
MobileExperts: A Dynamic Tool-Enabled Agent Team in Mobile Devices

Jiayi Zhang^{1,2}, Chuang Zhao³, Yihan Zhao², Zhaoyang Yu²,
Ming He^{1*}, Jianping Fan¹

¹AI Lab at Lenovo Research, ²Renmin University of China,
³The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

Abstract

The attainment of autonomous operations in mobile computing devices has consistently been a goal of human pursuit. With the development of Large Language Models (LLMs) and Visual Language Models (VLMs), this aspiration is progressively turning into reality. While contemporary research has explored automation of simple tasks on mobile devices via VLMs, there remains significant room for improvement in handling complex tasks and reducing high reasoning costs. In this paper, we introduce MobileExperts, which for the first time introduces tool formulation and multi-agent collaboration to address the aforementioned challenges. More specifically, MobileExperts dynamically assembles teams based on the alignment of agent portraits with the human requirements. Following this, each agent embarks on an independent exploration phase, formulating its tools to evolve into an expert. Lastly, we develop a dual-layer planning mechanism to establish coordinate collaboration among experts. To validate our effectiveness, we design a new benchmark of hierarchical intelligence levels, offering insights into algorithm’s capability to address tasks across a spectrum of complexity. Experimental results demonstrate that MobileExperts performs better on all intelligence levels and achieves $\sim 22\%$ reduction in reasoning costs, thus verifying the superiority of our design.

1 Introduction

The human pursuit of creating autonomous device operation agents to perform tasks independently continues, intensified by the widespread adoption of personal electronic devices[33, 22, 28, 32, 34, 20]. People leverage these intelligent assistants to help themselves, not only completing mundane tasks on a daily basis, but also surpassing their own capabilities to perform higher-level operations. In previous research, achieving autonomous planning and execution abilities in agents has been challenging due to limitations in intelligence levels. However, the advent and evolution of large language models (LLMs) bring forward the potential for its realization. A significant number of studies concentrate on LLM-based agents [23, 4]and demonstrate remarkable competency in varied domains.

Recent researchers attempt to implement this concept using LLMs or VLMs. With the integration of a LLM/VLM core, it’s possible to configure the agent’s action space to interact with a device enabling the agent to operate and control the specific device autonomously. We refer to this type of work as "Device Operation Agent (DOA)". Among all possible device types, mobile devices, due to their close ties with human daily life, are the platform most urgently needing DOAs. Currently, research on mobile device DOA has become a popular field of focus. For example, research work such as [26, 33, 22] demonstrate the application of LLM and VLM to accomplish automated operational tasks.

*Ming He (E-mail: heming01@foxmail.com), is the corresponding author.

However, based on the intelligence levels defined by [12], even the best-performing DOA is currently only at the level of "Simple Step Following" and "Deterministic Task Automation". Additionally, current UI-based DOAs are facing considerable challenges regarding reasoning efficiency and time cost. A typical UI operation may require 5 to 10 steps, while each step of an existing UI-based agent requires the invocation of the VLM model to choose the action, undoubtedly adding significant reasoning and time costs.

To solve these problems, we introduce MobileExperts, a multimodal multi-agent framework for mobile devices on android, designed to enhance DOA intelligence and reduce reasoning costs and time. It features two key features: **(1) Code-Combined Procedure Memory (Tool) Formulation:** To minimize reliance on the VLM model, we propose a new form of tool pattern: with the code writing ability of LLM, MobileExperts combines the basic actions of experts through code combination to form reusable code block tools. These tools are stored in the procedure memory shared by all experts in the system, thereby reducing the cost of tool formation and effectively improving operational efficiency. **(2) Expert Collaboration via Double-layer Planning:** MobileExperts adopts double layer planning method to solve long-term planning problems: the first layer is the team task distributed layer, at this level, tasks are decomposed into dependent subtasks for experts to execute, these dependencies form a collaborative network between experts; the second layer is expert task decomposition layer, the subtasks received by the experts are further decomposed into smaller action units to gradually achieve the goal. By these methods, MobileExperts not only improves the intelligence level but also reduces the cost of reasoning and time, providing a more efficient task execution mode for the DOA field.

In addition, to effectively measure the capability of the MobileExperts framework, we proposed Expert-Eval to measure the performance of DOA at different intelligence levels. We compared MobileExperts with multiple baselines on this benchmark and conducted ablation experiments on the module to verify the effectiveness of the framework. We summarize the contributions of this paper as below:

- MobileExperts is an autonomous multimodal agent framework that excels in handling complex device operation tasks, which enhanced by dynamic agent collaboration mechanism.
- We propose an automatic code based tool formation method based on VLM, utilizing action trajectories accumulated within the interaction with environment, which fills the gap in tool formation for the mobile device operation field.
- We design a tiered intelligence evaluation benchmark, Expert Eval, to assess the performance of mobile device operation agents across tasks of varying complexities.

2 Related Work

2.1 LLM Based MultiAgent System

Due to the extraordinary performance demonstrated by LLMs under the Zero Shot paradigm, research on LLM-based agents become a hot topic. Recent research on LLM-based agents, covering various reasoning paradigms [25, 30, 29, 3] and structures [14, 17, 18], has significantly improved single-agent capabilities. This has led to important advances across multiple fields [6, 19, 21]. Inspired by the concept of swarm intelligence, the LLM-based MultiAgent System has become a hot subtopic in this research field. By integrating agents with different skills and characteristics into one system, this system can achieve more complex and advanced objectives than single agents. For example, in the fields of software engineering [7, 16], social simulation [15, 24], and building general problem-solving frameworks [10, 27, 9], multi-agent systems have demonstrated their ability to solve higher-level and broader range of problems.

With the development of VLM, the Agent has gradually shifted from a single text module to multi-modal interaction, and has derived fields that can interact with the real world, such as the Device Operation Agent(DOA)[22, 5, 33], robot control [11]. However, in the field where VLM is used as the core of the Agent, research on using swarm intelligences to accomplish more complex tasks is still relatively less. We hope to supplement research in this field through MobileExperts, promoting the development of Agents in multi-modal multi-agent systems.

2.2 Device Operation Agent

Device Operation Agent (DOA) is an agent system that enables automation of human computing devices by configuring their action spaces, using either LLM or VLM. Currently, DOA mainly applies to three types of devices: web browsers, computers, and mobile devices. The present research trend focuses on developing User Interface (UI)-based agents that can automate user tasks on these devices. The progression of this type of research can be summarized via two primary directions.

First, UI-based Agents [12] simulate basic user operations thereby transforming user tasks into a series of interactions with the device’s UI. In this process, the core model of DOA is undergoing transformation. Earlier studies utilized the LLM to describe the sequence of actions for tasks by structuring device UI elements into textual data, such as HTML and XML formats. This LLM-centric approach was reflected across web, computer and mobile devices[26, 35]. However, with the development of VLM, end-to-end methods show greater potential as the core model of DOA and achieved superior performance in multiple platforms[28, 32, 5, 20, 22, 33]. But an increase in agents that apply VLM has introduced new challenges for DOA’s development: accurately interpreting the meaning behind each UI element’s operation becomes significantly difficult for the universal multimodal models. This leads to the second direction in the development of DOA — enhancing the model’s understanding of the UI. For instance, research like [1, 8, 13, 31, 2] carried out in-depth training on the UI elements of Android, Apple as well as PC operating systems, achieving comparable performance with large-scale parameter models with a smaller scale parameter volume.

As previously mentioned, UI-based Agents need to frequently call the model when executing operations, leading to costs in reasoning and time. For devices based on web browsers or computers, this problem can be solved by generating executable scripts with LLM to form tools[32, 28]. However, due to the limitations of mobile device operating systems, this tool formation strategy is not applicable on mobile devices, impacting the operation efficiency of DOA on mobile devices.

In response to this limitation, we propose the MobileExperts, aiming to develop an innovative tool formation strategy for mobile devices, effectively solving the above problems, reducing reasoning and time costs in a mobile environment.

3 Methodology

In this section, we initially present an overview of MobileExperts, our proposed multi-agent collaborative framework for solving complex tasks in the field of mobile device operation agent, as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: **The Framework of MobileExperts:** Upon fielding a user’s request, the system undertakes an examination of the expert pool to identify an appropriate alignment to assemble the team. When no suitable expert is present, the system utilizes a heuristic algorithm to create a new expert. Subsequently, The newly minted experts then engage in the exploration process, accumulating experience to become competent for the task. After all the experts are in position, the execution process based on the expert’s portraits generates a dual-layer plan, and then the experts complete the task in a self-verifying manner.

3.1 Expert Development

Ensuring that mobile device operating agents have high efficiency, strong generalization, and effective error correction across various devices is crucial for their successful integration into real-world applications. However, due to inherent differences among mobile devices, an agent not specifically trained for each device may struggle to meet these criteria. To address this, we’ve introduced an exploration stage designed to equip the agent with unique tools and knowledge, enabling it to become proficient on a specific mobile device. The exploration process of MobileExperts is illustrated in the figure 2.




Figure 2: **Expert Exploration**: In the exploration phase, each agent receives tailored tasks, which are then broken down into sub-tasks to streamline the exploration process. Upon completion of a sub-task, whether successful or not, the agent extracts three types of memories from its trajectory: interface memories, procedural memories (tools), and insight memories for use in subsequent execution phases. It’s a pivotal step for expert’s development.

3.1.1 Exploration Process

Expert exploration is a pivotal phase in the mobileexperts. During this phase, each Expert \mathcal{E} leverages their individual portraits to formulate multiple explore subtasks \mathbb{S} aligned with the current requirement \mathcal{R} as Equation 1

$$\mathbb{S} = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\} = Decompose(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}), \quad (1)$$

As the Expert engages in individual exploration phases, they tailor the generation of a tool set \mathcal{T} and memories unique to user’s device, including device-specific interface memories $\mathcal{M}_{interface}$ and insight memories $\mathcal{M}_{insight}$ as shown in Equation 2. This process enables the Expert to become a domain specialist on the user’s device, effectively addressing device-specific challenges.

$$\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{M}_i^{interface}, \mathcal{M}_i^{insight} = \mathcal{E}(r_i) \quad (2)$$

3.1.2 Code Combination Based Tool Formulation

In the mobile device environment, the mobile device operation agent faces complex challenges of learning different application usage methods. Current studies primarily concentrate on enhancing the agent’s recognition of icons to tackle the challenge, neglecting the method of forming tools through exploration. The absence of such tools forces these agents to rely on a Visual Language Model (VLM) for each action, which has led to significant room for improvement in the reasoning costs of the mobile device operation agent. To surmount this challenge, we introduce an innovative approach, Code Combination Based Action Extension. Specifically, we have defined a basic operational space for experts, drawing upon human behaviors. We task experts with the exploration of the user device to

identify fixed operational workflows. By harnessing the encoding capabilities, we effectively connect these basic operations to form a series of new extended actions, achieving tool generation in the field of mobile device operation agents.

HumanBasic Operation. Emulating human behavior, we craft nine basic operations for the expert, known as HumanBasic Operations. These encompass basic smartphone interactions, such as: tapping (Tap), text entry (Text), swiping (Swipe), reverting (Back), navigating to the home screen (Home), and terminating an operation (Stop). These operations extend beyond facilitating basic interaction, they also emulate the processes of human cognition. The *Think* operation models human cognitive processes concerning short-term objectives. The *Read* operation facilitates processing extensive documents, while the *Wait* function ensures adaptability to the performance variations of different devices. Detailed operation parameters and descriptions are presented in the table 1.

Table 1: **HumanBasic Operation**'s parameters and descriptions

Operation	Params	Description
Tap	x, y	Simulates the human touch by selecting an element on the screen, used for choosing menu options or activating features.
Text	content	Inputs a specified string into a text field on the interface, used for filling out forms or searching for information.
Swipe	x, y, direction	Navigates through content or performs actions by swiping in a particular direction on the screen, such as switching pages.
Read	file, goal	Reads the content of a specified document, such as PDFs or Word files, used for obtaining and analyzing information.
think	flow, goal	Used during task execution to read from or write to working memory, assisting in decision-making and planning.
Back	none	Returns to the previous interface, used for undoing actions or navigating to the previous screen.
Home	none	Navigates back to the smartphone's main screen, typically used to end the current session or access other applications.
Wait	none	Chooses to wait when the current operation is unresponsive or loading, ensuring the system has adequate time to process.
Stop	none	Ends the exploration of the current task when it is believed to be completed or no longer feasible.

WorkFlow Operation. The absence of tool formulation in the field of mobile device operation agent is closely tied to the unique attributes of mobile devices. Contrasting with the proficiency of PC-based agents in creating and executing scripts for broad application control [21, 28], mobile platform agents encounter limitations imposed by their APIs. These constraints, while hindering traditional script-based tool construction, also inspire us to conceive a new formation method for tools: code combination based tool formulation.

In the applications of mobile devices, there are variable and immutable UI elements, and essentially, the interaction of the mobile device operation agent with mobile devices is the operation of these UI elements. By recording the action trajectory of the agent and the user interface in a specific exploration process of an app, the agent can identify a reusable workflow around multiple immutable positional elements. To enhance the efficiency and precision of workflow generation, the expert initiates by creating a rigorous description of the workflow, which encompass three key elements: the initial UI state, the final state, and a functional summary. Following that, by integrating the parameters from prior action trajectory and the code of each subsequent operation within humanbasic operation space, the expert can finalize the corresponding workflow operation function, thereby giving rise to a tool. In figure 3, we present an illustrative example of tool formulation using twitter's operational trajectory.

3.1.3 Memory Formulation

Accumulating memory from the Action Trajectory of exploration is a critical step to ensure the Expert has the ability to maintain its generalization capability and self-correction ability through device exploration. During the exploration stage, we pay special attention to the accumulation of memory of the functions of the user's interface icons, as well as the accumulation of insights closely related to the expert's own responsibilities.

InterFace Memory InterFace memory outlines a memory mechanism exclusively designed for device-specific icons. Due to the diversity of mobile device icon layouts and the widespread existence of system themes, generic VLMs struggle to directly discern the functions of different icons on the mobile interface.

To address this issue, we have proposed a Confidence-based Icon Memory Mechanism. Differing from previous research[33], our method encourages the expert to guess and verify the functions of the icons related to the task goal, instead of being limited to the icons that have been manipulated, achieving three types (**Verified, Hypothesized, Uncharted**) of confidence labelling for different icons. By forming such memories, we managed to enhance the scope of interface memory while




Figure 3: **Tool Formulation:** In the exploration phase, we documented action trajectories with names, parameters, goals, and results. Using workflow prompts, we recognized sequences of actions from fixed UI elements and make agent write tool code based on foundational classes, to achieve automated tool formulation.

maintaining the effects of interface exploration prompting more icons that might be related to tasks, and thereby minimizing the possibility that visual language models ignore icons that may be related to tasks due to hallucination.

Insight Memory One key distinction between experts and novices lies in their profound understanding of their responsibilities. Leveraging their insight into relevant tasks, experts can achieve superior performance with fewer steps. This insight is derived from reflecting on action trajectories. To this end, we have designed an insight memory mechanism that focuses on **task execution efficiency**, **failure paths**, and **performance**. By comprehensively considering these three elements, the agent can gain deep insights into the current exploration task, thereby achieving a leap from novice to expert in terms of responsibility fulfillment.

3.2 Expert Collaboration

Device Operation Agents face another crucial challenge in real-world applications: maintaining action consistency during high-frequency environmental interactions while effectively decomposing complex long-term tasks. To address this, we propose an innovative Expert Act Manner, ensuring consistency and efficiency in the Agent’s execution of tasks. Furthermore, inspired by [6], we introduce a dual-layer planning mechanism at both Team and Expert levels, transforming complex tasks into atomic tasks with shorter execution paths.




Figure 4: **Collaboration mechanism:** MobileExperts employs Double Layer Planning to decompose complex tasks and construct Team Memory. Each Expert selectively interacts with the Team Memory through Commit and Fetch methods, enabling effective collaboration.

3.2.1 Expert Act Manner

The fundamental principle behind maintaining action consistency in Device Operation Agents lies in its role as the foundation for agent decision-making. In previous studies, experts typically employed the React paradigm to reason about and interact with the current environment, and enhancing their perception of the current state by summarizing action trajectories. While this approach maintains consistency in atomic tasks, it often results in significant information loss when confronting long-term tasks, consequently compromising the decision accuracy of Operation Agents. To mitigate this limitation, we propose an enhanced agent behavior paradigm coupled with a proactive working memory processing function.

Self Verifying Manner: We propose self verifying manner, which enhances expert’s ability on visual-linguistic tasks by incorporating dual-state visual information input and an ‘Observe-Verify-Act’ cycle. This mechanism continuously comparing previous and current visual states while considering action objectives. This approach enables the expert to maintain better consistency between consecutive actions, resulting in more precise and context-aware decision-making in device operation tasks.

Proactive Working Memory: During Expert Level’s task execution, critical information generated by the Expert is stored as its Working Memory. For long-term tasks, simply placing accumulated extensive Working Memory within the prompt leads to increased token and time costs, while excess information may also affect the Expert’s decision-making ability. To address this issue, we’ve incorporated a Proactive Working Memory Processing function as a BasicOperation, allowing the Expert to efficiently manage its working memory during task execution. This ensures the Expert maintains action consistency during long-term tasks while reducing token costs.

3.2.2 Expert Collaboration Via Double-Layer Planning

Accomplishing complex and long-term tasks, such as managing a Twitter account, presents two major challenges for Experts. The first challenge lies in decomposing the task into atomic task that an Expert can complete. The second challenge involves executing these tasks with high quality. In real-world scenarios, complex tasks typically require team collaboration. Therefore, developing an appropriate collaborative mechanism for Experts becomes crucial in addressing this issue. We tackle these challenges by implementing a double-layer planning mechanism and a team-shared memory pool, enabling effective collaboration among Experts.

Through Expert Development, Experts with diverse capabilities and roles form a team. Double layer planning operates at both team and expert levels to plan user instructions. Specifically, the team task distributed Layer decomposes instructions into a graph organized as a DAG (Directed Acyclic Graph) based on user directives and team member role descriptions. Each node in this graph represents a task assigned to an Expert with corresponding abilities.

This graph structure also establishes a communication mechanism among Experts. By maintaining a team-shared Memory Pool, each Expert uses the Commit method to store structured descriptions of task states and accumulated working memories upon completion of Team Level tasks. Experts dependent on these tasks then use the Fetch method to selectively extract relevant information, storing it in their individual working memories to support current task execution.

At the expert task decomposition layer, the planner breaks down Team Level task descriptions into sequences of atomic tasks. Based on the status of these atomic tasks, the Planner can dynamically adjust the sequence order or repeat execution, implementing a flexible regulation mechanism to ensure successful completion of atomic tasks.

4 Experiments

In order to comprehensively evaluate the ability of MobileExperts in handling diversified and complex tasks, we have constructed a new benchmark - Expert-eval. Based on this Benchmark, we compared our method with two baselines, and performed ablation experiments on various key modules of MobileExperts. Meanwhile, in order to intuitively display the performance of MobileExperts in tasks of different intelligence levels, we have carried out a case study to deeply analyze its behavior strategy and effect. Considering the requirement of overall action space for api accessibility, we chose to

conduct these experiments on the Android system. The overall tasks included in Expert-Eval can be found in Table 2.

Table 2: Expert Eval: We developed 28 examples encompassing various complexity levels and application scenarios to comprehensively assess the performance of Device Operation Agent in practical applications.

Category	Application	Complexity	Task Description
Social Media	Twitter	C1	Open the messages on twitter and read the first unread message.
		C2	Post a tweet summarizing the recent discussions on twitter about LLM Based Agents.
	Instagram	C1	Search for "lenovo" on Instagram.
		C2	Search for meme-related accounts on Instagram and post comments based on the content.
	Tiktok	C1	Leave a comment on tiktok's latest video.
		C2	Review the last ten videos on my TikTok feed trends and search for topics that might interest me.
	Xiaohongshu	C1	Scroll through Xiaohongshu until you find a post that explains a trip to Miami, and then like it.
		C2	Find popular food in Miami and then create a collection album in Xiaohongshu.
Online Service	Google Maps	C1	Navigate to Renmin University of China.
		C2	Find delicious Xinjiang cuisine near Renmin University of China and then navigate there.
	Alibaba	C1	Enter Lenovo's store in Temu.
		C2	Find the price of the latest Lenovo Legion model.
	Google Play	C1	Download the JD (Jingdong) App from Google Play.
		C2	Download a popular note-taking software.
	Messenger	C1	Check the latest verification code information.
		C2	Delete messages in the text that are unrelated to the verification code.
Productivity Tool	Notion	C1	Create a new page in Notion.
		C2	Create a new note detailing your job duties and title it appropriately.
	Gmail	C1	Write an email to {address}.
		C2	Go through the unread emails and draft a response based on their content.
	Google Chrome	C1	Enter arxiv.org in Chrome.
		C2	Download the latest paper on LLM (Large Language Model) Based Agent from arXiv.
	Wikipedia	C1	Open Wikipedia and search for "collective intelligence".
		C2	Create a Wikipedia page about yourself.
Cross-App		C2	Download {app_name} and complete account registration with my phone number.
		C3	Help me operate a twitter account with the theme {topic}.
		C3	Help me find {Product Name} that is popular and reasonably priced.
		C3	Help me explore how to use {app_name} and write a technical report-style document in Notion.

4.1 Settings

Expert-Eval. In order to comprehensively evaluate MobileExperts' performance in handling diverse applications and tasks of different complexities, we have designed a new benchmark named Expert-Eval. Expert-Eval aims to measure the intelligence level of mobile device operation agent at varying levels, which is closely related to the planning capabilities required for the task. We have defined three intelligence levels, ranging from simple to complex as follows:

- C1 (Executor): Task execution is directly in accordance with the user's instructions, with no additional planning required.
- C2 (Planner): The task requires basic planning, the system needs to autonomously plan and execute tasks with clear objectives.
- C3 (Strategist): The task requires complex, long-term planning, the system needs to formulate detailed plans for tasks of high complexity with clear objectives, and make adjustments during execution based on feedback.

In addition, in order to verify the generalization ability of MobileExperts in different application scenarios, we divide applications into three main categories: Social Media, Online Service, and Productivity Tool. Based on these classifications, we have designed a series of tasks that require the use of one or more applications to test the adaptability and flexibility of MobileExperts in actual applications.

Metrics. We design five metrics to measure the performance of the mobile device operation agent in various dimensions.

- **Success Rate:** This metric measures whether the agent successfully completes tasks. If the task is completed, the score is marked as 1.

- **Process Score:** This metric assesses the action score of the agent during the execution of the task. When facing tasks that require complex planning ability, even if the agent cannot complete all actions, its effective actions will have a positive impact on this metric.
- **Reasoning Steps:** This metric measures the efficiency of the agent in executing tasks. For mobile device operation agents, the main time consumption is to choose actions through calling the VLM. This metric is equal to the number of times the agent calls the VLM during the execution of the task.
- **Complete Performance:** Unlike the performance score, this metric measures the quality of the agent’s task completion. For C1 level instruction obedience tasks, it can get full marks (10 points) as long as it is completed. For tasks of C2 and C3 levels, there is a significant difference in the effect of completing tasks by different methods. We use the visual language model to score the initial goal of the task and the action track of the agent completing the task.

4.2 Experiment Results

In this experiment, we used a unified mobile device, pre-installed with all the necessary applications, and tested expert-eval on three methods. In order to ensure the consistency of the experimental results, we set the maximum number of automatic explorations for individual tasks of MobileExperts and AppAgent to 10 times, and required each method to try a maximum of three times, finally choosing the best results as the outcome. In addition, considering the importance of the process score, we set the maximum number of execution steps for all methods’s C1 and C2 Task to 15 steps to prevent cycle errors, ensuring the efficiency of the experiment and the reliability of the results. The results of the experiment are presented in table 3.

Table 3: Performance of MobileExperts, AppAgent[33] and MobileAgent[22] on Expert Eval.

Method	Complexity 1				Complexity 2				Complexity 3			
	SU	PS	RS	CP	SU	PS	RS	CP	SU	PS	RS	CP
Mobile Experts	0.8333	0.7605	5.9167	8.3333	0.7692	0.6739	7.1538	7.3077	1.0000	0.5408	28.3333	7.0000
App Agent	0.7500	0.7345	7.4167	7.5000	0.6154	0.6174	8.9231	5.2308	0.3333	0.3754	33.6667	2.6667
Mobile Agent	0.7500	0.7537	6.0000	7.5000	0.2308	0.5095	9.3077	1.9231	0.3333	0.4014	38.3333	2.3333

5 Conclusion

This paper introduces MobileExperts, an innovative multimodal agent framework designed to address complex mobile device operation tasks. The framework achieves breakthrough performance through two core features: code combination based tool formulation on mobile devices and expert collaboration via double-layer planning. This approach not only provides a novel method for automatic tool formulation in the field of mobile device operation agents but also introduces innovative action strategies for agent teams. Experimental results demonstrate that MobileExperts excels in handling high-complexity tasks while significantly reducing reasoning costs. These findings not only confirm the framework’s potential to enhance agent capabilities and reduce resources consumption but also advance research in mobile device operation automation.

References

- [1] Fuyu-8B: A Multimodal Architecture for AI Agents.
- [2] Gilles Baechler, Srinivas Sunkara, Maria Wang, Fedir Zubach, Hassan Mansoor, Vincent Etter, Victor Cărbune, Jason Lin, Jindong Chen, and Abhanshu Sharma. Screenai: A vision-language model for ui and infographics understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04615*, 2024.
- [3] Maciej Besta, Nils Blach, Ales Kubicek, Robert Gerstenberger, Michal Podstawski, Lukas Gianinazzi, Joanna Gajda, Tomasz Lehmann, Hubert Niewiadomski, Piotr Nyczyk, and Torsten Hoefler. Graph of thoughts: Solving elaborate problems with large language models. In *AAAI*, pages 17682–17690. AAAI Press, 2024.
- [4] Taicheng Guo, Xiuying Chen, Yaqi Wang, Ruidi Chang, Shichao Pei, Nitesh V Chawla, Olaf Wiest, and Xiangliang Zhang. Large language model based multi-agents: A survey of progress and challenges. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01680*, 2024.
- [5] Hongliang He, Wenlin Yao, Kaixin Ma, Wenhao Yu, Yong Dai, Hongming Zhang, Zhenzhong Lan, and Dong Yu. Webvoyager: Building an end-to-end web agent with large multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.13919*, 2024.
- [6] Sirui Hong, Yizhang Lin, Bangbang Liu, Binhao Wu, Danyang Li, Jiaqi Chen, Jiayi Zhang, Jinlin Wang, Lingyao Zhang, Mingchen Zhuge, et al. Data interpreter: An llm agent for data science. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.18679*, 2024.
- [7] Sirui Hong, Xiawu Zheng, Jonathan Chen, Yuheng Cheng, Jinlin Wang, Ceyao Zhang, Zili Wang, Steven Ka Shing Yau, Zijuan Lin, Liyang Zhou, et al. Metagpt: Meta programming for multi-agent collaborative framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.00352*, 2023.
- [8] Wenyi Hong, Weihang Wang, Qingsong Lv, Jiazheng Xu, Wenmeng Yu, Junhui Ji, Yan Wang, Zihan Wang, Yuxuan Zhang, Juanzi Li, Bin Xu, Yuxiao Dong, Ming Ding, and Jie Tang. Cogagent: A visual language model for gui agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08914*, 2023.
- [9] Chenliang Li, He Chen, Ming Yan, Weizhou Shen, Haiyang Xu, Zhikai Wu, Zhicheng Zhang, Wenmeng Zhou, Yingda Chen, Chen Cheng, Hongzhu Shi, Ji Zhang, Fei Huang, and Jingren Zhou. Modelscope-agent: Building your customizable agent system with open-source large language models. In *EMNLP (Demos)*, pages 566–578. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023.
- [10] Guohao Li, Hasan Abed Al Kader Hammoud, Hani Itani, Dmitrii Khizbullin, and Bernard Ghanem. Camel: Communicative agents for "mind" exploration of large language model society. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023.
- [11] Xinghang Li, Minghuan Liu, Hanbo Zhang, Cunjun Yu, Jie Xu, Hongtao Wu, Chilam Cheang, Ya Jing, Weinan Zhang, Huaping Liu, et al. Vision-language foundation models as effective robot imitators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.01378*, 2023.
- [12] Yuanchun Li, Hao Wen, Weijun Wang, Xiangyu Li, Yizhen Yuan, Guohong Liu, Jiacheng Liu, Wenxing Xu, Xiang Wang, Yi Sun, et al. Personal llm agents: Insights and survey about the capability, efficiency and security. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.05459*, 2024.
- [13] Runliang Niu, Jindong Li, Shiqi Wang, Yali Fu, Xiyu Hu, Xueyuan Leng, He Kong, Yi Chang, and Qi Wang. Screenagent: A vision language model-driven computer control agent. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07945*, 2024.
- [14] Charles Packer, Vivian Fang, Shishir G. Patil, Kevin Lin, Sarah Wooders, and Joseph E. Gonzalez. Memgpt: Towards llms as operating systems. *CoRR*, abs/2310.08560, 2023.
- [15] Joon Sung Park, Joseph O’Brien, Carrie Jun Cai, Meredith Ringel Morris, Percy Liang, and Michael S Bernstein. Generative agents: Interactive simulacra of human behavior. In *Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*, pages 1–22, 2023.
- [16] Chen Qian, Xin Cong, Cheng Yang, Weize Chen, Yusheng Su, Juyuan Xu, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. Communicative agents for software development. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.07924*, 2023.
- [17] Timo Schick, Jane Dwivedi-Yu, Roberto Dessì, Roberta Raileanu, Maria Lomeli, Eric Hambro, Luke Zettlemoyer, Nicola Cancedda, and Thomas Scialom. Toolformer: Language models can teach themselves to use tools. In *NeurIPS*, 2023.

- [18] Noah Shinn, Federico Cassano, Ashwin Gopinath, Karthik Narasimhan, and Shunyu Yao. Reflexion: language agents with verbal reinforcement learning. In *NeurIPS*, 2023.
- [19] Significant Gravitas. AutoGPT, April 2023. original-date: 2023-03-16T09:21:07Z.
- [20] Weihao Tan, Ziluo Ding, Wentao Zhang, Boyu Li, Bohan Zhou, Junpeng Yue, Haochong Xia, Jiechuan Jiang, Longtao Zheng, Xinrun Xu, et al. Towards general computer control: A multimodal agent for red dead redemption ii as a case study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.03186*, 2024.
- [21] Guanzhi Wang, Yuqi Xie, Yunfan Jiang, Ajay Mandlekar, Chaowei Xiao, Yuke Zhu, Linxi Fan, and Anima Anandkumar. Voyager: An open-ended embodied agent with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16291*, 2023.
- [22] Junyang Wang, Haiyang Xu, Jiabo Ye, Ming Yan, Weizhou Shen, Ji Zhang, Fei Huang, and Jitao Sang. Mobile-agent: Autonomous multi-modal mobile device agent with visual perception. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.16158*, 2024.
- [23] Lei Wang, Chen Ma, Xueyang Feng, Zeyu Zhang, Hao Yang, Jingsen Zhang, Zhiyuan Chen, Jiakai Tang, Xu Chen, Yankai Lin, et al. A survey on large language model based autonomous agents. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 18(6):1–26, 2024.
- [24] Zhilin Wang, Yu-Ying Chiu, and Yu Cheung Chiu. Humanoid agents: Platform for simulating human-like generative agents. In *EMNLP (Demos)*, pages 167–176. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023.
- [25] Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Brian Ichter, Fei Xia, Ed H. Chi, Quoc V. Le, and Denny Zhou. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. In *NeurIPS*, 2022.
- [26] Hao Wen, Yuanchun Li, Guohong Liu, Shanhuai Zhao, Tao Yu, Toby Jia-Jun Li, Shiqi Jiang, Yunhao Liu, Yaqin Zhang, and Yunxin Liu. Autodroid: Llm-powered task automation in android. In *MobiCom*, pages 543–557. ACM, 2024.
- [27] Qingyun Wu, Gagan Bansal, Jieyu Zhang, Yiran Wu, Shaokun Zhang, Er kang Zhu, Beibin Li, Li Jiang, Xiaoyun Zhang, and Chi Wang. Autogen: Enabling next-gen llm applications via multi-agent conversation framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.08155*, 2023.
- [28] Zhiyong Wu, Chengcheng Han, Zichen Ding, Zhenmin Weng, Zhoumianze Liu, Shunyu Yao, Tao Yu, and Lingpeng Kong. Os-copilot: Towards generalist computer agents with self-improvement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07939*, 2024.
- [29] Shunyu Yao, Dian Yu, Jeffrey Zhao, Izhak Shafran, Tom Griffiths, Yuan Cao, and Karthik Narasimhan. Tree of thoughts: Deliberate problem solving with large language models. In *NeurIPS*, 2023.
- [30] Shunyu Yao, Jeffrey Zhao, Dian Yu, Nan Du, Izhak Shafran, Karthik R. Narasimhan, and Yuan Cao. React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models. In *ICLR*. OpenReview.net, 2023.
- [31] Keen You, Haotian Zhang, Eldon Schoop, Floris Weers, Amanda Swearngin, Jeffrey Nichols, Yinfei Yang, and Zhe Gan. Ferret-ui: Grounded mobile ui understanding with multimodal llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.05719*, 2024.
- [32] Chaoyun Zhang, Liqun Li, Shilin He, Xu Zhang, Bo Qiao, Si Qin, Minghua Ma, Yu Kang, Qingwei Lin, Saravan Rajmohan, Dongmei Zhang, and Qi Zhang. Ufo: A ui-focused agent for windows os interaction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07939*, 2024.
- [33] Chi Zhang, Zhao Yang, Jiakuan Liu, Yucheng Han, Xin Chen, Zebiao Huang, Bin Fu, and Gang Yu. Appagent: Multimodal agents as smartphone users. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.13771*, 2023.
- [34] Chuang Zhao, Hongke Zhao, Yong Ge, Runze Wu, and Xudong Shen. Winning tracker: A new model for real-time winning prediction in MOBA games. In *WWW*, pages 3387–3395. ACM, 2022.
- [35] Shuyan Zhou, Frank F Xu, Hao Zhu, Xuhui Zhou, Robert Lo, Abishek Sridhar, Xianyi Cheng, Yonatan Bisk, Daniel Fried, Uri Alon, et al. Webarena: A realistic web environment for building autonomous agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.13854*, 2023.