

Phonon linewidths with two screened electron-phonon couplings are not overscreened

Gianluca Stefanucci^{1,2} and Enrico Perfetto^{1,2}

¹*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Rome, Italy*

²*INFN, Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Rome, Italy*

In this paper we conclusively demonstrate that Density Functional Perturbation Theory calculations of phonon linewidths are not affected by overscreening issues. Additionally, we present an exact formula designed to incorporate nonadiabatic and correlation effects while ensuring the positivity of the linewidths. Finally, we extend the treatment to nonequilibrium scenarios and offer a rigorous justification for employing the phononic Boltzmann equation.

Progress in designing new materials to improve device performances hinges on understanding the quantum mechanical behavior of a macroscopic number of electrons and nuclei. Among the valuable concepts researchers use to characterize a material, the phonon linewidth holds a prominent place [1, 2]. It influences the thermal conductivity, thermal expansion, specific heat, and it may also indicate the occurrence of a structural phase transition.

The way of calculating the linewidth $\gamma_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}$ of a phonon mode α with momentum \mathbf{q} has been a topic of ongoing debate in recent years [3–5]. Given the importance of the topic, we briefly revisit the essence of the matter. The popular formula [2, 6] (atomic units are used throughout this work)

$$\gamma_{\alpha\mathbf{q}} = 2\pi \sum_{\mu\nu} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |g_{\mu\nu,\alpha}^s(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})|^2 (f_{\nu\mathbf{k}} - f_{\mu\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}) \times \delta(\epsilon_{\mu\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - \epsilon_{\nu\mathbf{k}} - \omega_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}) \quad (1)$$

involves the electronic band dispersions $\epsilon_{\mu\mathbf{k}}$, the phonon frequencies $\omega_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}$, and the *statically screened* electron-phonon ($e-ph$) coupling $g_{\mu\nu,\alpha}^s(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) = \langle \mu\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q} | g_{\alpha-\mathbf{q}}^s(\mathbf{r}) | \nu\mathbf{k} \rangle$. This coupling is today routinely calculated using Density Functional Perturbation Theory (DFTP) [7–9]. Equation (1) with DFTP g^s has been heavily utilized for decades [10–15], and continues to stand as a cornerstone even today. It can be readily derived by applying the Fermi golden rule to a (model) Hamiltonian in which electrons and phonons interact through g^s .

The issue arises because in the first-principles Hamiltonian [16, 17], electrons and phonons do not interact via $g_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}^s(\mathbf{r})$, but rather through the *bare* $e-ph$ coupling $g_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}) = g_{\alpha-\mathbf{q}}^*(\mathbf{r})$. These couplings are related by the dynamically screened interaction (spatial convolutions are implicit)

$$g_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}^{s,R}(\omega) = (1 + v\chi^R(\omega)) g_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}, \quad (2)$$

where v is the Coulomb interaction and χ^R is the (phonon-irreducible) *retarded* density response function:

$$\chi^R(\omega) = P^R(\omega) + P^R(\omega)v\chi^R(\omega), \quad (3)$$

P^R being the polarization (or irreducible density response function). For later purposes, we also introduce the advanced function $g_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}^{s,A}(\omega)$, obtained by replacing χ^R with the advanced response $\chi^A = [\chi^R]^\dagger$. The $e-ph$ coupling in Eq. (1) is the zero-frequency value of the dynamically screened interaction, i.e.,

$$g_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}^s = g_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}^{s,R}(\omega=0) = g_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}^{s,A}(\omega=0), \quad (4)$$

where in the second equality we use that $\chi^R(\omega=0) = \chi^A(\omega=0)$ [18].

An argument justifying Eq. (1) from a first principles standpoint has been presented in Ref. [19], using linear-response time-dependent density functional theory (LR-TDDFT). However, many-body perturbation theory (MBPT) leads to the following exact expression of the (retarded) phononic self-energy [3, 17]

$$\Pi_{\alpha\alpha'\mathbf{q}}^R(\omega) = g_{\alpha-\mathbf{q}}^* P^R(\omega) g_{\alpha'-\mathbf{q}}^{s,R}(\omega) = g_{\alpha-\mathbf{q}}^{s,A*}(\omega) P^R(\omega) g_{\alpha'-\mathbf{q}}, \quad (5)$$

featuring one bare and one screened $e-ph$ couplings. As $\gamma_{\alpha\mathbf{q}} = -\text{Im}[\Pi_{\alpha\alpha\mathbf{q}}^R(\omega_{\alpha\mathbf{q}})]$, it would seem that Eq. (1) is affected by overscreening. Reconciling the exact MBPT result [3, 17] with the LR-TDDFT-based justification [19] would corroborate the scientific relevance of the extensive literature relying on Eq. (1). An attempt in this direction is outlined in Ref. [5], yet conclusive proof of the congruence between the two approaches remains elusive. We therefore deem it necessary to rigorously clarify this issue.

We observe preliminarily that Eq. (5) does not provide evidence that Eq. (1) overscreens. In fact, Eq. (1) only implies that $\Pi_{\alpha\alpha\mathbf{q}}^R(\omega)$ and $g_{\alpha-\mathbf{q}}^{s*} P^R(\omega) g_{\alpha-\mathbf{q}}^s$ have equal imaginary parts. As the MBPT diagrammatic expansion [17] is not formulated in terms of the imaginary parts of self-energies and response functions, there are no apparent instances of double counting of diagrams (and hence overscreening). Below, we demonstrate that indeed this is the case.

Using Eq. (3), or its advanced version $\chi^A = P^A + P^A(\omega)v\chi^A$, the phononic self-energy can uniquely be written as $\Pi_{\alpha\alpha'\mathbf{q}}^R(\omega) = g_{\alpha-\mathbf{q}}^* \chi^R(\omega) g_{\alpha'-\mathbf{q}}$. The imaginary parts of χ^R and P^R [20] are related by [18] (see also below)

$$\text{Im}[\chi^R(\omega)] = (1 + \chi^R(\omega)v) \text{Im}[P^R(\omega)] (1 + v\chi^A(\omega)). \quad (6)$$

Therefore

$$\text{Im}[\Pi_{\alpha\alpha'\mathbf{q}}^R(\omega)] = g_{\alpha-\mathbf{q}}^{s,A*}(\omega) \text{Im}[P^R(\omega)] g_{\alpha'-\mathbf{q}}^{s,A}(\omega). \quad (7)$$

We emphasize that Eq. (7) is an *exact* rewriting of the imaginary part of Π^R . By implementing the statically screened approximation at this stage, we retrieve Eq. (1) when P^R is calculated to zeroth order in v . We have conclusively demonstrated that Eq. (1) is not affected by overscreening, and have successfully reconciled MBPT with LR-TDDFT. At the same time,

we have shown how to go beyond Eq. (1) (via the inclusion of nonadiabatic effects in g^s and correlation effects in P) without violating the positivity property of the linewidth [21, 22]. The take-home message from the proof is that computing the imaginary part first and then the static limit is not equivalent to computing the static limit first and then the imaginary part. In the latter case, for all $\omega \neq 0$, the two equivalent expressions of Π^R in Eq. (5) yield distinct results in the static limit, and neither is guaranteed to have a negative imaginary part.

It is worth remarking that the result in Eq. (7) does not support the use of model Hamiltonians with a statically screened e - ph coupling. Employing such Hamiltonians would lead to incorrect predictions when nonadiabatic effects or coupling to coherent phonons are addressed [17, 23], among other problems. Thus, although Eq. (1) makes sense, the original derivation, based on the Fermi golden rule, is not correct. It is also interesting to draw parallels between Eq. (7) and the imaginary part of the screened Coulomb interaction $W^R = v + vP^R W^R$. Multiplying Eq. (6) on both the left and right by v , we obtain the well known result [18] $\text{Im}[W^R] = W^R \text{Im}[P^R] W^A$, which may (mistakenly) suggest the presence of overscreening here as well.

The entire formulation can be readily extended to systems out of equilibrium, thereby justifying the use of the Boltzmann equations [1, 24, 25], semiconductor Bloch equations [26, 27], and semiconductor electron-phonon equations (SEPE) [28] to explore the coupled dynamics of electrons and phonons. In Ref. [28] we wrote about the phonon dynamics: ‘‘Currently, all nonequilibrium state-of-the-art methods dress *both* g^s ’s, thereby suffering of a double counting problem. The SEPE do not resolve this issue either.’’ This is an erroneous statement as there is no issue to resolve, as demonstrated below.

Out of equilibrium, a time-ordered function X^T and its retarded counterpart X^R are independent of each other. It is therefore common to introduce the so-called lesser/greater functions $X^{\lessgtr}(t, t')$, from which the retarded function, $X^R(t, t') = \theta(t, t')[X^>(t, t') - X^<(t, t')]$, as well as the time-ordered one follow [18]. The Boltzmann equation for the phononic occupations reads $\frac{d}{dt} f_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{q}}^{\text{ph}} = S_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{q}}^{\text{ph}}$ [28], where the phononic scattering term $S_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{q}}^{\text{ph}}$ is a functional of the approxi-

mated self-energy

$$\Pi_{\alpha\alpha'\mathbf{q}}^{\lessgtr}(t, t') = g_{\alpha-\mathbf{q}}^{s*} P^{\lessgtr}(t, t') g_{\alpha-\mathbf{q}}^s. \quad (8)$$

Let us show that Π^{\lessgtr} in Eq. (8) is not affected by overscreening. We start from the exact relation

$$\Pi_{\alpha\alpha'\mathbf{q}}^{\lessgtr}(t, t') = g_{\alpha-\mathbf{q}}^* \chi^{\lessgtr}(t, t') g_{\alpha'-\mathbf{q}}. \quad (9)$$

To calculate χ^{\lessgtr} we use the Dyson equation on the Keldysh contour, $\chi = P + P v \chi$, and the Langreth rules [18, 29] (space-time convolutions are implicit):

$$\chi^{\lessgtr} = [1 + \chi^R v] P^{\lessgtr} [1 + v \chi^A]. \quad (10)$$

Inserting this result into Eq. (9) we obtain

$$\Pi_{\alpha\alpha'\mathbf{q}}^{\lessgtr}(t, t') = \int d\bar{t} d\bar{t}' g_{\alpha-\mathbf{q}}^{s,A*}(t, \bar{t}) P^{\lessgtr}(\bar{t}, \bar{t}') g_{\alpha'-\mathbf{q}}^{s,A}(\bar{t}', t'), \quad (11)$$

where $g^{s,A}(t, t')$ is the (advanced) nonequilibrium screened e - ph coupling. Implementing the static approximation at this stage, i.e., $g_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}^{s,A}(t, t') = \delta(t, t') g_{\alpha\mathbf{q}}^s$, we retrieve Eq. (8), which is therefore overscreening free. The nonequilibrium results reduce to the previous ones for systems in equilibrium (or in a steady-state). In this case, all functions develop a dependence solely on the time difference and can be Fourier transformed. Taking into account that $\text{Im}[\Pi^R] = \frac{1}{2}[\Pi^R - \Pi^A] = \frac{1}{2}[\Pi^> - \Pi^<]$, and the like for P , we see that Eq. (11) implies Eq. (7).

In conclusion, we have shown that the ‘‘standard’’ method of calculating phonon linewidths is not affected by overscreening. Furthermore, we have outlined a pathway to incorporate nonadiabatic effects in g^s and correlation effects in P without violating the positivity property of the linewidth. The nonequilibrium extension also substantiates research on electron-phonon dynamics based on Boltzmann-like equations.

The Authors acknowledge funding from Ministero Universita e Ricerca PRIN under grant agreement No. 2022WZ8LME, from INFN through project TIME2QUEST, from European Research Council MSCA-ITN TIMES under grant agreement 101118915, and from Tor Vergata University through project TESLA.

-
- [1] J. M. Ziman, *Electrons and phonons: the theory of transport phenomena in solids* (Clarendon, 1960).
- [2] G. Grimvall, *The electron-phonon interaction in metals* (North-Holland, 1981).
- [3] F. Giustino, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 015003 (2017).
- [4] A. Marini, *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, 024305 (2023).
- [5] J. Berges, N. Giroto, T. Wehling, N. Marzari, and S. Ponce, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 041009 (2023).
- [6] P. B. Allen, *Phys. Rev. B* **6**, 2577 (1972).
- [7] S. Baroni, P. Giannozzi, and A. Testa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 1861 (1987).
- [8] X. Gonze, D. C. Allan, and M. P. Teter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 3603 (1992).
- [9] S. Y. Savrasov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2819 (1992).
- [10] W. H. Butler, F. J. Pinski, and P. B. Allen, *Phys. Rev. B* **19**, 3708 (1979).
- [11] R. Bauer, A. Schmid, P. Pavone, and D. Strauch, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 11276 (1998).
- [12] A. Shukla, M. Calandra, M. d’Astuto, M. Lazzeri, F. Mauri, C. Bellin, M. Krisch, J. Karpinski, S. M. Kazakov, J. Jun, D. Daghero, and K. Parlinski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 095506 (2003).
- [13] M. Lazzeri, S. Piscanec, F. Mauri, A. C. Ferrari, and J. Robertson, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 155426 (2006).
- [14] F. Giustino, M. L. Cohen, and S. G. Louie, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 165108 (2007).
- [15] R. Heid, K.-P. Bohnen, I. Y. Sklyadneva, and E. V. Chulkov, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 174527 (2010).

- [16] A. Marini, S. Ponc e, and X. Gonze, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 224310 (2015).
- [17] G. Stefanucci, R. van Leeuwen, and E. Perfetto, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 031026 (2023).
- [18] G. Stefanucci and R. van Leeuwen, *Nonequilibrium Many-Body Theory of Quantum Systems: A Modern Introduction* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013).
- [19] M. Calandra, G. Profeta, and F. Mauri, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 165111 (2010).
- [20] For matrices like χ , $\text{Im}[\chi^R](\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \omega) = \frac{1}{2}[\chi^R(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \omega) - \chi^A(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \omega)]$.
- [21] G. Stefanucci, Y. Pavlyukh, A.-M. Uimonen, and R. van Leeuwen, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 115134 (2014).
- [22] A.-M. Uimonen, G. Stefanucci, Y. Pavlyukh, and R. van Leeuwen, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 115104 (2015).
- [23] E. Perfetto, K. Wu, and G. Stefanucci, *npj 2D Materials and Applications* **8**, 40 (2024).
- [24] S. Ponc e, E. R. Margine, and F. Giustino, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 121201 (2018).
- [25] S. Sadasivam, M. K. Y. Chan, and P. Darancet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 136602 (2017).
- [26] H. Haug, , and S. W. Koch, *Quantum Theory of the Optical and Electronic Properties of Semiconductors* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).
- [27] M. Kira and S. Koch, *Progress in Quantum Electronics* **30**, 155 (2006).
- [28] G. Stefanucci and E. Perfetto, *SciPost Phys.* **16**, 073 (2024).
- [29] Y. Pavlyukh, E. Perfetto, and G. Stefanucci, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 035124 (2021).