# Enhancing Privacy of Spatiotemporal Federated Learning against Gradient Inversion Attacks

Lele Zheng<sup>1,2</sup>, Yang Cao<sup>1( $\boxtimes$ )</sup>, Renhe Jiang<sup>3</sup>, Kenjiro Taura<sup>3</sup>, Yulong Shen<sup>2</sup>, Sheng Li<sup>4</sup>, and Masatoshi Yoshikawa<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan llzhengstu@gmail.com, cao@c.titech.ac.jp

<sup>2</sup> Xidian University, Xi'an, China ylshen@mail.xidian.edu.cn

<sup>3</sup> The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan jiangrh@csis.u-tokyo.ac.jp,

tau@eidos.ic.i.u-tokyo.ac.jp

<sup>4</sup> National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT), Kyoto, Japan sheng.li@nict.go.jp

<sup>5</sup> Osaka Seikei University, Osaka, Japan yoshikawa-mas@osaka-seikei.ac.jp

Abstract. Spatiotemporal federated learning has recently raised intensive studies due to its ability to train valuable models with only shared gradients in various location-based services. On the other hand, recent studies have shown that shared gradients may be subject to gradient inversion attacks (GIA) on images or texts. However, so far there has not been any systematic study of the gradient inversion attacks in spatiotemporal federated learning. In this paper, we explore the gradient attack problem in spatiotemporal federated learning from attack and defense perspectives. To understand privacy risks in spatiotemporal federated learning, we first propose Spatiotemporal Gradient Inversion Attack (ST-GIA), a gradient attack algorithm tailored to spatiotemporal data that successfully reconstructs the original location from gradients. Furthermore, we design an adaptive defense strategy to mitigate gradient inversion attacks in spatiotemporal federated learning. By dynamically adjusting the perturbation levels, we can offer tailored protection for varying rounds of training data, thereby achieving a better trade-off between privacy and utility than current state-of-the-art methods. Through intensive experimental analysis on three real-world datasets, we reveal that the proposed defense strategy can well preserve the utility of spatiotemporal federated learning with effective security protection.

Keywords: Gradient inversion attacks  $\cdot$  Spatiotemporal data  $\cdot$  Federated learning  $\cdot$  Differential privacy

## 1 Introduction

Spatiotemporal data analysis tasks, such as human mobility predictions (HMP), play a pivotal role in various fields due to their potential to predict and analyze movement patterns of individuals or groups [22]. Accurate predictions of human mobility can enhance urban planning [21], recommend appropriate points

of interest [13], and facilitate transportation systems in smart cities [16]. Due to privacy concerns, federated learning (FL) has been extensively employed in human mobility prediction, enabling clients to train shared models collaboratively without directly disclosing their private data [8,12].



Fig. 1. Overview of ST-GIA. The left part (blue box) performs the federated protocol, and the right part (red box) illustrates the main steps of ST-GIA.

Although federated learning inherently enhances privacy by enabling clients to keep private data on their local devices, recent studies have highlighted the potential vulnerability of shared gradients to gradient inversion attacks [5,9,10,24]. As shown in Fig. 1, while ostensibly adhering to protocol, the honest-but-curious server might covertly steal privacy by leveraging gradient inversion attacks on gradients shared by clients, thereby reconstructing raw data. Most gradient inversion attacks primarily focus on minimizing the distance between the dummy gradients and the ground-truth gradients. To generate dummy gradients, random data and corresponding labels are fed to the global model. Taking the distance between the gradients as error and the dummy inputs as parameters, the recovery process can be formulated as an iterative optimization problem. Upon the convergence of this optimization process, the private data is expected to be comprehensively reconstructed. Several differential privacy-based methods have been proposed to preserve privacy in spatiotemporal federated learning, such as DPSGD [1], GeoI [2], and GeoGI [17].

However, although the existing gradient inversion attacks have undeniable contributions, they still have some limitations that cannot be ignored. (1) First, the existing attacks are developed to reconstruct training *images* or *texts* used to train classifiers and have yet to be validated in spatiotemporal federated learning. (2) Second, current gradient inversion attacks tend to analyze each round in isolation, overlooking the integration of vital information from the overall training process. Within the context of spatiotemporal federated learning, the outcome of an attack in a given round is likely to influence the attack result in the subsequent round significantly. (3) Third, in the context of spatiotemporal federated learning, achieving effective outcomes through the direct application of existing differential privacy-based defense methods proves challenging. The reason is that these methods are primarily designed for general-purpose defenses and are not tailored to address gradient leakage attacks specifically.

In this paper, we propose a novel gradient attack algorithm, named ST-GIA, designed explicitly to raise awareness of spatiotemporal data, which can effectively reconstruct the original location from shared gradients. In ST-GIA, the attackers first exploit the characteristics of spatiotemporal data to initialize dummy data. Then, the attackers recover the original location through gradient matching, effectively leveraging a priori knowledge of the road network to improve the accuracy of their attacks significantly. Finally, they employ multiple recovery results to refine and calibrate the final reconstruction outcomes. In addition, we evaluate the effectiveness of existing differential privacy-based defense methods against ST-GIA and propose a new adaptive privacy-preserving strategy tailored to mitigate gradient inversion attacks in spatiotemporal federated learning. In particular, we design an importance-aware budget allocation method to ensure the sensitivity to different training rounds. The main contributions are summarized as follows:

- 1. As the first attempt in the field of spatiotemporal federated learning, we propose ST-GIA, a gradient attack algorithm for spatiotemporal data that can effectively reconstruct the original location from shared gradients.
- 2. We design an adaptive privacy-preserving strategy tailored to mitigate gradient inversion attacks in spatiotemporal federated learning. This strategy leverages the attack risk to measure the privacy sensitivity of various training model rounds and to allocate privacy budgets adaptively.
- 3. Comprehensive experiments conducted on three real-world datasets demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed attack and defense strategies.

# 2 Preliminaries

## 2.1 Spatiotemporal Federated Learning

One typical task requiring spatiotemporal federated learning is human mobility prediction, which utilizes historical trajectories  $s_u = \{x_u^0, x_u^1, \dots, x_u^n\}$  to predict the location  $x_u^{n+1}$  of the target user u in the next time step, where spatiotemporal point  $x_u = (t, lat, lon)$  can be described as a 3-tuple of the time stamp, latitude, and longitude. In such a scenario, spatiotemporal federated learning aggregates model parameters from different clients into a global model, where the clients learn the temporal and spatial correlations of the data locally.

**Local Training at a Client.** The client first downloads the global state  $w_t$  from the server and then performs local training using the local trajectory data, i.e.,  $w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta \nabla w_t$ , where  $w_t$  is the local model parameter update at round t and  $\nabla w_t$  is the gradient of the model parameters. Several models can be used for local training to reveal spatiotemporal correlations in trajectory data, such as LSTM [11], DeepMove [7], and so on.

**Update Aggregation at FL Server.** Upon receiving the local updates from clients, the server aggregates these updates to get a global state. In this paper, we evaluate the widely adopted federated averaging algorithm [14], where the server iteratively updates the global model by computing a weighted average of the incoming weight parameters.

#### 2.2 Gradient Inversion Attack

A gradient inversion attack is carried out by the server, or an entity that has compromised it, with the intention of acquiring a client's private data  $(x^*, y^*)$ through the analysis of gradient updates  $\nabla_{\theta^k} \mathcal{L}(x^*, y^*)$  uploaded to the server. These attacks typically presume the presence of honest-but-curious servers, which adhere to the federated training protocol without altering it. The common method for obtaining private data is to solve an optimization problem:

$$\arg\min_{(x',y')} \delta(\nabla_{\theta^k} \mathcal{L}(x^*, y^*), \nabla_{\theta^k} \mathcal{L}(x', y')), \tag{1}$$

where  $\delta$  represents a specific distance measure and (x', y') denotes dummy data. Typical choices for  $\delta$  are  $L_2, L_1$ , and cosine distances.

## 2.3 Local Differential Privacy

Local differential privacy (LDP) [3] has emerged as the gold standard for protecting individual privacy in scenarios where user data is collected by an untrusted data collector. Essentially, LDP enables users to determine the extent to which their data is distinguishable to the data collector through a privacy parameter,  $\epsilon$ , chosen by the user.

**Definition 1 (Local Differential Privacy).** A randomized algorithm  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $\epsilon$ -local differential privacy if for any two inputs  $x, x' \in \mathcal{D}$  and for any output  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , the following equation holds:

$$\Pr[\mathcal{M}(x) = y] \le \exp(\epsilon) \cdot \Pr[\mathcal{M}(x') = y], \tag{2}$$

A smaller  $\epsilon$  guarantees stronger privacy protection because the adversary has lower confidence when trying to distinguish any pair of inputs x, x'.

**Definition 2 (Sensitivity).** For any pair of neighboring inputs  $d, d' \in D$ , the sensitivity  $\Delta f$  of query function  $f(\cdot)$  is defined as follows:

$$\Delta f = \max_{d,d'} \|f(d) - f(d')\|_1,$$
(3)

where the sensitivity  $\Delta f$  denotes the maximum change range of function  $f(\cdot)$ .

**Definition 3 (Exponential Mechanism).** Given a score function  $q : (\mathcal{D}, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ , a random algorithm  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $\epsilon$ -differential privacy, if

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D},q) = \left\{ y : |\Pr[y \in \mathcal{Y}] \propto \exp(\frac{\epsilon(\mathcal{D},y)}{2\Delta q}) \right\},\tag{4}$$

where  $\Delta q$  is the sensitivity of the score function  $q: (\mathcal{D}, y) \to \mathcal{Y}$ .

**Table 1.** Comparing different gradient inversion attacks in spatiotemporal federated learning. We show the success rates of various attacks on the NYCB dataset, where the local training model employs an LSTM architecture (ASR: attack success rate, which is defined in Section 5).

Method	Optimization terms	Initialization	Model	Additional	ASR
DLG [24]	$l_2$ distance	Gaussian	LeNet	-	0.434
iDLG [23]	$l_2$ distance	Uniform	LeNet	-	0.290
InvGrad [9]	Cosine similarity	Gaussian	ResNet	TV norm	0.013
CPL [20]	$l_2$ distance	Geometric	LeNet	label based regularizer	0.321
SAPAG [19]	Gaussian kernel based function	Constant	ResNet	-	0.125
<b>ST-GIA</b> (ours)	$l_2$ distance	ST-based	ST models	Mapping Calibration	0.652

**Definition 4 (Constrained domain).** We denote  $C^t = \{x_i | \Pr(x^t = x_i) > 0, x_i \in \mathcal{X}\}$  as constrained domain, which indicates a set of possible locations at t, where  $x^t$  is the user's true location at t and  $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ .

# 3 Spatiotemporal Gradient Inversion Attack

Previous studies have delved into reconstructing input data from gradients; see Table 1. However, current works focus on reconstructing training images or texts and have yet to be validated in spatiotemporal federated learning. Our initial evaluation of these methods in the context of spatiotemporal federated learning revealed that, while some methods can partially recover real data, the success rate is generally low (see Section 5 for more details). We attribute this limited effectiveness to the lack of consideration of spatiotemporal features in existing methods. We propose ST-GIA, a novel gradient attack algorithm tailored to spatiotemporal data that effectively reconstructs the original location from gradients. As shown in Fig. 1, it consists of three main steps: initialization based on spatiotemporal features, recovering location by gradient matching, and calibration from multiple recoveries.

#### 3.1 Initialization based on Spatiotemporal Features

To reconstruct the spatiotemporal data, we first initialize dummy data, denoted as (x', y'), where x' represents the dummy input and y' is the dummy label. Subsequently, we derive the corresponding dummy gradient as follows:

$$\nabla w' \leftarrow \partial \mathcal{L}(F(x', w_t), y') / \partial w_t.$$
(5)

There are different strategies for initializing the dummy data. Among these, random Gaussian noise is the most commonly utilized technique for data initialization in image and text recovery tasks. In addition, constant values or random

noise sampled from Uniform distribution are also presented for data initialization. Jonas et al. [9] have shown that gradient attacks frequently fail to achieve convergence due to poor initialization in image reconstruction scenarios. Our experimental findings also confirm that improper initialization adversely impacts the effectiveness of attacks in spatiotemporal federated learning. Consequently, we propose that attackers can effectively use spatiotemporal data characteristics for dummy data initialization. Specifically, an attacker might leverage the reconstructed location from a previous round as a basis to initialize the dummy point in the subsequent round. This approach takes advantage of the continuity inherent in user mobility and makes an educated guess. Therefore, for the attack in the *t*-th round, we can initialize the dummy data as follows:

$$x^{\prime t} \leftarrow x^{\prime t-1}, y^{\prime t} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1). \tag{6}$$

In the case of attacking the first training data round, we continue to employ random Gaussian noise for the initialization of dummy data.

#### 3.2 Gradient Matching

The next step involves optimizing the dummy gradient,  $\nabla w'$ , to closely approximate the ground truth gradient,  $\nabla w$ . To achieve this, we must define a differentiable distance function,  $D(\nabla w', \nabla w)$ , enabling us to determine the optimal x' and y', denoted as  $(x^*, y^*)$ , as follows:

$$(x^*, y^*) = \arg\min_{(x', y')} D(\nabla w', \nabla w).$$
(7)

**Distance Function.** We consider the  $l_2$  norm (Euclidean distance) as our distance function to measure the difference between  $\nabla w'$  and  $\nabla w$ . The Euclidean distance fits the characteristics of the spatiotemporal data since it is a natural metric of distance between locations.

$$D(\nabla w', \nabla w) = \|\nabla w' - \nabla w\|_2.$$
(8)

**Mapping.** We focus on the task of predicting human mobility, enabling us to utilize prior knowledge of the road network to enhance attack accuracy. We assume that all location coordinates are on the road network, which is a reasonable assumption since many human mobility prediction tasks are based on the road network. Therefore, within the attack optimization process, if the outcome of an attack iteration falls outside the road network, we map the position x' to its nearest point on the network. The ablation experiment in Section 5 shows that this simple operation can greatly increase the attack success rate. This improvement is attributed to helping the attack model converge and ensuring that the attack results for each iteration remain within a plausible range.

## 3.3 Calibration from Multiple Recoveries

The gradient is uploaded in each interaction round to capture the spatiotemporal relationships among data points. As a result, each data point undergoes

## Algorithm 1 ST-GIA

**Require:**  $F(x; w_t)$ : Differentiable machine learning model,  $\nabla w_t$ : model gradients after target trains at round t, learning rate  $\eta$  for optimizer, N: max attack iterations. T: global training rounds. **Ensure:** reconstructed training data  $(x^*, y^*)$ 1: for t = 1 to T do 2: if t = 1 then 3: Initialize dummy locations  $x'_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), y'_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$ 4: else Initialize dummy locations  $x_0^{\prime t} \leftarrow x_{N+1}^{\prime t-1}, y_0^{\prime} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$ 5:6: end if 7: for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to N do  $\nabla w_i' \leftarrow \partial \mathcal{L}(F(x_i', w_t), y_i') / \partial w_t$ 8:  $\mathbb{D} \leftarrow \|\nabla w_i' - \nabla w\|^2$ 9:  $x_{i+1}' \leftarrow x_i' - \eta \nabla_{x_i'} \mathbb{D}_i, y_{i+1}' \leftarrow y_i' - \eta \nabla_{y_i'} \mathbb{D}_i$ 10:11: Mapping  $(x'_{i+1}, y'_{i+1})$ 12:end for 13: end for 14: Calibration from multiple recoveries according to Equation 9. 15: return  $(x^*, y^*)$ 

multiple training iterations. Consequently, for a given data point, the attacker obtains multiple reconstruction results. When the global training round t is less than the timesteps  $(T_s)$  of training, each point is reconstructed t times. Conversely, if the number of rounds exceeds  $T_s$ , reconstruction occurs  $T_s$  times for each point. Inspired by this finding, the attacker can aggregate multiple reconstruction results to yield a more precise outcome. Simplified, the average of all reconstructed locations for data point can be considered the final attack result:

$$x^{*} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|T_{s}|} \sum_{T_{s}} x', & \text{if } t \ge |T_{s}| \\ \frac{1}{|t|} \sum_{t} x', & \text{if } t \le |T_{s}| \end{cases}$$
(9)

It can be considered as a group consistency from multiple recoveries that helps get closer to the global optimal point.

## 3.4 The Framework of the Algorithm

Algorithm 1 demonstrates our proposed ST-GIA. In each global round, we initialize dummy data  $(x'_0, y'_0)$  based on spatiotemporal features in lines 2–6. We obtain the dummy gradient  $\nabla w'$  corresponding to the dummy input in line 8. We then use Euclidean distance to measure the distance between the dummy and true gradients (line 9). After each attack iteration, we update  $(x'_i, y'_i)$  in line 10. If  $(x'_i, y'_i)$  are not within the range of the road network, then we map  $(x'_i, y'_i)$ to the location on the road network closest to it. When the preset maximum number of iterations N is reached or the dummy data no longer changes, we obtain the preliminary attack result. After obtaining all reconstruction results of

a location, we calibrate all preliminary results to obtain the final reconstructed position  $(x^*, y^*)$ .



Fig. 2. A reconstructed trajectory Fi

Fig. 3. Different initialization

Fig. 2 illustrates the performance of an attacker to reconstruct a user's trajectory utilizing ST-GIA, wherein each reconstructed trajectory point closely approximates the corresponding true trajectory point. We present the attack process on the first-epoch global model, thus employing random initialization. Upon convergence of the attack model, the minimum distance between the reconstructed and true locations is reduced to a mere 1 meter. Moreover, we find that the attack results converge in the direction of the true location, regardless of the initialization location. We conduct multiple experiments under the real-world dataset and found that to be true, independent of the initialization dummy location; see Fig. 3.

## 4 Adaptive Privacy-preserving Strategy

Provable differential privacy may remain the only way to guarantee formal privacy against gradient inversion attacks. We evaluate three differentially private methods on three real-world datasets, as described in Section 5. We find that achieving effective outcomes by directly applying existing differential privacybased defense methods proves challenging. The reason is that these methods are primarily designed for general-purpose defenses and are not tailored to address gradient inversion attacks specifically. We design an adaptive privacy-preserving strategy to mitigate gradient inversion attacks in spatiotemporal federated learning, which mainly consists of adaptive budget allocation and perturbation based on personalized constraint domains.

Adaptive Budget Allocation. We have observed that the reconstruction error increases as the global model converges, which can be attributed to the attacker needing the information leaking from the gradient to reconstruct the user's location. Consequently, the privacy level encountered in various rounds is

#### Algorithm 2 Adaptive privacy-preserving strategy.

**Require:**  $\epsilon$ : the total privacy budget, T: max global training rounds,  $C_k^t$ : the constraint domain of user k at t,  $x_k^t$ : input data for user k in round t.

**Ensure:** Model parameter  $\hat{w}_{k}^{t}$ . 1: for t = 1 to T do  $\epsilon' = \epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \epsilon_j$ 2:  $\epsilon_t = exp(-\gamma[t]) \cdot \epsilon'$ 3: for each user k in parallel do 4:  $\hat{x_k^t} = PGEM(\mathcal{C}_k^t, \epsilon_t, x_k^t)$ 5:  $\hat{w_k^t} \leftarrow LocalUpdate(k, w_{t-1}, t, \hat{x_k^t})$ 6: **return**  $\hat{w}_k^t$  to server 7: end for 8: 9: end for

not uniform. However, the traditional approach assumes that each round in the training process is equally important; therefore, the privacy budget is allocated equally to each round. Such an allocation often gives rise to issues, notably the overprotection of certain rounds and the underprotection of others.

Inspired by this idea, we propose an adaptive privacy budget allocation method. Specifically, our main idea is that during the training process, users should dynamically adjust the perturbation of their local data in response to the varying importance of distinct rounds. The assessment of this importance depends on the attack risk. We use two metrics, Attack Success Rate (ASR) and Attack Iteration (AIT), to measure the attack risk. ASR reflects the proportion of training data accurately reconstructed in a particular round to the total training data in that round. Obviously, a higher attack success rate indicates that the attacker can get more accurate data. We also employ attack iteration (AIT) to measure the cost of the attack, where AIT is the number of attack iterations required for a successful attack. A lower AIT value signifies greater efficiency for the attacker. Thus, the importance of round t can be computed as follows:

$$\gamma[t] = \alpha \mathcal{F}_1(ASR[t]) + \frac{\beta}{\mathcal{F}_2(AIT[t])},\tag{10}$$

where the functions  $\mathcal{F}_1(\cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2(\cdot)$  denote the effect of ASR and AIT on importance, respectively. The parameters  $\alpha, \beta$  are weight factors and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ .

We aim to provide adaptive protection for the training data. Rounds with a higher risk require intensified security measures, i.e., more noise should be added. Therefore, the proportional function that decides the portion of the remaining budget allocated to the current round can be defined as:

$$p = exp(-\gamma[t]). \tag{11}$$

The exponential function guarantees that p ranges from 0 to 1. The final budget allocated to the current round is

$$\epsilon_i = p \cdot \epsilon',\tag{12}$$

where  $\epsilon'$  is the remaining budget  $\epsilon' = \epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \epsilon_t$ .

**Personalized Constraint Domain.** To ensure privacy, each user can define a personalized constraint domain based on their individual requirements; for example, a student may be active only on campus, then she can define her own constraint domain as all locations on the entire campus. Personalized constraint domain can be denoted  $C_k^t = \{x_i^k | \Pr(x_k^t = x_i) > 0, x_i \in \mathcal{X}\}$ , which indicates a set of possible locations of user  $u_k$  at t.

We propose an obfuscation mechanism, PGEM, considering each user's personalized constraint domain so that it can output more useful locations. PGEM uses the idea of an exponential mechanism [15] to perturb the true location of user  $u_k$ . Given the input  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , the privacy budget  $\epsilon_t$ , the constraint domain  $\mathcal{C}_k^t$ , PGEM outputs  $c \in \mathcal{C}_k^t$  with the following probability:

$$\Pr[\text{PGEM}(x) = c] = \frac{e^{-\frac{\epsilon_t}{2}d(x,c)}}{\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_L^t} e^{-\frac{\epsilon_t}{2}d(x,c)}},$$
(13)

where  $d(\cdot)$  is the distance metric between two locations. Simply, we can use Dijkstra's algorithm, which means that  $d(x, \cdot) = Dijkstra(\mathcal{C}_k^t, x)$ .

Adaptive Privacy-preserving Strategy. We propose an adaptive privacypreserving mechanism to protect location privacy in spatiotemporal federated learning. Our algorithm is shown in Algorithm 2. It consists of three phases: (1) Calculate the privacy budget for the round t in lines 1–3. (2) Obfuscate the input data  $x_k^t$  of user k according to Eq. 13. (3) User k utilizes the obfuscated data  $\hat{x}_k^t$  for local updates, thereby deriving the model parameters  $\hat{w}_k^t$ , which are subsequently uploaded to the server.

# 5 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

#### 5.1 Experimental Setup

**Datasets.** We evaluate the performance of attack and defense strategies on three real-world location datasets: NYCB<sup>\*</sup>, Tokyo<sup>†</sup>, and Gowalla<sup>‡</sup>. The statistics of each dataset are shown in Table 2. For each dataset, we randomly select 100 users to participate in federated training and select trajectory points in roughly 10-minute increments.

<sup>\*</sup>https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/stoney71/new-york-city-transport-statistics

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>https://sites.google.com/site/yangdingqi/home/foursquare-dataset

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>http://snap.stanford.edu/data/loc-gowalla.html

Spatiotemporal Gradient Inversion Attack

Table 2. Basic dataset statistics.

	NYCB	Tokyo	Gowalla
#users	1064	2293	53008
#locations	5136	7872	121944
#check-ins	147939	447571	3302414

Metrics. We use the attack success rate (ASR) to evaluate the impact of the reconstruction attack, where ASR represents the percentage of accurately reconstructed training data relative to the entire training data [20]. We set the attack to be successful when the Euclidean distance between the reconstructed and true locations is less than 500 meters. We employ attack iteration (AIT) to measure the cost of the attack, where AIT is the number of attack iterations required for a successful attack [20]. To evaluate the efficacy of defense strategies, we adopt the top-k recall rate, recall@5, as a metric to assess the predictive performance.

Attack Methods. In addition to ST-GIA, we consider another five attacks that can be applied to spatiotemporal federated learning directly or with simple modifications, including DLG [24], iDLG [23], InvGrad [9], CPL [20], and SAPAG [19]. See Table 1 for details.

**Defense Strategies.** We compare three defense methods based on differential privacy, including DPSGD [1], GeoI [2], and GeoGI [17]. They are widely used to protect privacy in spatio-temporal federated learning.

#### 5.2 Results on Attack Methods

Comparison of Different Attack Methods. We first compare the performance of different attacks on three datasets in Table 3 and Table 4. We perform these attacks under different global training rounds and report their attack success rates. We observe that the success rate of all methods tends to decrease as the number of global rounds increases. This is because these attacks require information leaked from the gradient to reconstruct the user's location. However, as the global model convergence, the gradient progressively reveals less information, leading to increased reconstruction errors. Further, we find that ST-GIA consistently outperforms others in the same round. This superior performance can be attributed to our customized attack design for spatiotemporal data, which verified the effectiveness of the proposed attack method. We also observe that InvGrad always shows the worst results, frequently achieving an attack success rate of 0. This poor performance is due to its use of cosine loss as the distance function, resulting in excessively slow convergence. In our experiments, it is noted that InvGrad often required over 20,000 iterations to achieve relatively accurate results, while the maximum attack round is limited to 200. Due to space limitations, we only show the results on two datasets. We observe similar conclusions on the Tokyo dataset.

Model	Round	1	10	20	30	40	50
DLG	ASR	0.781	0.687	0.531	0.464	0.332	0.269
iDLG	ASR	0.531	0.472	0.418	0.366	0.301	0.245
InvGrad	ASR	0.031	0.015	0.006	0.001	0	0
CPL	ASR	0.575	0.523	0.452	0.395	0.321	0.281
SAPAG	ASR	0.406	0.344	0.313	0.312	0.250	0.214
ST-GIA	ASR	0.895	0.825	0.761	0.709	0.593	0.425

Table 3. Comparison of different attack methods (NYCB dataset).

Table 4. Comparison of different attack methods (Gowalla dataset).

Model	Round	1	10	20	30	40	50
DLG	ASR	0.281	0.252	0.218	0.173	0.191	0.153
iDLG	ASR	0.217	0.187	0.156	0.125	0.121	0.117
InvGrad	ASR	0	0	0	0	0	0
CPL	ASR	0.224	0.217	0.178	0.153	0.147	0.141
SAPAG	ASR	0.125	0.141	0.005	0.004	0.002	0
ST-GIA	ASR	0.562	0.531	0.312	0.250	0.226	0.187

#### 5.3 Ablation Studies

As introduced in Section 3, ST-GIA enhances the effectiveness of the attack in three ways: initialization based on spatiotemporal features, mapping, and calibration with multiple recoveries. In this section, we evaluate the effects of these three components on the attack results.

Impacts of Initialization. As shown in Fig. 4(a), to evaluate the impact of the initialization component of the proposed attack method on the attack success rate of the three datasets, we employ random and spatiotemporal feature-based initialization methods to initialize the dummy inputs in each round respectively, and all other conditions remain the same. Compared with random initialization, initialization based on spatiotemporal features can significantly increase the attack success rate (at least 20%). The reason behind this improvement is that poor initialization impedes the attack model's convergence and potentially escalates the associated overhead. Conversely, our initialization method can take advantage of the continuity of user mobility to make educated guesses.

Impacts of Mapping. To evaluate the impact of the mapping component in our proposed attack algorithm, we perform experiments on three datasets. The results shown in Fig. 4(b) show that implementing straightforward mapping operations can substantially enhance the attack success rate. This increase in performance is primarily due to the mapping's role in facilitating the convergence of the attack model and ensuring that the results of each iteration fall within a plausible range. Nevertheless, we also observe that this operation has some negative optimizations over the attack iteration. This issue arises because the mapping operation may inadvertently direct the intermediate results away from Spatiotemporal Gradient Inversion Attack



Fig. 4. Ablation studies.

the optimal path. However, this drawback is considered acceptable, as it exerts minimal influence on the overall cost of the attack.

**Impacts of Calibration.** Fig. 4(c) shows the impact of calibration components on our proposed attack algorithm. We can observe that attack accuracy can be improved to some extent by calibrating the final results from multiple recoveries. In addition, the calibration process has no impact on the attack iterations, as it is only a post-processing of the attack results.

## 5.4 Results on Defense Strategies

In this set of experiments, we study the effectiveness of various defense strategies against gradient inversion attacks by analyzing the relationship between model prediction accuracy and attack success rate.

**Table 5.** The attack success rates of various defense strategies under different privacy budgets  $\epsilon$ . (Left: NYCB dataset, Right: Gowalla dataset)

$\epsilon$	1	5	10	20	50	$\epsilon$	1	5	10	20	
DPSGD	0.06	0.14	0.23	0.40	0.51	DPSGI	GD 0.04	0.11	0.19	0.21	
GeoI	0.08	0.17	0.26	0.38	0.54	GeoI	I 0.09	0.12	0.22	0.34	(
GeoGI	0.11	0.20	0.28	0.44	0.56	GeoGI	GI 0.11	0.14	0.26	0.30	(
Ours	0.09	0.15	0.23	0.34	0.48	Ours	s 0.02	0.10	0.17	0.24	1

The Effects of Defense Strategies on ASR. Table 5 shows the attack success rates of various defense strategies under different privacy budgets  $\epsilon$  on the NYKB and Gowalla datasets. We observe that in all cases, the gradient inversion attack is largely mitigated at some cost of accuracy if we add sufficient noise. This result suggests that all defense strategies evaluated can mitigate gradient inversion attacks to some extent. We also observe that in most cases, when the privacy budget is the same, the proposed defense strategy has a lower ASR, proving it is better resistant to gradient inversion attacks. These outcomes validate the effectiveness of the proposed defense strategy.





Fig. 5. The relationship between prediction accuracy and attack success rate.

The Trade-off Between Attack Risk and Prediction Accuracy. Fig. 5 shows the relationship between prediction accuracy and attack success rate. We also first analyze the NYCB dataset. As shown in Fig. 5(a), when facing equivalent privacy risks (that is, the attack success rate is the same), the model prediction accuracy of the proposed defense strategy always remains the highest. This shows that our defense strategy achieves the best trade-off between privacy and utility. We can also observe that DPSGD always exhibits the worst performance in most cases. This phenomenon is because other methods are specifically designed for location privacy, but DPSGD represents a more general privacy-preserving method in deep learning. Fig. 5(b) shows similar conclusions on the Gollow dataset. In summary, our method can achieve better performance with a lower risk of gradient inversion attacks.

## 6 Related Work

**Spatiotemporal Federated Learning.** Human mobility prediction is one of the most popular tasks in spatiotemporal federated learning and has been extensively studied in recent years [6,8,12,18,22]. For example, Li et al. [12] developed a spatial-temporal self-attention network to integrate spatiotemporal information for enhanced location prediction. Feng et al. [8] introduced a privacy-preserving mobility prediction framework PMF using federated learning, which exhibited notable performance compared to centralized models. Fan et al. [6] designed a decentralized attention-based personalized human mobility prediction model and implemented pre-training strategies to expedite the federated learning process. However, malicious attackers may steal private information from shared gradients through gradient inversion attacks.

**Gradient Inversion Attacks.** Gradient inversion attacks were first proposed in 2019 and have been extensively studied in the field of image and text recovery [4,9,10,19,20,23,24]. For example, DLG [24] uses Euclidean distance as the

loss function to measure the distance between generated gradients and groundtruth gradients. InvGrad [9] chooses to minimize cosine, as opposed to Euclidean loss, to match the direction, not the magnitude, of the true gradient. CPL [20] uses  $l_2$  distance and label-based regularization to increase the attack capability further. SAPAG [19] uses a weighted Gaussian kernel as the distance metric. However, current works focus on reconstructing training images or texts and have yet to be validated in spatiotemporal federated learning.

# 7 Conclusion

This paper studies gradient inversion attacks in spatiotemporal federated learning for the first time. We introduce a novel attack method, ST-GIA, specifically designed for spatiotemporal federated learning, which can effectively recover accurate original locations from gradients. Subsequently, we develop an adaptive differential privacy method to mitigate gradient inversion attacks. Extensive experimental results confirm the effectiveness of the proposed attack methods and defense strategies. In the future, we will explore more spatiotemporal federated learning scenarios, such as traffic flow prediction.

Acknowledgments. This work is supported in part by JST CREST (No. JPMJCR21M2); in part by JSPS KAKENHI (No. JP22H00521, JP22H03595, JP21K19767); in part by JST/NSF Joint Research SICORP (No. JPMJSC2107); in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 62220106004, 61972308).

## References

- Abadi, M., Chu, A., Goodfellow, I., McMahan, H.B., Mironov, I., Talwar, K., Zhang, L.: Deep learning with differential privacy. In: Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC conference on computer and communications security. pp. 308–318 (2016)
- Andrés, M.E., Bordenabe, N.E., Chatzikokolakis, K., Palamidessi, C.: Geoindistinguishability: Differential privacy for location-based systems. In: Proceedings of the 2013 ACM SIGSAC conference on Computer & communications security. pp. 901–914 (2013)
- Bassily, R., Smith, A.: Local, private, efficient protocols for succinct histograms. In: Proceedings of the forty-seventh annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing. pp. 127–135 (2015)
- Deng, J., Wang, Y., Li, J., Shang, C., Liu, H., Rajasekaran, S., Ding, C.: Tag: Gradient attack on transformer-based language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.06819 (2021)
- Fan, M., Liu, Y., Chen, C., Wang, C., Qiu, M., Zhou, W.: Guardian: Guarding against gradient leakage with provable defense for federated learning. In: Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. pp. 190–198 (2024)
- Fan, Z., Song, X., Jiang, R., Chen, Q., Shibasaki, R.: Decentralized attention-based personalized human mobility prediction. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 3(4), 1–26 (2019)

- Feng, J., Li, Y., Zhang, C., Sun, F., Meng, F., Guo, A., Jin, D.: Deepmove: Predicting human mobility with attentional recurrent networks. In: Proceedings of the 2018 world wide web conference. pp. 1459–1468 (2018)
- Feng, J., Rong, C., Sun, F., Guo, D., Li, Y.: Pmf: A privacy-preserving human mobility prediction framework via federated learning. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 4(1), 1–21 (2020)
- Geiping, J., Bauermeister, H., Dröge, H., Moeller, M.: Inverting gradients-how easy is it to break privacy in federated learning? Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33, 16937–16947 (2020)
- Geng, J., Mou, Y., Li, Q., Li, F., Beyan, O., Decker, S., Rong, C.: Improved gradient inversion attacks and defenses in federated learning. IEEE Transactions on Big Data (2023)
- Hochreiter, S., Schmidhuber, J.: Long short-term memory. Neural computation 9(8), 1735–1780 (1997)
- Li, A., Wang, S., Li, W., Liu, S., Zhang, S.: Predicting human mobility with federated learning. In: Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems. pp. 441–444 (2020)
- Liu, Y., Liu, C., Lu, X., Teng, M., Zhu, H., Xiong, H.: Point-of-interest demand modeling with human mobility patterns. In: Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining. pp. 947–955 (2017)
- McMahan, B., Moore, E., Ramage, D., Hampson, S., y Arcas, B.A.: Communication-efficient learning of deep networks from decentralized data. In: Artificial intelligence and statistics. pp. 1273–1282. PMLR (2017)
- McSherry, F., Talwar, K.: Mechanism design via differential privacy. In: 48th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS'07). pp. 94–103 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1109/F0CS.2007.66
- Shi, Y., Feng, H., Geng, X., Tang, X., Wang, Y.: A survey of hybrid deep learning methods for traffic flow prediction. In: Proceedings of the 2019 3rd international conference on advances in image processing. pp. 133–138 (2019)
- Takagi, S., Cao, Y., Asano, Y., Yoshikawa, M.: Geo-graph-indistinguishability: Location privacy on road networks based on differential privacy. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.13449 (2020)
- Wang, S., Wang, B., Yao, S., Qu, J., Pan, Y.: Location prediction with personalized federated learning. Soft Computing pp. 1–12 (2022)
- Wang, Y., Deng, J., Guo, D., Wang, C., Meng, X., Liu, H., Ding, C., Rajasekaran, S.: Sapag: A self-adaptive privacy attack from gradients. arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.06228 (2020)
- Wei, W., Liu, L., Loper, M., Chow, K.H., Gursoy, M.E., Truex, S., Wu, Y.: A framework for evaluating gradient leakage attacks in federated learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.10397 (2020)
- Yuan, J., Zheng, Y., Xie, X.: Discovering regions of different functions in a city using human mobility and pois. In: Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining. pp. 186–194 (2012)
- 22. Zhang, X., Wang, Q., Ye, Z., Ying, H., Yu, D.: Federated representation learning with data heterogeneity for human mobility prediction. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems (2023)
- Zhao, B., Mopuri, K.R., Bilen, H.: idlg: Improved deep leakage from gradients. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.02610 (2020)
- 24. Zhu, L., Liu, Z., Han, S.: Deep leakage from gradients. Advances in neural information processing systems **32** (2019)