
A REVIEW ON VISION-BASED MOTION ESTIMATION

Hongyi Liu, Haifeng Wang
Washington State University
Pullman
{liu.hongyi, haifeng.wang}@wsu.edu

ABSTRACT

Compared to contact sensors-based motion measurement, vision-based motion measurement has advantages of low cost and high efficiency and have been under active development in the past decades. This paper provides a review on existing motion measurement methods. In addition to the development of each branch of vision-based motion measurement methods, this paper also discussed the advantages and disadvantages of existing methods. Based on this discussion, it was identified that existing methods have a common limitation in optimally balancing accuracy and robustness. To address issue, we developed the Gaussian kernel-based motion measurement method. Preliminary study shows that the developed method can achieve high accuracy on simple synthesized images.

Keywords Vision-based estimation, structural motion, sub-pixel, Gaussian kernel

1 Introduction

Motion (vibration) of the structures is one of the most important signals to reflect the their states, which gains enduring attention in the past decades. Contact and contactless sensors have been developed for motion measurement. Contact sensors, such as accelerometer, linear potentiometer, and linear variable differential transformer (LVDT), can provide accurate measurement with high temporal resolution and have been wide used. However, contact sensors face the challenge of high installation and maintenance cost cost, especially for large-scale structures (Khuc and Catbas 2017). Another drawback of contact sensors is that they can only measure the motion at the installed location, thus generating a sparse motion field (Yang et al. 2017).

With the advantage of efficient set up, contactless motion measurement methods have been developed (e.g., Fleet and Weiss 2006; Li, Zhang, et al. 2014; Li, Li, et al. 2022). Among these contactless methods, vision-based measurement, where the motion of the target object is measured from a sequence of images, has its unique advantage of low cost and dense measurement. The vision-based motion measurement have been under active development in the past decades.

The vision-based motion measurement methods can be classified into two branches: matching-based methods and gradient-based methods (Fleet and Weiss 2006; Xu and Brownjohn 2018). Matching-based methods extract motions by tracking the the motion of a template (e.g., a predefined image region) in the image sequences (Weng 1990; Lowe 1999; Bay, Tuytelaars, and Van Gool 2006), Gradient-based methods extract motion information from pixel brightness variation (Lucas and Kanade 1981; Horn and Schunck 1981; Fleet and Jepsen 1990; Gautama and Van Hulle 2002). Matching-based methods are robust, however, it is typically difficult for matching-based methods to achieve high (i.e., sub-pixel-level) accuracy since the motion of template is estimated in a pixel level. On the other hand, gradient-based methods can reach higher accuracy based on the subtle pixel brightness change caused by the motion of a target object. While accurate, gradient-based methods have are sensitive to the selection of parameters. As a result, if a target object have different texture patterns, it is difficult for a gradient-based method with selected parameters to reach high accuracy for all patterns, i.e., low robustness.

To simultaneously achieve high accuracy and high robustness, we developed the Gaussian kernel-based motion measurement. Specifically, each image is represented by a set of Gaussian kernels, and the image sequence is represented by the position of these kernels. This Gaussian kernel-based image representation is inspired by the 3D Gaussian splatting technique (Kerbl et al. 2023). 3D Gaussian splatting represents a 3D target objects by a set of 3D Gaussian kernels, whose size, orientation, and color are optimized to fit the 3D view. Since 3D Gaussian splatting can can

adaptively represent the object’s features, it has the potential to reach high accuracy for all patterns of an target object, i.e., high robustness.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a review for vision-based motion estimation. To address the drawbacks of existing methods, Section 3 introduces the Gaussian kernel-based motion estimation with a preliminary study on synthetic images. Section 4 draws the conclusions and points out the future work.

2 Review of vision-based motion estimation

Existing vision-based motion estimation methods can be classified into two branches: matching-based methods, which work from Lagrangian perspective, and gradient-based methods, which work from Eulerian perspective (Batchelor 2000; Liu, Torralba, et al. 2005; Wu et al. 2012; Wadhwa et al. 2013). In this section, the development of matching-based methods and gradient-based methods are reviewed.

2.1 Matching-based methods

Matching-based methods can be further categorized into two different sub-categories: template-based matching and feature-based matching. Template-based matching methods use a fixed block of pixels (template) for matching. Features-based matching use image features, such as edges and corners, for matching. Template matching is an intuitive motion method and will be introduced first.

2.1.1 Template-based matching

As for two sequential images, template matching (or block matching) method searches for the matching positions of a predefined "template" in two sequential images, and use the position differences to estimate the target motion. To search for the matching position, it is necessary to quantify the similarity between the template and a searched area, i.e., a region in the image, with a metric. (Hashemi et al. 2016). Various similarity metrics have been developed like mean square error (MSE), mean absolute difference (MAD), Peak to Signal Noise Ratio (PSNR) and sum of absolute difference (SAD) (Khawase et al. 2017). By searching for the region that can reach a highest similarity metric, the position of the template in the each image can be determined.

To find the position that can reach highest similarity metric, a set of regions in the target image needs to be searched, making the searching process time-consuming. To address this issue, efficient search methods have been developed. For example, three-step search (TSS)(Koga 1981), four-step search (FSS)(Po and Ma 1996), diamond search (DS) , and hexagon-based search (HEXBS) have been developed to increase the matching speed by optimizing the searching strategy. Singh 2021 utilized particle swarm optimization to increase the matching speed in videos.

Template-matching methods inherently can only reach pixel-level accuracy. Specifically, because of the template position is searched on pixel grids, the resolution of the estimated motions cannot reach sub-pixel-level. To reach a higher precision using template matching, it is necessary to identify the matching position at sub-pixel level, i.e., sub-pixel registration, where the interpolation technique is one of the most used approach (Feng et al. 2015). The interpolation methods include bi-cubic interpolation (Choi et al. 2011), second-order polynomial interpolation (Śladek et al. 2013), edge-preserving interpolation (Revaud et al. 2015), etc. With these interpolation techniques, the accuracy of the estimated motions can reach up to 0.01–0.1 parts of the pixel (Śladek et al. 2013). In addition to interpolation, other approaches have also been developed, including phase correlation (Reddy and Chatterji 1996; Ye et al. 2020), Fourier-Mellin transformation(lei novel 2009) and deep learning (Hikosaka and Tonooka 2022).

Due to its simplicity and robustness, template matching is now commonly used as a part of hybrid methods to obtain robust, accurate and effective motion estimation methods. For example, Gao et al. 2023 combined Kalman filter with template matching to fuse displacement and velocity estimation results to eliminate the influences of environment conditions and cumulative errors during displacement calculation by integrating velocities. Azimbeik, Hossein Mahdavi, and Rahimzadeh Rofooei 2023 introduced camera calibration method into template matching, to improve the effectiveness on large-scale structure and accuracy for low-amplitude motions. Zheng et al. 2024 used a lightweight slice model to improve the accuracy of template matching on large slender ratio structures.

2.1.2 Feature-based matching

Despite numerous advantages, template matching has difficulties in considering rotational motion, scale changes, illumination variation, occlusion, missing data, shade and background changes. Feature-based matching has been developed to address these issues. Specifically, feature-based matchings use edges, corners, and patches with interesting shapes (Xu and Brownjohn 2018; Huang et al. 2024), as features to track the motion. Since these selected features

are insensitive to changes in rotation, scale, and illumination, feature-based matching can address the abovementioned difficulties. In this subsection, the feature-based matching method is briefly reviewed.

The core of feature-based matching is the feature descriptors, referring to the way to detect and describe features (Leng et al. 2019), which have developed for decades from hand-crafted design to deep learning (Chen, Rottensteiner, and Heipke 2021). For example, the famous hand-crafted feature descriptor SIFT (Lowe 1999) and its variant PCA-SIFT (yan'ke'pca-sift'2004), incorporating scale- and rotation- invariant property. Improvements for on SIFT have been made to achieve higher robustness and efficiency. For example, SURF speeds up the computation relying on integral images for image convolutions (Bay, Tuytelaars, and Van Gool 2006). DAISY attempts to avoid introducing artifacts in dense flow calculation by wide-baseline image pairs (Tola, Lepetit, and Fua 2010). BRIEF uses binary string to further simplify the computation and thus improve the efficiency (Calonder et al. 2010). ORB works on BRIEF and adds the rotation-invariant property to it by adding corner orientation information into BRIEF descriptor (Rublee et al. 2011). BRISK improves the efficiency of SURF by adding a scale-space FAST-based detector (Leutenegger, Chli, and Siegwart 2011). KAZE processes features in a nonlinear scale space by nonlinear diffusion filtering to maintain more details of the raw images, and thus improve the matching accuracy (Alcantarilla, Bartoli, and Davison 2012). FREAK uses a tighter and faster descriptor by binary strings from retinal sampling pattern, to save time and memory (Alahi, Ortiz, and Vandergheynst 2012). With the development of deep learning, more complex descriptors based on deep learning have also been proposed: LIFT learns a pipeline for detection, orientation estimation, and feature description in a unified manner (Yi et al. 2016). L2Net learns a descriptor with good generalization ability based on Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) (Tian, Fan, and Wu 2017). HardNet improves the Lowe loss in SIFT, maximizing the distance between the closest positive and closest negative example in the batch (Mishchuk et al. 2017). and GeoDesc integrates geometry constraints from multi-view reconstructions to obtain the superior ability on reconstruction task (Luo et al. 2018).

When it comes to special scenarios where the shape of the target is known, some specifically designed methods can work based on the known shape to track and match. These predefined shapes include cross marker (Olaszek 1999), four-spot array (Ho et al. 2012), chessboard (Ribeiro et al. 2014), circle marker (Chen, Wu, et al. 2015), and line-type structure (Brownjohn, Xu, and Hester 2017).

For feature-based matching methods, the position description for features can reach a sub-pixel level. However, ignores the pixel brightness variation information, and thus sacrifices the accuracy. still concentrate on pixel-wise feature points, which means

2.2 Gradient-based methods

Gradient-based methods perform better than matching-based methods on flow density and accuracy by analysing the variation of intensity with the assumption that the intensity of a particular point in the pattern is constant before and after moving (Horn and Schunck 1981; Fleet and Jepson 1990). There are two categories of gradient-based methods: Intensity-based optical flow and phase-based optical flow

2.2.1 Intensity-based optical flow

Lucas and Kanade (LK) optical flow

(Lucas and Kanade 1981). was proposed to find the motion information based on image brightness gradient.

With the assumptions that the motion is small enough and the brightness of a target point remains unchanged during motions to maintain the

the constraint optical flow equation can be expressed as:

$$I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0 \quad (1)$$

where u and v are the components of optical flow in x and y directions. I_x, I_y and I_t are the respective partial derivatives of the image intensity, $I(x, y, t)$, with respect to horizontal direction x , vertical direction y and time t . Due to aperture problem, only one equation cannot determine the two unknown variables u and v . LK optical flow assumed that the motions of nearby pixels in a local region (e.g., a 3×3 pixel region) are the same, and thus u and v can be optimally determined with 9 equations and just 2 unknowns.

Horn and Schunck (HS) proposed the similar method in a more widely used form in later variational optical flow methods with another additional constraint on the motions' gradients (Horn and Schunck 1981). Compared to the LK optical flow that force nearby pixels to have the same motion, the HS method makes the motion field of nearby pixels smooth. Specifically, the HS method searches for a motion field (i.e., u and v) that can minimize the global energy function:

$$E(u, v) = E_D(u, v) + \lambda E_S(u, v) \quad (2)$$

with the data term:

$$E_D(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} (I(x + u, y + v, t + \Delta t) - I(x, y, t))^2 d\Omega \quad (3)$$

and a smoothness term as:

$$E_S(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] d\Omega \quad (4)$$

where λ is the weight of smoothness term, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ represent the image domain.

Note that in HS optical flow, u and v are functions with variables x, y and t .

Despite its advantages

the HS optical flow is not capable to deal with motion discontinuities. It's also difficult for HS optical flow to remain robust under illumination changes and noise (Tu et al. 2019). As a result, attempts have been made to enhance the accuracy and improve the robustness of HS optical flow in two directions: improved data term [Eq. (3)] and improved smoothness terms [Eq. (4)].

For the data term, Brox et al. 2004; Zimmer, Bruhn, and Weickert 2011 added Gaussian filtering into image pre-processing stage to reduce the noise. Other forms for data term were exploited to mitigate the influence of over-penalty on the local outliers (Sun, Roth, and Black 2010; Fortun, Bouthemy, and Kervrann 2015; Monzon, Salgado, and Sanchez 2016; Tu et al. 2019), such as Charbonnier (Bruhn, Weickert, and Schnörr 2005; Martorell and Buades 2022; Khan and Kumar 2022), Lorentzian (Black and Anandan 1996; Sun, Roth, and Black 2010), Tukey (Odobez and Bouthemy 1995), Leclerc (Memin and Perez 1998), and modified Hampel (Senst, Eiselein, and Sikora 2012). Illumination-robust consistency assumptions have also been introduced in data term to cope with illumination variations. For example, Brox et al. exploited an intensity gradient consistency term in data term to allow small intensity changes due to illumination (Brox et al. 2004). Papenberg et al. 2006 found the high-order constraints the Hessian and the Laplacian could also improve the robustness to illumination. Mohamed et al. proposed a texture-based consistency term for data term to handle large illumination changes (Mohamed et al. 2014).

When it comes to the smoothness term, the improvements aimed for the ability to preserve edges and perform better on motion field with motion discontinuities. The first improvement was based on the same reason that the original \mathcal{L}_2 form of the smoothness term in HS optical flow will overly penalize large motion gradient, and thus over-smooth the motion boundaries (Black and Anandan 1996). Some other smoothness term were also useful to preserve edges, such as the image-driven term in (Alvarez, Weickert, and Sánchez 2000), integrating intensity gradient into the smoothness term, the flow-driven term in (Weickert and Schnörr 2001), the combined term in (Zimmer, Bruhn, Weickert, et al. 2009). Besides the ability to coping with motion discontinuities, modifications on smoothness term can also improve the accuracy of estimated motions by non-local regularization (Sun, Roth, and Black 2010; Krähenbühl and Koltun 2012), extending the pixel's neighborhood, and spatio-temporal regularization (Zimmer, Bruhn, and Weickert 2011; Volz et al. 2011), considering temporal coherence on motion flows in temporal sequence.

2.2.2 Phased-based optical flow

Another branch of gradient-based motion estimation approaches is phase-based optical flow, which converts intensity translation into phase shift based on Fourier shift theorem and connects the motion with phase shift. Fleet and Jepson 1990 pointed out these the phase contour is more robust to noise compared to image brightness.

In phase-based optical flow, the phase information is extracted from the image sequence by a series of directional

The phase contour motion could provide the accurate estimation of motions in the tuned direction of the filter. Consider the constant phase contour as:

$$\phi(x, y, t) = c, c \in R \quad (5)$$

By differentiating with respect to t , it transforms into the following form:

$$\phi_x u + \phi_y v + \phi_t = 0 \quad (6)$$

where ϕ_x, ϕ_y and ϕ_t are the respective partial derivatives of the filtered intensity signal's phase $\phi(x, y, t)$, with respect to horizontal direction x , vertical direction y and time t , and c is a constant. Since multiple filters with different

directions can be applied for one pixel and its neighborhood, there's no need for phase-based method to add additional constraints to solve aperture problem.

Gautama and Van Hulle 2002 improved phase-based method using spatial filters instead of spatial-temporal ones. They stated that, instead of using the amplitude and frequency constraints to remove the possible unreliable measurement results, which was called instability, estimating the non-linearity of the phase-time pairs can give more stable results. Meanwhile, the spatial filters can reduce the total number of filters by half and the computational cost due to the less dimension. However, if the practical motion is a vibration, the method to estimate the non-linearity of the temporal sequence of the phase signal is not appropriate because the temporal phase signal will not vary linearly.

Chen, Wadhwa, et al. 2015 introduced phase-based method into structural vibration analysis. The filter bank, which aimed to cover most frequency bands and completely represented the image, was replaced with a single filter. The results of the frequency and the corresponding mode shape were extracted accurately.

Diamond, Heyns, and Oberholster 2017 extended Chen's experiments to estimate the sub-pixel accuracy of phase-based methods in terms of vibration amplitude. In this paper, they used one single filter to extract the displacements and estimated the potential accuracy of phase-based method, and picked the best results in the region of interest, leaving the active pixel selection, layer selection of image pyramid, selection of filter parameters in the practical application as an open question. Consequently, although in (Diamond, Heyns, and Oberholster 2017), the accuracy of phase-based optical flow was ideally enough for many motion estimation applications, there was still a gap between this ideal accuracy in experiments and that of the practical applications.

To reduce the impact of manual parameter selection, researchers explored many directions. For example, Liu, Li, et al. 2022 used a peak-picking technique to determine the frequency range of a narrow Butterworth filter, instead of using a rough scale of the moving target to determine the central frequency of a Gabor filter. Then, for the approximate mono-component filtered signal, Hilbert transformation was used to calculate the imaginary part of it to avoid additional parameter determination. Miao et al. 2022 explored the parameter selection including direction, standard deviation in two directions, and the central frequency, on a simple stripe-like image sequence to determine the optimal Gabor filter for this specific case. This parameter selection guidance was based on the stripe's direction, width and the "disturbing" gray pattern's width.

2.2.3 Challenges of gradient-based motion estimation

Despite numerous contributions on modifications and extensions on gradient-based motion estimation methods, there are still many challenges like occlusions, over-smoothness, large displacement, outliers, motion estimation on regions with no texture, and rotation (Tu et al. 2019). Also, the quality of gradient-based motion estimation seriously depends on the parameter selection (Diamond, Heyns, and Oberholster 2017; Xu and Brownjohn 2018). For intensity-based motion estimation, the specific term forms and the weight of each term can influence the results. For phase-based motion estimation, the results are sensitive to the filter's parameters, active pixel selection and the active pyramid level, and based on our current knowledge, there's no adaptive selection technique for general images. Both of the aforementioned cases require the adaptive parameter determination.

3 Gaussian splatting-base motion measurement

Existing vision-based motion measurement methods suffer from the balance of accuracy and robustness. Specifically, matching-based methods have high robustness but low accuracy, especially on sub-pixel motions. On the other hand, gradient-based methods can reach high accuracy, however, are sensitive to parameter determination and thus have low robustness.

In other words, existing methods lack the ability to optimally balance accuracy and robustness. To address this issue, we developed the adaptive Gaussian *kernel-based image representation* technique based on 3D Gaussian splatting technique (Kerbl et al. 2023). Using the position change of Gaussian kernels, the motion of an target object can be measured. The Gaussian kernel-based image representation is capable of reaching best fit for all regions in the target image, and thus is capable of achieving the optimized balance between accuracy and robustness.

3.1 2D Gaussian-splatting and corresponding motion estimation

3.1.1 3D Gaussian splatting

With a set of initial 3D Gaussian kernels from Structure-from-Motion (SfM) and a fast render technique named elliptical weighted average (EWA) (Schonberger and Frahm 2016; Zwicker et al. 2002), 3D Gaussian Splatting optimizes

these kernels to reconstruct the whole 3D scene by minimizing the combined loss of \mathcal{L}_1 loss and SSIM between all the input 2D ground truth images $\{I_{gt}\}_M$ in different views and the corresponding rendered images $\{I_r\}_M$ (Kerbl et al. 2023). Each pixel will obtain its rendered color by α -blending method, which accumulates the weighted colors from all the Gaussians' color c_i in depth order along a ray as

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^N T_i \alpha_i c_i \quad (7)$$

with

$$\alpha_i = o_i \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{X} - \mu_i)^T \Sigma_i^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \mu_i)\right) \text{ and } T_i = \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - \alpha_j) \quad (8)$$

where $o_i \in [0, 1]$, $\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1}$, and $\Sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ are the opacity, 3D mean and 3D covariance matrix of i -th Gaussian, respectively. Here, \mathbf{X} represents the intersection coordinates between the ray and the i -th 3D Gaussian kernel.

3.1.2 Development of 2D Gaussian kernel-based motion measurement

The 3D Gaussian splatting-based scene reconstruction can achieve good visual results, however, cannot achieve high motion estimation accuracy from image sequences. To achieve 2D Gaussian kernel-based motion estimation, two aspects need to be considered: 1) applying 3D Gaussian Splatting on 2D images, and 2) using Gaussian kernels to represent motions between consecutive frames.

Applying 3D Gaussian Splatting to 2D images is necessary for Gaussian kernel-based motion estimation. In this study, the 2D Gaussian kernel-based image representation is developed based on the 3D Gaussian splatting technique:

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^N c'_i \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{X} - \mu_i)^T \Sigma_i^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \mu_i)\right) \quad (9)$$

where C is the color of a pixel, $c'_i \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1}$ is the weighted color coefficient, μ_i is the center coordinate vector for 2D Gaussian kernel i .

For Gaussian kernel-based motion measurement, it is critical to link Gaussian kernels and motions. As result, the Gaussian kernels should be consistent across sequential images.

Based on the conventional optical flow's intensity consistency assumption (Lucas and Kanade 1981; Horn and Schunck 1981), local rigidity assumption from physically-based priors (Ling et al. 2024; Luiten et al. 2023), and the two motion assumptions above, the local image patch will remain consistency in two consecutive frames.

In this case, the motion difference among kernels is used as the penalty term to encourage

3.2 Preliminary study on synthetic image.

To verify the proposed Gaussian kernel-based motion estimation, we conducted a simple validation on synthetic image.

3.2.1 Numerical validation setup

Figure 1 is the synthetic image for validation. It's a 121×121 grayscale image saved as 16-bit format. The central bright patch was generated by a Gaussian equation with known parameters in Table 1 and then the intensity values was scaled to 16-bit color and rounded into integer intensity.




Figure 1: The synthetic image for validation

Table 1: Gaussian kernel parameters of synthetic image for validation

Parameter	Value
Horizontal standard deviation σ_x	4.8 pixels
Vertical standard deviation σ_y	4.8 pixels
Correlation coefficient ρ	0
Normalized intensity c	1.0
Normalized central position (x, y)	(0,0)

Because the image is synthesized based on selected parameters, we directly synthesize a second image with an applied motion. In this validation, we guided the central kernel to move 0.01 pixels to the left and 0.01 pixels upward, and then repeated the process above to generate the second frame.

3.2.2 Motion estimation process details

The Gaussian kernel-based motion estimation process is composed of three steps.

Step 1: Initial Gaussian kernels were generated randomly (uniformly distributed in respective normalized range.). We remove the initial Gaussian kernels at the locations that have unreasonably low brightness (i.e., brightness lower than 10^{-5}).

Step 2, an \mathcal{L}_1 -based parameter optimization process is performed to fit the first frame (Fig. 1) to a mean absolute error loss of 10^{-4} .

Step 3, the parameter optimization process is performed to fit both frames with loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{\text{diff}} + \lambda_3 \mathcal{L}_{\text{smooth}} \tag{10}$$

where λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3 are the corresponding weights for each loss term. In our test, they are 0.25, 0.25, and 0.50 respectively.

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{diff}}$ is added to constrain the representation quality in both frame:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{diff}} = \|\mathcal{L}_1(I_1) - \mathcal{L}_1(I_2)\| \tag{11}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_1(I_1)$ and $\mathcal{L}_1(I_2)$ are the respective \mathcal{L}_1 losses for the first and second frames. The motion smoothness loss term $\mathcal{L}_{\text{smooth}}$ is also introduced to achieve a smooth motion field:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{smooth}} = \frac{\text{STD}(\mathbf{d}_i)}{\|\text{MEAN}(\mathbf{d}_i)\|} \tag{12}$$

where $\text{STD}(\ast)$ and $\text{MEAN}(\ast)$ are the standard deviation and mean value of the motions of all the kernels.

3.2.3 Results and discussion

We used 5 different sets of initial kernels to show the robustness of the Gaussian-Splatting-based motion estimation. Figure 2 is the motion field and the distribution of kernels for each validation case and the distribution of the motion errors are shown in Table 2.




Figure 2: Motion field for validation cases (Case1 - Case5, scaled by 500 times)

In all 5 cases, the Gaussian-Splatting-based motion estimation can reach a good accuracy. As for the applied sub-pixel motions of 0.01 pixels, the maximum average relative error in the 5 cases is 6.21% on x-axis and 5.05% on y-axis with an average level of 3.79% on x-axis and 2.81% on y-axis. Based on these results, Gaussian-Splatting-based motion estimation shows a promising potential. We can expect a better results with further improvements on different aspects, such as initialization, optimization process, parameter selection techniques, and loss function.

Table 2: Motion errors

Case	Number of Kernels	Applied Motions (pixel)		Average Errors (pixel)		Relative Average Errors (%)		Standard Deviation ((pixel))	
		x	y	x	y	x	y	x	y
Case1	30	0.01	0.01	3.10e-04	5.30e-05	3.10	0.53	1.12e-07	1.30e-07
Case2	58	0.01	0.01	6.21e-04	5.05e-04	6.21	5.05	1.09e-07	1.93e-07
Case3	63	0.01	0.01	5.52e-04	4.62e-04	5.52	4.62	1.18e-07	9.82e-08
Case4	52	0.01	0.01	2.56e-04	3.57e-04	2.56	3.57	7.29e-08	1.29e-07
Case5	58	0.01	0.01	1.54e-04	2.63e-05	1.54	0.26	9.48e-08	1.06e-07
Average	-	-	-	3.79e-04	2.81e-04	3.79	2.81	-	-
Max.	-	-	-	6.21e-04	5.05e-04	6.21	5.05	-	-
Min.	-	-	-	1.54e-04	2.63e-05	1.54	0.26	-	-

4 Conclusions

With a history of more than 70 years, vision-based motion measurement has consistently received attention and have been continuously improved to be more effective and accurate. This paper reviews the development of vision-based motion measurement with analysis of advantages and disadvantages of each method category. Existing methods have the difficulty achieving optimized balance between accuracy and robustness. Specifically, matching-based methods have high robustness but low accuracy. Gradient-based methods accurate but less robust. To address this balance issue, we developed the Gaussian-kernel-based motion measurement method. The preliminary study shows that the developed method is capable of measuring 0.01 pixel motion with an average error of 3×10^{-4} pixels.

While the developed Gaussian kernel-based motion measurement has demonstrated a potential to accurately estimate motions on a simple case, there are a few aspects that need more improvement.

- Optimization on more complex images. Our validation was conducted only on simple case. As for complex images, optimization will be more likely to fail, which indicates further work to improve the robustness of the optimization.
- Repeatability. The existing kernel is determined based on randomly initialized parameters. However, as a measurement tool, we expect the kernel is optimally determined with repeatability. We expect to address this issue training a convolutional neural network for parameter initialization.

References

- Alahi, A., R. Ortiz, and P. Vandergheynst (June 2012). “FREAK: Fast Retina Keypoint”. In: *2012 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2012 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). Providence, RI: IEEE, pp. 510–517. ISBN: 978-1-4673-1228-8 978-1-4673-1226-4 978-1-4673-1227-1. DOI: [10.1109/CVPR.2012.6247715](https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2012.6247715). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6247715/> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Alcantarilla, Pablo Fernández, Adrien Bartoli, and Andrew J. Davison (2012). “KAZE Features”. In: *Computer Vision – ECCV 2012*. Ed. by Andrew Fitzgibbon et al. Red. by David Hutchison et al. Vol. 7577. Series Title: Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 214–227. ISBN: 978-3-642-33782-6 978-3-642-33783-3. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-33783-3_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-33783-3_16). URL: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-33783-3_16 (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Alvarez, Luis, Joachim Weickert, and Javier Sánchez (2000). “Reliable Estimation of Dense Optical Flow Fields with Large Displacements”. In: *International Journal of Computer Vision* 39.1, pp. 41–56. ISSN: 09205691. DOI: [10.1023/A:1008170101536](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008170101536). URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1023/A:1008170101536> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Azimbeik, Kimiya, Seyed Hossein Mahdavi, and Fayaz Rahimzadeh Rofooei (Apr. 2023). “Improved image-based, full-field structural displacement measurement using template matching and camera calibration methods”. In: *Measurement* 211, p. 112650. ISSN: 02632241. DOI: [10.1016/j.measurement.2023.112650](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2023.112650). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0263224123002142> (visited on 07/19/2024).
- Batchelor, G. K. (Feb. 28, 2000). *An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics*. 1st ed. Cambridge University Press. ISBN: 978-0-521-66396-0 978-0-511-80095-5. DOI: [10.1017/CB09780511800955](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511800955). URL: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9780511800955/type/book> (visited on 07/16/2024).

- Bay, Herbert, Tinne Tuytelaars, and Luc Van Gool (2006). “SURF: Speeded Up Robust Features”. In: *Computer Vision – ECCV 2006*. Ed. by Aleš Leonardis, Horst Bischof, and Axel Pinz. Vol. 3951. Series Title: *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 404–417. ISBN: 978-3-540-33832-1 978-3-540-33833-8. DOI: [10.1007/11744023_32](https://doi.org/10.1007/11744023_32). URL: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/11744023_32 (visited on 07/12/2024).
- Black, Michael J. and P. Anandan (Jan. 1996). “The Robust Estimation of Multiple Motions: Parametric and Piecewise-Smooth Flow Fields”. In: *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 63.1, pp. 75–104. ISSN: 10773142. DOI: [10.1006/cviu.1996.0006](https://doi.org/10.1006/cviu.1996.0006). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1077314296900065> (visited on 07/11/2024).
- Brownjohn, James Mark William, Yan Xu, and David Hester (Apr. 19, 2017). “Vision-Based Bridge Deformation Monitoring”. In: *Frontiers in Built Environment* 3. ISSN: 2297-3362. DOI: [10.3389/fbuil.2017.00023](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbuil.2017.00023). URL: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fbuil.2017.00023/full> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Brox, Thomas et al. (2004). “High Accuracy Optical Flow Estimation Based on a Theory for Warping”. In: *Computer Vision - ECCV 2004*. Ed. by Tomás Pajdla and Jiří Matas. Red. by Takeo Kanade et al. Vol. 3024. Series Title: *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 25–36. ISBN: 978-3-540-21981-1 978-3-540-24673-2. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-540-24673-2_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-24673-2_3). URL: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-540-24673-2_3 (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Bruhn, Andrés, Joachim Weickert, and Christoph Schnörr (Feb. 2005). “Lucas/Kanade Meets Horn/Schunck: Combining Local and Global Optic Flow Methods”. In: *International Journal of Computer Vision* 61.3, pp. 1–21. ISSN: 0920-5691. DOI: [10.1023/B:VISI.0000045324.43199.43](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:VISI.0000045324.43199.43). URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1023/B:VISI.0000045324.43199.43> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Calonder, Michael et al. (2010). “BRIEF: Binary Robust Independent Elementary Features”. In: *Computer Vision – ECCV 2010*. Ed. by David Hutchison et al. Vol. 6314. Series Title: *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 778–792. ISBN: 978-3-642-15560-4 978-3-642-15561-1. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-15561-1_56](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-15561-1_56). URL: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-15561-1_56 (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Chen, Chien-Chou, Wen-Hwa Wu, et al. (Nov. 2015). “Application of digital photogrammetry techniques in identifying the mode shape ratios of stay cables with multiple camcorders”. In: *Measurement* 75, pp. 134–146. ISSN: 02632241. DOI: [10.1016/j.measurement.2015.07.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2015.07.037). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0263224115003838> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Chen, Justin G., Neal Wadhwa, et al. (June 2015). “Modal identification of simple structures with high-speed video using motion magnification”. In: *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 345, pp. 58–71. ISSN: 0022460X. DOI: [10.1016/j.jsv.2015.01.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2015.01.024). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022460X1500070X> (visited on 09/27/2023).
- Chen, Lin, Franz Rottensteiner, and Christian Heipke (Jan. 2, 2021). “Feature detection and description for image matching: from hand-crafted design to deep learning”. In: *Geo-spatial Information Science* 24.1, pp. 58–74. ISSN: 1009-5020, 1993-5153. DOI: [10.1080/10095020.2020.1843376](https://doi.org/10.1080/10095020.2020.1843376). URL: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10095020.2020.1843376> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Choi, Hyoung-Suk et al. (Nov. 2011). “Structural dynamic displacement vision system using digital image processing”. In: *NDT & E International* 44.7, pp. 597–608. ISSN: 09638695. DOI: [10.1016/j.ndteint.2011.06.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ndteint.2011.06.003). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0963869511000764> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Diamond, D.H., P.S. Heyns, and A.J. Oberholster (Jan. 2017). “Accuracy Evaluation of Sub-Pixel Structural Vibration Measurements Through Optical Flow Analysis of a Video Sequence”. In: *Measurement* 95, pp. 166–172. ISSN: 02632241. DOI: [10.1016/j.measurement.2016.10.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2016.10.021). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0263224116305693> (visited on 05/22/2023).
- Feng, Dongming et al. (July 9, 2015). “A Vision-Based Sensor for Noncontact Structural Displacement Measurement”. In: *Sensors* 15.7, pp. 16557–16575. ISSN: 1424-8220. DOI: [10.3390/s150716557](https://doi.org/10.3390/s150716557). URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/15/7/16557> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Fleet, D. and Y. Weiss (2006). “Optical Flow Estimation”. In: *Handbook of Mathematical Models in Computer Vision*. Ed. by Nikos Paragios, Yunmei Chen, and Olivier Faugeras. New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 237–257. ISBN: 978-0-387-26371-7. DOI: [10.1007/0-387-28831-7_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-28831-7_15). URL: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/0-387-28831-7_15 (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Fleet, David J. and Allan D. Jepson (Aug. 1990). “Computation of component image velocity from local phase information”. In: *International Journal of Computer Vision* 5.1, pp. 77–104. ISSN: 0920-5691, 1573-1405. DOI: [10.1007/BF00056772](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00056772). URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF00056772> (visited on 09/27/2023).
- Fortun, Denis, Patrick Bouthemy, and Charles Kervrann (May 2015). “Optical flow modeling and computation: A survey”. In: *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 134, pp. 1–21. ISSN: 10773142. DOI: [10.1016/j.cviu.2015.02.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cviu.2015.02.008). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1077314215000429> (visited on 03/01/2024).

- Gao, Tian et al. (2023). “Multiscale Template Matching for Multimodal Remote Sensing Image”. In: *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing* 16, pp. 10132–10147. ISSN: 1939-1404, 2151-1535. DOI: [10.1109/JSTARS.2023.3326959](https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2023.3326959). URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10292840/> (visited on 01/29/2024).
- Gautama, T. and M.A. Van Hulle (Sept. 2002). “A phase-based approach to the estimation of the optical flow field using spatial filtering”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 13.5, pp. 1127–1136. ISSN: 1045-9227. DOI: [10.1109/TNN.2002.1031944](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNN.2002.1031944). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1031944/> (visited on 09/27/2023).
- Hashemi, Nazanin Sadat et al. (2016). *Template Matching Advances and Applications in Image Analysis*. Version Number: 1. DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.1610.07231](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1610.07231). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.07231> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Hikosaka, Shuhei and Hideyuki Tonooka (Oct. 26, 2022). “Image-to-Image Subpixel Registration Based on Template Matching of Road Network Extracted by Deep Learning”. In: *Remote Sensing* 14.21, p. 5360. ISSN: 2072-4292. DOI: [10.3390/rs14215360](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14215360). URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/14/21/5360> (visited on 07/19/2024).
- Ho, Hoai-Nam et al. (2012). “A Synchronized Multipoint Vision-Based System for Displacement Measurement of Civil Infrastructures”. In: *The Scientific World Journal* 2012, pp. 1–9. ISSN: 1537-744X. DOI: [10.1100/2012/519146](https://doi.org/10.1100/2012/519146). URL: <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/tswj/2012/519146/> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Horn, Berthold K.P. and Brian G. Schunck (Aug. 1981). “Determining optical flow”. In: *Artificial Intelligence* 17.1, pp. 185–203. ISSN: 00043702. DOI: [10.1016/0004-3702\(81\)90024-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-3702(81)90024-2). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0004370281900242> (visited on 11/27/2023).
- Huang, Qian et al. (May 2024). “A Survey of Feature Matching Methods”. In: *IET Image Processing* 18.6, pp. 1385–1410. ISSN: 1751-9659, 1751-9667. DOI: [10.1049/ipr2.13032](https://doi.org/10.1049/ipr2.13032). URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1049/ipr2.13032> (visited on 07/18/2024).
- Kerbl, Bernhard et al. (Aug. 2023). “3D Gaussian Splatting for Real-Time Radiance Field Rendering”. In: *ACM Transactions on Graphics* 42.4, pp. 1–14. ISSN: 0730-0301, 1557-7368. DOI: [10.1145/3592433](https://doi.org/10.1145/3592433). URL: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3592433> (visited on 12/21/2023).
- Khan, Muzammil and Pushpendra Kumar (July 2022). “A nonlinear modeling of fractional order based variational model in optical flow estimation”. In: *Optik* 261, p. 169136. ISSN: 00304026. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijleo.2022.169136](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2022.169136). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0030402622004958> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Khawase, Sonam T. et al. (June 9, 2017). “An Overview of Block Matching Algorithms for Motion Vector Estimation”. In: *The Second International Conference on Research in Intelligent and Computing in Engineering*, pp. 217–222. DOI: [10.15439/2017R85](https://doi.org/10.15439/2017R85). URL: <https://fedcsis.org/proceedings/rice2017/drpf/85.html> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Khuc, Tung and F. Necati Catbas (Jan. 2017). “Completely Contactless Structural Health Monitoring of Real-Life Structures Using Cameras and Computer Vision: Structural Health Monitoring Using Computer Vision”. In: *Structural Control and Health Monitoring* 24.1, e1852. ISSN: 15452255. DOI: [10.1002/stc.1852](https://doi.org/10.1002/stc.1852). URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/stc.1852> (visited on 07/19/2024).
- Koga, Toshio (1981). “Motion Compensated Inter-Frame Coding for Video Conferencing”. In: URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:59893729>.
- Krähenbühl, Philipp and Vladen Koltun (2012). “Efficient Nonlocal Regularization for Optical Flow”. In: *Computer Vision – ECCV 2012*. Ed. by Andrew Fitzgibbon et al. Red. by David Hutchison et al. Vol. 7572. Series Title: Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 356–369. ISBN: 978-3-642-33717-8 978-3-642-33718-5. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-33718-5_26](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-33718-5_26). URL: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-33718-5_26 (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Leng, Chengcai et al. (2019). “Local Feature Descriptor for Image Matching: A Survey”. In: *IEEE Access* 7, pp. 6424–6434. ISSN: 2169-3536. DOI: [10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2888856](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2888856). URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8584423/> (visited on 07/19/2024).
- Leutenegger, Stefan, Margarita Chli, and Roland Y. Siegwart (Nov. 2011). “BRISK: Binary Robust invariant scalable keypoints”. In: *2011 International Conference on Computer Vision. 2011 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*. Barcelona, Spain: IEEE, pp. 2548–2555. ISBN: 978-1-4577-1102-2 978-1-4577-1101-5 978-1-4577-1100-8. DOI: [10.1109/ICCV.2011.6126542](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2011.6126542). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6126542/> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Li, Li, Zhu Li, et al. (2022). “Motion Estimation and Coding Structure for Inter-Prediction of LiDAR Point Cloud Geometry”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia* 24, pp. 4504–4513. ISSN: 1520-9210, 1941-0077. DOI: [10.1109/TMM.2021.3119872](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMM.2021.3119872). URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9582802/> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Li, Qingquan, Liang Zhang, et al. (Sept. 9, 2014). “Motion Field Estimation for a Dynamic Scene Using a 3D LiDAR”. In: *Sensors* 14.9, pp. 16672–16691. ISSN: 1424-8220. DOI: [10.3390/s140916672](https://doi.org/10.3390/s140916672). URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/14/9/16672> (visited on 07/16/2024).

- Ling, Huan et al. (Jan. 3, 2024). Align Your Gaussians: Text-to-4D with Dynamic 3D Gaussians and Composed Diffusion Models. arXiv: [2312.13763\[cs\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.13763). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2312.13763> (visited on 06/13/2024).
- Liu, C., Antonio Torralba, et al. (July 2005). “Motion magnification”. In: ACM SIGGRAPH 2005 Papers. SIGGRAPH05: Special Interest Group on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques Conference. Los Angeles California: ACM, pp. 519–526. ISBN: 978-1-4503-7825-3. DOI: [10.1145/1186822.1073223](https://doi.org/10.1145/1186822.1073223). URL: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/1186822.1073223> (visited on 07/11/2024).
- Liu, G., M.Z. Li, et al. (Mar. 2022). “Structural motion estimation via Hilbert transform enhanced phase-based video processing”. In: Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 166, p. 108418. ISSN: 08883270. DOI: [10.1016/j.ymsp.2021.108418](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymsp.2021.108418). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0888327021007676> (visited on 01/07/2024).
- Lowe, D.G. (1999). “Object recognition from local scale-invariant features”. In: Proceedings of the Seventh IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision. Proceedings of the Seventh IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision. Kerkyra, Greece: IEEE, 1150–1157 vol.2. ISBN: 978-0-7695-0164-2. DOI: [10.1109/ICCV.1999.790410](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.1999.790410). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/790410/> (visited on 07/12/2024).
- Lucas, Bruce D and Takeo Kanade (Aug. 1981). “An Iterative Image Registration Technique with an Application to Stereo Vision”. In: IJCAI’81: 7th international joint conference on Artificial intelligence, pp. 674–679.
- Luiten, Jonathon et al. (Aug. 18, 2023). Dynamic 3D Gaussians: Tracking by Persistent Dynamic View Synthesis. arXiv: [2308.09713\[cs\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.09713). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2308.09713> (visited on 07/12/2024).
- Luo, Zixin et al. (2018). “GeoDesc: Learning Local Descriptors by Integrating Geometry Constraints”. In: Publisher: arXiv Version Number: 2. DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.1807.06294](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1807.06294). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.06294> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Martorell, Onofre and Antoni Buades (2022). “Variational Temporal Optical Flow for Multi-exposure Video:” in: Proceedings of the 17th International Joint Conference on Computer Vision, Imaging and Computer Graphics Theory and Applications. 17th International Conference on Computer Vision Theory and Applications. Online Streaming, — Select a Country —: SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, pp. 666–673. ISBN: 978-989-758-555-5. DOI: [10.5220/0010908300003124](https://doi.org/10.5220/0010908300003124). URL: <https://www.scitepress.org/DigitalLibrary/Link.aspx?doi=10.5220/0010908300003124> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Memin, E. and P. Perez (May 1998). “Dense estimation and object-based segmentation of the optical flow with robust techniques”. In: IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 7.5, pp. 703–719. ISSN: 10577149. DOI: [10.1109/83.668027](https://doi.org/10.1109/83.668027). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/668027/> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Miao, Yanan et al. (Feb. 2022). “Phase-based displacement measurement on a straight edge using an optimal complex Gabor filter”. In: Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 164, p. 108224. ISSN: 08883270. DOI: [10.1016/j.ymsp.2021.108224](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymsp.2021.108224). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0888327021005975> (visited on 09/27/2023).
- Mishchuk, Anastasiya et al. (2017). Working hard to know your neighbor’s margins: Local descriptor learning loss. Version Number: 4. DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.1705.10872](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1705.10872). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.10872> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Mohamed, Mahmoud A. et al. (Sept. 2014). “Illumination-Robust Optical Flow Using a Local Directional Pattern”. In: IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology 24.9, pp. 1499–1508. ISSN: 1051-8215, 1558-2205. DOI: [10.1109/TCSVT.2014.2308628](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCSVT.2014.2308628). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6748891/> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Monzon, Nelson, Agustin Salgado, and Javier Sanchez (Apr. 2016). “Regularization Strategies for Discontinuity-Preserving Optical Flow Methods”. In: IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 25.4, pp. 1580–1591. ISSN: 1057-7149, 1941-0042. DOI: [10.1109/TIP.2016.2526903](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIP.2016.2526903). URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7401084/> (visited on 03/01/2024).
- Odobez, J.M. and P. Bouthemy (Dec. 1995). “Robust Multiresolution Estimation of Parametric Motion Models”. In: Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation 6.4, pp. 348–365. ISSN: 10473203. DOI: [10.1006/jvci.1995.1029](https://doi.org/10.1006/jvci.1995.1029). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1047320385710292> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Olaszek, Piotr (Apr. 1999). “Investigation of the dynamic characteristic of bridge structures using a computer vision method”. In: Measurement 25.3, pp. 227–236. ISSN: 02632241. DOI: [10.1016/S0263-2241\(99\)00006-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-2241(99)00006-8). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0263224199000068> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Papenberg, Nils et al. (Apr. 2006). “Highly Accurate Optic Flow Computation with Theoretically Justified Warping”. In: International Journal of Computer Vision 67.2, pp. 141–158. ISSN: 0920-5691, 1573-1405. DOI: [10.1007/s11263-005-3960-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-005-3960-y). URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11263-005-3960-y> (visited on 07/17/2024).

- Po, Lai-Man and Wing-Chung Ma (June 1996). “A novel four-step search algorithm for fast block motion estimation”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology* 6.3, pp. 313–317. ISSN: 10518215. DOI: [10.1109/76.499840](https://doi.org/10.1109/76.499840). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/499840/> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Reddy, B.S. and B.N. Chatterji (Aug. 1996). “An FFT-based technique for translation, rotation, and scale-invariant image registration”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 5.8, pp. 1266–1271. ISSN: 1057-7149, 1941-0042. DOI: [10.1109/83.506761](https://doi.org/10.1109/83.506761). URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/506761/> (visited on 07/19/2024).
- Revaud, Jerome et al. (2015). “EpicFlow: Edge-Preserving Interpolation of Correspondences for Optical Flow”. In: DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.1501.02565](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1501.02565). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.02565> (visited on 10/02/2023).
- Ribeiro, D. et al. (Sept. 2014). “Non-contact measurement of the dynamic displacement of railway bridges using an advanced video-based system”. In: *Engineering Structures* 75, pp. 164–180. ISSN: 01410296. DOI: [10.1016/j.engstruct.2014.04.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2014.04.051). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0141029614002958> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Rublee, Ethan et al. (Nov. 2011). “ORB: An efficient alternative to SIFT or SURF”. In: *2011 International Conference on Computer Vision*. 2011 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV). Barcelona, Spain: IEEE, pp. 2564–2571. ISBN: 978-1-4577-1102-2 978-1-4577-1101-5 978-1-4577-1100-8. DOI: [10.1109/ICCV.2011.6126544](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2011.6126544). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6126544/> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Schonberger, Johannes L. and Jan-Michael Frahm (June 2016). “Structure-from-Motion Revisited”. In: *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). Las Vegas, NV, USA: IEEE, pp. 4104–4113. ISBN: 978-1-4673-8851-1. DOI: [10.1109/CVPR.2016.445](https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2016.445). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7780814/> (visited on 07/12/2024).
- Senst, Tobias, Volker Eiselein, and Thomas Sikora (Sept. 2012). “Robust Local Optical Flow for Feature Tracking”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology* 22.9, pp. 1377–1387. ISSN: 1051-8215, 1558-2205. DOI: [10.1109/TCSVT.2012.2202070](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCSVT.2012.2202070). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6209407/> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Singh, Deepak (May 19, 2021). “Improved Block Matching Motion Estimation Technique using Modified Particle Swarm Optimization in Video Coding”. In: *2021 Emerging Trends in Industry 4.0 (ETI 4.0)*. 2021 Emerging Trends in Industry 4.0 (ETI 4.0). Raigarh, India: IEEE, pp. 1–6. ISBN: 978-1-66542-237-6. DOI: [10.1109/ETI4.051663.2021.9619265](https://doi.org/10.1109/ETI4.051663.2021.9619265). URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9619265/> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Sladek, Jerzy et al. (Apr. 2013). “Development of a Vision Based Deflection Measurement System and Its Accuracy Assessment”. In: *Measurement* 46.3, pp. 1237–1249. ISSN: 02632241. DOI: [10.1016/j.measurement.2012.10.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2012.10.021). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0263224112003934> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Sun, Deqing, Stefan Roth, and Michael J. Black (June 2010). “Secrets of optical flow estimation and their principles”. In: *2010 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2010 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). San Francisco, CA, USA: IEEE, pp. 2432–2439. ISBN: 978-1-4244-6984-0. DOI: [10.1109/CVPR.2010.5539939](https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2010.5539939). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5539939/> (visited on 07/11/2024).
- Tian, Yurun, Bin Fan, and Fuchao Wu (July 2017). “L2-Net: Deep Learning of Discriminative Patch Descriptor in Euclidean Space”. In: *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. 2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). Honolulu, HI: IEEE, pp. 6128–6136. ISBN: 978-1-5386-0457-1. DOI: [10.1109/CVPR.2017.649](https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2017.649). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8100132/> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Tola, E., V. Lepetit, and P. Fua (May 2010). “DAISY: An Efficient Dense Descriptor Applied to Wide-Baseline Stereo”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 32.5, pp. 815–830. ISSN: 0162-8828. DOI: [10.1109/TPAMI.2009.77](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2009.77). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4815264/> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Tu, Zhigang et al. (Mar. 2019). “A survey of variational and CNN-based optical flow techniques”. In: *Signal Processing: Image Communication* 72, pp. 9–24. ISSN: 09235965. DOI: [10.1016/j.image.2018.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.image.2018.12.002). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0923596518302479> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Volz, Sebastian et al. (Nov. 2011). “Modeling temporal coherence for optical flow”. In: *2011 International Conference on Computer Vision*. 2011 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV). Barcelona, Spain: IEEE, pp. 1116–1123. ISBN: 978-1-4577-1102-2 978-1-4577-1101-5 978-1-4577-1100-8. DOI: [10.1109/ICCV.2011.6126359](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2011.6126359). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6126359/> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Wadhwa, Neal et al. (July 21, 2013). “Phase-based video motion processing”. In: *ACM Transactions on Graphics* 32.4, pp. 1–10. ISSN: 0730-0301, 1557-7368. DOI: [10.1145/2461912.2461966](https://doi.org/10.1145/2461912.2461966). URL: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/2461912.2461966> (visited on 09/26/2023).

- Weickert, Joachim and Christoph Schnörr (2001). “A Theoretical Framework for Convex Regularizers in PDE-Based Computation of Image Motion”. In: *International Journal of Computer Vision* 45.3, pp. 245–264. ISSN: 09205691. DOI: [10.1023/A:1013614317973](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013614317973). URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1023/A:1013614317973> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Weng, J. (1990). “A Theory of Image Matching”. In: [1990] *Proceedings Third International Conference on Computer Vision*. [1990] Third International Conference on Computer Vision. Osaka, Japan: IEEE Comput. Soc. Press, pp. 200–209. ISBN: 978-0-8186-2057-7. DOI: [10.1109/ICCV.1990.139520](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.1990.139520). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/139520/> (visited on 11/19/2023).
- Wu, Hao-Yu et al. (Aug. 5, 2012). “Eulerian video magnification for revealing subtle changes in the world”. In: *ACM Transactions on Graphics* 31.4, pp. 1–8. ISSN: 0730-0301, 1557-7368. DOI: [10.1145/2185520.2185561](https://doi.org/10.1145/2185520.2185561). URL: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/2185520.2185561> (visited on 07/11/2024).
- Xu, Yan and James M. W. Brownjohn (Jan. 2018). “Review of machine-vision based methodologies for displacement measurement in civil structures”. In: *Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring* 8.1, pp. 91–110. ISSN: 2190-5452, 2190-5479. DOI: [10.1007/s13349-017-0261-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13349-017-0261-4). URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s13349-017-0261-4> (visited on 10/11/2023).
- Yang, Yongchao et al. (Feb. 2017). “Blind Identification of Full-Field Vibration Modes from Video Measurements with Phase-Based Video Motion Magnification”. In: *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 85, pp. 567–590. ISSN: 08883270. DOI: [10.1016/j.ymssp.2016.08.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2016.08.041). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0888327016303272> (visited on 09/27/2023).
- Ye, Zhen et al. (Aug. 4, 2020). “Robust Fine Registration of Multisensor Remote Sensing Images Based on Enhanced Subpixel Phase Correlation”. In: *Sensors* 20.15, p. 4338. ISSN: 1424-8220. DOI: [10.3390/s20154338](https://doi.org/10.3390/s20154338). URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/20/15/4338> (visited on 07/19/2024).
- Yi, Kwang Moo et al. (2016). *LIFT: Learned Invariant Feature Transform*. Version Number: 2. DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.1603.09114](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1603.09114). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.09114> (visited on 07/16/2024).
- Zheng, Jiayan et al. (2024). *Full-Field Spatial-Temporal Deflection Measurement of Large Slender Ratio Structure Based on Slice Temporal*. DOI: [10.2139/ssrn.4686100](https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4686100). URL: <https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=4686100> (visited on 07/19/2024).
- Zimmer, Henning, Andrés Bruhn, and Joachim Weickert (July 2011). “Optic Flow in Harmony”. In: *International Journal of Computer Vision* 93.3, pp. 368–388. ISSN: 0920-5691, 1573-1405. DOI: [10.1007/s11263-011-0422-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-011-0422-6). URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11263-011-0422-6> (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Zimmer, Henning, Andrés Bruhn, Joachim Weickert, et al. (2009). “Complementary Optic Flow”. In: *Energy Minimization Methods in Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. Ed. by Daniel Cremers et al. Vol. 5681. Series Title: *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 207–220. ISBN: 978-3-642-03640-8 978-3-642-03641-5. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-03641-5_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03641-5_16). URL: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-03641-5_16 (visited on 07/17/2024).
- Zwicker, M. et al. (July 2002). “EWA splatting”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 8.3, pp. 223–238. ISSN: 1077-2626. DOI: [10.1109/TVCG.2002.1021576](https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2002.1021576). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1021576/> (visited on 02/21/2024).