
FOURIER'S LAW BREAKDOWN FOR THE PLANAR-ROTOR CHAIN WITH LONG-RANGE COUPLING

Henrique Santos Lima¹, Constantino Tsallis², Deniz Eroglu³ and Ugur Tirnakli⁴

ABSTRACT

The thermal conductivity, κ , of a homogeneous chain of generically-ranged interacting planar rotors, more precisely the inertial $\alpha - XY$ model, is numerically studied with the coupling constant decaying as $r^{-\alpha}$. The conductance ($\sigma \equiv \kappa/L$) is calculated for typical values of α , temperature T and lattice size L . For large L , the results can be collapsed into an universal q -stretched exponential form, namely $L^{\delta_\alpha} \sigma \propto e_{q_\alpha}^{-B_\alpha(L^{\gamma_\alpha} T)^{\eta_\alpha}}$, where $e_q^z \equiv [1 + (1 - q)z]^{1/(1-q)}$. The parameters $(\gamma_\alpha, \delta_\alpha, B_\alpha, \eta_\alpha)$ are α -dependent, and q_α is the index of the q -stretched exponential. This form is achievable due to the ratio $\eta_\alpha/(q - 1)$ being almost constant with respect to the lattice size L . Two distinct regimes are identified: for $0 \leq \alpha < 2$, the Fourier law is violated, whereas for $\alpha \geq 2$, it is satisfied. These findings provide significant insights into heat conduction mechanisms in systems with long-range interactions.

1 Introduction

The Fourier's law, which states that the heat flux within a material is proportional to the negative gradient of the temperature field, was proposed by J. Fourier in 1822 [1]. Today, it is intensively investigated as a prototype of nonequilibrium phenomena [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. For one-dimensional systems, the Fourier's law can be written as

$$J = -\kappa \frac{d\phi}{dx}, \quad (1)$$

where J is the heat flux, $d\phi/dx$ is the thermal gradient related with the temperature field $\phi = \phi(x, t)$ and κ is the thermal conductivity, assumed to be finite. The temperature T is defined as the spatial average of the temperature field in the stationary state. For instance, in a system with reservoirs R_h and R_l corresponding to the hot and cold reservoirs, respectively, the temperature is $T = \frac{\phi_{st}(0) + \phi_{st}(L)}{2}$, where $\phi_{st}(x)$ is the temperature field at the stationary state. Here, $x = 0$ corresponds to the point where the hot reservoir is located, while $x = L$ to the cold reservoir.

In principle, the thermal conductivity κ is typically a function of intensive parameters such as temperature and pressure [16]. The law of linearity between heat flux and thermal gradient, as expressed by Fourier's law, is widely validated across various three-dimensional systems and even in some low-dimensional ones [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22].

However, lattice models can also depend on extensive parameters like the lattice size L . When this dependence becomes anomalous, the thermal conduction exhibits non-standard behavior, characterized by $\kappa \sim L^\rho$, where ρ is the

¹ Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, Rio de Janeiro-RJ 22290-180, Brazil.
E-mail: hslima94@cbpf.br

² Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas and National Institute of Science and Technology of Complex Systems, Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, Rio de Janeiro-RJ 22290-180, Brazil
Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501, USA
Complexity Science Hub Vienna, Josefstädter Strasse 39, 1080 Vienna, Austria
E-mail: tsallis@cbpf.br

³ Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Kadir Has University, 34083, Istanbul, Turkey E-mail: deniz.eroglu@khas.edu.tr

⁴ Department of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Izmir University of Economics, 35330, Izmir, Turkey E-mail: ugur.tirnakli@ieu.edu.tr

lattice size exponent. Anomalous κ implies a breakdown of Fourier's law, as expressed by Eq. (1), due to divergence or vanishing of thermal conductance ($\rho > 0$ or $\rho < 0$, respectively) in the thermodynamic limit. Interestingly, thermal conductivity can also be influenced by defects, as observed in materials such as carbon nanotubes [23]. Anomalies in thermal conductivity can be seen in Refs. [16, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. Anomalous transport phenomena in lattice systems with variable size L provide valuable insights into these deviations. First-principle numerical simulations of linear chains of nearest-neighbor-coupled planar rotators have yielded significant findings regarding thermal conductivity, κ and thermal conductance, $\sigma \equiv \kappa/L$, in spin models [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36].

References [37, 38] have shown that assuming the first and last rotators are in thermal contact with Langevin heat baths at slightly different temperatures T_h and T_l , $\sigma(T, L)$ follows a q -Gaussian form, a typical function of q -statistics [39, 40, 41], namely, $e_q^{-B(L^{1/3}T)^2}$ with $B > 0$, $q \simeq 1.55$, $e_q^z \equiv [1 + (1 - q)z]^{1/(1-q)}$, and $T \equiv \frac{T_h + T_l}{2}$. For large values of $L^{1/3}T$, the thermal conductance σ behaves according to a power law, $\sigma \sim (L^{1/3}T)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}$, which definitively violating Fourier's law. This is due to κ scaling as $\kappa \propto L^{1-\frac{2}{q-1}} \sim L^{-0.21}$. At higher temperature ranges, a more general expression known as the q -stretched exponential form is considered. The thermal conductances of the one-dimensional n -vector models [42] are well fitted by the functional form:

$$\sigma(T; L) = A e_q^{-B(L^\gamma T)^n} \quad (2)$$

which has been verified that for $n = 1, 2, 3$ these models exhibit normal heat conduction [20, 21, 22].

Due to their complexity, generic-range spin models are rarely investigated in the literature regarding nonequilibrium properties [43]. The main characteristic of these models is the potential that decays as a power law with the distance between constituents. These models are known for exhibiting abnormalities such as the inequivalence between micro-canonical and canonical ensembles in terms of equilibrium properties, in the limit of very long-range interactions. For example, gravitational systems, which are common long-ranged systems, present negative specific heat and extensivity breaking with the framework of standard statistical mechanics [44, 45].

Since the standard XY -model is naturally short-ranged, it is possible to generalize this model to cover generic-range interactions, assuming the exchange coupling matrix j_{ij} is proportional to $1/r_{ij}^\alpha$ ($\alpha \geq 0$), where $r_{ij} \equiv |i - j|$ is the distance between rotators and α is the exponent that dictates the type of interaction [46, 47]. This model is called the $\alpha - XY$ model. In the $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ limit, it recovers the above-mentioned nearest-neighborhood case, while in the $\alpha = 0$ limit, it recovers the mean field model. The influence of arbitrary α in this peculiar heat transport phenomenon was explored in [43], where a special value of α was detected. Larger than this value, the Fourier's law is satisfied at high temperatures, whereas it is violated in all cases smaller than the critical value. This article numerically investigates the thermal conductivity of the classical inertial $\alpha - XY$ for various values of α , as well as determining where Fourier's law holds and where it is broken. For clarity, let us anticipate at this stage our present main conclusion, namely that the results can, in all cases, be collapsed in the following universal form: $L^{\delta_\alpha} \sigma(T, L; \alpha) = A_\alpha e_{q_\alpha}^{-B_\alpha(L^\gamma T)^{\eta_\alpha}}$, where $(\gamma_\alpha, \delta_\alpha, A_\alpha, B_\alpha)$ are α -dependent non-negative coefficients and q_α is the index of the q -stretched exponential. We also investigate the threshold where, from that, the Fourier's law holds.

2 Model

The Hamiltonian of the classical inertial one-dimensional $\alpha - XY$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{p_i^2}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\tilde{L}} \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{1 - \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j)}{r_{ij}^\alpha}, \quad (3)$$

where p_i and θ_i are the angular momenta and coordinates, respectively, and ε is the exchange coupling. The moment of inertia of the rotators is set to unity without loss of generality. The factor \tilde{L} ,

$$\tilde{L} \equiv \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ j \neq i}} r_{ij}^{-\alpha}, \quad (4)$$

is introduced to make the Hamiltonian extensive [46]. Notice that, for $\alpha = 0$, $\tilde{L} = L - 1 \sim L$, and for $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, $\tilde{L} = 2$. For the d -dimensional case, we change $\tilde{L} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$, where \tilde{N} is no longer the linear lattice size. In this notation, for $\alpha = 0$, $\tilde{N} = N - 1 \sim N$, and for $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, $\tilde{N} = 2d$, where d is the dimension of the system. The general expression for \tilde{N} is given by a relation proportional to

$$\ln_{\alpha/d} \tilde{N} \equiv \frac{N^{1-\alpha/d} - 1}{1 - \alpha/d}, \quad (5)$$

which behaves as $\tilde{N} \sim N^{1-\alpha/d}$ for $0 \leq \alpha/d < 1$, presents a logarithmic divergence as $\tilde{N} \sim \ln N$ for $\alpha/d = 1$. For $\alpha/d > 1$, \tilde{N} approaches a finite value in the thermodynamic limit. Thus, a critical value $\alpha_c/d = 1$ is identified; below this value, the system exhibits very long-range interactions, and above it, the system transitions to other types of interactions. Let us clarify that for $0 \leq \alpha/d < 1$, the system is very long-ranged, while for $1 < \alpha/d < \infty$ the system is long-ranged. The particular case of nearest-neighbors is the only one that is consistent with the definition of short-range interaction. The main explanation is because only for $\alpha/d \rightarrow \infty$ we can guarantee that all momenta of its distribution are finite, and beyond that, for all cases in which $\alpha/d < \infty$, the power law behavior of the interactions can not be neglected.

In this article, the one-dimensional system is considered, and hence, the lattice size will be denoted as L from now on. Therefore, \tilde{L} represents the adjusted notation. The equations of motion for the Hamiltonian described by Eq. (3), with the addition of a Langevin heat bath where only the first and the last particles are coupled to the heat bath at temperatures T_h and T_l ($T_h > T_l$), respectively, are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\theta}_i &= p_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, L \\ \dot{p}_1 &= -\gamma_h p_1 + F_1 + \sqrt{2\gamma_h T_h} \eta_h(t) \\ \dot{p}_i &= F_i \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, L-1 \\ \dot{p}_L &= -\gamma_l p_L + F_L + \sqrt{2\gamma_l T_l} \eta_l(t)\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where the Boltzmann constant is set to unity. The generalized force (torque) components are

$$F_i \equiv -\frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde{L}} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\sin(\theta_i - \theta_j)}{r_{ij}^\alpha}\tag{7}$$

and η_h and η_l are Gaussian white noises with the following correlations,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \eta_h(t) \eta_h(t') \rangle &= \langle \eta_l(t) \eta_l(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t') \\ \langle \eta_h(t) \eta_l(t') \rangle &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

To measure the thermal conductance, the macroscopic heat flux along the lattice, denoted as \mathcal{J} , is defined via the continuity equation for each particle $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}_i = -\sum_{j \neq i} J_{ij}$ as in [43], such that

$$J_{ij} \equiv \frac{\varepsilon}{2\tilde{L}} (p_i + p_j) \frac{\sin(\theta_i - \theta_j)}{r_{ij}^\alpha}.\tag{9}$$

The average right-hand-side flux of particle- i , denoted \mathcal{J}_i , is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}_i \equiv \left\langle \sum_{j>i} J_{ij} \right\rangle.\tag{10}$$

Note that the absolute value of the average left-hand-side flux equals the right-hand-side flux in the stationary state. Therefore, defining only one flux is sufficient for simplicity. Finally, the heat flux \mathcal{J} is expressed as the average over the bulk particles, namely $\mathcal{J} \equiv \langle \mathcal{J}_i \rangle_{\text{bulk}}$, where the bulk is defined as all particles which are not in contact with the thermal baths. Thus, the thermal conductance can be defined as:

$$\sigma = \frac{\mathcal{J}}{T_h - T_l} = \frac{\mathcal{J}}{2\Delta T} \equiv \frac{\kappa}{\tilde{L}}.\tag{11}$$

To solve the equations of motion described by Eq. (3), we utilize the Velocity Verlet algorithm [48] with a time step size of $dt = 0.01$, damping coefficients $\gamma_h = \gamma_l = 1.0$, interaction strength $\varepsilon = 2$, and temperatures $T_{h/l} = T(1 \pm \Delta)$, where $\Delta \equiv 0.125$, ensuring $T = (T_h + T_l)/2$. Initially, the coordinates and momenta are set to zero. The simulations run from $t = 0$ to the maximum time $t_{max} = 1.65 \times 10^9$ (1.65×10^{10} in the $L = 20$ cases). The heat flux is averaged over 40 experiments for $L = 20$ and 20 experiments for $L = 35, 50$, each consisting of 4×10^8 time steps.

3 Results

Fig. 1 illustrates that for $\alpha = 0$, the thermal conductance varies substantially with the lattice size, and it decreases as α increases (notice that we use a different scale for $\alpha = 0$). For $\alpha \geq 2$, the low-temperature regime of the thermal




Figure 1: The plot of thermal conductance versus T for $\alpha = 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5$ in log-log scale, for $L = 20$ (red), $L = 35$ (blue), and $L = 50$ (green).

conductance appears to be independent of the lattice size, indicating that the thermal conductivity is ballistic in this regime. The threshold $\alpha = 1$ seems to be almost uniformly dependent on the lattice size for all regimes. However, the logarithmic divergence in this case makes the high-temperature regime to exhibit an inflection close to $T = 1$. The Fig. 2 highlight this phenomenon. The divergence effect also disturbs their neighbors as we notice in the cases $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\alpha = 1.5$. Due to this dependence on the lattice size in the low temperature regime, it is reasonable to assume the scaling of $\sigma_\alpha \sim L^{\delta_\alpha}$, where δ_α is an exponent that depends on α . This exponent decreases as α increases and it appears to vanish for $\alpha > 2$.

Also, in Fig. 2, for $0 \leq \alpha < 2$, the high-temperature regime is dependent on the lattice size, while out of this interval, the converse is noticed. Mainly for $\alpha = 2$, the thermal conductivity substantially diminishes this dependence, as well as the inflection point almost disappears. The case $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ was previously studied in Ref. [20] and it exhibits similar




Figure 2: The plot of thermal conductivity versus T for $\alpha = 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5$ in log-log scale, for $L = 20$ (red), $L = 35$ (blue), and $L = 50$ (green).

behavior as in $\alpha \geq 2$ cases. This dependence justifies the scaling of the temperature with L^{γ_α} , where γ_α also depends on α .

The general expression of the thermal conductance is finally obtained as follows:

$$\sigma_\alpha(T; L) = L^{-\delta_\alpha} A_\alpha e^{-B_\alpha (L^{\gamma_\alpha} T)^{\eta_\alpha}}, \quad (12)$$

where A_α , B_α , δ_α , and γ_α are non-negative parameters, $\eta_\alpha > 2$, and $q_\alpha > 1$. In the $L^{\gamma_\alpha} T \rightarrow \infty$ limit, this expression leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\alpha(T; L) &\sim A_\alpha L^{-\delta_\alpha} [B_\alpha (L^{\gamma_\alpha} T)^{\eta_\alpha}]^{1/(1-q_\alpha)} \\ &\propto L^{-\left[\delta_\alpha + \frac{\gamma_\alpha \eta_\alpha}{q_\alpha - 1}\right]} T^{-\frac{\eta_\alpha}{q_\alpha - 1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In Fig. 3, we notice that the scaled thermal conductance, $L^{\delta_\alpha} \sigma_\alpha$ appears to behave as constant at the low-temperature regime, therefore, at this limit, $\sigma_\alpha \sim L^{-\delta_\alpha}$. As α increases, the δ_α values decrease rapidly, and for $\alpha \geq 2$ it almost vanishes. For $\alpha > 2$, this parameter starts to be zero. Particularly, for the mean-field case ($\alpha = 0$), the thermal conductivity decays as $\kappa_{\alpha=0} \propto L^{-1}$, which is invariant with respect to the Kac factor, in agreement with the results in Ref [49].




Figure 3: The plot of scaled thermal conductance versus $L^\gamma T$ for $\alpha = 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5$ in log-log scale, for $L = 20$ (red), $L = 35$ (blue), and $L = 50$ (green). The black solid curve is a function as in Eq. (12).

For the high-temperature regime at the thermodynamic limit, the thermal conductance starts to exhibit a different scaling, as in Eq. (13). The particular cases $\alpha = 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5$ exhibit a scaling $\delta_\alpha + \gamma_\alpha \eta_\alpha / (q_\alpha - 1) \sim 1$, in other words, $\sigma_\alpha \equiv \kappa_\alpha / L \sim L^{-1}$, indicating that $\kappa_\alpha \sim L^0$. The large-temperature asymptotic exponent of σ_α is the same of κ_α , which yields $\sigma_\alpha \sim L^{-\eta_\alpha / (q_\alpha - 1)} \sim \kappa_\alpha$.

Let us discuss the values of the parameters of Eq. (12). As we see in Fig. 4, the parameters $\gamma_\alpha, \delta_\alpha, q_\alpha$ are monotonic functions of α . The parameter η_α exactly exhibits a peak at α_c and decreases after that. A curious fact is that δ_α goes to zero as $\alpha > 2$. This analysis, however, does not allow us to note the point at $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. For this reason, let us




Figure 4: Plot of $(\gamma, \delta, \eta, q)$ as functions of α . The polygonal solid lines are guides to the eye.




Figure 5: Same data as in Fig. 4 versus $1/\alpha$.




Figure 6: The α -dependence of the exponent of the large-temperature asymptotic behavior of $\sigma_\alpha \equiv \kappa/L \propto T^{-\eta_\alpha/(q_\alpha - 1)}$ (left), and the exponent of the large- L asymptotic behavior, $\sigma_\alpha \propto L^{-[\delta_\alpha + \gamma_\alpha \eta_\alpha/(q_\alpha - 1)]}$ (right). The requirement $\delta_\alpha + \gamma_\alpha \eta_\alpha/(q_\alpha - 1) = 1$ (red line) for the Fourier's law validity is numerically satisfied for $\alpha \geq 2$ and violated for $0 \leq \alpha < 2$.

consider the results in Fig. 5, which shows the parameters versus $1/\alpha$. η_α presents a peculiar behavior at $\alpha_c = 1$. In this particular analysis, however, $\gamma_\alpha, \delta_\alpha, q_\alpha$ exhibit atypical behavior around the neighbors of α_c .

The thermal conductance scales like $L^{2-\alpha}$ ($\delta_\alpha = 2 - \alpha$ for $\alpha < \alpha_c$) in the range of $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. This can be justified by the derivation of the heat flux using the energy of each rotor, which scales like energy per length. By using the property that $\mathcal{H} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}/\tilde{L}$, where the renormalization is made by assuming a scale $t \rightarrow \sqrt{\tilde{L}}t$, a flux dependent on $L\tilde{L}$ is obtained. Without loss of generality, the following asymptotic expression can be used

$$L\tilde{L} \sim \int_1^\infty dr \frac{r}{r^\alpha} = \frac{L^{2-\alpha} - 1}{2 - \alpha}, \quad (14)$$

where it is assumed that $L \sim \int_1^L dx$, in the thermodynamic limit ($L - 1 \sim L$). Therefore, the critical value, α_c^* , related with Fourier's law validity threshold for this one-dimensional system is found to be $\alpha_c^* = 2$ (Fig. 6). This value is physically and mathematically quite different from the critical value for the interactions, α_c . From the physical perspective, this is related to the regime in which Fourier's law starts to be valid, while the previous critical value of $\alpha_c = 1$ is related to the regime, up to that, where the model starts to be long-ranged or short-ranged. Below $\alpha_c = 1$, the model is considered very long-range ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$). The critical value of $\alpha_c^* = 2$ can be explained in the following way: for $\alpha < \alpha_c^*$ the high temperature thermal conductivity becomes dependent on the lattice size. In the $\alpha > \alpha_c^*$ regime, the high temperature thermal conductivity becomes independent of the lattice size. Also about the exponents, still in the regimes of very long-range interactions and high temperatures, the absolute value of the lattice size exponent can be obtained as $\delta_\alpha + \gamma_\alpha \frac{\eta_\alpha}{q_\alpha - 1} = \frac{2-\alpha}{2}$. It can be obtained by the renormalized heat flux assuming straightforwardly a time scale $1/\sqrt{L\tilde{L}} \sim L^{-\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}$. It corroborates with the values of the exponents, for instance, for $\alpha = 0, 0.5, 1$, we obtain numerically the absolute values of the exponents as $\delta_\alpha + \gamma_\alpha \frac{\eta_\alpha}{q_\alpha - 1} \sim 1, 0.78, 0.48$ as compared to $1, 0.75, 0.5$ (see Fig. 4). These latter values correspond to the regime of very-long ranged interactions; they are not valid for the intermediate region $1 \leq \alpha < 2$.

We can see in Fig. 6 that Fourier's law is broken in the range of $0 \leq \alpha < 2$ while for $\alpha \geq 2$ the thermal conductivity no more is lattice size dependent. The exponent of the temperature increases from $\alpha = 0$ to $\alpha = 2$ and saturates for $\alpha > 2$. However, the exponent of the lattice size decreases from $\alpha = 0$ to $\alpha = 2$ and saturates for $\alpha \leq 2$. It indicates that the requirement $\delta_\alpha + \gamma_\alpha \frac{\eta_\alpha}{q_\alpha - 1} = 1$ starts to be satisfied at this limit. For $\alpha > \alpha_c^*$, at the thermodynamic limit, the expression $(L^{2-\alpha} - 1)/(2 - \alpha) \sim 1/(\alpha - 2)$, then, $\delta_\alpha = 0$, as the scaling for the thermal conductivity at high-temperature regime, which yields a factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{L\tilde{L}}} \sim \sqrt{\alpha - 2}$.

As previously mentioned, the low-temperature regime of the thermal conductivity, for $\alpha > 2$ is proportional to the linear size L . It also can be explained with the aid of Eq. (14). Let us notice that, at these values of α , Eq. (14) becomes $L\tilde{L} \sim (\alpha - 2)^{-1}$, thus yielding $\kappa_{\alpha > 2}/L \propto (\alpha - 2)$, therefore $\kappa \propto L$. The very-long ranged regime at low temperature behaves as $\kappa_{0 \leq \alpha < 1} \propto L^{-(1-\alpha)}$ which is the well-known asymptotic behavior of $1/\tilde{L}$. Although it is an interesting fact, the low-temperature regime is only completely understood by a quantum mechanical approach. However, it is worth highlighting that there are real systems with large spins which can therefore be modeled quasi-classically, e.g., single-molecule magnets [50]. Notice that, in the low temperature regime, the system behaves like a set of harmonically coupled oscillators (see [49]).

Let us emphasize that, the approach used here to obtain the scale of the thermal conductance at low and high temperatures and the scale for the thermal conductance can be extrapolated for the d -dimensional $\alpha - XY$ model in the regime of very long-range interactions. Here, we are assuming a heat flux along one direction. However, the energy flux leaving the hot reservoir initially behaves as if there was spatial isotropy, and only later on it focuses the cold reservoir. Consistently, the thermal conductance for low temperatures scales as $\int_1^{N^{1/d}} dr r^{d-1} r / r^\alpha \sim L^{d+1-\alpha}$, where $N = L^d$. Similarly, the large- L exponent of the thermal conductivity for higher temperatures can be predicted to scale as $d(1 - \alpha/(d + 1))$, based on the possible behavior of the mean field model ($\alpha = 0$), which shares the same exponent for both regimes. Although the scale of the thermal conductivity coincides with the square root of $L\tilde{L}$, it leads to non-integer values of the scale of the thermal conductivity for this particular case. So, we assume that the $1/2$ in the denominator of this scale is because the denominator for a d -dimensional lattice is simply $d + 1$. For instance, the thermal conductivities of the mean-field model, at low temperatures, behave as L^{-2}, L^{-3} for two and three-dimensional systems, respectively. The high-temperature thermal conductivities of the same model behave as L^{-2}, L^{-3} , in agreement with the one-dimensional system, which has the same exponent at low and high temperatures. All exponents recover the limit L^0 when $\alpha > \alpha_c^* = d + 1$ (see Fig. 6 for $d = 1$). Therefore, when Fourier's law starts to hold, it is expected that the d -dimensional $\alpha - XY$ model possibly obeys these scales. The physical interpretation of the extra dimension in the scaling can be possibly related to circular waves. The $\alpha - XY$ model is a set of planar rotators that oscillates, but can not be interpreted as particles slowly carrying heat. The circular motion of the spins, makes the system propagate heat throughout the bulk, and, at very long-range regimes, it occurs in a large part of the system, in contrast with the system at $\alpha > 1$, where the interaction becomes weak. For the short-range case ($\alpha \rightarrow \infty$), it ceases, staying only close to its neighbors.

4 Final remarks

In summary, Fourier's law is a remarkable relation between the heat flux and the thermal gradient. Although it is well-verified in a wide class of models, including ferromagnetically coupled spin models, we can establish a limit for its validity. When $0 \leq \alpha < 2$, we show numerically that this law is no longer obeyed, whereas it is obeyed for $\alpha \geq 2$. Particularly for the mean field model, such a system is a perfect thermal insulator, which means that, in the thermodynamic limit, the thermal conductivity rapidly vanishes. However, for $\alpha \geq 2$ the lattice size exponent of the thermal conductivity is zero, indicating that the system is not dependent on the lattice size at high temperatures. The thermal conductance scale of the very long-ranged models can be obtained as a power law of the lattice size, whose exponent is $\delta_\alpha = 2 - \alpha$. The high-temperature exponent for the thermal conductivity allows us to write the relation $\frac{\delta_\alpha}{2} + \gamma_\alpha \frac{\eta_\alpha}{q_\alpha - 1} = 0$, which is also applicable for the very long-ranged cases. The relations obtained here can be extrapolated to higher dimensions. For instance, the validity of Fourier's law for two and three-dimensional generic-ranged systems is possibly $\alpha_c^* = 3$ and $\alpha_c^* = 4$ ($\alpha_c^* = d + 1$ for a d -dimensional system), respectively. The extrapolation allows us to obtain the general δ_α for a d -dimensional system in the very long-range regime, namely $\delta_\alpha = d + 1 - \alpha$, as well as the exponent of the thermal conductivity for the high-temperature regime ($\kappa_{\alpha(d)} \propto L^{-d[1-\alpha/(d+1)]}$), which is consistent with the simplest case, $\alpha = 0$. The scaled thermal conductance was well-fitted by a q -stretched exponential, a typical function of q -statistics, and it was proved useful in the context of magnon heat transport [20, 21, 22]. Here and in the previous works referring to n -vector models, we were capable of obtaining closed expressions for the thermal conductance, and hence, the asymptotic limit of the thermal conductivity, then, verifying that classical inertial n -vector models present normal heat conduction. From a future perspective, we can numerically verify the regime of the validity of Fourier's law for the $d = 2, 3$ classical inertial $\alpha - XY$ models, as well as the possible agreement with our extrapolations.

5 Acknowledgments

We benefited from fruitful comments by E.P. Borges as well as partial financial support from CNPq and FAPERJ (Brazilian agencies). We also acknowledge LNCC for allowing us to use the Santos Dumont supercomputer. U.T. is a member of the Science Academy, Bilim Akademisi, Turkey.

References

- [1] J. B. J. Fourier, *Équations du Mouvement de la Chaleur. Théorie Analytique de La Chaleur*, Paris, F. Didot, 99–158 (1822).
- [2] J. L. Lebowitz and H. Spohn, *Transport properties of the Lorentz gas: Fourier’s law*, *J. Stat. Phys.*, **19**(6), 633–654 (1978).
- [3] H. Büttner and F. Mokross, *Fourier’s law and thermal conduction*, *Nature*, **311**(5983), 217–218 (1984).
- [4] Maddox, J. *Fourier’s law obeyed — official*, *Nature* **309**, 511 (1984).
- [5] P. Laurençot, *Weak Solutions to a Penrose-Fife Model with Fourier Law for the Temperature*, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **219**(2), 331–343 (1998).
- [6] M. Michel, M. Hartmann, J. Gemmer, and G. Mahler, *Fourier’s Law confirmed for a class of small quantum systems*, *Eur. Phys. J. B*, **34**(3), 325–330 (2003).
- [7] T. Kawaguchi, H. Honda, K. Hatori, E. Imai, K. Matsuno, *Fourier’s law of heat transfer and its implication to cell motility*, *Biosystems* **81** (1), 19-24 (2005).
- [8] C. Bernardin and S. Olla, *Fourier’s Law for a Microscopic Model of Heat Conduction*, *Journal of Statistical Physics*, **121**(3-4), 271-289 (2005).
- [9] C. Gruber and A. Lesne, *Hamiltonian model of heat conductivity and Fourier law*, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, **351**(2-4), 358–372 (2005).
- [10] J. Bricmont and A. Kupiainen, *Towards a Derivation of Fourier’s Law for Coupled Anharmonic Oscillators*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **274**(3), 555–626 (2007).
- [11] J. Bricmont and A. Kupiainen, *Fourier’s Law from Closure Equations*, *Physical Review Letters*, **98**(21) (2007).
- [12] L.A. Wu and D. Segal, *Fourier’s law of heat conduction: Quantum mechanical master equation analysis*, *Phys. Rev. E*, **77**(6) (2008).
- [13] P. Gaspard and T. Gilbert, *Heat Conduction and Fourier’s Law by Consecutive Local Mixing and Thermalization*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **101**(2) (2008).
- [14] Y. Dubi and M. Di Ventra, *Fourier’s law: Insight from a simple derivation*, *Phys. Rev. E*, **79**(4) (2009).
- [15] Y. Dubi and M. Di Ventra, *Reconstructing Fourier’s law from disorder in quantum wires*, *Phys. Rev. B*, **79**(11) (2009).
- [16] R. W. Flumerfelt and J. C. Slattery, *An experimental study of the validity of Fourier’s law*, *AIChE Journal*, **15**, 291-292 (1969).
- [17] K. Aoki and D. Kusnezov, *Bulk properties of anharmonic chains in strong thermal gradients: non-equilibrium ϕ^4 theory*, *Physics Letters A*, **265**(4), 250–256 (2000).
- [18] A. Dhar, K. Venkateshan, and J. L. Lebowitz, *Heat conduction in disordered harmonic lattices with energy-conserving noise*, *Phys. Rev. E*, **83**(2) (2011).
- [19] G. T. Landi and M.J. de Oliveira, *Fourier’s law from a chain of coupled planar harmonic oscillators under energy-conserving noise*, *Phys. Rev. E*, **89**(2) (2014).
- [20] C. Tsallis, H.S. Lima, U. Tirnakli, and D. Eroglu, *First-principle validation of Fourier’s law in $d = 1, 2, 3$ classical systems*, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **446**, 133681 (2023).
- [21] H. S. Lima and C. Tsallis, *Ising chain: Thermal conductivity and first-principle validation of Fourier’s law*, *Phys. A: Stat. Mech. Appl.* **628**, 129161 (2023).
- [22] H. S. Lima, C. Tsallis, and F. D. Nobre, *First-Principle Validation of Fourier’s Law: One-Dimensional Classical Inertial Heisenberg Model*, *Entropy* **26**, 1-25 (2023).
- [23] Z. Han and A. Fina, *Thermal conductivity of carbon nanotubes and their polymer nanocomposites: A review*, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, **36**(7), 914-944 (2011).

- [24] N. Yang, G. Zhang, and B. Li, *Violation of Fourier's law and anomalous heat diffusion in silicon nanowires*, *Nano Today*, **5**(2), 85–90 (2010).
- [25] A. Gerschenfeld, B. Derrida, and J.L. Lebowitz, *Anomalous Fourier's Law and Long Range Correlations in a 1D Non-momentum Conserving Mechanical Model*, *J. Stat. Phys.*, **141**(5), 757–766 (2010).
- [26] S. Liu, X.F. Xu, R.G. Xie, G. Zhang, and B. W. Li, *Anomalous heat conduction and anomalous diffusion in low dimensional nanoscale systems*, *Eur. Phys. J. B*, **85**(10) (2012).
- [27] X. Xu, J. Chen, and B. Li, *Phonon thermal conduction in novel 2D materials*, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, **28**(48), 483001 (2016).
- [28] P. Hurtado and P. Garrido, *A violation of universality in anomalous Fourier's law*, *Sci Rep* **6**, 38823 (2016).
- [29] X. Wu, V. Varshney, J. Lee, Y. Pang, A. K. Roy, and T. Luo, *How to characterize thermal transport capability of 2D materials fairly? – Sheet thermal conductance and the choice of thickness*, *Chemical Physics Letters*, **669**, 233–237 (2017).
- [30] R. Harris and M. Grant, *Thermal conductivity of a kinetic Ising model*, *Phys. Rev. B* **38**, 9323(R) (1988).
- [31] A. V. Savin, G. P. Tsironis, and X. Zotos, *Thermal conductivity of a classical one-dimensional Heisenberg spin model*, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 140402 (2005).
- [32] K. Louis, P. Prelovsšek, and X. Zotos, *Thermal conductivity of one-dimensional spin-1/2 systems coupled to phonons*, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 235118 (2006).
- [33] M. Mena, N. Hänni, S. Ward, E. Hirtenlechner, R. Bewley, C. Hubig, U. Schollwöck, B. Normand, K.W. Krämer, D. F. McMorro, and Ch. Röegg, *Thermal Control of Spin Excitations in the Coupled Ising-Chain Material RbCoCl₃*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 257201 (2020).
- [34] F. Azizi and H. Rezaia, *Thermal transport properties of Heisenberg antiferromagnet on honeycomb lattice: The effects of anisotropy*, *Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures* **135** (2022).
- [35] Y. Kojima, N. Kurita, H. Tanaka, and K. Nakajima, *Magnons and spinons in Ba₂CoTeO₆: A composite system of isolated spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ triangular Heisenberg-like and frustrated honeycomb Ising-like antiferromagnets*, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, L020408 (2022).
- [36] H. C. Chauhan, B. Kumar, A. Tiwari, J. K. Tiwari, and S. Ghosh, *Different Critical Exponents on Two Sides of a Transition: Observation of Crossover from Ising to Heisenberg Exchange in Skyrmion Host Cu₂OSeO₃*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 015703 (2022).
- [37] Y. Li, N. Li, and B. Li, *Temperature dependence of thermal conductivities of coupled rotator lattice and the momentum diffusion in standard map*, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **88**, 182 (2015).
- [38] Y. Li, N. Li, U. Tirnakli, B. Li, and C. Tsallis, *Thermal conductance of the coupled-rotator chain: Influence of temperature and size*, *EPL* **117**, 60004 (2017).
- [39] C. Tsallis, *Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **52**, 479–487 (1988).
- [40] H. S. Lima and C. Tsallis, *Exploring the Neighborhood of q-Exponentials*, *Entropy* **22**, no. 12: 1402 (2020).
- [41] C. Tsallis, *Introduction to Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics: Approaching a Complex World*, 2nd Edition (Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2023).
- [42] H. E. Stanley, *Dependence of Critical Properties upon Dimensionality of Spins*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20** (12): 589–592 (1968).
- [43] C. Olivares and C. Anteneodo, *Role of the range of the interactions in thermal conduction*, *Phys. Rev. E* **94**(4) (2016).
- [44] J. Barré, D. Mukamel, and S. Ruffo, *Inequivalence of Ensembles in a System with Long-Range Interactions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 030601 (2001).
- [45] A. Pikovsky, S. Gupta, T. N. Teles, F. P. C. Benetti, R. Pakter, Y. Levin, and S. Ruffo, *Ensemble inequivalence in a mean-field XY-model with ferromagnetic and nematic couplings*, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 062141 (2014).
- [46] P. Jund, S. G. Kim, and C. Tsallis, *Crossover from extensive to nonextensive behavior driven by long-range interactions*, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 50 (1995).
- [47] C. Anteneodo and C. Tsallis, *Breakdown of the exponential sensitivity to the initial conditions: Role of the range of the interaction*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5313–5316 (1998).
- [48] L. Verlet, *Computer “Experiments” on Classical Fluids. I. Thermodynamical Properties of Lennard-Jones Molecules*, *Phys. Rev.* **159**, 98–103 (1967).

- [49] L. Defaveri, C. Olivares, and C. Anteneodo, *Heat flux in chains of nonlocally coupled harmonic oscillators: Mean-field limit*, Phys. Rev. E **105**, 054149 (2022).
- [50] C. Hicke and M. I. Dykman, *Classical dynamics of resonantly modulated large-spin systems*, Phys. Rev. B **78**(2), 024401 (2008).