

AFFINE \imath QUANTUM GROUPS AND STEINBERG VARIETIES OF TYPE C

CHANGJIAN SU AND WEIQIANG WANG

ABSTRACT. We provide a geometric realization of the quasi-split affine \imath quantum group of type $\text{AIII}_{2n-1}^{(\tau)}$ in terms of equivariant K-groups of non-connected Steinberg varieties of type C. This uses a new Drinfeld type presentation of this affine \imath quantum group which admits very nontrivial Serre relations. We then construct à la Springer a family of finite-dimensional standard modules and irreducible modules of this \imath quantum group, and provide a composition multiplicity formula of the standard modules.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Convolution algebra of the Steinberg variety	4
3. A generating set for the convolution algebra	10
4. A polynomial representation of the affine \imath quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$	15
5. Proof of Theorem 4.7	22
6. A K-theoretic realization of the affine \imath quantum group	29
7. Finite-dimensional $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ -modules	34
Appendix A. Verification of Serre relations	39
References	46

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. A powerful geometric approach to realize quantum algebras is via an equivariant K-theory construction, where the quantum parameter q is realized through a \mathbb{C}^* -action [Lus85]. On the other hand, one could add that algebras which can be realized geometrically are often basic and important. For example, it is by now well known that the equivariant K-group of the Steinberg variety provides a geometric construction of affine Hecke algebras and a classification of their irreducible representations when q is not a root of 1 [KL87] (also see [CG10, Xi07]).

This idea has been adapted to realize Drinfeld-Jimbo affine quantum groups since then. The affine quantum group for \mathfrak{sl}_N or \mathfrak{gl}_N was realized via equivariant K-group of Steinberg variety associated to the N -step flag variety (of type A) by Ginzburg and Vasserot [GV93, Vas98], see also [Gin91, Vas93] for an earlier cohomological version. Subsequently this was generalized by Nakajima [Nak01] to realize affine quantum

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37.

Key words and phrases. Affine \imath quantum groups, flag varieties, equivariant K-group.

groups of type ADE (and more general quantum loop algebras) in the setting of quiver varieties. In these constructions, it is essential to use Drinfeld's current presentation of affine quantum groups (see [Dri87, Bec94, Dam12]).

In recent years, it has been fruitful to take the viewpoint that \imath quantum groups arising from quantum symmetric pairs are a natural vast generalization of Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum groups; see the survey [Wan23] for a long list of basic constructions on quantum groups which have been (partially) generalized in this direction. In contrast to the uniqueness of the rank one quantum group (i.e. quantum \mathfrak{sl}_2), there exist different rank one \imath quantum groups which led to rich and complicated higher rank cases. In [BKLW18], the \imath quantum group of quasi-split type AIII was realized by working with N -step isotropic flags of type B over finite fields, generalizing the geometric realization of the quantum group of type A by Beilinson-Lusztig-MacPherson [BLM90]. This has been generalized in [FLL⁺20] in the affine flag variety of type C setting to realize the affine \imath quantum group of quasi-split type AIII (cf. [Lus99] for affine type A).

1.2. In this paper, we study the equivariant K-group of the Steinberg variety

$$\mathcal{Z} = T^* \mathcal{F} \times_{\mathcal{N}} T^* \mathcal{F}$$

associated with the N -step isotropic flag variety \mathcal{F} for $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2d)$ with $N = 2n$ even. We establish an algebra homomorphism

$$\Psi : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\imath} \longrightarrow \underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$$

from the affine \imath quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\imath}$ of type AIII to the equivariant K-group $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$. Here and below, the underline notation denotes a localized version. Then we show that the specialization of Ψ at $a = (s, t)$ in (7.2), $\Psi_a : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_t^{\imath} \rightarrow K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})_a$, is surjective for $q = t$ not a root of unity.

The well-known convolution algebra formalism further allows us to construct a family of finite-dimensional standard modules and describe the composition multiplicities of the standard modules in terms of dimensions of intersection cohomology groups. (Almost nothing was known before about the finite-dimensional representation theory of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\imath}$ for lack of a triangular decomposition of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\imath}$.)

1.3. The construction of the homomorphism Ψ uses the Drinfeld type current presentation of an affine \imath quantum group which exhibits the twisted loop algebra structure. The Drinfeld presentation for affine \imath quantum groups of quasi-split types including type $\mathrm{AIII}_{2n-1}^{(\tau)}$ used here has only become available recently in [LWZ24] (extending the earlier Drinfeld presentations of affine \imath quantum groups of split types [LW21, Zha22]).

Our construction and computation have been greatly facilitated by and in turn extend the earlier type A work of Vasserot [Vas98]. To achieve our goal, we need to deal with several complications which did not arise in the type A setting though, as discussed in some detail below.

There is a diagonal G -orbit on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ which is not closed. At various points of the paper, we need to apply localization to deal with this non-closed orbit.

In contrast to the Drinfeld presentation of affine quantum groups, the Drinfeld presentation of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\imath}$ is complicated since it contains different (affine) rank one and

rank two relations and some current Serre relations admit quite nontrivial lower order terms. All the current relations for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^r$ can be formulated in generating function form, and the formulation of the lower order part of a current Serre relation is by no means unique; cf. [LW21, Zha22].

To verify that Ψ is a homomorphism, we identify suitable $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant sheaves over \mathcal{Z} with the current generators of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^r$ and then we must verify all the corresponding current relations of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^r$ for these sheave classes. The verification of several relations requires lengthy computations; the proof of one particular Serre relation turns out to be especially difficult, and we have to formulate a new form of the current Serre relation in order to match with the geometric computation. We remark that a homomorphism from the *finite type AIII* \imath quantum group to $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ was constructed in [FMX22], where they did not need to deal with algebraically and geometrically the complexity of the current generators and their relations.

We show that the homomorphism Ψ by specializing q to a non-root of unity is surjective onto the corresponding Borel-Moore homology group; see (7.4). This allows us to apply the convolution algebra yoga [CG10, Chapter 8] to construct geometrically a family of finite-dimensional standard and simple $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^r$ -modules. We further provide a composition multiplicity formula for these standard modules in term of intersection cohomology groups.

1.4. It is a natural open question to work out the equivariant K-group of the Steinberg variety \mathcal{Z} associated with the N -step isotropic flag variety \mathcal{F} of type C with $N = 2n + 1$ odd. The relevant affine \imath quantum group is expected to be of quasi-split type $\text{AIII}_{2n}^{(\tau)}$, whose Drinfeld presentation remains unknown except for $n = 1$ (see [LWZ23]).

One expects that the equivariant K-theoretic realization of affine \imath quantum groups goes through if one uses N -step flag variety of type B (instead of type C here). We expect that again affine \imath quantum group of type AIII will arise this way, possibly with different parameters. One can also try using the type D flags with the orthogonal group action.

If one applies equivariant cohomology instead of equivariant K-group to the Steinberg variety \mathcal{Z} , one is expected to realize the twisted Yangians of quasi-split type AIII in its Drinfeld presentation. The Drinfeld presentation for this class of twisted Yangians will appear in a forthcoming work of Kang Lu and Weinan Zhang. It will be interesting to compare with the twisted Yangians constructed in [Li19] using the stable envelope à la Maulik-Okounkov [MO19].

It remains to be seen if one can extend the equivariant K-theoretic construction to “ \imath quiver varieties” (see [Li19] for an approach to such varieties); note that even the Borel-Moody homology of these varieties has not been studied in depth (see however [Li21]).

1.5. The paper is organized as follows.

In the preliminary Section 2, we describe the diagonal G -orbits on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ following [BKLR18] in terms of matrix data. We set up some basics on the equivariant K-theory

of the Steinberg variety \mathcal{Z} and its convolution product. We establish a generating set for the convolution algebra $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ in Section 3; see Theorem 3.5.

In Section 4, we review the Drinfeld presentation of the affine \mathfrak{u} quantum group $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathfrak{u}}$ from [LWZ24], and formulate new variants of several relations including a Serre relations and hence a new variant of Drinfeld presentation of $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathfrak{u}}$. We then formulate (see Theorem 4.7) a polynomial representation of $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathfrak{u}}$ on

$$(1.1) \quad \underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(T^*\mathcal{F}) \simeq \underline{\mathbf{P}};$$

see (4.35) for $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$, which is a direct sum of Laurent polynomial algebras. The verification of various relations for Theorem 4.7 is tedious, and this will be carried out in the subsequent Section 5 and Appendix A.

In Section 6, we construct classes of $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant sheaves on \mathcal{Z} , denoted by $\hat{\Theta}_{i,k}$, $\mathcal{E}_{i,k}$, $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$, and $\mathcal{F}_{i,k}$. We verify that when acting on (1.1) these classes are realized by the operators $\Theta_{i,k}$, $\hat{F}_{i,k}$, $\hat{B}_{n,k}$, $\hat{F}_{i,k}$ on the polynomial representation $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ in Section 4. In this way, we have obtained a $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebra homomorphism $\Psi : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathfrak{u}} \rightarrow \underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$.

Finally, we apply the convolution algebra formalism to our setting in Section 7. We construct a family of finite-dimensional standard modules and irreducible modules of $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathfrak{u}}$ this way and give the composition multiplicities of a standard module in terms of dimensions of certain IC cohomology groups.

Acknowledgement. We thank Weinan Zhang for very helpful discussions and suggestions regarding the Serre relations in the Drinfeld presentation. CS is supported by a startup grant from Tsinghua University. WW is partially supported by DMS-2401351.

2. CONVOLUTION ALGEBRA OF THE STEINBERG VARIETY

In this section, we review the basics on convolution product in equivariant K-theory and apply to the non-connected Steinberg variety \mathcal{Z} of type C (cf. [CG10, Vas98]). We recall the classification of the G -diagonal orbits on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ from [BKLW18]. The new main result of this section is a generating set for the convolution algebra $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$.

2.1. Convolution in equivariant K-theory. For a connected complex reductive algebraic group G and a quasi-projective G -variety X , let $K^G(X)$ denote the complexified G -equivariant K-group of X , see [CG10]. If $X = \{\text{pt}\}$, $K^G(\text{pt}) = R(G)$, the complexified representation ring of G .

Given three smooth G -varieties M_1 , M_2 , M_3 , let

$$p_{ij} : M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 \rightarrow M_i \times M_j$$

be the obvious projection maps. Let $Z_{12} \subseteq M_1 \times M_2$ and $Z_{23} \subseteq M_2 \times M_3$ be G -stable closed subvarieties. We denote

$$Z_{12} \circ Z_{23} = p_{13}(p_{12}^{-1}(Z_{12}) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(Z_{23})).$$

If the restriction of p_{13} to $p_{12}^{-1}(Z_{12}) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(Z_{23})$ is a proper map, then we define the convolution product as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \star : K^G(Z_{12}) \otimes K^G(Z_{23}) &\longrightarrow K^G(Z_{12} \circ Z_{23}), \\ \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 &\mapsto p_{13*}(p_{12}^*\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes p_{23}^*\mathcal{F}_2), \end{aligned}$$

where all the functors here and below are understood to be derived.

Let F_i ($i = 1, 2$) be smooth G -varieties, $M_i = T^*F_i$, and π_i denote the projections $M_i \rightarrow F_i$. The torus \mathbb{C}^* acts on M_i by $z \cdot (x, \xi) = (x, z^{-2}\xi)$, where $x \in F_i$ and $\xi \in T_x^*F_i$. By definition, $K^{\mathbb{C}^*}(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$, where q corresponds to the standard representation of \mathbb{C}^* . Let $\mathcal{O} \subset F_1 \times F_2$ be a smooth G -variety, and $Z_{\mathcal{O}}$ denote the conormal bundle $T_{\mathcal{O}}^*(F_1 \times F_2) \subset M_1 \times M_2$. Suppose the projection $Z_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow M_1$ is proper and the projections $p_{i,\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow F_i$ are smooth fibrations with $p_{1,\mathcal{O}}$ being proper. By the Thom isomorphism, $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(Z_{\mathcal{O}}) \simeq K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{O})$. Therefore, any $\mathcal{K} \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{O})$ defines an $R(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -modules homomorphism $\rho_{\mathcal{K}} : K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(M_2) \rightarrow K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(M_1)$ by convolution. We have the following useful formula.

Lemma 2.1. [Vas98, Corollary 4] *For any $\mathcal{K} \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathcal{F} \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(F_2)$,*

$$\rho_{\mathcal{K}}(\pi_2^*\mathcal{F}) = \pi_1^*p_{1,\mathcal{O}*} \left(\bigwedge_{q^2} T_{p_{1,\mathcal{O}}} \otimes p_{2,\mathcal{O}}^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{K} \right),$$

where $T_{p_{1,\mathcal{O}}}$ is the relative tangent sheaf along the fibers of $p_{1,\mathcal{O}}$, and $\bigwedge_{q^2} T_{p_{1,\mathcal{O}}} = \sum_i (-q^2)^i \bigwedge^i T_{p_{1,\mathcal{O}}}$.

For the computations, we will use frequently the localization formula in equivariant K-theory. Let $T \subset G$ be a maximal torus, and let X be a smooth projective variety such that the torus fixed point set X^T is finite. First of all, we have $K^G(X) \simeq K^T(X)^W$, where W is the Weyl group. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{pt}$ be the structure morphism. Then for any $\mathcal{F} \in K^T(X)$, we have the following localization formula [CG10]

$$(2.1) \quad \pi_*(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{x \in X^T} \frac{\mathcal{F}|_x}{\bigwedge^{\bullet}(T_x^*X)} \in K^T(\text{pt}),$$

where $\mathcal{F}|_x \in K^T(\text{pt})$ is the pullback of \mathcal{F} to the fixed point $x \in X^T$, and $\bigwedge^{\bullet} T_x^*X = \sum_i (-1)^i \bigwedge^i (T_x^*X) = \prod_{\mu_i} (1 - e^{\mu_i}) \in K^T(\text{pt})$ with the product over all the torus weights $\{\mu_i\}$ in the T -vector space T_x^*X .

Using the localization formula, we can define the pushforward morphism for non-proper maps as follows. Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism between smooth G -varieties such that $p|_{X^T} : X^T \rightarrow Y^T$ is a proper morphism. Let S be the multiplicative subset in $K^T(\text{pt})$ generated by $\{1 - e^{\mu}\}$ where μ runs through the torus weights in the normal bundle of X^T inside X . Let $K^T(X)_{\text{loc}}$ be the localization of $K^T(X)$ at S . Then we can define $p_* : K^T(X)_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow K^T(Y)_{\text{loc}}$ by the above localization formula. Finally, to get the formula for the G -equivariant K-theory, we just take the W -invariants.

2.2. Partial flag varieties of type C. Let $V := \mathbb{C}^{2d}$ with a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form $(-, -)$ given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ -I_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Throughout the paper, we set

$$G = \text{Sp}(V) \quad \text{and} \quad N = 2n,$$

for a fixed positive integer n . Let

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda_{c,d} = \{\mathbf{v} = (v_i) \in \mathbb{N}^N \mid v_i = v_{N+1-i}, \sum_{i=1}^n v_i = d\}.$$

For any subspace $W \subseteq V$, let $W^\perp = \{x \in V \mid (x, y) = 0, \forall y \in W\}$. For any $\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}$, define

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}} = \{F = (0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_N = V) \mid V_i = V_{N-i}^\perp, \dim(V_i/V_{i-1}) = v_i, \forall i\}.$$

The natural G -action on V induces a natural transitive action of G on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$, and thus

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{F} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$$

is a G -variety called the N -step partial flag variety. Let $P_{\mathbf{v}}$ be the stabilizer of $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$ in G . We have $G/P_{\mathbf{v}} \simeq \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$.

Let $W_c = \mathbb{Z}_2^d \times S_d$ be the Weyl group of type C_d , which has a natural action on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2d\}$. For $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_N) \in \Lambda_{c,d}$ and $0 \leq i \leq N$, we set $\bar{v}_i = \sum_{r=1}^i v_r$ with $\bar{v}_0 = 0$. Denote the intervals, for $1 \leq i \leq N$,

$$(2.4) \quad [\mathbf{v}]_i = [1 + \bar{v}_{i-1}, \bar{v}_i] \subseteq \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$[\mathbf{v}] := ([\mathbf{v}]_1, [\mathbf{v}]_2, \dots, [\mathbf{v}]_N)$$

forms a set partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2d\}$, and

$$(2.5) \quad [\mathbf{v}]^c := ([\mathbf{v}]_1, [\mathbf{v}]_2, \dots, [\mathbf{v}]_n)$$

forms a set partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. Define a subgroup $W_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$ of W_c by

$$W_{[\mathbf{v}]^c} := S_{[\mathbf{v}]_1} \times S_{[\mathbf{v}]_2} \times \cdots \times S_{[\mathbf{v}]_n},$$

where $S_{[\mathbf{v}]_i}$ is the subgroup of S_d consisting of all permutations which preserve $[\mathbf{v}]_i$.

Denote

$$(2.6) \quad \Xi_d = \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{N}) \mid \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} = 2d, a_{ij} = a_{N+1-i, N+1-j}, \forall i, j \right\}.$$

In other words, any matrix $A \in \Xi_d$ is fixed by the rotation of 180 degrees. To any matrix $A \in \Xi_d$, we associate the following set partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2d\}$:

$$[A] = ([A]_{11}, \dots, [A]_{1N}, [A]_{21}, \dots, [A]_{NN}),$$

where $[A]_{ij} = \left[\sum_{(h,k) < (i,j)} a_{hk} + 1, \sum_{(h,k) < (i,j)} a_{hk} + a_{ij} \right] \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, and $<$ is the lexicographical order defined by

$$(2.7) \quad (h, k) < (i, j) \Leftrightarrow k < j \text{ or } (k = j \text{ and } h < i).$$

Define $[A]^c$ to be the following partition of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$,

$$[A]^c = ([A]_{11}, \dots, [A]_{N1}, [A]_{12}, \dots, [A]_{N2}, \dots, [A]_{Nn}),$$

and define a type A parabolic subgroup of the Weyl group W_c by

$$(2.8) \quad W_{[A]^c} = S_{[A]_{11}} \times \cdots \times S_{[A]_{N1}} \times S_{[A]_{12}} \times \cdots \times S_{[A]_{N2}} \times \cdots \times S_{[A]_{Nn}}.$$

2.3. The G -orbits in $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$. For a matrix $A \in \Xi_d$, we define its row vector and column vector

$$\text{ro}(A) = \left(\sum_j a_{ij} \right)_{i=1,2,\dots,N} \in \Lambda_{c,d}, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{co}(A) = \left(\sum_i a_{ij} \right)_{j=1,2,\dots,N} \in \Lambda_{c,d}.$$

For any $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \Lambda_{c,d}$, let

$$(2.9) \quad \Xi_d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \{A \in \Xi_d \mid \text{ro}(A) = \mathbf{v}, \text{co}(A) = \mathbf{w}\}.$$

For a pair of flags $(F, F') \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}} \times \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{w}}$, define an $N \times N$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with

$$a_{ij} = \dim \frac{V_i \cap V'_j}{V_{i-1} \cap V'_j + V_i \cap V'_{j-1}}.$$

It has been shown in [BKLW18, Section 6] (as a generalization of [BLM90] in type A) that sending the G -orbit of (F, F') to the matrix A gives a bijection

$$(2.10) \quad \{G\text{-orbits in } \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}} \times \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{w}}\} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \Xi_d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}).$$

For any $A \in \Xi_d$, let \mathcal{O}_A denote the corresponding G -orbit on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$. If $A = \text{diag}(v_i)$ is a diagonal matrix, then the orbit \mathcal{O}_A is just the diagonal copy of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$ inside $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}} \times \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$. We can define an order \preceq on Ξ_d as follows. For any $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}) \in \Xi_d$, $A \preceq B$ if and only if

$$(2.11) \quad \text{ro}(A) = \text{ro}(B), \text{co}(A) = \text{co}(B), \text{ and } \sum_{r \leq i; s \geq j} a_{rs} \leq \sum_{r \leq i; s \geq j} b_{rs}, \quad \forall i < j.$$

Then $\mathcal{O}_A \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}_B}$ if and only if $A \preceq B$.

Let E_{ij} be the standard $N \times N$ matrix unit with 1 at (i, j) th entry. For $a \geq 0$, define

$$(2.12) \quad E_{ij}^\theta := E_{ij} + E_{N+1-i, N+1-j}, \quad E_{ij}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, a) := \text{diag}(\mathbf{v}) + aE_{ij}^\theta,$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_N)$ such that $v_i = v_{N+1-i}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N v_i = 2d - 2a$. Let \mathbf{e}_i ($1 \leq i \leq N$) be the standard basis for \mathbb{C}^N (viewed as row vectors). For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, the G -orbits $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i, i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, a)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i+1, i}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, a)}$ on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ are closed, and they are given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{E_{i, i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, a)} = \left\{ (F, F') \mid \begin{array}{l} F = (V_k)_{0 \leq k \leq N} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v} + a\mathbf{e}_i + a\mathbf{e}_{N+1-i}}, V'_i \overset{a}{\subset} V_i, V_k = V'_k \text{ if } k \neq i, N-i \end{array} \right\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_{E_{i+1, i}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, a)} = \left\{ (F, F') \mid \begin{array}{l} F = (V_k)_{0 \leq k \leq N} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v} + a\mathbf{e}_{i+1} + a\mathbf{e}_{N-i}}, V_i \overset{a}{\subset} V'_i, V_k = V'_k \text{ if } k \neq i, N-i \end{array} \right\}.$$

The notation $V'_i \overset{a}{\subset} V_i$ above means the inclusion $V'_i \subset V_i$ of codimension a . However, the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{E_{n, n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, a)}$ is not closed for $a \geq 1$. We have

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{O}_{E_{n, n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)} = \left\{ (F, F') \mid \begin{array}{l} F = (V_k)_{0 \leq k \leq N} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_n + \mathbf{e}_{n+1}}, V_n \cap V'_n \overset{1}{\subset} V_n, V_k = V'_k \text{ if } k \neq n \end{array} \right\},$$

whose closure contains a diagonal copy of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_n + \mathbf{e}_{n+1}}$.

Let \mathbf{T} be the set of 3-arrays $(t_{ijk})_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq N}$ of non-negative integers, such that $t_{ijk} = t_{N+1-i, N+1-j, N+1-k}$ and $\sum_{i, j, k} t_{i, j, k} = d$. For $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, and $T \in \mathbf{T}$, let T_{ij} be the matrix obtained by summing the entries of T over the third indices. Given $A, B \in \Xi_d$, let

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}(A, B) &:= \{T \in \mathbf{T} \mid T_{12} = A, T_{23} = B\}, \\ \Xi_d(A, B) &:= \{T_{13} \mid T \in \mathbf{T}(A, B)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The set $\Xi_d(A, B)$ is empty if $\text{co}(A) \neq \text{ro}(B)$.

For $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, let $p_{ij} : \mathcal{F}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^2$ be the projection to the i, j factors. Then the same argument as in [Vas98, Proposition 5] gives the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $A, B \in \Xi_d$.*

- (1) $\mathcal{O}_A \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_B}$ if and only if $A \preceq B$.
- (2) The set $p_{13}(p_{12}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_A) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_B))$ is stable with respect to the diagonal G -action, and

$$p_{13}(p_{12}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_A) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_B)) = \bigcup_{C \in \Xi_d(A, B)} \mathcal{O}_C.$$

- (3) There exists a unique matrix $A \circ B$ in $\Xi_d(A, B)$ maximal with respect to \preceq .
- (4) For any $A', B' \in \Xi_d$ such that $A' \preceq A$ and $B' \preceq B$, we have

$$(A', B') \neq (A, B) \Rightarrow \Xi_d(A', B') \subset \{C \mid C \prec A \circ B\}.$$

2.4. A pushforward formula. Let

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_d^{\pm 1}] \simeq K^G(G/B),$$

where B is a Borel subgroup of G . We shall define a natural action of the Weyl group W_c on \mathbf{R} below, which by restriction leads to actions of the subgroups $W_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$ and $W_{[A]^c}$ on \mathbf{R} . The action of $\sigma \in S_d$ on \mathbf{R} is given by

$$\sigma : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad f(x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_d^{\pm 1}) \mapsto f(x_{\sigma(1)}^{\pm 1}, x_{\sigma(2)}^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_{\sigma(d)}^{\pm 1}).$$

For any $m \in [1, d]$, the generator ι_m in the m -th copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 in \mathbb{Z}_2^d acts on \mathbf{R} by

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_m : \mathbf{R} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{R}, \\ f(x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_{m-1}^{\pm 1}, x_m^{\pm 1}, x_{m+1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_d^{\pm 1}) &\mapsto f(x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_{m-1}^{\pm 1}, x_m^{\mp 1}, x_{m+1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_d^{\pm 1}). \end{aligned}$$

For two subgroups of the Weyl group $W_1 \subset W_2 \subset W_c$, we define a map

$$W_2/W_1 : \mathbf{R}^{W_1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{W_2}, \quad f \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in W_2/W_1} \sigma(f).$$

To simplify the notations, we shall denote $\mathbf{R}^{W_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}}$ by $\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$, and $\mathbf{R}^{W_{[A]^c}}$ by $\mathbf{R}^{[A]^c}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \Lambda_{c, d}$, and $A \in \Xi_d(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$.*

- (a) There exist \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphisms $K^G(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}) \cong \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$ and $K^G(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \mathbf{R}^{[A]^c}$.
- (b) The first projection map $p_{1, A} : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}_1}$ is a smooth fibration. Moreover, if \mathcal{O}_A is closed, then the direct image morphism $p_{1, A*}$ is given by

$$p_{1, A*}(\mathcal{F}) = W_{[\mathbf{v}_1]^c}/W_{[A]^c} \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\bigwedge (T_{p_{1, A}}^*)} \right),$$

where $T_{p_{1,A}}^*$ is the relative cotangent bundle and $\bigwedge(T_{p_{1,A}}^*) = \sum_i (-1)^i \bigwedge^i T_{p_{1,A}}^*$. (Statement (b) holds for the localized K -groups if the orbit \mathcal{O}_A is not closed.)

Proof. Let $\{\epsilon_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2d\}$ be the standard basis of V . Let F be the flag such that for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $V_i = \{\epsilon_j \mid j \in [\mathbf{v}]_i\}$ and $V_{N-i} = V_i^\perp$. Let P_F be the stabilizer of the flag F inside G . Then the Weyl group of the Levi subgroup of P_F is $W_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$. Thus,

$$K^G(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}) = K^G(G/P_F) \cong \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c},$$

and the isomorphism is given by

$$K^G(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}) \ni \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}|_{\text{pt}_F} \in \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c},$$

where $\mathcal{F}|_{\text{pt}_F}$ denotes the restriction of \mathcal{F} to the torus fixed point $\text{pt}_F \in G/P_F$.

Define a bijection of $[1, 2d]$ by

$$\varphi(k) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq d; \\ 3d+1-k, & \text{if } d+1 \leq k \leq 2d. \end{cases}$$

Given $A \in \Xi_d(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$, define a decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} V_{ij}$ by

$$V_{ij} = \text{Span}\{\epsilon_{\varphi(k)} \mid k \in [A]_{ij}\}.$$

One sees that

$$(2.15) \quad \epsilon_k \in V_{ij} \text{ if and only if } \epsilon_{k+d} \in V_{N+1-i, N+1-j}.$$

Let $F_\circ = (V_k)_{1 \leq k \leq N}$ be the flag with $V_k = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq N} V_{ij}$, and let $F'_\circ = (V'_k)_{1 \leq k \leq N}$ be the flag with $V'_k = \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq N} V_{ij}$. Then by the observation (2.15), $F_\circ \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$, $F'_\circ \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{co}(A)}$ and $(F_\circ, F'_\circ) \in \mathcal{O}_A$. Let P_{F_\circ} (respectively, $P_{F'_\circ}$) be the stabilizer of F_\circ (respectively, F'_\circ). Then $\mathcal{O}_A \simeq G/(P_{F_\circ} \cap P_{F'_\circ})$. The reductive part of $P_{F_\circ} \cap P_{F'_\circ}$ is isomorphic to

$$GL(V_{11}) \times \cdots \times GL(V_{N1}) \times GL(V_{12}) \times \cdots \times GL(V_{Nn}),$$

with Weyl group $W_{[A]^c}$. Thus we have an isomorphism

$$K^G(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \mathbf{R}^{[A]^c},$$

given by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}|_{\text{pt}_A}$, where we have denoted $\text{pt}_A = (F_\circ, F'_\circ) \in \mathcal{O}_A$. This finishes the proof of Part (a). Part (b) follows directly from the localization theorem. \square

2.5. The Steinberg variety. Let $\mathcal{M} = T^*\mathcal{F}$ be the cotangent bundle of the N -step partial flag variety \mathcal{F} in (2.3). More explicitly, \mathcal{M} can be written as

$$\mathcal{M} = T^*\mathcal{F} = \{(F, x) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathfrak{sp}_{2d} \mid x(F_i) \subseteq F_{i-1}, \forall i\} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \times \mathfrak{sp}_{2d},$$

where \mathfrak{sp}_{2d} is the Lie algebra of G . There is a natural G -action on \mathcal{M} induced by the G -action on \mathcal{F} . Define a $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -action on \mathcal{M} by

$$(g, z) \cdot (F, x) = (gF, z^{-2}gxg^{-1}), \quad \forall (g, z) \in G \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Let q be the equivariant parameter for the \mathbb{C}^* -action. Then $K_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\text{pt}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$.

Let \mathcal{N} be the nilpotent variety of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sp}_{2d} . By definition, we have $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{N}$. The projection map $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}, (F, x) \mapsto x$, is proper and $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant. Let

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{M} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$$

be the (generalized) Steinberg variety of type C. The group $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ acts on \mathcal{Z} diagonally, and $(K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}), \star)$ is a convolution \mathbb{A} -algebra with unit, see §2.1. By [CG10],

$$(2.17) \quad K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}) \simeq K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}; \mathcal{Z}),$$

where the right-hand side is the Grothendieck group of the derived category of $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant complexes of vector bundles on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$, which are exact outside \mathcal{Z} .

We briefly digress and introduce some notations. Given a \mathbb{C} -vector space U , via base change we define the $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$ -module and the $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -vector space, respectively:

$$(2.18) \quad U[q, q^{-1}] := \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U, \quad U(q) := \mathbb{C}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U.$$

In this way, we make sense of notations $\mathbf{R}^{[v]^\mathbb{C}}[q, q^{-1}]$, $\mathbf{R}^{[v]^\mathbb{C}}(q)$, $\mathbf{R}^{[A]^\mathbb{C}}[q, q^{-1}]$, $\mathbf{R}^{[A]^\mathbb{C}}(q)$, and so on.

Via convolution, the algebra $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ acts on

$$(2.19) \quad K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}, d}} \mathbf{R}^{[v]^\mathbb{C}}[q, q^{-1}].$$

Following [CG10, Claim 7.6.7], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *We have a faithful representation of $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ on $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{M})$.*

For any $A \in \Xi_d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$, let $\mathcal{Z}_A := T_{\mathcal{O}_A}^*(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}} \times \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{w}})$ be the conormal bundle of the diagonal G -orbit \mathcal{O}_A . Then all the irreducible components of \mathcal{Z} are of the form $\overline{\mathcal{Z}_A}$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}} = \bigcup_{A \in \Xi_d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})} \overline{\mathcal{Z}_A}$. For any $A \in \Xi_d$, let $\mathcal{Z}_{\prec A} := \bigcup_{B \prec A} \mathcal{Z}_B$, which is a closed subvariety of \mathcal{Z} . The induced maps $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\prec A}) \rightarrow K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ are injective and their images form a filtration of $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ indexed by Ξ_d . Moreover, Proposition 2.2 implies $\mathcal{Z}_{\prec A} \circ \mathcal{Z}_{\prec B} \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\prec A \circ B}$. Thus, $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\prec A}) \star K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\prec B}) \subset K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\prec A \circ B})$. By the cellular fibration lemma [CG10, Lemma 5.5.1], the open immersion $\mathcal{Z}_A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\prec A}$ gives rise to the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\prec A}) \longrightarrow K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\prec A}) \longrightarrow K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_A) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{\prec A} := \mathcal{Z}_{\prec A} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_A$. Thus, the following maps

$$\begin{aligned} K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\prec A}) &\twoheadrightarrow K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\prec A}) / K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\prec A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_A) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{O}_A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}^{[A]^\mathbb{C}}[q, q^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

identifies the associated graded of $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ with $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Xi_d} \mathbf{R}^{[A]^\mathbb{C}}[q, q^{-1}]$. By Proposition 2.2(4), the convolution product induces a product on $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Xi_d} \mathbf{R}^{[A]^\mathbb{C}}[q, q^{-1}]$, again denoted by \star . It satisfies that

$$(2.20) \quad \mathbf{R}^{[A]^\mathbb{C}}[q, q^{-1}] \star \mathbf{R}^{[B]^\mathbb{C}}[q, q^{-1}] \subset \mathbf{R}^{[A \circ B]^\mathbb{C}}[q, q^{-1}].$$

3. A GENERATING SET FOR THE CONVOLUTION ALGEBRA

In this section, we establish a generating set for the convolution algebra $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$.

3.1. A reduction. Due to the non-closedness of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta}$ given in (2.13), we need to work with the localized equivariant K-group of the Steinberg variety.

Note that for any G -variety X , $K^T(X) \simeq K^G(X) \otimes_{K^G(\text{pt})} K^T(\text{pt})$ and $K^G(X) \simeq K^T(X)^W$ (see [CG10, Theorem 6.1.22]). The $T \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -weights of the cotangent spaces to the torus fixed points in $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ are of the form e^α and $q^2 e^\alpha$, where α lies in the root system R of G . Hence, we are led to the following definition of the localized G -equivariant K-group modules. For any $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\text{pt})$ -module U (e.g. $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(X)$ for some $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -variety X), let

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{U} &:= \left(U \otimes_{K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\text{pt})} K^T(\text{pt})(q) \left[\frac{1}{1-e^\alpha}, \frac{1}{1-q^2 e^\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in R \right] \right)^W \\ &= U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathbb{C}(q) \left[\frac{1}{1-e^\alpha}, \frac{1}{1-q^2 e^\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in R \right] \right)^W \end{aligned}$$

denote the localized module, where the W -action on U is trivial; this is actually a $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -vector space and should be viewed as a shorthand for the notation $\underline{U}(q)$ which we choose not to use.

By the localization formula (2.1) (and the remarks after it) and Equation (2.17), the convolution product \star is well defined on $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ and it induces a multiplication on the associated graded module $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Xi_d} \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[A]^\mathbf{c}}$ such that (see (2.20))

$$\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[A]^\mathbf{c}} \star \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[B]^\mathbf{c}} \subset \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[A \circ B]^\mathbf{c}}.$$

Here

$$\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[A]^\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{R}^{[A]^\mathbf{c}}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathbb{C} \left[\frac{1}{1-e^\alpha}, \frac{1}{1-q^2 e^\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in R \right] \right)^W.$$

Moreover, for any $A \in \Xi_d$, we can consider the image of $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_A)$ in $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ via pushforward by localization, even if the orbit $\mathcal{O}_A \subset \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ is not closed.

Lemma 3.1. *For $1 \leq i \leq N-1$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the image of $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},a)})$ in $(\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}), \star)$ can be obtained via convolution from images of $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}',k)})$ for various \mathbf{v}' and $0 \leq k \leq a-1$.*

(The localization is only needed for $i = n$, as the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},a)}$ is closed for $i \neq n$.)

Proof. The case of $i \neq n$ can be proved exactly the same as in [Vas98, Example, p.280]. We prove it for $i = n$. It suffices to show the case for $n = 1$. Pick a non-negative integer a , such that $a+1 \leq d$. Put

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} d-a & a \\ a & d-a \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} d-1 & 1 \\ 1 & d-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By definition,

$$\mathcal{O}_A = \{(V_1, V'_1) \mid V_1 = V_1^\perp, V'_1 = (V'_1)^\perp, \dim(V_1 \cap V'_1) = d-a\}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{12}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_A) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_B) &= \{(V_1, V'_1, V''_1) \mid V_1 = V_1^\perp, V'_1 = (V'_1)^\perp, V''_1 = (V''_1)^\perp, \\ &\quad \dim(V_1 \cap V'_1) = d-a, \dim(V'_1 \cap V''_1) = d-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for any $(V_1, V'_1, V''_1) \in p_{12}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_A) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_B)$, $\dim(V_1 \cap V''_1) \geq d-a-1$. Hence, by the definition of $A \circ B$ in Proposition 2.2(3) and the Bruhat order in Equation (2.11),

$$A \circ B = \begin{pmatrix} d-a-1 & a+1 \\ a+1 & d-a-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The lemma follows from the following claim:

Claim 3.2. The convolution map

$$\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[A]^c} \otimes \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[B]^c} \xrightarrow{\star} \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[A \circ B]^c}$$

is surjective.

Let $\mathcal{O}_T := p_{12}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_A) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_B) \cap p_{13}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{A \circ B})$, and let $p_{13,T}$ be the restriction of p_{13} to \mathcal{O}_T . Let us analyze the fiber of $p_{13,T}$. Fix a $(V_1, V''_1) \in \mathcal{O}_{A \circ B}$, and choose any $(V_1, V'_1, V''_1) \in p_{13,T}^{-1}(V_1, V''_1)$. Then it is easy to see that V'_1 is determined by $V'_1 \cap V''_1$, and $V_1 \cap V''_1 \subset V'_1 \cap V''_1$. Thus, the fiber $p_{13,T}^{-1}(V_1, V''_1)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Gr}(a, \frac{V''_1}{V_1 \cap V''_1}) \simeq \text{Gr}(a, a+1)$.

To compute the convolution product, we need to compute the pushforward map $p_{13,T\star}$. We do this by the localization theorem, and we will use the notation from the proof of Proposition 2.3. Let $\text{pt}_T := (V_1, V'_1, V''_1)$ be the base point in \mathcal{O}_T , where $V_1 = \text{Span}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{d-a-1}, \epsilon_{2d-a}, \dots, \epsilon_{2d})$, $V'_1 = \text{Span}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{d-1}, \epsilon_{2d})$, and $V''_1 = \text{Span}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_d)$. Then the point (V'_1, V''_1) is the base point pt_B in the orbit \mathcal{O}_B . However, we have

$$(V_1, V'_1) = (\iota_d) s_{d-a,d}(\text{pt}_A),$$

where ι_d is the Weyl group element defined in §2.4 and $s_{d-a,d} \in S_d \subset W_\epsilon$ is the transposition between $d-a$ and d . The torus fixed points in the fiber $p_{13,T}^{-1}(V_1, V''_1)$ are $(V_1, V'_{1,j}, V''_1)$, where $V'_{1,j}$ is spanned by $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_d, e_{d+j}\} \setminus \{e_j\}$, where $d-a \leq j \leq d$. Thus, $(V'_{1,j}, V''_1) = s_{j,d}(\text{pt}_B)$, and $(V_1, V'_{1,j}) = s_{j,d}(V_1, V'_1)$. Moreover, the restriction of the relative tangent bundle of $p_{13,T}$ to the base point pt_T has weights x_d/x_j , for $d-a \leq j \leq d-1$. Therefore, the convolution product in Claim 3.2 is given by (see [Vas98, Corollary 3])

$$\begin{aligned} f \star g &= \sum_{d-a \leq j \leq d} s_{j,d} \left(g \cdot s_{d-a,d}(f) \cdot \prod_{d-a \leq j \leq d-1} \frac{1 - q^2 \frac{x_d}{x_j}}{1 - \frac{x_j}{x_d}} \right) \\ &= (-1)^a \sum_{d-a \leq j \leq d} s_{j,d} \left(g x_d^a \cdot \iota_d s_{d-a,d}(f) \prod_{d-a+1 \leq j \leq d} x_j^{-1} \right) \cdot \prod_{d-a \leq j \leq d-1} \frac{1 - q^2 \frac{x_d}{x_j}}{1 - \frac{x_d}{x_j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}^{[A]^c}(q) &\simeq \mathbb{C}(q)[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_{d-a}^{\pm 1}]^{S_{d-a}} \otimes \mathbb{C}(q)[x_{d-a+1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_d^{\pm 1}]^{S_a}, \\ \mathbf{R}^{[B]^c}(q) &\simeq \mathbb{C}(q)[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_{d-1}^{\pm 1}]^{S_{d-1}} \otimes \mathbb{C}(q)[x_d^{\pm 1}], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{R}^{[A \circ B]^c}(q) \simeq \mathbb{C}(q)[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_{d-a-1}^{\pm 1}]^{S_{d-a-1}} \otimes \mathbb{C}(q)[x_{d-a}^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_d^{\pm 1}]^{S_{a+1}}.$$

For any $h \in \mathbf{R}^{[A \circ B]^c}(q)$, we have

$$\sum_{d-a \leq j \leq d} s_{j,d} \left(h \cdot \prod_{d-a \leq j \leq d-1} \frac{1 - q^2 x_d / x_j}{1 - x_d / x_j} \right) = h(1 + q^2 + \dots + q^{2a}) = hq^a \frac{q^{a+1} - q^{-a-1}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Therefore, to prove Claim 3.2, it is enough to observe that the following map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}^{[A]^c}(q) \otimes \mathbf{R}^{[B]^c}(q) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{[A \circ B]^c}(q) \\ f \otimes g &\mapsto g \cdot \iota_d s_{d-a,d}(f), \end{aligned}$$

is surjective. This holds since for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d-a-1} x_i^m = \iota_d s_{d-a,d} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d-a} x_i^m \right) \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot x_d^m,$$

and

$$\sum_{d-a \leq i \leq d} x_i^m = \iota_d s_{d-a,d} \left(\sum_{d-a+1 \leq i \leq d} x_i^m \right) \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot x_d^m.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

3.2. Convolution with generating elements. Let us compute the convolution products with some distinguished elements.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $A = \text{diag}(\mathbf{v})$, for $\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_c$. Then $A \circ B = B$ for any $B \in \Xi_d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$. Moreover, we have $f \star g = fg$ for any $f \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[A]^c}$ and $g \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[B]^c}$, where we regard $f \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[B]^c}$ by the natural inclusion $\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[A]^c} \subset \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[B]^c}$.*

Proof. Since A is a diagonal matrix, the orbit \mathcal{O}_A is the diagonal copy of $\mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$. Thus, the restriction of p_{13} to $p_{12}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_A) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_B)$ is an isomorphism. The proposition follows from this fact and [Vas98, Corollary 3]. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Fix $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{d-a}$, $A, B \in \Xi_d$ with $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$.*

(1) *Let $A = E_{h,h+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, a)$ and $l = \max\{i \mid b_{h+1,i} \neq 0\}$. If $b_{h+1,l} \geq a$, then*

$$A \circ B = B + a(E_{hl}^\theta - E_{h+1,l}^\theta).$$

(2) *If $b_{hl} = 0$, then $f \star g = fg \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[A \circ B]^c}$, for any $f \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[A]^c}$ and $g \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[B]^c}$.*

Proof. If $h \neq n$, both statements can be proved exactly the same as in [Vas98, Proposition 8]. Let us consider the remaining case $h = n$.

(1) An array $T = (t_{ijk})$ belongs to $\mathbf{T}(A, B)$ in (2.14) if and only if

$$\sum_k t_{ijk} = a_{ij}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i t_{ijk} = b_{jk}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} t_{ijk} = 0, \quad \text{if } (i, j) \neq (i, i), (n, n+1), (n+1, n), \\ t_{jjk} = b_{jk}, \quad \text{if } j \notin \{n, n+1\} \\ t_{n,n,k} + t_{n+1,n,k} = b_{n,k}, \\ t_{n+1,n+1,k} + t_{n,n+1,k} = b_{n+1,k}. \end{array} \right.$$

Let s_k denote $t_{n,n+1,k}$. Then $s_{N+1-k} = t_{n,n+1,N+1-k} = t_{n+1,n,k}$, $0 \leq s_k \leq b_{n+1,k}$. Hence, $s_k = 0$ if $k > l$. Moreover,

$$\sum_k s_k = a_{n,n+1} = a.$$

Denote the corresponding 3-array by $T(s)$. Then,

$$(T(s)_{13})_{ik} = \begin{cases} b_{ik}, & \text{if } i \notin \{n, n+1\}, \\ b_{nk} - s_{N+1-k} + s_k, & \text{if } i = n, \\ b_{n+1,k} - s_k + s_{N+1-k}, & \text{if } i = n+1. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$T(s)_{13} = B + \sum_k s_k (E_{n,k}^\theta - E_{n+1,k}^\theta).$$

Since $\sum_k s_k = a$, by the Burhat order (2.11), we get

$$A \circ B = B + a(E_{n,l}^\theta - E_{n+1,l}^\theta),$$

which corresponds to the choice

$$s_k = a\delta_{kl}.$$

This finishes the proof of part (1).

(2) Let us prove the case when $l \leq n$, as the case $l > n$ can be proved similarly. Let T be the 3-array in (1) defining $A \circ B$, $\mathcal{O}_T := p_{12}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_A) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_B) \cap p_{13}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{A \circ B})$, and $p_{13,T}$ be the projection of \mathcal{O}_T to $\mathcal{O}_{A \circ B}$. By Equation (2.13), for any point $(F = (V_\bullet), F'' = (V''_\bullet)) \in \mathcal{O}_{A \circ B}$,

$$p_{13,T}^{-1}(F, F'') = \{V'_n \subset V \mid V'_n = (V'_n)^\perp, V_{n-1} \subset V'_n, \dim V_n/V_n \cap V'_n = a, \\ \dim(V_n \cap V''_j) = \dim(V'_n \cap V''_j) + a\delta_{j \geq l}, 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1, the codimension a subspace $V_n \cap V'_n$ in V_n will uniquely determine the Lagrangian subspace V'_n . The conditions

$$\dim V_n/V_n \cap V'_n = a, \dim(V_n \cap V''_j) = \dim(V'_n \cap V''_j) + a\delta_{j \geq l}, 1 \leq j \leq n$$

imply that

$$V_n \cap V'_n \cap V''_j = V'_n \cap V''_j, 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

and

$$V_n \cap V'_n \cap V''_j = V'_n \cap V''_j = V_n \cap V''_j, 1 \leq j \leq l-1.$$

Therefore, $V_n \cap V'_n$ is uniquely determined by the b_{nl} -dimensional quotient space

$$\frac{V'_n \cap V''_l}{V'_n \cap V''_{l-1} + V'_{n-1} \cap V''_l} = \frac{V_n \cap V'_n \cap V''_l}{V_n \cap V'_n \cap V''_{l-1} + V_{n-1} \cap V''_l},$$

in the $a + b_{nl}$ -dimensional space

$$\frac{V_n \cap V''_l}{V_n \cap V''_{l-1} + V_{n-1} \cap V''_l} = \frac{V_n \cap V''_l}{V_n \cap V'_n \cap V''_{l-1} + V_{n-1} \cap V''_l}.$$

Thus, the fiber $p_{13,T}^{-1}(F, F'')$ is isomorphic to $\text{Gr}(b_{nl}, a + b_{nl})$; if $b_{nl} = 0$, $p_{13,T}$ is an isomorphism between \mathcal{O}_T and $\mathcal{O}_{A \circ B}$. Hence, by [Vas98, Corollary 3], the convolution product on the associated graded ring is the same as the usual product. \square

3.3. A generating set. We now describe a generating set for the convolution algebra $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$.

Theorem 3.5. *The convolution algebra $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ is generated by $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{diag}(\mathbf{v})})$ for $\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}$, and $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}',1)})$ for $\mathbf{v}' \in \Lambda_{c,d-1}$ and $1 \leq i \leq N-1$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the statement for the associated graded of $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$. For a matrix $C \in \Xi_d$, let

$$\ell(C) := \sum_{i < j} \binom{j-i+1}{2} c_{ij}.$$

We will prove by induction on $\ell(C)$ that, modulo the lower graded piece $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\rightarrow C})$, $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_C)$ can be obtained via convolution from classes in $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_A)$ such that $A \in \Xi_d$ is a diagonal matrix or a matrix of type $E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}',1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq N-1$.

If $\ell(C) = 0$, then C is a diagonal matrix. If $\ell(C) = 1$, then $C = E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)$ for some i . In both cases, there is nothing to show. Suppose $\ell(C) > 1$. Put

$$(h, l) := \max\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq N, c_{ij} \neq 0\},$$

with respect to the right-lexicographic order (2.7). Let

$$B = C + c_{hl}(E_{h+1,l}^\theta - E_{hl}^\theta), \quad \text{and} \quad A = E_{h,h+1}^\theta(v - c_{hl}\mathbf{e}_h - c_{hl}\mathbf{e}_{N+1-h}, c_{hl}),$$

where $\mathbf{v} = \text{ro}(C)$. Then $l = \max\{i \mid b_{h+1,i} \neq 0\}$, $b_{h+1,l} = c_{h+1,l} + c_{h,l} \geq c_{h,l} = a_{h,h+1}$, and $b_{hl} = 0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i a_{ij} &= a_{jj} + \delta_{j,h+1}c_{h,l} + \delta_{j,N-h}c_{hl} \\ &= v_j - \delta_{j,h}c_{hl} - \delta_{j,N+1-h}c_{hl} + \delta_{j,h+1}c_{h,l} + \delta_{j,N-h}c_{hl} \\ &= \sum_i c_{ji} - \delta_{j,h}c_{hl} - \delta_{j,N+1-h}c_{hl} + \delta_{j,h+1}c_{h,l} + \delta_{j,N-h}c_{hl} = \sum_i b_{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we are in the situation of Proposition 3.4. Hence, $A \circ B = C$, and for any $f \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[A]^c}$ and $g \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[B]^c}$, $f \star g = fg \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[C]^c}$.

Let us first assume $l \leq n$. Then the surjectivity of the map $\star : \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[A]^c} \otimes \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[B]^c} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[C]^c}$ follows from the surjectivity of the map

$$\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{S[A]_{h,h+1}} \otimes \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{S[B]_{h+1,l}} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{S[C]_{h,l}} \otimes \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{S[C]_{h+1,l}}, \quad f \otimes g \mapsto i(f)j(g),$$

where i is the isomorphism $\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{S[A]_{h,h+1}} \simeq \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{S[C]_{h,l}}$ and $j : \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{S[B]_{h+1,l}} \hookrightarrow \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{S[C]_{h,l}} \otimes \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{S[C]_{h+1,l}}$ is the inclusion. (Note that $a_{h,h+1} = c_{h,l}$ and $b_{h+1,l} = c_{h,l} + c_{h+1,l}$.) The $l > n$ case can be reduced to the $l < n$ case by the fact that $c_{ij} = c_{N+1-i,N+1-j}$. Notice that $\ell(B) < \ell(C)$. Finally, we use Lemma 3.1 to conclude the proof. \square

4. A POLYNOMIAL REPRESENTATION OF THE AFFINE ι QUANTUM GROUP $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^2$

In this section, we formulate a representation of the ι quantum group associated with the quasi-split Satake diagram of affine type $\text{AIII}_{2n-1}^{(\tau)}$; the proofs will be completed in Section 5 and Appendix A. To that end, it is essential for us to use a variant of the Drinfeld presentation of this ι quantum group obtained in [LWZ24].

4.1. **The Drinfeld presentation.** Let $(c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}}$ be the Cartan matrix of affine type A_{2n-1} , where

$$\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}_0 \cup \{0\}, \quad \mathbb{I}_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, 2n-1\},$$

with the affine node 0. Let τ be the diagram involution such that $\tau(0) = 0, \tau(i) = 2n - i$, for $i \in \mathbb{I}_0$. Then (\mathbb{I}, τ) represents a quasi-split Satake diagram below:

$$\text{AIII}_{2n-1}^{(\tau)} (n \geq 2)$$

The (universal) quasi-split affine \imath quantum group of type $\text{AIII}_{2n-1}^{(\tau)}$ is the $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebra $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ generated by $B_i, \mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in \mathbb{I}$), subject to the following relations (4.1)–(4.6), for $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$:

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbb{K}_i \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} = \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} \mathbb{K}_i = 1, \quad \mathbb{K}_i \mathbb{K}_\ell = \mathbb{K}_\ell \mathbb{K}_i, \quad \mathbb{K}_\ell B_i = q^{c_{\tau\ell, i} - c_{\ell i}} B_i \mathbb{K}_\ell,$$

$$(4.2) \quad B_i B_j - B_j B_i = 0, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = 0 \text{ and } \tau i \neq j,$$

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{1-c_{ij}} (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 1 - c_{ij} \\ s \end{bmatrix} B_i^s B_j B_i^{1-c_{ij}-s} = 0, \quad \text{if } \tau i \neq i \text{ and } j \notin \{i, \tau i\},$$

$$(4.4) \quad B_{\tau i} B_i - B_i B_{\tau i} = \frac{\mathbb{K}_i - \mathbb{K}_{\tau i}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad \text{if } c_{i, \tau i} = 0,$$

$$(4.5) \quad B_i^2 B_j - [2] B_i B_j B_i + B_j B_i^2 = -q^{-1} B_j \mathbb{K}_i, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -1 \text{ and } c_{i, \tau i} = 2,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{r=0}^3 (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ r \end{bmatrix} B_i^{3-r} B_j B_i^r = -q^{-1} [2]^2 (B_i B_j - B_j B_i) \mathbb{K}_i, \quad \text{if } -c_{ij} = c_{i, \tau i} = 2.$$

The above formulation of universal \imath quantum groups was due to Ming Lu and the second author and originated from \imath Hall algebras, where parameters in Letzter-Kolb's \imath quantum groups are replaced by additional Cartan generators \mathbb{K}_i 's (see [LW21, LWZ24] for references therein).

The Serre relation (4.6) arises only in the \imath quantum group of type $\text{AIII}_1^{(\tau)}$, which is also known as the q -Onsager algebra.

We introduce the following generating functions for an indeterminate z :

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_i(z) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} B_{i,k} z^k, \\ \Theta_i(z) &= 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} (q - q^{-1}) \Theta_{i,k} z^k = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (q - q^{-1}) \Theta_{i,k} z^k = \exp((q - q^{-1}) \mathbf{H}_i(z)), \\ \mathbf{H}_i(z) &= \sum_{k \geq 1} H_{i,k} z^k, \\ \Delta(z) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C^k z^k, \quad \Delta^+(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} C^k z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Theta_{i,0} = \frac{1}{q-q^{-1}}$, $\Theta_{i,k} = 0$ for $k < 0$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{i,j}(w_1, w_2|z) \\ := \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} (\mathbf{B}_i(w_1)\mathbf{B}_i(w_2)\mathbf{B}_j(z) - [2]\mathbf{B}_i(w_1)\mathbf{B}_j(z)\mathbf{B}_i(w_2) + \mathbf{B}_j(z)\mathbf{B}_i(w_1)\mathbf{B}_i(w_2)), \end{aligned}$$

where it is understood that $\text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} f(w_1, w_2) := f(w_1, w_2) + f(w_2, w_1)$.

The Drinfeld type current presentations for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ have been obtained in [LW21] for $n = 1$ and in [LWZ24] for general $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 4.1. [LWZ24, Theorem 4.6] *The quasi-split affine i quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebra generated by the elements $B_{i,\ell}$, $\Theta_{i,m}$, $\mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1}$, and $C^{\pm 1}$, where $i \in \mathbb{I}_0$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \geq 1$, subject to the following relations (4.8)–(4.16), for $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_0$:*

$$(4.8) \quad C \text{ is central,} \quad [\mathbb{K}_i, \mathbb{K}_j] = [\mathbb{K}_i, \Theta_j(w)] = 0,$$

$$(4.9) \quad \Theta_i(z)\Theta_j(w) = \Theta_j(w)\Theta_i(z),$$

$$(4.10) \quad \mathbb{K}_i\mathbf{B}_j(w) = q^{c_{\tau i, j} - c_{ij}}\mathbf{B}_j(w)\mathbb{K}_i,$$

$$(4.11) \quad \mathbf{B}_j(w)\Theta_i(z) = \frac{(1 - q^{c_{ij}}zw^{-1})(1 - q^{-c_{\tau i, j}}zwC)}{(1 - q^{-c_{ij}}zw^{-1})(1 - q^{c_{\tau i, j}}zwC)}\Theta_i(z)\mathbf{B}_j(w),$$

$$(4.12) \quad [\mathbf{B}_i(z), \mathbf{B}_{\tau i}(w)] = \frac{\Delta(zw)}{q - q^{-1}}(\mathbb{K}_{\tau i}\Theta_i(z) - \mathbb{K}_i\Theta_{\tau i}(w)), \quad \text{if } c_{i, \tau i} = 0,$$

$$(4.13) \quad (q^{c_{ij}}z - w)\mathbf{B}_i(z)\mathbf{B}_j(w) + (q^{c_{ij}}w - z)\mathbf{B}_j(w)\mathbf{B}_i(z) = 0, \quad \text{if } j \neq \tau i,$$

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} (q^2z - w)\mathbf{B}_i(z)\mathbf{B}_i(w) + (q^2w - z)\mathbf{B}_i(w)\mathbf{B}_i(z) \\ = \frac{\Delta(zw)\mathbb{K}_i}{q - q^{-1}}((z - q^{-2}w)\Theta_i(w) + (w - q^{-2}z)\Theta_i(z)), \quad \text{if } i = \tau i, \end{aligned}$$

and the Serre relations:

$$(4.15) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{i,j}(w_1, w_2|z) = 0, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -1, j \neq \tau i \neq i,$$

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{i,j}(w_1, w_2|z) = -\mathbb{K}_i \frac{\Delta(w_1w_2)}{q - q^{-1}} \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \left(\frac{[2]zw_1^{-1}}{1 - q^2w_2w_1^{-1}} [\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{q^{-2}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1 + w_2w_1^{-1}}{1 - q^2w_2w_1^{-1}} [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{q^{-2}} \right), \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -1, i = \tau i. \end{aligned}$$

If one formally sets $C = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ in the relations above, one sees the Drinfeld presentation of half a quantum loop algebra [Dri87, Bec94, Dam12].

4.2. Some equivalent relations. For our geometric application, it turns out some variant of the above Drinfeld presentation of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ will be more appropriate.

4.2.1. Serre relations. First of all, the Serre relation (4.16) can be simplified as follows. Recall from [Zha22] that the Serre relation (4.16) can be derived from other relations (4.8)–(4.14) together with finite type Serre relations. In the process the Serre relation (4.16) is derived from the following two relations (see [Zha22, (5.1)–(5.2)]):

$$(4.17) \quad (w_1^{-1} + w_2^{-2} - [2]z^{-1})\mathfrak{S}_{i,j}(w_1, w_2|z)$$

$$= \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \frac{\Delta(w_1 w_2)}{q - q^{-1}} (q^{-2} w_1^{-1} - w_2^{-1}) [\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{q^{-2}} \mathbb{K}_i,$$

and

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & (w_1 + w_2 - [2]z) \mathfrak{S}_{i,j}(w_1, w_2 | z) \\ &= \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \frac{\Delta(w_1 w_2)}{q - q^{-1}} (q^{-2} w_2 - w_1) [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{q^{-2}} \mathbb{K}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Calculating (4.17) $\times [2]z + (4.18) \times (w_1^{-1} + w_2^{-1})$, we obtain (4.16). On the other hand, we can also obtain a Serre relation in a different form.

Lemma 4.2. *For any i, j such that $c_{ij} = -1, i = \tau i$, we have*

$$(4.19) \quad (z - qw_1)(z - qw_2) \mathfrak{S}_{i,j}(w_1, w_2 | z) = \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \mathbb{K}_i \Delta(w_1 w_2) (zw_1 - q^{-2} zw_2) \Theta_i(w_2) \mathbf{B}_j(z).$$

Proof. Calculating (4.17) $\times q^2 zw_1 w_2 + (4.18) \times z$ gives us the identity

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} -[2](z - qw_1)(z - qw_2) \mathfrak{S}_{i,j}(w_1, w_2 | z) &= \mathbb{K}_i \frac{\Delta(w_1 w_2)}{q - q^{-1}} \times \\ & \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \left((zw_2 - q^2 zw_1) [\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{q^{-2}} + (q^{-2} zw_2 - zw_1) [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{q^{-2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the relation (4.11) with z, w switched,

$$\mathbf{B}_j(z) \Theta_i(w) = \frac{(1 - q^{-1} z^{-1} w)(1 - qzwC)}{(1 - qz^{-1} w)(1 - q^{-1} zwC)} \Theta_i(z) \mathbf{B}_j(w),$$

we compute the main component of the RHS of (4.20) as

$$\begin{aligned} & (zw_2 - q^2 zw_1) [\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{q^{-2}} + (q^{-2} zw_2 - zw_1) [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{q^{-2}} \\ &= \left((zw_2 - q^2 zw_1) \left(1 - q^{-2} \frac{(1 - q^{-1} z^{-1} w_2)(1 - qzw_2C)}{(1 - qz^{-1} w_2)(1 - q^{-1} zw_2C)} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + (q^{-2} zw_2 - zw_1) \left(\frac{(1 - q^{-1} z^{-1} w_2)(1 - qzw_2C)}{(1 - qz^{-1} w_2)(1 - q^{-1} zw_2C)} - q^{-2} \right) \right) \Theta_i(w_2) \mathbf{B}_j(z) \\ &= (q^{-2} zw_2 - zw_1) (q^2 - q^{-2}) \Theta_i(w_2) \mathbf{B}_j(z) \\ &= [2] (q^{-2} zw_2 - zw_1) (q - q^{-1}) \Theta_i(w_2) \mathbf{B}_j(z). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this back into (4.20) finishes the proof. \square

4.2.2. *A new variant of $\Theta_n(z)$.* We define $\check{\Theta}_{n,m}$, for $m \geq 1$, by the recursive formula

$$\Theta_{n,m} = \check{\Theta}_{n,m} - \sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} (q^2 - 1) \check{\Theta}_{n, m-2a} C^a - \delta_{m, \text{ev}} q C^{\frac{m}{2}},$$

where $\delta_{m, \text{ev}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. Set $\check{\Theta}_{n,m} = 0$ for $m < 0$, and $\check{\Theta}_{n,0} = \frac{1}{q-q^{-1}}$. We

further form the generating function $\check{\Theta}_n(z) = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} (q - q^{-1}) \check{\Theta}_{n,k} z^k$.

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

$$(4.21) \quad \Theta_n(z) = \frac{1 - q^2 C z^2}{1 - C z^2} \check{\Theta}_n(z).$$

Proof. It follows by definitions that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_n(z) &= 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} (q - q^{-1}) \Theta_{n,m} z^m \\ &= \check{\Theta}_n(z) - \sum_{m \geq 1} (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} (q^2 - 1) \check{\Theta}_{n,m-2a} C^a z^m - (q - q^{-1}) \frac{q C z^2}{1 - C z^2} \\ &= \check{\Theta}_n(z) - \sum_{m \geq 1} (q - q^{-1}) \check{\Theta}_{n,m} z^m \sum_{a \geq 1} (q^2 - 1) C^a z^{2a} - (q - q^{-1}) \frac{q C z^2}{1 - C z^2} \\ &= \check{\Theta}_n(z) - (\check{\Theta}_n(z) - 1) \frac{(q^2 - 1) C z^2}{1 - C z^2} - (q^2 - 1) \frac{C z^2}{1 - C z^2} \\ &= \frac{1 - q^2 C z^2}{1 - C z^2} \check{\Theta}_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

4.2.3. *A variant of (4.14).*

Lemma 4.4. *The relation (4.14) is equivalent to the relation*

$$(4.22) \quad (q^2 z - w) \mathbf{B}_n(z) \mathbf{B}_n(w) + (q^2 w - z) \mathbf{B}_n(w) \mathbf{B}_n(z)$$

$$(4.23) \quad = \frac{\Delta(zw) \mathbb{K}_i q^{-2}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{(z - q^2 w)(w - q^2 z)}{z - w} (\check{\Theta}_n(z) - \check{\Theta}_n(w)).$$

Proof. By definition (4.7) of Δ , we have $\Delta(zw) C w = \Delta(zw) z^{-1}$. Using this identity in the the equation (*) below, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} &(q^2 z - w) \mathbf{B}_n(z) \mathbf{B}_n(w) + (q^2 w - z) \mathbf{B}_n(w) \mathbf{B}_n(z) \\ &\stackrel{(4.14)}{=} \frac{\Delta(zw) \mathbb{K}_i}{q - q^{-1}} \left((z - q^{-2} w) \frac{1 - q^2 C w^2}{1 - C w^2} \check{\Theta}_n(w) + (w - q^{-2} z) \frac{1 - q^2 C z^2}{1 - C z^2} \check{\Theta}_n(z) \right) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{\Delta(zw) \mathbb{K}_i}{q - q^{-1}} \left((z - q^{-2} w) \frac{z - q^2 w}{z - w} \check{\Theta}_n(w) + (w - q^{-2} z) \frac{w - q^2 z}{w - z} \check{\Theta}_n(z) \right) \\ &= \frac{\Delta(zw) \mathbb{K}_i q^{-2}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{(z - q^2 w)(w - q^2 z)}{z - w} (\check{\Theta}_n(z) - \check{\Theta}_n(w)). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

4.3. A variant of Drinfeld presentation. Combining all the new variants of relations (4.19), (4.21), and (4.22), we obtain a new Drinfeld presentation of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^r$ below from the original one in Theorem 4.1. For the sake of simplicity of notations, we shall use $\Theta_n(z)$ to denote the $\check{\Theta}_n(z)$ above.

Theorem 4.5. *The affine quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebra generated by the elements $B_{i,l}$, $\Theta_{i,m}$, $\mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1}$, and $C^{\pm 1}$, where $i \in \mathbb{I}_0$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \geq 1$, subject to the following relations (4.24)–(4.32), for $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_0$:*

$$(4.24) \quad C \text{ is central,} \quad [\mathbb{K}_i, \mathbb{K}_j] = [\mathbb{K}_i, \Theta_j(w)] = 0,$$

$$(4.25) \quad \Theta_i(z)\Theta_j(w) = \Theta_j(w)\Theta_i(z),$$

$$(4.26) \quad \mathbb{K}_i \mathbf{B}_j(w) = q^{c_{\tau i, j} - c_{ij}} \mathbf{B}_j(w) \mathbb{K}_i,$$

$$(4.27) \quad \mathbf{B}_j(w)\Theta_i(z) = \frac{(1 - q^{c_{ij}} z w^{-1})(1 - q^{-c_{\tau i, j}} z w C)}{(1 - q^{-c_{ij}} z w^{-1})(1 - q^{c_{\tau i, j}} z w C)} \Theta_i(z) \mathbf{B}_j(w),$$

$$(4.28) \quad [\mathbf{B}_i(z), \mathbf{B}_{\tau i}(w)] = \frac{\Delta(zw)}{q - q^{-1}} (\mathbb{K}_{\tau i} \Theta_i(z) - \mathbb{K}_i \Theta_{\tau i}(w)), \quad \text{if } c_{i, \tau i} = 0,$$

$$(4.29) \quad (q^{c_{ij}} z - w) \mathbf{B}_i(z) \mathbf{B}_j(w) + (q^{c_{ij}} w - z) \mathbf{B}_j(w) \mathbf{B}_i(z) = 0, \quad \text{if } j \neq \tau i,$$

$$(4.30) \quad (q^2 z - w) \mathbf{B}_i(z) \mathbf{B}_i(w) + (q^2 w - z) \mathbf{B}_i(w) \mathbf{B}_i(z) \\ = \frac{\Delta(zw) \mathbb{K}_i q^{-2} (z - q^2 w)(w - q^2 z)}{q - q^{-1} (z - w)} (\Theta_i(z) - \Theta_i(w)), \quad \text{if } i = \tau i,$$

and the Serre relations:

$$(4.31) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{i,j}(w_1, w_2 | z) = 0, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -1, j \neq \tau i \neq i,$$

$$(4.32) \quad (z - qw_1)(z - qw_2) \mathfrak{S}_{i,j}(w_1, w_2 | z) = \mathbb{K}_i \Delta(w_1 w_2) \frac{z(qw_1 - q^{-1}w_2)(q^{-1}w_1 - qw_2)}{w_1 - w_2} \\ (\Theta_i(w_2) \mathbf{B}_j(z) - \Theta_i(w_1) \mathbf{B}_j(z)), \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -1, i = \tau i.$$

Note that, in our setting, $c_{i, \tau i} = 0 \Leftrightarrow i \neq n$ and $i = \tau i \Leftrightarrow i = n$.

4.4. A polynomial representation. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote

$$(4.33) \quad \theta_m(z) = \frac{q^m z - 1}{z - q^m}.$$

Then $\theta_m(z)^{-1} = \theta_m(z^{-1})$. For any subset $I \subset [d]$, we denote

$$(4.34) \quad \Phi_I(z) := \prod_{t \in I} \theta_1(z/x_t).$$

For any set partition $I = (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_l)$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, let (x_I) denote the corresponding ordered set of variables

$$(x_{i_{1,1}}, \dots, x_{i_{1,j_1}}, x_{i_{2,1}}, \dots, x_{i_{2,j_2}}, \dots, x_{i_{l,j_l}}, x_{i_{1,1}}^{-1}, \dots, x_{i_{1,j_1}}^{-1}, x_{i_{2,1}}^{-1}, \dots, x_{i_{2,j_2}}^{-1}, \dots, x_{i_{l,j_l}}^{-1}),$$

where $I_k = \{i_{k,1}, i_{k,2}, \dots, i_{k,j_k}\}$. For any $r \in I_s$, let $\tau_r^+ I$ (respectively, $\tau_r^- I$) be the partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ with r shifted from I_s to I_{s+1} (respectively, I_{s-1}). Let $(x_{\iota_j I})$ denote the ordered set of variables (x_I) with $x_j^{\pm 1}$ switched to $x_j^{\mp 1}$. For example, let $I = (\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\})$ be a set partition of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then

$$f(x_{\tau_3^- \tau_1^+ I}) = f(x_2, x_3, x_1, x_4, x_2^{-1}, x_3^{-1}, x_1^{-1}, x_4^{-1}) = f(x_{\tau_1^+ \tau_3^- I}),$$

and

$$f(x_{\iota_1 \tau_1^+ I}) = f(x_{\tau_1^+ \iota_1 I}) = f(x_2, x_1^{-1}, x_3, x_4, x_2^{-1}, x_1, x_3^{-1}, x_4^{-1}).$$

Denote

$$(4.35) \quad \mathbf{P} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}[q, q^{-1}].$$

Define operators $\hat{B}_{n,k}$ and $\hat{E}_{i,k}, \hat{F}_{i,k}$ (for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{n\}$, i.e., $1 \leq i \leq n-1, k \in \mathbb{Z}$) on

$$\underline{\mathbf{P}} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c},$$

where we recall that

$$\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c} := \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathbb{C} \left[\frac{1}{1-e^\alpha}, \frac{1}{1-q^2 e^\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in R \right] \right)^W.$$

Recall also $\underline{R}(G)(q) = R(G)(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathbb{C} \left[\frac{1}{1-e^\alpha}, \frac{1}{1-q^2 e^\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in R \right] \right)^W$.

Let $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. For $\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}$ (2.2), denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}' &= \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{i+1} + \mathbf{e}_{2n-i} - \mathbf{e}_{2n+1-i}, \\ \mathbf{v}'' &= \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1} - \mathbf{e}_{2n-i} + \mathbf{e}_{2n+1-i}. \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$\hat{E}_{i,k} \in \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \text{Hom}_{\underline{R}(G)(q)}(\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}']^c}, \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c})$$

by letting

$$(4.36) \quad (\hat{E}_{i,k} f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) := \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} x_j^k \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) f(x_{\tau_j^+[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}, \quad \text{for } f \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}']^c}.$$

We further define

$$\hat{F}_{i,k} \in \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \text{Hom}_{\underline{R}(G)(q)}(\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}']^c}, \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c})$$

by letting

$$(4.37) \quad (\hat{F}_{i,k} f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) := \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} x_j^k \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{j\}}(q^{-1}x_j)^{-1} f(x_{\tau_j^-[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}, \quad \text{for } f \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}']^c}.$$

Finally, we define

$$\hat{B}_{n,k} \in \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \text{Hom}_{\underline{R}(G)(q)}(\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}, \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c})$$

by letting

$$(4.38) \quad (\hat{B}_{n,k} f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) := \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} x_j^k \cdot \theta_1(qx_j^2) \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \cdot f(x_{\iota_j[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \in \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}.$$

Remark 4.6. By Propositions 6.1 and 6.3 below, the operators $\hat{E}_{i,k}$ and $\hat{F}_{i,k}$ defined in (4.36)–(4.37) preserve the (non-localized) vector space \mathbf{P} while Proposition 6.2 shows that $\hat{B}_{n,k}$ acts on the localized vector space $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, recalling $N = 2n$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{E}_i(z) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{E}_{i,k} q^{ki} z^k, & \hat{F}_i(z) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{F}_{i,k} q^{-k(N-i)} z^{-k}, \\ \hat{B}_n(z) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{B}_{n,k} q^{kn} z^k.\end{aligned}$$

We define linear operators on $\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$, for each $\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$:

$$(4.39) \quad \begin{cases} \hat{\mathbb{K}}_i = \text{the scalar multiplication by } q^{-v_i+v_{i+1}}, \\ \hat{\mathbb{K}}_{\tau i} = \hat{\mathbb{K}}_i^{-1}, \\ \hat{\mathbb{K}}_n = \text{the scalar multiplication by } -q. \end{cases}$$

This gives rise to linear maps

$$\hat{\mathbb{K}}_i \in \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \text{Hom}_{\underline{\mathbb{R}}(G)(q)}(\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}, \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}), \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{I}_0 = \{1, \dots, 2n-1\}.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, recalling $[\mathbf{v}]_i$ from (2.4) and $\Phi_I(z)$ from (4.34), we define the functions

$$(4.40) \quad \Phi_{i,\mathbf{v}}(z) := \begin{cases} \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i}(q^{1-i}z) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}(q^{-1-i}z)^{-1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1; \\ \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1-n}z) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1+n}z^{-1}), & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\Phi_{n,\mathbf{v}}(z^{-1}) = \Phi_{n,\mathbf{v}}(zq^N)$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we define the operator $\hat{\Theta}_i(z)$ (respectively, $\hat{\Theta}_{\tau i}(z)$), which acts on $\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$ by multiplication with the rational function $q^{v_{i+1}-v_i} \Phi_{i,\mathbf{v}}(z^{-1})$ (respectively, $q^{v_i-v_{i+1}} \Phi_{i,\mathbf{v}}(zq^N)$). All these functions expand as a formal power series in z with leading term 1.

Theorem 4.7. *The assignment*

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : C &\mapsto q^N, & \mathbb{K}_i &\mapsto \hat{\mathbb{K}}_i, & \Theta_i(z) &\mapsto \hat{\Theta}_i(z), \\ \mathbf{B}_i(z) &\mapsto \begin{cases} \hat{E}_i(z) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ \hat{B}_n(z) & \text{if } i = n, \\ \hat{F}_{\tau(i)}(z) & \text{if } 1+n \leq i \leq 2n-1, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

defines a representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$ on \mathbf{P} .

The proof of the theorem will occupy Section 5 and Appendix A.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 4.7

We shall prove Theorem 4.7 by checking that the corresponding operators under Ψ therein satisfy all the relations (4.24)–(4.32) for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$ in Theorem 4.5. The relations (4.24) and (4.25) are clear. The verification of the Serre relations (4.31)–(4.32) is long and will be deferred to Appendix A. In this section we shall verify the remaining relations (4.26) and (4.27)–(4.30) one-by-one.

5.1. **Relation (4.26).** Recall Relation (4.26) states that $\mathbb{K}_i \mathbf{B}_j(w) = q^{c_{\tau i, j} - c_{ij}} \mathbf{B}_j(w) \mathbb{K}_i$.

This relation clearly holds for $i = n$ since $\hat{\mathbb{K}}_n = -q \text{Id}$.

Let us assume $i \neq n$. Since $\hat{\mathbb{K}}_{\tau i} = \hat{\mathbb{K}}_i^{-1}$, it suffices to verify the relation for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. If $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, for any $f \in \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{K}}_i \hat{E}_j(w) \hat{\mathbb{K}}_i^{-1}(f) &= q^{-(\mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_{j+1} - \mathbf{e}_{2n+1-j} + \mathbf{e}_{2n+2-j})i + (\mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_{j+1} - \mathbf{e}_{2n+1-j} + \mathbf{e}_{2n+2-j})i+1} \hat{E}_j(z)(f) \\ &= q^{-2\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-1} + 2\delta_{i,\tau j} - \delta_{i,\tau j+1} - \delta_{i,\tau j-1}} \hat{E}_j(w)(f) \\ &= q^{c_{\tau i, j} - c_{i, j}} \hat{E}_j(w)(f), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{K}}_i \hat{F}_j(w) \hat{\mathbb{K}}_i^{-1}(f) &= q^{(\mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_{j+1} - \mathbf{e}_{2n+1-j} + \mathbf{e}_{2n+2-j})i - (\mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_{j+1} - \mathbf{e}_{2n+1-j} + \mathbf{e}_{2n+2-j})i+1} \hat{F}_j(w)(f) \\ &= q^{c_{i, j} - c_{\tau i, j}} \hat{F}_j(w)(f) \\ &= q^{c_{\tau i, \tau j} - c_{i, \tau j}} \hat{F}_j(w)(f). \end{aligned}$$

If $j = n$, it follows by (4.39) that $\hat{\mathbb{K}}_i \hat{B}_n(w) = \hat{B}_n(w) \hat{\mathbb{K}}_i = q^{c_{\tau i, n} - c_{i, n}} \hat{B}_n(w) \hat{\mathbb{K}}_i$, since $\hat{B}_n(w)$ maps each $\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$ to itself and $c_{\tau i, n} = c_{i, n}$.

5.2. **Relation (4.27).** Recall Relation (4.27) states that

$$\mathbf{B}_j(w) \Theta_i(z) = \frac{(1 - q^{c_{ij}} z w^{-1})(1 - q^{-c_{\tau i, j}} z w C)}{(1 - q^{-c_{ij}} z w^{-1})(1 - q^{c_{\tau i, j}} z w C)} \Theta_i(z) \mathbf{B}_j(w),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbf{B}_j(w) \mathbb{K}_{\tau i} \Theta_i(z) = q^{c_{\tau i, j} - c_{ij}} \frac{(1 - q^{c_{ij}} z w^{-1})(1 - q^{-c_{\tau i, j}} z w C)}{(1 - q^{-c_{ij}} z w^{-1})(1 - q^{c_{\tau i, j}} z w C)} \mathbb{K}_{\tau i} \Theta_i(z) \mathbf{B}_j(w).$$

Since $C \mapsto q^{2n}$, $\hat{\mathbb{K}}_i \hat{\Theta}_{\tau i}(z) = (\hat{\mathbb{K}}_{\tau i} \hat{\Theta}_i(z))|_{z \mapsto z^{-1} q^{-2n}}$, and $q^{c_{\tau i, j} - c_{ij}} \frac{(1 - q^{c_{ij}} z w^{-1})(1 - q^{-c_{\tau i, j}} z w C)}{(1 - q^{-c_{ij}} z w^{-1})(1 - q^{c_{\tau i, j}} z w C)}$ is invariant under the change $i \leftrightarrow \tau i$, $z \leftrightarrow z^{-1} q^{-2n}$, we only need to check the relation (5.1), for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We separate these into 2 cases.

Case (1): $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

We prove it when $1 \leq j \leq n$, as the case of $n+1 \leq j \leq 2n-1$ follows in the same way. The case for $|j-i| \geq 2$ is trivial. So we only need check the cases for $j \in \{i-1, i, i+1\}$. If $j = i-1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\hat{E}_{i-1}(w) \hat{\Theta}_i(z) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i-1}} \delta(q^{i-1} x_r w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i-1} \setminus \{r\}}(q x_r) \cdot (\hat{\Theta}_i(z) f)(x_{\tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= q^{v_{i+1} - v_{i-1}} \Phi_{i, \mathbf{v}}(z^{-1}) \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i-1}} \delta(q^{i-1} x_r w) \theta_1(q^{1-i} z^{-1} x_r^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i-1} \setminus \{r\}}(q x_r) f(x_{\tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= q^{-1} \theta_1(w z^{-1}) (\hat{\Theta}_i(z) \hat{E}_{i-1}(w) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \frac{1 - q^{-1} z w^{-1}}{1 - q z w^{-1}} (\hat{\Theta}_i(z) \hat{E}_{i-1}(w) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from the fact that

$$\delta(q^{i-1} x_r w) \theta_1(q^{1-i} z^{-1} x_r^{-1}) = \delta(q^{i-1} x_r w) \theta_1(w z^{-1}).$$

If $j = i$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\hat{E}_i(w)\hat{\Theta}_i(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} \delta(q^i x_r w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \cdot (\hat{\Theta}_i(z)f)(x_{\tau_r^+[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= q^{v_{i+1}-v_i+2} \Phi_{i,\mathbf{v}}(z^{-1}) \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} \delta(q^i x_r w) \theta_1(q^{-1-i} z^{-1} x_r^{-1})^{-1} \theta_1(q^{1-i} z^{-1} x_r^{-1})^{-1} \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) f(x_{\tau_r^+[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= q^2 \frac{\theta_1(qzw^{-1})}{\theta_1(qwz^{-1})} (\hat{\Theta}_i(z)\hat{E}_i(w)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \frac{1 - q^2 zw^{-1}}{1 - q^{-2} zw^{-1}} (\hat{\Theta}_i(z)\hat{E}_i(w)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}).
\end{aligned}$$

If $j = i + 1 \leq n - 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\hat{E}_{i+1}(w)\hat{\Theta}_i(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} \delta(q^{i+1} x_r w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \cdot (\hat{\Theta}_i(z)f)(x_{\tau_r^+[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= q^{v_{i+1}-v_i-1} \Phi_{i,\mathbf{v}}(z^{-1}) \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} \delta(q^{i+1} x_r w) \theta_1(q^{-1-i} z^{-1} x_r^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) f(x_{\tau_r^+[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= q^{-1} \theta_1(wz^{-1}) (\hat{\Theta}_i(z)\hat{E}_{i+1}(w)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \frac{1 - q^{-1} zw^{-1}}{1 - qzw^{-1}} (\hat{\Theta}_i(z)\hat{E}_{i+1}(w)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}).
\end{aligned}$$

If $j = i + 1 = n$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\hat{B}_n(w)\hat{\Theta}_{n-1}(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(q^n x_r w) \theta_1(qx_r^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \cdot (\hat{\Theta}_{n-1}(z)f)(x_{\tau_r[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= q^{v_n-v_{n-1}} \Phi_{n-1,\mathbf{v}}(z^{-1}) \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(q^n x_r w) \theta_1(q^{-n} z^{-1} x_r^{-1}) \times \\
&\quad \theta_1(q^{-n} z^{-1} x_r)^{-1} \theta_1(qx_r^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) f(x_{\tau_r[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \theta_1(wz^{-1}) \theta_1(q^{2n} zw) (\hat{\Theta}_{n-1}(z)\hat{B}_n(w)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \frac{(1 - q^{-1} zw^{-1})(1 - qzwC)}{(1 - qzw^{-1})(1 - q^{-1} zwC)} (\hat{\Theta}_{n-1}(z)\hat{B}_n(w)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}).
\end{aligned}$$

Case (2): $i = n$.

For $j \notin \{n-1, n, n+1\}$, the relation (5.1) is clear.

If $j = n - 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\hat{E}_{n-1}(w)\hat{\Theta}_n(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(q^{n-1} x_r w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \cdot (\hat{\Theta}_n(z)f)(x_{\tau_r^+[\mathbf{v}]^c})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \Phi_{n, \mathbf{v}}(z^{-1}) \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(q^{n-1} x_r w) \theta_1(q^{1-n} z^{-1} x_r^{-1}) \theta_1(q^{1+n} z x_r^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{r\}}(q x_r) f(x_{\tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \theta_1(w z^{-1}) \theta_1(z w C) (\hat{\Theta}_n(z) \hat{E}_{n-1}(w) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \frac{1 - q^{-1} z w^{-1}}{1 - q z w^{-1}} \frac{1 - q z w C}{1 - q^{-1} z w C} (\hat{\Theta}_i(z) \hat{E}_{i-1}(w) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $j = n$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\hat{B}_n(w) \hat{\Theta}_n(z) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(q^n x_r w) \theta_1(q x_r^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{r\}}(q x_r) \cdot (\hat{\Theta}_n(z) f)(x_{\iota_r [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \Phi_{n, \mathbf{v}}(z^{-1}) \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(q^n x_r w) \theta_1(q^{1-n} z^{-1} x_r) \theta_1(q^{1+n} z x_r) \theta_1(q^{1-n} z^{-1} x_r^{-1})^{-1} \theta_1(q^{1+n} z x_r^{-1})^{-1} \\
 &\quad \theta_1(q x_r^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{r\}}(q x_r) \cdot f(x_{\iota_r [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \theta_1(q C^{-1} w^{-1} z^{-1}) \theta_1(q z w^{-1}) \theta_1(q z^{-1} w)^{-1} \theta_1(q C z w)^{-1} (\hat{\Theta}_n(z) \hat{B}_n(w) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \frac{1 - q^2 z w^{-1}}{1 - q^{-2} z w^{-1}} \frac{1 - q^{-2} z w C}{1 - q^2 z w C} (\hat{\Theta}_n(z) \hat{B}_n(w) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $j = n + 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\hat{F}_{n-1}(w) \hat{\Theta}_n(z) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(q^{n+1} x_r^{-1} w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{r\}}(q^{-1} x_r)^{-1} \cdot (\hat{\Theta}_n(z) f)(x_{\tau_r^- [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \Phi_{n, \mathbf{v}}(z^{-1}) \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(q^{n+1} x_r^{-1} w) \theta_1(q^{1-n} z^{-1} x_r^{-1})^{-1} \theta_1(q^{1+n} z x_r^{-1})^{-1} \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{r\}}(q^{-1} x_r)^{-1} f(x_{\tau_r^- [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \theta_1(w z^{-1}) \theta_1(z w C) (\hat{\Theta}_n(z) \hat{F}_{n-1}(w) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \frac{1 - q^{-1} z w^{-1}}{1 - q z w^{-1}} \frac{1 - q z w C}{1 - q^{-1} z w C} (\hat{\Theta}_i(z) \hat{E}_{i-1}(w) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Relation (5.1), which is equivalent to (4.27), under Ψ .

5.3. Relation (4.28). Relation (4.28) states that $[\mathbf{B}_i(z), \mathbf{B}_{\tau_i}(w)] = \frac{\Delta(zw)}{q-q^{-1}} (\mathbb{K}_{\tau_i} \Theta_i(z) - \mathbb{K}_i \Theta_{\tau_i}(w))$, if $c_{i, \tau_i} = 0$, i.e., $i \neq n$. By symmetry, we can assume $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\hat{E}_i(z) \hat{F}_i(w) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} \sum_{s \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \cup \{r\}} \delta(q^i x_r z) \delta(q^i x_s w^{-1} C^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r\}}(q x_r) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \cup \{r\} \setminus \{s\}}(q^{-1} x_s)^{-1} f(x_{\tau_s^- \tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\hat{F}_i(w) \hat{E}_i(z) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \sum_{s \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \cup \{s\}} \delta(q^i x_r z) \delta(q^i x_s w^{-1} C^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \cup \{s\} \setminus \{r\}}(q x_r) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{s\}}(q^{-1} x_s)^{-1} f(x_{\tau_s^- \tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& ([\hat{E}_i(z), \hat{F}_i(w)]f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= f(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \left(\sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} \delta(q^i x_r z) \delta(q^i x_r w^{-1} C^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}(q^{-1} x_r)^{-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} \delta(q^i x_r z) \delta(q^i x_r w^{-1} C^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i}(qx_r) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{r\}}(q^{-1} x_r)^{-1} \right) \\
&= \frac{f(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c})}{q - q^{-1}} \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \cup [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} \delta(q^i x_r z) \delta(q^i x_r w^{-1} C^{-1}) \frac{B(x_r)}{x_r A'(x_r)},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x) &:= \prod_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \cup [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} (x - x_r) \\
B(x) &:= \prod_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} (qx - q^{-1} x_r) \prod_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} (q^{-1} x - qx_r).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the residue theorem to $\delta(q^i x z) \delta(q^i x w^{-1} C^{-1}) \frac{B(x)}{xA(x)}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& ([\hat{E}_i(z), \hat{F}_i(w)]f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \frac{f(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \delta(zwC)}{q - q^{-1}} \left(\left(\frac{B(x)}{A(x)} \right)^+ \Big|_{x=q^{-i} z^{-1}} - \left(\frac{B(x)}{A(x)} \right)^- \Big|_{x=q^{-i} wC} \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\Delta(zw)}{q - q^{-1}} (\hat{\mathbb{K}}_{\tau i} \hat{\Theta}_i(z) - \hat{\mathbb{K}}_i \hat{\Theta}_{\tau i}(w)) f \right) (x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\left(\frac{B(x)}{A(x)} \right)^\pm \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\mp 1}]$ denotes the Laurent expansion at $x = \infty$ and $x = 0$, respectively, $\Big|_{x=q^{-i} wC}$ denotes the substitution of x by $q^{-i} wC$, and the last equality follows from $\frac{B(x)}{A(x)} = \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i}(qx) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}(q^{-1} x)^{-1}$.

5.4. Relation (4.29). Recall Relation (4.29) states that $(q^{c_{ij}} z - w) \mathbf{B}_i(z) \mathbf{B}_j(w) + (q^{c_{ij}} w - z) \mathbf{B}_j(w) \mathbf{B}_i(z) = 0$, if $j \neq \tau i$.

By the symmetry, we can assume $i \leq j$. There are three cases to consider depending on the values of c_{ij} .

Case (a): $c_{ij} = 0$. The only nontrivial case is that $j = \tau(i - 1)$ and $i \leq n - 1$. It follows by definition that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\hat{E}_i(z) \hat{F}_{i-1}(w) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} \delta(q^i x_r z) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \cdot (\hat{F}_{i-1}(w) f)(x_{\tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \sum_{r, s \in [\mathbf{v}]_i, r \neq s} \delta(q^i x_r z) \delta(q^{i-1} x_s w^{-1} C^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r, s\}}(q^{-1} x_s)^{-1} f(x_{\tau_s^- \tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{F}_{i-1}(w)\hat{E}_i(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{r,s \in [\mathbf{v}]_i, r \neq s} \delta(q^i x_r z) \delta(q^{i-1} x_s w^{-1} C^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{s,r\}}(qx_r) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{s\}}(q^{-1} x_s)^{-1} f(x_{\tau_r^+ \tau_s^- [\mathbf{v}]^c}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta_1(qx_r/x_s) = \theta_1(q^{-1}x_s/x_r)^{-1}$, we have $\hat{E}_i(z)\hat{F}_{i-1}(w) = \hat{F}_{i-1}(w)\hat{E}_i(z)$.

Case (b): $c_{ij} = -1$. By the assumption $i \leq j$, we have $j = i + 1$. Let us first consider the case $i < n - 1$. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{E}_{i+1}(w)\hat{E}_i(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{s \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} \delta(q^{i+1} x_s w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{s\}}(qx_s) \cdot (\hat{E}_i(z)f)(x_{\tau_s^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{s \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} \delta(q^i x_r z) \delta(q^{i+1} x_s w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{s\}}(qx_s) \cdot f(x_{\tau_r^+ \tau_s^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{E}_i(z)\hat{E}_{i+1}(w)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} \delta(q^i x_r z) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \cdot (\hat{E}_{i+1}(w)f)(x_{\tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} \sum_{s \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \cup \{r\}} \delta(q^i x_r z) \delta(q^{i+1} x_s w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \cup \{r\} \setminus \{s\}}(qx_s) \cdot f(x_{\tau_s^+ \tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \theta_1(z/w) (\hat{E}_{i+1}(w)\hat{E}_i(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &+ \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} \delta(q^i x_r z) \delta(q^{i+1} x_r w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}(qx_r) \cdot f(x_{\tau_r^+ \tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \end{aligned}$$

Using $(q^{-1}z - w)\delta(q^i x_r z)\delta(q^{i+1} x_r w) = 0$, we get

$$(q^{-1}z - w)\hat{E}_i(z)\hat{E}_{i+1}(w) = (z - q^{-1}w)\hat{E}_{i+1}(w)\hat{E}_i(z).$$

The case of $i \geq n + 1$ follows in the same way.

Finally, let us prove the case $i = n - 1$ (the case of $i = n$ will follow similarly). By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{B}_n(w)\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{s \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(q^n x_s w) \theta_1(qx_s^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{s\}}(qx_s) \cdot (\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)f)(x_{\iota_s [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{s \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(q^{n-1} x_r z) \delta(q^n x_s w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \theta_1(qx_s^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{s\}}(qx_s) \cdot f(x_{\tau_r^+ \iota_s [\mathbf{v}]^c}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)\hat{B}_n(w)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(q^{n-1} x_r z) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \cdot (\hat{B}_n(w)f)(x_{\tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \sum_{s \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{r\}} \delta(q^{n-1}x_r z) \delta(q^n x_s w) \times \\
&\quad \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \theta_1(qx_s^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{r\} \setminus \{s\}}(qx_s) \cdot f(x_{\iota_s \tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \theta_1(z/w) (\hat{B}_n(w) \hat{E}_{n-1}(z) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&+ \sum_{r \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(q^{n-1}x_r z) \delta(q^n x_r w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \theta_1(qx_r^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_r) \cdot f(x_{\iota_r \tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(q^{-1}z - w)\delta(q^{n-1}x_r z)\delta(q^n x_r w) = 0$, we have

$$(q^{-1}z - w) \hat{E}_{n-1}(z) \hat{B}_n(w) = (z - q^{-1}w) \hat{B}_n(w) \hat{E}_{n-1}(z).$$

Case (c): $c_{ij} = 2$. In this case, $i \neq n$. Let us first consider the case for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.
By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\hat{E}_i(z) \hat{E}_i(w) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \sum_{r, s \in [\mathbf{v}]_i, r \neq s} \delta(q^i x_r z) \delta(q^i x_s w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r\}}(qx_r) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r, s\}}(qx_s) f(x_{\tau_s^+ \tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \theta_1(qw/z) \sum_{r, s \in [\mathbf{v}]_i, r \neq s} \delta(q^i x_r z) \delta(q^i x_s w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r, s\}}(qx_r) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{r, s\}}(qx_s) f(x_{\tau_s^+ \tau_r^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(q^2 z - w) \hat{E}_i(z) \hat{E}_i(w) = (z - q^2 w) \hat{E}_i(w) \hat{E}_i(z).$$

The case for $n+1 \leq i$ is entirely similar.

5.5. Relation (4.30). Recall Relation (4.30) states that $(q^2 z - w) \mathbf{B}_n(z) \mathbf{B}_n(w) + (q^2 w - z) \mathbf{B}_n(w) \mathbf{B}_n(z) = \frac{\Delta(zw) \mathbb{K}_{\alpha_n} q^{-2} (z - q^2 w)(w - q^2 z)}{q - q^{-1} z - w} (\Theta_n(z) - \Theta_n(w))$.

It follows by definition that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{B}_n(z) \hat{B}_n(w) f \\
&= \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(zx_j q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \cdot \iota_j(\hat{B}_n(w) f) \\
&= \sum_{i \neq j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(zx_j q^n) \delta(wx_i q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j, i\}}(qx_j) \times \\
&\quad \theta_1(qx_j/x_i) \theta_1(qx_i^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{i, j\}}(qx_i) \theta_1(qx_i x_j) \cdot \iota_j \iota_i f \\
&+ \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(zx_j q^n) \delta(wx_j^{-1} q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \theta_1(qx_j^{-2}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j^{-1}) f.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(zx_j q^n) \delta(wx_i q^n) \theta_1(qx_j/x_i) &= \delta(zx_j q^n) \delta(wx_i q^n) \theta_1(qw/z), \\
\delta(zx_j q^n) \delta(wx_j^{-1} q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) &= \delta(zx_j q^n) \delta(wx_j^{-1} q^n) \theta_1(qw/z),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(q^2 z - w) \hat{B}_n(z) \hat{B}_n(w) f + (q^2 w - z) \hat{B}_n(w) \hat{B}_n(z) f$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{(q^2z - w)(q^2w - z)}{qw - qz} \left(\sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(zx_j q^n) \delta(wx_j^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_j^{-1}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(wx_j q^n) \delta(zx_j^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_j^{-1}) \right) f \\
 &= \frac{f}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{(q^2z - w)(q^2w - z)}{qw - qz} \operatorname{Res}' \left(\frac{\delta(zxq^n) \delta(wx^{-1}q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx^{-1})}{x} \right) \\
 &= \frac{f \delta(q^N zw)}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{(q^2z - w)(q^2w - z)}{qw - qz} \times \\
 &\quad \left((\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx^{-1}))^+ \Big|_{x=q^{-n}z^{-1}} - (\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx^{-1}))^- \Big|_{x=q^n w} \right) \\
 &= \frac{f \Delta(zw)}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{(q^2z - w)(q^2w - z)}{qw - qz} \times \\
 &\quad \left(\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1-n}z^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1+n}z) - \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1+n}w) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1-n}w^{-1}) \right) \\
 &= \frac{\Delta(zw) \hat{\mathbb{K}}_{\alpha_n} q^{-2}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{(z - q^2w)(w - q^2z)}{z - w} (\hat{\Theta}_n(z) - \hat{\Theta}_n(w)) f,
 \end{aligned}$$

where Res' denotes the sum of the residues of $\frac{\delta(zxq^n) \delta(wx^{-1}q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx^{-1})}{x}$ at the singular points x_j and x_j^{-1} for $j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n$, the third equality follows from the residue theorem, and $(\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx^{-1}))^+$ (respectively, $(\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx^{-1}))^-$) denotes the expansion at $x = \infty$ (respectively, $x = 0$).

6. A K-THEORETIC REALIZATION OF THE AFFINE ι QUANTUM GROUP

In this section, we first introduce certain $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant sheaves on the Steinberg variety \mathcal{Z} . Through the convolution action $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}) \curvearrowright \underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{M})$, we show that the class of these sheaves act on

$$(6.1) \quad \underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \underline{\mathbf{P}}$$

via the operators on $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ defined in §4.4. Then we construct an algebra homomorphism from the ι quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ to the convolution algebra $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$.

6.1. The Θ operator. Recall that $E_{ij}^\theta, E_{ij}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, a)$ are defined in (2.12), and recall the standard basis \mathbf{e}_i ($1 \leq i \leq N$) for \mathbb{C}^N .

Recall the functions $\Phi_{i, \mathbf{v}}$ introduced in Equation (4.40). For $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ (and hence $n + 1 \leq \tau i \leq 2n - 1$) and $k \geq 1$, we denote by $\hat{\Theta}_{i, \mathbf{v}, k}$ (respectively, $\hat{\Theta}_{\tau i, \mathbf{v}, k}$) the coefficient of z^k in the series expansion of

$$(q - q^{-1})^{-1} q^{v_{i+1} - v_i} \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i}(q^{1-i}z^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}(q^{-1-i}z^{-1})^{-1}$$

(respectively, $(q - q^{-1})^{-1} q^{v_i - v_{i+1}} \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i}(q^{1-i+N}z) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}(q^{-1-i+N}z)^{-1}$) at $z = 0$. Denote by $\hat{\Theta}_{n, \mathbf{v}, k}$ the coefficient of z^k in the expansion of $(q - q^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1-n}z^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1+n}z)$ at $z = 0$, for $k \geq 1$.

By construction, we have $\hat{\Theta}_{i,\mathbf{v},k} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2n-1$ and $k \geq 1$. Denote

$$\hat{\Theta}_{i,k} := \sum_{\mathbf{v}} \hat{\Theta}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}.$$

The cotangent bundle \mathcal{M} embeds diagonally into the Steinberg variety \mathcal{Z} , and by the isomorphism (6.1), $\hat{\Theta}_{i,k}$ can also be regarded as equivariant K-theory class on \mathcal{Z} , i.e., $\hat{\Theta}_{i,k} \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$.

6.2. The E operators. Let $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. One sees that $E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)$ is minimal under the order on Ξ_d defined in Equation (2.11). Therefore, the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)}$ is closed by Proposition 2.2.

By definition, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)} = \left\{ (F, F') \mid \begin{array}{l} F=(V_k)_k \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{e}_{N+1-i}}, V'_i \subset V_i, V_k = V'_k \text{ if } k \neq i, N-i \\ F'=(V'_k)_k \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_{i+1}+\mathbf{e}_{N-i}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let \mathcal{L} be the line bundle on $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)}$ whose stalk at (F, F') is V_i/V'_i . Under the isomorphism $K^G(\mathcal{O}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)}) \cong \mathbf{R}^{[E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)]^c}$ in Proposition 2.3(a), this line bundle \mathcal{L} corresponds to $x_{1+\bar{v}_i} \in \mathbf{R}^{[E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)]^c}$. Notice that $[E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)]_{i,i+1} = [1 + \bar{v}_i, 1 + \bar{v}_i]$, $x_{1+\bar{v}_i} \in \mathbf{R}^{[E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)]^c}$.

Let $p_1 : \mathcal{O}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{e}_{N+1-i}}$ be the first projection. Let $T_{p_1}^*$ be the relative cotangent sheaf along the fibers of p_1 and $\text{Det}(T_{p_1}^*)$ be the determinant line bundle on $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)}$. The fiber of p_1 at $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{e}_{N+1-i}}$ is the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(v_i, V_i/V_{i-1})$. Therefore, we have

$$T_{p_1}^* = \sum_{\bar{v}_{i-1} < t \leq \bar{v}_i} x_t/x_{\bar{v}_i+1} \in K^G(\mathcal{O}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)}).$$

Let $\pi : \mathcal{Z}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)}$ be the projection. For any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{i,\mathbf{v},k} &= \pi^*(\text{Det } T_{p_1}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)}), \\ \mathcal{E}_{i,k} &= \sum_{\mathbf{v}} (-q)^{-v_i} \mathcal{E}_{i,\mathbf{v},k} \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the convolution operators on $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}[q, q^{-1}]$ (see (2.19)) corresponding to $\mathcal{E}_{i,k}$. Recall the operators

$$\hat{E}_{i,k} \in \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \text{Hom}_{R(G)[q, q^{-1}]}(\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}']^c}[q, q^{-1}], \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}[q, q^{-1}])$$

from Equation (4.36), where $\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{i+1} + \mathbf{e}_{2n-i} - \mathbf{e}_{2n+1-i}$.

Proposition 6.1. *For any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the convolution action of $\mathcal{E}_{i,k}$ on $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}[q, q^{-1}]$ is given by the operator $\hat{E}_{i,k}$.*

Proof. Let $p_2 : \mathcal{O}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i-\mathbf{e}_{2n+1-i},1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}'}$ be the second projection. For any $f \in K^{\mathbb{C}^* \times G}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}'}) \simeq \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}']^c}[q, q^{-1}]$, the convolution action of $(-q)^{-v_i+1} \mathcal{E}_{i,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i-\mathbf{e}_{2n+1-i},k}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (-q)^{-v_i+1} \mathcal{E}_{i,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i-\mathbf{e}_{2n+1-i},k} \star f \\
 &= (-q)^{-v_i+1} R p_{1*} \left(\bigwedge_{q^2} T_{p_1} \otimes p_2^* f \otimes \pi^*(\text{Det } T_{p_1}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) \right) \\
 &= (-q)^{-v_i+1} W_{[\mathbf{v}]^c} / W_{[E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i-\mathbf{e}_{2n+1-i},1)]^c} \left(\frac{\bigwedge_{q^2} T_{p_1} \otimes p_2^* f \otimes \pi^*(\text{Det } T_{p_1}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})}{\bigwedge(T_{p_1}^*)} \right) \\
 &= S_{[\bar{v}_{i-1}+1, \bar{v}_i]} / S_{[\bar{v}_{i-1}+1, \bar{v}_i-1]} \left(x_{\bar{v}_i}^k \prod_{\bar{v}_{i-1} < t \leq \bar{v}_i-1} \frac{q - q^{-1} x_t / x_{\bar{v}_i}}{1 - x_t / x_{\bar{v}_i}} \cdot f \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} s_{j, \bar{v}_i} \left(x_{\bar{v}_i}^k \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{\bar{v}_i\}}(q x_{\bar{v}_i}) \cdot f \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} x_j^k \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \cdot s_{j, \bar{v}_i} f.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here the first equality follows from Lemma 2.1, the second one follows from Proposition 2.3(b), and the third one is due to $T_{p_1}^* = \sum_{\bar{v}_{i-1} < t \leq \bar{v}_i-1} x_t / x_{\bar{v}_i}$. Since $(s_{j, \bar{v}_i} f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) = f(x_{\tau_j^+[\mathbf{v}]^c})$, we can convert the last formula above to $\hat{E}_{i,k}$ in (4.36) as desired. \square

6.3. The B_n operator. The matrix $E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)$ is not minimal as $\text{diag}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_n + \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) \prec E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}, 1)$. Thus,

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}} = \Delta \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_n+\mathbf{e}_{n+1}} \sqcup \mathcal{O}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)},$$

where $\Delta \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_n+\mathbf{e}_{n+1}}$ denotes the diagonal copy of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_n+\mathbf{e}_{n+1}}$ inside $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_n+\mathbf{e}_{n+1}} \times \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_n+\mathbf{e}_{n+1}}$. More explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{O}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)} = & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F=(0=V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_N=V) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_n+\mathbf{e}_{n+1}} \\ F'=(0=V'_0 \subset V'_1 \subset \dots \subset V'_N=V) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_n+\mathbf{e}_{n+1}} \\ \dim V_n/V_n \cap V'_n = 1, V_k = V'_k \text{ if } k \neq n \end{array} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let p_1 and p_2 denote its projections to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_n+\mathbf{e}_{n+1}}$. Then for any $F = (0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_N = V) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_n+\mathbf{e}_{n+1}}$, we have

$$p_1^{-1}(F) \simeq \{V'_n \subset V \mid V'_n = (V'_n)^\perp, \dim V_n/V_n \cap V'_n = 1\}.$$

To fix a point V'_n on the right hand side, we can first choose a v_n -dimensional subspace of V_n/V_{n-1} , which gives $V'_n \cap V_n$. Then we can choose a line in $(V_n \cap V'_n)^\perp / (V_n \cap V'_n)$. Since $\dim(V_n \cap V'_n)^\perp / (V_n \cap V'_n) = 2$ and $V'_n \neq V_n$, the fiber $p_1^{-1}(F)$ is isomorphic to an \mathbb{A}^1 -bundle over the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(v_n, V_n/V_{n-1})$. Thus, the class of the relative bundle is

$$T_{p_1} = \sum_{t \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \frac{x_{1+\bar{v}_n}}{x_t} + x_{1+\bar{v}_n}^2 = \sum_{t \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \frac{x_d}{x_t} + x_d^2 \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{O}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}).$$

Let \mathcal{L} be the line bundle over $\mathcal{O}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}$ whose fiber over (F, F') is $V_n/V_n \cap V'_n$. Then

$$\mathcal{L} = x_{1+\bar{v}_n} = x_d \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{O}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}).$$

Let $\pi : \mathcal{Z}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}$ denote the projection. Define

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbf{v},k} := \pi^*(\text{Det} T_{p_1}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_{n,k} = \sum_{\mathbf{v}} (-q)^{-v_n-1} \mathcal{E}_{n,\mathbf{v},k}.$$

Although p_1 is not proper, the pushforward $p_{1,*}$ can still be defined using localization, and it is given by the same formula in Proposition 2.3(b). Recall the operator

$$\hat{B}_{n,k} \in \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \text{Hom}_{\underline{R}(G)(q)}(\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}, \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c})$$

defined in Equation (4.38).

Proposition 6.2. *The convolution action of $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ on $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ is given by the operator $\hat{B}_{n,k}$.*

Proof. Let $p_1, p_2 : \mathcal{O}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_n-\mathbf{e}_{n+1},1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$ be the two projections. We will use the notations in the proof of Proposition 2.3 for the flags. The base point $(F, F') \in \mathcal{O}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_n-\mathbf{e}_{n+1},1)}$ with $F = (V_i)$ and $F' = (V'_i)$ satisfies that $V_i = V'_i$ for $i \neq n$, $V_n/V_{n-1} = \text{Span}\{\epsilon_i \mid 1 + \bar{v}_{n-1} \leq i \leq d\}$, and $V'_n/V'_{n-1} = \text{Span}\{\epsilon_{2d}, \epsilon_i \mid 1 + \bar{v}_{n-1} \leq i \leq d-1\}$. Thus, we have

$$F' = \iota_d(F).$$

Recall $\iota_d \in W_c = \mathbb{Z}_2^d \rtimes S_d$ is the non-trivial element in the d -th copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Given any $\mathcal{F} \in K^{\mathbb{C}^* \times G}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}})$, let $f = \mathcal{F}|_F \in \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}[q, q^{-1}]$. Then

$$p_2^*(\mathcal{F})|_{(F,F')} = \mathcal{F}|_{F'} = \iota_d(f).$$

Thus, $p_2^*(\mathcal{F})$ corresponds to $\iota_d(f)$ under the isomorphism in Proposition 2.3(b).

The convolution action of $(-q)^{-v_n} \mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_n-\mathbf{e}_{n+1},k}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (-q)^{-v_n} \mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_n-\mathbf{e}_{n+1},k} \star \mathcal{F} \\ &= (-q)^{-v_n} R p_{1*} \left(\bigwedge_{q^2} T_{p_1} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \pi^*(\text{Det} T_{p_1}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) \right) \\ &= (-q)^{-v_n} W_{[\mathbf{v}]^c} / W_{[E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_n-\mathbf{e}_{n+1},1)]^c} \left(\frac{\bigwedge_{q^2} T_{p_1} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \pi^*(\text{Det} T_{p_1}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})}{\bigwedge(T_{p_1}^*)} \right) \\ &= S_{[\bar{v}_{n-1}+1,d]} / S_{[\bar{v}_{n-1}+1,d-1]} \left(x_d^k \frac{q - q^{-1} x_d^{-2}}{1 - x_d^{-2}} \prod_{\bar{v}_{n-1} < t \leq d-1} \frac{q - q^{-1} x_t/x_d}{1 - x_t/x_d} \cdot \iota_d(f) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} s_{j,d} \left(x_d^k \cdot \theta_1(q x_d^2) \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{d\}}(q x_d) \cdot \iota_d(f) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} x_j^k \cdot \theta_1(q x_j^2) \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \cdot \iota_j(f). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first equation follows from Lemma 2.1, the second one follows from Proposition 2.3(b), the third one is due to $T_{p_1} = \sum_{\bar{v}_{n-1} < t \leq \bar{v}_{n-1}} x_d/x_t + x_d^2$, and the last one follows from the fact that $f \in \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}[q, q^{-1}]$. This finishes the proof. \square

6.4. The F operators. This section is parallel to §6.2. For any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}$ is closed, and we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F=(0=V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_N=V) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_{i+1}+\mathbf{e}_{N-i}} \\ F'=(0=V'_0 \subset V'_1 \subset \dots \subset V'_N=V) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{e}_{N+1-i}} \end{array} \mid \right. \\ \left. V_i \subset V'_i, \dim V'_i/V_i = 1, V_k = V'_k \text{ if } k \neq i, N-i \right\}.$$

Let $q_1 : \mathcal{O}_{E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_{i+1}+\mathbf{e}_{N-i}}$ be the first projection. Let $T_{q_1}^*$ be the relative cotangent sheaf along the fibers of q_1 , and $\text{Det}(T_{q_1}^*)$ be the determinant line bundle on $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}$. The fiber of q_1 over F is the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(1, V_{i+1}/V_i)$. Thus

$$T_{q_1}^* = \sum_{\bar{v}_i+1 < t \leq \bar{v}_{i+1}+1} x_{\bar{v}_i+1}/x_t \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{O}_{E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}).$$

Define a line bundle \mathcal{L}' on $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}$, whose fiber at (F, F') is V'_i/V_i . Under the isomorphism $K^G(\mathcal{O}_{E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}) \cong \mathbf{R}^{[E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)]^c}$ in Proposition 2.3(a), the line bundle \mathcal{L}' corresponds to $x_{1+\bar{v}_i} \in \mathbf{R}^{[E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)]^c}$.

Let $\pi' : \mathcal{Z}_{E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}$ be the projection. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_{i,\mathbf{v},k} = \pi'^*(\text{Det}(T_{p'_1}^*) \otimes \mathcal{L}'^{\otimes k}) \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}),$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{i,k} = \sum_{\mathbf{v}} (-q)^{-v_{i+1}} \mathcal{F}_{i,\mathbf{v},k} \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Recall the operators

$$\hat{F}_{i,k} \in \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \text{Hom}_{R(G)[q,q^{-1}]}(\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}'']^c}[q, q^{-1}], \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}[q, q^{-1}])$$

from Equation (4.37), where $\mathbf{v}'' = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1} - \mathbf{e}_{2n-i} + \mathbf{e}_{2n+1-i}$.

Proposition 6.3. *For any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the convolution action of $\mathcal{F}_{i,k}$ on $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}} \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}[q, q^{-1}]$ is given by the operator $\hat{F}_{i,k}$.*

Proof. Let $q_2 : \mathcal{O}_{E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_{i+1}-\mathbf{e}_{2n-i},1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}''}$ be the second projection. Same argument as in the proof of Proposition 6.1 shows that, for any $f \in K^{\mathbb{C}^* \times G}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}''}) \simeq \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}'']^c}[q, q^{-1}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-q)^{1-v_{i+1}} \mathcal{F}_{i,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_{i+1}-\mathbf{e}_{2n-i},k} \star f \\ &= (-q)^{1-v_{i+1}} W_{[\mathbf{v}]^c} / W_{[E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_{i+1}-\mathbf{e}_{2n-i},1)]^c} \left(\frac{\bigwedge_{q^2} T_{q_1} \otimes q_2^* f \otimes \pi'^*(\text{Det}(T_{q_1}^*) \otimes \mathcal{L}'^{\otimes k})}{\bigwedge(T_{q_1}^*)} \right) \\ &= S_{[\bar{v}_i+1, \bar{v}_{i+1}]} / S_{[\bar{v}_i+2, \bar{v}_{i+1}]} \left(x_{\bar{v}_i+1}^k \prod_{\bar{v}_i+1 < t \leq \bar{v}_{i+1}} \frac{q - q^{-1} x_{\bar{v}_i+1}/x_t}{1 - x_{\bar{v}_i+1}/x_t} \cdot f \right) \\ &= \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} s_{\bar{v}_i+1,j} \left(x_{\bar{v}_i+1}^k \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{1+\bar{v}_i\}}(q^{-1} x_{1+\bar{v}_i})^{-1} \cdot f \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} x_j^k \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{j\}}(q^{-1}x_j)^{-1} \cdot s_{\bar{v}_{i+1}, j} f.$$

The second equality follows from $T_{q_1}^* = \sum_{\bar{v}_i+1 < t \leq \bar{v}_{i+1}} x_{\bar{v}_i+1}/x_t$. Since $(s_{\bar{v}_{i+1}, j} f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) = f(x_{\tau_j^-[\mathbf{v}]^c})$, we convert the last formula to $\hat{F}_{i,k}$ in (4.37) as desired. \square

6.5. The homomorphism Ψ . We are now ready to connect the quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ to the convolution algebra $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$.

Theorem 6.4. *Sending $C \mapsto q^N$, $\mathbb{K}_i \mapsto \hat{\mathbb{K}}_i$, $\Theta_{i,k} \mapsto \hat{\Theta}_{i,k}$, and*

$$B_{i,k} \mapsto \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_{i,k} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ \mathcal{B}_{n,k} & \text{if } i = n, \\ \mathcal{F}_{i,k} & \text{if } 1+n \leq i \leq 2n-1, \end{cases}$$

defines a $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebra homomorphism

$$(6.3) \quad \Psi : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i \longrightarrow \underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}(q)}(\underline{\mathbf{P}}) \\ \vdots & & \parallel \\ \underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}) & \hookrightarrow & \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}(q)}(\underline{\mathbf{P}}) \end{array}$$

where the map on the top row is given by Theorem 4.7, the map on the bottom row is given by the convolution action (see Lemma 2.4 and (6.1)). Thanks to the explicit formulae for the actions of the \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{B} , $\hat{\Theta}$ operators in §6.1–6.4, we see that the correspondence given in the statement of the theorem indeed defines a homomorphism $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i \rightarrow \underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ which makes the above diagram commutative. \square

7. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ -MODULES

In this section, specializing the homomorphism $\Psi : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i \rightarrow \underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ in (6.3) at a non-root of unity, we establish a surjective homomorphism $\Psi_a : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_t^i \rightarrow \underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})_a \cong H_*^{BM}(\mathcal{Z}^a, \mathbb{C})$ in (7.2) and (7.4). We further construct a family of finite-dimensional standard modules and irreducible modules of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_t^i$ and provide a composition multiplicity formula for these standard modules.

7.1. Surjectivity of the homomorphism Ψ_a . In this subsection, we pick $a := (s, t) \in T \times \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfying $1 - e^\alpha(s) \neq 0$ and $1 - t^2 e^\alpha(s) \neq 0$ for all roots $\alpha \in R$.

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_t^i$ denote the specialization of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ at $q = t$. Let \mathbb{C}_a denote the one-dimensional module of $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\text{pt})$ by evaluation at a , and

$$K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})_a := K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes_{K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\text{pt})} \mathbb{C}_a.$$

By the definition of the localized module and the choice of a , we have

$$(7.1) \quad \underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes_{\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\text{pt})} \mathbb{C}_a = K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})_a.$$

The specialization of (6.3) at $q = t$ gives us an algebra homomorphism

$$(7.2) \quad \Psi_a : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_t^i \longrightarrow K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})_a.$$

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose that t is not a root of unity. Then the homomorphism Ψ_a in (7.2) is surjective.*

Remark 7.2. The specialization at $a = (s, t)$, instead of at t , is needed in Theorem 7.1 since a localization at the target space $\underline{K}^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ is used in (7.1).

The remainder of this subsection is devoted to the proof of Theorem 7.1. To that end, we consider the specialization of $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ (and its localization) at $q = t$, denoted by $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})_t$, and the specialized morphism Ψ_t .

Let us first show that $\mathcal{E}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}$, $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$, $\mathcal{F}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}$, and $\hat{\Theta}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}$ belong to the image of Ψ_t . For any $\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}$, let

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{v}} := \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{m=-d \\ m \neq v_{i+1}-v_i}}^d \frac{\mathbb{K}_i - t^m}{t^{v_{i+1}-v_i} - t^m} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_t^i.$$

Lemma 7.3. *For any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \Lambda_{c,d}$, $\Psi_t(\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{v}}) \star K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}$.*

Proof. Recall that, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\hat{\mathbb{K}}_i$ acts on $\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{u}]^c}[q, q^{-1}]$ as scalar multiplication by $q^{u_{i+1}-u_i}$. Thus, for any $\mathcal{F} \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}})$,

$$(7.3) \quad \Psi_t(\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{v}}) \star \mathcal{F} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{m=-d \\ m \neq v_{i+1}-v_i}}^d \frac{t^{u_{i+1}-u_i} - t^m}{t^{v_{i+1}-v_i} - t^m} \mathcal{F}.$$

Hence, if $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}$, the RHS of (7.3) equals \mathcal{F} . If $\Psi_t(\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{v}}) \star \mathcal{F} \neq 0$, then by (7.3) we must have $u_{i+1} - u_i = v_{i+1} - v_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i = d$, we have $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$. \square

The following lemma deals with the diagonal orbits.

Lemma 7.4. *Let $\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d}$. Suppose that t is not a root of unity. Then the elements $\hat{\Theta}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 2n-1$) and the elements $\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^k + x_j^{-k})$, for $k \geq 1$, generate the algebra $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{diag}(\mathbf{v})})_t \simeq \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$.*

Proof. Recall from (4.7) that

$$\Theta_i(z) = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} (q - q^{-1}) \Theta_{i,k} z^k = \exp \left((q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \geq 1} H_{i,k} z^k \right).$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, the operator $\hat{\Theta}_i(z)$ acts on $\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}[q, q^{-1}]$ as the scalar multiplication by $q^{v_{i+1}-v_i} \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i}(q^{1-i} z^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}(q^{-1-i} z^{-1})^{-1}$, and $\hat{\Theta}_{\tau i}(z)$ acts on $\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}[q, q^{-1}]$ as the scalar multiplication by $q^{v_i-v_{i+1}} \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i}(q^{1+N-i}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}(q^{-1+N-i} z)^{-1}$. Also, $\hat{\Theta}_n(z)$ acts on $\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}[q, q^{-1}]$ as the scalar multiplication by $\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_i}(q^{1-n} z^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1+n} z)$. A direct computation shows that the operators $\hat{H}_{i,k}$ acts on $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{diag}(\mathbf{v})})_t \simeq \mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$ by the

following scalar multiplications

$$\hat{H}_{i,k} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} [k]_t t^{(i-1)k} \left(\sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} x_j^k - t^{2k} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} x_j^k \right), & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1; \\ \frac{1}{k} [k]_t t^{(n-1)k} \left(\sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} x_j^k - t^{2k} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} x_j^{-k} \right), & \text{for } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\hat{H}_{\tau i, k} = \frac{1}{k} [k]_t t^{(N-i-1)k} \left(\sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} x_j^{-k} - t^{2k} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} x_j^{-k} \right), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Therefore, the column vector

$$\left(\frac{k}{[k]_t} \hat{H}_{1,k}, \dots, \frac{k}{[k]_t t^{(n-1)k}} \hat{H}_{n,k}, \frac{k}{[k]_t t^{nk}} \hat{H}_{\tau(n-1),k}, \dots, \frac{k}{[k]_t t^{(N-2)k}} \hat{H}_{\tau 1,k}, \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^k + x_j^{-k}) \right)^T$$

is related to the vector

$$\left(\sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_1} x_j^k, \dots, \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} x_j^k, \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} x_j^{-k}, \dots, \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_1} x_j^{-k} \right)^T$$

by the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c & & & \\ & 1 & c & & \\ & & 1 & c & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c = -t^{2k}$. Then $\det(A) = \frac{1-c^{2n}}{1-c}$ is nonzero (since t is not a root of 1) and A is invertible. Since $\left\{ \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} x_j^{\pm k} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 1 \right\}$ generate the algebra $\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$, we conclude that the algebra $\mathbf{R}^{[\mathbf{v}]^c}$ is generated by $\{\hat{H}_{i,k} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1, k \geq 1\}$ and the elements $\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^k + x_j^{-k})$, for $k \geq 1$. The lemma follows by converting $\hat{H}_{i,k}$'s to $\hat{\Theta}_{i,k}$'s. \square

We now deal with the non-diagonal orbits required in Theorem 3.5.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_{c,d-2}$.*

- (1) *For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)})$ (respectively, $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{i+1,i}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)})$) is contained in the algebra generated by $\mathcal{E}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{F}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}$), for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the classes of sheaves supported on the diagonal orbits.*
- (2) *$K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)})$ is contained in the algebra generated by $\mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbf{v},k}$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the classes of sheaves supported on the diagonal orbits.*

Remark 7.6. In Lemma 7.5(2), we consider everything inside $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)})$. By Proposition 3.3, this space is stable under the convolution with the classes supported on the diagonal orbits. However, if we want to consider $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{n,n+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)})$ as elements in $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})$ via pushforward, we need to use localization.

Proof of Lemma 7.5. (1) It suffices to show the statement for the \mathcal{E} -operators, as the other case can be proved similarly. First of all, the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}$ is closed, and by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}) \simeq \mathbf{R}^{[E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)]^c}[q, q^{-1}],$$

where

$$W_{[E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)]^c} = S_{[1, \bar{v}_1]} \times \cdots \times S_{[1+\bar{v}_{i-1}, \bar{v}_i]} \times S_{[1+\bar{v}_i, 1+\bar{v}_i]} \times S_{[2+\bar{v}_i, 1+\bar{v}_{i+1}]} \times \cdots \times S_{[2+\bar{v}_{n-1}, d]}.$$

By the assumption of \mathbf{v} , $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{N+1-i} \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{c}, d}$. Moreover, we have

$$K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{diag}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{N+1-i})}) \simeq \mathbf{R}^{S_{[1, \bar{v}_1]} \times \cdots \times S_{[1+\bar{v}_{i-1}, 1+\bar{v}_i]} \times S_{[2+\bar{v}_i, \bar{v}_{i+1}]} \times \cdots \times S_{[2+\bar{v}_{n-1}, d]}}[q, q^{-1}],$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{E}_{i, \mathbf{v}, k}$ in (6.2), we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{i, \mathbf{v}, k} = \prod_{1+\bar{v}_{i-1} \leq j \leq \bar{v}_i} \frac{x_j}{x_{\bar{v}_i+1}} \cdot x_{\bar{v}_i+1}^k \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}).$$

Therefore, by Proposition 3.3,

$$\prod_{1+\bar{v}_{i-1} \leq j \leq 1+\bar{v}_i} x_j^{-1} \star \mathcal{E}_{i, \mathbf{v}, k} = x_{\bar{v}_i+1}^{k-v_i-1} \in K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)}).$$

Since $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{E_{i,i+1}^\theta(\mathbf{v},1)})$ is generated by $K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{diag}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{N+1-i})})$ and $x_{\bar{v}_i+1}^k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$), Part (1) follows.

Part (2) can be proved in the same way. \square

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 7.1.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Upon specialization at $s \in G$, the elements $\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^k + x_j^{-k})$ in Lemma 7.4 specialize to scalars. Now Theorem 7.1 follows from Theorem 3.5, Lemma 7.3, Lemma 7.4, and Lemma 7.5. \square

7.2. Reduction to the homology case. Recall $a := (s, t) \in T \times \mathbb{C}^*$ as in §7.1 and t is not a root of unity. Let A be the subgroup of $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ generated by a . Then $\mathcal{Z}^A = \mathcal{Z}^a$, where \mathcal{Z}^A (respectively, \mathcal{Z}^a) denotes the fixed loci of \mathcal{Z} under the action of A (respectively, a). We have the following chain of algebra isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z})_a &:= K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes_{K^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\text{pt})} \mathbb{C}_a \\ &\simeq K^A(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes_{R(A)} \mathbb{C}_a \xrightarrow{r_a} K_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{Z}^a) \xrightarrow{RR} H_*^{BM}(\mathcal{Z}^a, \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $H_*^{BM}(\mathcal{Z}^a, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the Borel–Moore homology of \mathcal{Z}^a , which also has a convolution algebra structure, see [CG10, Chapter 2]. The first isomorphism follows from [CG10, Theorem 6.2.10]. The map r_a (respectively, RR) is the bivariant localization map from Theorem 5.11.10 (respectively, bivariant Riemann–Roch map from Theorem 5.11.11) *loc. cit.*. All these maps respect the convolution algebra structures. Composing with the surjective algebra homomorphism Ψ_a from Theorem 7.1, we get a surjective algebra homomorphism

$$(7.4) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_t^i \rightarrow H_*^{BM}(\mathcal{Z}^a, \mathbb{C}).$$

Therefore, every representation of the convolution algebra $H_*^{BM}(\mathcal{Z}^a, \mathbb{C})$ pulls back to a representation of $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_t^i$. Since the homomorphism in (7.4) is surjective, the pullbacks of irreducible representations will remain irreducible.

7.3. Representations of a convolution algebra. We recall Ginzburg's construction of irreducible representations for convolution algebras, see [CG10, §8.6]. Given two graded vector spaces V and W , we write $V \doteq W$ if there is a linear isomorphism that does not necessarily preserve the gradings. We also use the same notation to denote that two objects are quasi-isomorphic up to a shift in the derived category.

Let $\mu : M \rightarrow N$ be a proper map and M is smooth (though possibly disconnected), and let $Z := M \times_N M$. Then $H_*^{BM}(Z, \mathbb{C})$ has a convolution algebra structure.

Let $\mathcal{D}^b(N)$ be the bounded derived category of complexes of sheaves with constructible cohomology sheaves. Then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}^b(N)}^*(\mu_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M, \mu_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M)$ has an algebra structure via the Yoneda product, and we have the following algebra isomorphism

$$H_*^{BM}(Z, \mathbb{C}) \doteq \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}^b(N)}^*(\mu_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M, \mu_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M).$$

By the BBDG decomposition theorem, we have the following isomorphism

$$\mu_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M \simeq \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, \phi} L_\phi(k) \otimes \text{IC}_\phi[k] \in \mathcal{D}^b(N),$$

where $L_\phi(k)$ is a vector space, and IC_ϕ is some simple perverse sheaf on N such that some shift of it is a direct summand of $\mu_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M$. Let $L_\phi := \bigoplus_k L_\phi(k)$. Applying this decomposition to the above isomorphism and using some property of the IC sheaves, we obtain that

$$H_*^{BM}(Z, \mathbb{C}) \doteq \left(\bigoplus_{\phi} \text{End} L_\phi \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{\phi, \psi, k > 0} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(L_\phi, L_\psi) \otimes \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}^b(N)}^k(\text{IC}_\phi, \text{IC}_\psi) \right).$$

The first sum is a direct sum of matrix algebras, hence semisimple. The second sum is concentrated in degrees $k > 0$ and is the radical of the algebra $H_*^{BM}(Z, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, $\{L_\phi \mid L_\phi \neq 0\}$ forms a complete set of the isomorphism classes of simple $H_*^{BM}(Z, \mathbb{C})$ -modules.

There is also an equivariant version of this. Suppose a linear algebra group H acts on M and N such that $\mu : M \rightarrow N$ is H -equivariant. Further, assume that there are only finitely many H -orbits on N . Then the data ϕ in the decomposition theorem is $\phi = (\mathcal{O}_\phi, \chi_\phi)$, where $\mathcal{O}_\phi \subset N$ is an H -orbit, while χ_ϕ is an irreducible H -equivariant local system on \mathcal{O}_ϕ . Recall that χ_ϕ corresponds to some irreducible representation of the component group $H(x)/H(x)^\circ$ of the stabilizer subgroup $H(x)$ of a point x in the orbit \mathcal{O}_ϕ . Let M_x denote the fiber $\mu^{-1}(x)$. Then the homology $H_*(M_x)$ has a commuting action of $H(x)/H(x)^\circ$ and $H_*^{BM}(Z, \mathbb{C})$. We let $H_*(M_x)_\phi$ denote the χ_ϕ -isotypical component of $H_*(M_x)$.

For any two parameters $\phi = (\mathcal{O}_\phi, \chi_\phi)$ and $\psi = (\mathcal{O}_\psi, \chi_\psi)$, choose a point $x \in \mathcal{O}_\phi$ and let $i_x : \{x\} \hookrightarrow N$ denote the inclusion.

Proposition 7.7. [CG10, Theorem 8.6.23] *The multiplicity of the simple $H_*^{BM}(Z, \mathbb{C})$ -module L_ψ in the composition series of $H_*(M_x)_\phi$ is given by*

$$[H_*(M_x)_\phi : L_\psi] = \sum_k \dim H^k(i_x^! \mathrm{IC}_\psi)_\phi,$$

where $H^k(i_x^! \mathrm{IC}_\psi)_\phi$ denotes the χ_ϕ -component of $H^k(i_x^! \mathrm{IC}_\psi)$.

7.4. Standard modules and irreducible modules. We apply the above constructions to our case. Recall $a = (s, t) \in T \times \mathbb{C}^*$. Let $G(s) \subset G$ be the centralizer of s . By definition,

$$\mathcal{N}^a = \{x \in \mathcal{N} \mid sxs^{-1} = t^{-2}x\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}^a := \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{v}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{v}}^a$ be the fixed loci. Then the map $\pi : \mathcal{M}^a \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^a$ is $G(s)$ -equivariant. The equivariant version of the decomposition theorem gives

$$\pi_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathcal{M}^a} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, \phi = (\mathcal{O}_\phi \subset \mathcal{N}^a, \chi_\phi)} L_\phi(k) \otimes \mathrm{IC}_\phi[k].$$

Let $L_\phi = \bigoplus_k L_\phi(k)$. For any $x \in \mathcal{O}_\phi$, the pullback via (7.4) of the $H_*^{BM}(Z^a, \mathbb{C})$ -module $H_*(\mathcal{M}_x)_\phi$ is called a *standard module* of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_t^i$. We also view L_ϕ as a $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_t^i$ -module this way. Then the above results give the following proposition.

Theorem 7.8. *Assume that t is not a root of unity.*

- (1) *The module L_ϕ is a simple $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_t^i$ -module.*
- (2) *For any $\phi = (\mathcal{O}_\phi, \chi_\phi)$ and $\psi = (\mathcal{O}_\psi, \chi_\psi)$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}_\phi$,*

$$[H_*(\mathcal{M}_x)_\phi : L_\psi] = \sum_k \dim H^k(i_x^! \mathrm{IC}_\psi)_\phi.$$

Proof. The simplicity of L_ϕ follows from Theorem 7.1. The rest follows from Proposition 7.7. \square

APPENDIX A. VERIFICATION OF SERRE RELATIONS

In this appendix, we verify the Serre relations (4.31)–(4.32) for the corresponding operators in Theorem 4.7, completing the proof of this theorem in Section 5.

A.1. Serre relations (4.31). The Serre relations (4.31) states that

$$(A.1) \quad \mathbb{S}_{i,j}(w_1, w_2|z) = 0, \quad \text{for } c_{ij} = -1, j \neq \tau i \neq i.$$

The relation (A.1) under the additional assumption that $(i, j) \neq (n-1, n)$ can be verified just as in [Vas98].

The remaining case of (A.1) when $(i, j) = (n-1, n)$ can be checked in the same way as the Serre relation (4.32) treated in the next subsection.

A.2. **Serre relations** (4.32). We shall verify the following Serre relation:

(A.2)

$$(z - qw_1)(z - qw_2)\mathbb{S}_{n,j}(w_1, w_2|z) = \mathbb{K}_n\mathbf{\Delta}(w_1w_2) \\ \times \frac{z(qw_1 - q^{-1}w_2)(q^{-1}w_1 - qw_2)}{w_1 - w_2} (\mathbf{\Theta}_n(w_2)\mathbf{B}_j(z) - \mathbf{\Theta}_n(w_1)\mathbf{B}_j(z)), \text{ if } c_{nj} = -1.$$

The assumption $c_{nj} = -1$ means that $j = n \pm 1$. We check below the case for $j = n - 1$, skipping the completely analogous case for $j = n + 1$.

We first note that

$$(A.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta(w_1x_j^{-1}q^n)\delta(w_2x_jq^n)\theta_1(qx_j^2) &= \delta(w_1x_j^{-1}q^n)\delta(w_2x_jq^n)\theta_1(qw_2/w_1) \\ \delta(w_1x_jq^n)\delta(w_2x_j^{-1}q^n)\theta_1(qx_j^2) &= \delta(w_1x_jq^n)\delta(w_2x_j^{-1}q^n)\theta_1(qw_1/w_2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(A.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_1(qw_2/w_1) - [2]\theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_2) + \theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_2) \\ = -\theta_1(qw_1/w_2) + [2]\theta_1(qw_1/w_2)\theta_1(z/w_1) - \theta_1(qw_1/w_2)\theta_1(z/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_2) \\ = \frac{z(q^2 - 1)(q^{-1}w_1 - qw_2)(qw_1 - q^{-1}w_2)}{(w_1 - w_2)(z - qw_1)(z - qw_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\hat{B}_n(w_1)\hat{B}_n(w_2)\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1x_jq^n)\theta_1(qx_j^2)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \cdot (\hat{B}_n(w_2)\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)f)(x_{\iota_j[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}} \delta(w_1x_jq^n)\theta_1(qx_j^2)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j)\delta(w_2x_kq^n)\theta_1(qx_k^2)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j,k\}}(qx_k)\theta_1(qx_kx_j) \\ &\quad \cdot (\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)f)(x_{\iota_k\iota_j[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1x_jq^n)\theta_1(qx_j^2)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j)\delta(w_2x_j^{-1}q^n)\theta_1(qx_j^{-2})\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j^{-1}) \cdot (\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{j \neq k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(w_1x_jq^n)\theta_1(qx_j^2)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j)\delta(w_2x_kq^n)\theta_1(qx_k^2)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j,k\}}(qx_k)\theta_1(qx_kx_j) \\ &\quad \cdot \delta(zx_lq^{n-1})\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(qx_l)f(x_{\tau_l^+\iota_k\iota_j[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(w_1x_jq^n)\theta_1(qx_j^2)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j)\delta(w_2x_j^{-1}q^n)\theta_1(qx_j^{-2})\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot \delta(zx_lq^{n-1})\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(qx_l)f(x_{\tau_l^+[\mathbf{v}]^c}). \end{aligned}$$

We also compute that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\hat{B}_n(w_1)\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)\hat{B}_n(w_2)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ &= \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1x_jq^n)\theta_1(qx_j^2)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \cdot (\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)\hat{B}_n(w_2)f)(x_{\iota_j[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) (\hat{B}_n(w_2) f)(x_{\tau_l^+ \iota_j [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) \\
 &\quad \cdot \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_k) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) f(x_{\iota_k \tau_l^+ \iota_j [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) \\
 &\quad \cdot \delta(w_2 x_j^{-1} q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^{-2}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j^{-1}) f(x_{\tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\hat{E}_{n-1}(z) \hat{B}_n(w_1) \hat{B}_n(w_2) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) (\hat{B}_n(w_1) \hat{B}_n(w_2) f)(x_{\tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}} \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) \\
 &\quad \cdot \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) (\hat{B}_n(w_2) f)(x_{\iota_j \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &= \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}} \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}} \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \\
 &\quad \cdot \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_k) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) f(x_{\iota_k \iota_j \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}} \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \\
 &\quad \cdot \delta(w_2 x_j^{-1} q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^{-2}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j^{-1}) f(x_{\tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Each of the formulas above for $\hat{B}_n(w_1) \hat{B}_n(w_2) \hat{E}_{n-1}(z)$, $\hat{B}_n(w_1) \hat{E}_{n-1}(z) \hat{B}_n(w_2)$, and $\hat{E}_{n-1}(z) \hat{B}_n(w_1) \hat{B}_n(w_2)$ consists of two big summands. The corresponding linear combination of the first summands in

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\left(\hat{B}_n(w_1) \hat{B}_n(w_2) \hat{E}_{n-1}(z) - [2] \hat{B}_n(w_1) \hat{E}_{n-1}(z) \hat{B}_n(w_2) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \hat{E}_{n-1}(z) \hat{B}_n(w_1) \hat{B}_n(w_2) + (w_1 \leftrightarrow w_2) \right) f \right) (x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c})
 \end{aligned}$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{j \neq k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) \\
 &\quad \cdot f(x_{\tau_l^+ \iota_k \iota_j [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_k) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j \neq k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) \\
 &\quad \cdot f(x_{\tau_l^+ \iota_k \iota_j [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{k\}}(q x_k) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - [2] \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) \\
& \quad \cdot f(x_{\iota_k \tau_l^+ \iota_j [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_k) \\
& - [2] \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{k\}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) \\
& \quad \cdot f(x_{\iota_j \tau_l^+ \iota_k [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{k\}}(q x_k) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_j) \\
& + \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}} \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) \\
& \quad \cdot f(x_{\iota_k \iota_j \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_k) \\
& + \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{k\}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \delta(z x_l q^{n-1}) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) \\
& \quad \cdot f(x_{\iota_j \iota_k \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(q x_l) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{k\}}(q x_k) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_j).
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that the above expression is equal to 0.

Let us prove the claim. To than end, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \neq k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) f(x_{\tau_l^+ \iota_k \iota_j [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
& \quad \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_k) \\
& + \sum_{j \neq k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) f(x_{\tau_l^+ \iota_k \iota_j [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
& \quad \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{k\}}(q x_k) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_j) \\
& - [2] \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) f(x_{\iota_k \tau_l^+ \iota_j [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
& \quad \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_k) \\
& - [2] \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{k\}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) f(x_{\iota_j \tau_l^+ \iota_k [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
& \quad \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{k\}}(q x_k) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_j) \\
& + \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}} \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) f(x_{\iota_k \iota_j \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
& \quad \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_k) \\
& + \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{k\}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) f(x_{\iota_j \iota_k \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
& \quad \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{k\}}(q x_k) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_j) \\
& = \sum_{j \neq k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(q x_j^2) \theta_1(q x_k^2) \theta_1(q x_k x_j) f(x_{\tau_l^+ \iota_k \iota_j [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
& \quad \cdot \left(\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(q x_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_k) + \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{k\}}(q x_k) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j, k\}}(q x_j) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - [2] \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j,k\}}(qx_k) - [2] \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j,k\}}(qx_j) \\
 & + \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j,k\}}(qx_k) + \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j,k\}}(qx_j) \Big) \\
 & - [2] \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_l q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \theta_1(qx_l^2) \theta_1(qx_l x_j) f(x_{\iota_l \tau_l^+ \iota_j [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 & \quad \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_l) \\
 & - [2] \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_l q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(qx_l^2) \theta_1(qx_k^2) \theta_1(qx_k x_l) f(x_{\iota_l \tau_l^+ \iota_k [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 & \quad \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{k\}}(qx_l) \\
 & + \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_l q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \theta_1(qx_l^2) \theta_1(qx_l x_j) f(x_{\iota_l \iota_j \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 & \quad \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_l) \\
 & + \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_l q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(qx_l^2) \theta_1(qx_k^2) \theta_1(qx_k x_l) f(x_{\iota_k \iota_l \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 & \quad \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \\
 & + \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_l q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(qx_l^2) \theta_1(qx_k^2) \theta_1(qx_k x_l) f(x_{\iota_l \iota_k \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
 & \quad \cdot \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{k\}}(qx_l) \\
 & + \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_l q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \theta_1(qx_l^2) \theta_1(qx_l x_j) f(x_{\iota_j \iota_l \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j),
 \end{aligned}$$

which can then be rewritten using the identity $\theta_1(qx) + \theta_1(qx^{-1}) = [2]$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = \sum_{j \neq k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \theta_1(qx_k^2) \theta_1(qx_k x_j) \\
 & \quad \cdot f(x_{\tau_l^+ \iota_k \iota_j [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j,k\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j,k\}}(qx_k) \\
 & \quad \cdot \left(\theta_1(qx_j/x_k) + \theta_1(qx_k/x_j) - [2] \theta_1(qx_j/x_k) \theta_1(qx_k/x_l) - [2] \theta_1(qx_k/x_j) \theta_1(qx_j/x_l) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \theta_1(qx_j/x_l) \theta_1(qx_j/x_k) \theta_1(qx_k/x_l) + \theta_1(qx_k/x_l) \theta_1(qx_k/x_j) \theta_1(qx_j/x_l) \right) \\
 & = \sum_{j \neq k \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_k q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \theta_1(qx_k^2) \theta_1(qx_k x_j) \\
 & \quad \cdot f(x_{\tau_l^+ \iota_k \iota_j [\mathbf{v}]^c}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j,k\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j,k\}}(qx_k) \\
 & \quad \cdot \left([2] - \theta_1(qx_l/x_j) \theta_1(qx_j/x_k) \theta_1(qx_k/x_l) - \theta_1(qx_l/x_k) \theta_1(qx_k/x_j) \theta_1(qx_j/x_l) \right) \\
 & = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim.

Summarizing, keeping in mind the desired Serre relation (A.2), we continue to compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\hat{B}_n(w_1)\hat{B}_n(w_2)\hat{E}_{n-1}(z) - [2]\hat{B}_n(w_1)\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)\hat{B}_n(w_2) + \hat{E}_{n-1}(z)\hat{B}_n(w_1)\hat{B}_n(w_2) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + (w_1 \leftrightarrow w_2) \right) f \right) (x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&= \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(zx_l q^{n-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(qx_l) f(x_{\tau_l^+[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
& \cdot \left(\sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_j^{-1} q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \theta_1(qx_j^{-2}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \right. \\
& \quad + \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j^{-1} q^n) \delta(w_2 x_j q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \theta_1(qx_j^{-2}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
& \quad - [2] \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_j^{-1} q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \theta_1(qx_j^{-2}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
& \quad - [2] \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_2 x_j q^n) \delta(w_1 x_j^{-1} q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \theta_1(qx_j^{-2}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_j^{-1} q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \theta_1(qx_j^{-2}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}} \delta(w_1 x_j^{-1} q^n) \delta(w_2 x_j q^n) \theta_1(qx_j^2) \theta_1(qx_j^{-2}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j^{-1}) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten using the identities (A.3) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(zx_l q^{n-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(qx_l) f(x_{\tau_l^+[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
& \cdot \left(\theta_1(qw_2/w_1) \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_j^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_j^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \right. \\
& \quad + \theta_1(qw_1/w_2) \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j^{-1} q^n) \delta(w_2 x_j q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
& \quad - [2] \theta_1(qw_2/w_1) \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_j^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
& \quad - [2] \theta_1(qw_1/w_2) \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_2 x_j q^n) \delta(w_1 x_j^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
& \quad + \theta_1(qw_2/w_1) \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}} \delta(w_1 x_j q^n) \delta(w_2 x_j^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
& \quad \left. + \theta_1(qw_1/w_2) \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}} \delta(w_1 x_j^{-1} q^n) \delta(w_2 x_j q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\} \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \cup \{l\}}(qx_j^{-1}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(zx_l q^{n-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(qx_l) f(x_{\tau_l^+[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&\cdot \left(\theta_1(qw_2/w_1) \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1x_j q^n) \delta(w_2x_j^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_j^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \right. \\
&\quad + \theta_1(qw_1/w_2) \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1x_j^{-1} q^n) \delta(w_2x_j q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
&\quad - [2]\theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_2) \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1x_j q^n) \delta(w_2x_j^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
&\quad - [2]\theta_1(qw_1/w_2)\theta_1(z/w_1) \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_2x_j q^n) \delta(w_1x_j^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
&\quad + \theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_2) \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1x_j q^n) \delta(w_2x_j^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
&\quad + \theta_1(qw_1/w_2)\theta_1(z/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_2) \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1x_j^{-1} q^n) \delta(w_2x_j q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_j^{-1}) \\
&\quad + \theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\delta(w_1x_l q^n) \delta(w_2x_l^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l^{-1}) \theta_1(qx_l^{-2}) \\
&\quad \left. + \theta_1(qw_1/w_2)\delta(w_1x_l^{-1} q^n) \delta(w_2x_l q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l^{-1}) \theta_1(qx_l^{-2}) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which can be further rewritten using (A.4) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \left(\theta_1(qw_2/w_1) - [2]\theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_2) + \theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_2) \right) \\
&\left(\sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1x_j q^n) \delta(w_2x_j^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_j^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{v}]_n} \delta(w_1x_j^{-1} q^n) \delta(w_2x_j q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n \setminus \{j\}}(qx_j) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_j^{-1}) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(zx_l q^{n-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(qx_l) f(x_{\tau_l^+[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\
&\left(\theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\delta(w_1x_l q^n) \delta(w_2x_l^{-1} q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l^{-1}) \theta_1(qx_l^{-2}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \theta_1(qw_1/w_2)\delta(w_1x_l^{-1} q^n) \delta(w_2x_l q^n) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l^{-1}) \theta_1(qx_l^{-2}) \right) \\
&= (\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \left(\theta_1(qw_2/w_1) - [2]\theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_2) + \theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_1)\theta_1(z/w_2) \right) \\
&\quad \frac{\Delta(w_1w_2)}{q - q^{-1}} \left(\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1-n}w_1^{-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1+n}w_1) - \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1+n}w_2) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(q^{1-n}w_2^{-1}) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(zx_l q^{n-1}) \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(qx_l) f(x_{\tau_l^+[\mathbf{v}]^c})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\delta(w_1x_lq^n)\delta(w_2x_l^{-1}q^n)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l^{-1})\theta_1(qx_l^{-2}) \right. \\ \left. + \theta_1(qw_1/w_2)\delta(w_1x_l^{-1}q^n)\delta(w_2x_lq^n)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l^{-1})\theta_1(qx_l^{-2}) \right),$$

which can be shown using (A.4) again to be equal to

$$= -q\Delta(w_1w_2)\frac{z(q^{-1}w_1 - qw_2)(qw_1 - q^{-1}w_2)}{(w_1 - w_2)(z - qw_1)(z - qw_2)}(\hat{\Theta}_n(w_2)\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)f - \hat{\Theta}_n(w_1)\hat{E}_{n-1}(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \\ + \sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(zx_lq^{n-1})\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(qx_l)f(x_{\tau_l^+[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \times \\ \left(\theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\delta(w_1x_lq^n)\delta(w_2x_l^{-1}q^n)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l^{-1})\theta_1(qx_l^{-2}) \right. \\ \left. + \theta_1(qw_1/w_2)\delta(w_1x_l^{-1}q^n)\delta(w_2x_lq^n)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l^{-1})\theta_1(qx_l^{-2}) \right).$$

Multiplying both sides by $(z - qw_1)(z - qw_2)$ will kill the extra terms

$$\sum_{l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{n-1}} \delta(zx_lq^{n-1})\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_{n-1} \setminus \{l\}}(qx_l)f(x_{\tau_l^+[\mathbf{v}]^c}) \times \\ \left(\theta_1(qw_2/w_1)\delta(w_1x_lq^n)\delta(w_2x_l^{-1}q^n)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l^{-1})\theta_1(qx_l^{-2}) \right. \\ \left. + \theta_1(qw_1/w_2)\delta(w_1x_l^{-1}q^n)\delta(w_2x_lq^n)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l)\Phi_{[\mathbf{v}]_n}(qx_l^{-1})\theta_1(qx_l^{-2}) \right).$$

Thus, we have established the Serre relation (A.2).

REFERENCES

- [Bec94] Jonathan Beck. Braid group action and quantum affine algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 165(3):555–568, 1994.
- [BKLW18] Huanchen Bao, Jonathan Kujawa, Yiqiang Li, and Weiqiang Wang. Geometric Schur duality of classical type. *Transform. Groups*, 23(2):329–389, 2018.
- [BLM90] Alexander Beilinson, George Lusztig, and Robert MacPherson. A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n . *Duke Math. J.*, 61(2):655–677, 1990.
- [CG10] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg. *Representation theory and complex geometry*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Ltd., Boston, MA, 2010. Reprint of the 1997 edition.
- [Dam12] Ilaria Damiani. Drinfeld realization of affine quantum algebras: the relations. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 48(3):661–733, 2012.
- [Dri87] Vladimir Drinfeld. A new realization of Yangians and of quantum affine algebras. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 296(1):13–17, 1987.
- [FLL⁺20] Zhaobing Fan, Chun-Ju Lai, Yiqiang Li, Li Luo, and Weiqiang Wang. Affine flag varieties and quantum symmetric pairs. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 265(1285):v+123, 2020.
- [FMX22] Zhaobing Fan, Haitao Ma, and Husileng Xiao. Equivariant K-theory approach to ι -quantum groups. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 58(3):635–668, 2022.
- [Gin91] Victor Ginzburg. Lagrangian construction of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 312(12):907–912, 1991.

- [GV93] Victor Ginzburg and Éric Vasserot. Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type A_n . *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (3):67–85, 1993.
- [KL87] David Kazhdan and George Lusztig. Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 87(1):153–215, 1987.
- [Li19] Yiqiang Li. Quiver varieties and symmetric pairs. *Represent. Theory*, 23:1–56, 2019.
- [Li21] Yiqiang Li. Quasi-split symmetric pairs of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ and Steinberg varieties of classical type. *Represent. Theory*, 25:903–934, 2021.
- [Lus85] George Lusztig. Equivariant K -theory and representations of Hecke algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 94(2):337–342, 1985.
- [Lus99] George Lusztig. Aperiodicity in quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n . *Asian J. Math.*, 3(1):147–177, 1999.
- [LW21] Ming Lu and Weiqiang Wang. A Drinfeld type presentation of affine ι quantum groups I: Split ADE type. *Adv. Math.*, 393:Paper No. 108111, 46, 2021.
- [LWZ23] Ming Lu, Weiqiang Wang, and Weinan Zhang. Braid group action and quasi-split affine ι quantum groups I. *Represent. Theory*, 27:1000–1040, 2023.
- [LWZ24] Ming Lu, Weiqiang Wang, and Weinan Zhang. Braid group action and quasi-split affine ι quantum groups II: higher rank. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 405(6):Paper No. 142, 2024.
- [MO19] Dवेश Maulik and Andrei Okounkov. Quantum groups and quantum cohomology. *Astérisque*, (408):ix+209, 2019.
- [Nak01] Hiraku Nakajima. Quiver varieties and finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14(1):145–238, 2001.
- [Vas93] Éric Vasserot. Représentations de groupes quantiques et permutations. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 26(6):747–773, 1993.
- [Vas98] Éric Vasserot. Affine quantum groups and equivariant K -theory. *Transform. Groups*, 3(3):269–299, 1998.
- [Wan23] Weiqiang Wang. Quantum symmetric pairs. In *ICM—International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. 4. Sections 5–8*, pages 3080–3102. EMS Press, Berlin, 2023.
- [Xi07] Nanhua Xi. Representations of affine Hecke algebras and based rings of affine Weyl groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20(1):211–217, 2007.
- [Zha22] Weinan Zhang. A Drinfeld-type presentation of affine ι quantum groups II: split BCFG type. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 112(5):Paper No. 89, 33, 2022.

YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, CHINA
Email address: changjiansu@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22903,
USA
Email address: ww9c@virginia.edu