

Greedy on Preorder is Linear for Preorder Initial Tree

Akash Pareek *

Department of Computer Science and Automation, Indian Institute of Science,
Bangalore, India

Abstract. The (*preorder*) *traversal conjecture* states that starting with an initial tree, the cost to search a sequence $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in [n]^n$ in a binary search tree (BST) algorithm is $O(n)$, where S is obtained by a preorder traversal of some BST. The sequence S is called a *preorder sequence*.

For Splay trees (candidate for *dynamic optimality conjecture*), the preorder traversal holds only when the initial tree is empty (Levy and Tarjan, WADS 2019). The preorder traversal conjecture for GREEDY (candidate for dynamic optimality conjecture) was known to be $n2^{\alpha(n)^{O(1)}}$ (Chalermsook et al., FOCS 2015), which was recently improved to $O(n2^{\alpha(n)})$ (Chalermsook et al., SODA 2023), here $\alpha(n)$ is the inverse Ackermann function of n . For a special case when the initial tree is flat, GREEDY is known to satisfy the traversal conjecture, i.e., $O(n)$ (Chalermsook et al., FOCS 2015).

In this paper, we show that for every preorder sequence S , there exists an initial tree called the *preorder initial tree* for which GREEDY satisfies the preorder traversal conjecture.

1 Introduction

Binary search trees (BSTs) stand as foundational data structures, ranging from elementary education to advanced courses in data structures. Their simplicity of understanding, coupled with their underlying complexities, makes them a subject of continual exploration. There are many problems in BST that are yet to be solved. One such problem is the *dynamic optimality conjecture*, which has been around for more than four decades. The dynamic optimality conjecture states that there exists an online BST such that the cost of the BST to serve an input sequence is the same as the optimal offline cost to serve that sequence. To be precise, let \mathcal{A} be an online BST algorithm and let $Cost_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$ denote the cost of the algorithm \mathcal{A} on the sequence $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)$. \mathcal{A} is said to be dynamically optimal if

$$Cost_{\mathcal{A}}(S) = O(OPT(S))$$

for all sequences S , where $OPT(S)$ denotes the optimum cost to serve the sequence S . The two prime candidates for dynamic optimality are Splay trees

* Part of this work is done at IIT Gandhinagar

[ST85] and GREEDY ([DHI⁺09, Luc88, Mun00]). There are many corollaries of the dynamic optimality conjecture that are known to be satisfied by both GREEDY and Splay trees, such as *balance theorem*, *static finger property*, *static optimality*, *working set theorem* [ST85, Fox11], *dynamic finger* [Col00, IL16].

Pattern avoidance: For simplicity, we discuss only the permutation search sequence. Consider a permutation $P = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and a permutation pattern $P' = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l)$. We say that P contains the permutation pattern P' if there exist indices $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l$ such that $a_{i_j} < a_{i_k}$ if and only if $b_j < b_k$ for all $j, k \in [l]$. In simpler terms, this means that P possesses a subsequence that follows the same relative order as P' .

Formally, P *avoids* P' if there does not exist a subsequence in P that matches the relative order of P' . For example, $P = (50, 40, 30, 20, 10)$ does not contain the pattern $P' = (1, 2)$, as there is no subsequence in P that is order isomorphic to P' .

The dynamic optimality conjecture, if true, will imply many other conjectures. One such conjecture is the (preorder) traversal conjecture stated as follows:

Conjecture 1. (*(Preorder) Traversal Conjecture*)

A BST algorithm \mathcal{A} is said to satisfy the traversal conjecture if starting with an arbitrary initial tree I , the cost to search an input sequence $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in [n]^n$ in \mathcal{A} , where S is obtained by a preorder traversal on another binary search tree \mathcal{A}' in $[n]$, is $O(n)$.

Definition 1. (*Preorder Sequence*)

A sequence $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ is called a preorder sequence if S is obtained by a preorder traversal of a binary search tree \mathcal{A}' on $[n]$. Alternatively, S is a preorder sequence if S avoids the pattern $(2, 3, 1)$.

The path towards the dynamic optimality conjecture involves traversing through the preorder conjecture, making it a potential stepping stone towards achieving dynamic optimality. However, since the traversal conjecture remains unresolved, it indicates the complexity and challenges associated with proving the dynamic optimality conjecture.

For Splay trees, very little is known about the traversal conjecture apart from the result of Levy and Tarjan [LT19], where they show that the preorder sequences are $O(n)$ when the initial tree is empty (or flat). In [CH93], the authors show that Splay trees cost $O(n)$ for preorder traversal if preprocessing is allowed. For an arbitrary initial tree, it is not known whether the preorder sequence is $o(n \log n)$.

For GREEDY, the scenes are better than the Splay trees. For preorder sequences with empty or flat tree, GREEDY is known to be $O(n)$ [CGK⁺15]. For arbitrary initial tree, in [CGK⁺15], the authors gave a bound of $n2^{\alpha(n)^{O(1)}}$ for any preorder sequence. This was recently improved to $O(n2^{\alpha(n)})$ [CGJ⁺23].

In a recent work [BKO23], the authors show that any optimal binary search tree that avoids a fixed pattern must cost $O(m)$, where m is the size of the search

sequence. These results further strengthen that the cost of the optimal preorder sequence is $O(n)$, which is a barrier to dynamic optimality for both Splay tree and GREEDY.

1.1 Our result

In the context of GREEDY, our objective is to broaden the range of preorder sequences on the initial tree that yields a linear bound. Keeping this objective in mind, we establish a simple result for GREEDY. Informally (Lemma 1), we show that for every permutation sequence S , there exists an initial tree - distinct from the flat initial tree - such that the cost of GREEDY on S , denoted as $\text{GREEDY}(S)$, is same for both the initial trees.

Using Lemma 1 and the fact that preorder is $O(n)$ on the flat initial tree for GREEDY [CGK⁺15], we get our main result. Informally (Theorem 1), for every preorder sequence S , there exists an initial tree - different from the flat initial tree - such that $\text{GREEDY}(S) = O(n)$.

1.2 Organisation

In Section 2, we provide preliminaries. In Section 3, we discuss the initial tree and prove Lemma 1. In Section 4, we discuss preorder and prove Theorem 1. We provide conclusion and open problems in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

Each integer in $[n]$ is called a *key*. Consider a permutation search sequence $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ where each s_i is a key. This sequence can be visually represented as points in a plane. In this representation, the point (s_i, i) denotes the search key s_i at time i . Let P denote the set of points corresponding to sequence S . To simplify notation, we refer to both the search sequence and the set of points in the plane as S . As both the coordinates of any point in S are positive, the point set S lies in the first quadrant. For any point p in the plane, $p.x$ represents its x -coordinate, which signifies the key, while $p.y$ represents its y -coordinate, indicating the time. We now define the mirror of a point set S , denoted as $\mathcal{M}(S)$ as follows:

Definition 2. (*Mirror point set*)

Let S be the set of points in the x, y plane. The mirror point set of S , denoted as $\mathcal{M}(S)$, is the mirror image of the points in S across the x -axis, i.e., a point $(s_i, i) \in S$ becomes $(s_i, -i)$ in $\mathcal{M}(S)$.

For brevity, we also denote the mirror point set as a search sequence. Let $(s, t) \in \mathcal{M}(S)$, then we say that the key s is searched at time t .

Consider any two distinct points p and q in the plane. If p and q do not lie on the same vertical or horizontal line, they define a rectangle denoted as \square_{pq} if $p.x < q.x$, and otherwise denoted as \square_{qp} .




Fig. 1: Figure (a) denotes an arborally unsatisfied rectangle \square_{pq} . Figure (b) denotes an arborally satisfied rectangle \square_{pq} due to point r .

According to [DHI⁺09], a rectangle \square_{pq} is termed *arborally satisfied* in a point set X if there exists another point $r \in X \setminus \{p, q\}$ such that r lies in \square_{pq} (See Figure 1b). Otherwise, the rectangle \square_{pq} is said to be *arborally unsatisfied* in X (See Figure 1a). We define an arborally satisfied set as follows:

Definition 3. (*Arborally satisfied set*)

A point set X is arborally satisfied if there exists another set Z minimizing $|X \cup Z|$, where every pair of points in $X \cup Z$ is arborally satisfied.

In [DHI⁺09], the authors established that the problem of finding the best binary search tree execution for a sequence S is formally equivalent to identifying the minimum cardinality set Z for which the union $S \cup Z$ is arborally satisfied. To determine the set Z , the authors designed an online algorithm called GREEDY.




Fig. 2: Execution of GREEDY. Red points denote the searched keys. Blue points denote the keys touched by GREEDY at any time i to make all the rectangles arborally satisfied at time i .

Greedy algorithm: For a given search sequence S , conduct a horizontal line sweep at each time i . At time i , identify all rectangles with one endpoint at s_i and the other endpoint at z , where z is positioned below the sweep line. If the rectangle $\square_{s_i z}$ is not arborally satisfied, introduce a point at the corner of the rectangle on the sweep line to make the rectangle $\square_{s_i z}$ arborally satisfied at time i . See Figure 2 for the execution of GREEDY.

Definition 4. (*Touched key*) A key x is said to be touched at time t if a point $p = (x, t)$ was added by GREEDY at time t . We also say that GREEDY added the point p at time t .

The cost of the GREEDY algorithm for a permutation sequence S is n plus the number of touch points added by GREEDY for the execution of the sequence S .

3 Initial tree

Let $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ represent a permutation search sequence. In the context of BSTs, an *initial tree* refers to the tree structure existing prior to the execution of a sequence. In the case of GREEDY, an initial tree corresponds to the set of points located on the x, y plane before executing a sequence (See Figure 3).

In the existing literature, typically, for GREEDY, the initial tree is either entirely *flat* (equivalent to having no points in the plane prior to execution), which we denote as I_f (See Figure 3b), or it is arbitrary (See Figure 3a). These represent two extreme scenarios considered for the initial tree. By using a pre-processing step, one can convert any arbitrary initial tree to I_f (See Section 2 in [CGK⁺15]), which is then considered as the initial tree. However, the cost of Splay tree and GREEDY are sometimes also considered on specific types of initial trees [LT19, CGK⁺15]. Here, we define an initial tree for GREEDY named *Permutation initial tree*.

Definition 5. (*Permutation Initial Tree*)

An initial tree denoted as I_p is called a *permutation initial tree* if the set of points in the plane before the execution of GREEDY on a sequence is a permutation, i.e., there are no two points p_1 and p_2 in the initial tree such that $p_1.x = p_2.x$ and $p_1.y = p_2.y$.

Observation 1. Let \mathcal{I}_p denote the set of all permutation initial trees on n keys then $|\mathcal{I}_p| = n!$.

Before the execution of a sequence, the initial tree is already established. In this initial tree, the y -coordinates of the points are negative. This is because, in the context of the sequence execution, the y -coordinates represent time and points on the initial tree exist before any searches are performed. Hence, they are associated with negative time values.

Let $I_p = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ be a permutation initial tree (See Figure 4), where each z_i represents a point parallel to the x -axis at time $-i$. In other words, z_i is




Fig. 3: Greedy with initial tree. Hollow points below time $t = 0$ represent the initial set of points (initial tree). (a) depicts an arbitrary initial tree. In (b) the initial tree is flat (equivalent to no initial tree).

a point in the initial tree corresponding to a key with negative time, indicating that it exists prior to any search.

Remark 1. We can also view the permutation initial tree I_p as a permutation sequence.




Fig. 4: Example of permutation initial tree.

With the definition of permutation initial trees in hand, we now show that for any permutation sequence S , there exists a permutation initial tree $I_p \neq I_f$ such that the cost of GREEDY to execute the sequence S on I_f and I_p is the same.

Lemma 1. *Let S be a permutation search sequence, and let I_p be a permutation initial tree such that $I_p = \mathcal{M}(S)$, then $\text{GREEDY}_{I_p}(S) = \text{GREEDY}_{I_f}(S)$.*

Proof. Analyzing GREEDY on a flat initial tree (or no initial tree) is straightforward. When GREEDY performs a search $s_i \in S$ at time i , it can only touch the search keys up to time $i - 1$. This means GREEDY at time i does not touch keys that have not been searched yet. However, if the initial tree was not flat, GREEDY might have touched keys that are yet to be searched (See Figure 3a).

Let us consider an initial tree I_p corresponding to the search sequence S , such that $I_p = \mathcal{M}(S)$. In other words, I_p represents the mirror image of the permutation sequence S projected onto the x -axis. We want to show that $\text{GREEDY}_{I_p}(S) = \text{GREEDY}_{I_f}(S)$. To prove this equivalence, we need to establish that at any given time i , the search key $s_i \in S$ when the initial tree is I_p touches the same keys at time i when the initial tree is I_f , among all the searched keys up to time $i - 1$. Also, no other key from the initial tree I_p is touched. We first prove the latter condition.

Let $s_i \in S$ be a search key at time i . We want to show that no keys from the initial tree I_p are touched at time i . As $I_p = \mathcal{M}(S)$, we know that there is a point z_i below s_i at time $-i$. Any point below z_i in the initial tree I_p forms an arborally satisfied rectangle with s_i at time i as all these rectangles are satisfied due to z_i (See Figure 5).

As $I_p = \mathcal{M}(S)$, we know that any point in the initial tree I_p , above z_i , has already been searched till time $i - 1$. Therefore, s_i can only form an arborally unsatisfied rectangle with search keys s_1 to s_{i-1} . Hence, no points in the initial tree can be touched due to a search at time i .

We now show that when the initial tree is I_p , the search key s_i at time i touches the same set of keys as when the initial tree is I_f . For brevity, when we say a key (or point) is touched in I_p (or I_f), we mean a key is touched where the initial tree is I_p (or I_f). We use induction for the proof. When s_1 is searched at time 1, in I_p and I_f , GREEDY does not touch anything. Suppose by induction, the set of keys touched by GREEDY at time $i - 1$ in both I_p and I_f is the same.

At time i , when s_i is searched, GREEDY will find all the arborally unsatisfied rectangles in I_p and I_f . The set of keys that can be touched at time i are among s_1 to s_{i-1} as we already know that no key from the initial tree is touched at time i . We now claim that the keys touched at time i in I_p and I_f are the same. Suppose, for contradiction, that a key q is touched in I_p at time i but not in I_f . This implies $\square_{s_i q}$ is not arborally satisfied in I_p but $\square_{s_i q}$ is arborally satisfied in I_f . Therefore, there exists a point, say q' in I_f before time i for which $\square_{s_i q}$ is satisfied. But by induction, this point is also present in I_p , which contradicts that $\square_{s_i q}$ is arborally unsatisfied in I_p . Similarly, we can show a contradiction for I_f and I_p .

Corollary 1. *Let I_p be a permutation initial tree, and let S be a permutation sequence such that $S = \mathcal{M}(I_p)$, then $\text{GREEDY}_{I_p}(S) = \text{GREEDY}_{I_f}(S)$.*

Some remarks about the above lemma and corollary are in order. When the permutation initial tree is in the same order (starting from time $t = -1$) as




Fig. 5: Execution of GREEDY on an initial tree $I_p = \mathcal{M}(S)$. The two shaded rectangles are arborally satisfied at time i due to the point z_i in the initial tree.

the permutation search sequence S (starting from time $t = 1$), then the cost of GREEDY on the sequence S becomes independent of the initial tree. Utilizing Observation 1, we observe that there are $n!$ pairs of (search sequence, initial tree) where the cost of GREEDY on the sequence equals that on a flat initial tree.

The question that naturally emerges concerns the application of Lemma 1 and its corollary. To address this, we illustrate in the following section how they can be utilized to obtain an $O(n)$ bound for any preorder sequence S on a permutation initial tree $I_p = \mathcal{M}(S)$.

4 Preorder

In [CGK⁺15], the authors showed that any preorder sequence can be executed in $O(n)$ time if preprocessing is permitted. In the preprocessing phase, they convert the initial arbitrary tree into a flat tree in $O(n)$ time. Subsequently, they show that the cost of performing preorder traversal on the resulting flat initial tree is $O(n)$. For completeness, we include their corollary as follows.

Corollary 2. (Corollary 1.5 of [CGK⁺15])

The cost of accessing a preorder sequence using GREEDY, with preprocessing, is linear.

Using Lemma 1 and Corollary 2, we get the following theorem for preorder sequence.

Theorem 1. *Let S be a preorder sequence, and let $I_p = \mathcal{M}(S)$ then $\text{GREEDY}_{I_p}(S) = O(n)$.*

Let I_{pre} be a preorder initial tree, i.e., a permutation initial tree that avoids $(2, 3, 1)$ as a subsequence. An immediate corollary of the above theorem is:

Corollary 3. *For every preorder initial tree I_{pre} , there is a preorder sequence $S = \mathcal{M}(I_{pre})$ such that $\text{GREEDY}_{I_{pre}}(S) = O(n)$.*

It is worth noting a few points. Firstly, for every preorder sequence S , there exists an initial tree other than the flat initial tree such that the cost of GREEDY on S is $O(n)$. Conversely, for every preorder initial tree I_{pre} , there exists a preorder sequence S such that $\text{GREEDY}(S) = O(n)$.

Additionally, based on the findings of [CGK⁺15], it is known that there are $n!$ pairs of (preorder sequence, flat tree) where the cost of GREEDY on the preorder sequence is $O(n)$. Leveraging Theorem 1, it follows that there are also $n!$ pairs of (preorder sequence, initial tree) where the cost of GREEDY on the preorder sequence is $O(n)$. Therefore, there exists a total of $2(n!)$ pairs of (preorder sequence, initial tree) where the cost of GREEDY is $O(n)$.

5 Conclusion and Open Problems

In this paper, we started investigating the cost of GREEDY on a specific initial tree with a restricted permutation sequence. We compare it with the cost of GREEDY when the initial tree is flat. For the preorder sequence, we get the desired bound of $O(n)$. It would be interesting to look at other initial tree classes for which the preorder sequence is $O(n)$. This can develop new insights that might be useful in analyzing the traversal conjecture on arbitrary initial trees. Another direction is to resolve the following type of problem: Do other restricted sequences exist that give optimal cost for GREEDY on specific initial tree classes? Another intriguing question is whether our results can be extended to Splay trees.

References

- BKO23. Benjamin Aram Berendsohn, László Kozma, and Michal Opler. Optimization with pattern-avoiding input, 2023.
- CGJ⁺23. Parinya Chalermsook, Manoj Gupta, Wanchote Jiamjitrak, Nidia Obscura Acosta, Akash Pareek, and Sorrachai Yingchareonthawornchai. Improved pattern-avoidance bounds for greedy bsts via matrix decomposition. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 509–534. SIAM, 2023.
- CGK⁺15. Parinya Chalermsook, Mayank Goswami, László Kozma, Kurt Mehlhorn, and Thatchaphol Saranurak. Pattern-avoiding access in binary search trees. In *2015 IEEE 56th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 410–423. IEEE, 2015.
- CH93. Ranjan Chaudhuri and Hartmut Höft. Splaying a search tree in preorder takes linear time. *ACM SIGACT News*, 24(2):88–93, 1993.
- Col00. Richard Cole. On the dynamic finger conjecture for splay trees. part ii: The proof. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 30(1):44–85, 2000.

- DHI⁺09. Erik D Demaine, Dion Harmon, John Iacono, Daniel Kane, and Mihai Patrascu. The geometry of binary search trees. In *Proceedings of the twentieth annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms*, pages 496–505. SIAM, 2009.
- Fox11. Kyle Fox. Upper bounds for maximally greedy binary search trees. In *Workshop on Algorithms and Data Structures*, pages 411–422, 2011.
- IL16. John Iacono and Stefan Langerman. Weighted dynamic finger in binary search trees. In *Proceedings of the twenty-seventh annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms*, pages 672–691. SIAM, 2016.
- LT19. Caleb C Levy and Robert E Tarjan. Splaying preorders and postorders. In *Algorithms and Data Structures: 16th International Symposium, WADS 2019, Edmonton, AB, Canada, August 5–7, 2019, Proceedings 16*, pages 510–522. Springer, 2019.
- Luc88. Joan Marie Lucas. *Canonical forms for competitive binary search tree algorithms*. Rutgers University, Department of Computer Science, Laboratory for Computer . . . , 1988.
- Mun00. J Ian Munro. On the competitiveness of linear search. In *European Symposium on Algorithms*, pages 338–345. Springer, 2000.
- ST85. Daniel Dominic Sleator and Robert Endre Tarjan. Self-adjusting binary search trees. *J. ACM*, 32(3):652–686, 1985.