# arXiv:2407.11169v1 [hep-ph] 15 Jul 2024 [arXiv:2407.11169v1 \[hep-ph\] 15 Jul 2024](http://arxiv.org/abs/2407.11169v1)

# Supermassive black hole formation in the initial collapse of axion dark matter

Pierre Sikivie and Yuxin Zhao

Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA (Dated: July 14, 2024)

## Abstract

Axion dark matter thermalizes by gravitational self-interactions and forms a Bose-Einstein condensate. We show that the rethermalization of the axion fluid during the initial collapse of large scale overdensities near cosmic dawn transports angular momentum outward sufficientlly fast that black holes form with masses ranging from approximately  $10^5$  to a few times  $10^{10}$   $M_{\odot}$ .

PACS numbers: 95.33.+d

It has long been established [\[1](#page-12-0)] that most galaxies have supermassive black holes at their centers with masses ranging from approximately  $10^5 M_{\odot}$  to a few times  $10^{10} M_{\odot}$ . The event horizons of the black holes at the center of the Milky Way and the ceter of the large galaxy M87 have been imaged using very long baseline interferometry [\[2](#page-12-1)]. Active galactic nuclei (AGN) are understood to be powered by accretion onto supermasive black holes [\[3](#page-12-2)]. Recently, low frequency gravitational waves consistent with emission from supermassive black hole mergers have been detected by pulsar timing arrays [\[4](#page-12-3)].

How the supermassive black holes form has been an enduring puzzle. The main impediement to their formation is conservation of angular momentum. If, for example, a  $10^8$  M<sub>⊙</sub> black hole condenses out of a region of density say  $10^{-23}$  gr/cc, which is a value typical of galactic disks, the material forming the black hole must shrink in all directions by eight orders of magnitude. Angular momentum conservation makes this difficlt by introducing a distance of closest approach to the black hole. Angular momentum can be transported outward if the material falling toward the black hole has viscosity but in that case the material heats up and acquires pressure opposing its compression. See ref. [\[5](#page-12-4)] for a review of the issues involved in supermassive black hole formation.

To sidestep the problems that arise when only conventional physics is involved, it has been proposed that the supermassive black holes form as a result of the gravothermal collapse of overdenisties of dark matter with very strong self-interactions [\[6\]](#page-12-5) or by accretion onto dark stars, i.e stars that are powered by dark matter annihilation [\[7\]](#page-12-6). In such scenarios, seed black holes form that have masses of order  $10^5 - 10^6$  M<sub>☉</sub>. The larger supermassive black holes with mass  $10^9 - 10^{10}$  M<sub>☉</sub> are then supposed to be the result of accretion onto, and mergers of, the seed black holes. These proposals have been challenged by the recent discovery, using the James Webb Space Telescope, of powerful AGN near cosmic dawn, i.e at redshifts  $z \sim 10$  [\[8\]](#page-12-7). There is very little time to grow the black holes powering the AGN observed at  $z \sim 10$ . Finally, one may contemplate the possibility that the supermassive black holes are primordial in nature, i.e. that they formed long before cosmic dawn. This proposal runs afoul of constraints from cosmic microwave background observations, although a recent paper [\[9\]](#page-12-8) indicates how it may still be viable.

The purpose of our paper is to show that supermassive black holes form naturally near cosmic dawn if the dark matter is axions or axion-like particles. No additional assumptions are required. The crucial step is to recognize that cold dark matter axions thermalize by their gravitational self-interactions [\[10,](#page-12-9) [11](#page-12-10)]. Indeed, as explained in more detail below, their density fluctuations are generically large ( $\delta \rho \sim \rho$ ) and correlated over long distances. When the axions thermalize they form a Bose-Einstein condensate, meaning that most axions go to the lowest energy state available to them through the thermalizing interactions. When an axion overdensity collapses near cosmic dawn, the gravitional self-interactions among the axions produce a long range viscosity that causes outward transport of angular momentum. The heat produced in the axion case flows into the thermal distribution accompanying the condensate whereas the condensate, containing most of the axions, stays in the lowest energy particle state available. That state is one of rigid rotation where most of the angular momentum resides far from the central overdensity which may then perhaps collapse into a black hole.

First let us indicate how axions differ from the other cold dark matter candidates, such as weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) and sterile neutrinos, which we will refer to collectively as "ordinaray CDM". Before density perturbations go non-linear and multistreaming begins, in particular during the recombination era, the state of ordinary CDM is customarily given by a mass density  $\rho(\vec{x}, t)$  and a velocity field  $\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t)$ . In linear order of perturbation thory, axions behave in the same way as ordinary CDM on length scales longer than their Jeans length [\[12\]](#page-12-11). When the axion fluid has mass density  $\rho(\vec{x}, t)$  and velocity field  $\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t)$ , all axions are in the particle state of wavefunction

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\Psi(\vec{x},t) = \sqrt{\frac{\rho(\vec{x},t)}{Nm}}e^{i\beta(\vec{x},t)}
$$
\n(1)

where m is the axion mass, N is the number of axions and  $\beta(\vec{x}, t)$  is such that  $\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t)$  =  $\frac{\hbar}{m}\vec{\nabla}\beta(\vec{x},t)$ . For both ordinary CDM and axions, the above description is exact only when the dark matter has zero velocity dispersion. In reality both axions and ordinary CDM have a finite velocity dispersion. In the case of ordinary CDM this distinction is irrelevant but it is important in the case of axions [\[11\]](#page-12-10). WIMPs of mass 100 GeV have primordial velocity dispersion  $\delta v_W \sim 10^{-12} c a(t_0)/a(t)$  and hence correlation length  $\ell_W \sim 2 \mu m a(t)/a(t_0)$ , where  $a(t)$  is the scale factor, t is cosmic time and  $t_0$  the present age. Sterile neutrinos with mass a few keV have primordial velocity dispersion  $\delta v_{\nu} \sim 10^{-8} c a(t_0)/a(t)$  and hence  $\ell_{\nu} \sim$ cm  $a(t)/a(t_0)$ . The correlation lenghts of orinary CDM are far too short to be relevant in large scale structure formation. Axions are different.

The correlation length and velocity dispersion of cold dark matter axions are set by the horizon when the axion mass turns on during the QCD phase transition:

$$
\ell(t) \sim ct_1 \frac{a(t_1)}{a(t)} \quad , \quad \delta v(t) \sim \frac{\hbar}{mct_1} \frac{a(t_1)}{a(t)} \tag{2}
$$

where  $t_1 \simeq 3.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$  s( $\frac{\mu eV}{m}$ )<sup> $\frac{1}{3}$ </sup> is the time when axion field oscillations begin [\[13\]](#page-12-12). The thermal relaxation rate of the axion fluid is of order [\[10](#page-12-9), [11](#page-12-10)]

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\Gamma(t) \sim 4\pi G \rho(t) m\ell(t)^2/\hbar \quad . \tag{3}
$$

This result can be understood by noting that the axion fluid has density flucuations  $\delta \rho \sim$  $\rho$  correlated over distances of order  $\ell$ ; see Appendix A. The density fluctuations source gravitational fields  $\delta g \sim 4\pi G \rho \ell$ . Since the fluid momentum dispersion is  $\delta p \sim \hbar/\ell$ , the fluctaating gravitational forces  $m\delta g$  modify the momentum distribution entirely in a time  $\tau \sim \delta p / m \delta q$  The thermal relaxation rate Γ is the inverse of  $\tau$ .

 $\Gamma(t)$  exceeds the Hubble rate  $H(t)$  at some time well before equality between matter and radiation. At that time all conditions for Bose-Einstein condensation are satisfied and almost all axions go to the lowest energy particle state available to them through the thermalizing interactions. The axion fluid correlation length consequently grows to be of order the horizon at the time. In linear order of perturbation theory, the axion condensate evolves as ordinary CDM. However, in higher orders and in particular when it acquires angular momentum by tidal torquing, the condensate can and will lower its energy by acquiring vorticity whereas ordinary CDM remains vorticity free [\[14\]](#page-12-13).

Consider a large overdensity of dark matter as it is about to collapse near cosmic dawn. We ignore at first all density perturbations on scales smaller than that of the overdenisty itself, effectivetly smotthing it. Its smoothed density field has the general form

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\rho(\vec{r},t) = \rho(\vec{0},t)[1 - \left(\frac{x_1}{R_1(t)}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{x_2}{R_2(t)}\right)^2 \left(\frac{x_3}{R_3(t)}\right)^2]
$$
(4)

where  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  are appropriately chosen Cartesian corrdinates centered on the peak, and the  $R_i$   $(i = 1, 2, 3)$  give the peak's extent in the three spatial directions. Ref. [\[15\]](#page-12-14) derived the properties of such peaks in Gaussian random fields, e.g. the number of peaks per unit volume of a given size, and probability distributions for the  $R_i$ .

Fig. 1 shows the evolution of such an overdensity in a 2-dimensional cut  $(x, \dot{x})$  of its 6dimensional phase-space.  $x$  is the spatial coordinate along an arbitrary direction through the overdensity and  $\dot{x}$  the corresponding velocity. Curve (b) shows the distribution of particles at time  $t_{\text{in}}$  defined as the time when the central part of the overdensity is at turnaround, and curve (d) the distribution of particles at the time  $t_{\text{coll}}$  of collapse of the central overdensity. At  $t_{\text{coll}}$  the density is very large near the center but no black hole forms in case of ordinary CDM because the particles acquired angular momentum through tidal torquing.

The amount of angular momentum acquired by galaxies through tidal torquing is commonly given by a dimensionless number  $\lambda$  called the galactic spin parameter [\[16\]](#page-12-15). Spin parameters in the range  $0.01 \le \lambda \le 0.18$  are predicted [\[17](#page-12-16)] and found to be consistent with the amount of angular momentum baryons are observed to carry in galaxies [\[18\]](#page-12-17). We take this range to be a guide to the amount of angular monetum that the overdesnity under consideration acquired through tidal torquing. If the dark matter is ordinary CDM, the angular momentum introduces an average distance of closest approach to the center of the overdenisty of order  $\lambda^2 R$ , far too large for a black hole to form.

If axions are the dark matter, the axions at a distance r from the center of the overdensity thermalize at the rate given in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-0) with  $\ell \sim r$  since the gravitational fields due to the axion fluid outside the region of radius  $r$  do not help the thermalization within that region [\[11\]](#page-12-10). Since  $\rho(\vec{r},t)$  exceeds the average cosmological energy density  $\bar{\rho}(t) = 1/6\pi G t^2$ ,

$$
\Gamma(t) \gtrsim 2 \cdot 10^{16} H(t) \left(\frac{m}{\mu \text{eV}}\right) \left(\frac{r}{10^{22} \text{ cm}}\right) \left(\frac{220 \text{ Myr}}{t}\right) \tag{5}
$$

where  $H(t) = \frac{2}{3t}$  near cosmic dawn. The thermalization rate is therefore very large compared to the dynamical evolution rate at time  $t_{\text{in}}$ . One may readlily verify that it remains much larger than the dynamical evolution rate during the collapse. However, thermalizaton does not suffice to justify the angular momentum transport necessary for black hole formation. Since the axions can only change their momenta by an amount  $\delta p \sim \frac{\hbar}{\ell}$  $\frac{\hbar}{\ell}$  in a time  $\tau \sim 1/\Gamma$ , they can only change their specific angular momentum  $L$ , i.e. their angular momentum per unit mass, by an amount  $\delta L \sim \hbar/m$  in that time. Hence

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\dot{L}_{\text{max}}(r,t) \sim 4\pi G \rho(r,t) r^2 \tag{6}
$$

is the maximum rate at which axions at radius r can gain or lose specific angular momentum.

The initial oversensity is not spherically symmetric, and hence its collapse is not isotropic. Instead, its asphericity grows during the collpase [\[19\]](#page-12-18) as the overdensity tends to become a pancake or spindle. In our treatment below, we ignore the fact that the infall is highy anistropic because anisotropy only produces velocities in the angular (i.e. non radial) directions that are at most of order  $\sqrt{GM/r}$  where M is the mass enclosed by the shell of radius  $r$ . Since this is always much less than c during the infall, the angular velocities do not greatly affect whether a shell falls within the black hole horizon of the mass M it contains. Although we ignore the effect of anisotropy on the infall motion we do not ignore its effect on the tidal torquing experienced by the infalling overdensity. Tidal torquing is important because it produces angular momentum and hence a minimum radius

$$
r_{\min} = \frac{L^2}{2GM} + \mathcal{O}(L^4)
$$
\n<sup>(7)</sup>

for each shell.

In case of isotropic radial infall the radius of the shell containing mass  $M$  is given at time t by the parametric equations

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
r(M,t) = r_0(M)\sin^2 \sigma
$$
  

$$
t = \sqrt{\frac{r_0(M)^3}{2GM}}[\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\sin(2\sigma)]
$$
 (8)

where  $r_0(M)$  is the shell's turnaround radius. The shell's turnaround time is

$$
t_0(M) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{r_0(M)^3}{2GM}} \quad . \tag{9}
$$

The axion mass density at shell M is

$$
\rho(M,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi r(M,t)^2} \frac{dM}{dr}(M,t) \quad . \tag{10}
$$

By using Eqs. [\(8\)](#page-4-0) to describe the collapse of an axion dark matter overdensity four approximations are made in addition to ignoring the fact that the infall is highly anisotropic. First, we ignore all forms or matter and energy (baryons, photons,neutrinos, dark energy) other than the axionic dark matter. Second, we use Newtonian gravity and Newton's laws of motion to describe the infal. Relativistic corrections are unimportant until a shell approaches the black hole horizon and are unlikely to change the final black hole mass by more than a factor two, or so, which is within the uncertainty that we tolerate throughout. Third, although we keep track of the specific angular momentum  $L(M, t)$  of each shell, we ignore its effect on the radial motion of the shell during its infall. This is justified because a shell can only fall into a black hole if its angular momentum is extremely small. Fourth, we ignore the corrections to the radial motion of the shells due to the wave nature of the axion fluid. This is justified provided the axion Compton wavelength is much less than the black hole size. For our smallest black holes, which have mass of order  $10^6$   $M_{\odot}$ , the axion mass must be more than of order  $10^{-16}$  eV/ $c^2$ . The condition is amply satisfied by QCD axions.

Eqs. [\(8\)](#page-4-0) describe the evolution of an overdensity entirely in terms of the function  $r_0(M)$ that gives the turnaround radius of each shell. We define our initial time  $t_{\rm in}$  to be the turnaround time of the innermost shells:

$$
t_{\rm in}=\lim_{M\to 0}t_0(M)\ .
$$

At that time, the density near the center has the form

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\rho(r, t_{\rm in}) = \rho(0, t_{\rm in})[1 - \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 + \ldots] \tag{11}
$$

where the dots represent additional terms in an expansion in powers of  $r/R$ . We require  $r_0(M)$  to be such that Eq. [\(11\)](#page-4-1) is reproduced for  $r \ll R$ , and such that  $\rho(r, t_{\rm in})$  approaches the contemporary average cosmological energy density  $\bar{\rho}(t_{\text{in}})$  for  $r >> R$ . The requirements are met by the choice:

$$
r_0(M) = R\left[\left(\frac{M}{M_f}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{5}\frac{M}{M_f} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{M}{M_f}\right)^2\right]
$$
(12)

with

$$
M_f = \frac{4\pi}{3}\rho(0, t_{\rm in})R^3 \quad . \tag{13}
$$

The resulting initial density profile  $\rho(r, t_{\text{in}})$  is shown in Fig. 2.

The central overdensity collapses at time

$$
t_{\rm coll} = 2t_{\rm in} = \pi \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi G\rho(0, t_{\rm in})}} \quad , \tag{14}
$$

with the outer shells collapsing later. We define  $M_{\star}(t)$  such that all axions within shell  $M_{\star}(t)$ (but outside any black hole that may have formed) thermalize sufficiently fast that they rotate rigidly, in the three dimensional sense. Let  $\omega(t)$  be their angular rotation frequency at that time. For  $M < M_{\star}(t)$  the specific angular momentum of the axions at the equator of shell M is therefore

$$
L(M,t) = \omega(t)r(M,t)^2 \quad . \tag{15}
$$

Its rate of change following the motion is

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\dot{L}(M,t) = \frac{d\omega}{dt}(t)r(M,t)^2 + 2\omega(t)r(M,t)v_r(M,t)
$$
\n(16)

where  $v_r(M, t) = \dot{r}(M, t)$  is the radial velocity of the shell. The maximum rate at which the shell can shed its specific angular monentum  $L(M, t)$  while collapsing is given by Eq. [\(6\)](#page-3-0) with  $r = r(M, t)$ .

If there were no relaxation,  $L(M, t) = 0$  and the angular frequency of each shell would increase as  $r(M, t)^{-2}$ . Instead, relaxation allows the shells within  $M_{\star}(t)$  to collapse without harrdly incerasing their angular rotation frequency  $\omega(t)$  because their angular momentum is transported outward. The condition for a shell to collapse without hardly increasing its angular rotation frequency is that the RHS of Eq. [\(6\)](#page-3-0) is larger than the second term on the RHS of Eq. [\(16\)](#page-5-0), or equivalently that

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
\omega(t) \lesssim 2\pi G\rho(M,t)r(M,t)\frac{1}{v_r(M,t)} \equiv \omega_{\text{max}}(M,t) \quad . \tag{17}
$$

 $M_{\star}(t)$  is therefore the largest shell such that

$$
\omega(t) < \omega_{\text{max}}(M, t) \tag{18}
$$

for all  $M < M_{\star}(t)$ . Because  $\omega_{\text{max}}(M, t)$  is, at all times, a decreasing function of M near  $M = 0, M_{\star}(t)$  is the smallest solution of

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
\omega_{\text{max}}(M_{\star}(t), t) = \omega(t) \quad . \tag{19}
$$

All axions within the volume enclosed by  $M_{\star}(t)$  exchange angular momentum suffiiently fast that they can, and therefore do, rotate with the common angular frequency  $\omega(t)$ . On the other hand all axions outside shell  $M_{\star}(t)$  conserve their angular momentum. Of course, the transition at  $M_{\star}(t)$  is not sudden as we take it to be but smoothing it out is not expected to change the final outcomes significantly.

 $\omega(t)$  increases with time for two distinct reasons:

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \frac{d\omega}{dt}\Big|_{L} + \frac{d\omega}{dt}\Big|_{T} \quad . \tag{20}
$$

The first term is due to the conservation of angular momentum within the volume of axions that rotate rigidly, and the second term is due to tidal torquing. The first term is

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\left. \frac{d\omega}{dt} \right|_{L} = -\omega(t) \frac{\dot{I}(t)}{I(t)} \tag{21}
$$

with  $I(t)$  the moment of inertia of all axions between shells  $M_{bh}(t)$  and  $M_{\star}(t)$ , where  $M_{bh}(t)$ is the black hole mass at time t

$$
I(t) = \frac{2}{3} \int_{M_{\text{bh}}(t)}^{M_{\star}(t)} dM \ r(M, t)^{2}
$$
 (22)

and

$$
\dot{I}(t) = \frac{4}{3} \int_{M_{\text{bh}}(t)}^{M_{\star}(t)} dM \ r(M, t)v_r(M, t) \tag{23}
$$

its time derivative following the motion. The second term on the RHS of Eq. [\(20\)](#page-5-1) is estimated in Appendix B. Let us write the initial value of  $\omega(t)$  as

$$
\omega(t_{\rm in}) \equiv \omega_{\rm in} = \frac{j}{t_{\rm in}} \quad . \tag{24}
$$

In case of rigid rotation, the relationship between  $j$  and the spin parameter is

$$
\lambda = \frac{4}{5\pi} \sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} j = 0.279 j + \mathcal{O}(j^2) \quad . \tag{25}
$$

We therefore expect j to be in the approximate range  $0.03$  to 0.8. We find in Appendix B that

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\left. \frac{d\omega}{dt} \right|_{T} \simeq 2.2 \ j \ \frac{1}{t_{\rm in}^{2}} \left( \frac{t_{\rm in}}{t} \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \tag{26}
$$

during the interval  $t_{\text{in}} < t < t_{\text{coll}}$ .

Eqs. [\(17\)](#page-5-2),[\(19\)](#page-5-3), [\(20\)](#page-5-1), [\(21\)](#page-6-0) and [\(26\)](#page-6-1) were solved numerically. Initially,  $M_{\star}$  is of order  $M_{f}$ or larger. As  $\omega(t)$  increases, it becomes more and more difficult to maintain rigid rotation,  $M_{\star}(t)$  starts to decrease and then accelerates towards  $M = 0$ . Provided  $\omega$  is sufficently small at time  $t_{\text{coll}}$ , a black hole forms and grows. Soon thereafter, at a time  $t_f$ ,  $r(M_{\star}(t), t)$  reaches zero and relaxation stops. After  $t_f$ ,  $L(M, t)$  of each shell is conserved. The black hole mass is the largest M for which  $r_{\min}(M, t_f) < 2GM/c^2$  or equivalently  $L(M, t_f) < 2GM/c$ .

For given j the black hole mass  $M_{\rm bh}$  is found to be very nearly proportional to  $M_f$ . It has only a small dependence on  $t_{\text{in}}$  for given  $M_f$  and j. Fig. 3 shows  $M_{\text{bh}}$  as a function of j for a)  $M_f = 3 \cdot 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ , b)  $M_f = 10^9 M_{\odot}$ , and c)  $M_f = 3 \cdot 10^7 M_{\odot}$ . For the sake of definiteness, collapse was assumed to occur at redshift  $z = 10$ , so that  $t_{\text{coll}} \approx 0.5$  Gyr, and hence  $t_{\text{in}} \approx$ 0.25 Gyr, implying  $\rho(0, t_{\rm in}) \simeq 7.0 \cdot 10^{-26}$  gr/cc. The three cases were chosen to correspond to overdensities that will evolve later into a) very large galaxies, b) galaxies similar to the Milky Way c) small galaxies. Since the ratio  $M_{\rm bh}/M_f$ , a function of j, has little dependence on the collpase time, it is straightforward to generate results for all plausible cases.

The black hole masses that result from the inital collpase of axion overdensities near cosmic dawn are in surprisingly good agreement with observations. First, the range of black hole masses formed, from approximately  $10^5 M_{\odot}$  to a few times  $10^{10} M_{\odot}$  is the mass range of observed supermassive black holes. A cutoff is predicted at low masses. Specifially the theory predicts that a black hole mass less than  $10^6$   $M_{\odot}$  is unlikely on galaxy scales. Also, masses larger than  $10^{11}$   $M_{\odot}$  are unlikey. They occur only in the largest overdensities and only if  $\omega_{\rm in}$  is unexpectedly small. Second, the predicted supermassive black holes form near cosmic dawn. The puzzle of why supermassive black holes appear at high redshifts is removed. Although they may merge and accrete later, mergers and accretion are not necessary to explain their size. Third, there is a strong correlation between black hole mass and galaxy size. On the other hand, for a given galaxy size the black hole mass ranges widely, by a factor hundred or so. Both the correlation with galaxy size and the intrinsic variability are in qualitative agreement with observation. Fourth, the black holes form for approximately the range of galactic spin parameters expected from tidal torquing.Since there are galaxies with black holes close to the cutoff in  $j$ , e.g. the Milky Way whose central black hole has mass  $4.3 \cdot 10^6 M_{\odot}$ , the theory suggests that there are galaxies without supermassive black hole because their j happens to be larger than the cutoff. This too appears consistent with observation. For example, the nearby small galaxy M33 appears not to have a supermassive black hole [\[20](#page-12-19)]..

Our description of supermassive black hole formation does not make any ad-hoc assumption. No new particle is postulated, other than the standard QCD axion or an axion-like particle with similar properties. The invoked processes of thermalization and angular momentum trnasport in the cold axion dark matter fluid are the same as were described previously in refs. [\[10](#page-12-9), [11](#page-12-10), [14](#page-12-13)]. The theory is predicitve and can be tested further. It should be possible to predict the distribution of supermassive black hole masses from the distribution of overdensities of a given size at comsic dawn and the distribution of galactic spin parameters. The amplitude and spectrum of gravitational waves produced can be calculated, to be compared with observation. By including baryons, it may be possible to determine whether the theory is consistent with the observed relation [\[21\]](#page-12-20) between the stellar velocity disperion in a galactic bulge and the mass of the supermassive black hole mass at its center.

### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Laura Blecha for critical feedback and to Jeff Andrews, Abhishek Chattaraj, Jeff Dror, Antonios Kyriazis, Wei Xue and Fengwei Yang for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant DE-SC0022148 at the University of Florida.

### Appendix A: Axion fluid fluctuations

It has been shown in a variety of contexts that degenerate Bosonic systems have generically large flucutations in intensity or density [\[22\]](#page-12-21). In this appendix we show this for the cold dark matter axion fluid.

In the non-relativisitc limit, the scalar quantum field  $\phi(\vec{x}, t)$  describing axions in a volume V may be written

$$
\phi(\vec{x},t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}}[\psi(\vec{x},t)e^{-imt} + h.c.]
$$
\n(27)

and expanded

$$
\psi(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{\alpha}} u^{\vec{\alpha}}(\vec{x},t) a_{\vec{\alpha}}(t)
$$
\n(28)

where the  $u^{\vec{\alpha}}(\vec{x},t)$  are any set of orthonormal and complete (ONC)wavefunctions in that volume:

$$
\int_{V} d^{3}x \ u^{\vec{\alpha}}(\vec{x},t)^{*} u^{\vec{\beta}}(\vec{x},t) = \delta_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{\beta}} \quad , \quad \sum_{\vec{\alpha}} u^{\vec{\alpha}}(\vec{x},t) u^{\vec{\alpha}}(\vec{y},t)^{*} = \delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \quad . \tag{29}
$$

The  $a_{\vec{\alpha}}(t)$  and their Hermitean conjugates  $a_{\vec{\alpha}}(t)^{\dagger}$  satisfy canonical equal time commutation relations. The most general axion system state is given by a linear combination

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
|c_{\{\mathcal{N}\}}\rangle = \sum_{\{\mathcal{N}\}} c_{\{\mathcal{N}\}} |\{\mathcal{N}\}\rangle \tag{30}
$$

of all possible particle state occupation number eigenstates

$$
|\{\mathcal{N}\}\rangle = \prod_{\vec{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\alpha}}!}} (a_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\dagger})^{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\alpha}}}|0\rangle \quad . \tag{31}
$$

Here  $|0\rangle$  is the empty state and  $\{\mathcal{N}\} = \{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\alpha}} : \forall \vec{\alpha}\}\$ is the set of integers giving the occupation number of each particle state.  $N = \sum_{\vec{\alpha}} \mathcal{N}_{\vec{\alpha}}$  is the total number of axions in volume V.

For the purpose of describing the cold dark matter axion fluid with aveage number density  $\frac{1}{m}\rho(\vec{x}, t)$  and average velocity field  $\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t)$  we choose as ONC set of wavefunctions the wavefucntion of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-1) and spatial modulations thereof with waveector  $\vec{k}$ :

$$
u^{\vec{k}}(\vec{x},t) = e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{\chi}(\vec{x},t)}\Psi(\vec{x},t) \sim e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}\Psi(\vec{x},t) \quad . \tag{32}
$$

If the axion fluid is homogeneous and at rest, this ONC set of wavefunctions would simply be

$$
u^{\vec{k}}(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \quad . \tag{33}
$$

When the axion fluid is inhomgeneous and/or moving, there are many ways to construct suitable  $u^{\vec{k}}(\vec{x},t)$ . A particular method is described in ref. [\[23\]](#page-12-22). Another is to start with all the wavefuntions  $e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}\Psi(\vec{x},t)$  and orthonormalize them in succession. In any such basis, the state of the axion fluid is one where most particle states have low occupation numbers but those with wavevector magnitude  $k \lesssim m \delta v / \hbar$  are hugely occupied. The occupation numbers of those states that are occupied are of order  $10^{61}$  or larger [\[10,](#page-12-9) [11\]](#page-12-10).

The number denisty of axions is the operator

$$
n(\vec{x},t) = \psi(\vec{x},t)^{\dagger} \psi(\vec{x},t) \quad . \tag{34}
$$

In occupation number eignestates, it has quantum mechanical average

$$
\langle \{\mathcal{N}\}|n(\vec{x},t)|\{\mathcal{N}\}\rangle = \sum_{\vec{k}} \mathcal{N}_{\vec{k}}|u^{\vec{k}}(\vec{x},t)|^2 = \frac{1}{m}\rho(\vec{x},t) \quad . \tag{35}
$$

Let us define the operator

$$
\delta n(\vec{x},t) = n(\vec{x},t) - \frac{1}{m}\rho(\vec{x},t) \quad . \tag{36}
$$

One readily finds

$$
\langle \{\mathcal{N}\}|\delta n(\vec{x},t)\delta n(\vec{y},t)|\{\mathcal{N}\}\rangle = |D(\vec{x},\vec{y};t)|^2 [1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\right)] \tag{37}
$$

where

$$
D(\vec{x}, \vec{y}; t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \mathcal{N}_{\vec{k}} \ u^{\vec{k}}(\vec{x}, t)^* u^{\vec{k}}(\vec{y}, t) \quad . \tag{38}
$$

We have therefre

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\langle \{\mathcal{N}\} | (\delta n(\vec{x}, t))^2 \{\mathcal{N}\} \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{m} \rho(\vec{x}, t)\right)^2 [1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\right)] \quad . \tag{39}
$$

Thus, in eignestates of the occupation numbers, the root mean square deviation from the average density at every space-time point equals the average density there. Moreover these deviations are correlated over distances of order  $\ell = \frac{1}{\delta k} = \frac{\hbar}{m \delta v}$  since  $D(\vec{x}, \vec{y}; t)$  cannot vary much over distances shorter than  $1/\delta k$ .

In the general system states of Eq. [\(30\)](#page-8-0) it is not possible to make such strong statements as Eq [\(39\)](#page-9-0) because they include system states in which all axions are in a single state whose wavefunction is a linear combination of several  $u^{\vec{k}}(\vec{x},t)$ . In these very special system statss, the quantum mechanical uncertainty in measuring  $n(\vec{x}, t)$  vanishes. Consider nonetheless the quamtum mechanical average in a general state of any operator  $\Omega$ 

$$
\langle c_{\{\mathcal{N}\}}|\Omega|c_{\{\mathcal{N}\}}\rangle = \sum_{\{\mathcal{N}\}}\sum_{\{\mathcal{N}'\}}c_{\{\mathcal{N}'\}}\langle \{\mathcal{N}\}|\Omega|\{\mathcal{N}'\}\rangle . \tag{40}
$$

Even in case the initial values of the complex coefficients  $c_{\{N\}}$  are very special, they acquire random phases after some time, especially as a result of thermal relaxation of the fluid. We have

$$
\langle c_{\{\mathcal{N}\}}|\Omega|c_{\{\mathcal{N}\}}\rangle = \sum_{\{\mathcal{N}\}}|c_{\{\mathcal{N}\}}|^2 \langle \{\mathcal{N}\}|\Omega|\{\mathcal{N}\}\rangle \tag{41}
$$

where  $\langle ... \rangle$  · means quatnum mechanical average followed by an average over the phases of the coefficient  $c_{\{\mathcal{N}\}}$ . Using Eq. [\(39\)](#page-9-0) we have

$$
\cdot \langle c_{\{\mathcal{N}\}} | (\delta n(\vec{x}, t))^2 | c_{\{\mathcal{N}\}} \rangle \cdot = (\frac{1}{m} \rho(\vec{x}, t))^2 \quad . \tag{42}
$$

### Appendix B: Tidal torquing of the ovdedensity

Consider the tidal torque on all the particles between shels  $M_{\text{bh}}(t)$  and  $M_{\star}(t)$ 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\vec{\tau}(t) = \int d\Omega \int_{r(M_{\text{bh}}(t),t)}^{r(M_{\star}(t),t)} r^2 dr \; \rho(\vec{r},t) \; \vec{r} \times (-\vec{\nabla}\Phi(\vec{r},t) + \vec{\nabla}\Phi(\vec{0},t)) \tag{43}
$$

where  $\Phi(\vec{r},t)$  is the gravitational potential due to density perturbations outside the overdensity of interest. The region surrounding the overdensity near cosmic dawn is an expanding Einstein-de Sitter space-time where  $\vec{r} = \vec{x} \left( \frac{t}{t} \right)$  $\left(\frac{t}{t_{\text{in}}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$ ,  $\vec{x}$  are comoving coordinates, and  $\Phi(\vec{r}, t) = \Phi(\vec{x})$  [\[24](#page-12-23)]. We expand the gravitational potential in Taylor series about the center of the overdensity

$$
\Phi(\vec{x}) = \Phi(\vec{0}) - \vec{g} \cdot \vec{x} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{x}^T \mathbb{T} \vec{x} + \dots \tag{44}
$$

where  $\vec{g}$  is a constant vector and  $\mathbb T$  a constant symmetric matrix. Eq. [\(43\)](#page-10-0) becomes

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\vec{\tau}(t) \simeq -\left(\frac{t}{t_{\rm in}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \int d\Omega \int_{r(M_{\rm bh}(t),t)}^{r(M_{\star}(t),t)} r^4 dr \; \rho(\vec{r},t) \; \hat{n}(\theta,\phi) \times \mathbb{T} \; \hat{n}(\theta,\phi) \quad , \tag{45}
$$

where  $\hat{n}(\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{r}\vec{r}$  is the unit vector in the direction of spherical coordinates  $(\theta, \phi)$ . We expect the asphericity of the smoothed overdensity, as in Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-2), to doninate the integral in Eq. [\(45\)](#page-10-1). Let us rewrite Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-2) as

$$
\rho(\vec{r}, t_{\rm in}) = \rho(\vec{0}, t_{\rm in})[1 - \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 A(\theta, \phi)] \tag{46}
$$

where  $A(\theta, \phi)$  is a linear combination of the spherical harmonics up to second order.

For  $0 < t < t_{\text{in}}$ , we estimate the integral in Eq. [\(45\)](#page-10-1) using linear perturbation theory in a homogeneous expanding universe of denisty  $\rho(\vec{0}, t)$ . In such a universe

$$
r(M,t) = b(t)r(M,t_{\rm in})\tag{47}
$$

where the scale factor  $b(t)$  is given by

$$
b(t) = \sin^2 \sigma
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi G\rho(\vec{0}, t_{\rm in})}} [\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\sin(2\sigma)]
$$
 (48)

We have therefore

$$
\frac{\delta\rho(r(M,t)\hat{n},t)}{\rho(\vec{0},t)} = \delta_+(t) \frac{\delta\rho(r(M,t_{\rm in})\hat{n},t_{\rm in})}{\rho(\vec{0},t_{\rm in})}
$$
(49)

where  $\delta_+(t)$  is the appropriate growth fuction [\[24](#page-12-23)] normallized so that  $\delta_+(t_{\rm in}) = 1$ :

$$
\delta_{+}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{-3\sigma \cos \sigma}{\sin^3 \sigma} + \frac{3}{\sin^2 \sigma} - 1 \right) \quad . \tag{50}
$$

Since

$$
\delta\rho(r(M, t_{\rm in})\hat{n}, t_{\rm in}) = -\rho(\vec{0}, t_{\rm in})\left(\frac{r(M, t_{\rm in})}{R}\right)^2 A(\theta, \phi)
$$
\n(51)

we have

$$
\delta \rho(r(M,t)\hat{n},t) = -\delta_+(t)\rho(\vec{0},t) \left(\frac{r(M,t)}{R}\right)^2 A(\theta,\phi) \quad . \tag{52}
$$

We substitute this for  $\rho(\vec{r}, t)$  in Eq. [\(45\)](#page-10-1) and define

$$
\frac{3}{8\pi} \int d\Omega A(\theta, \phi) \hat{n}(\theta, \phi) \times \mathbb{T} \hat{n}(\theta, \phi) \equiv \Sigma \hat{z} \quad . \tag{53}
$$

Upon integrating the radial coordinate r from 0 to  $R(t) = b(t)R$ , Eq. [\(45\)](#page-10-1) becomes

$$
\vec{\tau}(t) \simeq \frac{8\pi}{3} \Sigma \hat{z} \left(\frac{t_{\rm in}}{t}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \frac{1}{7} R^5 b(t)^7 \rho(\vec{0}, t) \delta_+(t) \quad . \tag{54}
$$

Since

$$
\vec{\tau}(t) = I(t)\hat{z}\frac{d\omega}{dt}\bigg|_{T} \tag{55}
$$

with

$$
I(t) = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^{R(t)} 4\pi r^4 dr \, \rho(\vec{0}, t) = 4\pi \frac{2}{15} R^5 b(t)^5 \rho(\vec{0}, t) \tag{56}
$$

we have

$$
\left. \frac{d\omega}{dt} \right|_{T} \simeq \frac{5}{7} \Sigma \left( \frac{t_{\rm in}}{t} \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} b(t)^{2} \delta_{+}(t) \tag{57}
$$

during the time interval  $0 < t < t_{\text{in}}$ . Hence

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\omega(t_{\rm in}) \simeq \frac{5}{7} \Sigma \int_0^{t_{\rm in}} dt \left(\frac{t_{\rm in}}{t}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} b(t)^2 \delta_+(t) \simeq 0.448 \Sigma t_{\rm in} \quad . \tag{58}
$$

During the time interval  $t_{\text{in}} < t < t_{\text{coll}}$ , tidal torquing continues but perturbation theory breaks down. The mass distribution becomes dominated by its anisotropic component. We estimat the integral in Eq. [\(45\)](#page-10-1) by setting

$$
\rho(r(M,t)\hat{n}(\theta,\phi),t) = \rho(M,t)A(\theta,\phi)
$$
\n(59)

which yields

$$
\vec{\tau}(t) \simeq \left(\frac{t_{\rm in}}{t}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \Sigma \ I(t) \ \hat{z} \tag{60}
$$

and hence

$$
\left. \frac{d\omega}{dt} \right|_{T} \simeq \left( \frac{t_{\rm in}}{t} \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \Sigma \quad . \tag{61}
$$

Combining this with Eq. [\(58\)](#page-11-0) yields

$$
\left. \frac{d\omega}{dt} \right|_{T} \simeq 2.2 \left. \frac{\omega(t_{\rm in})}{t_{\rm in}} \left( \frac{t_{\rm in}}{t} \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \right. \tag{62}
$$

during the time interval  $t_{\text{in}} < t < t_{\text{coll}}$ .

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[1] J. Kormendy and L.C. Ho, Ann. Rev. of Astron. and Astroph., 51 (2013) 511.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[2] A. Kazunori et al. (the EHT Collaboration), Ap. J. Lett. 875 (2019) L5, and Ap. J. Lett. 930 (2022) L12.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[3] J. Frank, A. King and D.J. Raine, Accretion Power in Astrophysics, Third Edition, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[4] G. Agazie et al. (the NANOGrav. Collaboration), Ap. J. Lett. 951 (2023) L8; D.J. Reardon et al., Ap. J. Lett. 951 (2023) L6; H. Xu et al., Res. Astron. Astroph. 23 (2023) 075024; J. Antoniadis et al. (the EPTA Collaboration), arXiv: 2306.16227.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[5] K. Inayoshi, E. Visbal and Z. Haiman, Ann. Rev. of Astron. and Astroph., 58 (2020) 27.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[6] S. Balberg and S.L. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 101301; J. Pollack, D.N. Spergel and P. Steinhardt, Ap. J. 804 (2015) 2, 131; W.-X. Feng, H.-B. Yu and Y.-M. Zhong, Ap. J. Lett. 914 (2021) 2, L26.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[7] T. Rindler-Daller, K. Freese, M.H. Montgomery, D. Winget and B. Paxton, Ap. J. 799 (2015) 210.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[8] R. Larson et al., Ap. J. Lett. 953 (2023) L29; A. Bogdan et al., Nature Atron. 8 (2024) 126; R. Maiolino et al., [arXiv:2308.01230;](http://arxiv.org/abs/2308.01230) L.J. Furtak et al., arXiv: 2308.05735; R. Miaolino et al., Nature 627 (2024) 59;
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[9] D. Hooper, A. Ireland, G. Krujaic and A Stebbins, JCAP 04 (2024) 021.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>[10] P. Sikivie and Q. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 111301.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>[11] O. Erken, P. Sikivie, H. Tam and Q. Yang, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 063520.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>[12] M.Y. Khlopov, B.A. Malomed and Y.B. Zel'dovich, MNRAS 215 (1985) 575.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>[13] J. Preskill, F. Wilczek and M. Wise, Phys. Lett. B120 (1983) 127; L. Abbott and P. Sikivie, Phys. Lett. B120 (1983) 133; M. Dine and W. Fischler, Phys. Lett. B120 (1983) 137.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>[14] N. Banik and P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 123517.
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>[15] J.M. Bardeen, J.R. Bond, N. Kaiser and A.S. Szalay, Ap. J. 304 (1986) 15.
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>[16] P.J.E. Peebles, Ap. J. 155 (1969) 393.
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>[17] G. Efstatathiou and B.J.T. Jones, MNRAS 186 (1979) 133; J. Barnes and G. Efstathiou, Ap. J. 319 (1987) 575.
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>[18] X. Hernanadez, C. Park, B. Cervantes-Sodi and Y.-Y. Choi, MNRAS 375 (2007) 163.
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>[19] C.C. Lin, L. Meistel and F.H. Shu, Ap. J. 142 (1965) 1431; Y.B. Zel'dovich, Astron. and Astroph. 5 (1970) 84; J. Binney, Ap. J. 215 (1977) 492.
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>[20] K. Gebhardt et al., Astron. J. 122 (2001) 2469.
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>[21] F. Ferrarese and D. Merritt, Ap. J. 538 (2000) L9; K. Gebhardt et al., Ap. J. (2000) L13.
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>[22] R.K. Pathria and P.D. Beale, Statistical Mechanics, 3rd edition, Elsevier 2011, and references therein.
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>[23] S. Chakrabarty et al., Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 043531.
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>[24] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology*, J. Wiley and Sons, 1972.



FIG. 1: Phase space distribution of cold collisionless particles during the collpase of a large smooth overdensity near cosmic dawn, at four different times: a) just after the Big Bang, b) when the central part of the overdeisty is at turnaround, c) just before, and d) at the time  $t_{\text{coll}}$  of collapse of the central overdensity.  $x$  is the spatial coordinate along an arbitrary direction through the overdensity. An actual overdensity has small scale structure which has been smoothed out in the figure.



FIG. 2: Density profile at time  $t_{\text{in}}$ , when the central part of the overdensity is at turnaround, in units where  $M_f = 1$  and  $R = 1$ . In these units the central density  $\rho(\vec{0}, t_{\text{in}}) = 3/4\pi$  and the contemporary average cosmological enegy density  $\bar{\rho}(t_{\rm in}) = 4/3\pi^3$ . The average cosmological enegy density is indicated by the horizontal dashed line. The vertical solid line indicates the radius that contains mass  $M_f$ .



FIG. 3: Black hole mass as a function of  $j \equiv \omega_{\rm in} t_{\rm in}$  for three values of  $M_f$ . For given  $M_f$  there is only a very slight dependence of the black hole mass on  $t_{\text{in}}$ . The values shown were computed for  $z_{\rm coll}$  = 10 and hence  $t_{\rm in}$  = 240 Myr.