



Article

# Extension of Buchdahl's Theorem on Reciprocal Solutions

David S. Pereira <sup>1</sup>, José Pedro Mimoso <sup>1,2,\*</sup>  and Francisco S. N. Lobo <sup>1,2,\*</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, Edifício C8, P-1749-016 Lisbon, Portugal; davidsp@alunos.fc.ul.pt

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, Edifício C8, P-1749-016 Lisbon, Portugal

\* Correspondence: jpmimoso@fc.ul.pt (J.P.M.); fslobo@fc.ul.pt (F.S.N.L.)

**Abstract:** Since the development of Brans–Dicke gravity, it has become well-known that a conformal transformation of the metric can reformulate this theory, transferring the coupling of the scalar field from the Ricci scalar to the matter sector. Specifically, in this new frame, known as the Einstein frame, Brans–Dicke gravity is reformulated as General Relativity supplemented by an additional scalar field. In 1959, Hans Adolf Buchdahl utilized an elegant technique to derive a set of solutions for the vacuum field equations within this gravitational framework. In this paper, we extend Buchdahl's method to incorporate the cosmological constant and to the scalar-tensor cases beyond the Brans–Dicke archetypal theory, thereby, with a conformal transformation of the metric, obtaining solutions for a version of Brans–Dicke theory that includes a quadratic potential. More specifically, we obtain synchronous solutions in the following contexts: in scalar-tensor gravity with massless scalar fields, Brans–Dicke theory with a quadratic potential, where we obtain specific synchronous metrics to the Schwarzschild–de Sitter metric, the Nariai solution, and a hyperbolically foliated solution.

**Keywords:** Buchdahl's theorem; Brans–Dicke gravity; reciprocal solutions

## 1. Introduction

The Brans–Dicke theory [1,2], proposed by Carl Brans and Robert H. Dicke in 1961, is an alternative to Einstein's General Theory of Relativity (GR). It introduces a varying gravitational "constant" by incorporating a scalar field  $\phi$  alongside the tensor field  $g_{\mu\nu}$  of GR. The strength of the coupling between the scalar field and the metric tensor is dictated by the Brans–Dicke parameter  $\omega$ . As  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ , Brans–Dicke theory approaches GR, with the scalar field becoming less influential. The theory can be tested against observations, such as the perihelion shift of Mercury, light deflection by the Sun, and time delay of radar signals [3]. Constraints on  $\omega$  from these tests have required  $\omega$  to be very large, making the theory increasingly similar to GR in the observed regime. However, the Brans–Dicke framework can be generalized to Scalar-Tensor theory by allowing for more general coupling functions and potential terms for the scalar field, thereby accommodating a broader range of theoretical possibilities and observational phenomena (we refer the reader to [4] for more details). This generalization enables a more flexible description of gravitational interactions and has important implications for cosmology, particularly in explaining the accelerated expansion of the universe and testing alternative models of gravity (see [5,6] for details).

An interesting application to Scalar-Tensor (ST) theory is Buchdahl's work on reciprocal static metrics and scalar fields within GR, which offered a profound exploration of the mathematical structures underlying gravitational fields. In 1954, Buchdahl initiated the study of these metrics, which exhibit a symmetry under a reciprocal transformation of the radial coordinate [7]. He extended this analysis, in 1956, by presenting explicit solutions to the gravitational field equations that remain invariant under these transformations [8]. Later, in 1959, Buchdahl further investigated the role of scalar fields in this

arXiv:2407.08320v1 [gr-qc] 11 Jul 2024



**Citation:** Pereira, D.S.; Mimoso, J.P.; Lobo, F.S.N. Extension of Buchdahl's Theorem on Reciprocal Solutions. *Symmetry* **2024**, *16*, 881. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym16070881>

Academic Editor: Kazuharu Bamba

Received: 7 June 2024

Revised: 2 July 2024

Accepted: 7 July 2024

Published: 11 July 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

context [9], demonstrating how these fields interact with and modify the reciprocal static metrics. In fact, his powerful technique enabled him to construct the most general two-parameter family of solutions of the static field equations, which was later generalized to  $D$ -dimensions [10]. In fact, Buchdahl's procedure produced the explicit expressions for the solutions sought by Bergmann and Leipnik [11], who discovered a two-parameter family of solutions, of which the Schwarzschild solutions form a one-parameter subfamily. Here, the metric tensor and scalar field were only implicitly expressed as algebraic and logarithmic functions of the radial coordinate  $r$ , except for special one-parameter subfamilies where explicit expressions were obtainable. Indeed, this work collectively highlight Buchdahl's significant contributions to understanding the complex solutions of Einstein's equations, revealing the subtle relationships between scalar fields and spacetime geometry.

Buchdahl's work has inspired much research over the years [12–31] (we refer the reader to [32] for a recent review). Here, we extend Buchdahl's method to incorporate the cosmological constant, thereby, with a conformal transformation of the metric, obtaining solutions for a version of Brans–Dicke theory that includes a quadratic potential. We also devise an application of the Buchdahl reciprocity mechanism to derive solutions of Brans–Dicke theory with a stiff matter content, conveyed by a massless scalar field. This constitutes a novel realization of the Buchdahl's correspondence between solutions overcoming the restriction to vacuum settings.

This paper is organized in the following manner: In Section 2, we introduce the fundamental concepts of conformal transformations, synchronous metrics, and Buchdahl's reciprocity procedures. In Section 3, we extend Buchdahl's technique in the presence of a cosmological constant, and find a one-parameter set of static solutions. In Section 4, we consider specific applications, namely, to scalar-tensor gravity with massless scalar fields, to Brans–Dicke theory with a quadratic potential, where we obtain specific synchronous solutions to the Schwarzschild–de Sitter metric, the Nariai solution, and a hyperbolically foliated solution.

## 2. Symmetries of Nested Conformal Transformations

### 2.1. Conformal Transformation

Consider a given metric  $\bar{g}_{ab}$  supposedly a solution of Einstein's field equations. Consider that it transforms under the following rescaling:

$$\bar{g}_{ab} \longrightarrow g_{ab} = \Omega^2 \bar{g}_{ab}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a regular (analytic) function of the space-time coordinates. We are introducing a scaling which may vary from point to point, and as a consequence, the relevant geometric quantities involved in the gravitational theory are modified. The connections become

$$\Gamma^a{}_{bc} = \bar{\Gamma}^a{}_{bc} + \delta_b^a \partial_c (\log \Omega) + \delta_c^a \partial_b (\log \Omega) - g_{bc} \partial^a (\log \Omega). \quad (2)$$

It is immediately apparent that the scalar function involved in the definition of the conformal transformation induces the presence of an additional term in the transformed connection. We write

$$\Gamma^a{}_{bc} = \bar{\Gamma}^a{}_{bc} + \gamma^a{}_{bc}, \quad (3)$$

and this carries to the Ricci tensor in the following manner:

$$R_{ab} = \bar{R}_{ab} + P_{ab}, \quad (4)$$

where the  $P_{ab}$  tensor is the ‘‘Ricci’’ tensor constructed from the connection  $\gamma^a{}_{bc}$ . The form of this extra  $D$ -dimensional Ricci tensor is [33]

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ab} = & -(D-2)\nabla_a\nabla_b\log\Omega - g_{ab}\nabla^c\nabla_c\log\Omega \\ & +(D-2)\nabla_a\log\Omega\nabla_b\log\Omega \\ & -(D-2)\nabla^c\log\Omega\nabla_c\log\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the trace  $P$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} P \equiv P^a{}_a = & \Omega^{-2}\bar{R} - \Omega^{-2}\left[2(D-2)\nabla^a\nabla_a\log\Omega \right. \\ & \left. -(D-2)(D-1)\nabla^a\log\Omega\nabla_a\log\Omega\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From the conformal transformation (1), there is no prescription for transforming objects such as scalar fields, vectors, or general tensors. However, it seems appropriate to assume that these objects will undergo scale transformations similar to (1), such as

$$\psi \longrightarrow \bar{\psi} = \Omega^s \psi, \quad (7)$$

or

$$T_{ab} \longrightarrow \bar{T}_{ab} = \Omega^p T_{ab}. \quad (8)$$

Using these elements, we obtain for the transformed Einstein field equations the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R = & \bar{R}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\bar{R} - (D-2)[\nabla_a\nabla_b\log\Omega - g_{ab}\nabla^c\nabla_c\log\Omega] \\ & - \nabla_a\log\Omega\nabla_b\log\Omega - \frac{3-D}{2}g_{ab}\nabla^c\log\Omega\nabla_c\log\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R = 8\pi G T_{ab}$ .

## 2.2. Synchronous Metric

Consider the synchronous metric, with  $D = 4$ :

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad (10)$$

with  $i, j, = 1, 2, 3$ . The non-trivial components of the connection are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^0{}_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_0\gamma_{ij} \equiv 2K_{ij} \\ \Gamma^i{}_{0j} &= \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ik}\partial_0\gamma_{kj} = 2K^i{}_j \\ \Gamma^i{}_{jk} &= \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{im}\left(\partial_j\gamma_{mk} + \partial_k\gamma_{jm} - \partial_m\gamma_{jk}\right) \equiv \Lambda^i{}_{jk}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $K_{ij}$  is the extrinsic curvature. From these we derive the Ricci tensor:

$$R^0{}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}K^j{}_j - \frac{1}{4}K^j{}_m K^m{}_j \quad (12)$$

$$R^0{}_j = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_m K^m{}_j - \nabla_j K^m{}_m) \quad (13)$$

$$R^i{}_j = {}^*R^i{}_j - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\gamma}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\sqrt{\gamma}K^i{}_j) \quad (14)$$

and  ${}^*R^i{}_j$  is the 3-dimensional Ricci tensor associated with the metric induced on the spatial hypersurfaces  $\gamma_{jk}$ .  $\gamma$  is its determinant.

Thus, if the metric is static, an isometry exists, characterized by a time-like Killing vector orthogonal to the spatial hypersurfaces. Then  $\gamma_{jk}$  does not depend on the time coordinate  $t$ , so that, on the one hand,  $K_{ij} = 0$ , and on the other, we have  $R^0_0 = 0$ , and  $R^i_j = {}^*R^i_j$ . This implies that  $R = {}^*R$ . It follows that, if both  $R^a_b = 0$  and  $R = 0$ , so are  ${}^*R^i_j = 0 = R = {}^*R$ . In other words, if the metric  $\bar{g}_{ab}$  describes a vacuum solution, so does  $\gamma_{ij}$ .

### 2.3. Buchdahl's Reciprocity

The idea underlying Buchdahl's reciprocity can then be perceived in the following way. If we consider a metric  $g_{ab}$  that has the form

$$g_{ab} = \text{diag} \left( e^{\nu(r)}, h_{ab}(r, \theta, \psi) \right), \quad (15)$$

the line element can be cast as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= e^{\nu(r)} \left( -dt^2 + e^{-\nu(r)} h_{ab}(r, \theta, \psi) dx^a dx^b \right) \\ &= e^{\nu(r)} \left( -dt^2 + \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j \right), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $\gamma_{ij} = e^{-\nu(r)} h_{ab}(r, \theta, \psi)$  is independent of the time coordinate.

Therefore, if the synchronous metric were to be a solution of Einstein's vacuum field equations, and  $g_{ab}$  is the conformal rescaling of the latter synchronous metric, with

$$\Omega^2(r) = e^{\nu(r)}, \quad (17)$$

then from Equation (1), we verify that  $g_{ab}$  is the solution of the vacuum, plus a scalar field, which coincides with the re-scaling factor  $\Omega$ .

One question that is interesting to address is how this result can be understood in terms of symmetries. When the synchronous metric (10) describes a static vacuum solution, then it admits, as mentioned above, a time-like Killing vector that is orthogonal to the hypersurfaces endowed with the metric  $\gamma_{ij}$ . The Killing vector  $X^a$  satisfies the equation

$$\bar{\nabla}_a X_b + \bar{\nabla}_b X_a = 0, \quad (18)$$

where  $\bar{\nabla}_a = h^b_a \nabla_b$  is the projected  $\nabla$  operator onto the spatial part of  $g_{ab}$ . The conformal transformation preserves angles; hence, if the Killing field is orthogonal to  $\gamma_{ij}$ , it will also be orthogonal to  $h_{ab}$ .

Thus, the objective here is to analyze how the Killing vector field is transformed from one conformally related metric to the other, in order to assess whether a scalar field that is a Killing of one of the metrics is also a Killing of its image under the conformal transformation. Furthermore, we will explore the cosmological constant in this context, and extend Buchdahl's result to the scalar-tensor cases beyond the Brans–Dicke archetypal theory.

### 3. Solutions from Buchdahl's Method with $\Lambda$

To obtain solutions to the field equations, consider the following Lagrangian given by

$$\mathcal{L} = R - 2\Lambda - \mu g^{ab} \partial_a \psi \partial_b \psi, \quad (19)$$

where  $R$  is the Ricci scalar of the 4-dimensional metric  $g_{ab}$ ,  $\mu$  is a constant parameter, and  $\psi$  is a scalar field. In the following, we will deduce in detail Buchdahl's method carried out in [9], with the inclusion of the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ .

### 3.1. Buchdahl's Method

To start, consider a metric  $g_{ab}$ , with signature  $(-, +, +, +)$ , that has a cyclic coordinate  $x^s$  such that

$$\partial_s g_{ab} = 0, \quad g_{ks} = 0, \quad (20)$$

where  $k, l = (0, 1, 2, \dots, s-1, s+1, \dots, D \geq 3)$ . With this defined, consider now the following line element:

$$ds^2 = g_{ss}(dx^s)^2 + \hat{g}_{kl} dx^k dx^l, \quad (21)$$

which can be written in the following compact notation:

$$g_{ab} \equiv (g_{ss}, \hat{g}_{kl}), \quad (22)$$

where  $g_{ss}$  is associated with the cyclic coordinate ( $x^s$ ), which in our case is considered to be the time coordinate  $x^0$ ;  $\hat{g}_{kl}$  is a 3-dimensional metric, of the Riemann space  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_3$ , independent of the  $x^s$ -coordinate.

Without loss of generality, one can consider  $\hat{g}_{kl} = e^{2\sigma} g_{kl}$ . More specifically,  $\hat{g}_{kl}$  is conformal to the  $g_{kl}$  metric, with the Riemann space  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_3$  being conformal to the Riemann space  $\mathcal{M}_3$ , where  $\sigma$  is a scalar function that is independent of the cyclic coordinate. In the same line of thought, one can define  $g_{00} = -e^{2\gamma}$  where  $\gamma$  is also a scalar function independent of the cyclic coordinate. In this way,  $g_{ab}$  can be written as

$$g_{ab} = (-e^{2\gamma}, e^{2\sigma} g_{kl}) = e^{2\gamma} (-1, e^{2\omega} g_{kl}) = e^{2\gamma} \bar{g}_{ab}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\bar{g}_{ab} = (-1, e^{2\omega} g_{kl}) = (-1, \bar{g}_{kl}), \quad (24)$$

with  $\omega = \sigma - \gamma$ . The  $\bar{g}_{kl}$  metric is the conformal metric of  $g_{kl}$  associated with  $e^{2\omega}$ , whose space is the Riemann space  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_3$ , which is conformal to the Riemann space  $\mathcal{M}_3$ .

As verified in Section 2, in the presence of a rescaling of the metric, there is a relationship between the Ricci scalar of the original metric,  $g_{ab}$  ( $R[g_{ab}]$ ), and the Ricci scalar of the transformed metric,  $\bar{g}_{ab}$  ( $R[\bar{g}_{ab}]$ ), as seen in Equation (6). This transformation leads to the following relation:

$$R[g_{ab}] = e^{-2\gamma} \left\{ \bar{R}[\bar{g}_{ab}] + 6\bar{\square}\gamma + 6\bar{g}^{ab} \partial_a \gamma \partial_b \gamma \right\}, \quad (25)$$

where  $\bar{\square}$  is the d'Alembert operator defined as  $\bar{\square} \equiv \bar{g}^{ab} \bar{\nabla}_a \bar{\nabla}_b$ . Due to  $\gamma$  being independent of the time coordinate, and taking into account Equation (24), the covariant derivatives present in the d'Alembert operator  $\bar{g}^{ab} \bar{\nabla}_a \bar{\nabla}_b$  reduces to  $\bar{g}^{kl} \bar{\nabla}_k \bar{\nabla}_l$ , where  $\bar{\nabla}$  are the covariant derivatives with respect to the metric  $\bar{g}_{ab}$ . Thus, Equation (25) can be written as

$$R[g_{ab}] = e^{-2\gamma} \left\{ \bar{R}[\bar{g}_{ab}] + 6\bar{g}^{kl} \bar{\nabla}_l \bar{\nabla}_k \gamma + 6\bar{g}^{kl} \partial_k \gamma \partial_l \gamma \right\}. \quad (26)$$

Due to the synchronous nature of the metric (24), which can be rewritten as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \bar{g}_{kl} dx^k dx^l, \quad (27)$$

if  $\bar{g}_{kl}$  does not depend on the isolated coordinate,  $x^s$ , then the Ricci scalar associated with the metric  $\bar{g}_{ab}$  is equal to the one associated with  $\bar{g}_{kl}$ , as seen in the previous section. With this equality, one can write the Ricci scalar associated with  $g_{ab}$  in terms of the Ricci scalar associated with  $g_{kl}$ . From Equation (6), this relation is given by

$$\bar{R}[\bar{g}_{ab}] = \bar{R}[\bar{g}_{kl}] = e^{-2\omega} \left\{ R[g_{kl}] + 2g^{kl} \nabla_l \nabla_k \omega + 2g^{kl} \partial_k \omega \partial_l \omega \right\}, \quad (28)$$

allowing one to rewrite Equation (26) as

$$R[g_{ab}] = e^{-2\gamma-2\omega} \left\{ R[g_{kl}] + 2g^{kl} \nabla_l \nabla_k \omega + 2g^{kl} \partial_k \omega \partial_l \omega + 6g^{kl} \nabla_l \nabla_k \gamma + 6g^{kl} \partial_k \gamma \partial_l \gamma \right\}. \quad (29)$$

To simplify this relation, it is essential to recognize that there are two distinct covariant derivatives at play with respect to  $\gamma$ : one associated with the metric  $\bar{g}_{kl}$ ,  $\bar{\nabla}_l \bar{\nabla}_k \gamma$ , and another related to the metric  $g_{kl}$ ,  $\nabla_l \nabla_k \omega$  (where  $\omega = \sigma - \gamma$ ). Therefore, in order to simplify the expression for the Ricci tensor, we must express  $\bar{\nabla}_l \bar{\nabla}_k \gamma$  in terms of  $\nabla_l \nabla_k \gamma$ . Given that  $\bar{\nabla}_k \gamma = \nabla_k \gamma = \partial_k \gamma$ , and considering the conformal relationship between the metrics as described in Equation (3), one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_l \bar{\nabla}_k \gamma &= \partial_l \partial_k \gamma - \bar{\Gamma}_{kl}^i \partial_i \gamma \\ &= \partial_l \partial_k \gamma - \Gamma_{kl}^i \partial_i \gamma - \delta_k^i \partial_l \omega \partial_i \gamma - \delta_l^i \partial_k \omega \partial_i \gamma + g_{kl} g^{im} \partial_m \omega \partial_i \gamma \\ &= \nabla_l \nabla_k \gamma - \delta_k^i \partial_l \omega \partial_i \gamma + g_{kl} g^{im} \partial_m \omega \partial_i \gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Reintroducing this new expression in Equation (29) and using the definition of  $\omega$ , the final result is given by

$$R[g_{ab}] = e^{-2\sigma} \left\{ R[g_{kl}] + 2g^{kl} (2\nabla_l \nabla_k \sigma + \nabla_l \nabla_k \gamma + \partial_k \sigma \partial_l \gamma + \partial_k \sigma \partial_l \sigma + \partial_k \gamma \partial_l \gamma) \right\}. \quad (31)$$

Working with conformal transformations, the determinant of the metric  $g_{ab}$  can be expressed as a conformal factor times the determinant of the  $g_{kl}$  metric. Thus,  $\sqrt{-|g_{ab}|}$ , a tensor density of weight 1, can be related to  $\sqrt{-|\bar{g}_{ab}|}$  in the following way:

$$\sqrt{-|g_{ab}|} = (e^\gamma)^D \sqrt{-|\bar{g}_{ab}|} = e^{4\gamma} \sqrt{|\bar{g}_{ab}|}. \quad (32)$$

Due to the way that the metric  $\bar{g}_{ab}$  is constructed, one has  $\sqrt{-|\bar{g}_{ab}|} = \sqrt{-|\bar{g}_{kl}|}$ , so that, due to conformal relation between the metric  $\bar{g}_{kl}$  and  $g_{kl}$ , this can be expressed as

$$\sqrt{-|\bar{g}_{ab}|} = \sqrt{-|\bar{g}_{kl}|} = e^{3\omega} \sqrt{|g_{kl}|}, \quad (33)$$

allowing one to rewrite Equation (32) as

$$\sqrt{-|g_{ab}|} = e^{3\sigma+\gamma} \sqrt{-|g_{kl}|}. \quad (34)$$

Multiplying Equation (31) by  $\sqrt{-|g_{ab}|}$ , one obtains that the  $g_{ab}$  metric has a scalar curvature density  $\mathcal{R}$  ( $\mathcal{R} \sqrt{-|g_{ab}|}$ ) given by

$$\mathcal{R} = e^{\gamma+\sigma} \sqrt{-|g_{kl}|} \left\{ R[g_{kl}] + 2g^{kl} (2\nabla_l \nabla_k \sigma + \nabla_l \nabla_k \gamma + \partial_k \sigma \partial_l \sigma + \partial_k \sigma \partial_l \gamma + \partial_k \gamma \partial_l \gamma) \right\}. \quad (35)$$

Considering now that both  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  are functions of a scalar  $\varphi(x^k)$  (that is independent on the cyclic coordinate), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &= e^{\gamma+\sigma} \sqrt{-|g_{kl}|} \left\{ R[g_{kl}] + 2g^{kl} \left[ (2\sigma' + \gamma') \nabla_l \nabla_k \varphi \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (2\sigma'' + \gamma'' + \sigma'^2 + \gamma'^2 + \sigma' \gamma') \partial_k \varphi \partial_l \varphi \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where the prime represents a derivative with respect to  $\varphi$ . With the variational principle being the primary tool for our deduction, it is useful to express this scalar curvature density in terms of an ordinary divergence, which does not contribute to the field equations. Following the same reasoning, it can be asserted that discrepancies originating from the parameter  $\mathcal{R}$  may be disregarded, given their non-contribution to the field equations whenever  $\mathcal{R}$  assumes an additive role within the Lagrangian framework.

The reduction is made possible by the exponential  $e^{\sigma(\varphi)+\gamma(\varphi)}$  in the following way:

$$\nabla_l \nabla_k e^{\sigma(\varphi)+\gamma(\varphi)} = \left\{ (\sigma' + \gamma') \nabla_l \nabla_k \varphi + (\sigma'' + \gamma'' + (\sigma' + \gamma')^2) \partial_k \varphi \partial_l \varphi \right\} e^{\sigma(\varphi)+\gamma(\varphi)}, \quad (37)$$

which can be written as

$$(\nabla_l \nabla_k \varphi) e^{\sigma(\varphi)+\gamma(\varphi)} = \frac{\nabla_l \nabla_k e^{\sigma(\varphi)+\gamma(\varphi)}}{(\sigma' + \gamma')} - \left[ \frac{\sigma'' + \gamma''}{\sigma' + \gamma'} + (\sigma' + \gamma') \right] \partial_k \varphi \partial_l \varphi e^{\sigma(\varphi)+\gamma(\varphi)}. \quad (38)$$

The factor in square brackets on the right-hand side, when multiplied by the metric  $g_{kl}$ , is only an ordinary divergence if the  $\sigma' + \gamma'$  term is a constant. This means that  $\sigma'$  and  $\gamma'$  must be constant, which implies that  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  are linear in  $\varphi$ , so  $\sigma'' = 0$  and  $\gamma'' = 0$ , so that we have

$$(\nabla_l \nabla_k \varphi) e^{\sigma(\varphi)+\gamma(\varphi)} = \nabla_l \nabla_k \left( \frac{e^{\sigma(\varphi)+\gamma(\varphi)}}{(\sigma' + \gamma')} \right) - (\sigma' + \gamma') \partial_k \varphi \partial_l \varphi e^{\sigma(\varphi)+\gamma(\varphi)}. \quad (39)$$

Introducing this expression in Equation (36) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &= e^{\gamma+\sigma} \sqrt{-|g_{kl}|} \left\{ R[g_{kl}] - 2g^{kl} (\sigma'^2 + 2\sigma'\gamma') \partial_k \varphi \partial_l \varphi \right\} \\ &\quad + g^{kl} \nabla_l \nabla_k \left[ \left( 2 + \frac{2\sigma'}{\sigma' + \gamma'} \right) e^{\sigma(\varphi)+\gamma(\varphi)} \right] \\ &\doteq e^{\gamma+\sigma} \sqrt{-|g_{kl}|} \left\{ R[g_{kl}] - 2g^{kl} (\sigma'^2 + 2\sigma'\gamma') \partial_k \varphi \partial_l \varphi \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where  $\doteq$  represents an equality between two expressions that only differ from an ordinary divergence. Without the possibility to remove such a divergence, it is not possible to construct this method because the curvature density does not end up in a way that allows one to build a relation with the Lagrangian in Equation (19).

Considering that  $\sigma = \alpha\varphi$  and  $\gamma = (1 - \alpha)\varphi$ , the previous equation can be reformulated as

$$\mathcal{R}(\alpha) \doteq e^\varphi \sqrt{-|g_{kl}|} \left\{ R[g_{kl}] - 2g^{kl} (\alpha(2 - \alpha)) \partial_k \varphi \partial_l \varphi \right\}, \quad (41)$$

which for  $\alpha = 0$  reduces to

$$\mathcal{R}(0) \doteq e^\varphi \sqrt{-|g_{kl}|} \{ R[g_{kl}] \}, \quad (42)$$

allowing one to rewrite Equation (41) as

$$\mathcal{R}(\alpha) + 2g^{kl} (\alpha(2 - \alpha)) \partial_k \varphi \partial_l \varphi \doteq \mathcal{R}(0), \quad (43)$$

so that

$$\int d^4x \left[ \mathcal{R}(\alpha) + 2e^\varphi g^{kl} \sqrt{-|g_{kl}|} (\alpha(2 - \alpha)) \partial_k \varphi \partial_l \varphi \right] \equiv \int d^4x \mathcal{R}(0), \quad (44)$$

for all variations of  $g_{kl}$  and  $\varphi$  that vanish at the boundary.

In Buchdahl's work, it is imposed that  $(-e^{2\varphi}, g_{kl})$  ( $g_{ab}$  metric, from Equation (23), with  $\alpha = 0$ ) is a static solution of the field equations for empty space. This renders the right side of the previous equation equal to zero, allowing one to derive the solutions for the field equations obtained from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = R - \mu g^{ab} \partial_a \psi \partial_b \psi, \quad (45)$$

leading to the result from [9].

### 3.2. Buchdahl's Method with $\Lambda$

To obtain the solutions for the field equations derived from the Lagrangian given in Equation (19) using Buchdahl's method, it is essential to introduce two key steps in the derivation process. The first step consists in subtracting  $\int d^4x \, 2\Lambda \sqrt{-|g_{ab}|}$  from both sides of Equation (44), resulting in

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^4x \left[ \mathcal{R}(\alpha) + 2e^\varphi g^{kl} \sqrt{-|g_{kl}|} (\alpha(2-\alpha)) \partial_k \varphi \partial_l \varphi - 2\Lambda \sqrt{-|g_{ab}|} \right] \\ = \int d^4x \left[ \mathcal{R}(0) - 2\Lambda \sqrt{-|g_{ab}|} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

for all variations of  $g_{kl}$  and  $\varphi$  that vanish at the boundary. As in the previous case, it is necessary to render the right side of the equation equal to zero. However, by introducing the new term, the second step needed to obtain the solutions desired is to impose the condition that the  $(g_{kl}, e^{2\varphi})$  metric must be a static solution of the field equations

$$R_{ab} = \Lambda g_{ab}, \quad (47)$$

instead of the vacuum solutions, therefore making the right-hand side of Equation (46) equal to zero. Thus, Equation (46) becomes

$$\int d^4x \left[ \mathcal{R}(\alpha) + 2g^{kl} e^\varphi \sqrt{-|g_{kl}|} (\alpha(2-\alpha)) \partial_k \varphi \partial_l \varphi - 2\Lambda \sqrt{-|g_{ab}|} \right] = 0, \quad (48)$$

which, by using Equation (34), can be written as

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{-|g_{ab}|} \left[ R(\alpha) - 2\Lambda + e^{-2\alpha\varphi} g^{kl} (2\alpha(2-\alpha)) \partial_k \varphi \partial_l \varphi \right] = 0. \quad (49)$$

One must keep in mind that the field equations that arise from Equation (19) will be satisfied by the functions  $g_{ab}$  ( $g_{ab} \equiv ({}'g_{kl}, {}'g_{00})$ ) and  $\psi$  if

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{-|g_{ab}|} \left[ R - 2\Lambda - \mu g^{ab} \partial_a \psi \partial_b \psi \right] = 0, \quad (50)$$

for arbitrary variations that disappear at the boundary. By comparing Equation (49) with Equation (50), it is clear that by considering  ${}'g_{kl} = e^{2\alpha\varphi} g_{kl}$ ,  ${}'g_{00} = -e^{2(1-\alpha)\varphi}$ ,  $\varphi = \frac{\psi}{2\kappa}$ , where  $\kappa$  is an arbitrary constant and  $\alpha = (1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 2\mu\kappa^2})$  by Equation (49), the condition (50) is satisfied.

With this result, one concludes that the field equations from the Lagrangian (19) have a one-parameter set of pairs of static solutions given by

$$g_{ab} = \left( -(-g_{00})^{1-\alpha}, (-g_{00})^\alpha g_{kl} \right), \quad (51)$$

$$\psi = \kappa \ln(-g_{00}), \quad (52)$$

$$\alpha = 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 2\mu\kappa^2}, \quad (53)$$

respectively. The metric  $g_{ab}$  of Equation (19) is a solution of the field equations of the action defined by this Lagrangian, and  $g_{00}$  and  $g_{kl}$  are the components of the metric, which is a solution of the field Equation (47). These static solutions remain valid for the field equations derived from (45), contingent upon the condition that the metric with the components  $g_{00}$  and  $g_{kl}$  satisfies the vacuum Einstein field equations.



## 4. Applications

### 4.1. Scalar-Tensor Gravity Theory

As previously exposed, an appropriate conformal transformation of the space-time metric has the ability to map the ST theory onto GR, plus a scalar field that is coupled to matter. If we consider a vacuum configuration, this means that the ST, and in particular the Brans–Dicke solution, is applied into GR plus a single scalar field, which happens to be the redefinition of the scalar field that originally couples to the curvature of the spacetime. We say that we have transformed the theory from the original Jordan frame to a target Einstein frame.

Thus, Buchdahl's result on reciprocal static metrics [9] conveys a simple correspondence between vacuum solutions from two alternative gravity theories, namely the ST and GR theories. Indeed, as it establishes the correspondence between a vacuum GR solution and a reciprocal GR solution with a massless scalar field, the latter can be interpreted as the Einstein frame representation of a vacuum Brans–Dicke solution [34] in the Jordan frame. If we have a solution of vacuum GR, we can use the latter device based on the conformal rescaling to generate a solution of the ST theory.

The generalized Brans–Dicke scalar-tensor field equations are obtained from the action [3,35]

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \phi R - \frac{\omega(\phi)}{\phi} \partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi + 16\pi \mathcal{L}_m \right], \quad (54)$$

where  $R$  is the Ricci curvature scalar of the spacetime associated with the  $g_{ab}$  metric,  $\phi$  is the Brans–Dicke scalar field,  $\omega(\phi)$  is the coupling parameter, and  $\mathcal{L}_m$  represents the Lagrangian for the matter sector.

Varying the action (54) with respect to the two dynamical variables  $g_{ab}$  and  $\phi$  leads to

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} R = 8\pi \frac{T_{ab}}{\phi} + \frac{1}{\phi} (\nabla_a \nabla_b \phi - g_{ab} \square \phi) + \frac{\omega(\phi)}{\phi^2} \left( g_a^c g_b^d - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} g^{cd} \right) \partial_c \phi \partial_d \phi, \quad (55)$$

and

$$\square \phi = \frac{1}{2\omega(\phi) + 3} \left( 8\pi T - g^{cd} \partial_c \omega \partial_d \phi \right), \quad (56)$$

respectively, where  $T \equiv T_a^a$  is the trace of the energy–momentum tensor of the matter defined as

$$T^{ab} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{ab}} (\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_m). \quad (57)$$

It is known that a theory featuring a gravitational coupling that fluctuates, such as ST gravity, can be seen as being equivalent to another theory where the gravitational coupling remains constant, but masses and lengths exhibit variation [2]. This equivalence can be demonstrated mathematically through the utilization of a conformally rescaled metric. By considering the following conformal transformation [36]

$$\hat{g}_{ab} = \frac{\phi}{\phi_0} g_{ab}, \quad (58)$$

where  $\phi_0$  is an arbitrary constant introduced to keep the conformal factor dimensionless, the action from Equation (54) becomes

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left[ \frac{1}{\phi_0} \hat{R} + 16\pi \left( -\frac{1}{2} \partial_a \psi \partial_b \psi + \left( \frac{\phi_0}{\phi} \right)^2 \mathcal{L}_m \right) \right], \quad (59)$$

where we introduce a new scalar field  $\psi(\phi)$  defined by

$$d\psi = \sqrt{\phi_0 \frac{(3 + 2\omega(\phi))}{16\pi}} \frac{d\phi}{\phi}, \quad (60)$$

With this transformation and considering  $\phi_0 \equiv G^{-1}$ , the action (54) is reduced simply to the Einstein–Hilbert action of GR with a scalar field, being called the Einstein frame, while Equation (54) is denoted by the Jordan frame.

#### 4.2. Scalar-Tensor Gravity Plus a Massless Scalar Field

In the Jordan frame, when the content of the matter Lagrangian is a massless scalar-field such as

$$\mathcal{L}_m = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_a\chi\partial_b\chi, \quad (61)$$

that has the wave equation

$$\square\chi = 0. \quad (62)$$

The conformal transformation to the Einstein frame leads to a composite Lagrangian for the matter massless scalar field and the transformed scalar-field given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\phi+\chi} &= -\frac{1}{2}\hat{g}_{ab}\left(\frac{\partial_a\chi\partial_b\chi}{G\phi} + \partial_a\psi\partial_b\psi\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\hat{g}_{ab}\left(\frac{\partial_a\chi\partial_b\chi}{G\phi} + \frac{3+2w(\phi)}{16\pi G}\frac{\partial_a\phi\partial_b\phi}{\phi^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

If the fields are a function of a single variable, which is a condition imposed by spherical symmetry or homogeneity, then  $\chi$  can be written as a function of  $\phi$ , allowing one to define the composite scalar

$$d\phi = \sqrt{\frac{3+2\tilde{\omega}(\phi)}{16\pi G}}\frac{d\phi}{\phi}, \quad (64)$$

where

$$\tilde{\omega}(\phi) = \omega(\phi) + 8\pi\phi\left(\frac{d\chi}{d\phi}\right)^2. \quad (65)$$

Therefore, the action of this ST theory in the Einstein frame is

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-|\hat{g}|} \left[ \hat{R} + 16\pi G \left( -\frac{1}{2}\hat{g}^{ab}\partial_a\phi\partial_b\phi \right) \right]. \quad (66)$$

It is important to emphasize that the Einstein metric should constitute a solution for the massless field/stiff fluid. In other words, solving the field equations for the massless scalar, we have [37,38]

$$\hat{\square}\chi = \hat{g}^{ab}\frac{\partial_a\phi\partial_b\chi}{\phi}. \quad (67)$$

These results establish a relationship between a ST theory with matter in the Jordan frame and a corresponding vacuum conformal scalar-tensor theory in the Einstein frame. This conclusion aligns with the demonstration in [36], which showed that, within a Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) cosmological description, assuming a perfect fluid described by the barotropic equation of state  $p = (\gamma - 1)\rho$ , the specific case where  $\gamma = 2$ , corresponding to stiff matter (characterized by the long wavelength modes of a massless scalar field), exhibits an equivalence to the vacuum case.

Thus, it is possible to map a solution from the Einstein frame to the Jordan frame, even in the presence of matter in the latter. (An alternative derivation of scalar-tensor solutions with a massless field was done in [38].) Applying the result of Equation (52) within the framework of a spherically symmetric metric, which satisfies the vacuum field equations of GR, inherently ensures that the scalar fields are functions of a single variable. Consequently,

this allows for the derivation of an expression for the composite scalar field that is solely dependent on the metric used, given by

$$\varphi = \kappa \ln(-g_{00}). \quad (68)$$

In the scenario where  $\tilde{\omega}$  is constant, the composite scalar  $\chi$  is given by the differential equation

$$\frac{d\chi}{d\theta} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\omega} - \omega(\phi)}{8\pi\phi}}, \quad (69)$$

and, in conjunction with Equations (64) and (68), leads to

$$\phi = (-g_{00})^{\kappa\sqrt{16\pi G/3+2\tilde{\omega}}}. \quad (70)$$

Furthermore, if the coupling parameter  $\omega(\phi)$  of the Jordan frame is constant, denoted as  $\omega_0$ , then Equation (69) simplifies to

$$\chi = \pm 2\eta\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (71)$$

where  $\eta$  is

$$\eta \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\omega} - \omega_0}{8\pi}}. \quad (72)$$

In addition, as shown in [37], the relation of the massless scalar field and the original scalar-field encodes two interacting stiff fluids that have a dynamical effect of a single perfect stiff fluid; in other words,

$$\rho = \rho_\chi + \rho_\phi, \quad (73)$$

with parallel velocities,  $u = u_\chi = u_\phi$  (condition satisfied if  $\chi$  is a function of  $\phi$ ), and

$$p_\chi = \rho_\chi = \frac{1}{2} \left| \hat{g}^{ab} \frac{\partial_a \chi \partial_b \chi}{G\phi} \right|, \quad (74)$$

$$p_\phi = \rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \left| \hat{g}^{ab} \frac{3 + 2\omega(\phi)}{16\pi G} \frac{\partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi}{\phi^2} \right|. \quad (75)$$

With the previous results for  $\phi$  and  $\chi$  for the case of  $\tilde{\omega}$  and  $\omega$  constant, the perfect stiff fluid is an energy density given by

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left| \frac{\eta^2}{G} \frac{\partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi}{\phi^2} \right| + \left| \frac{3 + 2\omega}{16\pi G} \frac{\partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi}{\phi^2} \right| \right), \quad (76)$$

where  $\phi$  is given by Equation (70).

#### 4.3. Brans–Dicke Theory with a Quadratic Potential

The scalar-tensor field equations for the Brans–Dicke theory with a potential are obtained from the action

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \phi R - \frac{\omega_{BD}}{\phi} \partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi - 2V(\phi) \right] + S_M, \quad (77)$$

where  $R$  is the Ricci curvature scalar of the spacetime associated with the  $g_{ab}$  metric,  $\phi$  is the Brans–Dicke scalar field,  $\omega_{BD}$  is the dimensionless Brans–Dicke coupling constant,  $V(\phi)$  is a scalar field potential, and  $S_M$  is the action for the matter sector.

Varying the action (77) with respect to the two dynamical variables  $g_{ab}$  and  $\phi$  leads to

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} R = 8\pi \frac{T_{ab}}{\phi} + \frac{1}{\phi} (\nabla_a \nabla_b \phi - g_{ab} \square \phi) + \frac{\omega_{BD}}{\phi^2} \left( \partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \partial^c \phi \partial_c \phi \right) - \frac{g_{ab}}{\phi} V(\phi), \quad (78)$$

and

$$2\omega_{BD} \frac{\square\phi}{\phi} - \frac{\omega_{BD}}{\phi} g^{ab} \partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi + R = 2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi}, \quad (79)$$

respectively.

Using the conformal transformation given by Equation (58) and considering a vacuum, the action (77) takes the form

$$S = \frac{\phi_0}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left\{ \hat{R} - \frac{8\pi}{\phi_0} \partial_a \psi \partial_b \psi - U(\psi) \right\}, \quad (80)$$

where we introduce a new scalar field  $\psi(\phi)$  defined by

$$d\psi = \sqrt{\phi_0 \frac{(3+2\omega_{BD})}{16\pi}} \frac{d\phi}{\phi}, \quad (81)$$

and where

$$U(\psi) = \frac{2V\phi_0^3}{\phi^2}. \quad (82)$$

Once again considering  $\phi_0 = G^{-1}$ , the action (77) is reduced to the Einstein–Hilbert action of GR plus a scalar field.

Considering  $V(\phi) = \Lambda \frac{\phi^2}{\phi_0^3}$  leads to

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left\{ \hat{R} - 2\Lambda - 8\pi G \partial_a \psi \partial_b \psi \right\}, \quad (83)$$

which is the action (19) with  $\mu = 8\pi G$  (a different definition of the scalar  $\psi$ , due to different units for example, leads to a different value for the  $\mu$  constant) that has a set of static solutions (51) and (52) for the field equations that arise from varying the action with respect to  $\hat{g}_{ab}$  and  $\psi$ .

Therefore, the static solutions for the field equations obtained from Equation (77) with  $V(\phi) = \Lambda \frac{\phi^2}{\phi_0^3}$  are related with the ones from Equation (83) by the conformal factor leading to

$$g_{ab} = \left( -\phi_0 (-\bar{g}_{00})^{1-\alpha-\frac{\kappa}{\beta}}, \phi_0 (-\bar{g}_{00})^{\alpha-\frac{\kappa}{\beta}} \bar{g}_{kl} \right), \quad (84)$$

$$\phi = (-\bar{g}_{00})^{\frac{\kappa}{\beta}}, \quad (85)$$

$$\alpha = \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 16\pi G \kappa^2} \right), \quad (86)$$

where  $g_{ab} = (g_{00}, g_{kl})$  is the static metric that is the solution of the vacuum field equations derived from Equation (77) with  $V(\phi) = \Lambda \frac{\phi^2}{\phi_0^3}$ ,  $\bar{g}_{00}$  and  $\bar{g}_{kl}$  are the components of the static metric  $\bar{g}_{ab} = (\bar{g}_{00}, \bar{g}_{kl})$ , which must be a solution of the field Equation (47), and  $\beta = \sqrt{\phi_0 \frac{(3+2\omega_{BD})}{16\pi}}$ , which depends on the value of  $\omega_{BD}$ .

#### 4.3.1. The Schwarzschild–de Sitter Metric

A case of particular interest is the known Schwarzschild–de Sitter metric [39], described by the line element

$$ds^2 = - \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 \right) dt^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (87)$$

Thus, by Equations (84) and (85), a solution of the field Equations (78) and (79), with  $V(\phi) = \Lambda \frac{\phi^2}{\phi_0^3}$  (with  $\phi_0 = 1$ ), is given by

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2\right)^{1-\alpha-\frac{\kappa}{\beta}} dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2\right)^{1+\alpha-\frac{\kappa}{\beta}} dr^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2\right)^{\alpha-\frac{\kappa}{\beta}} r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (88)$$

and

$$\phi = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2\right)^{\frac{\kappa}{\beta}}. \quad (89)$$

#### 4.3.2. The Nariai Solution

Another special case is the neutral Nariai solution given by [40]

$$ds^2 = \Lambda^{-1} \left(-\sin^2(\chi) d\tau^2 + d\theta^2 + d\chi^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\psi^2\right), \quad (90)$$

where  $\theta$  and  $\chi$  both run from 0 to  $\pi$ , and  $\psi$  has period  $2\pi$ . For this metric, by choosing  $\tau$  as the cyclic coordinate, the set of solutions is

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{\sin(\chi)}{\Lambda}\right)^{1-\alpha-\frac{\kappa}{\beta}} d\tau^2 + \Lambda^{-1-\alpha+\frac{\kappa}{\beta}} \left(\sin(\chi)\right)^{\alpha-\frac{\kappa}{\beta}} (d\theta^2 + d\chi^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\psi^2), \quad (91)$$

and with

$$\phi = \left(\frac{\sin(\chi)}{\Lambda}\right)^{\frac{\kappa}{\beta}}. \quad (92)$$

#### 4.3.3. Hyperbolically Foliated Solution

It is also possible to apply the present extension of Buchdahl's result to hyperbolically foliated spacetimes. For instance, consider the metric given by

$$ds^2 = -e^{\mu(r)} dt^2 + e^{\lambda(r)} dr^2 + r^2 (du^2 + \sinh^2 u dv^2), \quad (93)$$

where the usual  $2-d$  spheres are replaced by pseudo-spheres,  $d\sigma^2 = du^2 + \sinh^2 u dv^2$ , that are surfaces of negative, constant curvature [41–44]

In the presence of the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ , the general relativistic solution is

$$e^{\mu(r)} = e^{-\lambda(r)} = \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \frac{2\mu}{r} - 1\right), \quad (94)$$

where  $\mu$  is an integration constant. Notice that this solution extends the one referred to as degenerate solutions of class A [43–45].

The scalar-tensor generalization of the metric (93) then becomes

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \frac{2\mu}{r} - 1\right)^B dt^2 + \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \frac{2\mu}{r} - 1\right)^{-B} dr^2 + \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \frac{2\mu}{r} - 1\right)^{1-B} r^2 (du^2 + \sinh^2 u dv^2), \quad (95)$$

$$\varphi(r) = \sqrt{\frac{C^2(2\omega+3)}{16\pi}} \varphi_0 \ln\left(\frac{2\mu}{r} - 1\right), \quad (96)$$

where

$$C^2 = \frac{1-B^2}{2\omega+3} \quad -1 \leq B \leq 1. \quad (97)$$

This clearly reduces to the GR limit when  $B = 1$ , and hence  $C = 0$ , implying that  $G = \Phi^{-1}$  is constant. This solution like the previous ones also exhibits two branches corresponding to  $C = \pm\{(1 - B^2)/(2\omega + 3)\}^{1/2}$ .

As noted in [46], the  $r = 2\mu$  limit represents a true singularity, rather than just a coordinate singularity, which is evident from the analysis of the curvature invariants. In the spherically symmetric case, it was shown in [46] that the singularity at  $r = 2\mu$  manifests as a point, causing the event horizon of the black hole to shrink to a point. In the Einstein frame, this occurs because the energy density of the scalar field diverges. In the scenario under consideration, the  $r = 2\mu$  condition now corresponds to the areal radius of the pseudo-spheres,  $R = (2\mu/r - 1)^{(1-B)/2} r$ , which become zero.

Reverting  $\varphi = \int \sqrt{\Phi_0(2\omega + 3)/(16\pi)} d \ln(\Phi/\Phi_0)$ , and the conformal transformation,  $g_{ab} = (\frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + 2\mu/r - 1)^{-C} \tilde{g}_{ab}$ , we can recast this solution in the original frame in which the scalar-field is coupled to the geometry and the content is a vacuum, i.e., the Jordan frame. We derive the following solution

$$\Phi(r) = \Phi_0 \left( \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \frac{2\mu}{r} - 1 \right)^C, \quad (98)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & - \left( \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \frac{2\mu}{r} - 1 \right)^{B-C} dt^2 + \left( \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \frac{2\mu}{r} - 1 \right)^{-B-C} dr^2 \\ & + \left( \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \frac{2\mu}{r} - 1 \right)^{1-B-C} r^2 (du^2 + \sinh^2 u dv^2). \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

The gravitational constant  $G = \Phi^{-1}$  decays from an infinite value at  $r = 0$  to a vanishing value at  $r = 2\mu$  when  $C > 0$ , and conversely, grows from zero at  $r = 0$  to become infinite at  $r = 2\mu$ , when  $C < 0$ .

## 5. Summary and Discussion

The Brans–Dicke theory, introduced in 1961, modifies GR by incorporating a scalar field  $\phi$  alongside the tensor field  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , and can be extended to the Scalar-Tensor (ST) theory by allowing for more general coupling functions and potential terms. This extension provides a more flexible framework for describing gravitational interactions and has significant implications for cosmology. A notable application of ST theory is Buchdahl’s work on reciprocal static metrics and scalar fields within GR. Buchdahl’s studies from the 1950s explored metrics with symmetry under reciprocal transformations, providing explicit solutions to the gravitational field equations. His techniques were instrumental in constructing a two-parameter family of solutions, including the Schwarzschild solutions as a special case, revealing intricate relationships between scalar fields and spacetime geometry.

Buchdahl’s contributions have inspired extensive research over the years, and in this work, we have extended Buchdahl’s method to include the cosmological constant and applied it to scalar-tensor cases beyond the traditional Brans–Dicke theory. More specifically, through a conformal transformation of the metric, we obtained new solutions for a version of Brans–Dicke theory incorporating a quadratic potential. We have also relaxed the application of Buchdahl’s reciprocity to solutions of scalar-tensor theories that are not constrained to a vacuum, and include a minimally coupled massless scalar field in the Jordan frame. The latter is equivalent to a stiff matter component and hence may be seen as an extension of Buchdahl’s method of generating scalar-tensor solutions.

In future research, we will further explore these extensions and physical issues that emerge in scalar vacuum solutions [47]. In particular, we will investigate in greater detail the solutions, as well as case studies that were here presented mainly as illustrations. A more specific and complete analysis of each class of solutions was beyond the scope of the present work, devoted to the method of generating solutions. We will also envisage the

application of Buchdahl’s program to other classes of modified gravity theories, and to metrics endowed with spacelike Killing vectors that are of cosmological interest [48–50]. Further investigations of the results devised by Buchdahl’s program seem likely to open prospects for providing deeper insights into the nature of gravity and the dynamics of the universe.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, D.S.P., J.P.M. and F.S.N.L.; methodology, D.S.P., J.P.M. and F.S.N.L.; validation, D.S.P., J.P.M. and F.S.N.L.; formal analysis, D.S.P., J.P.M. and F.S.N.L.; investigation, D.S.P., J.P.M. and F.S.N.L.; writing—original draft preparation, D.S.P., J.P.M. and F.S.N.L.; writing—review and editing, D.S.P., J.P.M. and F.S.N.L.; supervision, J.P.M. and F.S.N.L.; project administration, J.P.M.; funding acquisition, J.P.M. and F.S.N.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) from the research grants UIDB/04434/2020, UIDP/04434/2020, and PTDC/FIS-AST/0054/2021.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data are contained within the article.

**Acknowledgments:** F.S.N.L. also acknowledges support from the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) Scientific Employment Stimulus contract with reference CEECINST/00032/2018.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Brans, C.; Dicke, R.H. Mach’s principle and a relativistic theory of gravitation. *Phys. Rev.* **1961**, *124*, 925–935. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Dicke, R.H. Mach’s principle and invariance under transformation of units. *Phys. Rev.* **1962**, *125*, 2163–2167. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Will, C.M. The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment. *Living Rev. Rel.* **2014**, *17*, 4. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Fujii, Y.; Maeda, K. *The Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2007; ISBN 978-0-521-03752-5/978-0-521-81159-0/978-0-511-02988-2.
- Faraoni, V. Cosmology in scalar tensor gravity. In *Fundamental Theories of Physics*; Springer Science+Business Media: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2004; Volume 139.
- Clifton, T.; Ferreira, P.G.; Padilla, A.; Skordis, C. Modified Gravity and Cosmology. *Phys. Rept.* **2012**, *513*, 1–189.
- Buchdahl, H.A. Reciprocal Static Solutions of the Equations  $G_{\mu\nu} = 0$ . *Quart. J. Math.* **1954**, *5*, 116. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Buchdahl, H.A. Reciprocal static solutions of the equations of the gravitational field. *Austral. J. Phys.* **1956**, *9*, 13–18 [[CrossRef](#)]
- Buchdahl, H.A. Reciprocal Static Metrics and Scalar Fields in the General Theory of Relativity. *Phys. Rev.* **1959**, *115*, 1325–1328. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Xanthopoulos, B.C.; Zannias, T. Einstein Gravity Coupled to a Massless Scalar Field in Arbitrary Space-time Dimensions. *Phys. Rev. D* **1989**, *40*, 2564–2567. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Bergmann, O.; Leipnik, R. Space-Time Structure of a Static Spherically Symmetric Scalar Field. *Phys. Rev.* **1957**, *107*, 1157–1161. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Rao, J.R.; Roy, A.R.; Tiwari, R.N. A class of exact solutions for coupled electromagnetic and scalar fields for einstein-rosen metric. *Ann. Phys.* **1972**, *69*, 473–486. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Bekenstein, J.D. Exact solutions of Einstein conformal scalar equations. *Ann. Phys.* **1974**, *82*, 535–547. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Cadoni, M. Exact solutions of Einstein gravity coupled to a scalar field with arbitrary potential. *Phys. Rev. D* **1991**, *44*, 1115–1119. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Wiltshire, D.L. Comment on ‘Exact solutions of Einstein gravity coupled to a scalar field with arbitrary potential’. *Phys. Rev. D* **1992**, *46*, 5682–5684. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Mignemi, S.; Wiltshire, D.L. Spherically Symmetric Solutions in Dimensionally Reduced Space-times. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **1989**, *6*, 987. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Schmolzi, K.; Schucker, T. The Energy spectrum of the static, spherically symmetric solutions to the Einstein-Klein-Gordon equations. *Phys. Lett. A* **1991**, *161*, 212–216. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Jetzer, P.; Scialom, D. Dynamical instability of the static real scalar field solitons to the Einstein-Klein-Gordon equations. *Phys. Lett. A* **1992**, *169*, 12–20. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Poletti, S.J.; Wiltshire, D.L. The Global properties of static spherically symmetric charged dilaton space-times with a Liouville potential. *Phys. Rev. D* **1994**, *50*, 7260–7270; Erratum in *Phys. Rev. D* **1995**, *52*, 3753–3754. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Fonarev, O.A. Exact Einstein scalar field solutions for formation of black holes in a cosmological setting. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **1995**, *12*, 1739–1752. [[CrossRef](#)]

21. Park, D.; Kiem, Y. General static solutions of two-dimensional Einstein Dilaton Maxwell Scalar theories. *Phys. Rev. D* **1996**, *53*, 5513–5520. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Bhadra, A.; Nandi, K.K. On the equivalence of the Buchdahl and the Janis-Newman-Winnicour solutions. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **2001**, *16*, 4543–4545. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Schunck, F.E.; Mielke, E.W. General relativistic boson stars. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2003**, *20*, R301–R356. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Bhadra, A.; Sarkar, K. On static spherically symmetric solutions of the vacuum Brans-Dicke theory. *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **2005**, *37*, 2189–2199. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Capozziello, S.; Bianchi, S.D.; Battista, E. Avoiding singularities in Lorentzian-Euclidean black holes: The role of atemporality. *Phys. Rev. D* **2024**, *109*, 104060. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Capuano, L.; Santoni, L.; Barausse, E. Black hole hairs in scalar-tensor gravity and the lack thereof. *Phys. Rev. D* **2023**, *108*, 064058. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Kobayashi, T.; Tanahashi, N. Exact black hole solutions in shift symmetric scalar–tensor theories. *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2014**, *2014*, 073E02. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Charmousis, C.; Iosifidis, D. Self tuning scalar tensor black holes. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2015**, *600*, 012003. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Nguyen, H.K. Buchdahl-inspired spacetimes and wormholes: Unearthing Hans Buchdahl’s other “hidden” treasure trove. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2023**, *32*, 2342007. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Nguyen, H.K.; Lobo, F.S.N. Closed Timelike Curves Induced by a Buchdahl-Inspired Vacuum Spacetime in Gravity. *Universe* **2023**, *9*, 467. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Nguyen, H.K.; Lobo, F.S.N. Time-reversed information flow through a wormhole in scalar-tensor gravity. *arXiv* **2024**, arXiv:2405.12397.
32. Faraoni, V.; Giusti, A.; Fahim, B.H. Spherical inhomogeneous solutions of Einstein and scalar–tensor gravity: A map of the land. *Phys. Rept.* **2021**, *925*, 1–58. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Wald, R.M. *General Relativity*; Chicago University Press: Chicago, IL, USA, 1984.
34. O’Hanlon, J.; Tupper, B.O.J. Vacuum-field solutions in the Brans-Dicke theory. *Il Nuovo Cimento* **1972**, *7*, 305. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Mimoso, J.P. *Cosmological Models of the Early Universe*; University of Sussex: Brighton, UK, 1994.
36. Mimoso, J.P.; Wands, D. Massless fields in scalar—Tensor cosmologies. *Phys. Rev. D* **1995**, *51*, 477–489. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Wands, D.G. *Cosmology of Scalar-Tensor Gravity*; University of Sussex: Brighton, UK, 1993.
38. Yazadjiev, S.S. Solution generating in scalar tensor theories with a massless scalar field and stiff perfect fluid as a source. *Phys. Rev. D* **2002**, *65*, 084023. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Rindler, W. *Relativity: Special, General, and Cosmological*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2006.
40. Nariai, H. On some static solutions of Einstein’s gravitational field equations in a spherically symmetric case. *Sci. Rep. Tohoku Univ. Eighth Ser.* **1950**, *34*, 160. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Lobo, F.S.N.; Mimoso, J.P. Possibility of hyperbolic tunneling. *Phys. Rev. D* **2010**, *82*, 044034. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Mimoso, J.P.; Lobo, F.S.N. An anti-Schwarzschild solution: Wormholes and scalar-tensor solutions. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2010**, *229*, 012078. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Mimoso, J.P.; Lobo, F.S.N. The Variation of G in a negatively curved space-time. In *From Varying Couplings to Fundamental Physics: Proceedings of Symposium 1 of JENAM 2010*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2011; pp. 25–34. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Stephani, H.; Kramer, D.; MacCallum, M.A.H.; Hoenselaers, C.; Herlt, E. *Exact Solutions of Einstein’s Field Equations*; Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2003; 701p.
45. Ehlers, J.; Kundt, W. Exact Solutions of the gravitational Field Equation. In *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research*; Witten, L., Ed.; Wiley: New York, NY, USA; London, UK, 1962; p. 49.
46. Agnese, A.G.; Camera, M.L. Gravitation without black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **1985**, *31*, 1280–1286. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Bronnikov, K.A.; Shikin, G.N. Spherically symmetric scalar vacuum: No go theorems, black holes and solitons. *Grav. Cosmol.* **2002**, *8*, 107–116.
48. MacCallum, M.A.H. Cosmological models from a geometric point of view. *Cargese Lect. Phys.* **1973**, *6*, 61–174.
49. Ellis, G.F.R.; MacCallum, M.A.H. A Class of homogeneous cosmological models. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1969**, *12*, 108–141. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. MacCallum, M.A.H. Inhomogeneous and anisotropic cosmologies. *NATO Sci. Ser. C* **1993**, *393*, 131–159.

**Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.