

Learning-based Power Control for Secure Covert Semantic Communication

Yansheng Liu, Jinbo Wen, Zongyao Zhang, Kun Zhu, *Member, IEEE*, Jiawen Kang

Abstract—Despite progress in semantic communication (SemCom), research on SemCom security is still in its infancy. To bridge this gap, we propose a general covert SemCom framework for wireless networks, reducing eavesdropping risk. Our approach transmits semantic information covertly, making it difficult for wardens to detect. Given the aim of maximizing covert SemCom performance, we formulate a power control problem in covert SemCom under energy constraints. Furthermore, we propose a learning-based approach based on the soft actor-critic algorithm, optimizing the power of the transmitter and friendly jammer. Numerical results demonstrate that our approach effectively enhances the performance of covert SemCom.

Index Terms—Convert semantic communication, security, power control, deep reinforcement learning.

I. INTRODUCTION

As a new communication paradigm, semantic communication (SemCom) focuses on transferring task-relevant information semantically, instead of on accurate bit-level transmission [1]. With the lightening of semantic models, this emerging form of communication presents unprecedented opportunities for network edge devices. However, research on SemCom security is still in its infancy. Traditional communication security technologies cannot be directly applied to SemCom [1]. Moreover, highly complex encryption mechanisms impose enormous pressures on low-power network edge devices [2]. With the development of covert communication and SemCom, covert SemCom has emerged as a novel approach to tackle the inherent security challenges in SemCom. In covert SemCom, the jammer transmits noise signals to conceal the transmitter's activity, making potential wardens even unable to confirm the occurrence of communication activities [3]. Therefore, the communication process is hidden. And even if the communication is partially sniffed, since semantic-level encoding is adopted [4], the warden may not understand the true meaning of the sniffed information, thereby enhancing the concealment and security of communication under limited energy conditions.

However, a significant challenge for covert SemCom lies in improving concealment while maximizing the performance of

SemCom under limited energy conditions. Current research on covert communication primarily focuses on traditional communication paradigms [5]–[8]. In addition, research in the domain of SemCom security is still at a nascent stage [4], [9]. To the best of our knowledge, covert SemCom has merely been mentioned in [10], which is tailored to specific image question-answering tasks, lacking generality. Thus, covert SemCom necessitates more comprehensive investigations.

To address the challenge mentioned above, we propose a novel general covert SemCom framework to tackle the security challenges of SemCom under limited energy conditions. Specifically, we consider the power control problem in covert SemCom to ensure semantic communication quality under overall energy limitations. Then, we propose a learning-based approach based on the Soft Actor-Critic (SAC) algorithm [11] to optimize power control within SemCom systems. This approach not only safeguards the effectiveness of covert communication but also accurately decodes the semantic information. Our contributions are summarized as follows:

- *A general covert semantic system framework*: The proposed framework seamlessly integrates covert communication principles, enabling its application across diverse data modalities including text, image, and audio. This ensures its versatility and practicality in the context of multimodal data processing. Irrespective of the data type involved, our framework facilitates efficient and concealed semantic transmission.
- *Power control for covert semantic communication*: We consider power control for the covert SemCom scenario composed of a transmitter, a friendly jammer, a receiver, a warden, and a power regulator. Considering energy constraints and the aim of maximizing the performance of covert SemCom, we strive to optimize the power between the transmitter and the jammer, thus enhancing covert SemCom performance under limited energy conditions.
- *Learning-based approach for covert semantic communication power control*: We propose a SAC-based approach to power control in covert semantic communication, which not only safeguards the efficiency of covert communication but also accomplishes high-quality semantic decoding. Numerical results demonstrate that the proposed approach outperforms other Deep reinforcement learning algorithms.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. System Model

The proposed framework for covert SemCom is depicted in Fig. 1. The communication scenario is composed of five

Y. Liu, J. Wen, Z. Zhang, and K. Zhu are with the College of Computer Science and Technology, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China, and also with the Collaborative Innovation Center of Novel Software Technology and Industrialization. (e-mails: yansheng@nuaa.edu.cn; jinbo1608@nuaa.edu.cn; zy.zhang@nuaa.edu.cn; zhukun@nuaa.edu.cn.)

J. Kang is with the School of Automation, Guangdong University of Technology, China (e-mail: kavinkang@gdut.edu.cn).

Corresponding author: Kun Zhu.

components: a transmitter, a receiver, a friendly jammer, a warden, and a power regulator, all of which operate within an open wireless environment. For simplicity, we take text SemCom as an example, the primary objective of the network system is to enable the transmitter to send extracted textual semantic information to the receiver without being detected by the warden, ensuring that the receiver can successfully comprehend the semantic information. The transmitter consists of a semantic encoder that extracts semantic features from the text to be transmitted and a channel encoder that generates symbols to facilitate subsequent transmission. The receiver is equipped with a channel decoder for symbol detection and a semantic decoder for text estimation [12].

To enhance communication security, we embed covert communication techniques throughout the system by introducing a friendly jammer and a power regulator into the environment, which optimizes power control between the transmitter and the jammer. The role of the jammer is to inject noise that interferes any potential warden to intercept the communication, thereby hindering unauthorized access to the information being transmitted. In addition, the role of the power regulator is to formulate and enact power strategies, gather feedback from the receiver, and persistently fine-tune these strategies for optimum performance. Unlike other physical layer security methods, covert communication improves the security of information by hiding the act of communication [3]. Specifically, the warden employs statistical methods to differentiate the transmitter's activity status. Accordingly, the warden assesses two potential scenarios by collecting signal samples in the channel. The null hypothesis, \mathcal{H}_0 , indicates that the transmitter is inactive, and signal power detected by the warden can be mathematically represented as [13]

$$P_{\text{eval}\mathcal{H}_0} = P_j \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\pi D_{jw}} \right)^{\alpha_{jw}} |h_{jw}|^2 + \mathcal{K}^2. \quad (1)$$

Considering the alternative hypothesis, represented as \mathcal{H}_1 , this hypothesis suggests that the transmitter is active. Under this hypothesis, the signal power detected by the warden can be mathematically represented as [13]

$$P_{\text{eval}\mathcal{H}_1} = \mathcal{K}^2 + P_t \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\pi D_{tw}} \right)^{\alpha_{tw}} |h_{tw}|^2 + P_j \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\pi D_{jw}} \right)^{\alpha_{jw}} |h_{jw}|^2, \quad (2)$$

where P_t is the transmit power, P_j is the jamming power, \mathcal{K}^2 is the Gaussian noise, and λ is the wavelength of the carrier signal. The distances between the jammer and the warden, and the transmitter and the warden are given by D_{jw} and D_{tw} , respectively. The path loss exponents α_{jw} and α_{tw} account for the attenuation of the signal as it propagates through the medium for their respective links. The small-scale fading effects are captured by h_{jw} and h_{tw} , representing the multipath propagation effects. The wavelength λ can be derived from the frequency of the signal as $\lambda = \frac{c}{f}$, where c is the speed of light in a vacuum and f is the frequency of the transmitted signal.

Based on the aforementioned hypotheses, the eavesdropping decision-making of the warden is denoted as \mathcal{D}_0 for the null hypothesis \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{D}_1 for the alternative hypothesis \mathcal{H}_1 ,




Fig. 1: An illustration of learning-based power control for secure covert semantic communication.

following a threshold rule [14]. The warden employs statistical hypothesis testing methods to evaluate the transmitter's activity as either \mathcal{H}_0 or \mathcal{H}_1 . Specifically, there are two types of detection failures for the warden: a false alarm, where the decision is \mathcal{D}_1 during \mathcal{H}_0 , and a miss detection, where the decision is \mathcal{D}_0 during \mathcal{H}_1 . The Detection Error Probability (DEP) can be characterized as

$$\mathbb{P}_{\text{DEP}} = \mathbb{P}_{\text{FA}} + \mathbb{P}_{\text{MD}} = \mathbb{P}(P_{\text{eval}\mathcal{H}_0} > \tau) + \mathbb{P}(P_{\text{eval}\mathcal{H}_1} < \tau), \quad (3)$$

where τ denotes the detection threshold, \mathbb{P}_{FA} denotes the probability of false alarm, and \mathbb{P}_{MD} denotes the probability of miss detection. In the context of covert communication, covert communication is considered successful when DEP exceeds a certain threshold, denoted as ζ_{th} , which approximates to 1 [13].

B. Problem Formulation

For simplicity, taking text SemCom as an example, we employ the SemCom system based on the transformer architecture designed in [12]. In this scheme, the transmitter processes the input raw data, referred to as $Info_s$. Upon receiving the semantic information, the receiver utilizes a corresponding decoder to reconstruct the original informational content, hereafter referred to as $Info_r$.

During the channel transmission process, the Signal to Interference plus Noise Ratio (SINR) is influenced by the power of the transmitter and the jammer, directly altering the Bit

Error Rate (BER) and consequently impacting the receiver's information reconstruction accuracy. For text transmission, BER does not accurately reflect semantic performance. In addition to human judgment to assess the similarity between sentences, the Bilingual Evaluation Understudy (BLEU) score is commonly used to measure results in machine translation [15]. Thus we adopt the BLEU metric as our objective function to assess the semantic retention and reconstruction quality of the information. The energy factors η_t and η_j signify the energetic costs per unit for transmission power and jamming power, respectively. We formulate the optimization problem as

$$\max_{P_t, P_j} \text{BLEU}(Info_s, Info_r) \quad (4a)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbb{P}_{\text{DEP}} > \zeta_{\text{th}}, \quad (4b)$$

$$\eta_t P_t \leq E_t, \quad (4c)$$

$$\eta_j P_j \leq E_j, \quad (4d)$$

where the first constraint (4b) is the covert communication constraint with a utility threshold ζ_{th} . Covert communication is considered successful when DEP exceeds a certain threshold ζ_{th} , which approximates to 1 [13]. The second and third constraints (4c, 4d) are the energy constraints of the transmitter and the jammer, respectively.

Our optimization problem aims to maximize the BLEU score while minimizing the total energy consumption given by $\eta_t \times P_t$, $\eta_j \times P_j$, and ensuring the communications remain the covert communication constraint. Under the condition of limited energy E_t and E_j , it is obvious that a lower value of transmit power P_t or a higher value of jamming power P_j facilitates easier maintenance of the covert communication constraint. However, this setting tends to elevate the BEP due to a reduced SINR, which in turn diminishes BLEU. As such, joint optimization is essential to balance covert communication and the quality of the regenerated information. However, it has to be said that the aforementioned problem is complicated because the semantic metric BLEU, P_t , and P_j are not an explicit mathematical relationship, rendering it challenging to solve using traditional mathematical techniques. Deep reinforcement learning, particularly the SAC, is effective for dynamic and high-dimensional environments, as it adjusts to environmental changes and ensures efficient learning in large problem spaces. The principle of maximum entropy allows it to fully explore during decision-making, avoiding local optima and enhancing the robustness of learning [11]. Therefore, we adopt SAC for optimal power control, giving the optimization problem solution in Section III.

III. SAC-BASED OPTIMAL POWER CONTROL

We elaborate on the utilization of the SAC algorithm for optimal power control. The algorithm provides a power control scheme, typically denoted as $\{P_t, P_j\}$, as seen in (4a). The reward of the agent (i.e., the power regulator) is determined by the actions executed pertaining to a particular state of the environment. Here are the details of the SAC formulation:

- *State space*: The states, denoted as \mathbf{s} , are construed as a vector of measurements that encapsulate the conditions of the environment. In alignment with our discussion

in Section II-B, herein, the environmental vector refers to the comprehensive set of all variables influencing the optimal power control scheme, given by

$$\mathbf{s} = \{D_{\text{tw}}, D_{\text{tr}}, D_{\text{jw}}, D_{\text{jr}}, \alpha_{\text{tw}}, \alpha_{\text{tr}}, \alpha_{\text{jw}}, \alpha_{\text{jr}}, \zeta_{\text{th}}, h_{\text{tw}}, h_{\text{tr}}, h_{\text{jw}}, h_{\text{jr}}, \mathcal{K}^2, \lambda, \tau\}. \quad (5)$$

- *Action space*: Based on the environment state, the agent initiates an action, denoted as \mathbf{a} . The action space represents the control actions taken by the power regulator, structured with several control variables. The proposed scheme uses transmit power P_t and jamming power P_j as actions of the power regulator, given by

$$\mathbf{a} = \{P_t, P_j\}. \quad (6)$$

- *Immediate reward*: In optimal power control, the goal is to maximize the BLEU score while minimizing the total energy consumption given by $\eta_t \times P_t$, $\eta_j \times P_j$, and ensuring the communications remain the covert communication constraint. To speed up the convergence, we consider the above two constraints in designing the reward functions, which is expressed as

$$R(t) = \begin{cases} \text{BLEU}(t), & \text{if (4b), (4c), and (4d) are met,} \\ \Psi, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $R(t)$ is the reward at time t , $\text{BLEU}(t)$ represents the degree of semantic retention and reconstruction quality of the source data and reconstructed data at time t , and Ψ represents the penalty factor, which varies with the scale of the environment.

- *Value function*: SAC meticulously optimizes a stochastic policy, maximizing both long-term entropy and expected lifetime rewards [11]. Concomitantly, it learns a policy $\pi_\tau(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})$ and two Q-functions, Q_{θ_1} and Q_{θ_2} , applying the minimum of these two Q-values to construct the targets in Bellman error functions, which is given by

$$y(r, \mathbf{s}, d) = \gamma(1-d) \left(\min_{i=1,2} Q_{\theta_i}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) - \alpha \log \pi_\tau(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}) \right) + r, \quad (8)$$

where d is indicative of the done signal, α serves as a coefficient that manages trade-offs, γ is the discount factor, and r denotes the reward. In every state, the policy executes actions to maximize the expected future return along with the expected future entropy, i.e., optimizing the state value function $V^\pi(\mathbf{s})$, which is given by

$$V^\pi(\mathbf{s}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi} [Q^{\pi_\tau}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) - \alpha \log \pi_\tau(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})]. \quad (9)$$

- *SAC algorithm design*: We consider the power regulator as a SAC agent and a stochastic policy $\pi(\mathbf{a}_n|\mathbf{s}_n)$ is defined to map from states to a probability distribution over actions. At each training iteration, the power regulator observes the state \mathbf{s}_n and executes an action \mathbf{a}_n sampled from the current policy π . Then, the environment turns into the next state \mathbf{s}_{n+1} and sends back the reward r_n to the power regulator. The tuple \mathbf{e}_n is stored as experience in a data set \mathcal{D} called replay buffer. By sampling from \mathcal{D} and updating the policy periodically according to a learning algorithm, the power regulator will finally find

Algorithm 1 SAC-based Power Control in Covert SemCom

- 1: **Input:** States from the environment \mathbf{s} ;
 - 2: **Output:** Actions to the environment $\mathbf{a} = \{P_t, P_j, S\}$;
 - 3: Initialize policy network parameters τ , Q-function parameters θ_1 and θ_2 ;
 - 4: Initialize the target network parameters equal primary parameters as $\hat{\theta}_1 \leftarrow \theta_1, \hat{\theta}_2 \leftarrow \theta_2$;
 - 5: **for** episodes $e = 1, \dots, N$ **do**
 - 6: Reset environment state s_0 and replay buffer \mathcal{D} ;
 - 7: **for** time-slot $t = 1, \dots, T$ **do**
 - 8: Take action $\mathbf{a}(t)$ based on $\pi_\tau(\cdot|\mathbf{s})$;
 - 9: Execute the control actions to the environment;
 - 10: Calculate rewards through (7);
 - 11: Update \mathbf{s}_t into \mathbf{s}_{t+1} , and store transition into \mathcal{D} ;
 - 12: Sample a random mini-batch of data \mathcal{B} with a size N from \mathcal{D} ;
 - 13: Update the target Q functions using (8);
 - 14: Update the Q function as $\Delta\theta_i = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, r, s') \in \mathcal{B}} (Q_{\theta_i}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) - y(r, s', d))^2$, for $i = 1, 2$;
 - 15: Update the target network as $\hat{\theta}_i \leftarrow \beta\hat{\theta}_i + (1 - \beta)\theta_i$, for $i = 1, 2$;
 - 16: Update the policy network as $\Delta\tau = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{B}} (Q_{\theta_i}(\mathbf{s}, a_\tau(\mathbf{s})) - \alpha \log \pi_\tau(a_\tau(\mathbf{s})|\mathbf{s}) - y(r, s', d))^2$, for $i = 1, 2$;
 - 17: **end for**
 - 18: **if** reach maximum episodes N **then**
 - 19: Break;
 - 20: **end if**
 - 21: **end for**
-

an optimal policy π^* that maximizes the long-term reward (10). The specific learning process can be seen from the Algorithm 1.

$$R_\pi = \mathbb{E}_\pi \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma^n r(\mathbf{s}_n, \mathbf{a}_n) \right]. \quad (10)$$

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The effects of increasing transmit power on various parameters, such as the covert rate, defined as the data rate attainable during covert communications, DEP, and BEP, are illustrated. These effects are observed when the jamming power, P_j , is set to 45 dBW and τ is 50. Within a Cartesian coordinate grid where the units are in meters, the transmitter, warden, receiver, jammer, and power regulator are positioned at coordinates (0, 0), (0, 100), (100, 0), (100, 100) and (50, 50), respectively. The path loss exponents are set to $\alpha_{tr} = 1$, $\alpha_{tw} = 1.2$, and both α_{jw} and α_{jr} are configured to 1.4. As for small-scale channel fading attributes such as h_{tw} , h_{tr} , h_{jw} , and h_{jr} , they are designed to conform with the $\alpha - \mu$ fading model, with the parameters α and μ being 2 and 4, respectively [16].

The adopted channel coding strategy is Binary Phase-shift Keying (BPSK). As demonstrated in Fig. 2, given the jamming power, the gradual increase in transmission power undoubtedly leads to a direct increase in the covert rate. However, as




Fig. 2: The covert rate, DEP, and the BEP versus the transmit power, and the jamming power P_j is 45 dBW.




Fig. 3: Test rewards of the proposed SAC-based algorithm and other algorithms, with batch size $N = 512$, discount factor $\gamma = 0.95$, and the learning rate of actor and critic networks is 10^{-4} .

the transmission power gradually increases, the signal power received by the warden gradually reaches its detection range. This increases the probability of being monitored by the warden, i.e., the DEP of the warden gradually decreases. For the receiver, there are not only data signals from the transmitter in the environment but also noise signals from the friendly jammer. When the transmit power is smaller and the noise power is larger, even though the trained SemCom model can well extract the semantic information, the final recovery result will be very poor due to the high BEP. As shown in Fig. 2, when the transmission power is approximately 49.5 dBW, it can ensure covert communication while creating good channel conditions for semantic information reconstruction.

Figure 3 presents the performance analysis of the proposed SAC-based algorithm for optimal power control. We compare the proposed SAC-based algorithm with other algorithms: 1) *PPO-based algorithm* that the power regulator utilizes prioritizes optimizing control strategies based on data reconstruction performance; 2) *GDM-based algorithm* that the power regulator designs schemes by denoising noise to the initial Gaussian noise to recover or discover the optimal actions [17]. As shown in Fig. 3, the proposed SAC-based algorithm outperforms PPO




Fig. 4: The quality of data reconstruction when the receiver with different scales of the model under different BEP conditions.

and GDM algorithms. Specifically, the SAC-based algorithm converges to good results faster compared to PPO, while PPO achieves satisfactory results after approximately 3500 iterations, indicating that the proposed SAC-based can more effectively find the optimal scheme. The reason is that the SAC algorithm, by maximizing policy entropy, helps converge to the optimal solution more effectively, enabling it to reach better solutions in a shorter time compared to the PPO and GDM algorithms [11], [17].

Figure 4 shows the reconstruction process under varying BEPs. We can observe that when BEP is relatively small in **Case 1**, the large-scale models significantly outperform the other two. This is because the transmission power is higher than the noise, and under sufficiently good channel conditions, large-scale models can effectively extract and reconstruct semantic information. However, as BEP gradually increases from 0.11 to 0.24 in **Case 2**, it is clear that small-scale models can achieve better performance. This is due to the low transmission power or high interference power, resulting in a low signal-to-noise ratio. The small-scale models can alleviate the interference caused by noise more effectively compared with the large-scale models. Finally, when BEP exceeds 0.24 in **Case 3**, the channel conditions have already become very poor and any type of model is unable to decode semantic information correctly. The reconstructed data always appear as noise, resulting in relatively low BLEU scores. This further emphasizes the importance of optimizing power control.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed a learning-based approach to optimal power control for the proposed covert SemCom, aimed at resolving the security dilemmas inherent in SemCom. Specifically, we introduced a power regulator and a friendly jammer to achieve covert communication within the context of SemCom. To address the increased energy usage introduced by covert SemCom, we formulated power control as an optimization problem and utilized the SAC algorithm to optimize power control between the transmitter and the friendly jammer, which strikes a balance between the effectiveness of covert transmission and SemCom. Numerical results have demonstrated the

effectiveness of the proposed optimization strategy within the covert SemCom framework. For future work, we will explore ways to integrate the concept of the Mixture of Experts (MoE) system into our covert SemCom framework, ensuring reliable communication across varied network conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Du, J. Wang, D. Niyato, J. Kang, Z. Xiong, M. Guizani, and D. I. Kim, "Rethinking wireless communication security in semantic Internet of Things," *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 36–43, 2023.
- [2] A. Chorti, A. N. Barreto, S. Köpsell, M. Zoli, M. Chafii, P. Sehier, G. Fettweis, and H. V. Poor, "Context-aware security for 6G wireless: The role of physical layer security," *IEEE Communications Standards Magazine*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 102–108, 2022.
- [3] X. Chen, J. An, Z. Xiong, C. Xing, N. Zhao, F. R. Yu, and A. Nalnanathan, "Covert communications: A comprehensive survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 2023.
- [4] Z. Yang, M. Chen, G. Li, Y. Yang, and Z. Zhang, "Secure semantic communications: Fundamentals and challenges," *IEEE Network*, 2024.
- [5] L. Zhang, B. Zhang, R. Guo, Z. Wang, G. Wang, J. Qiu, S. Su, Y. Liu, G. Xu, Z. Tian *et al.*, "Research on covert communication technology based on matrix decomposition of digital currency transaction amount," *KSII Transactions on Internet and Information Systems (TIIS)*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 1020–1041, 2024.
- [6] I. Makhdoom, M. Abolhasan, and J. Lipman, "A comprehensive survey of covert communication techniques, limitations and future challenges," *Computers & Security*, vol. 120, p. 102784, 2022.
- [7] Y. Zhang, W. Ni, Y. Mao, B. Ning, S. Xiao, W. Tang, and D. Niyato, "Rate-splitting multiple access for covert communications," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1685–1689, 2024.
- [8] B. Che, H. Shi, W. Yang, X. Guan, and R. Ma, "Covert wireless communication against jamming-assisted proactive detection," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 1304–1308, 2023.
- [9] X. Luo, Z. Chen, M. Tao, and F. Yang, "Encrypted semantic communication using adversarial training for privacy preserving," *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2023.
- [10] Y. Wang, Y. Hu, H. Du, T. Luo, and D. Niyato, "Multi-agent reinforcement learning for covert semantic communications over wireless networks," in *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [11] J. W. Mock and S. S. Muknahallipatna, "A comparison of PPO, TD3 and SAC reinforcement algorithms for quadruped walking gait generation," *Journal of Intelligent Learning Systems and Applications*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 36–56, 2023.
- [12] H. Xie, Z. Qin, G. Y. Li, and B.-H. Juang, "Deep learning enabled semantic communication systems," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 69, pp. 2663–2675, 2021.
- [13] H. Du, G. Liu, D. Niyato, J. Zhang, J. Kang, Z. Xiong, B. Ai, and D. I. Kim, "Generative AI-aided joint training-free secure semantic communications via multi-modal prompts," in *ICASSP 2024-2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 12 896–12 900.
- [14] X. Chen, J. An, Z. Xiong, C. Xing, N. Zhao, F. R. Yu, and A. Nalnanathan, "Covert communications: A comprehensive survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 2023.
- [15] M. I. Belghazi, A. Baratin, S. Rajeshwar, S. Ozair, Y. Bengio, A. Courville, and D. Hjelm, "Mutual information neural estimation," in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2018, pp. 531–540.
- [16] M. D. Yacoub, "The α - μ distribution: A physical fading model for the stacy distribution," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 27–34, 2007.
- [17] J. Wen, J. Nie, J. Kang, D. Niyato, H. Du, Y. Zhang, and M. Guizani, "From generative AI to generative Internet of Things: Fundamentals, framework, and outlooks," *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 30–37, 2024.