

Non-thermal Observations of a Flare Loop-top using IRIS Fe XXI: Implications for Turbulence and Electron Acceleration

WILLIAM ASHFIELD IV ^{1,2} VANESSA POLITO ^{2,3} SIJIE YU ⁴ HANNAH COLLIER ^{5,6} AND
LAURA A. HAYES ⁷

¹Bay Area Environmental Research Institute, NASA Research Park, Moffett Field, CA 94035-0001, USA

²Lockheed Martin Solar and Astrophysics Laboratory, Building 203, 3251 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304, USA

³Oregon State University, Department of Physics, 301 Weniger Hall, Corvallis, 97331, OR, USA

⁴Center for Solar-Terrestrial Research, New Jersey Institute of Technology, 323 M L King Jr Boulevard, Newark, NJ 07102-1982, USA

⁵University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland, Bahnhofstrasse 6, 5210 Windisch, Switzerland

⁶ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich Switzerland

⁷European Space Agency, ESTEC, Keplerlaan 1 - 2201 AZ, Noordwijk, The Netherlands

(Accepted July 16th, 2024)

Submitted to ApJ

ABSTRACT

The excess broadening of high-temperature spectral lines, long observed near the tops of flare arcades, is widely considered to result from magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence. According to different theories, plasma turbulence is also believed to be a candidate mechanism for particle acceleration during solar flares. However, the degree to which this broadening is connected to the acceleration of non-thermal electrons remains largely unexplored outside of recent work, and many observations have been limited by limited spatial resolution and cadence. Using the *Interface Region Imaging Spectrometer* (IRIS), we present spatially resolved observations of loop-top broadenings using hot (≈ 11 MK) Fe XXI 1354.1 Å line emission at ≈ 9 s cadence during the 2022 March 30 X1.3 flare. We find non-thermal velocities upwards of 65 km s^{-1} that decay linearly with time, indicating the presence and subsequent dissipation of plasma turbulence. Moreover, the initial Fe XXI signal was found to be co-spatial and co-temporal with microwave emission measured by the *Expanded Owens Valley Solar Array* (EOVSA), placing a population of non-thermal electrons in the same region as the loop-top turbulence. Evidence of electron acceleration at this time is further supported by hard X-ray measurements from the *Spectrometer/Telescope for Imaging X-rays* (STIX) aboard Solar Orbiter. Using the decay of non-thermal broadenings as a proxy for turbulent dissipation, we found the rate of energy dissipation to be consistent with the power of non-thermal electrons deposited into the chromosphere, suggesting a possible connection between turbulence and electron acceleration.

1. INTRODUCTION

According to the standard model of solar flares, magnetic free energy stored in the corona is released through the reconfiguration of highly stressed field lines via magnetic reconnection. This energy is ultimately converted to other forms and transported throughout the solar atmosphere (Syrovatskii & Shmeleva 1972; Craig & McClymont 1976; Kopp & Pneuman 1976), driving the phenomena observed with these events. One such transport mechanism at the heart of the standard model is the acceleration of non-thermal electrons from the reconnection site to the lower atmosphere, which in turn allows for the heating and ablation of chromospheric plasma upwards into newly reconnected flare loops during the evaporation process. While indirect evidence for this process is observed as the increases in soft X-ray (SXR) and extrema ultraviolet (EUV) emission of flare loops Neupert (1968), direct evidence of non-thermal electron deposition manifests in the form of hard X-ray emission (HXR) at the location of flare footpoints in the chromosphere Brown (1971).

Although there is much evidence for non-thermal electron transport from the corona to the lower atmosphere (Aschwanden et al. 1996; Holman et al. 2011), the mechanism behind particle acceleration is still in question. Popular theories regarding this process are linked to the dynamics of the reconnection process, such as the formation of magnetic islands and plasmoids (Drake et al. 2006), or termination shocks following the impact of reconnection outflows at the base of the current sheet (Forbes 1986; Chen et al. 2015). These outflows, especially in the regions stemming from the flare arcade loop-top (LT) and above, are also likely to generate magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence given the large Reynolds number of coronal plasma, as has been seen in several numerical studies (Ruan et al. 2018; Ye et al. 2020; Shen et al. 2023a), in addition to observation (McKenzie 2013; Cheng et al. 2018). The cascade of energy to smaller scales in MHD turbulence can also energize electrons on the kinetic scale, providing a stochastic transfer of energy to electrons that would result in their acceleration (Larosa & Moore 1993a; Goldreich & Sridhar 1995; Miller et al. 1997; Petrosian 2012). Recent microwave (MW) spectral imaging data taken with the Expanded Owens Valley Solar Array (EOVSA; Gary et al. 2018) has brought about a paradigm shift in high-energy flare physics, allowing for spatially and temporally resolved measurements of non-thermal electrons and magnetic fields through gyrosynchrotron emission of accelerated electrons in the flaring corona. Although recent work using EOVSA has provided evidence for the acceleration site location near the post-flare LTs (Chen et al. 2020a; Yu et al. 2020; Fleishman et al. 2022), additional diagnostics are necessary to constrain the acceleration mechanisms involved.

Given the high-temperature nature of flare plasma, spectroscopic observations of ultraviolet (UV) emission lines — sensitive to such high-temperature plasma — have shed much light on the properties of plasma dynamics during flares, such as mass flows and electron densities (see reviews by Fletcher et al. (2011) and Del Zanna & Mason (2018)). An observable of UV spectra that continues to remain of particular interest to the flare community is the degree to which measured line profiles broaden beyond their respective thermal widths. Due to the fluctuations in the plasma velocity field, either from large-scale bulk plasma flows or microscopic ion perturbations, the presence of enhanced line broadening is widely believed to be a signature of MHD turbulence (e.g. Milligan 2011; Polito et al. 2019).

While the signatures of turbulence in solar flares remain a topic of active research, investigations have started exploring the evolution of non-thermal broadenings in the context of turbulent dissipation. Starting with Kontar et al. (2017), measurements in non-thermal broadening were found to decay over time, which was used to infer a rate of turbulent kinetic energy dissipation. This

energy was found to be consistent with the power of non-thermal electrons as measured by RHESSI, indicating a possible connection between MHD turbulence and the coronal electron acceleration site. However, the study was limited to values of non-thermal line width averaged over the total emission region using the Extreme-ultraviolet Imaging Spectrometer (EIS). A follow-up study by Stores et al. (2021) mapped the spatial distribution and evolution of non-thermal velocities of the same event at a resolution of $4''$, confirming that turbulence as inferred from such velocities is not necessarily localized, but can vary along the LT and loop-leg regions of a post flare arcade. The most recent work by Shen et al. (2023a) used observations from the Interface Region Imaging Spectrometer (IRIS; De Pontieu et al. 2014) to study the evolution of non-thermal broadenings in the IRIS Fe XXI 1354.08 Å line and their subsequent decay in the context of MHD turbulence. Using a three-dimensional MHD simulation, they found turbulent bulk plasma flows in the current sheet and flare LT regions were responsible for the non-thermal broadening of the Fe XXI emission line. Despite this progress, the decay of non-thermal broadenings and their subsequent connection to the acceleration site of non-thermal electrons remains largely unexplored.

The present investigation undertakes a multi-instrument approach to study the evolution of non-thermal signatures associated with the March 30th, 2022 X1.3 flare. Using observations with high spatial and spectral resolution measured by IRIS, we find instances where the Fe XXI spectral line displays relatively large non-thermal widths at the flare LT, with non-thermal velocities reaching upwards of 65 km s^{-1} . By tracking the evolution of these broadenings, we find two periods of time where the non-thermal broadenings decrease linearly with time, an evolution characteristic of MHD turbulent decay. Moreover, the initial Fe XXI signal is co-spatial and co-temporal with gyrosynchrotron microwave emission as measured with EOVSAs. When combined with HXR measurements taken from the Spectrometer/Telescope for Imaging X-rays (STIX) aboard Solar Orbiter (SolO), we find this activity indicates a population of non-thermal electrons along the loop in conjunction with electron deposition in the chromosphere. As these non-thermal signatures exist in unison, we aim to explore their possible relationships.

The paper is organized as follows. An overview of the flare and the observational data used in this study is presented in Section 2, followed by an analysis of the Fe XXI line profiles and their implications for the flare LT dynamics presented in Section 3. Signatures of electron acceleration using EOVSAs and STIX in relation to the initial Fe XXI emission are analyzed in Section 4. We discuss the implications of the decay of non-thermal broadenings in terms of kinetic energy dissipation and its connection to the acceleration site in Section 5. A brief summary of the results and conclusions is given in Section 6.

2. FLARE OVERVIEW

The March 30th 2022 event (SOL2022-03-30T17:23:06) was an X1.3 GOES class eruptive flare in NOAA active region AR 12975, located on the NW quadrant of the solar disk as viewed from Earth. From the GOES X-ray Sensor (XRS) 1-8 Å filter light curve in Figure 1, the flare began at $\approx 17:20$ UT, peaked at 17:37:42 UT, and lasted roughly 1.5 hours. Throughout its lifetime, the flare was well observed in EUV by Atmospheric Imaging Assembly aboard the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO/AIA; Lemen et al. 2012), 4-150 keV X-rays by SolO/STIX (Krucker et al. 2020), and 1-18 GHz MW by EOVSAs (Gary et al. 2018).

Beginning in the impulsive phase, STIX observed high-energy signatures in three discrete HXR emission pulsations, or *phases* (Collier et al. 2023). Figure 1 shows the HXR emission count rate




Figure 1. Light curve overview of the 2022 March 30 X1.3-class flare. GOES SXR fluxes (blue and red lines) and normalized SJI 1330 Å counts (green) in the top panel illustrate the evolution of the flare captured by IRIS. The two enlarged panels on the bottom show the HXR (red) and SXR (blue) count rate from SolO/STIX, and microwave flux densities in three frequency bands from EOVSAs, respectively. The vertical dashed lines indicate the start time of the initial loop-top Fe XXI emission, the peak time of the second phase, and the first pulse in the third phase, respectively, and correspond to the three times in Figure 7.

over the 32-76 keV energy bin at a 0.5 s cadence. Given SolO’s near-perihelion location at 0.33 AU,

reference times have been adjusted to Earth’s reference time at UT. Likewise, full-disk integrated MW dynamic spectra taken with EOVSA show three distinct intensity phases. The bottom panel of Figure 1 plots MW flux in three GHz bins covering the effective broadband range of EOVSA, each correlating with the phases and individual bursts seen in the 32-76 keV STIX channels. As gyrosynchrotron radiation from coronal electrons dominates EOVSA MW emission, the degree to which the MWs phases correlate with the HXR emission emphasizes its use as a valuable diagnostic for electron acceleration. The following work focuses on times occurring over the second and third energy phases, which coincide with MW emissions occurring in proximity to the IRIS SG slit. A more in-depth investigation of HXR and MW pulsations during the first phase can be found in recent work by [Collier et al. \(2024\)](#).

Observations taken with IRIS ([De Pontieu et al. 2014, 2021](#)) captured most of the flare’s evolution in the UV, with the observing run ending at 17:54:31 (see the green curve in Figure 1). Running in a sit-and-stare mode (OBSID 3660259102), the spacecraft captured slit-jaw images (SJI) at a cadence of 28.1 seconds in the 1330 Å channel. A flare line list spectral readout including the O I window (1351.3 - 1356.46 Å) had a variable exposure time ranging from 0.8-8.0 seconds and a cadence of 3.9-14.7s. The spatial resolution of the SJI and spectrograph (SG) slit was 0.166" per pixel, with a 0.33" wide slit. The FUV1 channel (1331.7–1358.4) was binned spectrally by two onboard, resulting in a resolution of 26 mÅ.

The IRIS field of view (FOV) was favorably positioned over the post-flare loop arcade during its observing run, as shown in the right panels in Figure 2. Here, the arcade is given by images of AIA 131 Å and illustrates the morphology of hot coronal plasma over time. Because the event was a so-called two-ribbon flare, with the parallel ribbons roughly running in the east-west direction, the location of the slit allowed for complete spectral measurements of the southern ribbon and the westward edge of the northern ribbon. Moreover, the slit position aids in the identification of the LT emission, as spectra emanating between the two ribbons could belong to arcade plasma. The following section explores the behavior of Fe XXI spectra in this region.

3. LOOP-TOP FE XXI EMISSION

The IRIS Fe XXI 1354.1 Å emission line, formed at temperatures of ≈ 11.5 MK, allows for spectrographic observations of the flaring corona. Like many optically thin spectra, Fe XXI is frequently observed to have a Gaussian line profile, given an isothermal Maxwellian distribution of plasma ions (e.g. [Graham & Cauzzi 2015](#); [Polito et al. 2019](#)). Following an initial verification of the line profile shapes, we perform Gaussian fits to the Fe XXI spectra to infer plasma motion diagnostics stemming from the arcade LT.

Prior to fitting, level 2 raster data were processed starting at 17:24:31 UT, preceding the start of the impulsive phase, and lasting until the end of the observation run 30 minutes later. The lower IRIS SG fiducial mark, visible as dark bands on SG detector images, overlapped regions of interest in our observations and was excluded by ignoring pixels 142-144 (314.4"-314.9") throughout our analysis to ensure no related intensity artifacts. The SG data was further normalized by exposure time to remove any effects of variable exposure time and cleaned via the de-spiking procedure `iris_prep_despike` in SolarSoft to remove bad pixels ([Freeland & Handy 1998](#)). Lastly, because the Fe XXI signal was relatively weak throughout the observation, an additional spectral binning of two was applied to the SG data to reduce noise for the fitting process, resulting in a 52 mÅ spectral resolution.




Figure 2. AIA 131 Å images in inverse logarithmic greyscale (left), along with corresponding IRIS SJI 1330 Å images (right), showing the evolution of the flare arcade at two times. The red boxes and dashed lines show the SJI FOV and slit position, respectively. EUV knots correspond to the brightest (most-black) emission along the LT, with an example highlighted by the blue arrow. The multi-color line gives the peak Fe XXI intensity measured along the IRIS SG slit.

Although LT emission is the focus of this work, we aim to fit Fe XXI spectra along the entire SG slit, including emission from the flare ribbons. Previous observations have shown that Fe XXI ribbon emission is blended with other chromospheric and photospheric lines in the OI channel, including the predominant the C I 1354.3 Å line (Polito et al. 2015, 2016). We therefore employed the `iris_auto_fit` multi-Gaussian routine¹ in SolarSoft to account for the presence of these lines. Prior to the routine, weak signals with wavelength-integrated intensity $\pm 0.5 \text{ \AA}$ of the Fe XXI line below a threshold of 50 DN \AA s^{-1} were ignored from the fit. Likewise, the fit was discarded from the results if the Gaussian amplitude, or peak intensity, was less than twice the measured background intensity at a given time.

¹ Further details can be found at https://www.pyoung.org/quick_guides/iris_auto_fit.html




Figure 3. Time-distance stack plots of Fe XXI spectral line parameters and AIA emission in three channels along the IRIS SG slit. The top three panels show intensity (a), Doppler velocity (b), and FWHM line width (c) as inferred from Gaussian fits to Fe XXI. Dashed and dashed-dotted lines give the northward and southward Fe XXI emission propagation speed away from the LT, respectively. The orange and cyan lines in (a) and (c), respectively, outline the pixels used in Figure 4. The white dashed box (c) outlines the time and location of the initial LT Fe XXI signal. Times of high CCD noise due to increased cosmic ray exposure are masked in red. Overlaid contours outline the Fe XXI intensity at [4,9,18] DN s⁻¹.

Figure 3 shows time-distance stack plots of the resulting plasma diagnostics inferred using Fe XXI spectral line parameters along the IRIS SG slit. The top three panels (a-c) show peak intensity, Doppler velocity, and non-thermal line width, respectively. Doppler velocities were calculated using the differences between Gaussian centroid positions and the rest wavelength, taken here to be 1354.08 \AA following several studies of this line (Sandlin et al. 1986; Polito et al. 2015). Non-thermal widths were calculated using the FWHM of the Gaussian fits, where $\text{FWHM} = 2\sqrt{2\ln 2}\sigma$ for Gaussian line width σ . By decomposing the line width into thermal, non-thermal, and instrumental components, the FWHM can be expressed as

$$\text{FWHM} = \sqrt{4\ln 2\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{c}\right)^2\left(v_{\text{th}}^2 + v_{\text{nth}}^2\right) + \text{FWHM}_{\text{IRIS}}^2}, \quad (1)$$

where v_{nth} is the non-thermal broadening expressed as a velocity. The thermal velocity v_{th} is given by the Doppler broadening of the line, $\sqrt{2k_b T_{\text{Fe}}/m_i}$, for ion mass $m_i=56$ and formation temperature T_{Fe} . Here, we take $\text{Log}_{10} T_{\text{Fe}}[\text{K}] = 7.06$, the temperature at the contribution function maximum calculated using Chianti v10 (Del Zanna et al. 2021), which gives a thermal width of $0.439 \text{ \AA} = 58.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Broadening due to instrumental effects is given by $\text{FWHM}_{\text{IRIS}} = 26 \text{ m\AA}$. The flight time of IRIS through the Southern Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) during the observation run, resulting in increased cosmic ray exposure and SG noise, is highlighted in red.

Fe XXI emission along the slit can be considered to evolve from two separate regions: the LT and the ribbons. The southern ribbon, starting at $y \approx 295''$, is outlined by the strong Doppler velocity blueshifts in Figure 3(b). These large upflows, reaching speeds upwards of 130 km s^{-1} provide clear evidence of chromospheric evaporation. While the northern ribbon is not as clearly defined here, chromospheric lines in the OI detector images show its location starting at $y \approx 317''$ and spreading northward over time (Figure 5). A more detailed ribbon analysis of this flare can be found in recent work by Xu et al. (2023) and Wang et al. (2023a).

We identify the LT emission by the distinct increase in Fe XXI intensity at $y \approx 311''$, which brightens almost in tandem with the ribbon emission. As mentioned above, emission in the region between the ribbons in a two-ribbon flare could belong to the post-flare arcade loops. This idea can be more readily seen by the location of the Fe XXI intensity along the slit illustrated in Figure 2, where the initial signal of the emission does not emanate from either ribbon. Moreover, the LT Fe XXI profiles themselves support a coronal source by lacking the blend photospheric lines that are typical of ribbon emission. Although this notion constrains the emission to the coronal plasma, a more rigorous definition of LT emission is provided by comparing Fe XXI intensity to AIA 131 \AA emission. As seen in Figure 2, the morphology of AIA 131 \AA is characterized by bright, localized LT structures, commonly referred to as EUV knots. These knots have long been observed along the arcades of post-flare loops (Widing & Cheng 1974; Acton et al. 1992), and while their formation mechanism remains unclear (e.g. Patsourakos et al. 2004; Reeves et al. 2007), they are widely considered to exist at the apex of such loops.

To study the connection between knots and Fe XXI emission in this study, slices along AIA images in three wavelengths (94 \AA , 131 \AA , and 193 \AA) were taken over time, with the artificial slit interpolated in space to match the IRIS slit position at AIA observation times. We note that all AIA images used in this work were first prepped using routines found in the aiapy Python package (Barnes et al. 2020), filtered to select only non-saturated data, and deconvolved using the instrumental PSF. The resulting




Figure 4. Time series of peak intensities (top) and non-thermal velocities (bottom) from SG slit pixels corresponding to the region $[312.9''\text{-}319.9'']$ in Figure 3 (a) and (c), respectively. Pixels are aligned in time according to their respective activation time. Black curves signify the average values in each plot. The bottom panel shows the best linear fit (red-dashed) when v_{nth} is decreasing.

time-distance stack plots are shown in Figure 3(d)-(f), with the loop-top knots corresponding to the regions of peak intensity. Notably, the knots in each channel brighten sequentially, hinting at a cooling plasma provided the characteristic formation temperatures of the three AIA channels — $\text{Log}_{10} T [\text{K}] = 7.3, 7.0, 6.8$ for AIA 193 Å, 131 Å, and 94 Å, respectively — during flares (O’Dwyer et al. 2010). Focusing on the 131 Å channel in Figure 3(e), we found the evolution to neatly match that of the Fe XXI peak intensity (grey contours). While this result is not surprising given that AIA 131 Å is dominated by Fe XXI 128.75 Å during flares (O’Dwyer et al. 2010), the similarity between the two emission sources along the SG slit shows that the IRIS Fe XXI emission is also capturing the evolution of the AIA LT knot, thereby acting as a spectroscopic proxy by providing plasma diagnostics for the AIA 131 Å channel.

Several aspects of the LT dynamics inferred from Fe XXI are worth noting. First, following a period of stationary emission, the signal begins to spread outward along the slit at nearly constant velocities, as illustrated in Figure 3(a). This movement implies the top-down motion of hot flare plasma from the LT towards the ribbons, and in particular, the evaporation signatures from the southern ribbon appear to connect with the southward moving LT front much lower down at $y \approx 302''$. Second, the entire LT structure is weakly redshifted. Velocities between $304.1''$ - $319.9''$ range from 0.1 - 15.5 km s^{-1} , with average value of 7.8 km s^{-1} . Lastly, the bright LT knots are preceded by a period of non-thermal line broadening, as shown in Figure 3(c). In particular, there exists an apparent gradient in v_{nth} over time, beginning as the LT emission starts to spread along the slit. This gradient is more clearly seen in Figure 4, where v_{nth} and peak intensities from each pixel in the region $[312.9''$ - $319.9'']$ are aligned according to their respective activation time (e.g. when the intensity first appears). Averaging over these pixels, we find the gradient is well described by a linear fit, with a decay rate of $-0.092 \pm 0.006 \text{ km s}^{-2}$, and has an inverse relationship with the increase in peak intensity observed in the same period. This decay in v_{nth} also complements earlier observations made by (Young et al. 2015) regarding a two-ribbon flare, where they did not detect any significant line broadening along the loops at the points where the IRIS raster and AIA 131 Å knots overlapped. Comparing the widths in their Figure 12 to the non-thermal velocities in our Figure 3(c), we see that the broadening at the peak time and location of the LT knots are comparable. Moreover, they found the non-thermal velocities of the Fe XXI line to decrease over time, falling from 42.8 to 26.3 km s^{-1} over the course of 6 minutes by taking the median value of each 8-step raster at a cadence of 75 s. By using a sit-and-stare observation, this work allows for a more comprehensive analysis of this non-thermal decay.

Large values of non-thermal velocities are also seen as the LT Fe XXI emission becomes visible. The initial signal also appears to precede the formation of the EUV knot, which first manifests in the AIA 193 Å channel (Figure 3(d)) at $\approx 17:37:00$. As this signal is separate from the knot evolution described above, a closer look is warranted to understand the nature of the LT emission.

3.1. Initial Signal

Although the LT Fe XXI emission in Figure 3 starts at around 17:34:30, closer inspection of OI detector images shows the Fe XXI signal appearing at 17:33:25. To fix this discrepancy, due to the signal threshold selection criteria in the multi-Gaussian fitting routine, we performed a second fitting routine that automatically binned the spectra in space according to the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the Fe XXI line. Two examples of this routine, henceforth the dynamic binning routine, can be found in Figure 5. The routine is applied to a region in detector image space corresponding to the LT emission along the slit ($306.6''$ - $314.2''$) and spanning ± 0.75 of Fe XXI line center (blue box). Within that region, pixels with signals greater than 0.5σ above the region are flagged to identify the Fe XXI emission (height of the red box) and are subsequently binned to create a single spectrum (black curve) that is then fit with a Gaussian (red dashed curve). The FWHM of the Gaussian fit determines the width of the red box. We note that a single Gaussian was sufficient here, as no chromospheric line blends were detected in the Fe XXI spectra. By automatically binning the spectra in this way, we increase the SNR without including superfluous signals and mitigate the loss of spatial resolution.

The dynamic binning routine was applied on the SG data at each time during the initial signal from 17:33:25-17:36:14. Figure 6 shows the time series of the Fe XXI spectral line fit parameters. The LT emission begins with a relatively high non-thermal velocity at 65.7 km s^{-1} , which decreases steadily to 17.4 km s^{-1} in less than three minutes. Like the line width decay preceding the EUV




Figure 5. Examples of the dynamic binning routine. IRIS detector images of the O I channel in inverse greyscale are shown on the left. Grey dashed lines give the rest wavelength of Fe XXI 1354.08 Å, and blue boxes outline the applied region. The red box outlines the selected signal, with the height and width denoting the spatially binned pixels and the resulting FWHM of the Gaussian fit to the binned spectra, respectively. The binned spectra (black) and Gaussian fits (red dashed) are shown on the right, overtop the selected individual spectra.

knots (Figure 2), there exists an inverse trend between v_{nth} and peak intensity. The non-thermal velocities here also decay linearly with time but at a faster decay rate of $-0.21 \pm 0.02 \text{ km s}^{-2}$. The times of increased cosmic ray exposure were excluded from the fit to limit the effects of background noise on the downward trend. In comparison to other investigations into the decay of LT non-thermal broadening in flares (e.g., Stores et al. 2021; Shen et al. 2023a), the time scales on which we observe




Figure 6. Time series of Fe XXI spectral line parameters from the dynamic binning routine over the initial signal. Lines show peak intensity (blue), Doppler velocity (green), and non-thermal velocity (orange). The red dashed line shows the linear best fit to the non-thermal velocity. Times of increased cosmic ray exposure are masked in red.

the decay — either here during the initial signal or that which precedes the brightest Fe XXI emission in Figure 4 — are much shorter, on the order of minutes compared to several tens of minutes. The duration here also results in a rate of decay that is nearly an order of magnitude faster than those measured by Stores et al. (2021). Moreover, the maximum non-thermal velocities observed in this work are also relatively lower than these other works as well, which reach values of 100 km s^{-1} or more.

In addition to the Gaussian fits, the dynamic binning routine also provides a measure of the LT emission’s size and location during the initial signal. The size — defined by the number of pixels selected, or the height of the red box in Figure 5 — was found to be roughly constant, with an average size of $3.1''$. The box’s center location also deviated slightly over time, traveling northward from $310.1''$ to $311.1''$. We note that although our routine bins this region to increase the SNR, the signal of the LT Fe XXI emission in the OI detector images (left panels in Figure 5) is defined by a homogeneous structure that is well approximated by the red boxes. The initial LT emission is therefore well-resolved in our observations, as the $3.1''$ size of this structure is well over the IRIS SG spatial resolution of $0.166''$. Again, this resolution is in contrast to previous investigations into the decay of non-thermal broadenings in flares using Hinode/EIS (e.g. Kontar et al. 2017; Stores et al. 2021), where the resolution, albeit sufficient to locate different regions of excess line widths along the

flare loops, could have been affected by averaging over variations of macroscopic plasma dynamics within these individual regions.

The broadening of spectral lines beyond their respective thermal values can have several implications for the plasma dynamics of the emitting region. If we assume the line broadening is due to thermal effects alone, then the large widths in this work would indicate plasma temperatures beyond the expected $\text{Log}_{10} T_{\text{Fe}}[\text{K}] = 7.06$ thermal formation temperature. For example, the highest value of $v_{\text{nth}} = 66 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is equivalent to a Doppler broadened Fe XXI line formed at $\text{Log}_{10} T[\text{K}] = 7.41$. However, this assumption begs whether it is possible for Fe XXI 1354.08 Å to emit at such high temperatures. During flares, rapid heating of tenuous coronal plasma could lead to under-ionized conditions, as the ions in the plasma — especially highly charged Fe — will not have time to adjust to equilibrium (i.e., non-equilibrium ionization (NEI) Shen et al. 2013). Under-ionization shifts the distribution of charge states, or ionization fractions, amongst different species, giving a bias towards lower plasma temperatures that could result in an underestimation of the actual plasma temperature. Recent work by Shen et al. (2023b) used three-dimensional MHD simulations to study the effects of NEI on Fe ions during flares, where they found instances of plasma emission dominated by Fe XXI but with true temperatures of more than $\text{Log}_{10} T[\text{K}] = 7.25$ — a difference of over 40%. While a scenario such as this could help explain some of the large non-thermal broadenings observed in this work, it is unclear whether NEI would be enough to account for such large temperatures. For instance, the 40% temperature discrepancy in Shen et al. (2023b) was taken behind a Petschek-type reconnection shock in the current sheet with ambient plasma densities of $5 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. As our event is viewed on-disk, emission contributions from the current sheet are likely to be washed out by the denser, underlying arcade plasma. Moreover, the decay in excess line broadening, in either the initial signal or during the time preceding the brightest LT emission, suggests that the plasma is quickly cooling. This scenario would be more consistent with an over-ionized plasma, in which temperatures inferred from dominant Fe species would be overestimated with respect to their equilibrium plasma temperatures (i.e., lower than 11.5 MK in the case of Fe XXI). The location of over-ionized plasma in the Shen et al. (2023b) simulations at the base of the current sheet is also more congruent with the LT emission observed in this work.

If the LT plasma is assumed to be at the peak formation temperature of Fe XXI, then the excess broadening must instead arise from non-thermal plasma fluctuations, ranging from scales on the order of the emitting ion to large scales associated with bulk mass flows. One popular mechanism proposed for these observations during flares has been the superposition of Doppler-shifted emission from multiple bulk plasma flows. A recent study by Polito et al. (2019), however, used a multi-threaded 1D flare loop model to find that such a superposition results in asymmetric line profiles not commonly observed in Fe XXI during flares. The symmetric line profiles observed here at the resolution of individual IRIS pixels (i.e., Figure 5) also substantiate this claim. Other mechanisms, such as pressure broadening and optical depth effects, are unlikely to contribute to excess broadening in optically thin UV lines (Milligan 2011). The most likely explanation for the excess broadening, therefore, is the superposition of Doppler-shifted emission from unresolved turbulence — a consensus reached by several studies (e.g. Antonucci et al. 1984; Polito et al. 2019; Shen et al. 2023a). Moreover, the decay of v_{nth} is also characteristic of MHD turbulence, where the energy cascade from large to small scales results in the dissipation of the turbulent energy contained in the plasma (Kontar et al.




Figure 7. Spatially resolved MW spectra at various locations in the flaring loops at three selected times. Top panels (a)-(c) show inverse greyscale AIA 1600 Å maps overlaid with 70% contours from the EOVS A MW map (3.5-18 GHz) at 17:33:22, 17:33:48, and 17:35:15 UT. Grey contours outline 75% AIA 1600 Å flare ribbon intensity. Colored boxes in the top panels correspond to regions selected for spectral analysis, as shown in panels (d-f), with no change in box location between (a) and (b). The filled circles in the upper right corner of panel (a) represent the FWHM size of the restoring beams at the respective frequencies. Middle panels (d)-(f) display the brightness temperature (T_B) spectra at these times, color-coded to match the boxes. The colored curves in these spectra represent the best-fit models based on homogeneous gyrosynchrotron emissions from non-thermal electrons following a single power-law distribution, with each curve's color matching that of the corresponding spectra. Open circles in the plots indicate data points excluded for the spectral fitting. Bottom panels (g)-(i) show physical parameter ranges derived from the best-fit homogeneous gyrosynchrotron emission model fits, including magnetic field strength B , non-thermal power-law spectral index δ_{MW} , and non-thermal electron density n_{nth} .

2017; Stores et al. 2021). As we will show in the following sections, it is possible that this energy is being transferred into the acceleration of non-thermal electrons.

4. ELECTRON ACCELERATION SIGNATURES

4.1. Microwave Imaging Spectroscopy

Full-disk MW images of the flare were captured by EOVSA in 1-18 GHz. Each image used 451 frequency channels distributed across 50 spectral windows with equally-spaced bandwidths of 325 MHz, and was calibrated using standard procedures as described in Chen et al. (2020a). Due to a calibration discrepancy in the lowest-frequency spectral windows, images were produced from 45 windows ranging from 3.5-18 GHz. Final images were obtained after subtracting the background between the second and third MW phases at 17:34:50 - 17:35:00 UT. Image reconstruction was accomplished using the CLEAN algorithm with a frequency-dependent circular beam of size $60''/\nu_{\text{GHz}}$.

Preliminary analysis of MW images during the period of initial LT Fe XXI emission found instances where the collection of compact, multi-GHz frequency sources overlapped the IRIS SG slit. Three images were selected for further analysis, corresponding to the start of the initial LT Fe XXI emission, the peak time of the second phase, and the first pulse in the third phase, respectively, and are shown in Figure 7(a)-(c). The selected times are also indicated in Figure 1. We see during the first two images that lower-frequency MW sources ($\lesssim 6$ GHz) are co-spatial with the IRIS slit. Notably, the edge of the MW source in the lowest-frequency spectral window reaches the region of LT Fe XXI emission as it first begins at time 17:33:22 (blue box in panels (a) and (b)). Over time, the MW sources move southwest into and then completely off the slit during the duration of the initial Fe XXI signal.

Beyond the spatiotemporal correlation between the MW and Fe XXI emission, we highlight two points regarding the morphology of the MW sources. First, the apparent southwestward movement of the MW sources along the arcade is continuous between images (a) and (b) in Figure 7, which belong to the second pulsation phase, while the transition between (b) and (c) is discontinuous, following a period of decreased MW emission before the start of the third phase. This behavior is consistent with the time-distance stack plots in Figure 3, and indicates a spatial variance between the MW pulsations. Second, there exists a clear spatial organization between the GHz spectral windows. Previous investigations using EOVSA MW images — namely those from the 2017 September 10 X8.2 flare (e.g., Gary et al. 2018; Fleishman et al. 2020; Yu et al. 2020) — have shown that different GHz spectral windows can correspond to different regions of the flaring arcade, ranging from the lower regions of the flare loop-legs to high in the reconnection current sheet. In our case, the on-disk orientation complicates the interpretation of the MW sources in relation to the flare structure (i.e., loop-legs vs current sheet). Here we see that the higher frequency emission shows a distinct correlation with the intense emission regions of the underlying northern ribbon, shown by the gray 75% AIA 1600 Å contours. Moving downwards in frequency, the MW sources reach further southward, seemingly into the LT region of the arcade. A natural interpretation of this organization follows from the standard flare model, where MW sources are tracing out the northern half of a post-flare loop, outlined by the higher frequencies emanating near the ribbon and the lower frequencies originating from higher up in the corona, possibly from the LT or cusp regions.

To assist with this interpretation, we analyzed spatially-resolved MW brightness temperature spectra T_B derived from different locations along the MW sources. Figure 7 (d)-(f) shows the T_B spectra

(closed and open circles) corresponding to the colored boxes in panels (a)-(c), respectively, with box locations chosen to roughly map out our assumed orientation of the MW sources along a post-flare arcade loop. Considering the beam size may be larger than the boxes drawn, the error of the spectra created for each box was estimated using the image RMS across different frequencies coupled with a systematic error — 10% of the maximum brightness temperature (T_B) of each frequency — to accommodate the larger beam sizes at lower GHz. Each of the eight spectra sampled is consistent with gyrosynchrotron radiation from a population of non-thermal electrons with a power-law energy distribution (Dulk & Marsh 1982; Chen et al. 2020a), exhibiting both positive and negative slopes on the lower and high-end frequencies, respectively. A forward fit to the spectra using the homogeneous gyrosynchrotron emission model described in Chen et al. (2020b) and Fleishman et al. (2020) was performed to derive the physical parameters of the non-thermal emission. This routine employs the fast gyrosynchrotron codes outlined in Fleishman & Kuznetsov (2010) and depends on free parameters such as magnetic field strength B , non-thermal power-law spectral index δ_{MW} , thermal n_{th} and non-thermal electron densities n_{nth} above $E_{min}=10$ keV. The best fits to these spectra are shown by the solid lines in Figure 7(d)-(f), where data points having $T_B < 1$ [MK] or an SNR less than than 1.8 were excluded from the fit (open circles).

Trends in the inferred gyrosynchrotron fit parameters are visualized in the bottom panels of Figure 7. Here, the error bars designate the range of accepted values from the fitting routine spanning plus/minus the standard deviation of each model parameter. Starting with the magnetic field strengths, we find that B is lower in Box 1 and increases sequentially to Box 3, supporting the interpretation that Box 1 represents the LT region and Boxes 2 and 3 sequentially decrease in height along the flare loop. Values for the power-law spectral index of the non-thermal electron energy distribution δ_{MW} are also consistent with those expected for gyrosynchrotron emission Dulk & Marsh (1982). The non-thermal electron density n_{nth} is also highest in the Box 1, reaching values upwards of 10^{10} cm $^{-3}$. Using these values and in addition to the thermal electron density from the GS model, we found the percentage of non-thermal-to-total electron population to be notable, with Box 1 ranging from 15-35%. This result not only supports the interpretation of the MW sources as gyrosynchrotron emission from non-thermal electrons, but also suggests that the LT is a site of efficient non-thermal electron acceleration during the flare.

4.2. Hard X-ray Imaging Spectroscopy

Additional evidence for electron acceleration comes from HXR data collected by the STIX instrument aboard SolO. A Fourier-imaging spectroscopy instrument, STIX measures X-ray emission in the 4-150 keV range, and is capable of imaging the Sun with a spatial resolution of $\approx 10''$. Figure 8(a) shows SXR (yellow) and HXR (red) contours at [20,40,60]% peak emission integrated for 48 s over the first instance of Fe XXI LT emission from 17:33:14-17:34:06 UT in the Earth's time frame (but from the SolO FOV), with the images reconstructed using the MEM_GE algorithm (Massa et al. 2020). The X-ray contours are overlaid on the corresponding AIA 1600Å image reprojected to the SolO observing frame, with the contours shifted manually by $(-13, 45)''$ to account for inaccuracies in the STIX aspect system, as was performed in Collier et al. (2024). We find the HXR emission correlates with the intense UV ribbon emission at the southern ribbon, with the northern ribbon showing no significant HXR emission. However, we note the limited dynamic range of STIX ($\sim 10 : 1$) may be overpowering any HXR emission in the northern ribbon. The SolO spacecraft location with respect




Figure 8. (a) STIX X-ray images integrated from 17:33:14-17:34:06 UT from point of view of SolO, corresponding to the initial LT Fe XXII emission period. HXR (red) and SXR (yellow) contours at [20,40,60]% peak emission are overlaid on the corresponding reprojected AIA 1600 Å image. Orange contours outline 75% AIA 1600 Å flare ribbon intensity, and match those shown in Figure 7. (b) 2022 March 03 Solar Orbiter spacecraft location with respect to Earth in Heliographic Stonyhurst coordinate orientation.

to Earth at the time of the event is shown in Figure 8(b) in Heliographic Stonyhurst coordinates. At the time of this observation, SolO was close to its perihelion with a heliocentric distance of 0.33 AU.

The correlation between the HXR and UV ribbon emission is consistent with the model of electron energy transport in flares, where non-thermal electrons accelerated in the corona impact the chromosphere, depositing their energy and producing bremsstrahlung radiation in the form of HXR (Brown 1971). To study the extent of electron deposition in the chromosphere, we performed an analysis of the HXR spectra over the time surrounding the second high-energy phase, also corresponding to the time of the initial LT FeXXI signal. HXR spectra were fit using the SolarSoft OSPEX routine using a combination of thermal and cold thick-target non-thermal functions (`f_vth` and `f_thick2`, respectively) at 4s intervals over the period matching the second high-energy phase from time 17:32:43-17:34:47 UT. The resulting non-thermal electron flux, F_e [$e^- s^{-1}$], low energy cutoff, E_c [keV], and electron spectral index, δ , of the single-power, thick-target component are shown in Figure 9. Here we see a single, steady evolution of the spectral index from soft to hard and back throughout the second phase, which is indicative of a single energy pulsation, or electron acceleration event (in contrast to the many soft-hard-soft pulsations seen in the first phase (Collier et al. 2024)). Using the best-fit parameters, we can then estimate the power of non-thermal electrons — those with energy above E_c — deposited in the chromosphere, P_{nth} , by

$$P_{\text{nth}}(E \geq E_c) = k_E F_e E_c \frac{\delta - 1}{\delta - 2}, \quad (2)$$

where k_E is the energy conversion factor from keV to erg (Kontar et al. 2019). However, the low energy cutoff measured here is poorly constrained, which adds significant uncertainty to the power estimate. To mitigate this error, we choose a fixed energy reference $E_r=30$ keV above the mean $\bar{E}_c=26$ keV and calculate the non-thermal electron power, integrating from E_r to ∞ . To do this, we use the `f_thick2.vnorm` thick-target function in OSPEX. As a result, Equation 3 becomes

$$P_{\text{nth}}(E \geq E_r) = \frac{k_E A E_r^2}{\delta - 2}, \quad (3)$$

where A is the electron flux [$e^- s^{-1} \text{keV}^{-1}$] at the reference energy E_r and the other parameters are the same as before. While this method underestimates P_{nth} , it provides a more reliable estimate of the power and its time evolution. The resulting power is shown in the bottom panel of Figure 9, where the trend in P_{nth} roughly tracks that of the HXR count rate. The peak of the power also corresponds well with the start time of the initial LT FeXXI emission (dashed line) — a connection we explore further in the following section.

5. TURBULENT ENERGY TRANSFER

The analysis of non-thermal signatures in this work has revealed excess line-broadening in the FeXXI line, gyrosynchrotron MW emission, and the deposition of high-energy electrons into the chromosphere — all of which have been shown to occur concurrently and in close spatial proximity. Together, these signatures are consistent with the acceleration and transport of non-thermal electrons in the LT plasma via a stochastic acceleration mechanism (Larosa & Moore 1993b; Petrosian 2012). Here we return to the initial non-thermal broadening decay measured in Section 3.1 to extrapolate on its significance to turbulent dissipation and kinetic energy transfer in light of the associated electron acceleration signatures.

Following the analysis presented in Kontar et al. (2017) and Stores et al. (2021), a decrease in excess spectral line broadening can be used to estimate the dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy K




Figure 9. HXR overview of the second phase. Count rate in the STIX 32-75 keV channel and non-thermal electron flux F_e are plotted in the top panel. The middle panel shows the low energy cutoff (E_c) and electron spectral index (δ). Non-thermal electron power is shown in the bottom panel, as calculated by Equation (3) for energies over $E_r=30$ keV. Values for F_e , E_c , and δ follow from best fits to the HXR spectra integrated over 4s second intervals. The vertical dashed lines indicate the start time of the initial Fe XXI emission.

in a plasma. Provided a measurement of the non-thermal velocity v_{nth} , we calculate K by:

$$K = \frac{3}{2} m_i v_{\text{nth}}^2 n_p V, \quad (4)$$

where $m_i = 1.3 m_p$ is the mean ion mass given coronal abundances (Reames 2014), n_p is the proton number density, and V is the plasma volume of the emitting region.

While v_{nth} is directly measured, assumptions must be made to estimate n_p and V in order to calculate the dissipation of kinetic energy. Using the size of the low-GHz MW sources as a proxy for the LT plasma volume at the time of the initial Fe XXI signal (Figure 7(a)), we estimated the volume of the LT plasma to be $V \approx 3 \times 10^{27} \text{ cm}^3$ assuming a cylindrical source with a radius and length of

6 Mm and 25 Mm, respectively. We note that the apparent size of the MW sources is biased by the beam size used in their reconstruction (Figure 7(a)), likely making our rough estimate of the coronal volume the upper limit of the actual MW source size. Nonetheless, the size of the high-frequency MW source from the northern ribbon footprint agrees well with the AIA 1600 Å ($\approx 5''$, or 3 Mm) emission there, thereby adding support to our estimation technique. The coronal volume of the SXR source ($\approx 4 \times 10^{27} \text{ cm}^3$) (Figure 8(a)) also agrees with our MW source estimate when using the 50% contour level. To calculate the proton number density, we assume $n_p = n_e$ following the approach in Kontar et al. (2017), and then use the differential emission measure (DEM) diagnostic to estimate the electron number density n_e in the LT plasma. The details of this analysis are described below.

5.1. DEM Density Diagnostic

To understand the evolution of electron number density over time, DEM maps were first created using AIA images in five passbands (94, 131, 193, 211, and 335 Å) over the period 17:29:00-17:53:48 UT. Due to saturation effects, all 171 Å images were excluded from the analysis. Images used for a single DEM map were selected at 12s intervals within the period, with each channel image selected having the smallest time delta from a given interval. In addition to the AIA image processing described in Section 3, each image was further corrected for degradation and normalized by the exposure time.

DEM calculations in this work were computed using the Python implementation² of the regularized inversion method first described in Hannah & Kontar (2012). AIA temperature responses used in the calculations were constructed using a convolution between the effective AIA area taken from the SolarSoft `aia_get_response.pro` routine and atomic data from CHIANTI v10 as described in Del Zanna et al. (2011). Because we speculate the initial LT plasma is coronal plasma heated to temperatures above 10 MK, as opposed to evaporated chromospheric plasma, temperature responses were calculated assuming a coronal abundance Feldman et al. (1992). Each DEM map was internally calculated in emission measure (EM) space and constrained using the EM loci curves of each AIA channel — a method found to be the most robust following a series of tests of different DEM calculations. Finally, all DEM maps were background subtracted using a DEM map calculated at the pre-flare time 16:45:00 UT (Motorina et al. 2020). Additional details regarding the DEM method, AIA response functions, and abundance assumptions can be found in Appendix A.

Panels (a) and (b) in Figure 10 show two EM maps calculated from the DEM results at the start time of the initial Fe XXI signal and at the peak time of the Fe XXI emission, respectively, over the range $\text{Log}_{10} [\text{K}]$ 6.83-7.19. The dashed lines indicate the IRIS slit position and the black boxes outline the location of the LT Fe XXI emission. At time 17:33:24, there is relatively little EM associated with the initial IRIS Fe XXI emission, which changes as the flare progresses and regions of high EM shift westward over the IRIS slit. To calculate the electron number density n_e , we use the following:

$$n_e = \sqrt{\frac{EM}{0.83 \ell}}, \quad (5)$$

where EM is the total emission measure integrated overall temperature bins in a single DEM map ($\text{Log}_{10} [\text{K}]$ 5.7-7.6) and ℓ is the LOS column depth of the emitting plasma, assuming a fully-ionized plasma with a relative hydrogen to free electron density ratio of 0.83 (Reeves et al. 2020; Del Zanna et al. 2021). Here we take $\ell = 12 \text{ Mm}$, following our coronal volume estimate.

² Available online at <https://github.com/ianan/demreg>




Figure 10. DEM-weighted emission measure (EM) maps in the $\text{Log}_{10} [\text{K}]$ 6.83-7.19 range at the start time of the initial signal (a) and peak Fe XX1 emission time (b). Dashed lines indicate the IRIS slit position. Black boxes outline the location of Fe XX1 LT emission. Time-distance stack plots of the electron number density (c) and DEM-weighted mean temperature (d) calculated using slices in the EM maps (Equations (5) and (6), respectively). Artificial slices made in the EM maps mimic the IRIS slit position over time. Grey and cyan contours are of the Fe xxi intensity levels matching that in Figure 3. (e) Time series of the DEM-weighted mean temperature (blue) and electron number density (red), averaged over the area in the black boxes as illustrated in (a) and (b). Vertical dashed lines indicate the start time of the initial signal.

To study the number density evolution across the IRIS slit, we calculate n_e across an artificial slit along the DEM maps matching the SG slit location over time. The resulting time-distance stack plots of n_e are shown in Figure 10(c). In addition to n_e , we also calculate the DEM-weighted mean temperature $\langle T \rangle$ using the following:

$$\langle T \rangle = \frac{\sum_i DEM(T_i) T_i \Delta T}{\sum_i DEM(T_i) \Delta T} = \frac{\sum_i DEM(T_i) T_i \Delta T}{EM}, \quad (6)$$

with the resulting time-distance stack plot shown in Figure 10(d). Notably, the density begins to increase at the point $y \approx 311''$ —consistent with the location of the initial LT Fe XXI emission—and continues to increase and spread spatially across the SG over time, reaching a peak value of $n_e \approx 1.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at roughly 17:39:00 UT. The region of peak density also corresponds to the LT location of the bright EUV knots as seen in Figure 3(d)-(f). Preceding the increase in n_e is a rapid increase in the LT plasma temperature, with values $\langle T \rangle$ reaching $\text{Log}_{10} [\text{K}] \approx 7.4$ at 17:32:00 UT. The temperature continues to remain elevated above $\text{Log}_{10} [\text{K}] \approx 7.2$ across the LT region, and gradually decreasing over time.

As a means to directly compare the values of the number density and temperature at the site of the initial Fe XXI non-thermal broadening, we performed a separate DEM calculation on the region corresponding to the black boxes in Figure 10(a) and (b). Defined in a $2 \times 2''$ area centered on the IRIS SG slit over time and $y = 311.7''$, the corresponding AIA pixels were selected to create a 3×3 pixel box, given the $0.6''$ per pixel resolution of AIA, which was then averaged over. The resulting time series of n_e and $\langle T \rangle$ are shown in Figure 10(e). In a consistency check, we find the values of n_e in the range of $0.5\text{-}1.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ are in agreement with the values of thermal electron population density derived from EOVSAs MW spectra (Figure 7). Additionally, using the EM_{SXR} from the f_{vth} of the thermal STIX source and our coronal volume estimate, we find the number density inferred from the SXRs are in the range $n_e = \sqrt{EM_{\text{SXR}}/V} = [0.3 - 0.5] \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ during the period of the initial Fe XXI signal, which also agrees with our DEM estimate. We further note that the calculated densities on the order of $10^{10-11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at the time of the initial Fe XXI emission suggest that any effects due to NEI on the Fe XXI line formation would likely be small.

5.2. Kinetic Energy Dissipation

Following the estimate of the electron number density evolution in the LT plasma above, the dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy in the initial Fe XXI signal is calculated using Equation (4). Using the time series of the non-thermal velocity v_{nth} inferred from the dynamic binning routine (Figure 6) and the associated electron number density n_e from the DEM analysis, the resulting time series of K is shown in Figure 11 (orange). The peak kinetic energy is found to be $2.8 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{28} \text{ erg}$, which then decreases to $0.6 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{28} \text{ erg}$ over the next 100 s. As n_e is increasing over this period, from 0.7×10^{11} to $0.9 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the decay in K is slower than the decay in v_{nth} inferred in Section 3.1. Furthermore, as the total kinetic energy calculation relies on an estimate of the plasma volume, values of kinetic energy density (K/V) are also provided in Figure 11, read off the right axis, with energy densities roughly on the order of $2\text{-}9 \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$. This reduction allows for a more direct comparison to the values derived in Stores et al. (2021), who found kinetic energy densities nearly an order of magnitude lower using Fe XXIV 255 Å but for an off-limb X1.2-class flare. However, this discrepancy is likely due to the lower values of n_e calculated in their work ($\approx 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in regions of high K), as similar magnitudes of v_{nth} were found in both studies.




Figure 11. Time series of the turbulent kinetic energy inferred from IRIS Fe XXI non-thermal broadening during the initial signal (orange) and the corresponding time-integrated non-thermal power from STIX HXR emission during the second energy phase (purple). A kinetic energy density scale is also provided for the turbulent kinetic energy on the right axis.

To put the kinetic energy dissipation in context, we compare it to the power imparted on the chromosphere by non-thermal electron deposition during the second energy phase (bottom panel Figure 9). Time integrating P_{nth} at each 4s interval, the resulting energy time series is shown in Figure 11 (purple). The non-thermal energy peaks at $2.4 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{28}$ erg, which is consistent with the peak of the turbulent kinetic energy. While the cadence of the IRIS observation is higher than that of the OSPEX time-integrated HXR fitting parameters, there is also a remarkable agreement between the evolution of the two energy estimates starting at the beginning of the initial Fe XXI signal and lasting until the end of the second phase. Despite this agreement, we note that because the reference energy E_r is used to calculate our non-thermal electron power P_{nth} , which is larger than the inferred high-energy cutoffs E_c during this period (Figure 9), the values of P_{nth} , and subsequently the integrated non-thermal electron energy, are likely underestimated to a slight degree.

Assuming our coronal volume estimate is accurate, the agreement between the two energy curves in Figure 11 suggests the dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy in the LT plasma is enough to drive the acceleration of non-thermal electrons into the chromosphere. Not only does this connection hint at a causal relationship, but it also suggests that energy transfer from turbulence to electron acceleration is quite efficient, given the virtual lack of any time delay between the driver (turbulence)

and the response (non-thermal electron deposition). This efficiency is supported by numerical experiments of stochastic acceleration in turbulent flare plasmas (Miller et al. 1996; Stackhouse & Kontar 2018), which have demonstrated sub-second timescales for particle acceleration. However, our coronal volume estimate assumes the kinetic energy derived from the Fe XXI non-thermal broadening is contained within a volume representative of the MW and SXR sources. As we showed in Section 3.1, the spatial extent of the initial Fe XXI signal along the IRIS slit is $\approx 3.1''$ — a fraction of the overall extent of the MW emission. While the smaller size of the Fe XXI emission implies that the turbulent kinetic energy may be overestimated, the IRIS slit may only be sampling a small portion of the turbulent LT plasma responsible for the electron acceleration. Nonetheless, when combined with the possibility of an underestimated range of P_{nth} , we cannot neglect the possibility that the turbulent kinetic energy inferred by IRIS is less than the energy of chromospheric electron deposition measured by STIX, suggesting turbulence is not the sole acceleration mechanism at work. The dependency of the turbulent kinetic energy K on the coronal volume V is put into context in Figure 12, where the observed peak Fe XXI non-thermal velocity value is used to calculate possible values of K using an estimated range of coronal volumes, as well as electron number densities n_e found from our DEM analysis (Figure 10(e)). The volume range is constructed such that the lower bound is a cylindrical volume calculated using a diameter of $3.1''$ from the IRIS observation and the upper bound is the volume of the coronal SXR source as measured by STIX.

In addition to the non-thermal energy derived from HXR emission, a comparison can be made between the turbulent kinetic energy density and the non-thermal energy density inferred from the EOVSAs MW spectra. As detailed in Fleishman et al. (2020), the non-thermal energy density w_{nth} is calculated using the following:

$$w_{\text{nth}} = k_E n_{\text{nth}} E_{\text{min}} \frac{\delta_{\text{MW}} - 1}{\delta_{\text{MW}} - 2}, \quad (7)$$

given the best-fit parameters from the MW spectra shown in Figure 7 and a minimum energy cutoff of $E_{\text{min}}=10$ keV used in the GSFIT routine. The resulting non-thermal energy density was found to be highest in the LT region, increasing from 4.7×10^1 to 2.4×10^2 erg cm $^{-3}$ between times 17:33:22–17:33:48 (Figure 7). This increase in w_{nth} occurs concurrently with the decay in kinetic energy, fitting the narrative of non-thermal electron activity driven by the dissipation of turbulence. However, the MW non-thermal energy density attains values nearly two orders of magnitude higher than the kinetic energy densities inferred from the Fe XXI non-thermal broadening.

This second order of magnitude discrepancy between the non-thermal and kinetic energy densities was also observed in (Fleishman et al. 2020), who attributed the difference to the emission regions from which the MW and EUV — responsible for the two energy estimates — emanate. In their work, which focused on the September 10th, 2017 event, MWs were observed to stem from the cusp region near the LTs and large values of non-thermal broadening from the Fe XXIV 255 Å EIS line (≈ 100 km s $^{-1}$) was primarily confined to the overlying plasma sheet (see also Warren et al. (2018); Polito et al. (2018)), suggesting that the cusp region contained a higher degree of non-thermal electron activity. Although the on-disk nature of our observation hinders a direct comparison between flare geometries, the location of the MW sources in Figure 7 in combination with the HXR footpoint emission in Figure 8 creates a coherent picture of a loop-like structure, where the MW sources trace out one half of the loop from the corona (low-GHz) to the northern ribbon footpoint (high-GHz) and the HXR emission highlights the location of the southern ribbon footprint (This picture is also




Figure 12. Parameter space exploration of kinetic energy using the peak non-thermal velocity of the IRIS Fe XXI line during the initial signal $v_{\text{nth}}=65.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for a range of hypothetical number densities n_e and coronal volumes calculated using Equation (4). The white marker indicates the number density at the time of peak v_{nth} , $\text{Log}_{10} n_e [\text{cm}^{-3}] = 10.83$, and coronal volume estimate used in this work, $V = 3 \times 10^{27} \text{ cm}^3$.

consistent with a magnetic mirroring effect, where accelerated electrons reflect off high- B regions in the northern ribbon and precipitate into the southern ribbon, possibly explaining the opposing asymmetry seen in the MW and HXR sources).

If we adopt the reasoning presented in [Fleishman et al. \(2020\)](#), then it follows the non-thermal broadening in the Fe XXI should lie above the MW sources, either higher up in the LT/cusp region or reaching into the current sheet. We note that this location of Fe XXI non-thermal broadening also agrees with simulations in [Shen et al. \(2023a\)](#), who found the source of large non-thermal broadening in the IRIS Fe XXI line to be the highly turbulent plasma located along the current sheet and LT/Cusp region. The location of the SG slit shown in Figure 7, slightly to the right of the MW sources, further supports the notion of vertical separation between the non-thermal signatures measured by IRIS and EOVSAs when considering the projection of the arcade on the disk and the LOS of these observations. A schematic of this interpretation is illustrated in Figure 13. The configuration of the drawn flare loop orientation is further justified by that produced from a magnetic field extrapolation (left panel) performed using the GX simulator modeling package ([Nita et al. 2023](#)), which employed a nonlinear force-free field reconstruction of the magnetic field from the preflare SDO/HMI magnetogram at 17:23:36 UT.




Figure 13. Left: Magnetic field extrapolation (blue lines) overplotted on AIA 1600 Å image at 17:35:26, along with IRIS FOV and SG slit (red-dashed lines). Right: Schematic diagram showing the interpreted locations of the different observations along the line-of-sight (LOS) as they pertain to the standard flare model. Microwave (MW) sources are confined to the closed, reconnected post-flare loops, which trace out the northern half of the loop-like structure. High-frequency sources (yellow) stem from the northern ribbon, while the low-frequency sources (blue) emanate from the LT/Cusp region. The hard X-ray (HXR) source marks the location of the southern footprint, arising from the precipitation of accelerated electrons. Lying above the MWs in the LT/Cusp region, near the location where the current sheet meets the arcade, is the Fe XXI non-thermal broadening detected along the IRIS SG slit.

Altogether, these findings indicate the co-spatiotemporal presence of non-thermal signatures in the LT and cusp regions. We interpret the decay in non-thermal velocity as the dissipation of turbulent energy in these regions, which can provide the required energy necessary to accelerate electrons into the lower solar atmosphere. Nonetheless, because the SG slit is positioned over the flare arcade at a single location, we can only infer that stochastic acceleration occurs at the time and place in which we observe the initial decay in Fe XXI broadening and the associated MW and HXR signals. In other words, our analysis only pertains to the second high-energy phase (see Figure 1), where we see the compact MW source move into the SG slit and not the entire flare. Moreover, the decay in non-thermal velocity suggests that the turbulence in the LT/Cusp region is dissipating and no longer being generated — a notion potentially at odds with investigations that see persistent reconnection outflows generating turbulence for sustained periods (Shibata et al. 2023; Wang et al. 2023b). While it is likely that reconnection is ongoing following the generation of the Fe XXI broadening, as evidenced by the third high-energy phase, the movement of the MW and HXR sources westward along the flare arcade suggests that the mechanisms responsible for their formation (e.g. reconnection outflows, Yu et al. 2020) are discrete and localized along the current sheet. The generation of turbulence observed in the Fe XXI line under this assumption would not be ongoing at the location of the IRIS slit and would therefore be allowed to decay freely. An analysis of such extended outflows, albeit beyond the scope of the present work, would be a promising focus for future research.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The X1.3 class flare on March 30th, 2022 analyzed in our study exhibited non-thermal signatures across measurements taken by IRIS, EOVSa, and STIX. Our findings highlight significant excess broadening of the Fe XXI 1354.08 Å spectral line emanating from the flare arcade’s LT region, reaching values upwards of 65 km s^{-1} . These non-thermal velocities were also observed to decay over time at two different periods, beginning at the onset of LT emission and before peak line emission. We found the evolution of the latter period to coincide with the appearance of bright EUV knots in AIA 94, 131, and 193 Å channels, offering a new spectroscopic insight into this phenomenon.

Our observations of the LT Fe XXI emission present a unique perspective on the dynamics of solar flares, with the high spatial resolution of IRIS and a fast cadence of $\approx 9 \text{ s}$, allowing for the direct measurement of non-thermal broadening in a well-resolved LT structure. The rapid decay of non-thermal broadening in the LT plasma, on the order of minutes, is significantly quicker than the tens of minutes reported in earlier studies. Moreover, confirming the LT emission from a well-resolved structure challenges earlier works with lower resolution spectrometers and lower cadence where flare emissions might have been interpreted as a superposition of flows from different macroscopic locations along the flare arcade and LOS. This highlights the importance of spatial resolution and cadence in understanding solar flare dynamics.

Excess broadening in the Fe XXI line was interpreted as the presence of turbulent plasma in the LT region, with its decay indicative of energy dissipation via a turbulent cascade. Following methodologies from [Kontar et al. \(2017\)](#), we estimated the LT plasma’s peak turbulent kinetic energy to be approximately $2.8 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{28} \text{ erg}$. This energy correlated well with the time-integrated non-thermal electron power P_{nth} deposited in the chromosphere, as inferred from STIX HXR measurements, which peaked at $2.4 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{28} \text{ erg}$. As the temporal decay in turbulent kinetic energy also matched that of the integrated electron power, the agreement between the two energies suggests a relationship between the dissipation of turbulent energy and the acceleration of non-thermal electrons, thereby supporting stochastic acceleration theories. We note, however, that this interpretation is contingent on two factors: first, the assumption of a coronal volume estimate, which may overestimate the turbulent kinetic energy as observed by IRIS; and second, the values of P_{nth} , which are potentially underestimated in our calculations. The

The connection between the turbulent plasma and non-thermal electron populations was further supported by the simultaneous presence of gyrosynchrotron MW emission from EOVSa, where the MW sources were found to be co-spatial and co-temporal with the initial LT Fe XXI emission. Analysis of MW spectra at different times and locations along the flare arcade indicated a significant non-thermal electron fraction in the LT plasma, with a ratio of non-thermal to thermal electrons ranging upwards of 35%. Although this high percentage indicates an efficient electron acceleration mechanism at work and also places plasma turbulence in the vicinity of these non-thermal electrons at the flare LT, the non-thermal energy density inferred from the MW sources was found to be substantially higher than the turbulent kinetic energy density inferred from the Fe XXI broadening. This discrepancy, first seen in [Fleishman et al. \(2020\)](#), supports the idea of a vertical variation in the non-thermal energy density across the flare arcade, where the formation region of Fe XXI belongs to a region of lesser non-thermal energy density, possibly higher in the solar corona than the underlying MW emission, as summarised in our cartoon in [Fig. 13](#).

Together, these findings contribute to a deeper understanding of non-thermal processes in the flare LT region, setting a foundation for future investigations and modeling efforts. The forthcoming MUSE mission, with its advanced EUV spectroscopic capabilities and 35 slits (Cheung et al. 2022), promises to enhance our ability to study these processes in greater detail.

W.A. is supported by NASA contract NNG09FA40C (IRIS). VP acknowledges support from NASA Heliophysics System Observatory Connect Grant #80NSSC20K1283, NASA ROSES Heliophysics Guest Investigator program Grant #80NSSC20K0716, and from NASA under contract NNG09FA40C (IRIS). S.Y. is supported by NASA grant 80NSSC20K1318 and NSF grant AST-2108853 awarded to NJIT. H.C. is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation Grant 200021L_189180 for STIX. L.A.H is supported by an ESA Research Fellowship. IRIS is a NASA small explorer mission developed and operated by LMSAL with mission operations executed at NASA Ames Research Center and major contributions to downlink communications funded by ESA and the Norwegian Space Center. EOVSa operation is supported by NSF grant AST1910354 and AGS-2130832 to NJIT. Solar Orbiter is a mission of international cooperation between ESA and NASA, operated by ESA. The STIX instrument is an international collaboration between Switzerland, Poland, France, Czech Republic, Germany, Austria, Ireland, and Italy. AIA and data are provided courtesy of NASA/SDO and the AIA science team. This work has benefited from the use of NASA’s Astrophysics Data System. CHIANTI is a collaborative project involving researchers at the universities of Cambridge (UK), George Mason, and Michigan (USA). This research used version 5.1.1 of the SunPy open-source software package (The SunPy Community et al. 2020). The authors thank the referee for suggestions that improved the manuscript.

APPENDIX

A. DEM METHODS

Figure 14 shows the AIA temperature response functions used for the DEM calculations in Section 5.1 (solid). These functions were calculated using the convolution method described in Del Zanna et al. (2011) with ion abundances calculated using the CHIANTI v10 atomic database and assuming coronal abundances Feldman et al. (1992). We note that the response function for the 171 Å channel was not calculated, as the channel was excluded from the DEM calculations due to saturation effects. Temperature response functions calculated using the SolarSoft `aia_get_response.pro` routine are also shown in Figure 14 (dashed) for comparison.

As AIA 171 Å images were not included in our analysis, we are consequently neglecting any emission contributions from the Fe IX 171 Å line (peak $\text{Log}_{10} T [\text{K}] = 5.9$). To ensure the validity of the DEM calculations in the absence of the 171 Å channel, consistency checks were performed by comparing the predicted emission from the DEM results to the input AIA data. An example of this check is shown in Figure 15 for the pixels averaged within the box in Figure 10(a) at time 17:33:24 for two inversion methods: the regularized inversion method described in Hannah & Kontar (2012) and the same method constrained by the EM loci curves of the five AIA channels, calculated internally in EM space. While both methods were able to reproduce the observed AIA emission well in the absence of




Figure 14. SDO AIA temperature response functions calculated using the SolarSoft `aia_get_response.pro` routine (dashed) and using the method described in Del Zanna et al. (2011).

the 171 Å channel, the EM loci-constrained method was found to be the most robust with a reduced χ^2 of 0.99.

An additional consistency check was done to test our assumption of coronal abundances, given that the ablation of chromospheric material into the corona from evaporation is likely to occur during flares. Performing the same DEM calculations above, but using AIA temperature response functions recalculated with a photospheric abundance Asplund et al. (2009), we found both methods performed considerably worse than those using a coronal abundance (Figure 16). However, while this test agrees with our initial assumption for the use of DEMs in this paper, we acknowledge that a more comprehensive study of the DEM calculations using different abundances is beyond the scope of the current work.

REFERENCES

- Acton, L. W., Feldman, U., Bruner, M. E., et al. 1992, PASJ, 44, L71
- Antonucci, E., Gabriel, A. H., & Dennis, B. R. 1984, ApJ, 287, 917, doi: [10.1086/162749](https://doi.org/10.1086/162749)
- Aschwanden, M. J., Hudson, H., Kosugi, T., & Schwartz, R. A. 1996, ApJ, 464, 985, doi: [10.1086/177386](https://doi.org/10.1086/177386)
- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 481, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145222](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145222)
- Barnes, W. T., m. Cheung, M. C., Bobra, M. G., et al. 2020, Journal of Open Source Software, 5, 2801, doi: [10.21105/joss.02801](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02801)




Figure 15. Top Panel: DEM calculation results for the pixels averaged within the box in Figure 10(a) at time 17:33:24, assuming a coronal abundance. The results of the regularized inversion method are shown in red and the EM loci constrained results are shown in blue. Bottom Panel: Standardized residuals between the predicted emission from the DEM results and the input AIA data for each method.

- Brown, J. C. 1971, *SoPh*, 18, 489, doi: [10.1007/BF00149070](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00149070)
- Chen, B., Bastian, T. S., Shen, C., et al. 2015, *Science*, 350, 1238, doi: [10.1126/science.aac8467](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac8467)
- Chen, B., Yu, S., Reeves, K. K., & Gary, D. E. 2020a, *ApJL*, 895, L50, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ab901a](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab901a)
- Chen, B., Shen, C., Gary, D. E., et al. 2020b, *Nature Astronomy*, 4, 1140, doi: [10.1038/s41550-020-1147-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-020-1147-7)
- Cheng, X., Li, Y., Wan, L. F., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 866, 64, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aadd16](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aadd16)
- Cheung, M. C. M., Martínez-Sykora, J., Testa, P., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, 926, 53, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac4223](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac4223)
- Collier, H., Hayes, L. A., Battaglia, A. F., Harra, L. K., & Krucker, S. 2023, *A&A*, 671, A79, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202245293](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202245293)
- Collier, H., Hayes, L. A., Yu, S., et al. 2024, *A&A*, 684, A215, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202348652](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202348652)
- Craig, I. J. D., & McClymont, A. N. 1976, *SoPh*, 50, 133, doi: [10.1007/BF00206198](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00206198)
- De Pontieu, B., Title, A. M., Lemen, J. R., et al. 2014, *SoPh*, 289, 2733, doi: [10.1007/s11207-014-0485-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-014-0485-y)
- De Pontieu, B., Polito, V., Hansteen, V., et al. 2021, *SoPh*, 296, 84, doi: [10.1007/s11207-021-01826-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-021-01826-0)
- Del Zanna, G., Dere, K. P., Young, P. R., & Landi, E. 2021, *ApJ*, 909, 38, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/abd8ce](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abd8ce)
- Del Zanna, G., & Mason, H. E. 2018, *Living Reviews in Solar Physics*, 15, 5, doi: [10.1007/s41116-018-0015-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41116-018-0015-3)
- Del Zanna, G., O'Dwyer, B., & Mason, H. E. 2011, *A&A*, 535, A46, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201117470](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117470)
- Drake, J. F., Swisdak, M., Che, H., & Shay, M. A. 2006, *Nature*, 443, 553, doi: [10.1038/nature05116](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05116)




Figure 16. DEM calculation results and standardized residuals assuming a photospheric abundance, plotted as in Figure 15.

- Dulk, G. A., & Marsh, K. A. 1982, *ApJ*, 259, 350, doi: [10.1086/160171](https://doi.org/10.1086/160171)
- Feldman, U., Mandelbaum, P., Seely, J. F., Doschek, G. A., & Gursky, H. 1992, *ApJS*, 81, 387, doi: [10.1086/191698](https://doi.org/10.1086/191698)
- Fleishman, G. D., Gary, D. E., Chen, B., et al. 2020, *Science*, 367, 278, doi: [10.1126/science.aax6874](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax6874)
- Fleishman, G. D., & Kuznetsov, A. A. 2010, *ApJ*, 721, 1127, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/721/2/1127](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/721/2/1127)
- Fleishman, G. D., Nita, G. M., Chen, B., Yu, S., & Gary, D. E. 2022, *Nature*, 606, 674, doi: [10.1038/s41586-022-04728-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04728-8)
- Fletcher, L., Dennis, B. R., Hudson, H. S., et al. 2011, *SSRv*, 159, 19, doi: [10.1007/s11214-010-9701-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-010-9701-8)
- Forbes, T. G. 1986, *ApJ*, 305, 553, doi: [10.1086/164268](https://doi.org/10.1086/164268)
- Freeland, S. L., & Handy, B. N. 1998, *SoPh*, 182, 497, doi: [10.1023/A:1005038224881](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005038224881)
- Gary, D. E., Chen, B., Dennis, B. R., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 863, 83, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aad0ef](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aad0ef)
- Goldreich, P., & Sridhar, S. 1995, *ApJ*, 438, 763, doi: [10.1086/175121](https://doi.org/10.1086/175121)
- Graham, D. R., & Cauzzi, G. 2015, *ApJL*, 807, L22, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/807/2/L22](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/807/2/L22)
- Hannah, I. G., & Kontar, E. P. 2012, *A&A*, 539, A146, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201117576](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117576)
- Holman, G. D., Aschwanden, M. J., Aurass, H., et al. 2011, *SSRv*, 159, 107, doi: [10.1007/s11214-010-9680-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-010-9680-9)
- Kontar, E. P., Jeffrey, N. L. S., & Emslie, A. G. 2019, *ApJ*, 871, 225, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aafad3](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aafad3)
- Kontar, E. P., Perez, J. E., Harra, L. K., et al. 2017, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 118, 155101, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.155101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.155101)
- Kopp, R. A., & Pneuman, G. W. 1976, *SoPh*, 50, 85, doi: [10.1007/BF00206193](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00206193)
- Krucker, S., Hurford, G. J., Grimm, O., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 642, A15, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201937362](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201937362)
- Larosa, T. N., & Moore, R. L. 1993a, *ApJ*, 418, 912, doi: [10.1086/173448](https://doi.org/10.1086/173448)

- . 1993b, *ApJ*, 418, 912, doi: [10.1086/173448](https://doi.org/10.1086/173448)
- Lemen, J. R., Title, A. M., Akin, D. J., et al. 2012, 275, 17, doi: [10.1007/s11207-011-9776-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-011-9776-8)
- Massa, P., Schwartz, R., Tolbert, A. K., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 894, 46, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab8637](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab8637)
- McKenzie, D. E. 2013, *ApJ*, 766, 39, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/766/1/39](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/766/1/39)
- Miller, J. A., Larosa, T. N., & Moore, R. L. 1996, *ApJ*, 461, 445, doi: [10.1086/177072](https://doi.org/10.1086/177072)
- Miller, J. A., Cargill, P. J., Emslie, A. G., et al. 1997, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 14631, doi: [10.1029/97JA00976](https://doi.org/10.1029/97JA00976)
- Milligan, R. O. 2011, *ApJ*, 740, 70, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/740/2/70](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/740/2/70)
- Motorina, G. G., Fleishman, G. D., & Kontar, E. P. 2020, *ApJ*, 890, 75, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab67d1](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab67d1)
- Neupert, W. M. 1968, *ApJL*, 153, L59, doi: [10.1086/180220](https://doi.org/10.1086/180220)
- Nita, G. M., Fleishman, G. D., Kuznetsov, A. A., et al. 2023, *ApJS*, 267, 6, doi: [10.3847/1538-4365/acd343](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/acd343)
- O'Dwyer, B., Del Zanna, G., Mason, H. E., Weber, M. A., & Tripathi, D. 2010, *A&A*, 521, A21, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201014872](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201014872)
- Patsourakos, S., Antiochos, S. K., & Klimchuk, J. A. 2004, *ApJ*, 614, 1022, doi: [10.1086/423779](https://doi.org/10.1086/423779)
- Petrosian, V. 2012, *SSRv*, 173, 535, doi: [10.1007/s11214-012-9900-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-012-9900-6)
- Polito, V., Dudík, J., Kašparová, J., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 864, 63, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aad62d](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aad62d)
- Polito, V., Reep, J. W., Reeves, K. K., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 816, 89, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/816/2/89](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/816/2/89)
- Polito, V., Reeves, K. K., Del Zanna, G., Golub, L., & Mason, H. E. 2015, *ApJ*, 803, 84, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/803/2/84](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/803/2/84)
- Polito, V., Testa, P., & De Pontieu, B. 2019, *ApJL*, 879, L17, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ab290b](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab290b)
- Reames, D. V. 2014, *SoPh*, 289, 977, doi: [10.1007/s11207-013-0350-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-013-0350-4)
- Reeves, K. K., Polito, V., Chen, B., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 905, 165, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/abc4e0](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abc4e0)
- Reeves, K. K., Warren, H. P., & Forbes, T. G. 2007, *ApJ*, 668, 1210, doi: [10.1086/521291](https://doi.org/10.1086/521291)
- Ruan, W., Xia, C., & Keppens, R. 2018, *A&A*, 618, A135, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833362](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833362)
- Sandlin, G. D., Bartoe, J. D. F., Brueckner, G. E., Tousey, R., & Vanhoosier, M. E. 1986, *ApJS*, 61, 801, doi: [10.1086/191131](https://doi.org/10.1086/191131)
- Shen, C., Polito, V., Reeves, K. K., et al. 2023a, *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences*, 10, 19, doi: [10.3389/fspas.2023.1096133](https://doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2023.1096133)
- Shen, C., Raymond, J. C., & Murphy, N. A. 2023b, *ApJ*, 943, 111, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aca6e7](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aca6e7)
- Shen, C., Reeves, K. K., Raymond, J. C., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 773, 110, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/773/2/110](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/773/2/110)
- Shibata, K., Takasao, S., & Reeves, K. K. 2023, *ApJ*, 943, 106, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aca9c](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aca9c)
- Stackhouse, D. J., & Kontar, E. P. 2018, *A&A*, 612, A64, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201730708](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201730708)
- Stores, M., Jeffrey, N. L. S., & Kontar, E. P. 2021, *ApJ*, 923, 40, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac2c65](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac2c65)
- Syrovatskii, S. I., & Shmeleva, O. P. 1972, *Soviet Ast.*, 16, 273
- The SunPy Community, Barnes, W. T., Bobra, M. G., et al. 2020, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 890, 68, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab4f7a](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab4f7a)
- Wang, L. F., Li, Y., Li, Q., Cheng, X., & Ding, M. D. 2023a, *ApJS*, 268, 62, doi: [10.3847/1538-4365/acf127](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/acf127)
- Wang, Y., Cheng, X., Ding, M., et al. 2023b, *ApJL*, 954, L36, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/acf19d](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acf19d)
- Warren, H. P., Brooks, D. H., Ugarte-Urra, I., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 854, 122, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaa9b8](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaa9b8)
- Widing, K. G., & Cheng, C. C. 1974, *ApJL*, 194, L111, doi: [10.1086/181681](https://doi.org/10.1086/181681)
- Xu, Y., Kerr, G. S., Polito, V., et al. 2023, *ApJ*, 958, 67, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/acf8c6](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acf8c6)
- Ye, J., Cai, Q., Shen, C., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 897, 64, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab93b5](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab93b5)
- Young, P. R., Tian, H., & Jaeggli, S. 2015, *ApJ*, 799, 218, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/799/2/218](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/799/2/218)
- Yu, S., Chen, B., Reeves, K. K., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 900, 17, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aba8a6](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aba8a6)