

Non-supersymmetric non-tachyonic heterotic vacua with reduced rank in various dimensions

Carlo Angelantonj^{1,2}, Ioannis Florakis³, Giorgio Leone^{1,2} and Diego Perugini¹

¹ *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Torino, Via Pietro Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino*

² *INFN Sezione di Torino, Via Pietro Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino*

³ *Department of Physics, University of Ioannina, GR-45110, Ioannina*

ABSTRACT

We construct rigid non-supersymmetric heterotic vacua with reduced rank and no tachyons in six and four dimensions. These configurations are based on asymmetric orbifold compactifications which do not admit deformation moduli and represent, to the best of our knowledge, the first instances of non-tachyonic non-supersymmetric heterotic vacua with reduced rank.

E-mail: carlo.angelantonj@unito.it
iflorakis@uoi.gr
giorgio.leone@unito.it
diego.perugini@edu.unito.it

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The asymmetric T^4/\mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold	2
3	The asymmetric $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold	8

1 Introduction

Breaking supersymmetry in string theory unavoidably leads to instabilities. In the worst case scenario, the light spectrum is plagued by tachyonic scalars which clearly signal that the model does not even correspond to a classical vacuum. The spectrum of excitations does not describe the correct particle content of the theory, and any attempt to compute quantum corrections is doomed. The fate of these configurations is hard to control since an off-shell potential is not available in the string theory description, and the condensation process is practically intractable, save for very few exceptions [1, 2, 3, 4].

Seemingly tachyon-free models are not difficult to build in perturbative string theory. These configurations are typically accompanied by a number of massless scalars which probe the classical moduli space. They parametrise marginal deformations of the two-dimensional sigma-model and allow one to tour the scalar manifold which includes regions where tachyons strike back. Clearly, in the absence of supersymmetry, quantum corrections are expected to lift the moduli space and generate an effective potential which could shield us from these dangerous regions. Although a complete analysis is still lacking, studies [5, 6] have nevertheless shown that the tachyon-free extrema of the scalar potential actually correspond to maxima or saddle points, so that one is unavoidably driven towards tachyonic domains.

On the contrary, truly tachyon-free models, which are those that cannot be continuously deformed into classically unstable vacua, are hard to construct. In ten dimensions only three such cases exist corresponding to the celebrated $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ heterotic string [7, 8], the 0'B orientifold [9, 10] and the type IIB orientifold with $USp(32)$ gauge group [11]. In lower dimensions, ways to build classically stable orientifold vacua have been known since long time, and use suitable combinations of O-planes and D-branes, known as Brane Supersymmetry Breaking [12, 13, 14, 15, 16], or non-trivial implementations of the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism [17]. In the case of oriented closed strings, however, no such examples were known until very recently, when new non-supersymmetric non-tachyonic heterotic vacua were constructed in eight, six and four dimensions [18], based on asymmetric (quasi-crystalline [19, 20]) orbifolds.

Inspired by this work, and by a renewed interest [21, 22, 23] in CHL-like models [24, 25], we present here new non-supersymmetric non-tachyonic heterotic vacua with reduced rank in six and four dimensions, which cannot be continuously deformed to tachyonic ones. They are based on orbifold compactifications of the $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ string involving the permutation of the two gauge group factors and suitable rotations and shifts acting along the right-moving and left-moving compact string coordinates, respectively. To the best of our knowledge, they are the first instances of genuinely stable non-supersymmetric CHL-like constructions in any dimension.

Although asymmetric orbifolds have a long history [26, 27], they have been rarely studied in the literature aside from the pioneering work [28], and some more recent attempts to build a heterotic vacuum with interesting phenomenology [29], or study of the moduli space of string compactifications [30, 31, 32]. Their main attractiveness, however, lies in the fact that asymmetric actions are typically compatible only with rigid tori. As a result, the light spectrum involves very few moduli [33, 34, 35, 36, 18], and provides a special incarnation [37, 38] of non-geometric flux compactifications. The combination of permutation symmetry and asymmetric orbifolds, which project away Kähler, complex structure and Wilson line moduli, is precisely the key to building non-supersymmetric heterotic vacua of reduced rank which are both classically stable and rigid.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we focus on six dimensions and construct a simple example based on an asymmetric \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold resulting in a rigid, chiral, tachyon-free vacuum with gauge group $\text{SO}(8) \times \text{SO}(16)$ and no dangerous neutral moduli. In Section 3, we extend this construction down to four dimensions based on asymmetric $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifolds utilising both rotations and translations, and present three different non-supersymmetric stable vacua with rank fourteen and twenty-two, though non-chiral. In all cases, we display the full one-loop partition function, extract the massless spectrum and compute the corresponding vacuum energy.

2 The asymmetric T^4/\mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold

Let us start from the $\text{SO}(16) \times \text{SO}(16)$ heterotic string [7, 8] compactified on a T^4 at the special point of $\text{SO}(8)$ symmetry enhancement, with background metric and B field

$$g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

The partition function¹

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} = & [\bar{V}_8(O_{16}O_{16} + S_{16}S_{16}) - \bar{S}_8(O_{16}S_{16} + S_{16}O_{16}) - \bar{C}_8(V_{16}V_{16} + C_{16}C_{16}) + \bar{O}_8(V_{16}C_{16} + C_{16}V_{16})] \\ & \times (|O_8|^2 + |V_8|^2 + |S_8|^2 + |C_8|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

is written in terms of $\text{SO}(2n)$ level-one characters, whose definition in terms of Dedekind and Jacobi theta functions and modular properties can be conveniently found in [39]. Here, the anti-holomorphic characters are associated to the NS_\pm and R_\pm sectors of the world-sheet right-moving fermions, the $\text{SO}(16)$ characters are associated to the left-moving gauge degrees of freedom, while the second line encodes the contribution of the compact bosons associated to the T^4 .

The NS vacuum from \bar{O}_8 is not level-matched, and therefore this compactification does not include tachyons. However, the massless spectrum contains, aside from the ubiquitous dilaton,

¹Here and in the following we omit in \mathcal{Z} the invariant integration over the fundamental domain of the modular group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and the contribution $\tau_2^{-d/2} (\eta(q)\bar{\eta}(\bar{q}))^{-d}$ associated to the d transverse non compact world-sheet bosons, including their zero modes.

sixteen neutral scalars, corresponding to the Kähler and complex structure moduli of the T^4 , together with 64 Wilson lines which can then be used to deform the vacuum and connect it to tachyonic models. As anticipated in the introduction, although quantum corrections typically lift the moduli space, a detailed study of the Coleman-Weinberg potential reveals that non tachyonic extrema only correspond to maxima or saddle points, and one is naturally driven towards unstable regions [5, 6].

For this reason, following [33, 34, 35] and [36] we mod-out the vacuum (2.2) by the asymmetric \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold acting on the right-moving fields along the T^4 direction as

$$\gamma: X_R^i(\tau - \sigma) \rightarrow -X_R^i(\tau - \sigma), \quad \tilde{\psi}^i(\tau - \sigma) \rightarrow -\tilde{\psi}^i(\tau - \sigma). \quad (2.3)$$

This choice is compatible with modular invariance and particle interpretation of the one-loop vacuum energy, and does not require any action on the gauge degrees of freedom, since the phases generated by the T modular transformation are cancelled in the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic sectors, independently. The vertex operators $\partial X^i (\bar{\partial} X^j + i p \cdot \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi}^j) e^{i p \cdot X}$ and $J^a (\bar{\partial} X^j + i p \cdot \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi}^j) e^{i p \cdot X}$ associated to the complex structure and Kähler moduli and Wilson lines, J^a being an holomorphic $\text{SO}(16) \times \text{SO}(16)$ current, are odd with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_2 generator (2.3) and are therefore projected away from the physical spectrum. This is clearly a consequence of the asymmetric action which is not compatible with a generic shape and size of the compactification torus. However, as we shall see, these states will come back from the twisted sector, unless we accompany the asymmetric rotation with an additional involution which acts non-trivially on the twisted sector.

The orbifold naturally breaks the $\text{SO}(8)$ symmetries associated to the world-sheet fermions and the compact right-moving bosons down to $\text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}(4)$, with

$$\bar{V}_8 = \bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 + \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4, \quad \bar{O}_8 = \bar{O}_4 \bar{O}_4 + \bar{V}_4 \bar{V}_4, \quad \bar{S}_8 = \bar{C}_4 \bar{C}_4 + \bar{S}_4 \bar{S}_4, \quad \bar{C}_8 = \bar{S}_4 \bar{C}_4 + \bar{C}_4 \bar{S}_4, \quad (2.4)$$

and the asymmetric \mathbb{Z}_2 rotation (2.3) on the compact right-moving coordinates acts on the anti-holomorphic characters as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 + \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4 &\rightarrow \bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4, & \bar{C}_4 \bar{C}_4 + \bar{S}_4 \bar{S}_4 &\rightarrow \bar{C}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{S}_4 \bar{S}_4, \\ \bar{O}_4 \bar{O}_4 + \bar{V}_4 \bar{V}_4 &\rightarrow \bar{O}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{V}_4 \bar{V}_4, & \bar{S}_4 \bar{C}_4 + \bar{C}_4 \bar{S}_4 &\rightarrow \bar{S}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{C}_4 \bar{S}_4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The orbifold partition function then reads

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h,g=0,1} \mathcal{Z} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} h \\ g \end{smallmatrix} \right], \quad (2.6)$$

where $\mathcal{Z} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ is simply given by the toroidal amplitude (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right] &= [(\bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4)(O_{16} O_{16} + S_{16} S_{16}) + (\bar{O}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{V}_4 \bar{V}_4)(C_{16} V_{16} + V_{16} C_{16}) \\ &\quad - (\bar{C}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{S}_4 \bar{S}_4)(S_{16} O_{16} + O_{16} S_{16}) - (\bar{S}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{C}_4 \bar{S}_4)(V_{16} V_{16} + C_{16} C_{16})] \\ &\quad \times [(\bar{O}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{V}_4 \bar{V}_4) O_8 + (\bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4) V_8 + (\bar{C}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{S}_4 \bar{S}_4) S_8 + (\bar{S}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{C}_4 \bar{S}_4) C_8], \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

counts the untwisted states modulo their \mathbb{Z}_2 parity, while the amplitudes $\mathcal{Z} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ and $\mathcal{Z} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$, defining

Sector	fields	SO(16) \times SO(16) \times SO(8)
Untwisted	$g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi$	(1, 1, 1)
	A_μ	(120, 1, 1) + (1, 120, 1) + (1, 1, 28)
	ψ_L	(128, 1, 1) + (1, 128, 1)
	ψ_R	(16, 16, 1)
Twisted	ψ_L	(128, 1, 1) + (1, 128, 1)
	ψ_R	(16, 16, 1)
	4ϕ	(120, 1, 1) + (1, 120, 1) + (1, 1, 28)
	$4A_\mu$	(1, 1, 1)

Table 2.1: The light spectrum of the six-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold associated to the partition function (2.6). The scalar field ϕ in the first line is the universal dilaton, while ψ_L and ψ_R denote right-handed (LHMW) and right-handed (RHMW) Majorana-Weyl fermions, respectively.

the twisted sector, are obtained from $\mathcal{Z} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ upon the action of the S and TS modular transformations, respectively.

This six-dimensional vacuum is non-tachyonic and the massless spectrum, collected in table 2.1, is non-chiral. As anticipated, this is nothing but the toroidal compactification of the ten-dimensional SO(16) \times SO(16) theory. The eight Abelian vectors, including the Cartan generators of SO(8), are nothing but the mixed components $g_{\mu i}$ and $B_{\mu i}$ while, among the twisted scalars there are sixteen neutral ones, *i.e.* the four scalars associated to the four Cartan generators of SO(8), which are nothing but the deformation moduli of the T^4 . These scalars, together with the Wilson lines of the SO(16) \times SO(16) gauge group, can acquire a non-trivial vacuum expectation value and take us away from the SO(16) \times SO(16) \times SO(8) point. Therefore, this model can be continuously connected to tachyonic vacua.

In order to get a rigid vacuum, we can combine the asymmetric rotation (2.3) with a (modular invariant) action on the gauge degrees of freedom. The simplest and most interesting choice is to use the permutation R of the two SO(16) groups. Taking into account that only the $\bar{V}_8(O_{16}O_{16} + S_{16}S_{16})$ and $\bar{C}_8(V_{16}V_{16} + C_{16}C_{16})$ contributions admit a non-trivial action of R , the full partition function now reads

$$\mathcal{Z}_{6d} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h,g=0,1} \mathcal{Z}_{6d} \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.8)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{6d} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = & [(\bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 + \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4)(O_{16}O_{16} + S_{16}S_{16}) + (\bar{O}_4 \bar{O}_4 + \bar{V}_4 \bar{V}_4)(C_{16}V_{16} + V_{16}C_{16}) \\ & - (\bar{C}_4 \bar{C}_4 + \bar{S}_4 \bar{S}_4)(S_{16}O_{16} + O_{16}S_{16}) - (\bar{S}_4 \bar{C}_4 + \bar{C}_4 \bar{S}_4)(V_{16}V_{16} + C_{16}C_{16})] \\ & \times [(\bar{O}_4 \bar{O}_4 + \bar{V}_4 \bar{V}_4)O_8 + (\bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 + \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4)V_8 + (\bar{C}_4 \bar{C}_4 + \bar{S}_4 \bar{S}_4)S_8 + (\bar{S}_4 \bar{C}_4 + \bar{C}_4 \bar{S}_4)C_8], \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{6d} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = & [(\bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4)(O_{16}(q^2) + S_{16}(q^2)) - (\bar{S}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{C}_4 \bar{S}_4)(V_{16}(q^2) + C_{16}(q^2))] \\ & \times [(\bar{O}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{V}_4 \bar{V}_4)O_8 + (\bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4)V_8 + (\bar{C}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{S}_4 \bar{S}_4)S_8 + (\bar{S}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{C}_4 \bar{S}_4)C_8], \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Sector	fields	SO(16) ₂ × SO(8) ₁
Untwisted	$g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi$	(1 , 1)
	A_μ	(120 , 1) + (1 , 28)
	$\psi_L + \psi_R$	(128 , 1)
	ψ_R	(136 , 1)
	ψ_L	(120 , 1)
	4ϕ	120(1 , 1)
Twisted	$4\phi + \psi_L$	(120 , 1) + (1 , 8_v + 8_s + 8_c)
	$4\phi + \psi_R$	(128 , 1)

Table 2.2: The light spectrum of the rigid six-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold associated to the partition function (2.8). The suffix k in the gauge group indicates that the associated Kač-Moody algebra is realised at level k , *i.e.* SO(16) has level $k = 2$ while for SO(8) $k = 1$. The scalar field ϕ in the first line is the universal dilaton, while ψ_L and ψ_R denote right-handed (LHMW) and right-handed (RHMW) Majorana-Weyl fermions, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{6d} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right] &= [(\bar{O}_4 \bar{C}_4 + \bar{V}_4 \bar{S}_4 - \bar{S}_4 \bar{V}_4 - \bar{C}_4 \bar{O}_4) O_{16}(\sqrt{q}) + (\bar{O}_4 \bar{S}_4 + \bar{V}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{C}_4 \bar{V}_4 - \bar{S}_4 \bar{O}_4) S_{16}(\sqrt{q})] \\ &\times [(\bar{O}_4 \bar{S}_4 + \bar{V}_4 \bar{C}_4) O_8 + (\bar{V}_4 \bar{S}_4 + \bar{O}_4 \bar{C}_4) \bar{V}_8 + (\bar{S}_4 \bar{O}_4 + \bar{C}_4 \bar{V}_4) S_8 + (\bar{S}_4 \bar{V}_4 + \bar{C}_4 \bar{O}_4) C_8], \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{6d} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right] &= [(\bar{O}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{V}_4 \bar{S}_4 + \bar{S}_4 \bar{V}_4 - \bar{C}_4 \bar{O}_4) \hat{O}_{16}(-\sqrt{q}) + (\bar{O}_4 \bar{S}_4 - \bar{V}_4 \bar{C}_4 + \bar{C}_4 \bar{V}_4 - \bar{S}_4 \bar{O}_4) \hat{S}_{16}(-\sqrt{q})] \\ &\times [(\bar{V}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{O}_4 \bar{S}_4) O_8 + (\bar{O}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{V}_4 \bar{S}_4) \bar{V}_8 + (\bar{S}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{C}_4 \bar{V}_4) S_8 + (\bar{C}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{S}_4 \bar{V}_4) C_8]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Notice that $\mathcal{Z}_{6d} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ is a rewriting of eq. (2.2), where the anti-holomorphic SO(8) characters have been conveniently decomposed as in (2.4), and is manifestly modular invariant. The twisted amplitudes $\mathcal{Z}_{6d} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{6d} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ are, as usual, obtained from $\mathcal{Z}_{6d} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ via the action of S and TS modular transformations, so that also the complete partition function (2.8) is SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) invariant. To lighten the notation, in $\mathcal{Z}_{6d} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ we have introduced the *hatted* characters $\hat{O}_{16}(-\sqrt{q}) = e^{i\pi/3} O_{16}(-\sqrt{q})$ and $\hat{S}_{16}(-\sqrt{q}) = e^{i\pi/3} S_{16}(-\sqrt{q})$, which absorb an overall phase generated by the T modular transformation. Clearly, the q -expansion of the partition function only involves integer coefficients.

In this case, the six-dimensional massless spectrum is chiral and is reported in table 2.2. Notice that, as expected, the Kähler and complex structure moduli together with the Wilson lines are absent, which reflects the fact that this vacuum cannot be deformed, even though the spectrum includes 4×120 neutral scalars² associated to the term $\frac{1}{2} \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4 O_{16} O_{16}$ in $\mathcal{Z}_{6d} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$. To better understand their origin, we note that the two SO(16) currents $J_{1,2}^a$ of the parent theory should be conveniently decomposed into the eigenstates $J_\pm^a \sim J_1^a \pm J_2^a$ of the permutation operator R with

²Notice that these scalars are a consequence of the CHL-like action on the gauge degrees of freedom, and they are also present in the same asymmetric orbifold of the supersymmetric $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string presented in [36]. Indeed, one of the adjoint hypermultiplets in table 2 of [36] should rather be interpreted as a neutral hypermultiplet transforming in the adjoint representation of the anti-diagonal combination of the two E_8 's that has been projected out by the CHL involution. Notice that this correct interpretation of the spectrum does not conflict with the computation of irreducible anomalies since they contribute the same amount to the $\text{tr} R^4$ term, while E_8 does not admit a quartic invariant.

eigenvalues ± 1 . As a result, the vertex operators invariant under the action of the full orbifold group are

$$J_+^a (\bar{\partial} X^\mu + i p \cdot \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi}^\mu) e^{i p \cdot X} \quad \text{and} \quad J_-^a (\bar{\partial} X^i + i p \cdot \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi}^i) e^{i p \cdot X}, \quad (2.13)$$

so that the scalars ϕ^i are singlets of the *physical* gauge group $\text{SO}(16)_2 \times \text{SO}(8)_1$, while transforming in the 120-dimensional adjoint representation of the now global $\text{SO}(16)$ symmetry associated to J_-^a . Clearly, this continuous global symmetry is expected to be broken by quantum effects. It is tempting to assume that these scalars have a potential of the form $[\phi_i, \phi_j]^2$ inherited from the ten-dimensional field strength, so that most of them will become massive. This description of the spectrum is indeed compatible with the partition function, since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{6d} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right] &\supset (\bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4) O_{16}(q^2) \simeq (\bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4) (q^{-1} + 120 q) \\ &\simeq (\bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4) (q^{-1} + (120 - 120) q^0 + 120 q), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where the coefficient of q^0 counts the number of J_\pm^a currents graded by their \mathbb{Z}_2 eigenvalue.

The remaining scalars all transform in non-trivial representations and it is natural to wonder whether they can acquire a generic vacuum expectation value (vev) and break the gauge group. In such a case, the model would be driven away from the rigid point of the $\text{SO}(8)$ maximal torus and the asymmetric action of the orbifold would be forbidden. As a result, the lack of deformation moduli strongly suggests that a non-trivial potential should be generated which prevents charged scalars from acquiring a vev. Of course, quantised vev's compatible with the $\text{SO}(8)$ point are still allowed, but the resulting model, tachyonic or not, will be anyhow disconnected from our vacuum configuration.

It is straightforward to show that this chiral spectrum has vanishing irreducible gauge and gravitational anomalies, while the residual anomaly polynomial factorises as

$$I_8 = \frac{1}{4} (2 \text{tr} R^2 - \frac{2}{2} \text{tr} F_{16}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} F_8^2) \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} F_{16}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} F_8^2 \right), \quad (2.15)$$

and is cancelled by a six-dimensional version of the Green-Schwarz mechanism [40, 41]. Notice that this anomaly polynomial takes the standard form [42, 43] for six-dimensional heterotic vacua and the coefficients of the $\text{tr} F_{16}^2$ and $\text{tr} F_8^2$ reflect the fact that the $\text{SO}(16)$ gauge group is realised at level $k = 2$, while the $\text{SO}(8)$ group at $k = 1$. It would be interesting to study non-perturbative anomalies along the lines of [44].

This model, defined by the vacuum amplitudes (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) is, to the best of our knowledge, the first instance of a non-supersymmetric CHL-like construction which is free of tachyonic excitations and cannot be continuously deformed. It represents the six-dimensional analogue of the ten-dimensional $\text{SO}(16) \times \text{SO}(16)$ heterotic string, but with reduced rank gauge group realised at level two.

The classical stability of this vacuum is associated to the presence of misaligned supersymmetry [45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50] in the tower of massive string excitations. Indeed, following [49] it is straightforward to compute the exact sector averaged sum and show that the effective central charge vanishes, $C_{\text{eff}} = 0$, so that the overall (signed) spectrum does not exhibit an exponential




Figure 2.1: The *signed* logarithm of the net number of degrees of freedom at each mass level for the six-dimensional non-tachyonic CHL-like vacuum with gauge group $SO(8)_1 \times SO(16)_2$ highlighting the presence of misaligned supersymmetry. Positive (negative) contributions are ascribed to the excess of bosonic (fermionic) states.

growth signalling the onset of a Hagedorn phase transition at some critical temperature. This is reflected in the oscillating pattern of the net number of degrees of freedom represented in figure 2.1. Clearly, being non-supersymmetric, this model has a non-vanishing vacuum energy

$$\Omega = -\frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^6} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d\tau_1 d\tau_2}{2\tau_2^4} \frac{\mathcal{L}_{6d}(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{(\eta\bar{\eta})^4} \simeq 3.31 \times 10^{-3} \alpha'^{-3}, \quad (2.16)$$

which is positive and induces a one-loop runaway potential for the dilaton.

This asymmetric \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold can be evidently used to mod-out any theory which respects γR , as for instance the $[E_7 \times SU(2)]^2$ non-supersymmetric tachyonic heterotic string [8] compactified on the $SO(8)$ maximal torus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_t[0] = & [\bar{V}_8 (\chi_o \xi_o \chi_o \xi_o + \chi_v \xi_v \chi_v \xi_v) - \bar{S}_8 (\chi_o \xi_o \chi_v \xi_v + \chi_v \xi_v \chi_o \xi_o) \\ & - \bar{C}_8 (\chi_o \xi_v \chi_v \xi_o + \chi_v \xi_o \chi_o \xi_v) + \bar{O}_8 (\chi_o \xi_v \chi_o \xi_v + \chi_v \xi_o \chi_v \xi_o)] \\ & \times (|O_8|^2 + |V_8|^2 + |S_8|^2 + |C_8|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Here the characters χ_o and χ_v (ξ_o and ξ_v) are associated to the conjugacy classes of the adjoint and fundamental representations of $SU(2)$ (E_7). As in the previous case, we decompose the anti-holomorphic $SO(8)$ characters into product of $SO(4) \times SO(4)$ ones (2.4), so that the asymmetric rotation has the natural action (2.5) and the untwisted projected amplitude reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_t[0] = & [(\bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4) (\chi_o \xi_o + \chi_v \xi_v) (q^2) + (\bar{O}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{V}_4 \bar{V}_4) (\chi_o \xi_v + \chi_v \xi_o) (q^2)] \\ & \times [(\bar{O}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{V}_4 \bar{V}_4) O_8 + (\bar{V}_4 \bar{O}_4 - \bar{O}_4 \bar{V}_4) V_8 + (\bar{C}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{S}_4 \bar{S}_4) S_8 + (\bar{S}_4 \bar{C}_4 - \bar{C}_4 \bar{S}_4) C_8]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

As usual, the twisted amplitudes $\mathcal{Z}_t[0]$ and $\mathcal{Z}_t[1]$ can be obtained from $\mathcal{Z}_t[0]$ via the modular transformations S and TS , respectively. The light spectrum includes, in the untwisted sector, the metric, the Kalb-Ramond field and the dilaton, gauge bosons for the gauge group $(E_7 \times SU(2))_2 \times$

$SO(8)_1$, a tachyon in the $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ representation and two Majorana fermions in the $(\mathbf{56}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ representation. The twisted sector gives four scalars in the $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{133}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}_\nu + \mathbf{8}_s + \mathbf{8}_c)$ representations and one extra Majorana fermion in the $(\mathbf{56}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ representation. Finally, we also get 544 neutral scalars associated to the internal components of the odd combination of gauge bosons, as in eq. (2.13). Again, the Kähler and complex structure moduli, as well as the Wilson lines are absent and thus this tachyonic spectrum cannot be deformed. Being non-chiral the anomaly polynomial vanishes identically, while the vacuum energy is divergent because of the presence of tachyons.

3 The asymmetric $T^6 / \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold

The generalisation to four dimensions is, in principle, straightforward. The naive choice would be to perform an asymmetric $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold, where each \mathbb{Z}_2 is a copy of the six-dimensional one, though acting along different directions,

$$\gamma_1 = (-, +, -)R, \quad \gamma_2 = (+, -, -)R. \quad (3.1)$$

Indeed, at first sight, the Wilson lines $J^a (\bar{\partial}X^j + ip \cdot \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi}^j) e^{ip \cdot X}$ and the moduli $\partial X^i (\bar{\partial}X^j + ip \cdot \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi}^j) e^{ip \cdot X}$ of the compactification torus are both odd under the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ action. However, a more attentive analysis shows that the element $\gamma_1 \gamma_2$ acts as a simple right-moving rotation of four coordinates, and leaves the left moving sector completely untouched. This element reproduces (the four-dimensional reduction of) the partition function (2.2), and thus, per se, corresponds to a simple toroidal compactification of the heterotic string defined by eq. (2.8), where (some of) the deformation moduli and Wilson lines come back from the twisted sector. To overcome this problem, and make sure that the deformation moduli from $\gamma_1 \gamma_2$ twisted sector become massive, we accompany the right-moving rotations with left-moving (asymmetric) shifts. Modular invariance and the rules of free-fermionic construction [51] (see [52] for a recent review) require that the asymmetric shift acts on four coordinates. One can then make three inequivalent choices for the orbifold generators

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2: \quad \gamma_1 = (-, +, -)_R \times (1, \delta, \delta)_L \times R, \quad \gamma_2 = (+, -, -)_R \times (\delta, 1, \delta)_L \times R, \quad (3.2)$$

$$(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)': \quad \gamma'_1 = (-, +, -)_R \times (1, \delta, \delta)_L \times R, \quad \gamma'_2 = (+, -, -)_R \times (1, 1, 1)_L \times R, \quad (3.3)$$

$$(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)'': \quad \gamma''_1 = (-, +, -)_R \times (1, \delta, \delta)_L, \quad \gamma''_2 = (+, -, -)_R \times (\delta, 1, \delta)_L. \quad (3.4)$$

As usual, R denotes the permutation of the two $SO(16)$ groups and therefore only the first and second choice yield a gauge group of reduced rank. The entries in the brackets refer to the three pairs of compact coordinates, each parametrising a T^2 . A minus sign indicates a π rotation on the right-moving coordinates of the associated two-plane, and similarly δ induces an order-two shift along the pair of left-moving coordinates. Clearly, this asymmetric action is only compatible with a special T^6 which we take to be the maximal torus of $SO(12)$.

To better understand the action of rotation and shifts on the compact coordinates, it is convenient to resort to a description in terms of free fermions, so that X_L^i and X_R^i are described by y^i, ω^i

and $\bar{y}^i, \bar{\omega}^i$, respectively. Since rotations and shifts act on the bosonic coordinates as

$$X_R^i \rightarrow -X_R^i, \quad X_L^i \rightarrow X_L^i + \pi, \quad (3.5)$$

the fermionisation map

$$\bar{y}^i \sim \sin(X_R^i), \quad \bar{\omega}^i \sim \cos(X_R^i), \quad (3.6)$$

yields the following action on the free fermions

$$(\bar{y}^i, \bar{\omega}^i) \rightarrow (-\bar{y}^i, +\bar{\omega}^i), \quad (y^i, \omega^i) \rightarrow (-y^i, -\omega^i). \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, the orbifold generators break the right-moving SO(12) as

$$\text{SO}(12)_R \rightarrow [\text{SO}(2) \times \text{SO}(2)]_R^3, \quad (3.8)$$

while the left-moving SO(12) is broken down to

$$\text{SO}(12)_L \rightarrow [\text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}(4)]_L. \quad (3.9)$$

for the first and third choice, while

$$\text{SO}(12)_L \rightarrow [\text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}(8)]_L. \quad (3.10)$$

for the second one, since the shifts act simultaneously on the 3, 4, 5, 6 directions.

In eq. (3.8) the first (second) SO(2) factor is associated to the pair $\bar{y}^{1,2}$ ($\bar{\omega}^{1,2}$) and similarly for the other SO(2)'s. In eq. (3.9) the k -th SO(4) factor is associated to the four fermions $y^{2k-1,2k}, \omega^{2k-1,2k}$, respectively, while in eq. (3.10) the SO(4) is associated to the four fermions $y^{1,2}, \omega^{1,2}$ and SO(8) to the eight fermions $y^{3,4,5,6}, \omega^{3,4,5,6}$. Finally, in the RNS sector, the ten-dimensional little group SO(8) is broken to SO(2)⁴, parametrised by $\bar{\chi}^\mu$, for the non-compact directions, and $\bar{\chi}^i$, for the compact ones. Putting everything together, the action of the three asymmetric orbifolds is specified in tables 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, and reflects into

$$\begin{aligned} (O_2, V_2, S_2, C_2) &\rightarrow (O_2, -V_2, i S_2, -i C_2), \\ (O_4, V_4, S_4, C_4) &\rightarrow (O_4, -V_4, -S_4, C_4), \\ (O_8, V_8, S_8, C_8) &\rightarrow (O_8, -V_8, S_8, -C_8), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for the corresponding SO(2), SO(4) and SO(8) characters.

	$\bar{\chi}^{1,2}$	$\bar{\chi}^{3,4}$	$\bar{\chi}^{5,6}$	$y^{1,2}, \omega^{1,2}$	$y^{3,4}, \omega^{3,4}$	$y^{5,6}, \omega^{5,6}$	$\bar{y}^{1,2}$	$\bar{\omega}^{1,2}$	$\bar{y}^{3,4}$	$\bar{\omega}^{3,4}$	$\bar{y}^{5,6}$	$\bar{\omega}^{5,6}$
γ_1	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
γ_2	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗

Table 3.1: The action of the asymmetric $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold of eq. (3.2) on the left-moving and right-moving fermions. The symbol ✓ (✗) clearly indicates that the element γ_a does (not) act on the corresponding fermions. γ_1 and γ_2 also act on the gauge degrees of freedom exchanging the two SO(16) factors.

	$\bar{\chi}^{1,2}$	$\bar{\chi}^{3,4}$	$\bar{\chi}^{5,6}$	$(y, \omega)^{1,2}$	$(y, \omega)^{3,4,5,6}$	$\bar{y}^{1,2}$	$\bar{\omega}^{1,2}$	$\bar{y}^{3,4}$	$\bar{\omega}^{3,4}$	$\bar{y}^{5,6}$	$\bar{\omega}^{5,6}$
γ_1	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
γ_2	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗

Table 3.2: The action of the asymmetric $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)'$ orbifold of eq. (3.3) on the left-moving and right-moving fermions. The symbol ✓ (✗) clearly indicates that the element γ_a does (not) act on the corresponding fermions. γ_1 and γ_2 also act on the gauge degrees of freedom exchanging the two SO(16) factors.

	$\bar{\chi}^{1,2}$	$\bar{\chi}^{3,4}$	$\bar{\chi}^{5,6}$	$(y, \omega)^{1,2}$	$(y, \omega)^{3,4}$	$(y, \omega)^{5,6}$	$\bar{y}^{1,2}$	$\bar{\omega}^{1,2}$	$\bar{y}^{3,4}$	$\bar{\omega}^{3,4}$	$\bar{y}^{5,6}$	$\bar{\omega}^{5,6}$
γ_1	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
γ_2	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗

Table 3.3: The action of the asymmetric $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)''$ orbifold of eq. (3.4) on the left-moving and right-moving fermions. The symbol ✓ (✗) clearly indicates that the element γ_a does (not) act on the corresponding fermions. Notice that now, γ_1 and γ_2 do not act on the gauge degrees of freedom.

Sector	fields	$\text{SO}(16)_2 \times \text{SO}(4)_1 \times \text{SO}(4)_1 \times \text{SO}(4)_1$
Untwisted	$g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$
	A_μ	$(\mathbf{120}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})$
	ψ_{D}	$2(\mathbf{128}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{136}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{120}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$
	2ϕ	$120(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})$
γ_1 twisted	$2\phi + \psi_{\text{D}}$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}_s + \mathbf{2}_c, \mathbf{2}_s + \mathbf{2}_c)$
γ_2 twisted	$2\phi + \psi_{\text{D}}$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}_s + \mathbf{2}_c, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}_s + \mathbf{2}_c)$
$\gamma_1\gamma_2$ twisted	2ϕ	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}_s + \mathbf{2}_c, \mathbf{2}_s + \mathbf{2}_c, \mathbf{4})$

Table 3.4: The light spectrum of the rigid four-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold generated by (3.2). The suffix k in the gauge group indicates that the associated Kač-Moody algebra is realised at level k , *i.e.* $\text{SO}(16)$ has level $k = 2$ while all $\text{SO}(4)$'s have $k = 1$. The scalar field ϕ in the first line is the universal dilaton, while ψ_{D} denotes a Dirac fermion.

We have now all the ingredients to build the new four-dimensional vacua, starting from the toroidal compactification on the maximal torus of $\text{SO}(12)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \left[\bar{V}_8 (O_{16} O_{16} + S_{16} S_{16}) - \bar{S}_8 (O_{16} S_{16} + S_{16} O_{16}) - \bar{C}_8 (V_{16} V_{16} + C_{16} C_{16}) + \bar{O}_8 (V_{16} C_{16} + C_{16} V_{16}) \right] \\ & \times \left[|O_{12}|^2 + |O_{12}|^2 + |O_{12}|^2 + |O_{12}|^2 \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

and implementing the orbifolds (3.2), (3.3) or (3.4) as indicated in tables 3.1, 3.2, 3.3. The associated massless spectra are collected in tables 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6, respectively. The main feature shared by all three spectra is the absence of neutral scalars associated to the deformations of the T^6 and to the Wilson lines, thus reflecting once more the rigidity of these constructions. These non-tachyonic vacua are the four-dimensional counterparts of the $\text{SO}(16) \times \text{SO}(16)$ model [7, 8]. Although in the case of maximal rank gauge group similar constructions, based on quasi-crystalline orbifolds, have been discussed in [18], the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)'$ orbifolds represent the first instances of truly non-tachyonic four-dimensional constructions with reduced rank. A common feature of the rank-reduced spectra is the presence of neutral scalars from the untwisted sector. As in six dimensions, they are associated to the ‘‘adjoint’’ representation of the non-invariant off-diagonal combination of the two $\text{SO}(16)$ factors, as in eq. (2.13), and therefore cannot be used to deform the models and connect them to tachyonic vacua. Anyhow, they are expected to acquire a mass via the potential $[\phi_i, \phi_j]^2$ inherited from the ten-dimensional Yang-Mills action. The remaining scalars all transform in non-trivial representations of the gauge group and, following the discussion given for the six-dimensional model, we expect a potential to be generated which forbids any Higgsing.

For completeness, we report the associated partition functions written in terms of Dedekind

Sector	fields	$\text{SO}(16)_2 \times \text{SO}(4)_1 \times \text{SO}(8)_1$
Untwisted	$g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$
	A_μ	$(\mathbf{120}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{28})$
	ψ_D	$2(\mathbf{128}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{136}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{120}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$
	2ϕ	$120(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{8}_v)$
γ_1 twisted	$2\phi + \psi_D$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}_s + \mathbf{8}_c)$
γ_2 twisted	$2\phi + \psi_D$	$(\mathbf{120}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{128}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}_v)$
$\gamma_1\gamma_2$ twisted	2ϕ	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{8}_s + \mathbf{8}_c)$

Table 3.5: The light spectrum of the rigid four-dimensional $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)'$ orbifold generated by (3.3). The suffix k in the gauge group indicates that the associated Kač-Moody algebra is realised at level k , *i.e.* $\text{SO}(16)$ has level $k = 2$ while both $\text{SO}(4)$ and $\text{SO}(8)$ have $k = 1$. The scalar field ϕ in the first line is the universal dilaton, while ψ_D denotes a Dirac fermion.

Sector	fields	$\text{SO}(16) \times \text{SO}(16) \times \text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}(4)$
Untwisted	$g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$
	A_μ	$(\mathbf{120}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{120}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$ $+ (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})$
	ψ_D	$(\mathbf{128}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{128}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{16}, \mathbf{16}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$
	2ϕ	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})$
γ_1 twisted	2ϕ	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2}_s + \mathbf{2}_c, \mathbf{2}_s + \mathbf{2}_c)$
γ_2 twisted	2ϕ	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}_s + \mathbf{2}_c, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2}_s + \mathbf{2}_c)$
$\gamma_1\gamma_2$ twisted	2ϕ	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}_s + \mathbf{2}_c, \mathbf{2}_s + \mathbf{2}_c, \mathbf{4})$

Table 3.6: The light spectrum of the rigid four-dimensional $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)''$ orbifold generated by (3.4). All gauge factors are realised in terms of Kač-Moody algebras at level one. The scalar field ϕ in the first line is the universal dilaton, while ψ_D denotes a Dirac fermion.

eta and Jacobi theta functions³

$$\mathcal{A}_{(\ell)} = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{(\eta \bar{\eta})^2} \sum_{h_2, g_2=0,1} \sum_{h_1, g_1=0,1} \sum_{h, g=0,1} \bar{\Phi} \left[\begin{matrix} h & h_1 & h_2 \\ g & g_1 & g_2 \end{matrix} \right] \Lambda_{(\ell)} \left[\begin{matrix} h_1 & h_2 \\ g_1 & g_2 \end{matrix} \right] \Pi_{(\ell)} \left[\begin{matrix} h & h_1 & h_2 \\ g & g_1 & g_2 \end{matrix} \right]. \quad (3.14)$$

where $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ refers to the orbifolds (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), respectively. Here (h, g) build the $\text{SO}(16) \times \text{SO}(16)$ vacuum from the $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string, while (h_1, g_1) and (h_2, g_2) implement the different $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifolds. The $\bar{\Phi}$ function

$$\bar{\Phi} \left[\begin{matrix} h & h_1 & h_2 \\ g & g_1 & g_2 \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a, b=0,1} \frac{\bar{\theta} \left[\begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix} \right] \bar{\theta} \left[\begin{matrix} a+h_1 \\ b+g_1 \end{matrix} \right] \bar{\theta} \left[\begin{matrix} a+h_2 \\ b+g_2 \end{matrix} \right] \bar{\theta} \left[\begin{matrix} a-h_1-h_2 \\ b-g_1-g_2 \end{matrix} \right]}{\bar{\eta}^4} (-1)^{a+b+ab+ga+hb+hg}, \quad (3.15)$$

encodes the universal contribution of the RNS fermions. The function $\Lambda_{(\ell)}$ is associated to the compactification lattice, and

$$\Lambda_{(\ell)} \left[\begin{matrix} h_1 & h_2 \\ g_1 & g_2 \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma, \delta=0,1} \frac{\theta \left[\begin{matrix} \gamma+\alpha h_2 \\ \delta+\alpha g_2 \end{matrix} \right]^2 \theta \left[\begin{matrix} \gamma+h_1 \\ \delta+g_1 \end{matrix} \right]^2 \theta \left[\begin{matrix} \gamma-h_1-\alpha h_2 \\ \delta-g_1-\alpha g_2 \end{matrix} \right]^2 \bar{\theta} \left[\begin{matrix} \gamma+h_1 \\ \delta+g_1 \end{matrix} \right] \bar{\theta} \left[\begin{matrix} \gamma+h_2 \\ \delta+g_2 \end{matrix} \right] \bar{\theta} \left[\begin{matrix} \gamma-h_1-h_2 \\ \delta-g_1-g_2 \end{matrix} \right] \bar{\theta} \left[\begin{matrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{matrix} \right]^3}{\eta^6 \bar{\eta}^6}, \quad (3.16)$$

where $\alpha = 1$ for $\ell = 1, 3$, while $\alpha = 0$ for $\ell = 2$. Finally, the $\Pi_{(\ell)}$ functions refers to the gauge degrees of freedom, and for $\ell = 3$

$$\Pi_{(3)} \left[\begin{matrix} h & h_1 & h_2 \\ g & g_1 & g_2 \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k, l=0,1} \sum_{\rho, \sigma=0,1} \frac{\theta \left[\begin{matrix} k \\ l \end{matrix} \right]^8 \theta \left[\begin{matrix} \rho \\ \sigma \end{matrix} \right]^8}{\eta^8 \bar{\eta}^8} (-1)^{g(k+\rho)+h(l+\sigma)} \quad (3.17)$$

for any choice of $h_{1,2}$ and $g_{1,2}$, while for $\ell = 1, 2$

$$\Pi_{(1,2)} \left[\begin{matrix} h & 0 & 0 \\ g & 0 & 0 \end{matrix} \right] = \Pi_{(1,2)} \left[\begin{matrix} h & 0 & 0 \\ g & 1 & 1 \end{matrix} \right] = \Pi_{(3)} \left[\begin{matrix} h & h_1 & h_2 \\ g & g_1 & g_2 \end{matrix} \right], \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\Pi_{(1,2)} \left[\begin{matrix} h & 0 & 0 \\ g & 1 & 0 \end{matrix} \right] = \Pi_{(1,2)} \left[\begin{matrix} h & 0 & 0 \\ g & 0 & 1 \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l=0,1} \left(\frac{\theta \left[\begin{matrix} k \\ l \end{matrix} \right](2\tau)}{\eta(2\tau)} \right)^8 (-1)^{hl}, \quad (3.19)$$

all other cases being obtained by suitable S and T modular transformations. Notice that although these $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifolds admit the possibility to turn on discrete torsion [53], it does not play any role since, in the independent modular orbit, the lattice contribution vanishes identically for any

³Notice that for the definition of the Jacobi theta functions we adopt the conventions used by the free fermions community (see, for instance, [52])

$$\theta \left[\begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix} \right](z|\tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(m-a/2)^2} e^{2\pi i(m-a/2)(z-b/2)}, \quad (3.13)$$

where the periodic/antiperiodic boundary conditions along the two cycles of the world-sheet torus are associated to characteristics equal to 1 or 0. In the following we shall not display the arguments $z = 0$ and τ of the theta functions, unless it is required by the construction.

$\ell = 1, 2, 3$, namely

$$\Lambda_{(\ell)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv 0, \quad (3.20)$$

together with its modular transformations.

The one loop vacuum energies

$$\Omega_{(\ell)} = -\frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^4} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d\tau_1 d\tau_2}{2\tau_2^3} \mathcal{A}_{(\ell)}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \quad (3.21)$$

are all finite and positive, with

$$\Omega_{(1)} \simeq 3.94 \times 10^{-2} \alpha'^{-2}, \quad \Omega_{(2)} \simeq 5.85 \times 10^{-2} \alpha'^{-2}, \quad \Omega_{(3)} \simeq 7.55 \times 10^{-2} \alpha'^{-2}, \quad (3.22)$$

and thus induce a non-trivial runaway potential for the dilaton. Again, the finiteness of the free energies implies the presence of misaligned supersymmetry in the whole string spectrum [45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50], which signals the vanishing of the sector averaged sums [46, 48, 49].

Acknowledgements

Diego Perugini is grateful to the Centre de Physique Théorique of École Polytechnique and the Institut de Physique Théorique of the Université Paris Saclay for the hospitality extended to him during the Erasmus+ stage.

References

- [1] I. Antoniadis and C. Kounnas, “Superstring phase transition at high temperature,” *Phys. Lett. B* **261** (1991) 369–378.
- [2] I. Antoniadis, J. P. Derendinger, and C. Kounnas, “Nonperturbative temperature instabilities in N=4 strings,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **551** (1999) 41–77, [arXiv:hep-th/9902032](#).
- [3] J. Kaidi, “Stable Vacua for Tachyonic Strings,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103** no. 10, (2021) 106026, [arXiv:2010.10521 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [4] S. Hellerman and X. Liu, “Dynamical dimension change in supercritical string theory,” [arXiv:hep-th/0409071](#).
- [5] P. H. Ginsparg and C. Vafa, “Toroidal Compactification of Nonsupersymmetric Heterotic Strings,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **289** (1987) 414.
- [6] B. Fraiman, M. Graña, H. Parra De Freitas, and S. Sethi, “Non-Supersymmetric Heterotic Strings on a Circle,” [arXiv:2307.13745 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] L. Alvarez-Gaume, P. H. Ginsparg, G. W. Moore, and C. Vafa, “An $O(16) \times O(16)$ Heterotic String,” *Phys. Lett. B* **171** (1986) 155–162.
- [8] L. J. Dixon and J. A. Harvey, “String Theories in Ten-Dimensions Without Space-Time Supersymmetry,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **274** (1986) 93–105.
- [9] A. Sagnotti, “Some properties of open string theories,” in *International Workshop on Supersymmetry and Unification of Fundamental Interactions (SUSY 95)*, pp. 473–484. 9, 1995. [arXiv:hep-th/9509080](#).
- [10] A. Sagnotti, “Surprises in open string perturbation theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **56** (1997) 332–343, [arXiv:hep-th/9702093](#).
- [11] S. Sugimoto, “Anomaly cancellations in type I D-9 - anti-D-9 system and the $USp(32)$ string theory,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **102** (1999) 685–699, [arXiv:hep-th/9905159](#).
- [12] I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas, and A. Sagnotti, “Brane supersymmetry breaking,” *Phys. Lett. B* **464** (1999) 38–45, [arXiv:hep-th/9908023](#).
- [13] G. Aldazabal and A. M. Uranga, “Tachyon free nonsupersymmetric type IIB orientifolds via Brane - anti-brane systems,” *JHEP* **10** (1999) 024, [arXiv:hep-th/9908072](#).
- [14] C. Angelantonj, “Comments on open string orbifolds with a nonvanishing B_{ab} ,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **566** (2000) 126–150, [arXiv:hep-th/9908064](#).
- [15] C. Angelantonj, I. Antoniadis, G. D’Appollonio, E. Dudas, and A. Sagnotti, “Type I vacua with brane supersymmetry breaking,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **572** (2000) 36–70, [arXiv:hep-th/9911081](#).

- [16] C. Angelantonj, C. Condeescu, E. Dudas, and G. Leone, “Rigid vacua with Brane Supersymmetry Breaking,” *JHEP* **04** (2024) 103, [arXiv:2403.02392 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] C. Angelantonj, M. Cardella, and N. Irges, “An Alternative for Moduli Stabilisation,” *Phys. Lett. B* **641** (2006) 474–480, [arXiv:hep-th/0608022](#).
- [18] Z. K. Baykara, H.-C. Tarazi, and C. Vafa, “New Non-Supersymmetric Tachyon-Free Strings,” [arXiv:2406.00185 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] J. A. Harvey, G. W. Moore, and C. Vafa, “Quasicrystalline Compactification,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **304** (1988) 269–290.
- [20] Z. K. Baykara, H.-C. Tarazi, and C. Vafa, “The Quasicrystalline String Landscape,” [arXiv:2406.00129 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] A. Font, B. Fraiman, M. Graña, C. A. Núñez, and H. Parra De Freitas, “Exploring the landscape of CHL strings on T^d ,” *JHEP* **08** (2021) 095, [arXiv:2104.07131 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [22] S. Nakajima, “New non-supersymmetric heterotic string theory with reduced rank and exponential suppression of the cosmological constant,” [arXiv:2303.04489 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] H. P. De Freitas, “Non-supersymmetric heterotic strings and chiral CFTs,” [arXiv:2402.15562 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] S. Chaudhuri, G. Hockney, and J. D. Lykken, “Maximally supersymmetric string theories in $D < 10$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 2264–2267, [arXiv:hep-th/9505054](#).
- [25] S. Chaudhuri and J. Polchinski, “Moduli space of CHL strings,” *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) 7168–7173, [arXiv:hep-th/9506048](#).
- [26] K. S. Narain, M. H. Sarmadi, and C. Vafa, “Asymmetric Orbifolds,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **288** (1987) 551.
- [27] K. S. Narain, M. H. Sarmadi, and C. Vafa, “Asymmetric orbifolds: Path integral and operator formulations,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **356** (1991) 163–207.
- [28] L. E. Ibanez, J. Mas, H.-P. Nilles, and F. Quevedo, “Heterotic Strings in Symmetric and Asymmetric Orbifold Backgrounds,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **301** (1988) 157–196.
- [29] F. Beye, T. Kobayashi, and S. Kuwakino, “Three-generation Asymmetric Orbifold Models from Heterotic String Theory,” *JHEP* **01** (2014) 013, [arXiv:1311.4687 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] B. S. Acharya, G. Aldazabal, A. Font, K. Narain, and I. G. Zadeh, “Heterotic strings on T^3/Z_2 , Nikulin involutions and M-theory,” *JHEP* **09** (2022) 209, [arXiv:2205.09764 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] G. Gkoutoumis, C. Hull, K. Stermerdink, and S. Vandoren, “Freely acting orbifolds of type IIB string theory on T^5 ,” *JHEP* **08** (2023) 089, [arXiv:2302.09112 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] G. Gkoutoumis, C. Hull, and S. Vandoren, “Exact moduli spaces for $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $D = 5$ freely acting orbifolds,” [arXiv:2403.05650 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [33] M. Bianchi, G. Pradisi, C. Timirgaziu, and L. Tripodi, “Heterotic T-folds with a small number of neutral moduli,” *JHEP* **10** (2012) 089, [arXiv:1207.2665 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [34] P. Anastasopoulos, M. Bianchi, J. F. Morales, and G. Pradisi, “(Unoriented) T-folds with few T’s,” *JHEP* **06** (2009) 032, [arXiv:0901.0113 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] M. Bianchi, J. F. Morales, and G. Pradisi, “Discrete torsion in nongeometric orbifolds and their open string descendants,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **573** (2000) 314–334, [arXiv:hep-th/9910228](#).
- [36] Z. K. Baykara, Y. Hamada, H.-C. Tarazi, and C. Vafa, “On the string landscape without hypermultiplets,” *JHEP* **04** (2024) 121, [arXiv:2309.15152 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [37] C. Condeescu, I. Florakis, and D. Lust, “Asymmetric Orbifolds, Non-Geometric Fluxes and Non-Commutativity in Closed String Theory,” *JHEP* **04** (2012) 121, [arXiv:1202.6366 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [38] C. Condeescu, I. Florakis, C. Kounnas, and D. Lüst, “Gauged supergravities and non-geometric Q/R-fluxes from asymmetric orbifold CFT’s,” *JHEP* **10** (2013) 057, [arXiv:1307.0999 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] C. Angelantonj and A. Sagnotti, “Open strings,” *Phys. Rept.* **371** (2002) 1–150, [arXiv:hep-th/0204089](#). [Erratum: *Phys.Rept.* 376, 407 (2003)].
- [40] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, “Anomaly Cancellation in Supersymmetric $D = 10$ Gauge Theory and Superstring Theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **149** (1984) 117–122.
- [41] A. Sagnotti, “A Note on the Green-Schwarz mechanism in open string theories,” *Phys. Lett. B* **294** (1992) 196–203, [arXiv:hep-th/9210127](#).
- [42] A. N. Schellekens and N. P. Warner, “Anomalies and Modular Invariance in String Theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **177** (1986) 317–323.
- [43] A. N. Schellekens and N. P. Warner, “Anomalies, Characters and Strings,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **287** (1987) 317.
- [44] I. Basile and G. Leone, “Anomaly constraints for heterotic strings and supergravity in six dimensions,” *JHEP* **04** (2024) 067, [arXiv:2310.20480 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [45] D. Kutasov and N. Seiberg, “Number of degrees of freedom, density of states and tachyons in string theory and CFT,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **358** (1991) 600–618.
- [46] K. R. Dienes, “Modular invariance, finiteness, and misaligned supersymmetry: New constraints on the numbers of physical string states,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **429** (1994) 533–588, [arXiv:hep-th/9402006](#).
- [47] C. Angelantonj, M. Cardella, S. Elitzur, and E. Rabinovici, “Vacuum stability, string density of states and the Riemann zeta function,” *JHEP* **02** (2011) 024, [arXiv:1012.5091 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [48] N. Cribiori, S. Parameswaran, F. Tonioni, and T. Wrase, “Misaligned Supersymmetry and Open Strings,” *JHEP* **04** (2021) 099, [arXiv:2012.04677 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [49] C. Angelantonj, I. Florakis, and G. Leone, “Tachyons and misaligned supersymmetry in closed string vacua,” *JHEP* **06** (2023) 174, [arXiv:2301.13702 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [50] G. Leone, “Tachyons and Misaligned Supersymmetry in orientifold vacua,” *JHEP* **11** (2023) 066, [arXiv:2308.09757 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [51] I. Antoniadis, C. P. Bachas, and C. Kounnas, “Four-Dimensional Superstrings,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **289** (1987) 87.
- [52] I. Florakis and J. I. Rizos, *Free Fermionic Constructions of Heterotic Strings.* 7, 2024. [arXiv:2407.07034 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [53] C. Vafa and E. Witten, “On orbifolds with discrete torsion,” *J. Geom. Phys.* **15** (1995) 189–214, [arXiv:hep-th/9409188](#).