

Homology of graph burnings

Yuri Muranov
University of Warmia and
Mazury in Olsztyn, Olsztyn
Poland

Anna Muranova
University of Warmia and
Mazury in Olsztyn, Olsztyn
Poland

Abstract

In this paper we study graph burnings using methods of algebraic topology. We prove that the time function of a burning is a graph map to a path graph. Afterwards, we define a category whose objects are graph burnings and morphisms are graph maps which commute with the time functions of the burnings. In this category we study relations between burnings of different graphs and, in particular, between burnings of a graph and its subgraphs. For every graph, we define a simplicial complex, arising from the set of all the burnings, which we call a configuration space of the burnings. Further, simplicial structure of the configuration space gives burning homology of the graph. We describe properties of the configuration space and the burning homology theory. In particular, we prove that the one-dimensional skeleton of the configuration space of a graph G coincides with the complement graph of G . The results are illustrated with numerous examples.

Keywords: *homology of graphs, simplicial homology, simplicial complex, graph burning, path graph, burning time, trees.*

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 55N35, 18G85, 18N50, 94C15, 05C90, 05C05.

1 Introduction

The spread of influence in network and similar network processes can be studied using the mathematical model of graph burning [1], [2], [3]. The graph burning of a finite graph G is defined as follows (see Definition 3.1). Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and t be a parameter (time) which is increasing from $t = 1$ and which is taking sequential integer values. Every vertex of the graph G can be burned or unburned. A state to be burned or unburned for a vertex is changed depending on the time $t \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ by the following rules: initially all vertices of G are unburned. If a vertex $v \in V$ is burned in a time $t = t_0$ then it is burned in any time $t \geq t_0$. At any time $t \geq 1$ we burn

one vertex which is unburned in the time t if such a vertex exists. If a vertex $v \in V$ is burned in a time $t = t_0$ then in the time $t_0 + 1$ all neighbor vertices of v becomes burned. The process ends in a time $t = T$ when all vertices of G are burned. This time is called the *end time* of the burning process. We note that for the one-vertex graph there is only one burning process with $T = 1$. The vertices which we burn are called *sources* and the vertex which we burn in a time t is called the *source at time t* . Note that our definition slightly differs from the definition of burning that is given in the papers cited above. In particular, as follows from our definition, the distance between two consequent source vertices is greater or equal two. The *burning number* $b(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum of value T for all burning processes for G . Let P_n be a *path graph* with the set of vertices $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and with the set of edges $\{i, i + 1\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. For the burning process defined in [1], [2], and [3], it is known that $b(P_n) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ where for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ the number $\lceil r \rceil \in \mathbb{Z}$ means the smallest integer greater than or equal to r [3, Th. 2.9].

In this paper we study graph burning using methods of algebraic topology in graph theory. We consider only *finite* graphs, i. e. those having a finite number of vertices. We focus on studying a set $\mathcal{B}(G)$ of all burnings of a graph G and on studying relations between burnings of different graphs. In particular, we study relations between burnings of graph and its subgraphs. We define a natural structure of a path graph P_T on the discrete time $\{1, \dots, T\}$ in the process of burning which ends at the time T . Afterwards we prove that every burning process of G defines an unique graph map $\lambda: G \rightarrow P_T$ and describe relation of this map to the burnings of subgraphs of G .

We define and study a configuration space Δ_G of the set $\mathcal{B}(G)$ which has a natural structure of a simplicial complex and describe its basic properties. We prove that the one-dimensional skeleton of the configuration space Δ_G coincides with the complement graph \overline{G} of the graph G . Further, using this complex we define homology groups for $\mathcal{B}(G)$ and describe properties of obtained burning homology theory. We give numerous examples to illustrate the obtained results.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall basic notions of the graph theory, see e. g. [4, 7].

Definition 2.1 A *graph* $G = (V_G, E_G)$ is a non-empty finite set V_G of *vertices* together with a set E_G of non-ordered pairs $\{v, w\} \in E_G$ of distinct vertices $v, w \in V_G$ called *edges*.

Sometimes we will omit the subscript G from the set V_G of vertices and from the set E_G of edges if the graph is clear from the context.

Two different vertices are *adjacent* if they are connected by an edge. All vertices which are adjacent to vertex v are called *neighbors* of v . A vertex is *incident* with an edge if the vertex is one of the endpoints of that edge. Two different edges $e, e' \in E_G$ of a graph G are called *incident* if they have one common vertex.

Definition 2.2 We say that a graph H is a *subgraph* of a graph G and we write $H \subset G$ if $V_H \subset V_G$ and $E_H \subset E_G$. A subgraph $H \subset G$ is the *induced subgraph* if for any edge $\{v, w\} \in E_G$, such that $v, w \in V_H$ we have $\{v, w\} \in E_H$. In this case we write $H \sqsubset G$. For a subgraph $H \subset G$ we denote by \widehat{H} the induced subgraph which has the same set of vertices as H .

Definition 2.3 A *graph map* $f: G \rightarrow H$ from a graph G to a graph H is a map of vertices $f|_{V_G}: V_G \rightarrow V_H$ such that for any edge $\{v, w\} \in E_G$, we have $\{f(v), f(w)\} \in E_H$ or $f(v) = f(w) \in V_H$. The map f is called a *homomorphism* if $\{f(v), f(w)\} \in E_H$ for any $\{v, w\} \in E_G$.

For a graph G , we denote by $\text{Id}_G: G \rightarrow G$ the *identity* map (homomorphism) that is the identity map on the set of vertices and on the set of edges.

Thus we obtain a category \mathbf{G} whose objects are finite graphs and whose morphisms are graph maps and a category \mathbf{G} whose objects are finite graphs and whose morphisms are homomorphisms.

Definition 2.4 For $n \geq 1$, a *path* in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is an alternating sequence $v_0, a_1, v_1, a_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, a_n, v_n$ of vertices $v_i \in V$ and edges $a_i \in E$ such that $a_i = \{v_{i-1}, v_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. For $n = 0$, a path is given by a vertex $v_0 \in V$. The integer n is the *length* of the path. The vertex v_0 is the *origin* and the vertex v_n is the *end* of the path. A path $v_0, a_1, v_1, a_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, a_n, v_n$ is *closed* if $v_0 = v_n$. A closed path is a *circuit* if all edges are pairwise distinct. A *cycle* in a graph is a non-empty circuit in which only the first and last vertices are equal.

Definition 2.5 (i) A graph $G = (V, E)$ is *connected* if for any two distinct vertices $v, w \in V$ there is a path for which $v_0 = v$ is the origin and $v_n = w$ is the end of the path.

(ii) A connected graph G is a *tree* if it is *acyclic*, that is it does not contain nontrivial cycles.

(iii) A graph G is *complete* if every two distinct vertices of G are adjacent. We denote by K_n a complete graph with n vertices. In particular, we denote by K_1 the one vertex graph.

(iv) A *complete bipartite graph* is a graph whose vertices can be divided into two disjoint sets of vertices V and W such that the set of edges E of the graph is given by the set of all pairs of vertices $\{v, w\}$ such that

$v \in V, w \in W$. If the sets V and W consist of n and m elements, respectively, the complete bipartite graph is denoted by $K_{n,m}$.

(v) A graph is *edgeless*, if its set of edges is empty. We denote by \overline{K}_n the edgeless graph with $n \geq 1$ vertices.

(vi) The *complement graph* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a graph denoted by \overline{G} on the same set of vertices V such that two distinct vertices of \overline{G} are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in G .

Note that the edgeless graph \overline{K}_n is the complement of the complete graph K_n for every $n \geq 1$, which explains the notations for edgeless graphs.

Definition 2.6 For a graph $G = (V, E)$, the *distance* $d_G(v, w)$ between two vertices $v, w \in V$ is the length of the shortest path connecting these vertices. If there is no path connecting vertices $v, w \in V$ then we set $d_G(v, w) = \infty$.

Definition 2.7 Let $G = (V_G, E_G)$ be a graph. For an integer $n \geq 0$, the *n -th closed neighborhood* of a vertex $v \in V_G$ is an induced subgraph with the set of vertices $\{w \in V_G \mid d_G(v, w) \leq n\}$. We denote this neighborhood by $N_n(v)$.

Definition 2.8 Let $H_i = (V_i, E_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ be two subgraphs of a graph G .

(i) The *union* $H_1 \cup H_2$ is the subgraph of G with the set of vertices $V_1 \cup V_2$ and with the set of edges $E_1 \cup E_2$.

(ii) The *intersection* $H_1 \cap H_2$ is the subgraph of G with the set of vertices $V_1 \cap V_2$ and with the set of edges $E_1 \cap E_2$.

(iii) The *induced union* $H_1 \uplus H_2$ is the induced subgraph of G induced by the union $H_1 \cup H_2$. It equals to the induced subgraph $\widehat{H_1 \cup H_2}$.

3 Morphisms of graphs and graph burning

In this section we define a *graph burning* of a graph G . Afterwards, we prove that there exists a graph map of the graph G to a path graph P_n , defined by the burning. Further, we describe various relations between burnings of a graph and burnings of its induced subgraphs. At the end of the section we compute burning time for various burnings of several classes of graphs.

Let $G = (V_G, E_G)$ be a graph and $S_G = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ be a non-empty ordered set of vertices. For $1 \leq j \leq k+1$, consider a set of induced subgraphs $\mathcal{N}_j \sqsubset G$ defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_j = \begin{cases} N_{j-1}(v_1) \uplus \dots \uplus N_1(v_{j-1}) \uplus N_0(v_j) & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq k, \\ N_k(v_1) \uplus N_{k-1}(v_2) \uplus \dots \uplus N_1(v_k) & \text{for } j = k+1. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

For $2 \leq j \leq k+1$, let U_j be the induced subgraph of G given by

$$U_j = N_{j-1}(v_1) \uplus N_{j-2}(v_2) \uplus \dots \uplus N_1(v_{j-1}). \quad (3.2)$$

We note that for $2 \leq j \leq k$, the set of vertices V_{U_j} of the graph U_j is obtained from the set of vertices $V_{\mathcal{N}_j}$ of the graph \mathcal{N}_j by deleting the vertex v_j and $U_{k+1} = \mathcal{N}_{k+1}$.

It follows immediately from (3.1) and (3.2) that we have the following commutative diagram of inclusions of induced subgraphs

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\mathcal{N}_1 & \sqsubset & \mathcal{N}_2 & \sqsubset & \mathcal{N}_3 & \sqsubset \cdots \sqsubset & \mathcal{N}_k & \sqsubset & \mathcal{N}_{k+1} & \sqsubset & G \\
& & \sqcup & & \sqcup & \cdots & \sqcup & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
& & U_2 & \sqsubset & U_3 & \sqsubset \cdots \sqsubset & U_k & \sqsubset & U_{k+1} & \sqsubset & G \\
& & \sqcup & & \sqcup & \cdots & \sqcup & & \sqcup & & \sqcup \\
\mathcal{N}_1 & \sqsubset & \mathcal{N}_2 & \sqsubset & \cdots \sqsubset & \mathcal{N}_{k-1} & \sqsubset & \mathcal{N}_k & \sqsubset & \mathcal{N}_{k+1} &
\end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

in which each row is a filtration of the graph G by induced subgraphs.

Definition 3.1 Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $S_G = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ be a non-empty ordered set of vertices. The sequence S_G is called a *burning sequence* if in diagram (3.3) $v_j \notin U_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq k$ and $U_{k+1} = G$. The elements of S_G are called *burning sources*. The vertex v_i is called the *source at time i* .

A burning sequence S_G defines a commutative diagram (3.3) and since in this case $\mathcal{N}_{k+1} = U_{k+1} = G$ we obtain a filtration of G

$$\mathcal{N}_1 \sqsubset \mathcal{N}_2 \sqsubset \cdots \sqsubset \mathcal{N}_k \sqsubset \mathcal{N}_{k+1} = G. \tag{3.4}$$

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers. Define a function

$$\lambda_G: V_G \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{ by } \lambda_G(v) = \min\{i \mid v \in \mathcal{N}_i\}. \tag{3.5}$$

It follows from the filtration (3.4) that the function λ_G is well defined.

Lemma 3.2 Let $S_G = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ be a burning sequence of a graph $G = (V, E)$. Let $T = \max\{\lambda_G(v) \mid v \in V_G\}$ where λ_G is defined by (3.5). Then the function λ_G is a surjective map from the set V of vertices to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, T\}$, where $T = k$ or $T = k + 1$.

Proof. It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that for any vertex $v \in V$ we have $\lambda_G(v) = j \in \{1, \dots, k + 1\}$. By (3.1), we obtain that the burning source $v_j \in \mathcal{N}_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. By Definition 3.1, $v_j \notin U_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq k$ and, hence, by (3.3), $v_j \notin \mathcal{N}_{j-1}$ for $2 \leq j \leq k$. Hence $\lambda_G(v_j) = j$ for any source $j \in S_G$. In the case $\mathcal{N}_k = \mathcal{N}_{k+1}$ we obtain that $T = k$. Otherwise $\mathcal{N}_k \neq \mathcal{N}_{k+1}$ and $T = k + 1$. ■

We call a pair $\mathbf{B}(G) := (\lambda_G, S_G)$ the *burning (process)* for the graph G . We call the integer T the *end time of the burning*. Further, for a vertex $v \in V_G$ the value $\lambda_G(v)$ is called the *burning time of the vertex v* . We write $\mathbf{B}^T(G)$ if the process ends in the time T . We denote by $\lambda(\mathbf{B}^T(G))$ the function λ_G which corresponds to the process $\mathbf{B}^T(G)$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with a burning $\mathbf{B}(G) = (\lambda, S_G)$. Let $v, w \in V$ be two adjacent vertices. If $v \in \mathcal{N}_j$ for some j then $w \in \mathcal{N}_{j+1}$.*

Proof. By the definition of λ in (3.5) and using (3.1) we obtain that

$$v \in \mathcal{N}_j = N_{j-1}(v_1) \uplus N_{j-2}(v_2) \uplus \cdots \uplus N_1(v_{j-1}) \uplus N_0(v_j),$$

Hence there exists at least one number i ($1 \leq i \leq j$) such that $v \in N_{j-i}(v_i)$, that is $d_G(v_i, v) \leq j - i$. We have $d_G(v, w) = 1$ since $\{v, w\} \in E$. Hence, by the triangle inequality for the graph distance d_G we obtain that $d_G(v_i, w) \leq d_G(v_i, v) + d_G(v, w) = j - i + 1$. Afterwards, by Definition 2.7 of closed neighborhoods, we obtain that $w \in N_{j-i+1}(v_i) \subset \mathcal{N}_{j+1}$. ■

Lemma 3.4 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $\mathbf{B}^T(G)$ be a graph burning with $\lambda = \lambda_G$. Then for any two adjacent vertices $v, w \in V$ we have $|\lambda(v) - \lambda(w)| \in \{0, 1\}$.*

Proof. If $\lambda(v) = \lambda(w)$, then there is nothing to prove. Without loss of generality, assume that $\lambda(w) > \lambda(v)$. Then $v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda(v)}$ and by Lemma 3.3 $w \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda(v)+1}$, and hence, by (3.3), $\lambda(w) \leq \lambda(v) + 1$ from where the statement follows. ■

Theorem 3.5 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $\mathbf{B}^T(G)$ be a graph burning. Then the function $\lambda_G = \lambda(\mathbf{B}^T(G))$ defines a unique graph map $G \rightarrow P_T$ which coincides with $\lambda(\mathbf{B}^T(G))$ on the set of vertices V of the graph G . We continue to denote this graph map by $\lambda = \lambda(\mathbf{B}^T(G))$.*

Proof. Recall that P_T is the path graph, i.e the graph with the set of vertices $\{1, \dots, T\}$ and the set of edges $\{i, i + 1\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, T - 1$. On the set of vertices, the function $\lambda: V \rightarrow V_{P_T}$ is well defined by (3.5). Note that for any two vertices $i, j \in V_{P_T}$ there is an edge $\{i, j\} \in E_{P_T}$ if and only if $|i - j| = 1$. For any edge $\{v, w\} \in E$, the vertices v and w are neighbors and, by Lemma 3.4, $\lambda(v) = \lambda(w)$ or $|\lambda(v) - \lambda(w)| = 1$ and in this case $\{\lambda(v), \lambda(w)\} \in E_{P_T}$. Hence, by Definition 2.3, λ is well defined on the set of edges. ■

Definition 3.6 Let G be a graph and $\mathbf{B}^T(G)$ be a burning of G . The graph map (homomorphism) $\lambda = \lambda(\mathbf{B}^T(G))$ is called the *burning map (homomorphism)*.

For a graph G we would like to describe invariants of graph maps $\lambda: G \rightarrow P_n$ ($n \geq 1$) which detect burning maps. At first we consider several examples.

Example 3.7 Consider the graphs $G = (V_G, E_G)$ and $H = (V_H, E_H)$ in Figure 1 which have the same set of vertices $V_G = V_H = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.




Figure 1: The graphs G (left) and H (right) in Example 3.7.

Let $S_G = S_H = (1, 5)$. Then we have the following burning maps:

- (i) $\lambda_G: V_G \rightarrow V_{P_3} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ is given by $\lambda(1) = 1, \lambda(2) = \lambda(3) = \lambda(5) = 2, \lambda(4) = 3$. The pair (λ_G, S_G) defines a burning $\mathbf{B}(G)$ with $T_G = 3$ for which the burning map λ_G is a homomorphism.
- (ii) $\lambda_H: V_H \rightarrow V_{P_3} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ coincides with λ_G on $V_H = V_G$ and the pair (λ_H, S_H) defines a burning $\mathbf{B}(H)$ with $T_H = 3$ for which the burning map λ_H is not a homomorphism.

Lemma 3.8 *Let a graph G contains a triangle subgraph which is isomorphic to the graph T in Figure 2. Then the graph G does not admit a burning homomorphism λ_G .*




Figure 2: Triangle graph T .

Proof. By Theorem 3.5 every burning defines a graph map $f: G \rightarrow P_n$ whose restriction gives a map $f|_T: T \rightarrow P_n$. The image of any such map is a one vertex or a one edge. In each case the image of at least one edge of T will be a vertex and the statement of the Proposition follows. ■

Lemma 3.9 *Let a graph G has a closed path of length $n = 2k + 1 \geq 3$. Then for every path graph P , a homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow P$ does not exist.*

Proof. We prove by an induction in $n = 2k + 1$ that a homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow P$ does not exist for any graph G which admits a closed path of length $n = 2k + 1$.

Firstly, it is obvious that the image of a closed path under the homomorphism is a closed path of the same length. Therefore, it is enough to prove, that there is no a closed path of odd length in a path graph. We prove this

by induction on k . For $k = 1$ (i.e. $n = 3$) the statement holds by the proof of Lemma 3.8.

Let us suppose that none of the path graphs admits a closed path of length $n = 2(k - 1) + 1$. Assume, that there exists a path graph P_m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > 0$, which admits a closed path $C = (v_0, a_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, a_n, v_n = v_0)$ of length $n = 2k + 1 \geq 5$. Let $M = \max\{v_i \mid v_i \in C\}$. Then there are two options:

- (i) $M = v_0$,
- (ii) $M = v_i$ and $i \neq 0$.

If $M = v_0$, then $v_1 = v_{n-1}$ and P_m admits a closed path $(v_1, a_2, \dots, v_{n-1})$ of length $n = 2(k - 1) + 1$ which is a contradiction. If $M = v_i$, $i \neq 0$, then $v_{i-1} = v_{i+1}$ and P_m admits a closed path $(v_0, a_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, a_{i+2}, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_n)$ of length $n = 2(k - 1) + 1$ which is again a contradiction. ■

Theorem 3.10 *Let a graph G contains a closed path of length $n = 2k + 1$, $n \geq 3$. Then the graph G does not admit a burning homomorphism.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, every burning $\mathbf{B}(G) = (\lambda, S_G)$ defines a graph map $\lambda: G \rightarrow P_T$ where P_T is the path graph. Now the statement of the Theorem follows from Lemma 3.9. ■

Proposition 3.11 *Fix a natural number $T \geq 1$ and consider burnings $\mathbf{B}^T(P_n) = (\lambda, S_{P_n})$ of path graphs P_n with the end time T .*

- (i) *Then the maximum value of n equals T^2 , i. e. the longest path graph which can be burned for the end time T has T^2 vertices.*
- (ii) *If in addition λ is a homomorphism, then the maximum value of n equals $T^2 - T + 1$.*

Proof. (i) Firstly, we prove that $n \leq T^2$. By Lemma 3.2, we conclude that there are two possibilities for a burning of a graph P_n with the end time T :

- (1) a burning of P_n is given by a source sequence $S_{P_n} = (v_1, \dots, v_T)$ and

$$\mathcal{N}_T = N_{T-1}(v_1) \uplus \dots \uplus N_1(v_{T-1}) \uplus \mathcal{N}_0(v_T) = P_n, \quad (3.6)$$

or, otherwise,

- (2) a burning of P_n is given by a source sequence $S_{P_n} = (v_1, \dots, v_{T-1})$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_T = N_{T-1}(v_1) \uplus \dots \uplus N_1(v_{T-1}) = P_n. \quad (3.7)$$

In the case (1), to obtain a maximum value n we can take all the neighborhoods in (3.6) in such a way that pairwise distinct neighborhoods in (3.6) have empty intersection. For a vertex $v \in P_n$, the maximal neighborhood $N_j(v)$ contains $2j + 1$ vertices. Hence, in the case (1),

$$n \leq \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} (2j + 1) = T^2. \quad (3.8)$$

In the case (2), using the same line of arguments we obtain that $n \leq T^2 - 1$. Thus in both cases $n \leq T^2$. Finally, the burning sequence with $v_1 = T$ and

$$v_j = \sum_{i=T-(j-1)}^{T-1} (2i+1) + T - j + 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq T,$$

defines the burning of the path graph P_{T^2} .

(ii) Now let λ be a homomorphism. We consider this case similarly to the case (i). At first we prove that the equality (3.6) leads to a contradiction. Note, that $n > 1$, otherwise the statement is trivial. Since path graph P_n is connected there is a vertex $v \in V_{P_n}$ such that $\{v, v_T\} \in E_{P_n}$. Moreover, $\lambda(v) = T - 1$ since λ is a homomorphism and T is the maximal value of the map λ on the set of vertices. By Definition 3.1 we obtain

$$v \in \mathcal{N}_{T-1} = N_{T-2}(v_1) \uplus N_{T-3}(v_2) \uplus \cdots \uplus N_0(v_{T-1}).$$

Thus, v is a vertex of at least one of the graphs $N_{T-2}(v_1), \dots, N_0(v_{T-1})$. Since $d(v, v_T) = 1$ we obtain that the vertex v_T is the vertex of at least one of the following graphs $N_{T-1}(v_1), \dots, N_1(v_{T-1})$ and, hence,

$$v_T \in N_{T-1}(v_1) \uplus N_{T-2}(v_2) \uplus \cdots \uplus N_1(v_{T-1}) = U_T.$$

But, by Definition 3.1 and our assumption, $v_T \notin U_T$. Hence, we have obtained a contradiction in the case of the relation (3.6).

Thus, we can consider only the relation (3.7). The vertices of the set $S_{P_n} = \{v_1, \dots, v_{T-1}\}$ are pairwise distinct elements of the set of vertices $V_{P_n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and hence they have a natural order " $<$ ". Thus we obtain a sequence of vertices $w_i \in V_{P_n}$ ($1 \leq i \leq T - 1$) and a permutation π of elements $(1, \dots, T - 1)$ such that

$$1 \leq w_1 < w_2 < \cdots < w_{T-1} \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad w_i = v_{\pi(j)}. \quad (3.9)$$

For any two consequent vertices $w_i < w_{i+1}$ in (3.9), we have two neighborhoods from (3.7) $M_i = N_{T-\pi^{-1}(i)}(w_i)$ and $M_{i+1} = N_{T-\pi^{-1}(i+1)}(w_{i+1})$. To obtain a maximum value of n from above, these neighborhoods must have minimal intersections. The case of $M_i \cap M_{i+1} = \emptyset$ is impossible since in this case the burning map λ is not a homomorphism. Let $M_i \cap M_{i+1}$ consists from exactly one vertex for $1 \leq i \leq T - 2$. Then the neighborhoods in (3.7) have $T - 2$ one-vertex intersections since the number of elements of this cover is $T - 1$. Hence, by (2) of proof (i), we obtain

$$n \leq T^2 - 1 - (T - 2) = T^2 - T + 1. \quad (3.10)$$

In this case, the burning sequence with $v_1 = T$ and

$$v_j = \sum_{i=T-(j-1)}^{T-1} (2i) + T - j \quad \text{for } j \geq 2$$

defines the burning of the path graph P_{T^2-T+1} . ■

Proposition 3.12 Let $\mathbf{B}^T(P_n) = (\lambda, S_{P_n})$ be a burning for some path graph P_n and $S_{P_n} = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$. Then the following holds:

- (i) $n \leq k^2 + 2k$ and the equality is reached;
- (ii) if in addition λ is a homomorphism, then $n \leq k^2 + k + 1$ and the equality is reached.

Proof. (i) The proof follows from the proof of (i) in Proposition 3.11. Indeed, in this case the burning time is either $T = k$ and (3.6) holds or the burning time is $T = k + 1$ and (3.7) holds. Hence, $n = k^2$ or $n = T^2 - 1 = k^2 + 2k$, from where the statement follows.

(ii) can be treated similarly, using the proof of (ii) in Proposition 3.11.

■

Corollary 3.13 The burning number $b(P_n)$ for the burning defined in Definition 3.1 of a path graph P_n equals $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ where $\lceil r \rceil \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to r . Moreover, this number coincides with the burning number for the burning process defined in [2], [3, Th. 2.9] and [1]).

Proof. The fact that P_n can be burned in a time $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ and can not be burned faster follows from the proof of (i) in Proposition 3.11. ■

There is a natural question about minimal length n of a path graph P_n which can be burned by a source sequence of length $k \geq 1$.

Proposition 3.14 (i) The minimum value n , for which there exists a burning (λ, S_{P_n}) of a path graph P_n using k sources, equals $2k - 1$.

(ii) If, additionally, λ is a burning homomorphism, then the minimum value n , for which there exists a burning (λ, S_{P_n}) using k sources, equals $3k - 2$.

Proof. (i) Consider a burning $S_{P_n} = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ ($k \geq 1$) and two consequent sources v_{j-1}, v_j of the burning. By Definition 3.1, $v_j \notin U_j$ and, hence, $v_j \notin N_1(v_{j-1})$ by (3.2). That is, the distance $d_{P_n}(v_j, v_{j-1})$ is greater or equal to two. The minimal distance $d_{P_n}(v_j, v_{j-1}) = 2$ for every pair of consequent sources is realized for the path graph P_{2k-1} with the source sequence $v_i = 2i - 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

(ii) The consideration is similar to the case (i). In the case of a homomorphism λ the distance $d_{P_n}(v_j, v_{j-1})$ is greater or equal to three. The minimal distance $d_{P_n}(v_j, v_{j-1}) = 3$ for every pair of consequent sources is realized for the path graph P_{3k-2} with the source sequence $v_i = 3i - 2$. ■

Now we describe functorial relations between burnings of various graphs. Let (λ_G, S_G) and (λ_H, S_H) be two burnings of graphs G and H , respectively, with the source sequences $S_G = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ and $S_H = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ where $m \geq k \geq 1$. For $2 \leq j \leq k + 1$, let U_j be the induced subgraph of G defined

in (3.2). For $2 \leq j \leq k + 1$, let $W_j \sqsubset H$ be the induced subgraph of H defined by

$$W_j = N_{j-1}(w_1) \uplus N_{j-2}(w_2) \uplus \cdots \uplus N_1(w_{j-1}). \quad (3.11)$$

Definition 3.15 Let G, H be graphs and $\mathbf{B}(G), \mathbf{B}(H)$ be two burnings as above. A graph map $f: G \rightarrow H$ is called a *morphism of the burnings*, and we write $f: \mathbf{B}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(H)$, if $k \leq m$, $f(v_i) = w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and there is a commutative diagram of graph maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{f} & H \\ \lambda_G \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_H \\ P_{T^G} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & P_{T^H}, \end{array} \quad (3.12)$$

where T^G and T^H are the burning times for the burnings of the graphs G and H , respectively. Hence, in particular, $f(U_j) \subset W_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq k + 1$.

Now we describe the properties of burning morphisms and afterwards consider several examples.

Proposition 3.16 *Let $f: (\lambda_G, S_G) \rightarrow (\lambda_H, S_H)$ be a morphism of graph burnings with $S_G = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ and $S_H = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$. If the end time of the burning of the graph G is k , then the graph map τ in diagram (3.12) is an inclusion.*

Proof. Let $S_G = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ and $S_H = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ where $k \leq m$ by Definition 3.15. It follows from the commutativity of diagram (3.12) that on the set of vertices $\{1, \dots, k\} \subset V_{P_{T^G}}$ the function τ is an inclusion given by

$$\tau(i) = \tau(\lambda_G(v_i)) = \lambda_H(f(v_i)) = \lambda_H(w_i) = i. \quad (3.13)$$

Hence, τ is an inclusion for the case $T^G = k$. ■

Lemma 3.17 (i) *Let (λ_G, S_G) be a burning of a graph G and $\text{Id}_G: G \rightarrow G$ be the identity map. Then Id_G defines the identity morphism of the burnings $(\lambda_G, S_G) \rightarrow (\lambda_G, S_G)$.*

(ii) *Let $f: (\lambda_G, S_G) \rightarrow (\lambda_H, S_H)$ and $g: (\lambda_H, S_H) \rightarrow (\lambda_K, S_K)$ be two morphisms of graph burnings. Then the composition $g \circ f$ is a morphism $(\lambda_G, S_G) \rightarrow (\lambda_K, S_K)$ of graph burnings.*

Proof. (i) It follows immediately from Definition 3.15.

(ii) Let $S_G = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$, $S_H = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$, and $S_K = (z_1, \dots, z_l)$. Then $1 \leq k \leq m \leq l$ by Definition 3.15. Moreover,

$$(g \circ f)(v_i) = g(f(v_i)) = g(w_i) = z_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{f} & H & \xrightarrow{g} & K \\
 \lambda_G \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_H & & \downarrow \lambda_K \\
 P_{TG} & \longrightarrow & P_{TH} & \longrightarrow & P_{TK}.
 \end{array} \tag{3.14}$$

in which the left square is commutative since f is a burning morphism and the right square is commutative since g is a burning morphism. Hence diagram (3.14) is commutative and the statement is proved. ■

Theorem 3.18 *There is a category \mathfrak{B} whose objects are graph burnings and whose morphisms are morphisms of graph burnings defined in Definition 3.15.*

Proof. The category of graphs and graph maps is well defined. Now the proof follows from Lemma 3.17. ■

Example 3.19 Consider a map $f: G \rightarrow H$ of graphs in Figure 3 which is given by $f(v_0) = w_0, f(v_1) = w_1, f(v_2) = f(v_3) = f(v_4) = w_2$.




Figure 3: The map f in Example 3.19.

Let $\mathbf{B}(G) = (\lambda_G, S_G)$ where $S_G = (v_0, v_2)$ and $\mathbf{B}(H) = (\lambda_H, S_H)$ where $S_H = (w_0, w_2)$. Then $T_G = 3$ and $T_H = 2$. The map f is a morphism of burnings $f: \mathbf{B}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(H)$ and the graph map $\tau: P_3 \rightarrow P_2$ is given on the set of vertices by $\tau(1) = 1, \tau(2) = \tau(3) = 2$.

Definition 3.20 (i) Let G be a connected graph and H be a connected subgraph given with an inclusion $i: H \rightarrow G$. Let $\mathbf{B} = (\lambda_G, S_G)$ be a burning of G . The subgraph H is \mathbf{B} -burned if there is a burning (λ_H, S_H) of H such that $\lambda_H = \lambda_G|_H$ and the inclusion i is a morphism of burnings $(\lambda_H, S_H) \rightarrow (\lambda_G, S_G)$.

(ii) The \mathbf{B} -burned subgraph $H \subset G$ is \mathbf{B} -burned minimal if there is no a \mathbf{B} -burned subgraph $H_1 \subset G$ such that $H_1 \subsetneq H$.

Example 3.21 Consider the graph G in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Graph G in Example 3.21.

The burning $\mathbf{B}(G) = (\lambda_G, S_G)$ is defined by $S_G = (v_0, v_5)$. The function $\lambda = \lambda_G$ is given on the set of vertices by $\lambda(v_0) = 1$, $\lambda(v_1) = \lambda(v_5) = 2$ and $\lambda(v_2) = \lambda(v_3) = \lambda(v_4) = \lambda(v_6) = 3$. All the minimal \mathbf{B} -burned subgraphs H_1 , H_2 and H_3 of G are presented in Figure 5.




Figure 5: The minimal \mathbf{B} -burned subgraphs H_1, H_2, H_3 in Example 3.21.

Theorem 3.22 *Let $\mathbf{B} = (\lambda, S_G)$ be a burning of a graph G . Then every minimal \mathbf{B} -burned subgraph is a tree.*

Proof. Let P_T be the image of λ and $H \subset G$ be a minimal \mathbf{B} -burned subgraph. Let us suppose, that H is not a tree. Since H is connected it contains a cycle $c = (v_0, a_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, a_n, v_0)$. Let $C \subset H$ be the subgraph that is given by the cycle c . The restriction $\lambda|_C: C \rightarrow P_T$ is a graph map to the path graph and, hence, the image contains a maximal vertex $t = \lambda(v_k) \in V_{P_T}$ for a vertex $v_k \in V_C$. Since C is a cycle, there exist two distinct edges $\{x, v_k\}, \{v_k, y\} \in E_C$ which are incident to v_k . Since t is maximum λ_C on the set of vertices and by Lemma 3.4, we obtain that $t-1 \leq \lambda_C(x), \lambda_C(y) \leq t = \lambda_C(v_k)$. Thus burnings of the vertices x and y are not induced by the burning of the vertex v . Now we delete one of the edges $\{x, v_k\}, \{v_k, y\}$ from the graph H . Thus we obtain a connected subgraph

$H_1 \subsetneq H$ with the same burning sequence S_G . We obtain a contradiction with the condition that H is minimal. The Theorem is proved. ■

Example 3.23 Consider the graph G in Figure 6 with the burning $\mathbf{B}(G)$ that is given by $S_G = (v_0, v_4, v_6)$. Then there are three minimal \mathbf{B} -burning subgraphs H_1 , H_2 , and H_3 which are induced subgraphs given by following sets of vertices: $V_{H_1} = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_6\}$, $V_{H_2} = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$, and $V_{H_3} = \{v_0, v_1, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$, respectively. The graphs H_1 and H_2 are not isomorphic and they are presented in Figure 7.




Figure 6: The graph G in Example 3.23.




Figure 7: The subgraphs H_1 (left) and H_2 (right) in Example 3.23.

4 Configuration space of graph burnings

In this section, we consider a set $\mathcal{B}(G)$ of all burnings of any graph G . We define a configuration space Δ_G which is a simplicial complex associated with the set $\mathcal{B}(G)$ and prove that a one-dimensional skeleton of Δ_G coincides with the complement graph \overline{G} . Afterwards, we describe basic properties of Δ_G and describe relations between configuration spaces and standard simplicial constructions. In this Section we will use standard definitions of simplicial and graph constructions [8], [7], [13], [14]. At first, we recall several basic definitions [6], [12].

Definition 4.1 A *simplicial complex* $\Delta = (V, F)$ consists of a set V of *vertices* and a set F of finite non-empty subsets of V which are called *simplexes*. A simplex $\sigma \in F$ containing $q + 1$ ($q \geq 0$) elements is called a *q -simplex* and the number q is called the *dimension* of σ and we write ($q = \dim \sigma$). The set F of simplexes must satisfy the following two properties:

- (i) for every vertex $v \in V$ the set $\{v\} \in F$ is a simplex,
- (ii) if $\sigma \in F$ is a simplex then any non-empty subset $\tau \subset \sigma$ is a simplex which is called a *face* of σ .

A simplex $\sigma \in \Delta$ is *maximal* if it is not a face of any another simplex.

A simplicial complex is *n-dimensional* if it contains at least one n -dimensional simplex but no $(n + 1)$ -dimensional simplexes.

Definition 4.2 (i) A simplicial complex $\Delta_1 = (V_1, F_1)$ is a *subcomplex* of a simplicial complex $\Delta = (V, F)$ if $V_1 \subset V$ and $F_1 \subset F$.

(ii) For $n \geq 0$, the *n-skeleton* $sk_n(\Delta)$ of a simplicial complex $\Delta = (V, F)$ is the subcomplex with the set of vertices V and with the set of simplexes $\{\sigma \in F \mid \dim \sigma \leq n\}$.

We note that every graph $G = (V, E)$ gives a one-dimensional simplicial complex with the set V of zero-dimensional simplexes and the set E of one-dimensional simplexes. Moreover, every one-dimensional skeleton of a simplicial complex defines a graph with the same set of vertices.

Definition 4.3 Let $\Delta = (V, F)$ and $\Delta_1 = (V_1, F_1)$ be simplicial complexes. A *simplicial map* $f: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta_1$ is given by a function $f: V \rightarrow V_1$ such that $f(\sigma) \in F_1$ for every simplex $\sigma \in F$.

A simplicial complex is called *finite*, if it has finite number of vertices. In this paper we consider only finite simplicial complexes.

Now we come back to the burning theory. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(G)$ the set of all burnings of G . Since we consider only finite graphs, the set $\mathcal{B}(G)$ consists of finite number of pairs (λ_G, S_G) defined in Section 3. Every source sequence S_G defines a set $\widehat{S}_G = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subset V$. It follows from Definition 4.1 that a simplicial complex $\Delta = (V, F)$ is defined by the set V of vertices and a subset $F^m \subset F$ of maximal simplexes of Δ . We will say that the set of maximal simplexes F^m *generates* the set F of simplexes.

Definition 4.4 For a given graph $G = (V, E)$, we define a *burning configuration space* of G as a simplicial complex $\Delta_G = (V_{\Delta_G}, F_{\Delta_G})$ where $V_{\Delta_G} = V$ and F_{Δ_G} is generated by the set of all maximal simplexes

$$F_{\Delta_G}^m = \{\widehat{S}_G \mid (\lambda_G, S_G) \in \mathcal{B}(G)\}.$$

Definition 4.5 Let G be a graph and $H \subset G$ be a connected subgraph with the inclusion $i: H \rightarrow G$. Consider a burning $\mathbf{B}(H) = (\lambda_H, S_H)$ of the graph H . We say that the burning $\mathbf{B}(H)$ *admits an extension* to the graph G if there is a burning $\mathbf{B}(G) = (\lambda_G, S_G)$ such that $i: (\lambda_H, S_H) \rightarrow (\lambda_G, S_G)$ is the morphism of burnings.

Example 4.6 Let us consider the graph G and its subgraph H in Figure 8. Consider a burning $\mathbf{B}(H)$ with $S_H = (v_1, v_3)$. Then $\mathbf{B}(H)$ does not admit an extension to G .




Figure 8: The graphs G (left) and H (right) in Example 4.6.

Definition 4.7 A graph G is a *burning extension* of a subgraph H if for every burning sequence S_H there is a burning sequence S_G such that $\hat{S}_H \subset \hat{S}_G$.

Lemma 4.8 (i) Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, $v \in V$, and $K_1 = \{v\}$ be a one-vertex subgraph with the natural inclusion i . Then the unique burning (λ_{K_1}, S_{K_1}) admits an extension to G . Moreover, the graph G is a burning extension of the subgraph K_1 .

(ii) Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, $v, w \in V$, and $\overline{K}_2 = \{v, w\}$ be an edgeless subgraph with the natural inclusion i . Let $\mathbf{B} = (\lambda_{\overline{K}_2}, S_{\overline{K}_2})$ be a burning of \overline{K}_2 . Then \mathbf{B} admits an extension to G if and only if v and w are not adjacent, i.e. \overline{K}_2 is an induced subgraph. Moreover, if v and w are not adjacent then G is a burning extension of \overline{K}_2 .

Proof. Follows from Definition 3.1. ■

Definition 4.9 (i) Let $G = (V_G, E_G)$ and $H = (V_H, E_H)$ be two graphs. The *disjoint union* $G + H = (V, E)$ is a graph which has as its vertices the set $V = V_G \sqcup V_H$ and as its edges the set $E = E_G \sqcup E_H$.

(ii) The *iterated graph sum* nG ($n \geq 1$) of a graph G is the disjoint union of n disjoint copies of G .

Example 4.10 Every disjoint union $G + H$ is the burning extension of each of the graphs G and H .

Proposition 4.11 Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and Δ_G be its configuration space. Then the following holds:

- (i) 0-skeleton $sk_0(\Delta_G)$ coincides with V ,
- (ii) 1-skeleton $sk_1(\Delta_G)$ coincides with the complement graph \overline{G} .

Proof. (i) Follows immediately from Lemma 4.8.

(ii) Follows from Lemma 4.8 since two adjacent vertices can not belong to the same burning sequence due to Definition 3.1. ■

Corollary 4.12 *Let G be a graph and $H = sk_1(\Delta_G)$ be a graph that is given by the 1-skeleton of its configuration space. Then $sk_1(\Delta_H) = G$.*

Proof. The complement graph of \overline{G} is G . ■

Recall that a *clique* in a graph G is an induced subgraph K , which is complete. It follows from Proposition 4.13 below that in some sense the simplicial structure of Δ_G is opposite to the clique structure of G .

Proposition 4.13 *Let G be a graph and $K \subset G$ be a clique. Then any two distinct vertices $v, w \in V_K$ do not belong to a simplex σ of Δ_G .*

Proof. It follows from Definition 3.1 that two adjacent vertices can not belong to the same burning sequence. ■

Proposition 4.14 *Let a graph G be a burning extension of a graph H . Then the natural inclusion $i: H \rightarrow G$ induces a simplicial map $i_*: \Delta_H \rightarrow \Delta_G$.*

We note that the trees play a significant role in the burning theory, see e.g. [3][Th. 2.4, Cor. 2.5]. We have good functorial relations between inclusions of trees and burning extensions defined in Definition 4.7

Theorem 4.15 *Let H and G be trees and $H \subset G$. Then G is the burning extension of the graph H .*

Proof. Consider a burning $\mathbf{B}(H) = (\lambda_H, S_H)$ where $S_H = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$, $v_i \in V_H$ and $n \geq 2$. For $n = 1$ the statement follows from Definition 3.1. For $2 \leq j \leq n + 1$ we denote by U_j the induced subgraph of H defined in (3.2) where all neighborhoods N_k are neighborhoods in H . For $2 \leq j \leq n + 1$, we define also an induced subgraph of the graph G by setting

$$U_j^G = N_{j-1}^G(v_1) \cup N_{j-2}^G(v_2) \cup \dots \cup N_1^G(v_{j-1}) \quad (4.1)$$

where all neighborhoods N_k^G are the neighborhoods in G . We have evidently $U_j \subset U_j^G$. By Definition 3.1, we have $v_j \notin U_j$ and $U_{n+1} = G$. Now we prove that $v_j \notin U_j^G$. Indeed, let us suppose that $v_j \in U_j^G$. Then there exists at least one neighborhood $N_{j-k}^G(v_k)$ in (4.1) such that $v_j \in N_{j-k}^G(v_k)$. Hence there is a path of a minimal length $d \leq j - k$ from $v_k \in V_H$ to $v_j \in V_H$. Since G is a tree there is an unique path of minimal length d from v_k to v_j which lays in the subgraph H , since the tree is connected by the definition. Hence $v_j \in N_{j-k}(v_k)$ and $v_j \in U_j$. We obtain a contradiction and, hence, $v_j \notin U_j^G$ for $2 \leq j \leq n + 1$. Now if $U_{n+1}^G = G$ we have obtained that (v_1, \dots, v_n) is the burning sequence of the graph G . Otherwise, we have $U_{n+1}^G \subsetneq G$ and we can add an issue vertex $v_{n+1} \in V_G$ to the burning sequence S_H . Continuing this process we obtain a burning of G which is a burning extension of S_H . ■

Corollary 4.16 *Every inclusion of trees $H \rightarrow G$ induces an inclusion of simplicial complexes $\Delta_H \rightarrow \Delta_G$.*

Definition 4.17 The *cone over a simplicial complex* $\Delta = (V, F)$ is a simplicial complex $C(\Delta) = (V_{C(\Delta)}, F_{C(\Delta)})$ with the vertex set $V_{C(\Delta)} = V \sqcup \{v_*\}$ where v_* is a new vertex, i.e. $v_* \notin V$. For $q > 0$, a q -simplex in $F_{C\Delta}$ is either a q -simplex of Δ itself, or it is a disjoint union $\sigma \sqcup \{v_*\}$ of the set $\{v_*\}$ with a $(q - 1)$ -simplex σ of Δ .

Definition 4.18 The *suspension over a simplicial complex* $\Delta = (V, F)$ is a simplicial complex $S(\Delta) = (V_{S(\Delta)}, F_{S(\Delta)})$ with the vertex set $V_{S(\Delta)} = V \sqcup \{v_*, w_*\}$ where v_*, w_* are two new distinct vertices, i.e. $v_*, w_* \notin V$. For $q > 0$, a q -simplex in $F_{S\Delta}$ is either a q -simplex of Δ itself, or it is a disjoint union $\sigma \sqcup \{v_*\}$ of the set $\{v_*\}$ with a $(q - 1)$ -simplex σ of Δ , or it is a disjoint union $\sigma \sqcup \{w_*\}$ of the set $\{w_*\}$ with a $(q - 1)$ -simplex σ of Δ .

Theorem 4.19 (i) *Let G be a graph and K_1 be the one-vertex graph. Then the configuration space Δ_{G+K_1} coincides with the cone $C(\Delta_G)$ over the configuration Δ_G .*

(ii) *Let G be a graph and P_2 be the path graph with two vertices. Then the configuration space Δ_{G+P_2} coincides with suspension $S(\Delta_G)$ over the configuration space Δ_G .*

Proof. (i) The graph $G + K_1$ is the burning extension of the graph G . Now the result follows from Definitions 3.1, 4.4, and 4.17.

(ii) Let $V_{P_2} = \{v_*, w_*\}$. The graph $G + P_2$ is the burning extension of the graph G and every burning sequence S_{G+P_2} has the form $(v_1, \dots, v_i, v_*, v_{i+1}, v_n)$ or $(v_1, \dots, v_i, w_*, v_{i+1}, v_n)$ where (v_1, \dots, v_n) is a burning sequence of G . Now the result follows from Definitions 3.1, 4.4, and 4.18. ■

Below we will use the *geometric realization* $|\Delta|$ of a simplicial complex Δ which is a *polyhedron* (see, for example, [11, §1.5]).

Theorem 4.20 *Let $\Pi_n = nP_2$ ($n \geq 1$) be an iterated sum of the path graph P_2 . Then the geometric realization $|\Delta_{\Pi_n}|$ of the burning configuration space Δ_{Π_n} is homeomorphic to $(n - 1)$ -dimensional sphere S^{n-1} .*

Proof. The configuration space of the graph P_2 consists of two distinct vertices. Now induction in n using Theorem 4.19(ii) finishes the proof. ■

Corollary 4.21 (i) *The configuration space of the graph $P_2 + P_2$ is isomorphic to the cyclic graph C_4 with the set of vertices $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and the set of edges $\{\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 0\}\}$.*

(ii) *The geometric realization of the configuration space of the graph $P_2 + P_2 + P_2$ is presented by the boundary of the octahedron.*

Example 4.22 Let Q be a graph cube given in Figure 9. Every burning of Q has a source sequence consisting of two elements. Hence the configuration space Δ_Q is a one-dimensional complex. It is given in Figure 9. By Corollary 4.12, we obtain that $\Delta_{\Delta_Q} = Q$.




Figure 9: A graph cube Q (left) and its configuration space Δ_Q (right).

Example 4.23 (i) For $n \geq 1$, let K_n ($n \geq 1$) be a complete graph with n vertices and \overline{K}_n ($n \geq 1$) be an edgeless graph with n vertices. Then $\Delta_{K_n} = \overline{K}_n$ and $\Delta_{\overline{K}_n}$ is the simplicial complex consisting of one $(n - 1)$ -dimensional simplex. Moreover we have $sk_1(\Delta_{\overline{K}_n}) = K_n$. The latest fact illustrates Proposition 4.11.

(ii) For $n, m \geq 1$, let K_n, K_m be two complete graphs and $K_{n,m}$ be a complete bipartite graph. Every source set of a burning of the graph $K_n + K_m$ consists of a pair (v, w) where $v \in V_{K_n}, w \in V_{K_m}$ or $w \in V_{K_n}, v \in V_{K_m}$. Moreover every such pair is the source set of $(K_n + K_m)$ -burning. Hence $\Delta_{K_n + K_m} = K_{n,m}$. Similarly, we can see that $\Delta_{K_{n,m}} = K_n + K_m$.

(iii) Consider the path graph P_5 with five vertices $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Then the geometric realization $|\Delta_{P_5}|$ of the configuration space Δ_{P_5} is presented in Figure 10 below. The shadowed triangle in Figure 10 corresponds to the two-simplex in Δ_{P_5} . Again, according to Proposition 4.11, $sk_1(\Delta_{P_5}) = \overline{P}_5$.




Figure 10: The geometric realization $|\Delta_{P_5}|$.

5 Burning homology

In this Section, we define *homology groups of G -burnings* as simplicial homology groups of Δ_G . We describe properties of introduced homology groups and give several examples

Let R be a unitary commutative ring and $\Delta = (V, F)$ be a simplicial complex. For $n \geq 0$, *homology groups* $H_n(\Delta, R)$ of the complex Δ with coefficients in R are defined using a functorially defined chain complex $\Lambda_*(\Delta) = \{\Lambda_n, \partial\}$ of R modules (see, for example, [8], [11], [13], [14]). Recall that the module $\Lambda_n(\Delta)$ is generated by n -dimensional simplexes of Δ . The *augmented chain complex* $\Lambda_*(\Delta)$ is the complex $\Lambda_*(\Delta)$ equipped with the *augmentation homomorphism*

$$\varepsilon: \Lambda_0(\Delta) \rightarrow R \quad \text{where} \quad \varepsilon \left(\sum_i n_i \sigma_i \right) = \sum_i n_i$$

where σ_i are zero dimensional simplexes and $n_i \in R$. The homology groups of the augmented chain complex are called *reduced homology groups* and are denoted by $\tilde{H}_*(\Delta, R)$.

Definition 5.1 The *burning homology* of a graph G is the simplicial homology of the simplicial complex Δ_G . The corresponding homology groups are denoted by $H_k(\mathcal{B}(G), R)$ where $k \geq 0$.

Proposition 5.2 *Let a graph G be a burning extension of a graph H . Then the natural inclusion $i: H \rightarrow G$ induces homomorphisms of homology groups*

$$i_*: H_k(\mathcal{B}(H), R) \rightarrow H_k(\mathcal{B}(G), R) \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. Follows from the definition of homology groups and Proposition 4.14. ■

Corollary 5.3 *Every inclusion of trees $i: H \rightarrow G$ induces homomorphisms of homology groups*

$$i_*: H_k(\mathcal{B}(H), R) \rightarrow H_k(\mathcal{B}(G), R) \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Follows from the definition of homology groups and Corollary 4.16. ■

Now we present several results about burning homology groups for various classes of graphs. These results follows from the description of the corresponding configuration spaces obtained in Section 4 using the standard results of algebraic topology [5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14].

Proposition 5.4 *For every graph G and the one vertex graph K_1 ,*

$$H_k(\mathcal{B}(G + K_1), \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.19(i), $\Delta_{G+K_1} = C(\Delta_G)$ where $C(\Delta_G)$ is the cone over G . From now the result follows. ■

Proposition 5.5 *For any connected graph G and the path graph P_2 ,*

$$\tilde{H}_k(\mathcal{B}(G + P_2), \mathbb{Z}) = \tilde{H}_{k-1}(\mathcal{B}(G), \mathbb{Z}) \text{ for } k \geq 0.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.19(ii), $\Delta_{G+P_2} = S(\Delta_G)$ where $S(\Delta_G)$ is the suspension over G . From now the result follows. ■

Proposition 5.6 *Let $\Pi_n = nP_2$ ($n \geq 1$) be an iterated sum of the path graph P_2 . Then*

$$H_k(\mathcal{B}(\Pi_n), \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } k = 0, n - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 4.20. ■

Example 5.7 (i) Let K_n ($n \geq 1$) be a complete graph with n vertices. Then

$$H_k(\mathcal{B}(K_n), \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^n & \text{for } k = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) Let \overline{K}_n be an edgeless graph with n vertices. Then

$$H_k(\mathcal{B}(\overline{K}_n), \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } k = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) Let P_n ($n \geq 1$) be a path graph with n vertices. Then the nontrivial burning homology groups with the coefficient ring \mathbb{Z} for $1 \leq n \leq 6$ are the following:

$$H_0(\mathcal{B}(P_1)) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_0(\mathcal{B}(P_2)) = \mathbb{Z}^2, \quad H_0(\mathcal{B}(P_3)) = \mathbb{Z}^2,$$

$$H_0(\mathcal{B}(P_4)) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_0(\mathcal{B}(P_5)) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_1(\mathcal{B}(P_5)) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_0(\mathcal{B}(P_6)) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

References

- [1] A. Bonato, *A survey of graph burning*, arXiv:2009.10642v1 [math.CO] (2020), 1–11.
- [2] A. Bonato, J. Janssen, and E. Roshanbin, *Burning a graph as a model of social contagion*, Algorithms and Models for the Web Graph, 11th International Workshop, WAW, Proceedings (2014), 13–22.

- [3] A. Bonato, J. Janssen, and E. Roshanbin, *How to burn a graph*, Internet Mathematics **12** (2016), 85–10.
- [4] Gary Chartrand, Linda Lesniak, and Ping Zhang, *Graphs and digraphs*, CRC Press: Boca Raton, Florida, United States, 2011.
- [5] A. Dold, *Lectures on algebraic topology*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Band 200. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag, 377 pp. , 1972.
- [6] Samuel Eilenberg and J. A. Zilber, *Semi-simplicial complexes and singular homology*, **51** (1950), 499–513.
- [7] Alexander Grigor'yan, Yong Lin, Yuri Muranov, and Shing-Tung Yau, *Homotopy theory for digraphs*, Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly **10** (2014), 619–674.
- [8] Allen Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [9] P. J. Hilton and S. Wylie, *Homology theory*, Cambridge, University Press, 1965.
- [10] S. MacLane, *Homology*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Bd. 114. Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1963.
- [11] Jiří Matoušek, *Using the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem*, Springer, 2003.
- [12] J. Peter May, *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1967.
- [13] James R. Munkres, *Elements of algebraic topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1984.
- [14] V. V. Prasolov, *Elements of homology theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 81. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2007.

Yuri Muranov: *Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Sloneczna 54 Street, 10-710 Olsztyn, Poland.*

e-mail: muranov@matman.uwm.edu.pl

Anna Muranova: *Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Sloneczna 54 Street, 10-710 Olsztyn, Poland.*

e-mail: anna.muranova@matman.uwm.edu.pl