ROOS AXIOM HOLDS FOR QUASI-COHERENT SHEAVES

LEONID POSITSELSKI

ABSTRACT. We show that the Grothendieck abelian category X-Qcoh of quasicoherent sheaves on a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme X satisfies the Roos axiom AB4*-n: the derived functors of infinite product have finite homological dimension in X-Qcoh, not exceeding the number n of open subschemes in an affine open covering of X. The hereditary complete cotorsion pair (very flat quasicoherent sheaves, contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaves) in the abelian category X-Qcoh plays the key role in our arguments. Simply put, a suitable very flat quasi-coherent sheaf (or alternatively, a suitable direct sum of locally countably presented flat quasi-coherent sheaves) on X is a generator of finite projective dimension for the abelian category X-Qcoh.

CONTENTS

Introduction		1
1.	Contraadjusted and Very Flat Modules	3
2.	Very Flat Quasi-Coherent Sheaves	4
3.	Adjusted Classes	7
4.	Contraadjusted Quasi-Coherent Sheaves	10
References		12

INTRODUCTION

The condition of (existence and) exactness of infinite direct products in an abelian category A is known as "Grothendieck' axiom AB4*" [6, Section 1.5]. It is well known that the categories of quasi-coherent sheaves X-Qcoh over schemes X do not satisfy AB4* in general. A counterexample of Keller, reproduced by Krause in the paper [11, Example 4.9], shows that the infinite products are not exact in the category of quasi-coherent sheaves over the projective line $X = \mathbb{P}^1_k$ over a field k. More generally, if X is a Noetherian scheme with an ample family of line bundles, then the infinite products are exact in X-Qcoh if and only if X is affine [10, Theorem 1.1].

The axiom AB4*-n $(n \ge 0)$ was proposed by Roos in his 2006 paper [20, Definition 1.1] as a natural weakening of AB4*. An abelian category with infinite products and enough injective objects is said to satisfy AB4*-n if the derived functor of infinite product $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^{(i)} A_{\lambda}$ vanishes on all families of objects $(A_{\lambda} \in A)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ for i > n. A characterization of Grothendieck abelian categories satisfying AB4*-n was obtained in the same paper [20, Theorem 1.3]. It is clear from that characterization that existence of a generator of projective dimension n in a Grothendieck category A implies AB4*-n.

Some examples of Grothendieck categories satisfying AB4*-n were discussed in [20, Section 1], and the minimal possible value of n for a given category was computed in some cases. In particular, [20, Section 1.3] was dedicated to the categories of quasi-coherent sheaves on Noetherian schemes X, and contained a full treatment of the case when X is the complement to the closed point in the spectrum of a Noetherian local ring. As an application demostrating the utility of the AB4*-n condition, it was shown in [20, Theorem 2.1] that the derived functor $\lim_{n \to \infty} f$ of inverse limit of sequences of objects in A (indexed by the natural numbers) has homological dimension at most n + 1 if A is a Grothendieck category satisfying AB4*-n.

Further applications of the AB4*-n condition can be found in the recent preprint [8]. According to [8, Theorems 2.9 and 4.3], certain classical constructions going back to Cartan-Eilenberg and Spaltenstein allow to explicitly produce homotopy injective (DG-injective) resolutions of complexes in an abelian category A provided that A has infinite products, enough injective objects, and satisfies AB4*-n. This allows one to avoid using the more general but less explicit small object argument.

The notion of a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf on a scheme X was introduced in an early September 2012 version of the present author's preprint [13]. It was immediately clear from [13, Lemma 4.1.1] that, for any quasi-compact semi-separated scheme X, the category of quasi-coherent sheaves X-Qcoh has a very flat generator. It was also easy to see that the projective dimension of any very flat quasi-coherent sheaf on X does not exceed the minimal number N of open subschemes in an affine open covering of X. But I did not realize the importance of the property of a Grothendieck category to have a generator of finite projective dimension.

That I learned from Jan Sťovíček sometime around 2016. In our joint paper [18], the reference to very flat quasi-coherent sheaves and to [13, Lemma 4.1.1] appeared in this connection in [18, last paragraph of Example 6.4]. A conversation with Manuel Saorín, who visited us in Prague in July 2024, convinced me that a paragraph in an example in [18] was not enough to advertise the fact, which seemed to remain unknown to people working in the area. This motivated me to write the present paper up.

To finish this introduction, let us point out that our bound on the homological dimension of derived products in X-Qcoh is probably *not* the best possible one. Given a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme X, denote by $n \ge 0$ the minimal integer such that X-Qcoh satisfies AB4*-n. Let N be the minimal number of open subschemes in an affine open covering $X = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ of the scheme X. In particular, when the scheme X is affine, we have N = 1 and n = 0 (as the functors of infinite products are exact in the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on an affine scheme). So one would naturally expect an inequality $n \le N - 1$. The example when X is the complement to the closed point in the spectrum of a Noetherian local ring, treated in [20, Theorem 1.15], suggests the same answer. Still, we are only able to prove that $n \le N$ in this paper. We do *not* know whether n = N is possible.

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to Jan Sťovíček and Manuel Saorín for illuminating conversations. The author is supported by the GAČR project 23-05148S and the Czech Academy of Sciences (RVO 67985840).

1. Contraadjusted and Very Flat Modules

Let R be a commutative ring. Given an element $s \in R$, we consider the localization $R[s^{-1}] = S^{-1}R$ of the ring R at the multiplicative subset $S = \{1, s, s^2, s^3, \ldots\}$. So $R[s^{-1}]$ is the ring of functions on the principal affine open subscheme in Spec R corresponding to the element s. An R-module C is said to be *contraadjusted* [13, Section 1.1] if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R[s^{-1}], C) = 0$ for every $s \in S$. An R-module F is said to be *very flat* if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(F, C) = 0$ for every contraadjusted R-module C.

The word "contraadjusted" is supposed to mean "adjusted for contraherent cosheaves". So contraadjusted modules were introduced in [13] as a basic building element of the contraherent cosheaf theory. The definitions of contraadjusted and very flat modules given above fall under the general scheme of a concept known as a *cotorsion pair* (or *cotorsion theory*) of classes of modules, or more generally, classes of objects in an abelian or exact category. The definition of a cotorsion theory is due to Salce [21], and the main result in this connection is the *Eklof–Trlifaj theorem* [3, Theorems 2 and 10]. The main reference source for cotorsion pairs in module categories is the book [5]. An introductory discussion tailored to the situation at hand can be found in [16, Section 4]; see specifically [16, Theorem 4.5].

In particular, the pair of classes (very flat *R*-modules, cotorsion *R*-modules) is a complete cotorsion pair in the abelian category of *R*-modules R-Mod (generated by the set of *R*-modules $R[s^{-1}]$, $s \in S$) [13, Theorem 1.1.1]. It is called the *very flat cotorsion pair* in *R*-Mod. As one of the aspects of the Eklof-Trlifaj theorem, one obtains the following description of very flat *R*-modules: an *R*-module is very flat if and only if it is a direct summand of a module admitting a transfinite (ordinal-indexed) increasing filtration whose successive quotients are *R*-modules of the form $R[s^{-1}]$, $s \in S$ [13, Corollary 1.1.4]. Any projective *R*-module is very flat, and any very flat *R*-module is flat.

In addition, one observes that the *R*-module $R[s^{-1}]$ has projective dimension at most 1 [13, Section 1.1], [14, proof of Lemma 2.1]. It follows that any quotient module of a contraadjusted *R*-module is contraadjusted, and that any very flat *R*-module has projective dimension at most 1. Furthermore, the very flat cotorsion pair is *hereditary*: the kernel of any surjective morphism of very flat *R*-modules is very flat.

Example 1.1. Let X be an affine scheme and $U \subset X$ be an affine open subscheme in X. Then the ring of functions $S = \mathcal{O}(U)$ is a very flat module over the ring $R = \mathcal{O}(X)$. This is [13, Lemma 1.2.4].

Given a commutative ring homomorphism $f: R \longrightarrow S$, one says that f is very flat (or equivalently, S is a very flat R-algebra) if, for every element $s \in S$, the R-module $S[s^{-1}]$ is very flat. Notice that the condition that the *R*-module *S* itself be very flat is strictly weaker and *not* sufficient [16, Section 6.1].

The Very Flat Conjecture (one of possible formulations) stated that, for any commutative ring R, any finitely presented flat commutative R-algebra is very flat. This was proved in [17, Main Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 9.1]. We will not use this important and difficult result in this paper.

The main construction below in Section 2 is based on the properties of very flat modules listed in the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.2. Let $R \longrightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings. Then, for any very flat R-module F, the S-module $S \otimes_R F$ is very flat.

Proof. This is [13, Lemma 1.2.2(b)].

Lemma 1.3. Let $R \longrightarrow S$ be a very flat homomorphism of commutative rings. Then any very flat R-module G is very flat as an R-module.

Proof. This is [13, Lemma 1.2.3(b)].

Lemma 1.4. Let $f_{\alpha} \colon R \longrightarrow S_{\alpha}$ be a finite family of homomorphisms of commutative rings such that the related family of morphisms of the spectra Spec $S_{\alpha} \longrightarrow$ Spec R is an open covering of Spec R (in particular, Spec $S_{\alpha} \longrightarrow$ Spec R is the embedding of an affine open subscheme for every α). Then an R-module F is very flat if and only if the S_{α} -module $S_{\alpha} \otimes_{R} F$ is very flat for every α .

Proof. This is [13, Lemma 1.2.6(a)].

A further discussion of Lemmas 1.2–1.4 can be found in the paper [15, Example 2.5].

2. Very Flat Quasi-Coherent Sheaves

Let X be a scheme. A quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is said to be very flat [13, Section 1.8] if, for every affine open subscheme $U \subset X$, the $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is very flat. In view of Lemmas 1.2 and 1.4, it suffices to check this condition for affine open subschemes $U = U_\alpha$ belonging to any given affine open covering $X = \bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha$ of the scheme X. In particular, if $X = V \cup W$ is a (not necessarily affine) open covering of X, then a quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is very flat if and only if the quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}|_V$ is very flat on V and the quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}|_W$ is very flat on W.

The class of all very flat quasi-coherent sheaves on a scheme X is closed under extensions, kernels of surjective morphisms, and infinite direct sums (because the class of all very flat modules over a commutive ring has these closure properties).

For any morphism of schemes $f: Y \longrightarrow X$, the inverse image functor $f^*: X$ -Qcoh $\longrightarrow Y$ -Qcoh takes very flat quasi-coherent sheaves on X to very flat quasi-coherent sheaves on Y (by Lemma 1.2).

A morphism of schemes $f: Y \longrightarrow X$ is said to be *very flat* if, for any pair of affine open subschemes $U \subset X$ and $V \subset Y$ such that $f(V) \subset U$, the $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module $\mathcal{O}_Y(V)$ is very flat [13, Section 1.8]. A morphism of affine schemes Spec $S \longrightarrow$ Spec R is very

flat if and only if the related morphism of commutative rings $R \longrightarrow S$ is very flat (in the sense of the definition in Section 1).

Recall that a morphism of schemes $f: Y \longrightarrow X$ is said to be *affine* if, for any affine open subscheme $U \subset X$, the open subscheme $f^{-1}(U) \subset Y$ is affine. For any affine morphism of schemes $f: Y \longrightarrow X$, the direct image functor $f_*: Y - \mathsf{Qcoh} \longrightarrow X - \mathsf{Qcoh}$ is exact. For any very flat affine morphism of schemes $f: Y \longrightarrow X$, the direct image functor f_* takes very flat quasi-coherent sheaves on Y to very flat quasi-coherent sheaves on X (by Lemma 1.3).

In particular, for any open subscheme W in a scheme X, the open embedding morphism $j: W \longrightarrow X$ is very flat (by Example 1.1). Therefore, if the morphism jis affine, then the quasi-coherent sheaf $j_*\mathcal{G}$ on X is very flat for any very flat quasicoherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on W.

The following lemma is a very flat version of [2, Lemma A.1] and a part of [13, Lemma 4.1.1].

Lemma 2.1. On any quasi-compact semi-separated scheme X, any quasi-coherent sheaf is a quotient sheaf of a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf.

Proof. Let \mathcal{M} be a quasi-coherent sheaf on X. Arguing by induction, suppose that we have already constructed a surjective morphism of quasi-coherent sheaves $\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ on X such that, for a certain open subscheme $V \subset X$, the restriction $\mathcal{H}|_V$ is a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf on V. Let $U \subset X$ be an affine open subscheme in X; denote its open embedding morphism by $j: U \longrightarrow X$. Since X is a semi-separated scheme by assumption and U is an affine scheme, the morphism j is affine.

Consider the restriction/inverse image $\mathcal{H}|_U = j^*\mathcal{H}$ on U. Any $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module is a quotient module of a very flat $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module; so we have a short exact sequence of quasi-coherent sheaves $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow j^*\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0$ on U with a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} . The direct image functor $j_*: U$ -Qcoh $\longrightarrow X$ -Qcoh is exact (since the morphism j is affine); so we have a short exact sequence of direct images $0 \longrightarrow j_*\mathcal{K} \longrightarrow j_*\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow j_*j^*\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0$ in X-Qcoh.

Consider the adjunction morphism of quasi-coherent sheaves $\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow j_*j^*\mathcal{H}$ on X. Let $0 \longrightarrow j_*\mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0$ be the pullback of the short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow j_*\mathcal{K} \longrightarrow j_*\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow j_*j^*\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0$ with respect to the morphism $\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow j_*j^*\mathcal{H}$ in X-Qcoh. Put $W = U \cup V \subset X$; so W is an open subscheme in X. We claim that the quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L}|_W$ is very flat on W.

Indeed, it suffices to check that the quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L}|_U$ is very flat on U and the quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L}|_V$ is very flat on V. The exact functor $j^* \colon X - \mathsf{Qcoh} \longrightarrow U - \mathsf{Qcoh}$ takes the morphism $\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow j_* j^* \mathcal{H}$ to the identity map $j^* \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow j^* \mathcal{H}$; so we have $j^* \mathcal{L} \simeq j^* j_* \mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G}$. The quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on U is very flat by construction. This proves that the quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L}|_U = j^* \mathcal{L}$ is very flat.

To prove that the quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L}|_V$ is very flat, denote by $h: V \longrightarrow X$ the open embedding morphism. Then we have a short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow h^* j_* \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow h^* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow h^* \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0$ of quasi-coherent sheaves on V. As the quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{H}|_V = h^* \mathcal{H}$ is very flat by assumption, and the class of very flat quasi-coherent

sheaves on V is closed under extensions, it suffices to check that the quasi-coherent sheaf $h^*j_*\mathcal{K}$ on V is very flat.

Consider the intersection $U \cap V$, and denote by $j' \colon U \cap V \longrightarrow V$ and $h' \colon U \cap V \longrightarrow U$ its open embedding morphisms. So the morphism j' is affine as a base change of an affine morphism of schemes. We have an (obvious) base change isomorphism $h^*j_*\mathcal{K} \simeq j'_*h'^*\mathcal{K}$ of quasi-coherent sheaves on V. Furthermore, the two compositions h'j and j'h are one and the same morphism $U \cap V \longrightarrow X$; so we have an isomorphism $h'^*j^*\mathcal{H} \simeq j'^*h^*\mathcal{H}$ of quasi-coherent sheaves on $U \cap V$.

Applying the functor $h'^*: U-\operatorname{Qcoh} \longrightarrow (U \cap V)-\operatorname{Qcoh}$ to the short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow j^*\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0$ in $U-\operatorname{Qcoh}$, we obtain a short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow h'^*\mathcal{K} \longrightarrow h'^*\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow h'^*j^*\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0$ in $(U \cap V)-\operatorname{Qcoh}$. The quasi-coherent sheaf $h'^*\mathcal{G}$ on $U \cap V$ is very flat, since the quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on U is very flat. The quasi-coherent sheaf $h'^*j^*\mathcal{H} \simeq j'^*h^*\mathcal{H}$ on $U \cap V$ is very flat, since the quasi-coherent sheaf $h^*\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}|_V$ on V is very flat.

As the class of very flat quasi-coherent sheaves on $U \cap V$ is closed under kernels of surjective morphisms, we can conclude from the short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow h'^* \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow$ $h'^* \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow h'^* j^* \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0$ that the quasi-coherent sheaf $h'^* \mathcal{K}$ on $U \cap V$ is very flat. Finally, since the morphism $j': U \cap V \longrightarrow V$ is affine and very flat, we arrive to the conclusion that the quasi-coherent sheaf $h^* j_* \mathcal{K} \simeq j'_* h'^* \mathcal{K}$ on V is very flat. We have proved that the quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L}|_W$ is very flat on $W = U \cup V$.

The composition $\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of surjective morphisms of quasi-coherent sheaves on X is surjective. Having started with a surjective morphism of quasicoherent sheaves $\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that the quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{H}|_V$ is very flat on an open subscheme $V \subset X$, and given an affine open subscheme $U \subset X$, we have constructed an surjective morphism of quasi-coherent sheaves $\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that the quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L}|_W$ is very flat on the open subscheme $W = U \cup V$.

Starting with $V = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}$ as the induction base, and proceeding in this way by adjoining affine open subschemes $U = U_{\alpha}$ from a given finite affine open covering $X = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ of the scheme X, we arrive to the desired surjective morphism onto \mathcal{M} from a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf on X.

Corollary 2.2. For any quasi-compact semi-separated scheme X, there exists a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X such that \mathcal{F} is a generator of the Grothendieck category X–Qcoh.

Proof. The category X-Qcoh of quasi-coherent sheaves on any scheme X has a generator by Gabber's theorem [1, Lemma 2.1.7], [9, Proposition Tag 077P]. More specifically, for any quasi-compact quasi-separated scheme X, the category X-Qcoh is locally finitely presentable by Grothendieck's theorem [7, 0.5.2.5 and Corollaire I.6.9.12]. So, in the situation at hand with X a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme, let \mathcal{M} be a generator of X-Qcoh. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X together with a surjective morphism of quasi-coherent sheaves $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Then \mathcal{F} is a desired very flat generator of X-Qcoh.

Given an object F in an abelian category A, one has that F has projective dimension $\leq d$ if $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(F, A) = 0$ for all objects $A \in A$ and all integers n > d. Notice that this definition does *not* presume existence of enough projective objects in A.

Lemma 2.3. Let X be a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme with a finite affine open covering $X = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{N} U_{\alpha}$. Then any very flat quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X has projective dimension at most N (as an object of X–Qcoh).

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be an arbitrary quasi-coherent sheaf on X. Then, by [19, Theorem 5.3(b)], the projective dimension of \mathcal{F} in X-Qcoh does not exceed N-1 plus the supremum of the projective dimensions of the $\mathcal{O}(U_{\alpha})$ -modules $\mathcal{F}(U_{\alpha})$. When \mathcal{F} is a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf, the $\mathcal{O}(U_{\alpha})$ -module $\mathcal{F}(U_{\alpha})$ is very flat, so its projective dimension does not exceed 1 (according to Section 1).

3. Adjusted Classes

Let B be an abelian category with enough injective objects. We will say that a class of objects $C \subset B$ is *coresolving* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) the full subcategory C is closed under extensions in B;
- (ii) the full subcategory C is closed under the cokernels of monomorphisms in B;
- (iii) all the injective objects of C belong to B.

Let A be another abelian category and $G: B \longrightarrow A$ be a left exact functor. We will denote by $\mathbb{R}^*G: B \longrightarrow A$ the sequence of right derived functors of the functor G (computed, as usual, in terms of injective coresoltions of objects of B).

We will say that a coresolving class of objects $C \subset B$ is *adjusted to G* if it satisfies the following condition:

(iv) for any short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow C' \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow C'' \longrightarrow 0$ in B with the objects C', C, C'' belonging to C, the short sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G(C') \longrightarrow G(C) \longrightarrow G(C'') \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in A.

Lemma 3.1. Let B be an abelian category with enough injective objects, A be an abelian category, $G: B \longrightarrow A$ be a left exact functor, and $C \subset B$ be a coresolving class of objects adjusted to G. Let $B \in B$ be an object and $0 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C^0 \longrightarrow C^1 \longrightarrow C^2 \longrightarrow \cdots$ be a coresolution of the object B by objects $C^i \in C$ in the abelian category B. Then there are natural isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{R}^i G(B) \simeq H^i G(C^{\bullet}), \qquad i \ge 0$$

of objects in A.

Proof. This lemma is very well known. Given an object $C \in \mathsf{C}$, consider its injective coresolution $0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow J^0 \longrightarrow J^1 \longrightarrow J^2 \longrightarrow \cdots$ in B . Put $Z^0 = C$, and denote by Z^i the image of the morphism $J^{i-1} \longrightarrow J^i$ for $i \ge 1$. So the long exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow J^{\bullet}$ can be obtained by splicing the short exact sequences

 $0 \longrightarrow Z^i \longrightarrow J^i \longrightarrow Z^{i+1} \longrightarrow 0$ in B. Then, by (iii), we have $J^i \in C$ for all $i \ge 0$, and by (ii), it follows by induction that $Z^i \in C$ for all $i \ge 0$. By (iv), the short sequences $0 \longrightarrow G(Z^i) \longrightarrow G(J^i) \longrightarrow G(Z^{i+1}) \longrightarrow 0$ are exact in A for all $i \ge 0$. So the complex $0 \longrightarrow G(C) \longrightarrow G(J^{\bullet})$ is exact in A, and we have shown that $\mathbb{R}G^n(C) = 0$ for all n > 0. Returning to the situation at hand, we have $\mathbb{R}G^n(C^i) = 0$ for all $i \ge 0$ and n > 0, which implies the desired natural isomorphisms of cohomology. \Box

Let A be an abelian category with infinite products and enough injective objects. Let Λ be a set. We are interested in the case when $\mathsf{B} = \mathsf{A}^{\Lambda} = \times_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathsf{A}$ is the Cartesian product of Λ copies of A; so the objects of B are all the Λ -indexed collections $B = (A_{\lambda} \in \mathsf{A})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of objects of A. Furthermore, we are interested in the direct product functor $G = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} : \mathsf{B} \longrightarrow \mathsf{A}$; so $G((A_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}) = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda} \in \mathsf{A}$. Obviously, there are enough injective objects in B; the injective objects of B are precisely all the Λ -indexed collections of injective objects in A.

Given a class of objects F in an abelian category A , we denote by $\mathsf{F}^{\perp \geq 1} \subset \mathsf{A}$ the class of all objects $K \in \mathsf{A}$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathsf{A}}(F, K) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathsf{F}$ and $n \geq 1$. In particular, for a single object $F \in \mathsf{A}$, we put $F^{\perp \geq 1} = \{F\}^{\perp \geq 1} \subset \mathsf{A}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let A be an abelian category with infinite products and enough injective objects, and let Λ be an infinite set. Let $F \in A$ be a generator of A. Consider the class $\mathsf{K} = F^{\perp \geq 1} \subset \mathsf{A}$ of all objects $K \in \mathsf{A}$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathsf{A}}(F, K) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let $\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{K}^{\Lambda} \subset \mathsf{A}^{\Lambda} = \mathsf{B}$ be the class of all Λ -indexed collections of objects from K. Then C is a coresolving class of objects in B adjusted to the direct product functor $G = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} : \mathsf{B} \longrightarrow \mathsf{A}$.

Proof. One can easily see that K is a coresolving class of objects in A, and it follows that C is a coresolving class of objects in B. (This holds for the right $\text{Ext}^{\geq 1}$ -orthogonal class $K = F^{\perp \geq 1}$ to an arbitrary class of objects $F \subset A$.) To show that the class C is adjusted to the direct product functor G, we argue as in [19, Lemma 8.3].

Let $0 \longrightarrow K_{\lambda} \longrightarrow L_{\lambda} \longrightarrow M_{\lambda} \longrightarrow 0$ be a Λ -indexed family of short exact sequences in A with $K_{\lambda} \in K$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In order to show that $0 \longrightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_{\lambda} \longrightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L_{\lambda} \longrightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda} \longrightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence in A, we need to check that $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L_{\lambda} \longrightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda}$ is an epimorphism. As F is a generator of A, it suffices to check that every morphism $F \longrightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda}$ can be lifted to a morphism $F \longrightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L_{\lambda}$. Now the datum of a morphism $F \longrightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda}$ is equivalent to the datum of a family of morphisms $F \longrightarrow M_{\lambda}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{A}(F, K_{\lambda}) = 0$ by assumption, any morphism $F \longrightarrow M_{\lambda}$ can be lifted to a morphism $F \longrightarrow L_{\lambda}$. Any family of such liftings defines the desired morphism $F \longrightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L_{\lambda}$. \Box

Let B be an abelian category and $C \subset B$ be a coresolving class of objects in B. One says that the *coresolution dimension* of an object $B \in B$ with respect to the coresolving class $C \subset B$ does not exceed an integer $d \ge 0$ if there exists an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C^0 \longrightarrow C^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow C^d \longrightarrow 0$ in B with $C^i \in C$ for all $0 \le i \le d$. One can show that the C-coresolution dimension of an object $B \in B$ does not depend on the choice of a coresolution of B by objects from C, in an obvious sense [23, Lemma 2.1], [22, Proposition 2.3], [13, Corollary A.5.2]. **Lemma 3.3.** Let A be an abelian category and $F \subset A$ be a class of (some) objects of projective dimension $\leq d$ in A. Then every object of A has coresolution dimension at most d with respect to the coresolving class $K = F^{\perp \geq 1} \subset A$ of all objects $K \in A$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(F, K) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. This is straightforward.

Corollary 3.4. Let A be an abelian category with infinite products and enough injective objects, and let Λ be an infinite set. Let $F \in A$ be a generator of A; assume that the projective dimension of F in A does not exceed d. Consider the direct product functor $G = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} : B = A^{\Lambda} \longrightarrow A$. Then one has $\mathbb{R}^{i}G = 0$ for all i > d. So the abelian category A satisfies Roos' axiom AB4*-d.

Proof. This is a weak version of a resulf of Roos [20, Theorem 1.3]. As the proof in [20] is somewhat complicated, we offer a simple alternative proof of the weak version stated in the corollary.

Put $\mathsf{K} = F^{\perp \geq 1} \subset \mathsf{A}$ and $\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{K}^{\Lambda} \subset \mathsf{B}$. Then the coresolving class C is adjusted to the functor G by Lemma 3.2, and the coresolution dimension of any object of B with respect to the class C does not exceed d by Lemma 3.3. The desired assertion follows by virtue of Lemma 3.1.

Now we can prove the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.5. Let X be a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme with an affine open covering $X = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{N} U_{\alpha}$. Then the Grothendieck abelian category of quasi-coherent sheaves X–Qcoh satisfies the axiom AB4*-N from [20, Definition 1.1].

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, there exists a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X such that \mathcal{F} is a generator of X-Qcoh. Lemma 2.3 tells us that the projective dimension of the object $\mathcal{F} \in X$ -Qcoh does not exceed N. So Corollary 3.4 is applicable for A = X-Qcoh and d = N.

Remark 3.6. The proof of Theorem 3.5 above is based on the notion of a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf. Alternatively, there is a similar argument proving the same theorem based on the notion of a *locally countably presented* flat quasi-coherent sheaf. A quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{M} on a scheme X is said to be *locally countably presented* [19, Section 2] if the $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module $\mathcal{M}(U)$ is countably presented for every affine open subscheme $U \subset X$. It suffices to check this condition for affine open subschemes $U = U_\alpha$ forming a given affine open covering $X = \bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha$ of a scheme X [19, Lemma 2.1]. By [19, Theorem 2.4 or 3.5], any flat quasi-coherent sheaf on a quasicompact quasi-separated (or more generally, countably quasi-compact and countably quasi-separated) scheme X is a direct limit (in fact, an \aleph_1 -direct limit) of locally countably presented flat quasi-coherent sheaves.

For a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme X, it is known since [12, Section 2.4] (see [2, Lemma A.1] for a perhaps clearer argument) that any quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{M} on X is a quotient sheaf of a flat quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} . Then \mathcal{G} is a direct limit of locally countably presented flat quasi-coherent sheaves. So \mathcal{M} is a quotient sheaf of an infinite direct sum of locally finitely presented flat quasi-coherent sheaves. Take \mathcal{M} to be a generator of X-Qcoh. Any countably presented flat module over a ring has projective dimension at most 1 [5, Corollary 2.23]. If X covered by N open affines, then it follows by virtue of [19, Theorem 5.3] that any locally countably presented flat quasi-coherent sheaf on X has projective dimension at most N [19, Corollary 5.6]. Hence the same applies to any infinite direct sum of locally countably presented flat quasi-coherent sheaves on X. Once again, we have constructed a generator of projective dimension at most N for X-Qcoh, and it remains to refer to Corollary 3.4 in order to finish the proof of the theorem.

Question 3.7. Is the dimension bound provided by Theorem 3.5 sharp? Specifically, does there exist a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme X covered by N open affines such that the axiom AB4*-n does not hold for X and n = N - 1? Cf. the discussion of the affine scheme case (N = 1 and n = 0) in the introduction.

One possible approach to checking the axiom AB^* -n for n = N - 1 would be to show that the class of *dilute quasi-coherent sheaves* [12, Section 4.1], [13, Section 4.2] is adjusted to infinite products in X-Qcoh. We do not know whether this is true. Notice that the coresolution dimension of any quasi-coherent sheaf on X with respect to the coresolving subcategory of dilute quasi-coherent sheaves does not exceed N-1[13, Lemma 4.7.1(a)]; so it would be sufficient in view of Lemma 3.1.

4. Contraadjusted Quasi-Coherent Sheaves

Given a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme X, the discussion in Section (3) provides a coresolving class $\mathsf{K} \subset \mathsf{A} = X$ -Qcoh such that all the objects of A have finite (uniformly bounded) C-coresolution dimensions and the class $\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{K}^{\Lambda} \subset \mathsf{A}^{\Lambda} = \mathsf{B}$ is adjusted to the functor of direct product $G = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} : \mathsf{B} \longrightarrow \mathsf{A}$. But this construction is not natural, in that it depends on the choice of a very flat generator $\mathcal{F} \in X$ -Qcoh.

In this section we discuss a straightforward modification or particular case of the construction of Section 3 providing a natural coresolving class in X-Qcoh adjusted to infinite products and such that the coresolution dimensions are finite. This class is called the class of *contraadjusted* quasi-coherent sheaves.

Let X be a scheme. We will denote by $\operatorname{Ext}_X^*(-,-)$ the functor Ext^* in the abelian category of quasi-coherent sheaves $X-\operatorname{Qcoh}$.

A quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{C} on X is said to be *contraadjusted* [13, Section 2.5] if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}) = 0$ for every very flat quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X. For example, any cotorsion quasi-coherent sheaf on X (in the sense of [4]) is contraadjusted, since any very flat quasi-coherent sheaf is flat. A quasi-coherent sheaf on an affine scheme U is contraadjusted if and only if it corresponds to a contraadjusted $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module.

By the definition, the class of contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaves is closed under extensions and direct summands in X-Qcoh. For any quasi-compact quasi-separated morphism of schemes $f: Y \longrightarrow X$, the direct image functor $f_*: Y$ -Qcoh $\longrightarrow X$ -Qcoh takes contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaves on Y to contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaves on X [13, Section 2.5], [15, Lemma 1.7(c)].

The following two corollaries say that the pair of classes (very flat quasi-coherent sheaves, contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaves) is a hereditary complete cotorsion pair in X-Qcoh for a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme X.

Corollary 4.1. Let X be a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme. Then

(a) any quasi-coherent sheaf on X is a quotient sheaf of a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf by a subsheaf that is a contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaf;

(b) any quasi-coherent sheaf on X can be embedded as subsheaf into a contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaf in such a way that the quotient sheaf is a very flat quasicoherent sheaf.

Corollary 4.2. Let X be a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme. Then

(a) the class of contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaves on X is closed under cokernels of injective morphisms;

(b) for any very flat quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} and any contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{C} on X, one has $\operatorname{Ext}_X^n(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}) = 0$ for all $n \ge 1$.

The third corollary provides an additional description of the class of contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaves on X.

Corollary 4.3. Let X be a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme with a finite affine open covering $X = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$. Denote by $j_{\alpha} : U_{\alpha} \longrightarrow X$ the open embedding morphisms. Then a quasi-coherent sheaf C on X is contraadjusted if and only if C is a direct summand of a finitely iterated extension in X–Qcoh of the direct images $j_{\alpha*}C_{\alpha}$ of contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaves C_{α} on U_{α} .

Proof of Corollaries 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3. Corollary 4.1 is [13, Corollary 4.1.4(a–b)]. Corollary 4.2 is [13, Corollary 4.1.2(b–c)]. Corollary 4.3 is [13, Corollary 4.1.4(c)].

Let us provide a little discussion with more details. To prove Corollary 4.1(a), one just needs to follow the proof of Lemma 2.1 above carefully and look into what one can say about the kernel of the surjective morphism of quasi-coherent sheaves that the construction from the proof of the lemma provides. In the course of the proof of the lemma, when choosing a presentation of the quasi-coherent sheaf $j^*\mathcal{H}$ on U as a quotient of a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} , one needs to do it in such a way that the kernel \mathcal{K} is a contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaf on U (which is possible by [13, Theorem 1.1.1(b)]). One will see that not only the kernel of the resulting surjective morphism from a very flat quasi-coherent sheaf on X is contraadjusted, but also it has the form of a finitely iterated extension described in Corollary 4.3. The rest of the proof of all the three corollaries is easier and more standard (in particular, Corollary 4.2 follows directly from the assertion of Lemma 2.1).

The results of Corollaries 4.1–4.3 form a special case of a general construction of gluing of hereditary complete cotorsion pairs from an affine open covering of a quasicompact semi-separated scheme X, as described in [13, Section B.4]. For a version of this construction for principal affine open coverings of affine schemes, see [15, Proposition 4.3]. Corollary 4.2(a) implies that the class of contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaves is coresolving in X-Qcoh when X is a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme. The coresolution dimension of a quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{M} on X with respect to the coresolving class of contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaves K in X-Qcoh is called the *contraadjusted dimension* of \mathcal{M} .

Lemma 4.4. Let X be a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme with an affine open covering $X = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{n} U_{\alpha}$. Then the contraadjusted dimension of any quasi-coherent sheaf on X does not exceed N.

Proof. This is [13, Lemma 4.7.1(b)]. The assertion is easily provable by comparing Lemma 2.3 with Lemma 3.3 (for d = N and the class F of all very flat quasi-coherent sheaves in A = X-Qcoh). One also has to keep in mind Corollary 4.2(b).

Lemma 4.5. Let X be a quasi-compact semi-separated scheme and Λ be a set. Put A = X-Qcoh, and consider the direct product functor $G = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} : B = A^{\Lambda} \longrightarrow A$. Let $K \subset A$ be the class of all contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaves on X. Then the class $C = K^{\Lambda} \subset B$ of all Λ -indexed collections of contraadjusted quasi-coherent sheaves on X is adjusted to the functor G.

In other words, let $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\lambda} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\lambda} \longrightarrow 0$ be a Λ -indexed collection of short exact sequences of quasi-coherent sheaves on X with contraadjusted quasicoherent sheaves $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda$. Then the short sequence of direct products

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{K}_{\lambda} \longrightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \longrightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in X-Qcoh.

Proof. The argument from the proof of Lemma 3.2 is applicable in view of Corollary 2.2. \Box

Comparing Lemma 3.1 with Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, we obtain another (slightly differently structured) proof of Theorem 3.5.

References

- B. Conrad. Grothendieck duality and base change. Lecture Notes in Math., 1750, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg, 2000.
- [2] A. I. Efimov, L. Positselski. Coherent analogues of matrix factorizations and relative singularity categories. *Algebra and Number Theory* 9, #5, p. 1159-1292, 2015. arXiv:1102.0261 [math.CT]
- [3] P. C. Eklof, J. Trlifaj. How to make Ext vanish. Bull. of the London Math. Soc. 33, #1, p. 41–51, 2001.
- [4] E. Enochs, S. Estrada. Relative homological algebra in the category of quasi-coherent sheaves. Advances in Math. 194, #2, p. 284–295, 2005.
- [5] R. Göbel, J. Trlifaj. Approximations and endomorphism algebras of modules. Second Revised and Extended Edition. De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 41, De Gruyter, Berlin–Boston, 2012.
- [6] A. Grothendieck. Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique. Tohoku Math. Journ. (2) 9, #2, p. 119–221, 1957.

- [7] A. Grothendieck, J. A. Dieudonné. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 166. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [8] D. Herbera, W. Pitsch, M. Saorín, S. Virili. A poisonous example to explicit resolutions of unbounded complexes. Electronic preprint arXiv:2407.09741 [math.RA].
- [9] A. J. de Jong et al. The Stacks Project. Available from https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/
- [10] R. Kanda. Non-exactness of direct products of quasi-coherent sheaves. Documenta Math. 24, p. 2037-2056, 2019. arXiv:1810.08752 [math.CT]
- [11] H. Krause. The stable derived category of a Noetherian scheme. Compositio Math. 141, #5, p. 1128-1162, 2005. arXiv:math.AG/0403526
- [12] D. Murfet. Derived categories of quasi-coherent sheaves. Notes, October 2006. Available from http://www.therisingsea.org/notes
- [13] L. Positselski. Contraherent cosheaves on schemes. Electronic preprint arXiv:1209.2995v15 [math.CT].
- [14] L. Positselski. Contraadjusted modules, contramodules, and reduced cotorsion modules. Moscow Math. Journ. 17, #3, p. 385-455, 2017. arXiv:1605.03934 [math.CT]
- [15] L. Positselski. Local, colocal, and antilocal properties of modules and complexes over commutative rings. Journ. of Algebra 646, p. 100–155, 2024. arXiv:2212.10163 [math.AC]
- [16] L. Positselski. Philosophy of contraherent cosheaves. Electronic preprint arXiv:2311.14179 [math.AG].
- [17] L. Positselski, A. Slávik. Flat morphisms of finite presentation are very flat. Annali di Matem. Pura ed Appl. 199, #3, p. 875-924, 2020. arXiv:1708.00846 [math.AC]
- [18] L. Positselski, J. Sťovíček. ∞-tilting theory. Pacific Journ. of Math. 301, #1, p. 297–334, 2019. arXiv:1711.06169 [math.CT]
- [19] L. Positselski, J. Šťovíček. Flat quasi-coherent sheaves as directed colimits, and quasi-coherent cotorsion periodicity. Electronic preprint arXiv:2212.09639 [math.AG].
- [20] J.-E. Roos. Derived functors of inverse limits revisited. Journ. of the London Math. Soc. (2) 73, #1, p. 65–83, 2006.
- [21] L. Salce. Cotorsion theories for abelian groups. Symposia Math. XXIII, Academic Press, London–New York, 1979, p. 11–32.
- [22] J. Sťovíček. Derived equivalences induced by big cotilting modules. Advances in Math. 263, p. 45-87, 2014. arXiv:1308.1804 [math.CT]
- [23] X. Zhu. Resolving resolution dimensions. Algebras and Represent. Theory 16, #4, p. 1165–1191, 2013.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAGUE 1, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: positselski@math.cas.cz