

# The Impact of Data Dependence, Convergence and Stability by $AT$ Iterative Algorithms

Akansha Tyagi<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Sachin Vashistha<sup>2,3††</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences Guru Tegh Bahadur, Delhi, 110007, Delhi, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Hindu College, University of Delhi, Delhi, 110007, Delhi, India.

\*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): [akanshatyagi0107@gmail.com](mailto:akanshatyagi0107@gmail.com), [atyagi1@maths.du.ac.in](mailto:atyagi1@maths.du.ac.in);

Contributing authors: [sachin.vashistha1@gmail.com](mailto:sachin.vashistha1@gmail.com);

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

## Abstract

This article aims to present the  $AT$  algorithm, a novel two-step iterative approach for approximating fixed points of weak contractions within complete normed linear spaces. The article demonstrates the convergence of  $AT$  algorithm towards fixed points of weak contractions. Notably, it establishes the algorithm's strong convergence properties, highlighting its faster convergence compared to established iterative methods such as  $S$ , normal- $S$ , Varat, Mann, Ishikawa,  $F^*$ , and Picard algorithms. Additionally, the study explores the  $AT$  algorithm's almost stable behavior for weak contractions. Emphasizing practical applicability, the paper offers data-dependent results through the  $AT$  algorithm and substantiates findings with illustrative numerical examples

**Keywords:**  $AT$  iterative algorithm, Weak contraction, Fixed points, Numerically stable, Data dependence

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper, our foundational assumptions include considering  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  as the collection of nonnegative integers. Additionally, we consider  $P$  as a nonempty, closed and convex subset within a complete normed linear space  $Q$ . Fixed point theory stands as

a foundational and versatile framework in mathematics, offering powerful tools to study the existence and properties of solutions across diverse mathematical structures. Approximating the fixed points of both linear and nonlinear mappings through iterative methods stands as a cornerstone in fixed point theory,

Beginning with foundational techniques like the Picard iteration [1] which establishes convergence towards fixed points of contraction mappings to more intricate methodologies including Krasnoselskii[2], Mann[3], Ishikawa[4], S [5], normal-S [6], Varat[7] ,  $F^*$ [8] iterative algorithms constitute the backbone of computational approaches in fixed point theory for the self-mapping  $R$  defined on  $P$ .

$$\begin{cases} s_0 \in P \\ s_{m+1} = Rs_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{cases} s_0 \in P \\ s_{m+1} = (1 - a_m) s_m + a_m Rs_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{cases} s_0 \in P \\ s_{m+1} = (1 - a_m) s_m + a_m Rb_m \\ b_m = (1 - d_m) s_m + d_m Rs_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{cases} s_0 \in P \\ s_{m+1} = (1 - a_m) Rs_m + a_m Rb_m \\ b_m = (1 - d_m) s_m + d_m Rs_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{cases} s_0 \in P \\ s_{m+1} = R((1 - a_m) s_m + a_m Rs_m), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{cases} s_0 \in P \\ s_{m+1} = (1 - a_m) Rt_m + a_m Rb_m \\ t_m = (1 - c_m) s_m + c_m b_m \\ b_m = (1 - d_m) s_m + d_m Rs_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{cases} s_0 \in P \\ s_{m+1} = Rb_m \\ b_m = R((1 - a_m) s_m + a_m Rs_m), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where  $a_m, c_m, d_m$  are sequences in  $(0,1)$ .

The iterations mentioned above (1) , (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) have been proposed by distinguished Researchers.

Given by above information, one question arrive:

**Question** : Can we formulate a two-step iterative algorithm that converges faster by the  $F^*$  iteration (7) and other existing iterative methods ?

We introduce a novel iterative algorithm comprising two steps called  $AT$  algorithm, which is defined as follows:-

A complete normed linear space  $Q$  has a nonempty closed and convex subset  $P$ . Given a self-mapping  $R$  on  $P$ , the sequence  $\{s_m\}$  is defined by:

$$\begin{cases} s_0 \in P \\ s_{m+1} = R((1 - a_m)b_m + a_m Rb_m) \\ b_m = \frac{1}{2}R^2(s_m) + \frac{1}{2}R^2((1 - a_m)s_m + a_m Rs_m), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where  $a_m$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$ .

**Definition 1.** [16] A mapping  $R : Q \rightarrow Q$  is considered to be  $\zeta$ -contraction with existing a constant  $\zeta \in [0, 1)$  such that:

$$\|Rp - Rq\| \leq \zeta\|p - q\|, \quad \forall p, q \in Q. \quad (9)$$

**Definition 2.** [9] On a complete normed linear space  $Q$  a mapping  $R : Q \rightarrow Q$  is considered to as weak contraction with existing constant  $\zeta \in (0, 1)$  and some constant  $L \geq 0$ , such that:

$$\|Rp - Rq\| \leq \zeta\|p - q\| + L\|q - Rp\|, \quad \forall p, q \in Q \quad (10)$$

**Theorem 1.** [9] On a complete normed linear space  $Q$  a mapping  $R : Q \rightarrow Q$  with condition (10) and:

$$\|Rp - Rq\| \leq \zeta\|p - q\| + L\|p - Rp\|, \quad \forall p, q \in Q \quad (11)$$

Consequently, there is a single fixed point for the mapping  $R$  in  $Q$ .

**Definition 3.** [10] Let  $s_0 \in Q$  and  $s_{m+1} = g(R, s_m)$  is defined an iterative method for a function  $g$  on a complete normed linear space  $Q$  with self-map  $R$  having fixed point  $s$ . Let  $\{r_m\}$  be sequence of an approximation of  $\{s_m\}$  in  $Q$  and define  $\gamma_m = \|r_{m+1} - g(R, r_m)\|$ . Then iterative method  $s_{m+1} = g(R, s_m)$  is known as  $R$ -stable if:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_m = 0 \iff \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} r_m = s$$

**Definition 4.** [10] Let  $s_0 \in Q$  and  $s_{m+1} = g(R, s_m)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  is an iterative method for a function  $g$  on a complete normed linear space  $Q$  with self-map  $R$  having fixed point  $s$ . Let  $\{r_m\}$  be an sequence of approximate of  $\{s_m\}$  in  $Q$  and define  $\gamma_m = \|r_{m+1} - g(R, r_m)\|$ . Then iterative method  $s_{m+1} = g(R, s_m)$  is known as almost  $R$ -stable if:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_m < \infty \implies \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} r_m = s$$

**Lemma 1.** [11] Let  $\{u_m\}$  and  $\{v_m\}$  be two sequences in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $0 \leq s < 1$  so that  $u_{m+1} \leq su_m + v_m \forall m \geq 0$ .

(i) If  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v_m = 0$  implies that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_m = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.** [12] With existing  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  define a sequence  $\{p_m\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  so that for all  $m \geq N$  satisfying the following inequality:

$p_{m+1} \leq (1 - \delta_m)p_m + \delta_m q_m$   
 where  $\delta_m \in (0, 1)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \delta_m = \infty$  and  $q_m \geq 0$ . Then:

$$0 \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} q_m.$$

**Lemma 3.** [8] With existing  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  define a sequence  $\{p_m\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  in such a way for all  $m \geq N$ ,  $\{p_m\}$  with the property:

$p_{m+1} \leq (1 - \delta_m)p_m + \delta_m q_m$   
 where  $\delta \in (0, 1) \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , such that  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \delta_m = \infty$  and  $\delta_m \geq 0$  which define a sequence whose terms are bounded. Then:

$$0 \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} q_m.$$

**Definition 5.** Let  $\{p_m\}$  and  $\{q_m\}$  define two sequences which belongs to  $\mathbb{R}_+$  that converge to  $p$  and  $q$ , respectively. Assume that:

$$\ell = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|p_m - p|}{|q_m - q|}$$

(i) If  $\ell = 0$ , then  $\{p_m\}$  converges to  $p$  faster than  $\{q_m\}$  to  $q$ .

(ii) If  $0 < \ell < \infty$ , then  $\{p_m\}$  and  $\{q_m\}$  both will have same convergence rate.

**Definition 6.** [8] Let  $\{\theta_m\}$  and  $\{\eta_m\}$  be two iterative algorithms having the same point  $\theta$  as point of convergence with the error estimate:

$$|\theta_m - \theta| \leq p_m$$

$$|\eta_m - \theta| \leq q_m$$

If  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_m}{q_m} = 0$ , then convergence of  $\{\theta_m\}$  is faster than  $\eta_m$ .

**Definition 7.** [13] Let  $F$  and  $R$  be two self operators which is defined on a nonempty subset  $P$  of a complete normed linear space  $Q$ . An operator  $F$  is known as approximate operator of  $R$  if existing a fixed  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\|Fp - Rp\| \leq \epsilon$  for all  $p \in P$ .

## 2 Main results

By  $AT$  iteration in complete normed linear space, we will establish results which are related to weak contractions.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $R : P \rightarrow P$  is defined as a weak contraction having the condition 11, where  $P$  state a nonempty closed and convex subset of a complete normed linear space  $Q$ . Then,  $\{s_m\}$  defined by  $AT$  iterative algorithm (8) reaches a single, exclusive fixed point of  $P$ .

*Proof.* - By condition (11), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Rs_m - s\| &= \|Rs_m - Rs\| \\ &\leq \zeta \|s_m - s\| + L \|s - Rs\| \\ &= \zeta \|s_m - s\|, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $AT$  iteration (8) , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|b_m - s\| &= \frac{1}{2}\|R^2(s_m) + R^2((1 - a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m) - 2s\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\|R^2(s_m) - s\| + \frac{1}{2}\|R^2((1 - a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m) - s\| \\
&\leq \frac{\zeta}{2}\|Rs_m - s\| + \frac{\zeta}{2}\|R((1 - a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m) - s\| \\
&\leq \frac{\zeta^2}{2}\|s_m - s\| + \frac{\zeta^2}{2}\|(1 - a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m - s\| \\
&\leq \frac{\zeta^2}{2}\|s_m - s\| + \frac{\zeta^2}{2}\|(1 - a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m - (1 - a_m)s - a_ms\| \\
&\leq \frac{\zeta^2}{2}\|s_m - s\| + (1 - a_m)\frac{\zeta^2}{2}\|s_m - s\| + \frac{\zeta^3}{2}a_m\|s_m - s\| \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\zeta^2}{2} + (1 - a_m)\frac{\zeta^2}{2} + \frac{\zeta^2}{2}a_m\right)\|s_m - s\| \\
&\leq \zeta^2\|s_m - s\|
\end{aligned}$$

so we have ,

$$\|b_m - s\| \leq \zeta^2\|s_m - s\| \quad (12)$$

Using Eq. (12), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|s_{m+1} - s\| &= \|R((1 - a_m)b_m + a_mR(b_m)) - s\| \\
&\leq \zeta\|((1 - a_m)b_m + a_mR(b_m)) - s\| \\
&\leq \zeta\left((1 - a_m)\|b_m - s\| + a_m\|R(b_m) - s\|\right) \\
&\leq \zeta\left((1 - a_m)\|b_m - s\| + a_m\zeta\|b_m - s\|\right) \\
&\leq \zeta((1 - a_m) + a_m\zeta)\|b_m - s\|
\end{aligned}$$

As  $0 < \zeta < 1$  and  $a_m \in (0, 1)$ , therefore, using  $1 - (1 - \zeta)a_m \leq 1$ , we arrive the conclusion:

$$\|s_{m+1} - s\| \leq \zeta^3\|s_m - s\|.$$

Consequently, we get:

$$\|s_{m+1} - s\| \leq \zeta^{3(m+1)}\|s_0 - s\| \quad (13)$$

Since  $0 < \zeta < 1$ , hence,  $\{s_m\}$  converges strongly to  $s$ .

In the next theorem we will show the almost  $R$ -stability of  $AT$  iterative algorithm  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $R : P \rightarrow P$  be a mapping which defines to a weak contraction with the condition (11) where  $P$  is a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a complete normed linear space  $Q$ . Then,  $AT$  iterative algorithm (8) is almost  $R$ -stable.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{r_m\}$  is an arbitrary sequence in  $P$  and the sequence defined by AT algorithm (8) is  $s_{m+1} = g(R, s_m)$  and  $\gamma_m = \|r_{m+1} - g(R, r_m)\|, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Now, we will show that:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_m < \infty \implies \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} r_m = s$$

Let  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_m < \infty$ , and then, by AT algorithm (8), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|r_{m+1} - s\| &\leq \|r_{m+1} - g(R, r_m)\| + \|g(R, r_m) - s\| \\ &\leq \gamma_m + \zeta^3(1 - (1 - \zeta)a_m) \|r_m - s\| \end{aligned}$$

define  $c_m = \|r_m - s\|$  and  $v = \zeta^3(1 - (1 - \zeta)a_m)$ , and then,  $0 \leq v < 1$  and:

$$c_{m+1} \leq vc_m + \gamma_m$$

Thus, conclusion follows by Lemma 1.5.

The following theorem proves that AT iterative algorithm converges faster than the algorithms (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) for weak contractions.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $R : P \rightarrow P$  be a mapping with weak contraction and (11), where  $P$  is a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a complete normed linear space  $Q$ . Let the sequences  $\{s_{1,m}\}, \{s_{2,m}\}, \{s_{3,m}\}, \{s_{4,m}\}, \{s_{5,m}\}, \{s_{6,m}\}, \{s_{7,m}\}$  and  $\{s_m\}$  be defined by (1) to (8), respectively, converge to  $s$  which is common fixed point. Then, convergence of AT algorithm is faster towards fixed point  $s$  than (1) to (7).

*Proof.* According to equation (13) from Theorem 2.1,

$$\|s_{m+1} - s\| \leq \zeta^{3(m+1)} \|s_0 - s\| = \eta_m, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

by Khan [14] Proposition 1, we have

$$\|s_{1,m} - s\| \leq \zeta^{m+1} \|s_{1,0} - s\| = \eta_{1,m}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

Then:

$$\frac{\eta_m}{\eta_{1,m}} = \frac{\zeta^{3(m+1)} \|s_0 - s\|}{\zeta^{m+1} \|s_{1,0} - s\|} = \zeta^{2(m+1)} \frac{\|s_0 - s\|}{\|s_{1,0} - s\|}$$

Since  $0 < \zeta < 1$ , therefore, we have  $\frac{\eta_m}{\eta_{1,m}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, the sequence  $\{s_m\}$  converges faster than  $\{s_{1,m}\}$  to  $s$ .

Now, by normal-S algorithm (5), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|s_{m+1} - s\| &= \|R((1 - a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m) - s\| \\
&\leq \zeta \|(1 - a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m - s\| \\
&\leq \zeta \|(1 - a_m)s_m + a_mR(s_m) - s\| \\
&\leq \zeta \left( (1 - a_m)\|s_m - s\| + a_m\|R(s_m) - s\| \right) \\
&\leq \zeta \left( (1 - a_m)\|s_m - s\| + a_m\zeta\|s_m - s\| \right) \\
&\leq \zeta((1 - a_m) + a_m\zeta)\|s_m - s\| \\
&\leq \zeta\|s_m - s\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get:

$$\|s_{m+1} - s\| \leq \zeta^{m+1} \|s_0 - s\|$$

Let,

$$\|s_{5,m} - s\| \leq \zeta^{m+1} \|s_{5,0} - s\| = \eta_{5,m}$$

Then:

$$\frac{\eta_m}{\eta_{5,m}} = \frac{\zeta^{3(m+1)} \|s_0 - s\|}{\zeta^{m+1} \|s_{5,0} - s\|} = \zeta^{2(m+1)} \frac{\|s_0 - s\|}{\|s_{5,0} - s\|}$$

We get  $\frac{\eta_m}{\eta_{5,m}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, the sequence  $\{s_m\}$  converges faster than  $\{s_{5,m}\}$  to the fixed point  $s$ .

Sintunavarat and Pitea [15] was proved

$$\|s_{6,m} - s\| \leq \zeta^{m+1} [1 - (1 - \zeta)e(f - g + gf)]^{m+1} \|s_{6,0} - s\| = \eta_{6,m}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

And using  $1 - (1 - \zeta)e(f - g + gf) \leq 1$ , we obtain:

$$\|s_{6,m} - s\| \leq \zeta^{m+1} \|s_{6,0} - s\| = \zeta_{6,m}$$

Then:

$$\frac{\eta_m}{\eta_{6,m}} = \frac{\zeta^{3(m+1)} \|s_0 - s\|}{\zeta^{m+1} \|s_{6,0} - s\|} = \zeta^{2(m+1)} \frac{\|s_0 - s\|}{\|s_{6,0} - s\|}$$

Thus, we get  $\frac{\eta_m}{\eta_{6,m}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $\{s_m\}$  converges faster than  $\{s_{6,m}\}$  to  $s$ .

Also, Sintunavarat and Pitea [15] ] given the result that the Varat algorithm converges faster than S, Mann and Ishikawa iterative algorithms for the mapping with weak contraction. showed that the Varat algorithm converges faster than Mann, Ishikawa, and S iterative algorithms for the class of weak contractions.

**Table 1** Table 1 .A Comparative Analysis of Iterative Algorithms Applied to Example 1

iteration	AT	$F^*$	picard	normal_s	mann	varat
0	1.658950	1.658950	1.658950	1.658950	1.658950	1.658950
1	0.893291	0.934867	0.675263	0.725825	1.517125	0.688976
2	0.900422	0.901728	0.943542	0.929411	1.403039	0.939580
3	0.900367	0.900420	0.890765	0.895096	1.310885	0.892081
4	0.900367	0.900369	0.902446	0.901311	1.236186	0.902078
5	0.900367	0.900367	0.899914	0.900198	1.175459	0.900012
6	0.900367	0.900367	0.900466	0.900398	1.125969	0.900441
7	0.900367	0.900367	0.900346	0.900362	1.085556	0.900352
8	0.900367	0.900367	0.900372	0.900368	1.052500	0.900370
9	0.900367	0.900367	0.900366	0.900367	1.025423	0.900367

Now as for  $F^*$  iteration we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|s_{m+1} - s\| &= \|R^2((1 - a_m)s_m + a_m R s_m) - s\| \\
&\leq \zeta \|R((1 - a_m)s_m + a_m R s_m) - s\| \\
&\leq \zeta^2 \|(1 - a_m)s_m + a_m R(s_m) - s\| \\
&\leq \zeta^2 \left( (1 - a_m)\|s_m - s\| + a_m \|R(s_m) - s\| \right) \\
&\leq \zeta^2 \left( (1 - a_m)\|s_m - s\| + a_m \zeta \|s_m - s\| \right) \\
&\leq \zeta^2 ((1 - a_m) + a_m \zeta) \|s_m - s\| \\
&\leq \zeta^2 \|s_m - s\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get:

$$\|s_{m+1} - s\| \leq \zeta^{2(m+1)} \|s_0 - s\|$$

Let,

$$\|s_{7,m} - s\| \leq \zeta^{2(m+1)} \|s_{7,0} - s\| = \eta_{7,m}$$

Then:


$$\frac{\eta_m}{\eta_{7,m}} = \frac{\zeta^{3(m+1)} \|s_0 - s\|}{\zeta^{2(m+1)} \|s_{7,0} - s\|} = \zeta^{(m+1)} \frac{\|s_0 - s\|}{\|s_{7,0} - s\|}$$

We get  $\frac{\eta_m}{\eta_{7,m}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, the sequence  $\{s_m\}$  converges faster than  $\{s_{7,m}\}$  to the fixed point  $s$ .


Thus, *AT* iterative algorithm converges faster than all the iterative algorithms  $\square$

**Example 1.** Let  $P = \mathbb{R}$  be a complete normed linear space norm define as usual norm and  $P = [0, \pi]$ , a subset of  $Q$ . Let  $R : Q \rightarrow Q$  be a self-mapping defined by  $Rx = \cos\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$  for all  $x \in P$ . It can be easily verified that  $R$  is a weak contraction satisfying , and  $R$  has a unique fixed point  $p = 0.9$ . Choose the control sequences  $a_m = 0.5$ .





**Fig. 1** Figure 1. Comparisons of iterations.



**Fig. 2** Comparisons errors of different iterations with  $AT$  iteration

Using Python , it was determined that the  $AT$  iterative algorithm described by converges more rapidly to the fixed point  $s = 0.9$  in comparison to the Mann,  $S$ , Picard, normal- $S$ , and Varat,  $F^*$  iterative algorithms. Refer to Table 1 and Fig. 1 for details.

**Example 2.** Let  $Q = \mathbb{R}$  set of real numbers with usual norm,  $P = [0, 1] \subset Q$ . Let  $R : P \rightarrow P$  be defined as ,

$$R(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{2}, & 0 \leq p < 1 \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{when } p = 1 \end{cases}$$

$R$  with weak contraction and has fixed point 0 which is unique but not a contraction mapping.

### 3 data dependence

**Theorem 5.** Let  $F$  be an approximate operator of a weak contraction  $R$  satisfying (11) and  $\{s_m\}$  be a sequence defined by the AT iterative algorithm (8) for  $R$ . Now, define a sequence  $\{v_m\}$  for  $F$  as follows:

$$\begin{cases} v_0 & = v \in P, \\ v_{m+1} & = F((1 - a_m)g_m + a_m F g_m), \\ g_m & = \frac{1}{2}[F^2((1 - a_m)v_m + a_m F v_m) + F^2 v_m], \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where  $a_m$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{2} \leq a_m$  for all  $a_m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m = \infty$ . If  $R s = s$  and  $F t = t$  such that  $v_m \rightarrow t$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , then we have:

$$\|s - t\| \leq \frac{5\epsilon + 2\zeta\epsilon + \zeta^2\epsilon}{1 - \zeta},$$

where  $\epsilon > 0$  is a fixed number.

*Proof.* from equation (8),(11) and (14) we have ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|b_m - g_m\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{2}R^2s_m + \frac{1}{2}(R^2((1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m)) - \frac{1}{2}F^2v_m - \frac{1}{2}(F^2((1-a_m)v_m + a_mFv_m)) \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\|R^2s_m - F^2v_m\| + \frac{1}{2}\|R^2((1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m) - F^2((1-a_m)v_m + a_mFv_m)\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\|R^2s_m - R(Fv_m)\| + \frac{1}{2}\|R^2((1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m) - R(F((1-a_m)v_m + a_mFv_m))\| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\|F^2v_m - R(Fv_m)\| + \frac{1}{2}\|R(F((1-a_m)v_m + a_mFv_m)) - F^2((1-a_m)v_m + a_mFv_m)\| \\
&\leq \frac{\zeta}{2}\|Rs_m - Fv_m\| + \frac{\zeta}{2}\|R((1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m) - F((1-a_m)v_m + a_mFv_m)\| + \epsilon \\
&\quad + \frac{L}{2}\|Rs_m - R^2s_m\| + \frac{L}{2}\|R((1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m) - R^2((1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m)\| \\
&\leq \frac{\zeta^2}{2}\|s_m - v_m\| + \frac{\zeta L}{2}\|s_m - Rs_m\| + \frac{\zeta\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\zeta\epsilon}{2} + \\
&\quad \frac{\zeta^2}{2}\|(1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m - (1-a_m)v_m + a_mFv_m\| \\
&\quad + \frac{\zeta L}{2}\|R((1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m) - R^2((1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m)\| + \epsilon + \frac{\zeta L}{2}\|s_m - Rs_m\| \\
&\quad + \frac{\zeta L}{2}\|s_m - Rs_m\| \frac{\zeta L}{2}\|(1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m - R((1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m))\| + \\
&\quad \frac{L^2}{2}\|((1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m) - R((1-a_m)s_m + a_mRs_m))\| \\
&\leq \|s_m - v_m\| + \frac{\zeta^2L + 2\zeta L + L^2}{2}\|s_m - Rs_m\| + \frac{\zeta^2\epsilon}{2} + \zeta\epsilon + \epsilon + \frac{2\zeta L + L^2}{2}(1 + \zeta)\|s_m - s\| \\
&\hspace{15em} (15)
\end{aligned}$$

from equation (15)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|s_{m+1} - v_{m+1}\| &= \|R((1-a_m)b_m + a_mRb_m) - F((1-a_m)g_m + a_mFg_m)\| \\
&\leq \|R((1-a_m)b_m + a_mRb_m) - R((1-a_m)g_m + a_mFg_m)\| \\
&\quad + \|R((1-a_m)g_m + a_mFg_m) - F((1-a_m)g_m + a_mFg_m)\| \\
&\leq \zeta((1-a_m)\|b_m - g_m\| + a_m\|Rb_m - Fg_m\|) \\
&\quad + L\|(1-a_m)b_m + a_mRb_m - R((1-a_m)b_m + a_mRb_m)\| + \epsilon \\
&\leq \zeta((1-a_m)\|b_m - g_m\| + a_m(\zeta\|b_m - g_m\| + L\|b_m - Rb_m\|) + \epsilon) \\
&\quad + L\|(1-a_m)b_m + a_mRb_m - R((1-a_m)b_m + a_mRb_m)\| + \epsilon \\
&\leq \zeta(((1 - (1 - \zeta)a_m)\|b_m - g_m\| + a_mL\|b_m - Rb_m\|) + a_m\epsilon) \\
&\quad + L(1-a_m)\|b_m - s\| + La_m\zeta\|b_m - s\| + L(1-a_m)\zeta\|b_m - s\| + \\
&\quad La_m\zeta^2\|b_m - s\| + \epsilon \\
&\hspace{15em} (16)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\zeta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $a_m \in (0, 1)$  with  $a_m \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ; therefore, using the inequalities  $\zeta < 1$ ,  $\zeta^2 < 1$ ,  $1 - a_m \leq a_m$ , and  $1 - (1 - \zeta)a_m \leq 1$  in (16), and from equation (15) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|s_{m+1} - v_{m+1}\| &\leq (1 - (1 - \zeta)a_m)(\|s_m - v_m\|) + (\zeta^2 L + 2\zeta L + L^2)a_m \|s_m - Rs_m\| + \\ &\quad (\zeta^2 \epsilon)a_m + 2\zeta \epsilon a_m + 4\epsilon a_m + (2\zeta L + L^2)a_m(1 + \zeta)\|s_m - s\| + a_m L \|b_m - Rb_m\| \\ &\quad + a_m \epsilon + 2La_m \|b_m - s\| + La_m \zeta^2 \|b_m - s\| \end{aligned}$$

Now, define:

$$\begin{aligned} p_m &=: \|s_m - v_m\|, \\ q_m &=: a_m(1 - \zeta) \in (0, 1), \\ \delta_m &= \frac{(\zeta^2 L + 2\zeta L + L^2)\|s_m - Rs_m\| + (2\zeta L + L^2)(1 + \zeta)\|s_m - s\| + L\|b_m - Rb_m\|}{1 - \zeta} \\ &\quad + \frac{2L\|b_m - s\| + L\zeta^2\|b_m - s\| + 5\epsilon + \zeta^2\epsilon + 2\zeta\epsilon}{1 - \zeta} \end{aligned}$$

$$p_{m+1} \leq (1 - q_m)p_m + \delta_m q_m.$$

All the conditions of Lemma 1.8 are satisfied. Hence, applying Lemma 1.8, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|s_m - v_m\| \\ 0 &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\zeta^2 L + 2\zeta L + L^2)\|s_m - Rs_m\| + (2\zeta L + L^2)(1 + \zeta)\|s_m - s\| + L\|b_m - Rb_m\|}{1 - \zeta} \\ &\quad \frac{2L\|b_m - s\| + L\zeta^2\|b_m - s\| + 5\epsilon + \zeta^2\epsilon + 2\zeta\epsilon}{1 - \zeta} \\ &= \frac{5\epsilon + 2\zeta\epsilon + \zeta^2\epsilon}{1 - \zeta}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Theorem 2.1, we know that  $s_m \rightarrow s$ , and using hypothesis, we obtain:

$$\|s - t\| \leq \frac{5\epsilon + 2\zeta\epsilon + \zeta^2\epsilon}{1 - \zeta}$$

□

## 4 Example

**Example 3.** Consider

$$R(t) = \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1]$$

clearly  $R$  is a weak contraction.

Let  $F(t) = 1 - (0.25)t^2 + (0.0026)t^4$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $a_m = 0.5$ .

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} |R(t) - F(t)| = 0.124978$$

hence  $\epsilon = 0.124978$  and  $R$  has fixed point 0.9

It no	Iter. algorithm
1	1.658950
2	0.893291
3	0.900422
4	0.900367
5	0.900367
6	0.900367
7	0.900367
8	0.900367

$$\begin{cases} v_0 & = v \in P, \\ v_{m+1} & = F((1 - 0.5)g_m + 0.5Fg_m), \\ g_m & = \frac{1}{2}[F^2((1 - 0.5)v_m + 0.5Fv_m) + F^2v_m], \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Clearly,  $v_m$  converges to  $q = 0.900367$  fixed point of  $F$

By Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\|p - q\| \leq \frac{5\epsilon + 2\zeta\epsilon + \zeta^2\epsilon}{1 - \zeta}$$

we have  $L = 0$  and  $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$  thus we get

$$\|p - q\| \leq \frac{5\epsilon + 2\zeta\epsilon + \zeta^2\epsilon}{1 - \zeta}$$

as  $\epsilon = 0.124978$ ,  $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$  we have

$$\|p - q\| \leq 1.5625$$

Thus from the theorem we have  $\|p - q\| \leq 1.5625$  and we have actually  $\|p - q\| = 0.000367$ .

## 5 Application

We explore a unique solution for the given initial value problem through the application of the  $AT$  algorithm. -

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} y^n(t) + f(t, y(t), y^1(t), \dots, y^{n-1}(t)) = 0 \\ y(t_0) = p_0, \quad y'(t_0) = p_1, \dots, y^{n-1}(t_0) = p_{n-1} \end{array} \right\} \quad (18)$$

where  $p_i \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$

$f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  cnts function satisfies following condition such that

$$|f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{n-1}) - f(t, z, z', \dots, z^{n-1})| \leq a_1|y - z| + a_2|y' - z'| + \dots + a_n|y^{n-1} - z^{n-1}| \quad (19)$$

$\forall t \in [a, b]$

$\forall y^i, z^i \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall i = 1, 2 - n - 1$  and  $a_i \geq 0$

Let  $X = C^n[a, b]$

$$\|x - y\|_\infty = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t) - y(t)|, \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |x'(t) - y'(t)|, \dots, \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |x^{(n-1)}(t) - y^{(n-1)}(t)| \right\} \quad (20)$$

$\forall x, y \in X$

Then  $(X, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is a complete normed linear space. Define  $T$  on  $X$  by

$$T(y(t)) = \int_a^b g(t)f(s, y(s) - y^{n-1}(s)) ds \quad (21)$$

where  $g(t) \in X$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $X = C^n[a, b]$  be a complete normed linear space with norm defined by 20. Let  $f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  continuous function such that the Lipschitz condition 19 is satisfied. Suppose that

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i M < 1. \quad (22)$$

where  $\frac{\partial^i g(t)}{\partial^i t} \leq M_i$  and  $M = \max\{M_0, \dots, M_{n-1}\}$

Then the problem 18 has a unique solution in  $X$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| T\left(\frac{d^i}{dt^i}y(t)\right) - T\left(\frac{d^i}{dt^i}z(t)\right) \right| &= \left| \int_a^b \frac{\partial^i g(t)}{\partial^i t} f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{n-1}) - f(t, z, z', \dots, z^{n-1}) ds \right| \\
&\leq \int_a^b \frac{\partial^i g(t)}{\partial^i t} |f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{n-1}) - f(t, z, z', \dots, z^{n-1})| ds \\
&\leq \int_a^b \frac{\partial^i g(t)}{\partial^i t} (a_1 \|y - z\| + a_2 |y' - z'| \dots + a_n |y^{n-1} - z^{n-1}|) ds \\
&\leq \int_a^b \frac{\partial^i g(t)}{\partial^i t} (a_1 \|y - z\| + a_2 \|y - z\| \dots + a_n \|y - z\|) ds \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i M_i \|y - z\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T(y) - T(z)\| &= \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |T(y(t)) - T(z(t))|, \dots, \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |T(y^{(n-1)}(t)) - T(z^{(n-1)}(t))| \right\} \\
&\leq \alpha \|y - z\|
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We see that the mapping  $T$  defined by 21 is a contraction and hence a weak contraction. So, using  $AT$  iteration  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ . Therefore, the problem (18) has a unique solution in  $X$ .  $\square$

## 6 Conclusion

We propose a two-step iterative algorithm designed to approximate fixed points of weak contractions within a complete normed linear space. Our algorithm demonstrates enhanced efficiency and faster convergence compared to several established iterative methods, supported by the findings detailed in Theorem 2.3. Theorem 2.2 establishes the near R-stability of the  $AT$  iterative algorithm. Additionally, by the  $AT$  algorithm, we derived a data dependence result and provided an illustrative example to validate its credibility.

We have two open questions that we can extend

**Question 1** Is it possible to formulate a novel two-step iterative method that exhibits a faster convergence rate than the  $AT$  iterative algorithm?

**Question 2** Does the sequence  $sm$  defined by the  $AT$  iterative algorithm converge towards a fixed point of either a contractive-like operator or a non-expansive operator?

## References

- [1] Christopher Tisdell, *On Picard's iteration method to solve differential equations and a pedagogical space for otherness, International Journal of Mathematical Education*, **50**, 788-799 (2018),

- [2] M.A. Krasnosel'skii, *Two Remarks on the Method of Successive Approximations*, *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, **10**, 123-127 (1955).
- [3] W. Mann, *Mean Value Methods in Iteration*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **4**, 506-510 (1953).
- [4] S. Ishikawa, *Fixed points by a new iteration method*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **44**(1), 147–150, 1974.
- [5] RP Agarwal, Donal O'Regan, and DR Sahu, *Iterative construction of fixed points of nearly asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, **8**(1), 61, 2007, YOKOHAMA PUBLISHERS.
- [6] DR Sahu, *Applications of the S-iteration process to constrained minimization problems and split feasibility problems*, *Fixed Point Theory*, **12**(1), 187–204, 2011.
- [7] Wutiphol Sintunavarat and Ariana Pitea, *On a new iteration scheme for numerical reckoning fixed points of Berinde mappings with convergence analysis*, *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, **9**(5), 2553–2562, 2016.
- [8] Faeem Ali and Javid Ali, *Convergence, stability, and data dependence of a new iterative algorithm with an application*, *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **39**(4), 267, 2020, Springer.
- [9] Vasile Berinde, *On the approximation of fixed points of weak contractive mappings*, *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, 7–22, 2003, JSTOR.
- [10] AM Ostrowski, *The Round-off Stability of Iterations*, *ZAMM - Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics / Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik*, **47**(2), 77–81, 1967, Wiley Online Library.
- [11] Vasile Berinde, *Generalized contractions and applications*, 1997, Editura Cub Press Baia Mare, Romania.
- [12] ŞM Şoltuz and Teodor Grosan, *Data dependence for Ishikawa iteration when dealing with contractive-like operators*, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2008**, 1–7, 2008, Springer.
- [13] V. Berinde, *Generalized Contractions and Applications*, 1997, Editura Cub Press 22, Baia Mare, Romanian.
- [14] Safer Hussain Khan, *A Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process*, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2013**(1), 1–10, SpringerOpen (2013).
- [15] Sintunavarat, W., & Pitea, A. (2016). On a new iteration scheme for numerical reckoning fixed points of Berinde mappings with convergence analysis. *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, **9**(5), 2553–2562.



- [16] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, **3**, 133–181, 1922.