simple kick task is given below; the environment only overrides the `_get_reward` function, defining the reward to be the distance the ball has moved once the episode ends.

The user can optionally alter other aspects of the MDP, such as the termination condition (by default, the episode terminates after a maximum number of timesteps, or if the agent has fallen).

```

1 import numpy as np
2 from robocup_gym.rl.envs.base_env import BaseEnv
3
4
5 class EnvSimpleKick(BaseEnv):
6     def _get_reward(self, action: np.ndarray) -> float:
7         player = self.python_agent_conn.player
8         if self.has_completed:
9             current_ball_pos = np.array(player.real_ball_pos)
10            start_ball_pos = np.array(self.env_config.ball_start_pos)
11            return np.linalg.norm(current_ball_pos - start_ball_pos)
12        return 0

```

2.4 Architecture

The 3D simulation league in Robocup makes use of the Simspark RCSSserver3D simulator [14]. This is a C/C++ codebase, and uses TCP sockets and S-expressions to communicate with multiple players. These players receive information about the simulation, such as information about visible objects, the robot’s own joint angles, as well as any communication received from any other agents. The agent then sends a command to the server, which is primarily in the form of a desired angular velocity value for each of the robot’s 22 joints.

Each environment in RobocupGym spawns an `rcssserver3d` process, connects to it and instantiates a robot in the environment. Thereafter, the environment allows the agent to perform actions, which are processed and passed to the server. The environment also parses the server messages and converts them into observations for the RL agent.

This architecture allows us to easily create tasks, and treat them as standard RL environments. Indeed, RobocupGym follows the standard Gymnasium interface allowing for easy integration into many existing libraries. Furthermore, running multiple environments in parallel is also straightforward to do; this allows for significant wall-clock speedups when running training on a multicore machine.

3 RL Results

Here we illustrate results obtained from running standard RL algorithms on RobocupGym. We consider the two existing kick tasks (SimpleKick with 200 wait steps and VelocityKick with 20 wait steps), and use PPO [2] and SAC [16]. Since our environments follow the gym interface [17], they can trivially be used with existing RL libraries. We choose to use Stable Baselines 3 [15] for all experiments. We use the default SAC hyperparameters, and PPO hyperparameters can be found in Table 1. Finally, we train agents for 5M timesteps.

In Figure 3, we see that both PPO and SAC are able to learn how to kick the ball, but that PPO performs better overall. Furthermore, when scaling up the number of parallel processes used, PPO maintains or improves performance—given identical hyperparameters—but SAC performs worse.

Comparing the SimpleKick environment to the VelocityKick environment in Figure 4, we see that VelocityKick generally results in a slightly shorter kick, but converges much faster than the SimpleKick environment.

Finally, Figure 5 illustrates the wall clock time benefit of using multiple processors, allowing experiments to be run over a period of hours instead of days. Furthermore, using more processes improves performance and reduces variance.




Figure 3: Comparing PPO and SAC on the VelocityKick environment. PPO outperforms SAC, and scales well as we increase the number of workers.




Figure 4: Comparing the two different kick environments we provide. Both lead to reasonable kicks, but VelocityKick is faster while reaching slightly shorter distances. We use PPO in this plot.




Figure 5: Runtime for a varying number of processes (for PPO) on the VelocityKick environment. We find a reduction in runtime from around 60 hours with one worker to three hours for 128 workers.

4 Related Work

Reinforcement learning (RL) has a rich history of benchmarks. Initially, simple and classic tasks were primarily utilised for debugging and proof-of-concept purposes [18–26]. In the era of deep RL, Atari games, encapsulated within the Arcade Learning Environment [4], have become a popular benchmark [5, 27]. However, with 57 different Atari games, training and evaluating on this benchmark is computationally intensive (e.g., standard practice is to train for 200M frames *per game* [28]). Furthermore, all Atari games are singleton environments (i.e., every episode has an identical or very similar configuration), which require limited generalisation to solve [29]. To address this, Progen aims to provide a similar set of tasks but incorporates procedural generation to test generalisation across different levels within the same game [30]. While there is significant diversity in transition dynamics, both benchmarks rely on pixel-based observations and discrete actions. In contrast, RobocupGym focuses on tasks more applicable to robotics, featuring continuous state and action spaces.

Beyond these, several robotics-based benchmarks have been developed, most notably MuJoCo [3]. MuJoCo is a general-purpose physics engine with several premade tasks, most of which involve a particular morphology performing a specific task, such as walking in a straight line. The DeepMind Control Suite [31] uses MuJoCo and includes a larger variety of built-in environments. Recently, another environment, Humanoid Gym [10], introduced a framework for training humanoid locomotion policies, focusing on transferring between simulation and the real world. Furthermore, several benchmarks aim to test specific aspects of RL, such as safety [32], meta-learning [33], and offline

RL [34]. RobocupGym could potentially be used to study meta-learning, and the extensive history of Robocup competitions could provide a substantial offline dataset.²

Recently, there has been a trend of reimplementing existing environments and creating new ones in Jax [35]. These environments enable very fast RL training and rapid experimentation due to hardware acceleration [36–40]. One downside is that this may shift research towards low sample-efficiency methods, feasible in a hardware-accelerated paradigm (e.g., Matthews et al. [40] run for 1B timesteps). However, these algorithms may be impractical to run on more traditional simulators or real robots. In contrast, RobocupGym uses an established simulator with a long history of use [14, 41–45], and since it is primarily CPU-based, it imposes practical constraints on the number of samples available for training.

5 Conclusion and Future Work

This paper introduces RobocupGym, a benchmark suite and library designed for training agents in the Robocup 3D simulator. We present two kick-based tasks and demonstrate that current RL agents can quickly learn to perform complex behaviours, such as kicking the ball. Our framework is designed to be easily extendable, allowing new tasks to be added with minimal effort. Useful future work would involve incorporating additional tasks, such as locomotion-based challenges. Currently, our framework supports only single-agent tasks, but extending it to multi-agent settings would be particularly valuable. Our ultimate goal is to enable the creation of a full Robocup team using exclusively RL. This ambitious aim would involve tackling complex and challenging scenarios, requiring agents to learn both high-level strategies and low-level behaviours. Achieving this would necessitate overcoming many challenges in hierarchical RL, multi-agent RL, and continuous control. Ultimately, we hope that RobocupGym will facilitate more RL research in realistic settings, fostering advancements in the field and contributing to the development of more sophisticated and capable RL agents.

Acknowledgements

We thank BahiaRT-Gym for providing inspiration for this project. Discussions with members of the UT Austin Villa, Magma Offenbug and FC Portugal Robocup teams also inspired this project. In particular, thanks to Nico Bohlinger for fruitful discussions and advice.

References

- [1] John Schulman, Philipp Moritz, Sergey Levine, Michael Jordan, and Pieter Abbeel. High-dimensional continuous control using generalized advantage estimation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.02438*, 2015.
- [2] John Schulman, Filip Wolski, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alec Radford, and Oleg Klimov. Proximal policy optimization algorithms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06347*, 2017.
- [3] Emanuel Todorov, Tom Erez, and Yuval Tassa. Mujoco: A physics engine for model-based control. In *International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 5026–5033. IEEE, 2012. doi: 10.1109/IROS.2012.6386109. URL <https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS.2012.6386109>.
- [4] Marc G Bellemare, Yavar Naddaf, Joel Veness, and Michael Bowling. The arcade learning environment: An evaluation platform for general agents. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 47:253–279, 2013.
- [5] Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, David Silver, Andrei A Rusu, Joel Veness, Marc G Bellemare, Alex Graves, Martin Riedmiller, Andreas K Fidjeland, Georg Ostrovski, et al. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *nature*, 518(7540):529–533, 2015.
- [6] Zhuangdi Zhu, Kaixiang Lin, and Jiayu Zhou. Transfer learning in deep reinforcement learning: A survey. *CoRR*, abs/2009.07888, 2020. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07888>.
- [7] Gabriel Dulac-Arnold, Nir Levine, Daniel J. Mankowitz, Jerry Li, Cosmin Paduraru, Sven Gowal, and Todd Hester. An empirical investigation of the challenges of real-world reinforcement learning. *CoRR*, abs/2003.11881, 2020. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.11881>.

²E.g., <https://archive.robocup.info/Soccer/Simulation/3D/binaries/Robocup/> contains binaries dating back to 2008.

- [8] Martin Spitznagel, David Weiler, and Klaus Dorer. Deep reinforcement multi-directional kick-learning of a simulated robot with toes. In *International Conference on Autonomous Robot Systems and Competitions*, pages 104–110. IEEE, 2021.
- [9] Ashish Kumar, Zipeng Fu, Deepak Pathak, and Jitendra Malik. RMA: rapid motor adaptation for legged robots. In Dylan A. Shell, Marc Toussaint, and M. Ani Hsieh, editors, *Robotics: Science and Systems XVII, Virtual Event, July 12-16, 2021*, 2021. doi: 10.15607/RSS.2021.XVII.011. URL <https://doi.org/10.15607/RSS.2021.XVII.011>.
- [10] Xinyang Gu, Yen-Jen Wang, and Jianyu Chen. Humanoid-gym: Reinforcement learning for humanoid robot with zero-shot sim2real transfer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.05695*, 2024.
- [11] Hiroaki Kitano, Minoru Asada, Yasuo Kuniyoshi, Itsuki Noda, Eiichi Osawa, and Hitoshi Matsuura. Robocup: A challenge problem for ai. *AI magazine*, 18(1):73–73, 1997.
- [12] Marco A.C. Simões, Gabriel Mascarenhas, Rafael Fonseca, Vitor M.P. dos Santos, Felipe Mascarenhas, and Tatiane Nogueira. BahiaRT Setplays Collecting Toolkit and BahiaRT Gym. *Software Impacts*, 14:100401, November 2022. ISSN 26659638. doi: 10.1016/j.simpa.2022.100401. URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2665963822000938>.
- [13] Miguel Abreu, Luis Paulo Reis, and Nuno Lau. Designing a skilled soccer team for robocup: Exploring skill-set-primitives through reinforcement learning. 2023. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.14360>.
- [14] Joschka Boedecker and Minoru Asada. Simspark—concepts and application in the robocup 3d soccer simulation league. *Autonomous Robots*, 174:181, 2008.
- [15] Antonin Raffin, Ashley Hill, Adam Gleave, Anssi Kanervisto, Maximilian Ernestus, and Noah Dormann. Stable-baselines3: Reliable reinforcement learning implementations. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 22(268):1–8, 2021. URL <http://jmlr.org/papers/v22/20-1364.html>.
- [16] Tuomas Haarnoja, Aurick Zhou, Pieter Abbeel, and Sergey Levine. Soft actor-critic: Off-policy maximum entropy deep reinforcement learning with a stochastic actor. In Jennifer G. Dy and Andreas Krause, editors, *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 80 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1856–1865. PMLR, 2018. URL <http://proceedings.mlr.press/v80/haarnoja18b.html>.
- [17] Greg Brockman, Vicki Cheung, Ludwig Pettersson, Jonas Schneider, John Schulman, Jie Tang, and Wojciech Zaremba. Openai gym. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01540*, 2016.
- [18] Donald Michie and Roger A Chambers. Boxes: An experiment in adaptive control. *Machine intelligence*, 2(2):137–152, 1968.
- [19] Andrew William Moore. Efficient memory-based learning for robot control. Technical report, University of Cambridge, Computer Laboratory, 1990.
- [20] Kenji Doya. Reinforcement learning in continuous time and space. *Neural computation*, 12(1):219–245, 2000.
- [21] Gerald DeJong and Mark W Spong. Swinging up the acrobot: An example of intelligent control. In *Proceedings of 1994 American Control Conference-ACC'94*, volume 2, pages 2158–2162. IEEE, 1994.
- [22] K Furuta, T Okutani, and H Sone. Computer control of a double inverted pendulum. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 5(1):67–84, 1978.
- [23] Rémi Coulom. *Reinforcement learning using neural networks, with applications to motor control*. PhD thesis, Institut National Polytechnique de Grenoble-INPG, 2002.
- [24] John Schulman, Sergey Levine, Pieter Abbeel, Michael Jordan, and Philipp Moritz. Trust region policy optimization. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1889–1897. PMLR, 2015.
- [25] Sergey Levine and Vladlen Koltun. Guided policy search. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1–9. PMLR, 2013.
- [26] Richard S Sutton and Andrew G Barto. *Reinforcement learning: An introduction*. MIT press, 2018.
- [27] Xiaoxiao Guo, Satinder Singh, Honglak Lee, Richard L Lewis, and Xiaoshi Wang. Deep learning for real-time atari game play using offline monte-carlo tree search planning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 27, 2014.

- [28] Marlos C Machado, Marc G Bellemare, Erik Talvitie, Joel Veness, Matthew Hausknecht, and Michael Bowling. Revisiting the arcade learning environment: Evaluation protocols and open problems for general agents. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 61:523–562, 2018.
- [29] Karl Cobbe, Chris Hesse, Jacob Hilton, and John Schulman. Leveraging procedural generation to benchmark reinforcement learning. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 2048–2056. PMLR, 2020.
- [30] Karl Cobbe, Christopher Hesse, Jacob Hilton, and John Schulman. Leveraging procedural generation to benchmark reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.01588*, 2019.
- [31] Yuval Tassa, Yotam Doron, Alistair Muldal, Tom Erez, Yazhe Li, Diego de Las Casas, David Budden, Abbas Abdolmaleki, Josh Merel, Andrew Lefrancq, et al. Deepmind control suite. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.00690*, 2018.
- [32] Jiaming Ji, Borong Zhang, Jiayi Zhou, Xuehai Pan, Weidong Huang, Ruiyang Sun, Yiran Geng, Yifan Zhong, Josef Dai, and Yaodong Yang. Safety gymnasium: A unified safe reinforcement learning benchmark. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2023.
- [33] Tianhe Yu, Deirdre Quillen, Zhanpeng He, Ryan Julian, Karol Hausman, Chelsea Finn, and Sergey Levine. Meta-world: A benchmark and evaluation for multi-task and meta reinforcement learning. In *Conference on robot learning*, pages 1094–1100. PMLR, 2020.
- [34] Justin Fu, Aviral Kumar, Ofir Nachum, George Tucker, and Sergey Levine. D4rl: Datasets for deep data-driven reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.07219*, 2020.
- [35] James Bradbury, Roy Frostig, Peter Hawkins, Matthew James Johnson, Chris Leary, Dougal Maclaurin, George Necula, Adam Paszke, Jake VanderPlas, Skye Wanderman-Milne, and Qiao Zhang. JAX: composable transformations of Python+NumPy programs, 2018. URL <http://github.com/google/jax>.
- [36] C. Daniel Freeman, Erik Frey, Anton Raichuk, Sertan Girgin, Igor Mordatch, and Olivier Bachem. Brax - a differentiable physics engine for large scale rigid body simulation, 2021. URL <http://github.com/google/brax>.
- [37] Robert Tjarko Lange. gymmax: A JAX-based reinforcement learning environment library, 2022. URL <http://github.com/RobertTLange/gymmax>.
- [38] Alexander Nikulin, Vladislav Kurenkov, Ilya Zisman, Viacheslav Sini, Artem Agarkov, and Sergey Kolesnikov. XLand-minigrad: Scalable meta-reinforcement learning environments in JAX. In *Intrinsically-Motivated and Open-Ended Learning Workshop, NeurIPS2023*, 2023. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=xALDC4aHGz>.
- [39] Alexander Rutherford, Benjamin Ellis, Matteo Gallici, Jonathan Cook, Andrei Lupu, Gardar Ingvarsson, Timon Willi, Akbir Khan, Christian Schroeder de Witt, Alexandra Souly, Saptarashmi Bandyopadhyay, Mikayel Samvelyan, Minqi Jiang, Robert Tjarko Lange, Shimon Whiteson, Bruno Lacerda, Nick Hawes, Tim Rocktaschel, Chris Lu, and Jakob Nicolaus Foerster. Jaxmarl: Multi-agent rl environments in jax. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10090*, 2023.
- [40] Michael Matthews, Michael Beukman, Benjamin Ellis, Mikayel Samvelyan, Matthew Jackson, Samuel Coward, and Jakob Foerster. Craftax: A lightning-fast benchmark for open-ended reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint*, 2024.
- [41] Thomas Gabel and Martin Riedmiller. On progress in robocup: the simulation league showcase. In *RoboCup 2010: Robot Soccer World Cup XIV 14*, pages 36–47. Springer, 2011.
- [42] Patrick MacAlpine, Daniel Urieli, Samuel Barrett, Shivaram Kalyanakrishnan, Francisco Barrera, Adrian Lopez-Mobilia, Nicolae Stiuca, Victor Vu, and Peter Stone. Ut austin villa 2011: a champion agent in the robocup 3d soccer simulation competition. In *AAMAS*, pages 129–136, 2012.
- [43] Patrick MacAlpine, Mike Depinet, and Peter Stone. Ut austin villa 2014: Robocup 3d simulation league champion via overlapping layered learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 29, 2015.
- [44] Patrick MacAlpine and Peter Stone. Ut austin villa: Robocup 2017 3d simulation league competition and technical challenges champions. In *RoboCup 2017: Robot World Cup XXI 11*, pages 473–485. Springer, 2018.
- [45] Miguel Abreu, Mohammadreza Kasaei, Luís Paulo Reis, and Nuno Lau. Fc portugal: Robocup 2022 3d simulation league and technical challenge champions. In *Robot World Cup*, pages 313–324. Springer, 2022.

Table 1: PPO Hyperparameters

Parameter	PPO
PPO	
Total Number of Timesteps	5M
γ	0.99
λ_{GAE}	0.95
PPO number of steps	64
PPO epochs	10
PPO clip range	0.2
Adam learning rate	0.0001
entropy coefficient	0.0
observation clipping	1.0