

Monochromatic Sumsets in Countable Colourings of Abelian Groups

Imre Leader Kada Williams

July 8, 2024

Abstract

Fernández-Bretón, Sarmiento and Vera showed that whenever a direct sum of sufficiently many copies of \mathbb{Z}_4 , the cyclic group of order 4, is countably coloured there are arbitrarily large finite sets X whose sumsets $X+X$ are monochromatic. They asked if the elements of order 4 are necessary, in the following strong sense: if G is an abelian group having no elements of order 4, is it always the case there there is a countable colouring of G for which there is not even a monochromatic sumset $X+X$ with X of size 2? Our aim in this short note is to show that this is indeed the case.

1 Introduction

It follows directly from Ramsey's theorem that, whenever the naturals are finitely coloured, there is an infinite set X such that all pairwise sums of distinct elements of X have the same colour. However, if one asks for a stronger conclusion, that the entire sumset $X+X = \{x+y : x, y \in X\}$ (in other words, including terms of the form $x+x$) is monochromatic, then it is easy to see that the answer is no – indeed, it is a simple matter to find a 3-colouring yielding no such monochromatic set.

It is worth mentioning that, surprisingly, it is unknown as to whether or not this can be achieved with a 2-colouring: this is called Owings' problem [15]. For background on this, see [8].

If one extends from the naturals to the rationals, the answer remains no: there is a 12-colouring of \mathbb{Q} with no infinite sumset monochromatic. What about for the reals? Hindman, Leader and Strauss [8] showed that, for every rational vector space of dimension smaller than \aleph_ω , there is a finite colouring without an infinite monochromatic sumset. This establishes the answer for

the reals if we assume CH. In the other direction, Leader and Russell [14] showed that there *are* vector spaces where the answer is yes: if we finitely colour a rational vector space of dimension $\beth_\omega = \sup\{\aleph_0, 2^{\aleph_0}, 2^{2^{\aleph_0}}, \dots\}$ then there is always an infinite monochromatic sumset. Subsequently, Komjáth, Leader, Russell, Shelah, Soukup and Vidnyánszky [11] proved that this positive result also holds for the reals, under a ‘large cardinal’ assumption, and finally Zhang [16] completed the picture by removing this assumption: he gave an ingenious argument to show that it is consistent that whenever the reals are finitely coloured there is an infinite monochromatic sumset.

Several of these results have been considered and generalised to finite colourings of abelian groups, not just rational vector spaces. See for example Fernández-Bretón [3] and Fernández-Bretón and Lee [4]. For general background and several new results in this direction see Fernández-Bretón, Sarmiento and Vera [6].

Turning to colourings with countably many colours, there are results about monochromatic structures when we countably colour the finite subsets of a (large) set, going back at least as far as Komjáth and Shelah ([12] and [13]) – see also Komjáth [10] and Fernández-Bretón and Rinot [5] for results about abelian groups. However, for sumsets, the situation is very different to the descriptions above. Indeed, one can never guarantee an infinite sumset, however large the abelian group. This was proved in [6]. But what happens for finite sumsets? The authors of [6] gave an elegant argument, based on the Erdős-Rado theorem [2], to show the following: if we countably colour the direct sum of sufficiently many copies of \mathbb{Z}_4 , the cyclic group of order 4, then for any n there is a set X of size n whose sumset $X + X$ is monochromatic. Here ‘sufficiently many’ is in fact \beth_ω copies.

They asked if these elements of order 4 are necessary, in the following very strong sense: if G is an abelian group with no elements of order 4, does there always exist a countable colouring of G for which there does not even exist a set X of size 2 with $X + X$ monochromatic? In other words, there do not exist distinct x and y such that the elements $2x, 2y, x + y$ all have the same colour. If true, this would make a very attractive counterpart to the result about direct sums of \mathbb{Z}_4 . They proved this (in the affirmative) in several cases, such as when G is a torsion group (meaning that it does not have any elements of infinite order).

Our aim in this note is to prove this in general.

Theorem 1. *Let G be an abelian group not containing any elements of order 4. Then there is a countable colouring of G for which there do not exist distinct x and y with $\{2x, 2y, x + y\}$ monochromatic.*

To end this section, we give a brief explanation of the main ideas of the

proof. A standard way to deal with general abelian groups (see for example [9]) is to embed them into direct sums of the groups \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} for any prime p (\mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} being the Prüfer group, consisting of all p^k -th complex roots of unity, for all k). This is achieved by first embedding our group into a divisible group (meaning that every group element g is divisible, i.e. may be divided by n for every positive integer n) and then showing that any divisible group may be expressed as such a direct sum.

Now, if our starting group G (with no elements of order 4) has no elements of infinite order, then it turns out that one can embed G into a ‘sufficiently nice’ group, meaning a group in which all elements not of order 2 are divisible, without creating any elements of order 4. However, if we have elements of infinite order then this cannot work. Indeed, if x and y have infinite order and their difference has order 2, and we assume that we have extended our group by adding elements g and h such that $2g = x$ and $2h = y$, then the element $g - h$ has order 4.

So instead we have to work with the infinite-order elements separately. We first embed the odd-order torsion part of the group into a divisible group D , and this we will be able to handle (in the sense of giving some countable colourings) by the structure theorem above. The group G now lives inside $D \oplus X$ for some X , because by Baer’s theorem [1] a divisible subgroup is always a direct summand, which means that we may ‘deal with these two parts separately’. Now, the torsion part T of X is a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 . But, because we are forced to work with T and not some divisible extension of T , we do not have T as a direct summand of X . So instead we look at the quotient X/T , which is torsion-free and so embeds into a direct sum of copies of \mathbb{Q} . This we will again be able to handle. This leaves us with T , but unfortunately embedded merely as a subgroup, not a direct summand. However, it turns out that a very convenient property of the structure will allow us to complete the colouring.

Our notation is standard. See [9] or [7] for general background on abelian groups, including divisible groups and Baer’s theorem.

2 Proof of Main Result

Whenever we have an element of a direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} G_i$, we will always assume that the index set I is linearly ordered. This means that each element x of this direct sum has a *support*, meaning $\{i \in I : x_i \neq 0\}$, and also a *profile*, meaning the ordered finite sequence of the non-zero x_i . Note that if each G_i is countable, and there are only countably many different groups that appear as a G_i (whatever the cardinality of I), then there are only countably many

profiles.

Proof of Theorem 1. Given our abelian group G with no elements of order 4, we will build up our colouring in stages, at each time obtaining stronger and stronger information about a supposed monochromatic $\{2a, 2b, a + b\}$, until we obtain a contradiction. Formally we will be taking one colouring, then taking the product colouring with the next colouring, and so on.

Let x be any element of G of odd order. We can already divide x by 2 (because x has odd order), but if there is an odd prime p for which we cannot divide x by p then we extend G by adding an element that is x divided by p . This is a standard operation: we form $G \times \mathbb{Z}$ and quotient by the subgroup generated by (x, p) . The resulting group contains a copy of G (namely $G \times \{0\}$) in which we can divide x by p , because $p(0, -1) = (x, 0)$. Note also that no elements of order 4 have been introduced: indeed, if $4(g, r) = 0$ then $4r$ must be a multiple of p , whence r is a multiple of p , so that (g, r) was already an element of the copy of G , a contradiction.

It is now a standard exercise to ‘repeat’ the above operation (one can perform an iteration, or a transfinite induction) to obtain a group H , containing G as a subgroup, in which every element of odd order is divisible. It is of course now sufficient to countably colour H in such a way that there is no monochromatic $\{2a, 2b, a + b\}$.

Let D be the subgroup formed by all the odd-order elements of H . This is a divisible subgroup, by the above, and so by Baer’s theorem we can write H as $D \oplus X$ for some subgroup X . Note also that, because D is divisible, it is a direct sum of copies of the groups \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} for odd primes p – this is the standard argument mentioned in the introduction (see [9]).

We start by colouring H by giving (d, x) a colour that is the profile of d – recall that D has only countably many profiles. We claim that if $a = (d, x)$ and $b = (e, y)$ have the property that $\{2a, 2b, a + b\}$ is monochromatic then in fact $d = e$. To see this, note that we know that $2d, 2e, d + e$ all have the same profile. Now, if the supports of d and e do not end in the same place then this is impossible, as if the final entry of the profile of d is t and d ends later than e then the profile of $2d$ ends with $2t$ while the profile of $d + e$ ends with t . (Note that for this it is vital that D consists only of odd-order elements, so that $2t$ cannot be zero.) So the supports of d and e end in the same place, and now we may look at the second-last elements of their supports. These must be equal by the same argument. Continuing, we see that d and e have the same support. Hence $d = e$: since $2d$ and $2e$ have the same profile it follows that d and e have the same profile.

Now let T be the torsion subgroup of X . Every element of T has order 2, since other orders would yield either an element of X of order 4 or an

element of X of odd order, both of which are impossible. Moreover, the group X/T is torsion-free, and so embeds into a group Y that is a direct sum of copies of \mathbb{Q} . We now additionally colour by giving (d, x) a colour which is the profile of $\pi(x)$, where π denotes the projection from X to X/T , with the latter viewed as a subgroup of Y . By exactly the same argument as above, if $a = (d, x)$ and $b = (e, y)$ have $\{2a, 2b, a + b\}$ monochromatic then we must have $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$ (the same argument works because, as with D , Y has no elements of even order).

To summarise, we now know that $d = e$ and that x and y belong to the same coset of T in X , in other words that $x + T = y + T$. It is here that we are rather fortunate. We claim that in each coset of T there is at most one element that can be halved. Indeed, suppose that $u + T = v + T$ and that there exist g and h with $2g = u$ and $2h = v$. Then $2(g - h)$ is a non-zero element of T , and so has order 2, which implies that $g - h$ has order 4.

So let us add a 2-colouring of H , by the answer to the question ‘can this element be halved?’. Now, $2a$ can certainly be halved, but $2x$ and $x + y$ are distinct elements of the same coset of T (distinct because otherwise we would have $d = e$ and $x = y$, whence $a = b$). So, by the above observation, $x + y$ cannot be halved. Thus $a + b$ is not the same colour as $2a$, and we are done. \square

It would be very interesting to know what dimension is needed in the result from [6] about direct sums of copies of \mathbb{Z}_4 . Perhaps \beth_ω is sharp, if we assume CH? It would also be nice to find out just how many elements of order 4 need to be present to ensure a sumset $X + X$ with X of size 2 – in other words, to determine precisely which abelian groups have the property that whenever they are countably coloured there is such a monochromatic sumset.

References

- [1] Baer, R., The subgroup of the elements of finite order of an abelian group, *Ann. of Math.* **37** (1936), 766–781.
- [2] Erdős, P., and Rado, R., A partition calculus in set theory, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **62** (1956), 427–489.
- [3] Fernández-Bretón, D., Hindman’s theorem is only a countable phenomenon, *Order* **35** (2018), 83–91.

- [4] Fernández-Bretón, D., and Lee, S.H., Hindman-like theorems for many colours and finite monochromatic sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **148** (2020), 3099–3112.
- [5] Fernández-Bretón, D., and Rinot, A., Strong failures of higher analogs of Hindman’s theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017), 8939–8966.
- [6] Fernández-Bretón, D., Sarmiento, E., and Vera, G., Owings-like theorems for infinitely many colours or finite monochromatic sets, Arxiv 2402.13124v2.
- [7] Fuchs, L., *Abelian Groups*, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 1958, 367pp.
- [8] Hindman, N., Leader, I., and Strauss, D., Pairwise sums in colourings of the reals, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **87** (2017), 275–287.
- [9] Kaplansky, I., *Infinite Abelian Groups*, University of Michigan Press, 1954, vii+95pp.
- [10] Komjáth, P., A Ramsey statement for infinite groups, *Combinatorica* **38** (2018), 1017–1020.
- [11] Komjáth, P., Leader, I., Russell, P. A., Shelah, S., Soukup, D.T., and Vidnyánszky, Z., Infinite monochromatic sumsets for colourings of the reals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (2019), 2673–2684.
- [12] Komjáth, P., and Shelah, S., On uniformly antisymmetric functions, *Real Analysis Exchange* **19** (1993), 218–215.
- [13] Komjáth, P., and Shelah, S., Coloring finite subsets of uncountable sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 3501–3505.
- [14] Leader, I., and Russell, P. A., Monochromatic infinite sumsets, *New York J. Math* **26** (2020), 467–472.
- [15] Owings, J., Problem E2494, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **81** (1974), 902.
- [16] Zhang, J., Monochromatic sumset without the use of large cardinals, *Fund. Math.* **250** (2020), 243–252.

Imre Leader, Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK.
Email: i.leader@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

Kada Williams, Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK.
Email: kkw25@cam.ac.uk