

NEW SPHERE THEOREMS UNDER CURVATURE OPERATOR OF THE SECOND KIND

XIAOLONG LI

ABSTRACT. We investigate Riemannian manifolds (M^n, g) whose curvature operator of the second kind \mathring{R} satisfies the condition

$$\alpha^{-1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\alpha) > -\theta\bar{\lambda},$$

where $\lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{(n-1)(n+2)/2}$ are the eigenvalues of \mathring{R} , $\bar{\lambda}$ is their average, and $\theta > -1$. Under such conditions with optimal θ depending on n and α , we prove two differentiable sphere theorems in dimensions three and four, a homological sphere theorem in higher dimensions, and a curvature characterization of Kähler space forms. These results generalize recent works corresponding to $\theta = 0$ of Cao-Gursky-Tran, Nienhaus-Petersen-Wink, and the author. Moreover, examples are provided to demonstrate the sharpness of all results.

1. INTRODUCTION

A central theme in geometry is to understand how curvature conditions determine the topology of the underlying space. Of great importance are the sphere theorems, which state that the underlying manifolds must be (up to homology, homeomorphism, or diffeomorphism) spherical space forms under suitable curvature conditions. For instance, the famous differentiable sphere theorem due to Brendle and Schoen [BS09] asserts that a closed Riemannian manifold with quarter-pinched sectional curvature is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form. Much earlier, Berger [Ber60] and Klingenberg [Kli61] proved this result on the homeomorphism level. Another celebrated differentiable sphere theorem, proved using the Ricci flow by Hamilton [Ham82] in dimension three, Hamilton [Ham86] and Chen [Che91] in dimension four, and Böhm and Wilking [BW08] in all higher dimensions, states that a closed Riemannian manifold with two-positive curvature operator is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form. On the homology level, this was proved by Meyer [Mey71] using the Bochner technique. We refer the reader to [BS11], [Bre10], [NW10], [PW21], and the references therein for more sphere theorems, their corresponding rigidity results, and further developments.

Recently, a new differentiable sphere theorem was proved under the condition of three-positive curvature operator of the second kind. More generally, it has been shown that

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21, 53C24, 53C20, 53C55.

Key words and phrases. Sphere theorems, Curvature operator of the second kind, Curvature pinching, Betti numbers, Positive isotropic curvature.

The author's research is partially supported by NSF LEAPS-MPS #2316659, Simons Collaboration Grant #962228, and a start-up grant at Wichita State University.

Theorem 1.1 ([CGT23], [Li24], and [NPW23]). *A closed Riemannian manifold with three-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind is either flat or diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.*

Theorem 1.1 settles a conjecture of Nishikawa [Nis86] under weaker assumptions but with stronger conclusions. The original conjecture states that a closed Riemannian manifold with positive (respectively, nonnegative) curvature operator of the second kind is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form (respectively, a Riemannian locally symmetric space). The positive part was resolved in a pioneering paper by Cao, Gursky, and Tran [CGT23]. They found that two-positive curvature operator of the second kind implies the PIC1 condition (i.e. $M \times \mathbb{R}$ has positive isotropic curvature) and then appealed to Brendle’s convergence result [Bre08] of closed Ricci flows with PIC1 to constant sectional curvature. Shortly after, the author [Li24] weakened their assumption to three-positive curvature operator of the second kind and also classified closed manifolds with three-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind: they are either flat, or diffeomorphic to spherical space forms, or isometric to compact irreducible symmetric spaces. A few months later, Nienhuas, Petersen, and Wink [NPW23] discovered a new Bochner formula for the curvature operator of the second kind and used it to prove that a closed Riemannian manifold with $\frac{n+2}{2}$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind must be either flat or a rational homology sphere, thus ruling out compact irreducible symmetric spaces in the author’s classification and yielding Theorem 1.1.

In the above discussion, the curvature operator (of the first kind by Nishikawa’s terminology [Nis86]) $\hat{R} : \wedge^2(TM) \rightarrow \wedge^2(TM)$ refers to the action of the Riemann curvature tensor R_{ijkl} on two-forms via

$$\hat{R}(\omega)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^n R_{ijkl} \omega_{kl}.$$

Understanding the geometric and topological consequences of positivity conditions on the curvature operator is of longstanding interest in Riemannian geometry; see [Mey71], [Tac74], [GM75], [Ham82, Ham86], [Che91], [BW08], [NW07] and [PW21], etc. The curvature operator of the second kind \mathring{R} is defined by

$$\mathring{R} = \pi \circ \bar{R} : S_0^2(TM) \rightarrow S_0^2(TM),$$

where $\bar{R} : S^2(TM) \rightarrow S^2(TM)$ is the action of R_{ijkl} on symmetric two-tensors via

$$\bar{R}(h)_{ij} = \sum_{k,l=1}^n R_{iklj} h_{kl},$$

and $\pi : S^2(TM) \rightarrow S_0^2(TM)$ is the projection map from symmetric two-tensors to traceless symmetric two-tensors. For a real number $\alpha \in [1, (n-1)(n+2)/2]$, a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is said to have α -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind if for any $p \in M$,

$$\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{[\alpha]} + (\alpha - [\alpha])\lambda_{[\alpha]+1} \geq 0,$$

where $\lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{(n-1)(n+2)/2}$ are the eigenvalues of \mathring{R} at p and

$$[x] := \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z} : m \leq x\}$$

denotes the floor function. Similarly, one defines α -positivity, α -negativity, and α -nonpositivity of any symmetric operator.

The action of the Riemann curvature tensor on symmetric two-tensors indeed has a long history. It appeared for Kähler manifolds in the study of the deformation of complex analytic structures by Calabi and Vesentini [CV60]. They introduced the self-adjoint operator $\xi_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow R_{\rho\alpha\beta\sigma}\xi_{\rho\sigma}$ from $S^2(T_p^{1,0}M)$ to itself, and computed the eigenvalues of this operator on Hermitian symmetric spaces of classical type, with the exceptional ones handled shortly after by Borel [Bor60]. In the Riemannian setting, the operator \bar{R} arises naturally in the context of deformations of Einstein structure in Berger and Ebin [BE69] (see also [Koi79a, Koi79b] and [Bes08]). In addition, it appears in the Bochner-Weitzenböck formulas for symmetric two-tensors (see for example [MRS20]), for differential forms in [OT79], and for Riemannian curvature tensors in [Kas93]. In another direction, curvature pinching estimates for \bar{R} were studied by Bourguignon and Karcher [BK78], and they calculated eigenvalues of \bar{R} on the complex projective space with the Fubini-Study metric and the quaternionic projective space with its canonical metric. Nevertheless, the operators \bar{R} and \mathring{R} are significantly less investigated than \hat{R} and it is our goal to achieve a better understanding of them.

The resolution of Nishikawa's conjecture has triggered a series of works investigating the curvature operator of the second kind, including [Li22a, Li23b, Li23a, Li22b], [NPWW23], [FL24], [DF24], and [DFY24]. Most of them try to understand the geometric and topological implications of α -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind and prove improved results by increasing α (or equivalently weakening the curvature condition). For example, the author [Li22b, Theorem 1.4] obtained a classification (up to homeomorphism) of closed Riemannian manifolds with $4\frac{1}{2}$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce new lower bound conditions on the curvature operator of the second kind (see Definition 1.2) and prove optimal sphere theorems that extend several above-mentioned results.

Let (V, g) be a (real) Euclidean vector space of dimension $n \geq 3$ and denote by $S_0^2(V)$ the space of traceless symmetric two-tensors on V . Throughout this paper, we write

$$N := \dim(S_0^2(V)) = \frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2},$$

and we use the convention

$$\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\alpha := \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{[\alpha]} + (\alpha - [\alpha])\lambda_{[\alpha]+1}$$

when α is not an integer.

Definition 1.2. Let $\alpha \in [1, N)$ and $\theta > -1$.

- (1) We define $\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ to be the cone of symmetric operators $\mathring{R} : S_0^2(V) \rightarrow S_0^2(V)$ satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \alpha^{-1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\alpha) \geq -\theta\bar{\lambda},$$

where $\lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_N$ are the eigenvalues of \mathring{R} and $\bar{\lambda}$ denotes their average.

- (2) We denote by $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \theta)$ and $\partial\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ the interior and the boundary of $\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$, respectively.

- (3) We say a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ (respectively, $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \theta)$) if $\mathring{R}_p \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ (respectively, $\mathring{R}_p \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \theta)$) for all $p \in M$, where \mathring{R}_p denotes the curvature operator of the second kind at p and T_pM is identified with V .

Note that $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, 0)$ if and only if \mathring{R} is α -nonnegative and $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(1, \theta)$ if and only if $\mathring{R} + \theta\bar{\lambda}\text{id}$ is nonnegative. For general α and θ , $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ can be interpreted as that the average of the smallest α eigenvalues of \mathring{R} is bounded from below by $-\theta\bar{\lambda}$. Thus, the conditions $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ give a two-parameter family of lower bounds on \mathring{R} .

Our main motivation to introduce the conditions $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ comes from proving optimal differentiable sphere theorems. Theorem 1.1 implies that the sum of the smallest three eigenvalues of \mathring{R} is indeed negative on all compact symmetric spaces (with their canonical metrics), except spherical space forms. This suggests that a closed Riemannian manifold satisfying $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(3, \theta)$ with $\theta > 0$ sufficiently small should be diffeomorphic to a spherical space form. More ambitiously, one can ask:

Question A. Given $n \geq 3$ and $\alpha \in [1, N)$, what is the largest number $\bar{\theta}(n, \alpha)$ such that a closed Riemannian manifold satisfying $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \bar{\theta}(n, \alpha))$ is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form?

In this paper, we completely answer this question in dimensions three and four and provide a partial result in higher dimensions. Note that (see Example 2.2) the curvature operator of the second kind of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ (with the standard metric) lies on $\partial\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{n,\alpha})$, where

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{\Theta}_{n,\alpha} := \begin{cases} \alpha^{-1}, & 1 \leq \alpha \leq n, \\ \alpha^{-1} + \frac{n(n-\alpha)}{(n-2)\alpha}, & n \leq \alpha < N. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we must have $\bar{\theta}(n, \alpha) \leq \bar{\Theta}_{n,\alpha}$. Below we shall show that $\bar{\theta}(n, \alpha) = \bar{\Theta}_{n,\alpha}$ for $n = 3$ and $n = 4$.

In dimension three, we prove that

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M^3, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension three. Let $1 \leq \alpha < 5$ and $\bar{\Theta}_{3,\alpha}$ be defined as in (1.2).*

- (1) *If (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{3,\alpha})$, then M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.*
- (2) *If (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{3,\alpha})$, then M is diffeomorphic to a quotient of one of the spaces \mathbb{S}^3 , or $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, or \mathbb{R}^3 by a group of fixed point free isometries in the standard metrics.*

Other than the case $\alpha = 3\frac{1}{3}$ which was proved by the author [Li22a, Theorem 1.7], Theorem 1.3 is new for all other $\alpha \in [1, 5)$. The key is to establish implications of $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{n,\alpha})$ on the Ricci curvature. More precisely, we prove the following result in all dimensions.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $\alpha \in [1, N)$ and $\bar{\Theta}_{n,\alpha}$ be defined as in (1.2). Let $R \in S_{\mathbb{B}}^2(\wedge^2V)$ be an algebraic curvature operator and \mathring{R} its induced curvature operator of the second*

kind. If $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{n,\alpha})$ (respectively, $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{n,\alpha})$), then R has nonnegative (respectively, positive) Ricci curvature.

Proposition 1.4 is optimal on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Indeed, the proof of Proposition 1.4 (and the more general Proposition 3.1) uses $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ as a model space and apply \mathring{R} to the eigentensors of the curvature operator of the second kind on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$. This strategy has been successfully employed by the author in previous works [Li22a, Li23b, Li23a, Li22b] with model spaces such as $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$, $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k}$, and $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{m-k}$. With Proposition 1.4, Theorem 1.3 then follows from Hamilton's famous classification of closed three-manifolds with positive/nonnegative Ricci curvature [Ham82, Ham86]. Alternatively, Theorem 1.3 can be proved using the explicit expressions for the eigenvalues of \mathring{R} in terms of that of \hat{R} in dimension three found by Fluck and the author in [FL24].

In dimension four, we prove that

Theorem 1.5. *Let (M^4, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension four. Let $1 \leq \alpha < 9$ and $\bar{\Theta}_{4,\alpha}$ be defined as in (1.2).*

- (1) *If (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{4,\alpha})$, then M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.*
- (2) *If (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{4,\alpha})$, then one of the following statements holds:*
 - (a) *(M, g) is flat;*
 - (b) *M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form;*
 - (c) *$1 \leq \alpha \leq 4$ and the universal cover of (M, g) is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$;*
 - (d) *$4 < \alpha < 9$ and the universal cover of (M, g) is isometric to $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$;*
 - (e) *$4 \leq \alpha < 9$ and (M, g) is isometric to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric.*

Previously, Theorem 1.5 was only known for $\alpha = 4\frac{1}{2}$ by [Li22b, Theorem 1.4]. We point out that all the cases in part (2) of Theorem 1.5 can occur. The diffeomorphism in (2c) cannot be upgraded to an isometry, as $N^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{4,\alpha})$ for any $1 \leq \alpha \leq 4$ as long as N^3 has positive curvature operator of the second kind (see [Li22b, Proposition 2.1]). For (2e), we remark that $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{4,\alpha})$ if and only if $4 \leq \alpha < 9$ (see Example 2.3).

To prove Theorem 1.5, we derive, as in [Li22a], implications of $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ on the isotropic curvature, a notion that played a central role in the proof of the quarter-pinched differentiable sphere theorem in [BS08]. In Proposition 4.2, we show using $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ as a model space that in dimension four positive isotropic curvature is implied by a slightly weaker condition than $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{4,\alpha})$. Hence, $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{4,\alpha})$ implies both positive Ricci curvature and positive isotropic curvature in dimension four. Part (1) of Theorem 1.5 then follows from Hamilton's work [Ham97]. The proof of part (2) requires further investigation using [Li22b] when M is locally reducible and also uses the $m = 2$ case of Theorem 1.9.

Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.5 imply $\bar{\theta}(n, \alpha) = \bar{\Theta}_{n,\alpha}$ for $n = 3$ and $n = 4$, respectively. One may wonder whether $\bar{\theta}(n, \alpha) = \bar{\Theta}_{n,\alpha}$ remains true for any $n \geq 5$. This speculation is supported for $\alpha = \frac{n+2}{2}$ by the following homological sphere theorem in higher dimensions.

Theorem 1.6. *Let (M^n, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$. Suppose (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\frac{n+2}{2}, \theta)$ for some $-1 < \theta < \frac{2}{n+2}$. Then (M, g) is either flat or a rational homology sphere.*

Taking $\theta = 0$ in Theorem 1.6 recovers the homological sphere theorem of Nienhaus, Petersen, and Wink [NPW23, Theorem A]. The condition $\theta < \frac{2}{n+2}$ is optimal, as $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \partial\mathcal{C}(\frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{2}{n+2})$. To prove Theorem 1.6, we make use of the Bochner formula (see (5.1) and (5.2)) derived in [NPW23]. Together with a weight principle (see [NPW23, Theorem 3.6]), they also proved the vanishing of the p -th Betti number under $C(n, p)$ -positivity of \mathring{R} , where $C(n, p)$ is an explicit constant. Using their method, we prove the following more general result, which implies Theorem 1.6.

Theorem 1.7. *Let (M^n, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$ and $2 \leq p \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Set*

$$(1.3) \quad A_{n,p} := \frac{2(n-1)(np+n-p^2)}{2(n-1)(n-2p)(n-p+1) + (n-p)(n+2)(n-p+2)}.$$

- (1) *If (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\frac{n+2}{2}, A_{n,p})$, then the p -th Betti number $b_p(M, \mathbb{R})$ vanishes.*
- (2) *If (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\frac{n+2}{2}, \theta)$ for some $\theta < A_{n,p}$, then either $b_p(M, \mathbb{R})$ vanishes or (M, g) is flat.*
- (3) *If (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\frac{n+2}{2}, A_{n,p})$, then all harmonic p -forms are parallel.*

Note that $A_{n,p}$ increases as $p \leq \frac{n}{2}$ increases and the weakest curvature condition occurs when $p = \frac{n}{2}$ with $A_{n, \frac{n}{2}} = \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2}$. We show that this condition is sufficient for Einstein manifolds.

Theorem 1.8. *Let (M^n, g) be a closed Einstein manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$.*

- (1) *If (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2})$, then M is a rational homology sphere.*
- (2) *If (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\frac{n+2}{2}, \theta)$ for some $-1 < \theta < \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2}$, then M is either flat or a rational homology sphere.*
- (3) *If (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2})$, then all harmonic p -forms are parallel.*

We point out that the number $\frac{2(n-1)}{n+2}$ in Theorem 1.8 is the best possible, as both $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k}$ (with $k \geq 2$ and the product metric being Einstein) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \partial\mathcal{C}(\frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2})$ (see Example 2.3 and Example 2.4).

It is also interesting to study Kähler manifolds satisfying $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$. By [BK78] (see also Example 2.3), $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m, g_{FS})$ satisfies $\partial\mathcal{C}(\alpha, B_{m,\alpha})$, where

$$(1.4) \quad B_{m,\alpha} := \begin{cases} \frac{2m-1}{m+1}, & 1 \leq \alpha \leq m^2 - 1; \\ \frac{2m-1}{m+1} \frac{3(m^2-1)-2\alpha}{\alpha}, & m^2 - 1 \leq \alpha < (2m-1)(m+1). \end{cases}$$

It has been shown (see [Li24, Theorem 1.9], [NPWW23, Theorem C], and [Li23a, Theorem 1.2]) that a Kähler manifold of complex dimension $m \geq 2$ satisfying

either $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, 0)$ or $-\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, 0)$ is flat if $1 \leq \alpha < \frac{3}{2}(m^2 - 1)$ and has constant holomorphic sectional curvature if $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}(m^2 - 1)$. Here we prove the following optimal extension.

Theorem 1.9. *Let (M^m, g) be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension $m \geq 2$. Let $1 \leq \alpha < (2m - 1)(m + 1)$ and $B_{m,\alpha}$ be defined as in (1.4).*

- (1) *If $\alpha \neq m^2 - 1$ and (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, B_{m,\alpha})$ (respectively $-\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, B_{m,\alpha})$), then (M, g) has constant nonnegative (respectively, nonpositive) holomorphic sectional curvature.*
- (2) *If (M, g) satisfies either $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ or $-\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ for some $\theta < B_{m,\alpha}$, then (M, g) is flat.*

We point out that part (1) of Theorem 1.9 fails for $\alpha = m^2 - 1$, as $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{m-k}$ satisfied $\mathring{R} \in \partial\mathcal{C}(m^2 - 1, \frac{2m-1}{m+1})$ (see Example 2.5). The case of Kähler surfaces (i.e., $m = 2$) can be alternatively proved using the normal form of the curvature operator of the second kind in real dimension four discovered in [CGT23], in a similar way as in [Li23b].

Given that the Ricci flow is the most powerful tool in proving differentiable sphere theorems and thus is a possible approach to Question A, we conclude this section with the following question.

Question B. For what values of $\alpha \in [1, N]$ and $\theta > -1$ does the Ricci flow (on closed manifolds) preserve the condition $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$?

Fluck and the author [FL24, Proposition 5.3] proved that three-dimensional Ricci flows on closed manifolds preserve the condition $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ for all $\alpha \in [1, 5]$ and $\theta > -1$. No results are known in higher dimensions so far.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation and Conventions. Let (V, g) be a real Euclidean vector space of dimension $n \geq 3$ and $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis of V . We always identify V with its dual space V^* via the inner product g .

Denote by $\wedge^2(V)$, $S^2(V)$, and $S_0^2(V)$ the spaces of two-forms, symmetric two-tensors, and traceless symmetric two-tensors on V , respectively. Note that $S^2(V)$ splits into $O(V)$ -irreducible subspaces as

$$S^2(V) = S_0^2(V) \oplus \mathbb{R}g.$$

The tensor product \otimes is defined by

$$(e_i \otimes e_j)(e_k, e_l) = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}.$$

The symmetric product \odot and the wedge product \wedge are defined by

$$e_i \odot e_j = e_i \otimes e_j + e_j \otimes e_i,$$

and

$$e_i \wedge e_j = e_i \otimes e_j - e_j \otimes e_i,$$

respectively.

The inner product on $\wedge^2(V)$ is given by

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(A^T B),$$

so $\{e_i \wedge e_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\wedge^2(V)$. The inner product on $S^2(V)$ is given by

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(A^T B),$$

so $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_i \odot e_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \cup \{\frac{1}{2}e_i \odot e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is an orthonormal basis of $S^2(V)$.

$S^2(\wedge^2 V)$, the space of symmetric two-tensors on $\wedge^2(V)$, has the orthogonal decomposition

$$S^2(\wedge^2 V) = S_B^2(\wedge^2 V) \oplus \wedge^4 V,$$

where $S_B^2(\wedge^2 V)$ consists of all tensors $R \in S^2(\wedge^2(V))$ that also satisfy the first Bianchi identity. $S_B^2(\wedge^2 V)$ is called the space of algebraic curvature operators (or tensors).

2.2. Curvature Operator of the Second Kind. Given $R \in S_B^2(\wedge^2(V))$, the induced symmetric operator $\hat{R} : \wedge^2(V) \rightarrow \wedge^2(V)$ given by

$$\hat{R}(\omega)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^n R_{ijkl} \omega_{kl},$$

is called the curvature operator (or the curvature operator of the first kind by Nishikawa [Nis86]).

By the symmetries of $R \in S_B^2(\wedge^2(V))$, R also induces a symmetric operator $\bar{R} : S^2(V) \rightarrow S^2(V)$ via

$$\bar{R}(\varphi)_{ij} = \sum_{k,l=1}^n R_{iklj} \varphi_{kl}.$$

However, the nonnegativity of \bar{R} is too strong in the sense that \bar{R} is nonnegative if and only if $\bar{R} = 0$. The curvature operator of the second kind, following Nishikawa's terminology [Nis86], refers to the symmetric operator

$$\mathring{R} = \pi \circ \bar{R} : S_0^2(V) \rightarrow S_0^2(V),$$

where $\pi : S^2(V) \rightarrow S_0^2(V)$ is the projection map.

We collect some known properties of \mathring{R} .

Proposition 2.1. *Let $R \in S_B^2(\wedge^2 V)$ and \mathring{R} be its induced curvature operator of the second kind.*

- (1) $\operatorname{tr}(\mathring{R}) = \frac{n+2}{2n} S$, where S denotes the scalar curvature of R .
- (2) $\mathring{R} = \operatorname{id}_{S_0^2(V)}$ if R has constant sectional curvature 1.
- (3) $\mathring{R}(\varphi, \psi) = \bar{R}(\varphi, \psi)$ for $\varphi, \psi \in S_0^2(V)$.
- (4) If \mathring{R} is two-positive, then R has positive sectional curvatures.
- (5) If \mathring{R} is positive, then R has positive complex sectional curvature.
- (6) If $n \geq 4$ and \mathring{R} is 3-positive, then the expression

$$R_{1313} + \lambda^2 R_{1414} + R_{2323} + \lambda^2 R_{2424} - 2\lambda R_{1234}$$

is positive for all orthonormal four frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ and all $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$.

- (7) If $n \geq 4$ and \mathring{R} is $4\frac{1}{4}$ -positive, then R has positive isotropic curvature.

(8) If \mathring{R} is $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -positive, then R has positive Ricci curvature.

Moreover, the statements in (4)-(8) remain true if “positive” is replaced by “non-negative”, or “nonpositive”, or “negative”.

Proof. (1) and (2) are well-known. See [Li24] or [NPW23].

(3). This says the symmetric bilinear form induced by \mathring{R} is the same as the restriction to $S_0^2(V)$ of the symmetric bilinear form induced by \overline{R} . It can be seen as

$$\mathring{R}(\varphi, \psi) = \langle (\pi \circ \overline{R})(\varphi), \psi \rangle = \langle \overline{R}(\varphi), \psi \rangle - \frac{\text{tr}(\overline{R}(\varphi))}{n} \langle g, \psi \rangle = \overline{R}(\varphi, \psi).$$

(4)-(6). See [Li24, Proposition 4.1].

(7). See [CGT23, Theorem 1.5] and [Li22a, Theorem 1.5].

(8). See [Li22a, Theorem 1.6]. \square

Next, we collect several examples on which the eigenvalues of the curvature operator of the second kind are known explicitly (see [Li22b] for more such examples). These examples are used to demonstrate the sharpness of our results.

Example 2.2. The eigenvalues of \mathring{R} on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ (with the standard product metric) are given by $-\frac{n-2}{n}$ with multiplicity 1, 0 with multiplicity $n-1$, and 1 with multiplicity $\frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2}$; see [Li24, Example 2.6]. The curvature operator of the second kind of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ lies on $\partial\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \Theta_{n,\alpha})$, where $\Theta_{n,\alpha}$ is defined in (1.2).

Example 2.3. Bourguignon and Karcher [BK78] computed that the eigenvalues of \mathring{R} on $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m, g_{FS})$, the complex projective space with the Fubini-Study metric normalized with constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4, are given by -2 with multiplicity $m^2 - 1$ and 4 with multiplicity $m(m+1)$. The curvature operator of the second kind of $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m, g_{FS})$ lies on $\partial\mathcal{C}(\alpha, B_{m,\alpha})$, where $B_{m,\alpha}$ is defined in (1.4).

Example 2.4. Let $\mathbb{S}^n(\kappa)$ denote the n -sphere with constant sectional curvature $\kappa > 0$. The eigenvalues of \mathring{R} on $\mathbb{S}^k(\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k}(\kappa_2)$ are given by $-\frac{k(n-k-1)\kappa_2 + (n-k)(k-1)\kappa_1}{n}$ with multiplicity 1, 0 with multiplicity $k(n-k)$, κ_1 with multiplicity $\frac{(k-1)(k+2)}{2}$, and κ_2 with multiplicity $\frac{(n-k-1)(n-k+2)}{2}$. See [Li22b, Example 2.3]. If $(k-1)\kappa_1 = (n-k-1)\kappa_2$, then $\mathbb{S}^k(\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k}(\kappa_2)$ is an Einstein manifold and its curvature operator of the second kind lies on $\partial\mathcal{C}(\frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2})$.

Example 2.5. The eigenvalues of \mathring{R} on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{m-k}$ are given by $-2 - 4\frac{k(m-k)}{m}$ with multiplicity 1, -2 with multiplicity $k^2 + (m-k)^2 - 2$, 0 with multiplicity $4k(m-k)$, and 4 with multiplicity $k(k+1) + (m-k)(m-k+1)$. See [Li22b, Example 2.8]. The curvature operator of the second kind of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{m-k}$ lies on $\partial\mathcal{C}(m^2 - 1, \frac{2m-1}{m+1})$.

The following identity is useful for calculations.

Proposition 2.6. Let (V, g) be a Euclidean vector space of dimension $n \geq 2$ and $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis of V . Then

$$(2.1) \quad \mathring{R}(e_i \odot e_j, e_k \odot e_l) = 2(R_{iklj} + R_{ilkj})$$

for all $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$.

Proof. (1). This is a straightforward calculation. See [Li23a, Lemma 3.1]. \square

2.3. The cones. Recall the for $\alpha \in [1, N)$ and $\theta > -1$, we defined in Definition 1.2 that

$$\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta) = \left\{ \mathring{R} \in S^2(S_0^2(V)) : \mathring{R} \text{ satisfies (1.1)} \right\}.$$

The interior and boundary of $\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ are denoted by $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \theta)$ and $\partial\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$, respectively.

We prove some basic properties of $\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $R \in S_B^2(\wedge^2 V)$ and \mathring{R} be its induced curvature operator of the second kind. Denote by S the scalar curvature of R .*

- (1) *If $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$, then $S \geq 0$. Moreover, $S = 0$ implies $\mathring{R} = 0$ and $R = 0$.*
- (2) *If $-\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$, then $S \leq 0$. Moreover, $S = 0$ implies $\mathring{R} = 0$ and $R = 0$.*
- (3) *If $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \theta)$, then $S > 0$.*
- (4) *If $-\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \theta)$, then $S < 0$.*

Proof. (1) $\text{tr}(\mathring{R}) = \frac{n+2}{2n}S$ implies that $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{S}{n(n-1)}$. By Lemma 2.9, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha^{-1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\alpha) \leq \bar{\lambda}$$

for $\alpha \in [1, N)$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_N = \bar{\lambda}$.

If $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$, then we have $-\theta\bar{\lambda} \leq \bar{\lambda}$. Since $\theta > -1$, we must have $\bar{\lambda} \geq 0$ and $S \geq 0$. Moreover, $\bar{\lambda} = 0$ implies equality in (2.2), which forces $\mathring{R} = 0$ and then $R = 0$.

(2)-(4). These can be proved similarly. \square

Proposition 2.8. *The cones $\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ satisfy*

- (1) *If $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$, then $\mathcal{C}(\alpha_1, \theta) \subset \mathcal{C}(\alpha_2, \theta)$;*
- (2) *If $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$, $\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta_1) \subset \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta_2)$.*

Proof. (1). This follows from Lemma 2.9.

(2). This is because $\bar{\lambda} \geq 0$. \square

2.4. An elementary lemma. The following elementary lemma will be used frequently.

Lemma 2.9. *Let L be a positive integer and A be a collection of L real numbers. Denote by a_i the i -th smallest number in A for $1 \leq i \leq L$. Define a function $f(A, x)$ by*

$$f(A, x) = a_1 + \cdots + a_{[x]} + (x - [x])a_{[x]+1},$$

for $x \in [1, L]$. Then we have

$$(2.3) \quad f(A, x) \leq x\bar{a},$$

where $\bar{a} := \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L a_i$ is the average of all numbers in A . Moreover, the equality holds for some $x \in [1, L)$ if and only if $a_i = \bar{a}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq L$.

3. RICCI CURVATURE

In this section, we establish implications of $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ on the Ricci curvature and then use them to prove Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 1.4.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $R \in S_B^2(\wedge^2 V)$ and denote by \mathring{R} its induced curvature operator of the second kind.*

(1) *If $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ with $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$, then*

$$\text{Ric} \geq \frac{n-1}{\alpha+1}(1-\alpha\theta)\bar{\lambda}g.$$

(2) *If $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ with $n \leq \alpha < N$, then*

$$\text{Ric} \geq (n-1) \frac{n^2 - n(\alpha\theta + \alpha - 1) + 2(\alpha\theta - 1)}{n^2 + n - 2(\alpha + 1)} \bar{\lambda}g.$$

Moreover, strict inequalities hold if we assume $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \theta)$.

Proposition 1.4 follows from Proposition 3.1 by taking $\theta = \bar{\Theta}_{n,\alpha}$. In addition, we note that Proposition 3.1 recovers several previous results.

Corollary 3.2 ([Li24], part (2) of Proposition 4.1). *If \mathring{R} is n -nonnegative, then $\text{Ric} \geq \frac{S}{n(n+1)} \geq 0$.*

Proof. Take $\alpha = n$ and $\theta = 0$ in Proposition 3.1. □

Corollary 3.3 ([Li22a], Theorem 1.6). *If \mathring{R} is $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -nonnegative, then the Ricci curvature is nonnegative.*

Proof. Take $\alpha = n + \frac{n-2}{n}$ and $\theta = 0$ in Proposition 3.1. □

We give the proof of Proposition 3.1

Proof of Proposition 3.1. (1). Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis of V . Then

$$\varphi_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \left((n-1)e_1 \odot e_1 - \sum_{p=2}^n e_p \odot e_p \right)$$

and

$$\varphi_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_1 \odot e_i, \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq n$$

form an orthonormal subset of $S_0^2(V)$ of dimension n . We may reorder φ_i for $2 \leq i \leq n$ so that

$$\mathring{R}(\varphi_2, \varphi_2) \leq \cdots \leq \mathring{R}(\varphi_n, \varphi_n).$$

By Lemma 2.9, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{i=2}^{[\alpha]} \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + (\alpha - [\alpha]) \mathring{R}(\varphi_{[\alpha]+1}, \varphi_{[\alpha]+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha-1}{n-1} \sum_{i=2}^n \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i),$$

If $\alpha \in [1, n]$, then $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad -\alpha\theta\bar{\lambda} &\leq \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\alpha \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{[\alpha]} \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + (\alpha - [\alpha])\mathring{R}(\varphi_{[\alpha]+1}, \varphi_{[\alpha]+1}) \\
&\leq \mathring{R}(\varphi_1, \varphi_1) + \frac{\alpha-1}{n-1} \sum_{i=2}^n \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.1).

Using (2.1), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&4n(n-1)\mathring{R}(\varphi_1, \varphi_1) \\
&= -2(n-1) \sum_{p=2}^n \mathring{R}(e_1 \odot e_1, e_p \odot e_p) + \sum_{p,q=2}^n \mathring{R}(e_p \odot e_p, e_q \odot e_q) \\
&= 8(n-1) \sum_{p=2}^n R_{1p1p} - 4 \sum_{p,q=2}^n R_{pqpq} \\
&= 8(n-1)R_{11} - 4(S - 2R_{11}) \\
&= 8nR_{11} - 4S,
\end{aligned}$$

where S denotes the scalar curvature. It follows, by noticing $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{S}{n(n-1)}$, that

$$(3.3) \quad \mathring{R}(\varphi_1, \varphi_1) = \frac{2}{n-1}R_{11} - \bar{\lambda}.$$

Next, we compute

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{i=2}^n \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) = \sum_{i=2}^n R_{1i1i} = R_{11}.$$

Substituting (3.3) and (3.4) into (3.2), we obtain

$$R_{11} \geq \frac{n-1}{\alpha+1}(1-\alpha\theta)\bar{\lambda}.$$

Since the orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is arbitrary, we get the desired Ricci lower bound.

(2). Extend $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in part (1) and to $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^N$, an orthonormal basis of $S_0^2(V)$. By reordering φ_i for $n+1 \leq i \leq N$, we may assume that

$$\mathring{R}(\varphi_{n+1}, \varphi_{n+1}) \leq \cdots \leq \mathring{R}(\varphi_N, \varphi_N).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.9 that we have for $\alpha \in [n, N]$,

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{i=n+1}^{[\alpha]} \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + (\alpha - [\alpha])\mathring{R}(\varphi_{[\alpha]+1}, \varphi_{[\alpha]+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha-n}{N-n} \sum_{i=n+1}^N \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i).$$

Here and in the rest of this paper, we use the convention that $\sum_{i=a}^b = 0$ whenever $a > b$.

Using $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ with $\alpha \in [n, N)$ and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\alpha\theta\bar{\lambda} &\leq \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\alpha \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{[\alpha]} \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + (\alpha - [\alpha])\mathring{R}(\varphi_{[\alpha]+1}, \varphi_{[\alpha]+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \frac{\alpha - n}{N - n} \sum_{i=n+1}^N \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3), (3.4), and

$$\sum_{i=n+1}^N \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) = N\bar{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i),$$

we deduce that

$$\frac{(n+1)(N-\alpha)}{(n-1)(N-n)} R_{11} \geq (1-\alpha\theta)\bar{\lambda} - \frac{\alpha-n}{N-n}(N+1)\bar{\lambda}.$$

It follows that

$$R_{11} \geq (n-1) \frac{n^2 - n(\alpha\theta + \alpha - 1) + 2(\alpha\theta - 1)}{n^2 + n - 2(\alpha + 1)} \bar{\lambda}.$$

The Ricci lower bound follows immediately as the orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is arbitrary.

This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 3.1. The idea of the above proof is to use $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ as a model space. If e_1 is in the tangent space of the \mathbb{S}^1 factor, then the chosen $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are the eigentensor of \mathring{R} on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

Next, we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. (1). By Proposition 1.4, if (M, g) satisfies $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{3,\alpha})$, then (M, g) has positive Ricci curvature. By Hamilton's famous work [Ham82], we conclude that M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.

(2). By Proposition 1.4, the assumption implies that M has nonnegative Ricci curvature. The classification then follows from Hamilton's classification of closed three-manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature in [Ham82, Ham86]. \square

Finally, we remark that, by Proposition 1.4 and Liu's classification result [Liu13], a complete noncompact three-manifold satisfying $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{3,\alpha})$ is either diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 or its universal cover is isometric to $N^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, where N^2 is a complete surface with nonnegative scalar curvature.

4. ISOTROPIC CURVATURE

In this section, we explore the implication of $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ on the isotropic curvatures in dimension four. We first recall the definition of isotropic curvature.

Definition 4.1. Let (V, g) be a Euclidean vector space of dimension $n \geq 4$. $R \in S_B^2(\wedge^2 V)$ is said to have nonnegative isotropic curvature if

$$R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424} - 2R_{1234} \geq 0$$

for any orthonormal four-frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \subset V$. If the strict inequality holds, then R is said to have positive isotropic curvature.

The main result of this section states

Proposition 4.2. *Let (V, g) be a Euclidean vector space of dimension 4. Let $R \in S_B^2(\wedge^2 V)$ and denote by \mathring{R} its induced curvature operator of the second kind.*

- (1) *If $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, 1)$ with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$ or $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, 9\alpha^{-1} - 2)$ with $3 \leq \alpha < 9$, then R has nonnegative isotropic curvature.*
- (2) *If $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, 1)$ with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$ or $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, 9\alpha^{-1} - 2)$ with $3 \leq \alpha < 9$, then R has positive isotropic curvature.*

Proof. (1). Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be an orthonormal basis of V . Define traceless symmetric two-tensors

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(e_1 \odot e_1 + e_2 \odot e_2 - e_3 \odot e_3 - e_4 \odot e_4), \\ \varphi_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(e_1 \odot e_4 - e_2 \odot e_3), \\ \varphi_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(e_1 \odot e_3 + e_2 \odot e_4). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^3$ form an orthonormal subset of $S_0^2(V)$. A straightforward computation using (2.1) produces

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathring{R}(\varphi_1, \varphi_1) &= -R_{1212} - R_{3434} + R_{1313} + R_{2424} + R_{1414} + R_{2323}, \\ 2\mathring{R}(\varphi_2, \varphi_2) &= R_{1414} + R_{2323} - 2R_{1234} + 2R_{1342}, \\ 2\mathring{R}(\varphi_3, \varphi_3) &= R_{1313} + R_{2424} - 2R_{1234} + 2R_{1423}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with the first Bianchi identity, we get

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) = R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424} - \frac{1}{2}(R_{1212} + R_{3434}) - 3R_{1234}.$$

If $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, 1)$ with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$, then Lemma 2.9 implies

$$(4.2) \quad -\bar{\lambda} \leq \alpha^{-1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{3}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i).$$

Note that in dimension four, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \bar{\lambda} = \frac{S}{12} = \frac{1}{6}(R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424} + R_{1212} + R_{3434}).$$

Substituting (4.1) and (4.3) into (4.2) produces

$$(4.4) \quad R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424} - 2R_{1234} \geq 0.$$

Since the orthonormal four-frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ is arbitrary, we conclude that R has nonnegative isotropic curvature.

To handle the case $3 \leq \alpha < 9$, we extend $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^3$ to an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^9$ of $S_0^2(V)$, and reorder φ_i for $4 \leq i \leq 9$ such that

$$\mathring{R}(\varphi_4, \varphi_4) \leq \cdots \leq \mathring{R}(\varphi_9, \varphi_9).$$

By Lemma 2.9, this ordering implies for $3 \leq \alpha < 9$,

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{i=4}^{[\alpha]} \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + (\alpha - [\alpha]) \mathring{R}(\varphi_{[\alpha]+1}, \varphi_{[\alpha]+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha - 3}{6} \sum_{i=4}^9 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i).$$

If $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, 9\alpha^{-1} - 2)$ with $3 \leq \alpha < 9$, then

$$\begin{aligned} -(9 - 2\alpha)\bar{\lambda} &\leq \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\alpha \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{[\alpha]} \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + (\alpha - [\alpha]) \mathring{R}(\varphi_{[\alpha]+1}, \varphi_{[\alpha]+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \frac{\alpha - 3}{6} \sum_{i=4}^9 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) \\ &= \frac{9 - \alpha}{6} \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \frac{3(\alpha - 3)}{2} \bar{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4.5) and

$$\sum_{i=1}^9 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) = 9\bar{\lambda}.$$

The inequality simplifies as

$$-3\bar{\lambda} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i),$$

which, after substituting into (4.1) and (4.3), yields (4.4). Since the orthonormal four-frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ is arbitrary, this proves that R has nonnegative isotropic curvature.

(2). This is similar to (1). If $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \theta)$, then some of the inequalities become strict and we obtain strict inequality in (4.4), proving that R has positive isotropic curvature \square

Remark 4.1. The above proof uses $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ as a model space. For a suitably chosen orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, $\text{span}\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3\}$ is the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue -2 of \mathring{R} on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ and its orthogonal complement is the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue 4 . See [BK78] or [Li23a].

Next, we prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. (1). By Proposition 1.4, (M, g) has positive Ricci curvature. Note that $\Theta_{4,\alpha} \leq 1$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$ and $\Theta_{4,\alpha} \leq 9\alpha^{-1} - 2$ for $3 \leq \alpha < 9$. By Proposition 2.8, we have $\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \Theta_{4,\alpha}) \subset \mathcal{C}(\alpha, 1)$ if $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$ and $\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \Theta_{4,\alpha}) \subset \mathcal{C}(\alpha, 9\alpha^{-1} - 2)$ if $3 \leq \alpha < 9$. Proposition 4.2 then implies that (M, g) has positive isotropic curvature. The work of Hamilton [Ham97] implies that M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.

(2). Similar to in part (1), we use Proposition 1.4 and Proposition 4.2 to get that (M, g) has nonnegative Ricci curvature and nonnegative isotropic curvature. Denote by $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ the universal cover of (M, g) . By the Cheeger-Gromoll theorem (see [Pet16, Theorem 7.3.11]), $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ splits isometrically as a product $(N^{4-k}, g_N) \times \mathbb{R}^k$, where N^{4-k} is a closed manifold. Note that $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ is flat if $k = 3$ or 4.

We show that $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ is flat if $k = 2$. More generally, we prove that if $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ splits isometrically as the product of $(N_1, g_1) \times (N_2, g_2)$ with $\dim(N_1) = \dim(N_2) = 2$, then $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ must be flat. According to [Li22b, Proposition 2.1], the eigenvalues of \mathring{R} on $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}) = (N_1, g_1) \times (N_2, g_2)$ are given by

$$\left\{ -\frac{S_1+S_2}{4}, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{S_1}{2}, \frac{S_1}{2}, \frac{S_2}{2}, \frac{S_2}{2} \right\},$$

where S_i denotes the scalar curvature of N_i for $i = 1, 2$. Since $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ has nonnegative Ricci curvature, we infer that $S_1 \geq 0$ and $S_2 \geq 0$. The condition $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{4,\alpha})$ implies

$$-\alpha \bar{\Theta}_{4,\alpha} \bar{\lambda} \leq \begin{cases} -\frac{S_1+S_2}{4}, & 1 \leq \alpha \leq 5; \\ -\frac{S_1+S_2}{4} + \frac{(\alpha-5)}{2} \min\{S_1, S_2\}, & 5 \leq \alpha \leq 7; \\ -\frac{S_1+S_2}{4} + \min\{S_1, S_2\} + \frac{(\alpha-7)}{2} \max\{S_1, S_2\}, & 7 \leq \alpha < 9. \end{cases}$$

In view of $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{S_1+S_2}{12}$, one deduces from the above inequality that $S_1 = S_2 = 0$. Therefore, $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ is flat.

Next, we examine the case $k = 1$. By [Li22b, Proposition 2.1], the eigenvalues of \mathring{R} on $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}) = (N^3, g_N) \times \mathbb{R}$ are given by

$$\left\{ -\frac{S_N}{12}, 0, 0, 0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5 \right\}$$

where S_N denotes the scalar curvature of N and $\mu_1 \leq \dots \leq \mu_5$ denote the eigenvalues of the curvature operator of the second kind of N . Note that (N, g_N) is locally irreducible, as it cannot split out another factor of \mathbb{R} . Hence, (N, g_N) is locally irreducible, simply connected, and has nonnegative Ricci curvature. By [Ham86], N is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^3 . Thus, $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ is either flat or diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$.

For $k = 1$ and $4 < \alpha < 9$, we can further conclude that (N, g_N) has constant positive sectional curvature. Noticing $\alpha \bar{\Theta}_{4,\alpha} = 9 - 2\alpha$, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{S_N}{12}$, and

$$(4.6) \quad \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_{\alpha-4} \leq \frac{\alpha-4}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 \mu_i = \frac{\alpha-4}{5} \left(9\bar{\lambda} + \frac{S_N}{12} \right),$$

we deduce from $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \bar{\Theta}_{4,\alpha})$ that

$$0 \leq (9 - 2\alpha) \frac{S_N}{12} - \frac{S_N}{12} + \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_{\alpha-4} \leq 0.$$

Therefore, (4.6) attains equality, which happens only when $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_5$. Hence, (N, g) has pointwise constant sectional curvature. By Schur's lemma, (N, g) is isometric to \mathbb{S}^3 with constant positive sectional curvature

At last, we investigate the case $k = 0$. Note that $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}) = (N^4, g_N)$ is closed, simply connected, irreducible unless it is flat, and has nonnegative isotropic curvature. By [Bre10, Theorem 9.30], $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ is either homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^4 , or Kähler and biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, or isometric to a symmetric space (either \mathbb{S}^4 or $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$). In the first case, the homeomorphism can be upgraded to diffeomorphism using

Hamilton’s work [Ham97] while in the Kähler case, it must be flat if $1 \leq \alpha \leq 4$ and isometric to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ if $4 \leq \alpha < 9$ by Theorem 1.9.

In summary, we have proved that \widetilde{M} is either flat, or diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^4 , or diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ (isometric if $4 < \alpha < 9$), or isometric to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ if $4 \leq \alpha < 9$. \square

By Proposition 4.2 and the classification of closed four-manifolds with positive isotropic curvature (see [Ham97], [CZ06], and [CTZ12]), we get

Theorem 4.3. *Let (M^4, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension four satisfying $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{C}(\alpha, 1)$ with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$ or $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{C}(\alpha, 9\alpha^{-1} - 2)$ with $3 \leq \alpha < 9$. Then M has positive isotropic curvature and M is diffeomorphic to a \mathbb{S}^4 , $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^4$, $(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R})/G$, or a connected sum of them, where G is a co-compact fixed-point-free discrete isometric subgroup of the standard $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$.*

5. HOMOLOGICAL SPHERE THEOREMS

In this section, we use the Bochner technique to prove Theorem 1.6, Theorem 1.7, and Theorem 1.8.

Recall that a harmonic p -form ω on (M, g) satisfies the Bochner formula

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta|\omega|^2 = |\nabla\omega|^2 + g(\text{Ric}_L(\omega), \omega),$$

where the curvature term $g(\text{Ric}_L(\omega), \omega)$, as discovered by Nienhaus, Petersen, and Wink [NPW23], satisfies

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{3}{2}g(\text{Ric}_L(\omega), \omega) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \lambda_\alpha |S_\alpha \omega|^2 + \frac{p(n-2p)}{n} \text{Ric}(\omega, \omega) + \frac{p^2}{n^2} S|\omega|^2.$$

Here $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_N$ are the eigenvalues of \mathring{R} , $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^N$ are the associated eigentensors, and

$$\text{Ric}(\omega, \omega) = \sum_{j,k} \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_n} R_{jk} \omega_{j i_2 \dots i_n} \omega_{k i_2 \dots i_n}.$$

The action of S_α on ω is given by (see [NPW23, Definition 1.3])

$$(S_\alpha \omega)(X_1, \dots, X_p) = \sum_{k=1}^p \omega(X_1, \dots, S_\alpha X_k, \dots, X_p).$$

The key of the Bochner technique is to show the nonnegativity of $g(\text{Ric}_L(\omega), \omega)$ under appropriate curvature conditions and then apply the maximum principle to (5.1). It is easy to see that $\mathring{R} \geq 0$ implies the nonnegativity of each term on the right-hand side of (5.2). Below we will use the weight principle [NPW23, Theorem 3.6] and lower estimates on $\text{Ric}(\omega, \omega)$ to show that $g(\text{Ric}_L(\omega), \omega)$ is nonnegative under much weaker curvature conditions.

We begin with the Einstein case and prove Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Passing to the orientation double cover if necessary, we may assume that (M, g) is oriented. By Poincaré duality, we may assume $1 \leq p \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

Using the Einstein condition $\text{Ric} = \frac{S}{n}g$, the identity $S = n(n-1)\bar{\lambda}$, and the identity ([NPW23, Lemma 3.7, part (a)])

$$(5.3) \quad |\omega|^2 = \frac{2n}{p(n-p)(n+2)} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N |S_\alpha \omega|^2,$$

we obtain that

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{3}{2}g(\text{Ric}_L(\omega), \omega) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \left(\lambda_\alpha + \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2}\bar{\lambda} \right) |S_\alpha \omega|^2.$$

By [NPW23, Lemma 3.7, part (b)] and the weight principle [NPW23, Theorem 3.6], we conclude that if the operator $\mathring{R} + \beta\lambda \text{id}$ is $\frac{n+2}{2}$ -nonnegative, then

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\lambda_\alpha + \beta\bar{\lambda}) |S^\alpha \omega|^2 \geq 0.$$

Below we prove part (3) first and then part (1) and part (2).

(3). Note that $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C} \left(\frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2} \right)$ if and only if the operator $\mathring{R} + \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2}\bar{\lambda} \text{id}$ is $\frac{n+2}{2}$ -nonnegative. Therefore,

$$\frac{3}{2}g(\text{Ric}_L(\omega), \omega) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \left(\lambda_\alpha + \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2}\bar{\lambda} \right) |S^\alpha \omega|^2 \geq 0.$$

Applying the maximum principle to (5.1) yields that ω must be parallel.

(1). By (3), ω must be parallel. It follows from (5.1) and (5.4) that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \left(\lambda_\alpha + \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2}\bar{\lambda} \right) |S^\alpha \omega|^2 = 0.$$

If \mathring{R} lies in the interior of $\mathcal{C} \left(\frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2} \right)$ and ω does not vanish, then the left-hand side becomes strictly positive, yielding a contradiction. Thus, $\omega \equiv 0$.

(2). By (3), ω must be parallel and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \left(\lambda_\alpha + \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2}\bar{\lambda} \right) |S^\alpha \omega|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\lambda_\alpha + \theta\bar{\lambda}) |S^\alpha \omega|^2 + \left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n+2} - \theta \right) \bar{\lambda} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N |S^\alpha \omega|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is nonnegative by $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C} \left(\frac{n+2}{2}, \theta \right)$ and the second term is nonnegative by $\bar{\lambda} \geq 0$ and $\theta < \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2}$. It follows that both terms are equal to zero on M and at every point in M we have either $\bar{\lambda} = 0$ or $\omega = 0$. If $\bar{\lambda} = 0$ at a point, then M is scalar flat everywhere and hence flat by Proposition 2.7. Otherwise, we have $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ everywhere and $\omega \equiv 0$. Hence, (M, g) is either flat or a rational homology sphere. \square

Next, we turn to the proof of Theorem 1.7. Without the Einstein condition, we need to estimate the term $\text{Ric}(\omega, \omega)$ from below. As observed in [NPW23], with

respect to an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ that diagonalize the Ricci tensor, we have

$$\text{Ric}(\omega, \omega) = \sum_{j,k} \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_p} R_{jk} \omega_{j i_2 \dots i_p} \omega_{j i_2 \dots i_p} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{I=(i_1, \dots, i_p)} \left(\sum_{i \in I} R_{ii} \right) \omega_I^2.$$

For this purpose, we establish a lower bound for $\sum_{i=1}^p R_{ii}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $R \in S_B^2(\wedge^2 V)$ and denote by \mathring{R} its induced curvature operator of the second kind. If $1 \leq p \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{(n-1)p}{2}, \theta\right)$, then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^p R_{ii} \geq \frac{(n-1)p}{n-p+2} (1 - (n-p+1)\theta) \bar{\lambda}$$

for any orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of V .

Proof. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis of V . Define traceless symmetric two-tensors

$$\varphi_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_i \odot e_j, \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

and

$$\psi_k = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{(n-k)(n-k+1)}} \left((n-k) e_k \odot e_k - \sum_{l=k+1}^n e_l \odot e_l \right),$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then $\{\varphi_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \cup \{\psi_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n-1}$ form an orthonormal basis of $S_0^2(V)$. For simplicity of notation, we set

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &:= \mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}, \varphi_{ij}), \\ b_k &:= \mathring{R}(\psi_k, \psi_k), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q := 2(n-p+1) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + (n-p) \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=p+1}^n a_{ij} + (n-p) \sum_{k=1}^p b_k.$$

It was observed in [NPW23, page 23] that

$$(5.5) \quad Q = (n-p+2) \sum_{i=1}^p R_{ii} - (n-1)p\bar{\lambda}.$$

Therefore, we need to bound Q from below.

Noticing

$$(n-p-1) \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=p+1}^n a_{ij} = \sum_{l=p+1}^n \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{\substack{p+1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq l}} a_{ij},$$

we arrange that when p is even,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= \sum_{l=p+1}^n \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p}{2}} b_k + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p}{2}} \sum_{\substack{p+1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq l}} a_{ij} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{l=p+1}^n \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + \sum_{k=\frac{p}{2}+1}^p b_k + \sum_{i=\frac{p}{2}+1}^p \sum_{\substack{p+1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq l}} a_{ij} \right) \\
&+ \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p}{2}} \sum_{p+1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij} \right) \\
&+ \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + \sum_{i=\frac{p}{2}+1}^p \sum_{p+1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above arrangement, each bracket contains the sum of $\frac{(n-1)p}{2}$ many terms and the involved symmetric two-tensors are orthonormal. Therefore, each bracket is bounded from below by $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{\frac{(n-1)p}{2}}$ and we get

$$(5.6) \quad Q \geq 2(n-p+1) \left(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{\frac{(n-1)p}{2}} \right).$$

Next, we show that such an arrangement can also be made when p is odd. Suppose both p and n are odd. Let E_1 and E_2 be a partition of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ with $|E_1| = \frac{p+1}{2}$ and $|E_2| = \frac{p-1}{2}$. For each $p+1 \leq l \leq n$, let F_{1l} and F_{2l} be a partition of the set

$$\{(i, j) : 1 \leq i \leq p, p+1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq l\}$$

with $|F_{1l}| = \frac{p(n-p-1)-1}{2}$ and $|F_{2l}| = \frac{p(n-p-1)+1}{2}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= \sum_{l=p+1}^n \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + \sum_{k \in E_1} b_k + \sum_{(i,j) \in F_{1l}} a_{ij} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{l=p+1}^n \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + \sum_{k \in E_2} b_k + \sum_{(i,j) \in F_{2l}} a_{ij} \right) \\
&+ \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=p+1}^{\frac{n+p}{2}+1} a_{ij} \right) \\
&+ \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=\frac{n+p}{2}+2}^n a_{ij} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that (5.6) holds in this case.

Suppose p is odd and n is even. Note that $\frac{(n-1)p}{2} = [\frac{(n-1)p}{2}] + \frac{1}{2}$. We can arrange the terms in Q as

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \sum_{l=p+1}^n \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} b_k + \sum_{(i,j) \in G_{1l}} a_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} b_p \right) \\ &+ \sum_{l=p+1}^n \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + \sum_{k=\frac{p+1}{2}}^{p-1} b_k + \sum_{(i,j) \in G_{2l}} a_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} b_p \right) \\ &+ \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=p+1}^{\frac{n+p-1}{2}} a_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} a_{pn} \right) \\ &+ \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} a_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=\frac{n+p+1}{2}}^{n-1} a_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} a_{pn} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where G_{1l} and G_{2l} is a partition of the set

$$\{(i, j) | 1 \leq i \leq p, p+1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq l\}$$

with $|G_{1l}| = |G_{2l}| = \frac{p(n-p-1)}{2}$. This proves (5.6) in this case.

Using $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{(n-1)p}{2}, \theta\right)$ and (5.6), we obtain

$$Q \geq -(n-1)p(n-p+1)\theta\bar{\lambda}.$$

By (5.5), we then infer that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n R_{ii} \geq \frac{(n-1)p}{n-p+2} (1 - (n-p+1)\theta)\bar{\lambda}.$$

Since the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is arbitrary, this proves Proposition 5.1. \square

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Since $\frac{(n-1)p}{2} > \frac{n+2}{2}$ for $p \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}\left(\frac{n+2}{2}, A_{n,p}\right) \subset \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{(n-1)p}{2}, A_{n,p}\right).$$

By Proposition (5.1), $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{n+2}{2}, A_{n,p}\right)$ implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^n R_{ii} \geq \frac{(n-1)p}{n-p+2} (1 - (n-p+1)A_{n,p})\bar{\lambda}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p^2}{n^2} S|\omega|^2 + \frac{p(n-2p)}{n} \operatorname{Ric}(\omega, \omega) \\
&= \frac{p^2(n-1)}{n} \bar{\lambda} |\omega|^2 + \frac{(n-2p)}{n} \sum_{I=(i_1 \dots i_p)} \left(\sum_{i \in I} R_{ii} \right) \omega_I^2 \\
&\geq \frac{p^2(n-1)}{n} \bar{\lambda} |\omega|^2 + \frac{(n-2p)(n-1)p}{n(n-p+2)} (1 - (n-p+1)A_{n,p}) \bar{\lambda} |\omega|^2 \\
&= \frac{2(n-1)\bar{\lambda}}{(n-p)(n+2)} \left(p + \frac{(n-2p)}{(n-p+2)} (1 - (n-p+1)A_{n,p}) \right) \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |S^\alpha w|^2 \\
&= A_{n,p} \bar{\lambda} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |S^\alpha w|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.3) and (1.3) in getting the last two steps, respectively. By (5.2), we have

$$\frac{3}{2} g(\operatorname{Ric}_L(\omega), \omega) \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_\alpha + A_{n,p} \bar{\lambda}) |S^\alpha w|^2$$

(3). If $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\frac{n+2}{2}, A_{n,p})$, then the operator $\mathring{R} + A_{n,p} \bar{\lambda}$ is $\frac{n+2}{2}$ -nonnegative. The weight principle in [NPW23, Theorem 3.6] then implies that

$$\frac{3}{2} g(\operatorname{Ric}_L(\omega), \omega) \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_\alpha + A_{n,p} \bar{\lambda}) |S^\alpha w|^2 \geq 0.$$

The result then follows from the maximum principle.

- (1). This can be proved similarly as in the proof of part (1) of Theorem 1.8.
- (2). By (3), ω is parallel and

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{3}{2} g(\operatorname{Ric}_L(\omega), \omega) \\
&\geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_\alpha + A_{n,p} \bar{\lambda}) |S^\alpha w|^2 \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_\alpha + \theta \bar{\lambda}) |S^\alpha w|^2 + (A_{n,p} - \theta) \bar{\lambda} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |S^\alpha w|^2 \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\frac{n+2}{2}, \theta)$ and $\theta < A_{n,p}$. Note that $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\frac{n+2}{2}, \theta)$ implies that either $\mathring{R} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\frac{n+2}{2}, A_{n,p})$ or $\bar{\lambda} = 0$. If there exist $p \in M$ such that $\bar{\lambda}(p) > 0$, then $\mathring{R}_p \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\frac{n+2}{2}, A_{n,p})$. Then $\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_\alpha + \theta \bar{\lambda}) |S^\alpha w|^2 = 0$ at p implies $\omega(p) = 0$. Since $|\omega|$ is a constant, we conclude that $\omega \equiv 0$ on M . Otherwise, (M, g) is scalar flat and hence flat by Proposition 2.7.

Therefore, either (M, g) is flat or $b_p(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$. \square

Finally, we prove the homological sphere theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Note that for $2 \leq p \leq \frac{n}{2}$, $A_{n,p}$ increases as p increases. Therefore,

$$A_{n,p} \geq A_{n,2} = \frac{2(n-1)(3n-4)}{3n^3 - 12n^2 + 14n - 8} > \frac{2}{n+2},$$

where the last inequality holds for any n . If $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{2}{n+2}\right)$, then $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{n+2}{2}, \theta\right)$ with $\theta < A_{n,p}$. By Theorem 1.7, we have that either (M, g) is flat or $b_p(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ for all $2 \leq p \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

By Proposition 3.1, $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{n+2}{2}, \theta\right)$ for some $\theta < \frac{n+2}{2}$ implies $\text{Ric} \geq \delta \bar{\lambda} g \geq 0$, where $\delta = \frac{n-1}{n+4}(2 - (n+2)\theta) > 0$. If there exists $p \in M$ such that $\bar{\lambda}(p) > 0$, then the Ricci curvature is positive at p and we conclude $b_1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ (see [Li12, Theorem 3.5]). Thus, all the Betti numbers vanish and (M, g) is a rational homology sphere. Otherwise, $\bar{\lambda} \equiv 0$ on M and (M, g) is flat by Proposition 2.7. Hence, (M, g) is either flat or a rational homology sphere. \square

6. KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.9. We recall some observations and identities needed in the proof and refer the reader to [Li23a] for a more detailed account.

Let (V, g, J) be a complex Euclidean vector space of complex dimension $m \geq 2$, where J is the complex structure. As observed in [Li23a], $S_0^2(V)$ admits the decomposition

$$S_0^2(V) = E^+ \oplus E^-,$$

where

$$E^+ = \text{span}\{u \odot v - Ju \odot Jv : u, v \in V\}$$

and $E^- = (E^+)^\perp$. It was shown in [BK78] that, on $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m, g_{FS})$, E^+ is the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue 4 of the curvature operator of the second kind while E^- is the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue -2 . Note that $\dim(E^-) = m^2 - 1$ and $\dim(E^+) = m(m+1)$.

As constructed in [Li23a], if $\{e_1, \dots, e_m, Je_1, \dots, Je_m\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V , then E^- has an orthonormal basis given by

$$\{\varphi_{ij}^-\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \cup \{\psi_{ij}^-\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \cup \{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^{m-1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{ij}^- &= \frac{1}{2}(e_i \odot e_j + Je_i \odot Je_j), \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq m, \\ \psi_{ij}^- &= \frac{1}{2}(e_i \odot Je_j - Je_i \odot e_j), \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq m, \\ \eta_k &= \frac{k}{\sqrt{8k(k+1)}}(e_{k+1} \odot e_{k+1} + Je_{k+1} \odot Je_{k+1}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{8k(k+1)}} \sum_{i=1}^k (e_i \odot e_i + Je_i \odot Je_i), \\ &\quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m-1, \end{aligned}$$

and E^+ has an orthonormal basis given by

$$\{\varphi_{ij}^+\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \cup \{\psi_{ij}^+\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \cup \{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^{2m},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{ij}^+ &= \frac{1}{2}(e_i \odot e_j - Je_i \odot Je_j), \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq m, \\ \psi_{ij}^+ &= \frac{1}{2}(e_i \odot Je_j + Je_i \odot e_j), \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq m, \\ \theta_i &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(e_i \odot e_i - Je_i \odot Je_i), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ \theta_{m+i} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_i \odot Je_i, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m.\end{aligned}$$

It was calculated in [Li23a] that

$$(6.1) \quad \mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}^-, \varphi_{ij}^-) + \mathring{R}(\psi_{ij}^-, \psi_{ij}^-) = -2R(e_i, Je_i, e_j, Je_j),$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, and

$$(6.2) \quad \mathring{R}(\theta_i, \theta_i) = \mathring{R}(\theta_{m+i}, \theta_{m+i}) = R(e_i, Je_i, e_i, Je_i),$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Moreover, we have (see [Li23a, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4]) that

$$(6.3) \quad 2m(2m-1)\bar{\lambda} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(\mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}^+, \varphi_{ij}^+) + \mathring{R}(\psi_{ij}^+, \psi_{ij}^+) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \mathring{R}(\theta_i, \theta_i)$$

and

$$(6.4) \quad -(m-1)(2m-1)\bar{\lambda} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(\mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}^-, \varphi_{ij}^-) + \mathring{R}(\psi_{ij}^-, \psi_{ij}^-) \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathring{R}(\eta_k, \eta_k).$$

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.9.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. (1). We will only prove the statement for $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, B_{m,\alpha})$, as the case $-\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, B_{m,\alpha})$ differs only by flipping signs. Fix $p \in M$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_m, Je_1, \dots, Je_m\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $V = T_p M$.

Case 1: $1 \leq \alpha < m^2 - 1$. The assumption $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, B_{m,\alpha})$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}(6.5) \quad & -\alpha B_{m,\alpha} \bar{\lambda} \\ & \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\alpha \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{m^2 - 1} (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{m^2-1}) \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{m^2 - 1} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(\mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}^-, \varphi_{ij}^-) + \mathring{R}(\psi_{ij}^-, \psi_{ij}^-) \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathring{R}(\eta_k, \eta_k) \right) \\ & = -\alpha B_{m,\alpha} \bar{\lambda},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (6.4) in the last step. Therefore, we must have equality in each inequality of (6.5). It follows that

$$\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{m^2-1} = -\frac{2m-1}{m+1} \bar{\lambda}.$$

and E^- is a subspace of the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue $-\frac{2m-1}{m+1} \bar{\lambda}$ of \mathring{R} . Below we will show that this information is sufficient to derive constant holomorphic sectional curvature p .

By (6.1), we have for any $1 \leq i < j \leq m$,

$$(6.6) \quad R(e_i, Je_i, e_j, Je_j) = \frac{2m-1}{m+1} \bar{\lambda}.$$

Note that for any $1 \leq i < j \leq m$,

$$\xi := \frac{1}{4}(e_i \odot e_i + Je_i \odot Je_i - e_j \odot e_j - Je_j \odot Je_j)$$

is a traceless symmetric two-tensor in E^- with $|\xi| = 1$. Therefore, we have

$$(6.7) \quad \mathring{R}(\xi, \xi) = -\frac{2m-1}{m+1}\bar{\lambda}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows

$$(6.8) \quad \mathring{R}(\xi, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2}R(e_i, Je_i, e_i, Je_i) - \frac{1}{2}R(e_j, Je_j, e_j, Je_j) + R(e_i, Je_i, e_j, Je_j),$$

Combining (6.6), (6.7), and (6.8), we arrive at

$$R(e_i, Je_i, e_i, Je_i) + R(e_j, Je_j, e_j, Je_j) = 4\frac{2m-1}{m+1}\bar{\lambda}$$

for any $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. It follows that

$$R(e_i, Je_i, e_i, Je_i) = 2\frac{2m-1}{m+1}\bar{\lambda}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since the orthonormal basis is arbitrary, this shows that M has constant nonnegative holomorphic sectional curvature at p .

Case 2: $m^2 - 1 < \alpha < (2m-1)(m+1)$. Let A be the collection of the values $\mathring{R}(\theta_i, \theta_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2m$, and $\mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}^+, \varphi_{ij}^+)$ and $\mathring{R}(\psi_{ij}^+, \psi_{ij}^+)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. By (6.3), \bar{a} , the average of all values in A , is given by

$$\bar{a} = \frac{2(2m-1)}{m+1}\bar{\lambda}.$$

By Lemma 2.9, we have

$$(6.9) \quad f(A, (\alpha - m^2 + 1)) \leq (\alpha - m^2 + 1)\bar{a},$$

where f is the function defined in Lemma 2.9. The condition $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, B_{m,\alpha})$ implies

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\alpha B_{m,\alpha}\bar{\lambda} \\ & \leq \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\alpha \\ & \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(\mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}^-, \varphi_{ij}^-) + \mathring{R}(\psi_{ij}^-, \psi_{ij}^-) \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathring{R}(\eta_k, \eta_k) \\ & \quad + f(A, (\alpha - m^2 + 1)) \\ & \leq -(m-1)(2m-1)\bar{\lambda} + (\alpha - m^2 + 1)\bar{a} \\ & = -\alpha B_{m,\alpha}\bar{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (6.4) and (6.9). It follows that we must have equality in each inequality of (6.10). In particular, we have equality in (6.9), which happens only when all the values in A are equal to \bar{a} . By (6.2), we have

$$R(e_i, Je_i, e_i, Je_i) = \mathring{R}(\theta_i, \theta_i) = 2\frac{2m-1}{m+1}\bar{\lambda}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since the orthonormal basis is arbitrary, we have proved that (M, g) has constant nonnegative holomorphic sectional curvature at p .

Finally, the conclusion that (M, g) has constant nonnegative holomorphic sectional curvature follows from Schur's lemma for Kähler manifolds (see for instance [KN69, Theorem 7.5]).

(2). If we assume $\mathring{R} \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$, then (6.5) and (6.10) in the proof of part (1) would become

$$-\alpha\theta\bar{\lambda} \leq -\alpha B_{m,\alpha}\bar{\lambda}.$$

If $\theta < B_{m,\alpha}$, then $\bar{\lambda} = 0$. By Proposition 2.7, (M, g) must be flat. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Prof. Matt Gursky for helpful discussions that initiated the consideration of the cones $\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \theta)$ in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [BE69] M. Berger and D. Ebin. Some decompositions of the space of symmetric tensors on a Riemannian manifold. *J. Differential Geometry*, 3:379–392, 1969.
- [Ber60] M. Berger. Les variétés Riemanniennes (1/4)-pincées. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)*, 14:161–170, 1960.
- [Bes08] Arthur L. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Reprint of the 1987 edition.
- [BK78] Jean-Pierre Bourguignon and Hermann Karcher. Curvature operators: pinching estimates and geometric examples. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 11(1):71–92, 1978.
- [Bor60] Armand Borel. On the curvature tensor of the Hermitian symmetric manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 71:508–521, 1960.
- [Bre08] Simon Brendle. A general convergence result for the Ricci flow in higher dimensions. *Duke Math. J.*, 145(3):585–601, 2008.
- [Bre10] Simon Brendle. *Ricci flow and the sphere theorem*, volume 111 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [BS08] Simon Brendle and Richard M. Schoen. Classification of manifolds with weakly 1/4-pinched curvatures. *Acta Math.*, 200(1):1–13, 2008.
- [BS09] Simon Brendle and Richard Schoen. Manifolds with 1/4-pinched curvature are space forms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22(1):287–307, 2009.
- [BS11] Simon Brendle and Richard Schoen. Curvature, sphere theorems, and the Ricci flow. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 48(1):1–32, 2011.
- [BW08] Christoph Böhm and Burkhard Wilking. Manifolds with positive curvature operators are space forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 167(3):1079–1097, 2008.
- [CGT23] Xiaodong Cao, Matthew Gursky, and Hung Tran. Curvature of the second kind and a conjecture of Nishikawa. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 98(1):195–216, 2023.
- [Che91] Haiwen Chen. Pointwise $\frac{1}{4}$ -pinched 4-manifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 9(2):161–176, 1991.
- [CTZ12] Bing-Long Chen, Siu-Hung Tang, and Xi-Ping Zhu. Complete classification of compact four-manifolds with positive isotropic curvature. *J. Differential Geom.*, 91(1):41–80, 2012.
- [CV60] Eugenio Calabi and Edoardo Vesentini. On compact, locally symmetric Kähler manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 71:472–507, 1960.
- [CZ06] Bing-Long Chen and Xi-Ping Zhu. Ricci flow with surgery on four-manifolds with positive isotropic curvature. *J. Differential Geom.*, 74(2):177–264, 2006.
- [DF24] Zhi-Lin Dai and Hai-Ping Fu. Einstein manifolds and curvature operator of the second kind. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 63(2):Paper No. 53, 22, 2024.
- [DFY24] Zhi-Lin Dai, Hai-Ping Fu, and Deng-Yun Yang. Manifolds with harmonic Weyl tensor and nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 195:Paper No. 105040, 2024.

- [FL24] Harry Fluck and Xiaolong Li. The curvature operator of the second kind in dimension three. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 34(6):Paper No. 187, 19, 2024.
- [GM75] S. Gallot and D. Meyer. Opérateur de courbure et laplacien des formes différentielles d'une variété riemannienne. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 54(3):259–284, 1975.
- [Ham82] Richard S. Hamilton. Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. *J. Differential Geom.*, 17(2):255–306, 1982.
- [Ham86] Richard S. Hamilton. Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator. *J. Differential Geom.*, 24(2):153–179, 1986.
- [Ham97] Richard S. Hamilton. Four-manifolds with positive isotropic curvature. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 5(1):1–92, 1997.
- [Kas93] Toyoko Kashiwada. On the curvature operator of the second kind. *Natur. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ.*, 44(2):69–73, 1993.
- [Kli61] Wilhelm Klingenberg. über Riemannsche Mannigfaltigkeiten mit positiver Krümmung. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 35:47–54, 1961.
- [KN69] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Katsumi Nomizu. *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. II*. Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 15 Vol. II. Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1969.
- [Koi79a] Norihito Koiso. A decomposition of the space \mathcal{M} of Riemannian metrics on a manifold. *Osaka Math. J.*, 16(2):423–429, 1979.
- [Koi79b] Norihito Koiso. On the second derivative of the total scalar curvature. *Osaka Math. J.*, 16(2):413–421, 1979.
- [Li12] Peter Li. *Geometric analysis*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [Li22a] Xiaolong Li. Manifolds with $4\frac{1}{2}$ -Positive Curvature Operator of the Second Kind. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 32(11):281, 2022.
- [Li22b] Xiaolong Li. Product manifolds and the curvature operator of the second kind. *arXiv:2209.02119*, 2022.
- [Li23a] Xiaolong Li. Kähler manifolds and the curvature operator of the second kind. *Math. Z.*, 303(4):101, 2023.
- [Li23b] Xiaolong Li. Kähler surfaces with six-positive curvature operator of the second kind. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 151(11):4909–4922, 2023.
- [Li24] Xiaolong Li. Manifolds with nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 26(3):Paper No. 2350003, 2024.
- [Liu13] Gang Liu. 3-manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. *Invent. Math.*, 193(2):367–375, 2013.
- [Mey71] Daniel Meyer. Sur les variétés riemanniennes à opérateur de courbure positif. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 272:A482–A485, 1971.
- [MRS20] Josef Mikeš, Vladimir Rovenski, and Sergey E. Stepanov. An example of Lichnerowicz-type Laplacian. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 58(1):19–34, 2020.
- [Nis86] Seiki Nishikawa. On deformation of Riemannian metrics and manifolds with positive curvature operator. In *Curvature and topology of Riemannian manifolds (Katata, 1985)*, volume 1201 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 202–211. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [NPW23] Jan Nienhaus, Peter Petersen, and Matthias Wink. Betti numbers and the curvature operator of the second kind. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 108(4):1642–1668, 2023.
- [NPWW23] Jan Nienhaus, Peter Petersen, Matthias Wink, and William Wylie. Holonomy restrictions from the curvature operator of the second kind. *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, 88:102010, 2023.
- [NW07] Lei Ni and Baoqiang Wu. Complete manifolds with nonnegative curvature operator. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135(9):3021–3028, 2007.
- [NW10] Lei Ni and Burkhard Wilking. Manifolds with $1/4$ -pinched flag curvature. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 20(2):571–591, 2010.
- [OT79] Koichi Ogiue and Shun-ichi Tachibana. Les variétés riemanniennes dont l'opérateur de courbure restreint est positif sont des sphères d'homologie réelle. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 289(1):A29–A30, 1979.
- [Pet16] Peter Petersen. *Riemannian geometry*, volume 171 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, third edition, 2016.
- [PW21] Peter Petersen and Matthias Wink. New curvature conditions for the Bochner Technique. *Invent. Math.*, 224(1):33–54, 2021.

- [Tac74] Shun-ichi Tachibana. A theorem of Riemannian manifolds of positive curvature operator. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 50:301–302, 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND PHYSICS, WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY, WICHITA, KS, 67260, USA

Email address: `xiaolong.li@wichita.edu`