

APPLICATIONS OF THE FREEZING OPERATORS ON CLUSTER ALGEBRAS

FAN QIN

Dedicated to Professor Jie Xiao on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

ABSTRACT. We apply freezing operators to relate different (quantum) upper cluster algebras. We prove that these operators send localized (quantum) cluster monomials to localized (quantum) cluster monomials. They also send bases to bases in many cases. In addition, the bases constructed via freezing coincide with those constructed via localization.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| Acknowledgments | 3 |
| 2. Preliminaries | 3 |
| 3. A review of classical scattering diagrams | 8 |
| 4. Basics of the freezing operators | 14 |
| 5. Freezing operators on scattering diagrams | 16 |
| 6. Bases constructions: freezing and localization | 19 |
| References | 25 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Cluster algebras were invented by Fomin and Zelevinsky [FZ02] to study the total positivity [Lus94] and the dual canonical bases of quantum groups [Lus90, Lus91][Kas91]. Their quantization was introduced in [BZ05]. It turns out the (quantized) coordinate rings of many interesting varieties are (quantum) upper cluster algebras, see [BFZ05] [GLS11, GLS13] [GY16, GY20, GY21] [EL21] [SW21] [GLSBS22] [CGG⁺22] or [Qin24a] for a continuously expanding list. These varieties are often closely related. For example, some can be obtained from others by taking locally closed subsets. In [Qin24a], the author constructed analogs of the dual canonical bases for these coordinate rings with the help of various operations in the theory of cluster algebra (cluster theory for short). In particular, the freezing operators were introduced to related different cluster algebras.

In this paper, we focus on the study of freezing operators and their applications to cluster algebras.

Freezing cluster monomials. In the classical case, the upper cluster algebra is the coordinate ring of a cluster variety, which is endowed with many toric charts (called seeds in cluster theory). A localized cluster monomial is an element of the

upper cluster algebra such that it is a Laurent monomial in some chart ([GHKK18, Lemma 7.8])

Take any initial (quantum) seed t_0 . Let I denote its set of vertices, which are endowed with a partition $I = I_{\text{uf}} \sqcup I_f$ into unfrozen ones and frozen ones. We choose a set of unfrozen vertices $F \subset I_{\text{uf}}$. By freezing the vertices in F , we obtain a new seed $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0$ from the seed t_0 . Note that t_0 determines the upper cluster algebra $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ and $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0$ determines a different upper cluster algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$.

By [FZ07][Tra11][DWZ10][GHKK18], the Laurent expansion of any localized (quantum) cluster monomial z in seed t_0 takes the form $z = x^g \cdot F_z|_{y_k \mapsto x^{p^* e_k}}$, where g denotes the (extended) g -vector, F_z is a polynomial in variables y_k , $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$, called the (quantum) F -polynomial, and we substitute y_k by a Laurent monomial $x^{p^* e_k}$ in the above formula.

The freezing operator sends z to $z' := x^g \cdot F'_z|_{y_k \mapsto x^{p^* e_k}}$, where $F'_z := F_z|_{y_j \mapsto 0, \forall j \in F}$. Our first main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.11). *The freezing operator sends any localized (quantum) cluster monomial of $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ to a localized (quantum) cluster monomial of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$.*

Remark 1.2. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 heavily relies on the classical scattering diagrams [GHKK18].

Scattering diagrams behave well under freezing. For example, [Mul16] observed that freezing of seeds induces inclusion of chambers. His observation has important consequences on mutation reachability, see Lemma 5.6, Theorem 5.7 ([Mul16, Theorem 1.4.1]), Theorem 5.8 (also proved in [CL20] based on Muller's observation).

It would be desirable to know if there exists a different approach to Theorem 1.1 and results concerning mutation reachability.

Constructions of bases. Now, assume that the seed t_0 can be quantized or, equivalently, the associated B -matrix $\tilde{B}(t_0)$ is of full rank. There have been many works towards the construction of well-behaved bases for classical or quantum upper cluster algebras $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$. Notably, three important families of bases have been constructed in literature:

- the generic bases in the sense of [Dup11], see also [DXX09] [GLS12] [Pla13] [Qin24b] [MSW13];
- the common triangular bases in the sense of [Qin17], see also [BZ14] [Qin20b, Qin24a];
- the theta basis in the sense of [GHKK18], see also [DM21] [FG06] [Thu14] [MQ23].

The basis elements we are interested in are often g -pointed elements for $g \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, i.e., they take the form $s_g = x^g \cdot F_{s_g}|_{y_k \mapsto x^{p^* e_k}}$, where F_{s_g} is a polynomial in the variables y_k , $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$, $F_{s_g}(0) = 1$. Then the freezing operator act on these g -pointed elements by sending y_j to 0 for $j \in F$, see Section 4.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 6.13). *For many $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$, its basis of the form $\mathcal{S} = \{s_g | g \in \mathbb{Z}^I\}$, where s_g are g -pointed, is sent to a basis of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ by the freezing operator.*

Assume that we are in the situation of Theorem 1.3. We can also construct bases for $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ by a different method using localization, see Section 6.3. In brief, we consider the subset $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}$, which consists of s_g whose F -polynomial contains no variables y_j , $j \in F$. Then we construct the localized function $x^d \cdot s_g$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}^F$ and $s_g \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 6.17). *In the situation of Theorem 1.3, under mild conditions, the set of localized functions coincides with the basis $\{\mathfrak{f}_{Fs_g} | g \in \mathbb{Z}^I\}$ constructed via freezing.*

The theta bases are sent to theta bases by the freezing operator, see Theorem 6.18. In Section 6.4, we will show that Theorem 1.4 applies to the theta bases.

Remark 1.5. One can also show that the common triangular bases are sent to the common triangular bases, and the generic bases are sent to the generic bases. Moreover, Theorems 1.4 apply to these bases as well. The claims for the common triangular bases are verified in [Qin24a]. And the claims for the generic bases easily follow from [Pla13, Lemma 3.17].

Remark 1.6. The procedure that we take the subset \mathcal{S}' from \mathcal{S} has an analog at the categorical level.

In cluster category, its counterpart is taking a subcategory \mathcal{C}' from \mathcal{C} such that its object are extension orthogonal to the indecomposable rigid objects T_j corresponding to x_j , $j \in F$, see the Calabi-Yau reduction [IY08]. When \mathcal{S} is the generic basis, \mathcal{S}' corresponds to the generic basis constructed from \mathcal{C}' .

In monoidal categorification, its counterpart is taking a monoidal subcategory. More details are available in [Qin24a].

Convention. Unless otherwise specified, we choose $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $v = 1$ for the classical case, and $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}[v^\pm]$ where v is an indeterminate for the quantum case.¹ In literature, v^2 is often denoted by q . By nonnegative elements in \mathbb{k} , we mean those in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq} [v^\pm]$ respectively.

For any index set $I' \subset I$, we often identify $\mathbb{R}^{I'}$ with the subset $\{u = (u_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{R}^I | u_j = 0 \forall j \in I \setminus I'\}$ of \mathbb{R}^I . We also use $\text{pr}_{I'}$ to denote the natural projection from \mathbb{R}^I to $\mathbb{R}^{I'}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Some results have been announced in various occasions, for example in [Qin20a], and the author would like to thank the audience for the feedback.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some important constructions and theorems in cluster theory.

2.1. Basics of cluster algebra.

Seeds. As in [Qin24b], we shall define seeds of cluster algebras following the convention of Fomin-Zelevinsky [FZ02] but include extra data that allows us to construct scattering diagrams as in [GHKK18].

Let I denote a finite set of vertices and we choose its partition $I = I_{\text{uf}} \sqcup I_{\text{f}}$ into the frozen vertices and unfrozen vertices. Let d_i , $i \in I$, denote a set of strictly positive integers, and d their least common multiplier. Denote the Langlands dual $d_i^\vee = \frac{d}{d_i}$. Apparently, the least common multiplier of d_i^\vee is also d , and we have $(d_i^\vee)^\vee = d_i$.

¹One can study positive characteristic by considering the coefficients modulo a prime p (see [Man21, Section 4.3] for an example).

Let $(b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ denote a \mathbb{Q} -valued matrix such that $d_i^\vee b_{ij} = -d_j^\vee b_{ji}$, and we say that it is skew-symmetrizable. Define $\tilde{B} = (b_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in I_{\text{uf}}}$ and $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in I_{\text{uf}}}$. The matrix \tilde{B} is called the B -matrix and B its principal part. We further assume that $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $i \in I_{\text{uf}}$ or $j \in I_{\text{uf}}$. Following [GHK15], we also define the skew-symmetric matrix $(\omega_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ such that $\omega_{ij} d_j = b_{ji}$.

Let us define the linear map $p^* : \mathbb{Z}^{I_{\text{uf}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^I$ such that $p^* e_k = \sum_{i \in I} b_{ik} f_i$ where e_k (resp f_i) is the k -th unit vector (resp. i -th unit vector). In terms of the column vectors of coordinates, we can also write $p^* e_k = \tilde{B} \cdot e_k$. We will denote $M^\circ = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} f_i$ and $N_{\text{uf}} = \bigoplus_{k \in I_{\text{uf}}} \mathbb{Z} e_k$.

Let $()^T$ denote the matrix transpose. Any $I \times I$ matrix Λ gives a bilinear form λ on \mathbb{Z}^I such that $\lambda(g, h) := g^T \cdot \Lambda \cdot h$ for any g, h ,

Throughout the paper, we assume that \tilde{B} is of full rank unless otherwise specified. Then p^* is injective. Then, by [GSV03, GSV05], we can always choose a (not necessarily unique) \mathbb{Z} -valued skew-symmetric form λ on \mathbb{Z}^I , called a compatible Poisson structure, such that

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda(f_i, p^* e_k) = \delta_{ik} \mathbf{d}_k$$

for strictly positive integers \mathbf{d}_k . We denote $\Lambda = (\Lambda_{ij})_{i,j \in I} := (\lambda(f_i, f_j))_{i,j \in I}$, called the quantization matrix. The pair (\tilde{B}, Λ) is called compatible by [BZ05].

Definition 2.1. A seed t is a collection² $(\tilde{B}, (x_i)_{i \in I}, I, I_{\text{uf}}, (d_i)_{i \in I})$, where x_i are indeterminates called the x -variables. It is called a quantum seed if we endow it with a compatible Poisson structure λ .

We shall often omit the symbols $I, I_{\text{uf}}, (d_i)_{i \in I}$ when the context is clear.

Let \mathbb{k} denote the base ring as before. We define the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathcal{LP} = \mathbb{k}[x_i^\pm]_{i \in I}$ using the usual commutative product \cdot . Define $M^\circ := \bigoplus \mathbb{Z} f_i$ and its group ring $\mathbb{k}[M^\circ] = \bigoplus_{m \in M^\circ} \mathbb{k} x^m$. Then we can identify $\mathcal{LP} = \mathbb{k}[x_i^\pm]$ with $\mathbb{k}[M^\circ]$ by identifying x_i with x^{f_i} . We call x_i the x -variables or cluster variables of t , the monomials x^m , where $m \in \mathbb{N}^I$, the cluster monomial of t , and x^m , $m \in \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{I_f}$, the localized cluster monomials of t . The x -variables x_j , $j \in I_f$, are called the frozen variables. We also introduce $M^\oplus = p^* \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}}$, and let $\mathbb{k}[M^\oplus]$ denote the subset of $\mathbb{k}[M^\circ(t)]$.

We further endow \mathcal{LP} with the following v -twisted product $*$

$$x^g * x^h = v^{\lambda(g,h)} x^{g+h}, \quad \forall g, h \in \mathbb{Z}^I.$$

Unless otherwise specified, by the multiplication in \mathcal{LP} , we mean the v -twisted product. When we work at the classical level, any v -factor is understood as 1.

We will call \mathcal{LP} the quantum torus algebra for the quantum case. We define the bar involution on \mathcal{LP} to be the anti-automorphism such that $\overline{q^\alpha x^g} = q^{-\alpha} x^g$. Let \mathcal{F} denote the skew-field of fractions of \mathcal{LP} . The (partially) compactified quantized Laurent polynomial ring is defined as the subalgebra $\overline{\mathcal{LP}} = \mathbb{k}[x_k^\pm]_{k \in I_{\text{uf}}}[x_j]_{j \in I_f}$.

Mutations. Let $[]_+$ denote $\max(\cdot, 0)$. Given a seed $t = ((b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}, (x_i)_{i \in I}, I, I_{\text{uf}}, (d_i)_{i \in I})$. Choose any vertex $k \in I$ and any sign $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$. Following [BFZ05], we define matrices \tilde{E} and \tilde{F} as the following

²As shown in [Qin24b, Lemma 2.1.2], we can always extend \tilde{B} to a matrix $(b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ with integer entries.

$$(\tilde{E}_\varepsilon)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij} & k \notin \{i, j\} \\ -1 & i = j = k \\ [-\varepsilon b_{ik}]_+ & j = k, i \neq k \end{cases}$$

$$(\tilde{F}_\varepsilon)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij} & k \notin \{i, j\} \\ -1 & i = j = k \\ [\varepsilon b_{kj}]_+ & i = k, j \neq k \end{cases}$$

We have $\tilde{E}_\varepsilon^2 = \tilde{F}_\varepsilon^2 = \text{Id}_I$. Their principal parts ($I_{\text{uf}} \times I_{\text{uf}}$ -submatrices) are denoted by E_ε and F_ε respectively.

We have an operation μ_k called mutation, which gives us a new seed $t' = ((b'_{ij})_{i,j \in I}, (x'_i)_{i \in I}, I, I_{\text{uf}}, (d_i)_{i \in I})$ as follows

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (b'_{ij})_{i,j \in I} &= \tilde{E}_\varepsilon \cdot (b_{ij})_{i,j} \cdot \tilde{F}_\varepsilon \\ x'_i &= \begin{cases} x_k^{-1} \cdot (\prod_{i \in I} x_i^{[b_{ik}]_+} + \prod_{j \in I} x_j^{[-b_{jk}]_+}) & i = k \\ x_i & i \neq k \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If we denote $z_i = x^{p^* e_i}$, then we have

$$(2.3) \quad z'_i = \begin{cases} z_k^{-1} & i = k \\ z_i z_k^{[b_{ki}]_+} \cdot (1 + z_k)^{-b_{ki}} & i \neq k \end{cases}.$$

If t is a quantum seed, the mutation μ_k also gives us a new quantum seed t' whose quantization matrix Λ' is given by $\Lambda' = \tilde{E}_\varepsilon^T \cdot \Lambda \cdot \tilde{E}_\varepsilon$. See [KQW23, Section 2.4] for the quantum analogs of (2.2) (2.3).

Direct computation shows that that

$$b'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij} & k \in \{i, j\} \\ b_{ij} + [b_{ik}]_+ [b_{kj}]_+ - [-b_{ik}]_+ [-b_{kj}]_+ & i, j \neq k \end{cases}.$$

Moreover, Λ' not depend on ε by [BZ05, Proposition 3.4]. Therefore, the new (quantum) seed t' is independent of the choice of the sign ε .

Note that μ_k is an involution: $\mu_k(\mu_k t) = t$. Let μ_k^* denote the \mathbb{k} -algebra homomorphism from the (skew-)field of fractions $\mathcal{F}(t')$ to $\mathcal{F}(t)$ such that the image $\mu_k^*(x'_i)$ is given by (2.2). Then μ_k^* is an isomorphism. We often identify $\mathcal{F}(t')$ with $\mathcal{F}(t)$ via μ_k^* and omit the symbol μ_k^* for simplicity.

For any sequence $\underline{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ on I_{uf} , we define the mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\underline{k}} = \mu_{k_r} \cdots \mu_{k_2} \mu_{k_1}$, which is often denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mu}$.

Let \mathbb{T}_{v_0} denote an I_{uf} -regular tree with root v_0 , namely, a regular tree such that for each vertex the adjacent edges are labeled by I_{uf} . Given an initial (quantum) seed t_0 , we recursively attach a (quantum) seed t_v to each vertex $v \in \mathbb{T}_{v_0}$ as follows:

- (1) The (quantum) seed t_{v_0} attach to t_0 is the initial (quantum) seed t_0 .
- (2) If v and v' are connected by an edge labeled $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$, then the attached seeds satisfy $t_{v'} = \mu_k t_v$.

Let $\Delta^+ = \Delta_{t_0}^+$ denote the set of (quantum) seeds t that we obtain. The x -variables $x_i(t)$ associated to $t \in \Delta^+$ are called the (quantum) cluster variables in

the seed t . Note that the x -variables $x_j(t)$, $j \in I_f$, are the same for all $t \in \Delta^+$, and we call them the frozen variables.

Definition 2.2. Let there be given an initial seed t_0 . The (partially compactified) cluster algebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(t_0)$ is defined to be the \mathbb{k} -algebra generated by the cluster variables $x_i(t)$. The (localized) cluster algebra is defined to be the localization $\mathcal{A}(t_0) = \overline{\mathcal{A}}(t_0)[x_j^{-1}]_{j \in I_f}$. The (localized) upper cluster algebra $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ is defined to be the intersection $\cap_{t \in \Delta^+} \mathcal{LP}(t)$.

Throughout the paper, we shall focus our attention to (localized) upper cluster algebras \mathcal{U} .

Theorem 2.3 (Starfish theorem [BFZ05]). *When $\tilde{B}(t)$ is of full rank, we have $\mathcal{U}(t) = \mathcal{LP}(t) \cap (\cap_{k \in I_{\text{uf}}} \mathcal{LP}(\mu_k t))$.*

2.2. Cluster expansions.

Theorem 2.4 (Laurent phenomenon [FZ02][BZ05]). *The (quantum) cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(t_0)$ is contained in the (quantum) upper cluster algebra $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$.*

In particular, any (quantum) cluster variable $x_i(t)$ is contained in $\mathcal{LP}(t_0)$. We can describe its Laurent expansion as follows.

Theorem 2.5. [FZ07][DWZ10][Tra11][GHKK18]

Let there be any initial seed t_0 . For any $i \in I$, $v \in \mathbb{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$, there exist a vector $g_i(t_v) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and coefficients $b_n \in \mathbb{k}$, such that $b_0 = 1$, all but finitely many $b_n = 0$, and

$$(2.4) \quad x_i(t_v) = x^{g_i(t_v)} \cdot \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}}} b_n x^{p^* n}.$$

The vector $g_i(t_v)$ in (2.4) is called the i -th (extended) g -vector associated to t_v . Note that if the two initial seeds in Theorem 2.5 share the same B -matrix $\tilde{B} = (b_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in I_{\text{uf}}}$, then we have the same vectors $g_i(t_v)$ in (2.4). Moreover, by evaluating $v = 1$, quantum cluster variables become the corresponding classical cluster variables. See [Tra11][GLS20] for more details about the relation between quantum and commutative cluster algebras.

Theorem 2.6 ([GHKK18][Dav18]). (1) *When $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$, the coefficients b_n in 2.4 belong to \mathbb{N} .*

(2) *When $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}]$, if B is skew-symmetric, then the coefficients b_n in 2.4 belong to $\mathbb{N}[q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}]$.*

Definition 2.7 ([Qin17][Qin24b]). We call t_0 injective-reachable if there exists a seed $t_0[1] \in \Delta^+$ such that, for some permutation σ of I_{uf} , we have $g_{\sigma k}(t_0[1]) \in -f_k + \mathbb{Z}^{I_t}$, for any $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$.

If t_0 is injective-reachable, then so are all seeds $t \in \Delta^+$, see [Mul16][Qin17, Proposition 5.1.4].

2.3. Dominance order, degrees, and support. Let there be given a seed t . Omit the symbol t for simplicity when the context is clear. We have lattices $M^\circ(t) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^I$ with the natural basis $\{f_i | i \in I\}$ and $N_{\text{uf}}(t) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{I_{\text{uf}}}$ with the natural basis $\{e_i | i \in I_{\text{uf}}\}$. Denote $N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}(t) = \oplus_{i \in I_{\text{uf}}} \mathbb{N}e_i$ and $N_{\text{uf}}^{> 0}(t) = N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}(t) \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition 2.8 (Dominance order [Qin17]). Given any $g, g' \in M^\circ(t)$. We say g dominates g' , denoted by $g' \preceq_t g$, if and only if $g' = g + p^*n$ for some $n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}(t)$. We write $g' \prec_t g$ if further $g' \neq g$.

Lemma 2.9 ([Qin17, Lemma 3.1.2]). For any $\eta, g \in M^\circ(t)$, the set $\{g' \in M^\circ(t) | \eta \preceq_t g' \preceq_t g\}$ is finite.

Recall that we have a subalgebra $\mathbb{k}[M^\oplus(t)] \subset \mathcal{LP}(t)$. It has a maximal ideal generated by $x^{p^*e_k}$, $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$. Denote the corresponding completion by $\widehat{\mathbb{k}[M^\oplus(t)]}$. We define the set of formal Laurent series to be

$$\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t) = \mathcal{LP}(t) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[M^\oplus(t)]} \widehat{\mathbb{k}[M^\oplus(t)]}.$$

Then any formal Laurent series is a finite sum of the type $a \cdot x^g \cdot \sum_{n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}(t)} b_n x^{p^*n}$, for $a, b_n \in \mathbb{k}$.

Definition 2.10 (Degree). Let there be given any formal sum $z = \sum c_m x^m$, $c_m \in \mathbb{k}$. If the set $\{m | c_m \neq 0\}$ has a unique \prec_t -maximal element g , we say z has degree g and denote $\deg^t z = g$. We further say that z is g -pointed (or pointed at g) if $c_g = 1$.

We define $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}_{\preceq g}(t)$ to be the subset $x^g * \widehat{\mathbb{k}[M^\oplus(t)]}$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t)$. Then we have $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}_{\preceq g}(t) = \sum_{g' \preceq_t g} \mathcal{PT}^t(g')$.

The following definition slightly generalizes that of [Qin24b, Definition 3.4.1].

Definition 2.11 (Support). For any $n = (n_k)_{k \in I_{\text{uf}}} \in N_{\text{uf}}(t) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{I_{\text{uf}}}$, we define its support to be $\text{supp } n = \{k \in I_{\text{uf}} | n_k \neq 0\}$.

For any $z = x^g \cdot \sum_{n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}} b_n x^{p^*n}$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t)$, where $b_0 \neq 0$, $b_n \in \mathbb{k}$. We define its support to be the union of $\text{supp } n$ for $b_n \neq 0$. If further $z \in \mathcal{LP}(t)$, we define its support dimension to be $\text{suppDim } z = \sum_{k \in I_{\text{uf}}} \max_{b_n \neq 0} (n_k) \cdot e_k$.

Finally, we give the following definition to facilitate later discussion.

Definition 2.12 (Pointed subset). Let Θ denote a subset of \mathbb{Z}^I and $\mathcal{S} = \{s_g | g \in \Theta\}$ a subset of $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t_0)$. If s_g are g -pointed, \mathcal{S} is said to be Θ -pointed.

2.4. Tropical transformation and linear transformation. Recall that, to each seed t , we associate a lattice $M^\circ(t) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^I$ with the natural basis $f_i(t)$. For any $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$, denote $t' = \mu_k t$. The tropical transformation $\phi_{t',t} : M^\circ(t) \rightarrow M^\circ(t')$ is the piecewise linear map such that, for any $m = \sum m_i f_i(t) \in M^\circ(t)$, its image $m' = \sum m'_i f_i(t') \in M^\circ(t')$ is given by:

$$(2.5) \quad m'_i = \begin{cases} -m_k & i = k \\ m_i + b_{ik}(t)[m_k]_+ & b_{ik}(t) \geq 0 \\ m_i + b_{ik}(t)[-m_k]_+ & b_{ik}(t) \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

For any mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and the seed $t' = \boldsymbol{\mu}t$ in Δ^+ , we define $\phi_{\boldsymbol{\mu},t}$ to be the composition of the tropical transformations along $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. It is independent of the choice of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ by the following result.

Theorem 2.13 ([GHK15][GHKK18]). For any $i \in I$ and seeds $t, t', t'' \in \Delta^+$, we have $\deg^{t''} x_i(t) = \phi_{t'',t'} \deg^{t'} x_i(t)$.

Given two seeds $t, t' \in \Delta^+$ related by a mutation sequence $t = \boldsymbol{\mu}t'$. Following [Qin24b], we also define a \mathbb{Z} -linear transformation $\psi_{t',t}$ from $M^\circ(t)$ to $M^\circ(t')$ such that $\psi_{t',t}(f_i(t)) = \deg^{t'} x_i(t)$. Then it is a bijection.

Lemma 2.14 ([Qin24b]). *Given any $z = \sum c_m x^m(t) \in \mathcal{LP}(t)$. Then the formal Laurent series $\boldsymbol{\mu}^* z$ has degree $g \in M^\circ(t')$ if and only if g is the unique $\prec_{t'}$ -maximal element in $\{\psi_{t',t} m \mid c_m \neq 0\}$. $\boldsymbol{\mu}^* z$ is further pointed at degree g if and only if $c_m = 1$ where $m = \psi_{t',t}^{-1} g$.*

Definition 2.15 (Compatibly pointed [Qin24b]). Given an m -pointed element $z \in \mathcal{LP}(t)$, it is said to be compatibly pointed at t, t' if z is $\phi_{t',t}$ -pointed in $\mathcal{LP}(t')$. A subset $Z \subset \mathcal{LP}(t)$ is said to be compatibly pointed at t, t' if its elements are.

In particular, Theorem 2.13 implies that the localized cluster monomials are compatibly pointed at all seeds in Δ^+ .

3. A REVIEW OF CLASSICAL SCATTERING DIAGRAMS

For classical cases, we briefly review the scattering diagrams and some of their properties following [GHKK18]. More details could be found in [Bri17][GHKK18]. When $B(t_0)$ is skew-symmetric, quantum cluster scattering diagrams have similar but more technical descriptions, see [DM21] [MQ23, Section 5].

We choose and fix an initial seed $t_0 = ((b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}, (x_i)_{i \in I}, I, I_{\text{uf}}, (d_i)_{i \in I})$ and omit the symbol t_0 for simplicity when the context is clear. Define a lattice $N \simeq \mathbb{Z}^I$ with the natural basis $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$. Its dual lattice $M = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ possesses the dual basis $\{e_i^* \mid i \in I\}$. The natural pairing between elements $n \in N$ and $m \in M$ are denoted by $\langle m, n \rangle = m(n) = n(m)$. Define $f_i = \frac{1}{d_i} e_i^*$, $M^\circ = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} f_i$, $N^{\geq 0} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{N} e_i$, $N^\circ = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} d_i e_i$, $N_{\text{uf}} = \bigoplus_{k \in I_{\text{uf}}} \mathbb{Z} e_k$. We naturally extend M° to the affine space $M_{\mathbb{R}}^\circ = M^\circ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = M_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Recall that $(\omega_{ij}) = (b_{ji} d_j^{-1})$ is skew-symmetric. Endow N with the skew-symmetric \mathbb{Q} -valued bilinear form $\{ , \}$ such that $\{e_i, e_j\} = \omega_{ij}$.

Choose the base ring $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}$. Let y_i be indeterminate, $i \in I$. We have two monoid algebras $\mathbb{k}[N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}] := \widehat{\bigoplus_{n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}} \mathbb{k} y^n}$ and $\mathbb{k}[M^\circ] := \bigoplus_{m \in M} \mathbb{k} x^m$, where $y_i = y^{e_i}$ and $x_i = x^{f_i}$. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{k}[N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}]}$ denote the completion of $\mathbb{k}[N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}]$ with respect to its maximal ideal $\mathbb{k}[N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}]$ as before.

Recall that we have a map $p^* : N_{\text{uf}} \rightarrow M^\circ$ such that $p^* e_k = \sum b_{ik} f_i$. Note that we have $p^*(n) = \{n, \}$. We can send $\mathbb{k}[N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}]$ into $\mathbb{k}[M^\circ]$ via the associated \mathbb{k} -linear monomial map p^* such that $p^* y^n := x^{p^* n}$.

3.1. Scattering diagrams associated to nilpotent graded Lie algebras. Let \mathfrak{g} be any given $N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}$ -graded nilpotent Lie algebra whose Lie bracket is denoted by $[,]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &= \bigoplus_{n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}} \mathfrak{g}_n \\ [\mathfrak{g}_{n_1}, \mathfrak{g}_{n_2}] &\subset \mathfrak{g}_{n_1+n_2}, \quad \forall n_1, n_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we construct the corresponding pro-nilpotent group G as the following: it is in bijection with \mathfrak{g} as a set

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g} \simeq G,$$

and its multiplication is given by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, namely, $\forall \exp(X), \exp(Y) \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(X) \cdot \exp(Y) \\ &= \exp\left(X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \frac{1}{12}[X, [X, Y]] + \frac{1}{12}[Y, [X, Y]] + \dots\right) \end{aligned}$$

Cones. By a cone σ in $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\circ} = M_{\mathbb{R}}$, we always mean a convex rational polyhedral cone, namely, a conic combination of finitely many generators $\{m_i\} \subset M^{\circ}$: $\sigma = \sum_i \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} m_i$. Note that it can also be described as $\sigma = \cap_j H_{n_j}^{\geq 0}$ for finitely many $n_j \in N$, where the subset $H_{n_j}^{\geq 0} := \{m | m(n_j) \geq 0\}$. Then it is clear that the intersection of two cones remains a cone. A cone σ will be called *strictly convex* if $x = 0$ whenever $x, -x \in \sigma$.

The codimension of σ is defined to be that of $\sigma \otimes \mathbb{R} = \sum \mathbb{R} m_i$ in $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\circ}$. Given a codimension 1 cone \mathfrak{d} . Then $\{n \in N_{\mathbb{R}} | n(\mathfrak{d}) = 0\}$ is one dimensional, whose non-zero elements are called the normal vectors of \mathfrak{d} . There exists a unique primitive normal vector n_0 up to a sign. A point x in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be *general*, if it is contained in at most one hyperplane n^{\perp} for some $n \in N$.

A face of a cone σ is a conic combination of some generators. It is again a cone. We use $\text{Int}(\sigma)$ to denote the relative interior of σ , namely, those points not belonging to any face of σ . Let $\partial\mathfrak{d}$ denote the boundary of \mathfrak{d} .

Scattering diagrams. For any $n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}$, we have the following sub-Lie algebra of \mathfrak{g} and the corresponding subgroup of G :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_n^{\parallel} &:= \bigoplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{g}_{k \cdot n} \\ G_n^{\parallel} &:= \exp \mathfrak{g}_n^{\parallel} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.1. A wall $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}})$ consists of a codimension 1 cone $\mathfrak{d} \subset n_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\perp}$ for some primitive normal vector $n_{\mathfrak{d}} \in N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}$ and a group element $g \in G_{n_{\mathfrak{d}}}^{\parallel}$. We call \mathfrak{d} the support of the wall and $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ the wall crossing operator.

We often call the support \mathfrak{d} a wall for simplicity. We make the following assumption from now on.

Assumption 1. We always assume that $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\parallel}$ is commutative for any $n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}$.

It follows that the subgroup G_n^{\parallel} is abelian.

Definition 3.2 (Finite scattering diagram [GHKK18, Definition 1.6][Bri17, Definition 2.1]). Let \mathfrak{g} be an $N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}$ -graded nilpotent Lie algebra. A \mathfrak{g} -scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} is a finite collection of walls $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}})$, such that $\mathfrak{d} \subset n_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\perp}$ for some primitive $n_{\mathfrak{d}} \in N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}$.

If the context is clear, we will not mention the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} when referring to the scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} .

Definition 3.3. The support of \mathfrak{D} is the union $\text{supp } \mathfrak{D} = \cup_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{d}$. The singular locus of \mathfrak{D} is defined to be

$$\text{sing } \mathfrak{D} = (\cup_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}} \partial\mathfrak{d}) \cup (\cup_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2 \in \mathfrak{D}, \text{codim}(\mathfrak{d}_1 \cap \mathfrak{d}_2) = 2} \mathfrak{d}_1 \cap \mathfrak{d}_2).$$

A smooth path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be \mathfrak{D} -generic, if

- then end points $\gamma(0), \gamma(1)$ are not in $\text{supp } \mathfrak{D}$,
- γ does not intersect with the singular locus $\text{sing } \mathfrak{D}$, and
- the intersections between γ and the walls $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}$ are transversal.

It is said to be closed if $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$.

Let there be given a \mathfrak{D} -generic smooth path $\gamma(t)$, such that it intersects the walls $\mathfrak{d}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{d}_l$ at time $0 < t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_l < 1$. Note that when $t_i = t_{i+1}$, the wall \mathfrak{d}_i and \mathfrak{d}_{i+1} must overlap at the transversal intersection point $\gamma(t_i)$, indicating that they share a primitive normal vector n_0 . In this case, the product $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}_{i+1}} \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}_i}$ equals $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}_i} \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}_{i+1}}$ by the commutativity assumption.

Definition 3.4. The wall crossing operator along the path γ is defined to be

$$\mathfrak{p}(\gamma) = \mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{d}_l)^{\epsilon_l} \cdots \mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{d}_1)^{\epsilon_1} \in G,$$

where $\epsilon_i = \text{sign}\langle -\gamma'(t_i), n_i \rangle$, $n_i \in N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}$ are the primitive normal vectors of \mathfrak{d}_i .

Definition 3.5 (Equivalent scattering diagram [Bri17, Definition 2.4][GHKK18, Definition 1.8]). Two \mathfrak{g} -scattering diagrams $\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_2$ are said to be *equivalent* if they give the same wall crossing operator $\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)$ for any \mathfrak{D}_1 -generic and \mathfrak{D}_2 -generic smooth path γ .

A scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} is said to be consistent if $\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)$ is trivial for any closed \mathfrak{D} -generic smooth path γ .

Following [GHKK18], we define the essential support of \mathfrak{D} to be the union $\text{supp}_{\text{ess}} \mathfrak{D} = \cup_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}} \neq 1} \mathfrak{d}$. Replacing \mathfrak{D} by an equivalent scattering diagram if necessary, we can assume the support to be minimal.

Scattering diagrams associated to graded Lie algebras. Given an $N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}$ -graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}} \mathfrak{g}_n$, not necessarily nilpotent. We generalize the previous construction of scattering diagrams for \mathfrak{g} .

Choose a linear function d on N_{uf} such that $d(e_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$. Then, for any chosen order $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{>0} \mid d(n) \leq k\}$ is a finite set. We have the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{>k}$ and a nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\leq k}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}^{>k} &= \oplus_{d(n) > k} \mathfrak{g}_n \\ \mathfrak{g}^{\leq k} &= \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}^{>k} \end{aligned}$$

Correspondingly, we have well defined pro-nilpotent groups at all orders k as before

$$G^{\leq k} = \exp \mathfrak{g}^{\leq k}$$

By using the canonical surjection $\pi^{ji} : \mathfrak{g}^{\leq j} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\leq i}$, $\pi^{ji} : G^{\leq j} \rightarrow G^{\leq i}$, for any $i \leq j$, $n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}$, we obtain the inverse limits

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} &= \varprojlim \mathfrak{g}^{\leq k} \\ \widehat{G} &= \varprojlim G^{\leq k} \\ \widehat{G}_n^{\parallel} &= \varprojlim (G^{\leq k})_n^{\parallel} \end{aligned}$$

The set theoretic bijection $\exp : \mathfrak{g}^{\leq k} \simeq G^{\leq k}$ induces a bijection $\exp : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \widehat{G}$.

As before, a wall $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}})$ consists of a codimension 1 cone \mathfrak{d} with some primitive normal vector $n_0 \in N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}$, and a wall crossing operator $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}} \in \widehat{G}_{n_0}^{\parallel}$.

Let $\pi^{\leq k}$ denote the natural projection from \widehat{G} to $\widehat{G}^{\leq k}$.

Definition 3.6 (Scattering diagram). Let \mathfrak{g} be an $N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}$ -graded Lie algebra. A \mathfrak{g} -scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} is a collection of walls $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}})$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there are only finitely many nontrivial walls $(\mathfrak{d}, \pi^{\leq k} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}})$.

Let $\mathfrak{D}^{\leq k}$ denote the collection of the nontrivial walls $(\mathfrak{d}, \pi^{\leq k} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}})$ obtained from a scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} . Then it is a $\mathfrak{g}^{\leq k}$ -scattering diagram. A smooth path γ is said to be \mathfrak{D} -generic if it is generic in all $\mathfrak{D}^{\leq k}$. The wall crossing operator \mathfrak{p}_{γ} along a \mathfrak{D} -generic smooth path γ is defined to be the inverse limit of the wall crossing operators $\mathfrak{p}_{\gamma}^{\leq k}$ defined in $\mathfrak{D}^{\leq k}$. As before, the scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} is said to be consistent if \mathfrak{p}_{γ} is trivial for all closed paths.

The essential support of \mathfrak{D} is defined to be $\text{supp}_{\text{ess}} \mathfrak{D} = \cup_{k \geq 1} \text{supp}_{\text{ess}}(\mathfrak{D}^{\leq k})$. We often write $\mathfrak{D} = \cup \mathfrak{D}^{\leq k}$.

Pushforward of scattering diagrams. Given a homomorphism $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ between two $N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}$ -graded Lie algebras. It induces Lie algebra homomorphisms $\pi : \mathfrak{g}^{\leq k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^{\leq k}$ and $\pi : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Lemma 3.7. (1) For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $\pi : \exp \mathfrak{g}^{\leq k} \rightarrow \exp \mathfrak{h}^{\leq k}$ sending $\exp g$ to $\exp \pi(g)$ is a group homomorphism.

(2) The map $\pi : \exp \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \exp \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ sending $\exp g$ to $\exp \pi(g)$ is a group homomorphism.

Proof. (1) The map preserves the multiplication because the Lie algebra homomorphism π commutes with the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula for nilpotent Lie algebras.

(2) The claim follows from claim (1). □

Following [Bri17, Section 2.10], for any \mathfrak{g} -scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} , we define the \mathfrak{h} -scattering diagram $\pi_* \mathfrak{D}$ as the collection of the pairs $(\mathfrak{d}, \pi \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}})$ for any $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}}) \in \mathfrak{D}$.

3.2. Determination of scattering diagrams. Let there be given an $N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}$ -graded Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a consistent \mathfrak{g} -scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} . Following [Bri17], we refer to the connected components of $M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \overline{\text{supp}_{\text{ess}} \mathfrak{D}}$ as chambers associated to \mathfrak{D} , where $\overline{\text{supp}_{\text{ess}} \mathfrak{D}}$ denotes the closure.

We define the positive and the negative chambers of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ by:

$$\mathfrak{C}^+ = \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle m, e_k \rangle \geq 0, k \in I_{\text{uf}}\}$$

$$\mathfrak{C}^- = \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle m, e_k \rangle \leq 0, k \in I_{\text{uf}}\}.$$

Then, for any codimension 1 cone $\mathfrak{d} \subset n^{\perp}$ with $n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{>0}$, we have $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{C}^+) \cap \mathfrak{d} = \emptyset$, $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{C}^-) \cap \mathfrak{d} = \emptyset$. Choosing any generic smooth path γ from \mathfrak{C}^+ to \mathfrak{C}^- , we define $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{D}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\gamma}$.

Theorem 3.8. [Bri17, Proposition 3.4][GHKK18, Theorem 1.17][KS14, Theorem 2.1.6]

We have a bijection between the equivalent classes of consistent \mathfrak{g} -scattering diagrams and the elements of \widehat{G} , sending a scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} to $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{D}}$.

Definition 3.9. [GHKK18, Definition 1.11]

A wall $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}})$ with the primitive normal vector $n_0 \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}$ is said to be incoming, if it contains p^*n_0 , and outgoing otherwise. We call $-p^*n_0$ the direction of the wall.

Theorem 3.10. [GHKK18, Theorem 1.21(4)]

The equivalent class of a consistent scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} is determined by its set of incoming walls \mathfrak{D}_{in} .

Theorem 3.11. [GHKK18, Theorem 1.21(3) Proposition 1.20]

Let \mathfrak{D}_{in} denote a scattering diagrams consisting of incoming walls of the form $(n_0^\perp, \mathfrak{p}_{n_0^\perp})$ with primitive $n_0 \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}$. Then there exists a consistent scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} containing \mathfrak{D}_{in} such that $\mathfrak{D} \setminus \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$ consists of outgoing walls.

We say a wall $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}})$ is translation invariant in the frozen direction if $\bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{R}f_j \subset \mathfrak{d}$. By the inductive construction of scattering diagrams [GHKK18, Appendix C.1], if the incoming walls of a consistent scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} are translation invariant in the frozen direction, we can find a \mathfrak{D}' equivalent to \mathfrak{D} such that all of its walls have this property.

3.3. Cluster scattering diagram. We denote the $N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}$ -graded monoid algebra $A = \mathbb{k}[N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}] = \mathbb{k}[y_k]_{k \in I_{\text{uf}}}$ and its completion $\widehat{A} = \widehat{\mathbb{k}[N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}]}$. Endow A with a Poisson structure

$$\{y^n, y^{n'}\} = -\{n, n'\}y^{n+n'}.$$

Define the corresponding $N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}$ -graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = A_{>0} = \bigoplus_{n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}} \mathbb{k}y^n$, where we take Lie bracket to be the Poisson bracket. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ denote the completion of \mathfrak{g} by taking the inverse limit as before. Let \exp denote the standard Taylor series expansion and define the corresponding pro-nilpotent group $\widehat{G} = \exp \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Note that \widehat{G} is identified with the set $1 + \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \widehat{A}$.

We can write any $a \in \widehat{A}$ as a formal series $a = \sum_{n \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}} a_n$ with homogeneous component $a_n \in \mathbb{k}y^n$. For any primitive $n_0 \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}$, any $g = \sum_{k \geq 1} g_{kn_0} \in \mathfrak{g}_{n_0}^{\parallel}$, and $a \in \widehat{A}$, the natural action of g on a is given by the derivation $\{g, a\} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_n \{g_{kn_0}, a_n\}$. The natural action of $\exp g$ on a is given by $(\exp g)(a) = \exp(\{g, \cdot\})(a)$, where \exp is the Taylor series expansion.

Let z denote an indeterminate. Recall that the dilogarithm function $\text{Li}_2(z)$ of z is the following formal series

$$\text{Li}_2(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{z^k}{k^2}.$$

Definition 3.12 (Cluster scattering diagram). Let t_0 denote a given initial seed. The cluster scattering diagram $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ is a consistent \mathfrak{g} -scattering diagram whose set of incoming walls is $\{(e_k^\perp, \exp(-d_k \text{Li}_2(-y_k)) | k \in I\}$, such that it has minimal support.

Definition 3.13 (Reachable chamber). Let $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}'$ be two chambers of a scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} . \mathfrak{C} is said to be reachable from \mathfrak{C}' if there exists a generic smooth path from $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{C}')$ to $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{C})$ such that it intersects finitely many walls.

When \mathfrak{C} is reachable from the initial chamber \mathfrak{C}^+ , it is simply called (mutation) reachable.

Theorem 3.14. [GHKK18, Section 1.3 Lemma 2.10]

(1) For any $t \in \Delta^+$, there is a corresponding reachable chamber

$$\mathfrak{C}^t = \cap_{k \in I_{\text{uf}}} H_{c_k(t)}^{\geq 0} = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \deg x_i(t) + \sum_{j \in I_f} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} (-f_j),$$

for non-zero primitive vectors $c_k(t) \in \pm N^{I_{\text{uf}}}$, called the c -vectors. In particular, $\mathfrak{C}^{t_0} = \mathfrak{C}^+$.

Moreover, the walls of \mathfrak{C}^t takes the form $(\mathfrak{d}_k, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}_k})$, $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$, where

$$\mathfrak{d}_k = \sum_{i \neq k} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \deg x_i(t) + \sum_{j \in I_f} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} (-f_j)$$

has the primitive normal vector $c_k(t)$, and $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}_k} = \exp(-d_k \text{Li}_2(-y^{|c_k(t)|}))$.

(2) Conversely, all reachable chambers take this form.

3.4. Broken lines and theta functions. Take $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that \widetilde{B} is of full rank from now on. Recall that we have the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathcal{LP} = \mathbb{k}[x_i^{\pm}]_{i \in I}$ and its completion $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}} = \mathbb{k}[[x_i^{\pm}]]_{i \in I}$. Let $A = \mathbb{k}[N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}]$ acts on $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}$ via the derivation $\{A, \cdot\}$ such that $\{y^n, x^m\} := \langle m, n \rangle x^{m+p^*n}$. In particular, we have $\{y^n, x^{p^*n'}\} = -\langle n, n' \rangle x^{p^*(n+n')}$. Since p^* is injective, the action of A is faithful. It induces a faithful action of \widehat{G} on $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}$. Therefore, we can view \widehat{G} as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{LP}})$.

Let n_0 denote a primitive vector in $N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}$. For any given a formal series $f = 1 + \sum_{k > 0} c_k y^{k \cdot n_0} \in \widehat{G}_{n_0}^{\parallel} \subset \widehat{G} \subset \widehat{A}$, we define the following element in $\text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{LP}})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p}_f : \widehat{\mathcal{LP}} &\rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{LP}} \\ x^m &\mapsto x^m \cdot f^{\langle m, n_0 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.15. [GHKK18, Definition 1.2, Lemma 1.3]

For any primitive $n_0 \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}$, $\widehat{G}_{n_0}^{\parallel} \subset \text{Aut}(\widehat{B})$ is the subgroup of automorphisms of the form \mathfrak{p}_f with the given n_0 .

Lemma 3.16. [GHKK18]

We have $\exp(-d_k \text{Li}_2(-y_k)) = \mathfrak{p}_{1+y_k}$.

Broken lines. Given $m \in M^\circ$ and $Q \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \mathfrak{D}$. A broken line γ in the direction m with base point Q is a piecewise linear map $\gamma : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ with Laurent monomials attached to its linear components, satisfying the following properties.

- $\gamma(0) = Q$,
- γ crosses the walls of \mathfrak{D} transversally. Moreover, at the times $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma(t_i)$ is a general point of a wall and $L^{(i)} := \gamma(t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $0 \leq i \leq r$, is linear, where we denote $t_0 = -\infty$ and $t_{r+1} = 0$.
- the derivative γ' on $L^{(i)}$ equals $-m^{(i)}$, where the monomial attached to $L^{(i)}$ is denoted by $\text{Mono}(L^{(i)}) = c^{(i)} x^{m^{(i)}}$.
- $c^{(0)} x^{m^{(0)}} = x^m$
- $c^{(i+1)} x^{m^{(i+1)}}$ is a term in the formal power series $\mathfrak{p}_i(c^{(i)} x^{m^{(i)}})$, where $\mathfrak{p}_i := \prod_{\gamma(t_i) \in \mathfrak{d}} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\text{sign}\langle m^{(i)}, n_{\mathfrak{d}} \rangle}$, i.e., \mathfrak{p}_i is the limit of $\mathfrak{p}_{\gamma(t_i + \epsilon, t_i - \epsilon)}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

We define $\text{Mono}(\gamma) = \text{Mono}(L^{(r+1)})$ and $I(\gamma) = x^m$. Note that $\text{Mono}(L^{(i)})$ always take the form $c^{(i)} x^{m+p^*n^{(i)}}$ for some $n^{(i)} \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}$, $c^{(i)} \in \mathbb{k}$, and $n^{(i+1)} \geq n^{(i)}$, see [GHKK18, Remark 3.2].

Theta functions. For any general base point $Q \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \text{supp } \mathfrak{D}$ and any $m \in M^{\circ}$, the theta function $\vartheta_{Q,m}$ is defined to be

$$\theta_{Q,m} = \sum_{\gamma} \text{Mono}(\gamma)$$

where the sum runs over all broken lines γ with the base point Q and $I(\gamma) = x^m$.

Theorem 3.17. [GHKK18, Theorem 3.5][CPS10, Section 4]

Let there be given two general base points $Q, Q' \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \text{supp } \mathfrak{D}$ such that the coordinates of Q (resp. of Q') are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then, for any generic smooth path γ from Q to Q' , and any $m \in M^{\circ}$, we have

$$\theta_{Q',m} = \mathfrak{p}_{\gamma} \theta_{Q,m}.$$

For any generic points Q, Q' in $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{C})$ for some chamber \mathfrak{C} , we have $\theta_{Q,m} = \theta_{Q',m}$ by Theorem 3.17. Correspondingly, we denote $\theta_{\mathfrak{C},m} = \theta_{Q,m}$.

Proposition 3.18. [GHKK18, Proposition 3.8]

$\theta_{\mathfrak{C}^+,m} = x^m$ when $m \geq 0$.

Let us write $\theta_m = \theta_{\mathfrak{C}^+,m}$ for simplicity.

Theorem 3.19. [GHKK18]

For any seeds $t_0 = \mu t$ and $m \in M^{\circ}(t_0)$, we have $\text{deg}^t \mu^ \theta_m = \phi_{t,t_0} m$.*

4. BASICS OF THE FREEZING OPERATORS

We review the basics of freezing operators used in [Qin24a].

4.1. Definition. Take any initial seed $t_0 = ((b_{ij}), (x_i)_{i \in I}, I, I_{\text{uf}}, (d_i))$. Choose and fix a subset of unfrozen vertices $F \subset I_{\text{uf}}$ and denote $R = I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F$. By freezing the vertices in F , we obtain a new seed $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0 = ((b_{ij}), (x_i)_{i \in I}, I, I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F, (d_i))$. Denote $\mathfrak{f}_F \tilde{B} := \tilde{B}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0) = (b_{ij})_{(i,j) \in I \times R}$. If t_0 is a quantum seed endowed with a compatible Poisson structure λ , we make $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0$ into a quantum seed by endow it with the same λ .

Note that $M^{\circ}(t_0) = M^{\circ}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$. In addition, if $m' \preceq_{\mathfrak{f}_F t_0} m$, then $m' \preceq_{t_0} m$.

Definition 4.1 (Freezing operator [Qin24a]). For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^I$ and $F \subset I_{\text{uf}}$, the corresponding freezing operator is defined to be the \mathbb{k} -linear map $\mathfrak{f}_{F,m}^{t_0}$ from $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}_{\preceq m}(t_0)$ to $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}_{\preceq m}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ such that

$$\mathfrak{f}_{F,m}^{t_0}(x^{m+p^*n}) = \begin{cases} x^{m+p^*n} & \text{supp } n \cap F = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{supp } n \cap F \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

Consider the \mathbb{k} -vector space $\mathbb{k}[\mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}}] := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}}} \mathbb{k}y^n$. It is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}[M^{\oplus}]$ as a \mathbb{k} -vector space such that y^n is sent to x^{p^*n} . We can then endow it with the v -twisted multiplication such that it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}[M^{\oplus}] \subset \mathcal{LP}$. The completion $\widehat{\mathbb{k}[\mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}}]}$ is naturally defined, such that it is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathbb{k}[M^{\oplus}]}$. It would be convenient to introduce the following definition.

Definition 4.2 (Freezing operator [Qin24a]). The freezing operator from $\widehat{\mathbb{k}[\mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}}]}$ to $\widehat{\mathbb{k}[\mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F}]}$ is the \mathbb{k} -algebra homomorphism such that

$$\mathfrak{f}_F(y^n) = \begin{cases} y^n & \text{supp } n \cap F = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{supp } n \cap F \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

We often drop the symbols t_0 , F , m for convenience. It is straightforward to check the following results.

Lemma 4.3 ([Qin24a]). (1) $\mathfrak{f}_{F,m}$ is surjective.

(2) Take any $m' \preceq_{t_0} m$ such that $m' = m + \tilde{B}n$. $\forall z \in \widehat{\mathcal{LP}}_{\preceq m'}(t_0) \subset \widehat{\mathcal{LP}}_{\preceq m}(t_0)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{f}_m(z) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{f}_{m'}(z) & \text{supp } n \cap F = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{supp } n \cap F \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

(3) For any $z_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{LP}}_{\preceq m_1}(t_0)$, $z_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{LP}}_{\preceq m_2}(t_0)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{f}_{m_1}(z_1) * \mathfrak{f}_{m_2}(z_2) = \mathfrak{f}_{m_1+m_2}(z_1 * z_2).$$

Proposition 4.4 ([Qin24a]). Let there be given a pointed element $z \in \mathcal{LP}(t_0)$. If $z \in \mathcal{U}(t_0)$, then $\mathfrak{f}_{F, \deg z}^{t_0} z \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$.

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be any sequence of mutations on $I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F$. Denote $t = \boldsymbol{\mu} t_0$. Then we have $\mathfrak{f}_F t = \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathfrak{f}_F t_0$. Since z is a Laurent polynomial in the cluster variables of t , we can write $z * x(t)^d = \sum c_m x(t)^m$, $c_m \in \mathbb{k}$, $d, m \in \mathbb{N}^I$. View this equality in $\mathcal{LP}(t_0)$ and applying the freezing operator $\mathfrak{f}_{F, \deg z + \deg x(t)^d}^{t_0}$ to both sides. Note that $\mathfrak{f}_{F, \deg x_i(t)}^{t_0} x_i(t) = x_i(t) = x_i(\mathfrak{f}_F t)$. So Lemma 4.3(3)(2) implies that $\mathfrak{f}_{F, \deg z}^{t_0}(z)$ is still a Laurent polynomial in $x_i(\mathfrak{f}_F t)$. The desired claim follows. \square

Example 4.5. Take $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$. We define the seed t_0 such that $I = \{1, 2\}$, $I_{\text{uf}} = \{1\}$, $(b_{ij})_{i,j \in I} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $d_1 = d_2 = 1$. The upper cluster algebra $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ equals $\mathbb{k}[x_2^{\pm}][x_1, x_1']$ where $x_1' := \frac{1+x_2}{x_1} = x_1^{-1} \cdot (1 + x_2^{e_1})$. The localized cluster monomials

of $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ take the form $s_m := \begin{cases} x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} & m_1 \geq 0 \\ (x_1')^{-m_1} x_2^{m_2} & m_1 < 0 \end{cases}$, where $m = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$,

and they form a basis \mathcal{S} for $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$.

Take $F = I_{\text{uf}} = \{1\}$ and construct the seed $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0$ by freezing F . We have $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0) = \mathbb{k}[x_1^{\pm}, x_2^{\pm}]$. Its localized cluster monomials $s'_m := x^m$ form a basis. For each m -pointed (localized) cluster monomial s_m in $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$, the freezing operator $\mathfrak{f}_{F,m}$ sends it to s'_m in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$. We can check $\mathfrak{f}_{F, m+m'}(s_m \cdot s_{m'}) = \mathfrak{f}_{F,m}(s_m) \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{F,m'}(s_{m'})$ as in Lemma 4.3(3).

Correspondingly, we can construct a bijective \mathbb{k} -linear map $\mathfrak{f}_F^{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{U}(t_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$, sending s_m to s'_m . As seen in Lemma 4.3(2), this linear map $\mathfrak{f}_F^{\mathcal{S}}$ does not preserve the multiplication. For example, we have $\mathfrak{f}_F^{\mathcal{S}} x_1 = x_1$, $\mathfrak{f}_F^{\mathcal{S}} x_1' = x_1^{-1}$, but $\mathfrak{f}_F^{\mathcal{S}}(x_1 \cdot x_1') = \mathfrak{f}_F^{\mathcal{S}}(1 + x_2) := \mathfrak{f}_F^{\mathcal{S}}(1) + \mathfrak{f}_F^{\mathcal{S}}(x_2) = 1 + x_2 \neq \mathfrak{f}_F^{\mathcal{S}}(x_1) \cdot \mathfrak{f}_F^{\mathcal{S}}(x_1')$.

4.2. Properties.

Lemma 4.6 ([Qin24a]). *Assume that an element $z \in \mathcal{U}(t_0)$ has a unique \prec_t -maximal degree in each $\mathcal{LP}(t)$, $t \in \Delta_{t_0}^+$. Then, for any mutation sequence μ on $I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F$, we have $\mu^* \mathfrak{f}_{F, \text{deg}^{t_0}} z = \mathfrak{f}_{F, \text{deg}^t}^t \mu^* z$ where $t_0 = \mu t$.*

Proof. We give a proof for the convenience of the reader.

Denote $z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}}} c_n x^{m+p^*n} \in \mathcal{LP}(t_0)$, $c_n \in \mathbb{k}$, $c_0 \neq 0$. By [Qin24b, Section 3.5], we have $\mu^* z = \sum_n c_n \mu^*(x^{m+p^*n})$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t)$, where $\mu^*(x^{m+p^*n}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t)$ on the right hand side is the formal Laurent series of the rational function $\mu^*(x^{m+p^*n}) \in \mathcal{F}(t)$. Let us denote $N_1 = \{n | c_n \neq 0, n \in m + p^* \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F}\}$ and $N_1 = \{n | c_n \neq 0, n \notin m + p^* \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F}\}$. Then $\mathfrak{f}_F^{t_0} z = \sum_{n \in N_1} c_n x^{m+p^*n}$.

By assumption, $\mu^* z$ has a unique \prec_t -maximal degree in $\mathcal{LP}(t)$, which must be the contribution of a unique $x^{m+p^*n'}$ for some n' . Similarly, denote $N_1(t) = \{n | c_n \neq 0, \text{deg}^t \mu^* x^{m+p^*n} \in \text{deg}^t \mu^* x^{m+p^*n'} + p^* \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F}\}$ and $N_2(t) = \{n | c_n \neq 0, \text{deg}^t \mu^* x^{m+p^*n} \notin \text{deg}^t \mu^* x^{m+p^*n'} + p^* \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F}\}$. Then we also have $\mathfrak{f}_F^t \mu^* z = \sum_{n \in N_1(t)} c_n \mu^* x^{m+p^*n}$.

By the mutation rules of x^{p^*n} (see (2.3)), the factors $x^{p^*e_j}$, $j \in F$, in the leading term are never created or eliminated by μ^* . Since $\text{deg}^t \mu^* x^{m+p^*n'} \succeq_t \text{deg}^t \mu^* x^m$, the j -th coordinate n'_j of n' must vanish for all $j \in F$. Using the mutation rules of x^{p^*n} again, we obtain that $n \in N_1(t)$ if and only if $n \in N_1$. Therefore $\mathfrak{f}_F^t \mu^* z = \mu^* \mathfrak{f}_F^{t_0} z$. □

Lemma 4.3 immediately implies the following result.

Lemma 4.7 ([Qin24a]). *If an element $z \in \mathcal{U}(t_0)$ is compatibly pointed at all seeds in $\Delta_{t_0}^+$, then $\mathfrak{f}_F z \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ is compatibly pointed at all seeds in $\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}_F t_0}^+$.*

Theorem 4.8 ([Qin24a]). *Assume that $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ possesses a \mathbb{Z}^I -pointed subset $\mathcal{S} = \{s_g | g \in \mathbb{Z}^I\}$, such that $\text{deg}^{t_0} s_g = g$ and s_g are compatibly pointed at all seeds in $\Delta_{t_0}^+$. If $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0$ is injective-reachable, then $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathcal{S} := \{\mathfrak{f}_{F,g} s_g | g \in \mathbb{Z}^I\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, the elements of $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathcal{S}$ are compatibly pointed in $\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}_F t_0}^+$. Since $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0$ is injective-reachable, by [Qin24b, Theorem 4.3.1], $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathcal{S}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$. □

5. FREEZING OPERATORS ON SCATTERING DIAGRAMS

Let there be given an initial seed t_0 such that $\widetilde{B}(t_0)$ is of full rank. In the following, we will work at either the classical level for any t_0 , or at the quantum level but assume that $B(t_0)$ is skew-symmetric. Then we have the corresponding consistent scattering diagram $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$, such that the localized cluster monomials are theta functions, and the diagram can be mutated, see [GHKK18][DM21].

We refer the reader to Section 3 for a detailed review of the classical scattering diagrams. Quantum scattering diagrams for skew-symmetric $B(t_0)$ have similar descriptions, and could be found in [MQ23, Section 5].

5.1. Freezing scattering diagrams. Let there be given an initial seed t_0 . Let $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ denote the cluster scattering diagram associated to the seed t_0 . Recall that \mathfrak{D} is a collection of walls $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}})$, such that \mathfrak{d} is a codimension 1 cone in $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\circ} = \mathbb{R}^I$ with a primitive normal vector $n_0 \in N_{\text{uf}}^{\geq 0}$, and the wall crossing operator $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}}$. We

have broken lines, which are piecewise linear curves in $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\circ}$ bending at walls, coming from a direction m , and ending at a chosen base point \mathbb{Q} .

Choose a subset of unfrozen vertices $F \subset I_{\text{uf}}$ and denote $R = I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_R := \bigoplus_{\text{supp } n \subset R} \mathfrak{g}_n$ is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Moreover, we have $\mathfrak{g}_R = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{j}$, where $\mathfrak{j} := \bigoplus_{n: \text{supp } n \cap F \neq \emptyset} \mathbb{k}y^n$ is an ideal of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{f}_F denote the natural projection $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_R$. Then \mathfrak{f}_F is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

The homomorphism \mathfrak{f}_F induces a homomorphism between the completion $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_R$ and, consequently, a homomorphism \mathfrak{f}_F between the corresponding pro-nilpotent group $\widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}_R$. Then we obtain a scattering diagram $\mathfrak{f}_{F*}\mathfrak{D}$ in $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\circ}$ via the pushforward \mathfrak{f}_{F*} , which consists of the walls $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{f}_F \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}})$ for any wall $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{d}})$ in \mathfrak{D} , see Lemma 3.7. Note that, if \mathfrak{D} is consistent, then its pushforward $\mathfrak{f}_{F*}\mathfrak{D}$ is consistent as well. We view $\mathfrak{f}_{F*}\mathfrak{D}$ as the scattering diagram constructed from \mathfrak{D} by freezing the vertices in F .

Let $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0$ denote the seed obtained from t_0 by freezing the vertices in F . Let $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ and $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ denote the cluster scattering diagrams associated to t_0 and $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0$ respectively. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 5.1. *The scattering diagram $\mathfrak{f}_{F*}\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$.*

Proof. the nontrivial incoming walls of $\mathfrak{f}_{F*}\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ takes the form $(e_k^{\perp}, \exp(-d_k \text{Li}_2(-y_k)))$, $k \in R$, in the classical case, and the quantum analog in the quantum case (see [MQ23, (59) (71)]). Therefore $\mathfrak{f}_{F*}\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ and $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ have the same set of nontrivial incoming walls. The claims follows from the fact that they are both consistent. \square

Theorem 5.2. *For any theta function $\theta_{Q,g}$ of $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$, $g \in M^{\circ}(t)$, Q a generic base point, $\mathfrak{f}_{F,g}\theta_{Q,g}$ is the theta function $\theta'_{Q,g}$ of $\mathfrak{D}(t')$ where $t' = \mathfrak{f}_F t$.*

Proof. For any broken line L in $\mathfrak{D}(t)$ with initial direction g , $\mathfrak{f}_F \text{Mono}(L) \neq 0$ if and only if L only bends at nontrivial walls $\mathfrak{d} \subset n^{\perp}$ such that $\text{supp } n \subset R$, which are walls that also belong to $\mathfrak{D}(t')$. Therefore, L is also a broken line in $\mathfrak{D}(t')$.

Conversely, any broken line L in $\mathfrak{D}(t')$ is also a broken line in $\mathfrak{D}(t)$. The claim follows. \square

5.2. Chamber structures and cluster monomials. Recall that the walls in $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ cut off chambers from $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\circ} \simeq \mathbb{R}^I$, such that the seeds $t \in \Delta^+$ correspond to chambers \mathcal{C}^t . In particular, \mathcal{C}^{t_0} is the positive quadrant $\mathcal{C}^+ := \{m \mid m_k \geq 0 \mid \forall k \in I_{\text{uf}}\}$. Moreover, two seeds related by a single step mutation correspond to two chambers sharing a common wall.

Lemma 5.3. *Any chamber of $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ is contained in a chamber of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$.*

Proof. There are fewer walls in $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ than in $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$. Therefore, the chambers of $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ are contained in chambers of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$. \square

Recall that a chamber \mathcal{C} is said to be reachable (from \mathcal{C}^+) in $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ if there is a generic path connecting the interiors $\text{Int}(\mathcal{C}^+)$, $\text{Int}(\mathcal{C})$ that intersects only finitely many walls in $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$, see Definition 3.13.

Lemma 5.4. *A theta function θ_g is a localized cluster monomial of a cluster algebra $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ if and only if $g \in \mathcal{C}$ for some chamber \mathcal{C} of $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ reachable from \mathcal{C}^+ .*

Proof. The claim follows from the mutation of scattering diagrams, see [GHKK18]. \square

Proposition 5.5. *We work with $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$, or with $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}[v^\pm]$ but assuming $B(t_0)$ is skew-symmetric. If z is a localized cluster monomial in $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$, then $\mathfrak{f}_{F, \deg z} z$ is a localized cluster monomial in $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$.*

Proof. Since z is a localized cluster monomial, it must agree with the $\deg z$ -pointed theta function $\vartheta_{\deg z}$ and $\deg z$ is contained in some reachable chamber \mathcal{C} in $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$, see Lemma 5.4. By Lemma 5.3, $\deg z$ must be contained in some reachable chamber \mathcal{C}' in $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ that contains \mathcal{C} . The claim follows. \square

Let us discuss the mutation reachability of chambers. We start with the following observation by Muller [Mul16].

Lemma 5.6. *Let \mathfrak{C} and \mathfrak{C}' denote chambers in the scattering diagram $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ and $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ respectively, such that $\mathfrak{C} \subset \mathfrak{C}'$. If \mathfrak{C} is reachable in $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$, then \mathfrak{C}' is reachable in $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ as well.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{C} is reachable, there exists a $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ -generic smooth path γ from $\text{Int}(\mathcal{C}^+)$ to $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{C})$ intersecting finitely many walls in $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$. By the construction of $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathfrak{D}(t_0)$, γ is also $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathfrak{D}(t_0)$ -generic and intersects fewer walls in $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathfrak{D}(t_0)$. \square

Note that $\mathcal{C}^{t_0[1]} = \mathcal{C}^- := -\mathcal{C}^+$ when t_0 is injective-reachable. So Lemma 5.6 implies the following result.

Theorem 5.7 ([Mul16, Theorem 1.4.1]). *If t_0 is injective-reachable, then $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0$ is injective-reachable too.*

We then deduce the following result from the above observation (Lemma 5.6). [CL20] also gave a proof for this result based on Muller's observation.

Theorem 5.8. *Given a seed $t \in \Delta_{t_0}^+$ such that $x_j(t_0)$ are cluster variables of t for any $j \in F$. Then we can find a mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mu_{k_r} \cdots \mu_{k_1}$ such that $t = \boldsymbol{\mu} t_0$ and $k_s \notin F$ for any $1 \leq s \leq r$. In particular, $\text{supp } x_i(t) \subset R$ for any i .*

Proof. Recall that the seeds t_0 and t correspond to chambers \mathfrak{C}^{t_0} and \mathfrak{C}^t of $\mathfrak{D}(t_0)$. Let us consider the scattering diagram $\mathfrak{D}(t'_0)$, where $t'_0 = \mathfrak{f}_F t_0$. We have an inclusion $\mathfrak{C}^{t_0} \subset \mathfrak{C}^{t'_0}$ and $\mathfrak{C}^t \subset \mathfrak{C}'$ for chambers $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathfrak{f}_F t_0}$ and \mathfrak{C}' of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$. Note that \mathfrak{C}' is reachable from $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathfrak{f}_F t_0}$ in $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ by Lemma 5.6. Therefore, there exists a $t' = \boldsymbol{\mu}' t'_0$ for some mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{\mu}'$ on the set of vertices R such that $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}^{t'}$. For showing $t = \boldsymbol{\mu} t_0$, it suffices to verify $\mathfrak{C}^t = \mathfrak{C}^{\boldsymbol{\mu} t_0}$.

Note that we have $\mathfrak{C}^{t_0} + \mathbb{Z}^F = \mathfrak{C}^{t'_0}$. Applying the sequence of tropical mutations $\phi_{t'_0, t'}^{-1} = \phi_{t'_0, \boldsymbol{\mu}' t'_0}^{-1} = \phi_{t_0, \boldsymbol{\mu} t_0}^{-1}$, these chambers are mapped to $\mathfrak{C}^{\boldsymbol{\mu} t_0} + \mathbb{Z}^F = \mathfrak{C}^{t'}$. We deduce that $\mathfrak{C}^t \subset \mathfrak{C}^{\boldsymbol{\mu} t_0} + \mathbb{Z}^F$. It follows that the intersection between the interiors of \mathfrak{C}^t and $\mathfrak{C}^{\boldsymbol{\mu} t_0}$ is non-empty. Therefore, $\mathfrak{C}^t = \mathfrak{C}^{\boldsymbol{\mu} t_0}$. \square

Corollary 5.9. *Let there be given a cluster monomial z in a seed $t \in \Delta_{t_0}^+$ such that $\text{supp } z \cap F = \emptyset$. Then z is a cluster monomial in t' for some $t' \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{f}_F t_0}^+$.*

5.3. Freezing of cluster monomials. Take any mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\underline{k}}$ and denote a seed $t = \boldsymbol{\mu}t_0$. Let $u = x(t)^m \in \mathcal{LP}(t)$ denote a localized cluster monomial of t , $m \in \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^F$. Recall that we have $\boldsymbol{\mu}^*u = x^g \cdot F_u|_{y^n \mapsto x^{p^*n}}$, where $F_u^{t_0} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}}} c_n y^n$ is the F -polynomial with $c_0 = 1$. We define

$$\mathfrak{f}_F^{t_0} \boldsymbol{\mu}^* u := x^g \cdot (\mathfrak{f}_F F_u^{t_0})|_{y^n \mapsto x^{p^*n}} = x^g \cdot \sum_{n: \text{supp } n \cap F = \emptyset} c_n x^{p^*n}.$$

Note that the above $\mathfrak{f}_F^{t_0} \boldsymbol{\mu}^* u$ is well-defined even when $\widetilde{B}(t_0)$ is not of full rank. When $\widetilde{B}(t_0)$ is of full rank, the above $\mathfrak{f}_F^{t_0} \boldsymbol{\mu}^* u$ equals $\mathfrak{f}_{F,g}^{t_0} \boldsymbol{\mu}^* u$ in Definition 4.1.

Let $N(F_u^{t_0})$ denote the Newton polytope of $F_u^{t_0}$, i.e., the convex hull of $\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{I_{\text{uf}}} | c_n \neq 0\}$. For the quantum case $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm}]$, we denote it by $N_v(F_u^{t_0})$. For the classical case, we denote it by $N_1(F_u^{t_0})$.

Lemma 5.10 ([Tra11, Section 5]). *We have $N_v(F_u^{t_0}) = N_1(F_u^{t_0})$.*

Theorem 5.11. *If z is a localized (quantum) cluster monomial of $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$, then $\mathfrak{f}_F^{t_0} z$ is a localized (quantum) cluster monomial of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$.*

Proof. Denote $z' = \mathfrak{f}_F^{t_0} z$, $t'_0 = \mathfrak{f}_F t_0$.

Assume $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ for the moment. In this case, the desired statement has been proved in Proposition 5.5. Then z' is the Laurent expansion of a localized classical cluster monomial. So there exists a seed $s' \in \Delta_{t'_0}^+$ and a localized classical cluster monomial of $u' = x(s')^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{I \sqcup F}$, such that z' is the Laurent expansion of u' in $\mathcal{LP}(t'_0)$.

Note that we have $t'_0 = \boldsymbol{\mu} s'$ for some sequence of mutation $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on the letters from $I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F$. Then $\boldsymbol{\mu}^*(z')$ equals u' . Therefore, in $\mathcal{LP}(s')$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}^*(z')$ is m -pointed and its F -polynomial $F_{z'}^{s'}$ is 1.

Denote $s = (\boldsymbol{\mu})^{-1} t_0$. Recall that the localized cluster monomial z is compatibly pointed at all seeds. Then Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 imply $\mathfrak{f}_F^s(\boldsymbol{\mu}^* z) = \boldsymbol{\mu}^*(\mathfrak{f}_F^{t_0} z) = \boldsymbol{\mu}^* z' \in \mathcal{LP}(s')$. Let $F_z^s = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}}} c_n(1) y^n$, $c_n(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote the classical F -polynomial of $\boldsymbol{\mu}^* z$ in the seed s . Then, we have $\mathfrak{f}_F^s F_z^s = F_{z'}^{s'} = 1$ or, equivalently, $\{n | c_n(1) \neq 0, \text{supp } n \cap F = \emptyset\} = \{0\}$. We deduce that the Newton polytope N_1 of F_z^s satisfies $N_1 \cap \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}} = \{0\}$, where we identify $\mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}}$ with $\mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}} \oplus 0 \subset \mathbb{N}^I$.

Now work at the quantum case $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm}]$. Note that $\boldsymbol{\mu}^* z$ is still m -pointed. Let $F_z^s(v) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}}} c_n(v) \cdot y^n$, denote the quantum F -polynomial of $\boldsymbol{\mu}^* z$ in the seed s , where $c_n(v) \in \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm}]$ satisfy $c_n(v)|_{v \rightarrow 1} = c_n(1)$. By Lemma 5.10, the Newton polytope N_v of $F_z^s(v)$ and the Newton polytope N_1 of F_z^s are the same. So $N_v \cap \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}} = \{0\}$. It follows that $\{n | c_n(v) \neq 0, \text{supp } n \cap F = \emptyset\} = \{0\}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{f}_F^s \boldsymbol{\mu}^* z$ equals the localized quantum cluster monomial $x(s')^m$ of the seed $s' := (\boldsymbol{\mu})^{-1} t'_0$ as desired. \square

6. BASES CONSTRUCTIONS: FREEZING AND LOCALIZATION

6.1. Localness. Let there be given a subset $\Theta \subset M^\circ(t_0)$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{s_g | g \in \Theta\}$ a collection of pointed formal Laurent series in $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t_0)$ such that $\text{deg } s_g = g$. Note that s_g are linearly independent in $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t_0)$. We define the \mathbb{k} -module $\mathcal{A}^\Theta := \bigoplus_{g \in \Theta} \mathbb{k} s_g$.

Definition 6.1. A formal sum $\sum b_g s_g$, $b_g \in \mathbb{k}$, is said to be \prec_t -unitriangular, if $\{g | b_g \neq 0\}$ has a unique \prec_t -maximal element g_0 and, moreover, $b_{g_0} = 1$. If further $b_g \in \mathfrak{m} := v^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ whenever $g \neq g_0$, the sum is said to be (\prec_t, \mathfrak{m}) -unitriangular.

Note that a \prec_t -unitriangular formal sum is a well defined sum in $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t_0)$.

Lemma 6.2 ([Qin17, Lemma 3.1.10]). *If z is pointed and $z = \sum b_g s_g$, $b_g \in \mathbb{k}$, is a finite sum in $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t_0)$, then the sum is a \prec_t -unitriangular.*

Definition 6.3 (Local support under multiplication). A collection of pointed Laurent series $\mathcal{S} = \{s_g | g \in \Theta\}$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t_0)$ is said to have local support under multiplication (or multiplicative local support, or local support), if we have a \prec_{t_0} -unitriangular decomposition for any $g_1, g_2 \in \Theta$:

$$(6.1) \quad v^{-\lambda(g_1, g_2)} s_{g_1} * s_{g_2} = \sum b_g s_g, \quad b_g \in \mathbb{k},$$

such that $\text{supp } s_g \subset (\text{supp } s_{g_1} \cup \text{supp } s_{g_2})$ whenever $b_g \neq 0$.

Assume that \mathcal{S} consists of pointed Laurent polynomials. We further say that \mathcal{S} has local support dimensions (under multiplication), if $\text{suppDim } s_g \leq \text{suppDim } s_{g_1} + \text{suppDim } s_{g_2}$, and the inequality is strict whenever $g \neq g_1 + g_2$.

We have the following observation.

Remark 6.4. If all elements in \mathcal{S} have non-negative Laurent coefficients, and all b_g in (6.1) are non-negative, then \mathcal{S} has the local support property. If \mathcal{S} is further contained in $\mathcal{LP}(t_0)$, then it has the local support dimension property.

We give the following sufficient condition for the multiplicative local support property.

Proposition 6.5. *Assume that \mathcal{A}^Θ is closed under multiplication, \mathcal{S} has positive multiplicative structure constants, and all $s_g \in \mathcal{S}$ have positive Laurent expansions, then \mathcal{S} has local support under multiplication. When $\mathcal{A}^\Theta \subset \mathcal{LP}(t_0)$, then \mathcal{S} has local support dimensions.*

Proof. For any $s_g \in \Theta$, let us denote its Laurent expansion as $s_g = \sum_{n'} c_{g, n'} x^{g+p^* n'}$, where $c_{g, n'}$ are positive by assumption. For any $g_1, g_2 \in \Theta$, we have \prec_{t_0} -unitriangular $s_{g_1} * s_{g_2} = \sum b_{g_1, g_2}^g s_g$ with b_{g_1, g_2}^g being positive. Denote $g = g_1 + g_2 + \bar{B} \cdot n$ for s_g appearing. By taking the Laurent expansion, we get

$$\left(\sum_{n_1} c_{g_1, n_1} x^{g_1 + p^* n_1} \right) * \left(\sum_{n_2} c_{g_2, n_2} x^{g_2 + p^* n_2} \right) = \sum_{g, n'} b_{g_1, g_2}^g c_{g, n'} x^{g_1 + g_2 + p^* (n_1 + n_2)}$$

Equivalently, $\sum_{n_1, n_2} v^{\alpha(n_1, n_2)} c_{g_1, n_1} c_{g_2, n_2} x^{p^* (n_1 + n_2)} = \sum_{g, n'} b_{g_1, g_2}^g c_{g, n'} x^{p^* (n_1 + n_2)}$, where $\alpha(n_1, n_2) := \lambda(g_1 + p^* n_1, g_2 + p^* n_2)$. Because all coefficients appearing are non-negative, every Laurent monomial $x^{p^* (n_1 + n_2)}$ appearing on the right must be the contribution of some $x^{p^* (n_1 + n_2)}$ on the left. In particular, we have $\text{supp}(n_1 + n_2) \subset (\text{supp } n_1 \cup \text{supp } n_2)$. Moreover, the k -th coordinates satisfy $\max\{(n_1 + n_2)_k | b_{g_1, g_2}^g c_{g, n'} \neq 0\} \leq \max\{(n_1 + n_2)_k | c_{g_1, n_1}, c_{g_2, n_2} \neq 0\}$ for any $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$ when $\max\{(n_1 + n_2)_k | c_{g_1, n_1}, c_{g_2, n_2} \neq 0\}$ exists. The desired claims follow. \square

Lemma 6.2 implies the following result.

Proposition 6.6. *If \mathcal{Z} is a Θ' -pointed subset of $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t_0)$ for some $\Theta' \subset M^\circ(t_0)$ such that it is a \mathbb{k} -basis for \mathcal{A}^Θ , then $\Theta' = \Theta$.*

Let there be given another collection of pointed Laurent series $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_g | g \in \Theta\}$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t_0)$ such that there is a \prec_{t_0} -unitriangular transition $(b_{g,g'})_{g,g' \in \Theta}$ from \mathcal{S} to \mathcal{Z} :

$$(6.2) \quad z_g = \sum_{g'} b_{g,g'} s_{g'}, \quad b_{g,g'} \in \mathbb{k}.$$

Taking the inverse, the transition from \mathcal{Z} to \mathcal{S} is \prec_{t_0} -unitriangular as well, see [Qin17, Lemma 3.1.11].

Definition 6.7 (Local transition). The transition (6.2) is said to be finite if, for any g , only finitely many $b_{g,g'}$ do not vanish.

We say the transition is local if $\text{supp } s_{g'} \subset \text{supp } z_g$ whenever $b_{g,g'} \neq 0$.

If both \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{Z} are contained in $\mathcal{LP}(t_0)$, the transition is further said to be local in dimension if we have $\text{suppDim } s_{g'} \leq \text{suppDim } z_g$, and the inequality is strict whenever $g' \neq g$.

Note that if the right hand side of (6.1) or (6.2) is a finite sum, it must be \prec_{t_0} -unitriangular by Lemma 6.2.

Remark 6.8. Even if there is a local transition from \mathcal{S} to \mathcal{Z} , it is not necessarily true that there is a local transition from \mathcal{Z} to \mathcal{S} . For example, one can take \mathcal{S} to be the dual canonical basis and \mathcal{Z} the dual PBW basis (see [GLS13] for the cluster structure on quantum groups). Then a frozen variable belongs to \mathcal{S} . It is a finite sum of dual PBW basis elements with larger support.

Proposition 6.9. *Assume that \mathcal{Z} has local support (resp. local support dimensions) under multiplication, and the transitions from \mathcal{S} to \mathcal{Z} and from \mathcal{Z} to \mathcal{S} are both local (resp. local in dimensions). Then \mathcal{S} has local support (resp. local support dimensions) under multiplication.*

Proof. Let us denote \prec_{t_0} -unitriangular transitions $s_g = \sum_{g'} b_{g,g'} z_{g'}$, $z_g = \sum_{g'} c_{g,g'} s_{g'}$, and $v^{-\lambda(g_1, g_2)} z_{g_1} * z_{g_2} = \sum_g \alpha_{g_1, g_2}^g z_g$. For any $g_1, g_2 \in \Theta$, we have the product

$$\begin{aligned} s_{g_1} * s_{g_2} &= \left(\sum_{g'} b_{g_1, g'} z_{g'} \right) * \left(\sum_{g''} b_{g_2, g''} z_{g''} \right) \\ &= \sum_{g', g''} b_{g_1, g'} b_{g_2, g''} z_{g'} * z_{g''} \\ &= \sum_{g', g''} v^{\lambda(g', g'')} b_{g_1, g'} b_{g_2, g''} \sum_g \alpha_{g', g''}^g z_g \\ &= \sum_{g' \preceq g_1, g'' \preceq g_2} v^{\lambda(g', g'')} b_{g_1, g'} b_{g_2, g''} \sum_{g \preceq g' + g''} \alpha_{g', g''}^g \sum_{\eta \preceq g} c_{g, \eta} s_\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where the sums are \prec_{t_0} -unitriangular and well-defined in $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t_0)$. Now we have

$$\text{supp } \eta \subset \text{supp } g \subset (\text{supp } g' \cup \text{supp } g'') \subset (\text{supp } g_1 \cup \text{supp } g_2)$$

and, respectively,

$$\text{suppDim } \eta \leq \text{suppDim } g \leq (\text{suppDim } g' + \text{suppDim } g'') \leq (\text{suppDim } g_1 + \text{suppDim } g_2)$$

such that $\text{suppDim } \eta < \text{suppDim } g_1 + \text{suppDim } g_2$ when $\eta \neq g_1 + g_2$. The desired claims follow. \square

6.2. Construction by freezing. Recall that we have defined $\mathcal{A}^\Theta = \bigoplus_{g \in \Theta} \mathbb{k}s_g$. Define the injective \mathbb{k} -linear map $\mathfrak{f}_F^S : \mathcal{A}^\Theta \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{P}}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ such that $\mathfrak{f}_F^S s_g := \mathfrak{f}_{F,g} s_g$. Note that $\{\mathfrak{f}_{F,g} s_g | g \in \Theta\}$ is a Θ -pointed basis of $\mathfrak{f}_F^S \mathcal{A}^\Theta$.

Lemma 6.10. *Assume that \mathcal{A}^Θ is closed under multiplication, then $\mathfrak{f}_F^S \mathcal{A}^\Theta$ is closed under multiplication.*

Proof. For any s_{g_1}, s_{g_2} , we have a finite decomposition $v^{-\lambda(g_1, g_2)} s_{g_1} * s_{g_2} = \sum_g b_{g_1, g_2}^g s_g$, which must be \prec_{t_0} -unitriangular by Lemma 6.2. Applying $\mathfrak{f}_{F, g_1 + g_2}$ on both sides, we get a $\prec_{\mathfrak{f}_F t_0}$ -unitriangular decomposition $v^{-\lambda(g_1, g_2)} \mathfrak{f}_{F, g_1} s_{g_1} * \mathfrak{f}_{F, g_2} s_{g_2} = \sum_n b_{g_1, g_2}^g \mathfrak{f}_{F, g_1 + g_2} s_g$. By Lemma 4.3, $\mathfrak{f}_{F, g_1 + g_2} s_g$ is either 0 or equals $\mathfrak{f}_g s_g$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathcal{A}^\Theta$ is a closed under multiplication with the basis $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathcal{S}$. \square

Lemma 6.11. *Assume that $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_g | g \in \Theta\}$ is another basis of $\mathcal{A}^\Theta = \bigoplus \mathbb{k}s_g$. Then $\{\mathfrak{f}_{F,g} z_g | g \in \Theta\}$ is another basis of $\mathfrak{f}_F^S \mathcal{A}^\Theta$. Equivalently, we have $\mathfrak{f}_F^S \mathcal{A}^\Theta = \mathfrak{f}_F^Z \mathcal{A}^\Theta$.*

Proof. For any $s_g \in \mathcal{S}$, we have a finite \prec_{t_0} -unitriangular decomposition $s_g = \sum_{g'} b_{g, g'} z_{g'}$. By taking $\mathfrak{f}_{F, g}$, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{f}_{F, g} s_g = \sum_{g'} b_{g, g'} \mathfrak{f}_{F, g} z_{g'}$$

where $\mathfrak{f}_{F, g} z_{g'}$ is either 0 or equals to $\mathfrak{f}_{F, g'} z_{g'}$ by Lemma 4.3. Therefore, we obtain that $\mathfrak{f}_F^S \mathcal{A}^\Theta := \bigoplus_{g \in \Theta} \mathbb{k} \mathfrak{f}_{F, g} s_g \subset \bigoplus_{g \in \Theta} \mathbb{k} \mathfrak{f}_{F, g} z_g =: \mathfrak{f}_F^Z \mathcal{A}^\Theta$.

By the same method, we obtain the inverse inclusion $\mathfrak{f}_F^Z \mathcal{A}^\Theta \subset \mathfrak{f}_F^S \mathcal{A}^\Theta$. The claim follows. \square

Remark 6.12. Although we have $\mathfrak{f}_F^S \mathcal{A}^\Theta = \mathfrak{f}_F^Z \mathcal{A}^\Theta$ in Lemma 6.11, the \mathbb{k} -linear maps \mathfrak{f}_F^S and \mathfrak{f}_F^Z are not the same in general.

Lemma 6.11 implies the following result.

Theorem 6.13. *Assume that $\widetilde{B}(t_0)$ is of full rank, $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ has a \mathbb{Z}^I -pointed basis $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_g | g \in \mathbb{Z}^I\}$ such that $\deg z_g = g$, and $\{\mathfrak{f}_{F, g} z_g | g \in \mathbb{Z}^I\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$. Then the following statement is true: if $\mathcal{S} = \{s_g | g \in \mathbb{Z}^I\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ such that s_g are g -pointed, then $\{\mathfrak{f}_{F, g} s_g | g \in \mathbb{Z}^I\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$*

Remark 6.14. Assume that $\widetilde{B}(t_0)$ is of full rank and t_0 is injective-reachable. Note that $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0$ is also injective-reachable by [Mul16]. Then Theorem 4.8 implies that the assumption in Theorem 6.13 is satisfied in the following cases:

- (1) $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$: we can take \mathcal{Z} to be the theta basis [GHKK18] or the generic basis [Qin24b].
- (2) $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}[v^\pm]$, and B is skew-symmetric: we can take \mathcal{Z} to be the quantum theta basis [DM21].
- (3) $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}[v^\pm]$ and $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ possesses the common triangular basis \mathbf{L} in the sense of [Qin17]: we can take $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbf{L}$.

6.3. Construction by localization. In this section, we give an alternative way to construct bases for $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$. This construction is motivated by the monoidal categorification of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ in [Qin24a].

As before, let Θ denote a subset of $M^\circ(t_0)$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{s_g | g \in \Theta\}$ a subset of $\widehat{\mathcal{LP}}(t_0)$ such that s_g are g -pointed. Further assume that $\Theta + f_i \subset \Theta$ for any $i \in I$.

Property 1 (Property (S)). We say \mathcal{S} has property (S),³ if for any s_g and any $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$, we have $k \notin \text{supp } s_{g+df_k}$ when $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough.

Property 2 (Factorization Property). Let I' denote a subset of I . We say \mathcal{S} has the factorization property in the direction I' if, for any s_g and any $j \in I'$, we have $v^{-\lambda(f_j, g)} x_j * s_g = s_{g+f_j}$.

The three important families of bases in the introduction satisfy the factorization property in the direction I_f .

Lemma 6.15. *Take any $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$ and choose $F = \{k\}$, $R = I_{\text{uf}} \setminus \{k\}$. Assume that $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathcal{S}$ has the factorization property with respect to k :*

$$v^{-\lambda(f_k, g)} x_k * \mathfrak{f}_F s_g = \mathfrak{f}_F s_{g+f_k}.$$

Then the following claims are true.

- (1) *We have $s_{g+df_k} = v^{-\lambda(df_k, g)} x_k^d * \mathfrak{f}_F s_g = x_k^d \cdot \mathfrak{f}_F s_g$ when $k \notin \text{supp } s_{g+df_k}$.*
- (2) *Further assume that \mathcal{S} has local support under multiplication (Definition 6.3) and $x_k^d = s_{df_k}$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have $s_{g+f_k} = v^{-\lambda(f_k, g)} x_k * s_g = x_k \cdot s_g$ when $k \notin \text{supp } s_g$.*

Proof. (1) Because $k \notin \text{supp } s_{g+df_k}$, we have $\mathfrak{f}_F s_{g+df_k} = s_{g+df_k}$. We further have $\mathfrak{f}_F s_{g+df_k} = v^{-\lambda(df_k, g)} x_k^d * \mathfrak{f}_F s_g$ by assumption. The claim follows.

(2) Because $k \notin \text{supp } s_g$, we have $k \notin \text{supp } s_{g+f_k}$ by considering the decomposition of $x_k * s_g$ into \mathcal{S} and the local support assumption. Then we have both $\mathfrak{f}_F s_g = s_g$ and $\mathfrak{f}_F s_{g+f_k} = s_{g+f_k}$. Moreover, we have $v^{-\lambda(f_k, g)} x_k * \mathfrak{f}_F s_g = \mathfrak{f}_F s_{g+f_k}$ by assumption. The claim follows. \square

Denote $\Theta' = \{g \in \Theta | \text{supp } s_g \cap F = \emptyset\}$. Define $s'_g = s_g$ for any $g \in \Theta'$. Assume that \mathcal{S} has property (S). For any $g \in \Theta \setminus \Theta'$, we define the g -pointed localized function

$$(6.3) \quad s'_g := x^{-\sum_{j \in F} d_j f_j} \cdot s_{g + \sum_{j \in F} d_j f_j}$$

for some choice of d_j large enough as in Property (S).

Proposition 6.16. *Assume that \mathcal{S} has Property (S) and $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathcal{S}$ has the factorization property in direction F . Then the following statements are true.*

- (1) *We have $s'_g = \mathfrak{f}_{F, g} s_g$ for $g \in \Theta$. In particular, s'_g is independent of the choice of d_j .*
- (2) *The set $\{s'_g | g \in \Theta\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{A}^\Theta(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$.*

Proof. (1) We have $s'_g = \mathfrak{f}_{F, g} s_g$ for any $g \in \Theta$ by Lemma 6.15.

- (2) The claim follows from (1). \square

³We view $g + df_k$ as a shift of g along the direction f_k , and (S) stands for a shift.

Theorem 6.17. *Assume that $\tilde{B}(t_0)$ is of full rank, and $\mathcal{S} = \{s_g | g \in \mathbb{Z}^I\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ such that s_g are g -pointed. Assume that \mathcal{S} has Property (S) and $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathcal{S} := \{\mathfrak{f}_{F,g} s_g | g \in \mathbb{Z}^I\}$ has the factorization property in direction F . Let us take the set of localized functions $\mathcal{S}' := \{s'_g | g \in \mathbb{Z}^I\}$ as in (6.3). Then, in the cases of Theorem 6.13, \mathcal{S}' is a basis of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ and it equals $\mathfrak{f}_F \mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. The claim follows from Proposition 6.16 for $\Theta = \mathbb{Z}^I$ and Theorem 6.13. \square

6.4. Applications to theta bases. As before, let there be given a (quantum) seed t_0 and a subset $F \subset I_{\text{uf}}$. Then we have the seed $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0$ obtained from t_0 by freezing the vertices F . Denote $R = I_{\text{uf}} \setminus F$. We will see that we can obtain theta bases for $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ from the theta bases for $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ via both the freezing construction (Theorem 6.13) and the localization construction (Theorem 6.17).

We work in the cases $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$, or $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm}]$ but $(b_{ij})_{i,j \in I_{\text{uf}}}$ is skew-symmetric. Assume that t_0 is injective-reachable, then the m -pointed theta functions ϑ_m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, form a basis of $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ by [GHKK18] [DM21]. By Theorem 5.2, their images $\mathfrak{f}_F \vartheta_m$ under the freezing operators are still theta functions, which are known to satisfy the factorization property. Since $\mathfrak{f}_F t_0$ is still injective-reachable, we have the following result.

Theorem 6.18. *The set $\{\mathfrak{f}_F \vartheta_m | m \in \mathbb{Z}^I\}$ is the theta basis of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$.*

By the following Proposition 6.19, the theta basis for $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ has Property (S). Therefore, Theorem 6.17 applies to it, since the theta basis for $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_F t_0)$ also has the factorization property in the frozen direction F .

Proposition 6.19. *Choose a generic base point Q in the interior of \mathcal{C}^+ . Let there be given any $g \in M^\circ(t_0)$ such that $\theta_{Q,g} = \theta_g$ is a Laurent polynomial. Then, for any $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$, we have $k \notin \text{supp } \theta_{g+df_k}$ when $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough.*

Proof. Let us denote $\theta_g = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{I_{\text{uf}}}} c_n x^{g+p^*n}$. We have the finite set $N_g := \{n | c_n \neq 0, n_k \neq 0\}$.

For any $n \in N_g$, when d is large enough, the following holds for any $0 \leq n' \leq n$ and any $0 < n^{(j)} \leq n$ with the k -th coordinate $n_k^{(j)} > 0$:

$$(6.4) \quad \langle g + df_k + p^*n', n^{(j)} \rangle > 0.$$

We further choose d large enough such that the above inequality holds for any $n \in N_g$.

Denote $\theta_{g+df_k} = \sum c'_n x^{g+df_k+p^*n}$ and $N_{g+df_k} = \{n | c'_n \neq 0, n_k \neq 0\}$. Note that we have a finite decomposition of positive Laurent polynomials $x_k^d * \theta_g = v^\alpha \theta_{g+df_k} + \sum b_{g'} \theta_{g'}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b_{g'}$ non-negative. It follows that $N_{g+df_k} \subset N_g$.

If $N_{g+df_k} = \emptyset$, we have verified the claim.

Otherwise, assume $N_{g+df_k} \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists some $n \in N_{g+df_k} \subset N_g$ or, equivalently, θ_{g+df_k} contains a Laurent monomial $x^{g+df_k+p^*n}$ with $n_k > 0$. Then this implies that there is a broken line $\gamma : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^I$ which bends at walls $\mathfrak{d}^{(i)} \subset (n^{(i)})^\perp$ at times $-\infty < t^{(1)} < \dots < t^{(r)} < 0$. Let $n^{(i)} > 0$ denote the primitive normal vectors of $\mathfrak{d}^{(i)}$. Moreover, for the linear segments $L^{(i)} = \gamma(t^{(i)}, t^{(i+1)})$ (denote $t^{(0)} = -\infty$, $t^{(r+1)} = 0$), the derivative γ' on $L^{(i)}$ is $-g^{(i)} := -g - df_k - p^*(\sum_{s=1}^i c_s n^{(s)})$, where $c_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ are multiplicities, and $n = \sum_{s=1}^r c_s n^{(s)}$. Since $n_k > 0$, we must have $n_k^{(j)} > 0$ for some j . Note that $\gamma(t^{(j)}) \in (n^{(j)})^\perp$. Define

half spaces $H^\pm := \{m \in \mathbb{R}^I \mid \pm \langle n^{(j)}, m \rangle > 0\}$. By the inequality (6.4), we have $\langle -g^{(i)}, n^{(j)} \rangle < 0$ for any $i \geq j$. Equivalently, the derivatives of the segments of $L^{(i)}$ belong to H^- when $i \geq j$. So $\gamma(t^{(j)}, 0]$ is contained in H^- . This is impossible because we have $\gamma(0) = Q \in H^+$. \square

REFERENCES

- [BFZ05] Arkady Berenstein, Sergey Fomin, and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. III. Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells*, Duke Math. J. **126** (2005), no. 1, 1–52.
- [Bri17] Tom Bridgeland, *Scattering diagrams, Hall algebras and stability conditions*, Algebraic Geometry **4** (2017), no. 5, 523–561, [arXiv:1603.00416](#).
- [BZ05] Arkady Berenstein and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Quantum cluster algebras*, Adv. Math. **195** (2005), no. 2, 405–455, [arXiv:math/0404446v2](#).
- [BZ14] ———, *Triangular bases in quantum cluster algebras*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2014** (2014), no. 6, 1651–1688, [arXiv:1206.3586](#).
- [CGG+22] Roger Casals, Eugene Gorsky, Mikhail Gorsky, Ian Le, Linhui Shen, and José Simental, *Cluster structures on braid varieties*, [arXiv:2207.11607](#).
- [CL20] Peigen Cao and Fang Li, *The enough g -pairs property and denominator vectors of cluster algebras*, Mathematische Annalen **377** (2020), no. 3, 1547–1572.
- [CPS10] Michael Carl, Max Pumperla, and Bernd Siebert, *A tropical view of Landau-Ginzburg models*, Siebert’s webpage (2010), <http://www.math.uni-hamburg.de/home/siebert/preprints/LGtrop.pdf>.
- [Dav18] Ben Davison, *Positivity for quantum cluster algebras*, Annals of Mathematics **187** (2018), no. 1, 157–219, [arXiv:1601.07918](#).
- [DM21] Ben Davison and Travis Mandel, *Strong positivity for quantum theta bases of quantum cluster algebras*, Inventiones mathematicae (2021), 1–119, [arXiv:1910.12915](#).
- [Dup11] Grégoire Dupont, *Generic variables in acyclic cluster algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **215** (2011), no. 4, 628–641, [arXiv:0811.2909](#).
- [DWZ10] Harm Derksen, Jerzy Weyman, and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations II: Applications to cluster algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 3, 749–790, [arXiv:0904.0676](#).
- [DXX09] Ming Ding, Jie Xiao, and Fan Xu, *Integral bases of cluster algebras and representations of tame quivers*, Algebras and Representation Theory (2009), 1–35.
- [EL21] Balázs Elek and Jiang-Hua Lu, *Bott–Samelson varieties and Poisson ore extensions*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2021** (2021), no. 14, 10745–10797.
- [FG06] Vladimir Fock and Alexander Goncharov, *Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2006), no. 103, 1–211, [arXiv:math/0311149](#).
- [FZ02] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. I: Foundations*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **15** (2002), no. 2, 497–529, [arXiv:math/0104151](#).
- [FZ07] ———, *Cluster algebras IV: Coefficients*, Compositio Mathematica **143** (2007), 112–164, [arXiv:math/0602259](#).
- [GHK15] Mark Gross, Paul Hacking, and Sean Keel, *Birational geometry of cluster algebras*, Algebraic Geometry **2** (2015), no. 2, 137–175.
- [GHKK18] Mark Gross, Paul Hacking, Sean Keel, and Maxim Kontsevich, *Canonical bases for cluster algebras*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **31** (2018), no. 2, 497–608, [arXiv:1411.1394](#).
- [GLS11] Christof Geiß, Bernard Leclerc, and Jan Schröer, *Kac-Moody groups and cluster algebras*, Advances in Mathematics **228** (2011), no. 1, 329–433, [arXiv:1001.3545](#).
- [GLS12] ———, *Generic bases for cluster algebras and the Chamber Ansatz*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), no. 1, 21–76, [arXiv:1004.2781](#).
- [GLS13] ———, *Cluster structures on quantum coordinate rings*, Selecta Mathematica **19** (2013), no. 2, 337–397, [arXiv:1104.0531](#).
- [GLS20] Christof Geiss, Bernard Leclerc, and Jan Schröer, *Quantum cluster algebras and their specializations*, Journal of Algebra **558** (2020), 411–422, [arXiv:1807.09826](#).

- [GLSBS22] Pavel Galashin, Thomas Lam, Melissa Sherman-Bennett, and David Speyer, *Braid variety cluster structures, I: 3D plabic graphs*, [arXiv:2210.04778](#).
- [GSV03] Michael Gekhtman, Michael Shapiro, and Alek Vainshtein, *Cluster algebras and poisson geometry*, Mosc. Math. J **3** (2003), no. 3, 899–934, [arXiv:arXiv:math/0208033](#).
- [GSV05] ———, *Cluster algebras and Weil-Petersson forms*, Duke Math. J. **127** (2005), no. 2, 291–311.
- [GY16] K.R. Goodearl and M.T. Yakimov, *Quantum cluster algebra structures on quantum nilpotent algebras*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **247** (2016), no. 1169, [arXiv:1309.7869](#).
- [GY20] ———, *The Berenstein-Zelevinsky quantum cluster algebra conjecture*, Journal of the European Mathematical Society **22** (2020), no. 8, 2453–2509, [arXiv:1602.00498](#).
- [GY21] ———, *Integral quantum cluster structures*, Duke Mathematical Journal **170** (2021), no. 6, 1137–1200, [arXiv:2003.04434](#).
- [IY08] Osamu Iyama and Yuji Yoshino, *Mutations in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Inv. Math. **172** (2008), no. 1, 117–168.
- [Kas91] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), no. 2, 465–516.
- [KQW23] Yoshiyuki Kimura, Fan Qin, and Qiaoling Wei, *Twist automorphisms and Poisson structures*, SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications **19** (2023), 105, [arXiv:2201.10284](#).
- [KS14] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman, *Wall-crossing structures in Donaldson–Thomas invariants, integrable systems and mirror symmetry*, Homological mirror symmetry and tropical geometry, Springer, 2014, pp. 197–308, [arXiv:1303.3253](#).
- [Lus90] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 2, 447–498.
- [Lus91] ———, *Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 2, 365–421.
- [Lus94] ———, *Total positivity in reductive groups*, Lie theory and geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 123, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994, pp. 531–568.
- [Man21] Travis Mandel, *Scattering diagrams, theta functions, and refined tropical curve counts*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **104** (2021), no. 5, 2299–2334.
- [MQ23] Travis Mandel and Fan Qin, *Bracelets bases are theta bases*, 131 pages, [arXiv:2301.11101](#).
- [MSW13] Gregg Musiker, Ralf Schiffler, and Lauren Williams, *Bases for cluster algebras from surfaces*, Compositio Mathematica **149** (2013), no. 02, 217–263, [arXiv:1110.4364](#).
- [Mul16] Greg Muller, *The existence of a maximal green sequence is not invariant under quiver mutation*, Electron. J. Combin. **23** (2016), no. 2, [arXiv:arXiv:1503.04675](#).
- [Pla13] Pierre-Guy Plamondon, *Generic bases for cluster algebras from the cluster category*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2013** (2013), no. 10, 2368–2420.
- [Qin17] Fan Qin, *Triangular bases in quantum cluster algebras and monoidal categorification conjectures*, Duke Mathematical Journal **166** (2017), no. 12, 2337–2442, [arXiv:1501.04085](#).
- [Qin20a] Fan Qin, *Cluster algebras, bases, and hereditary properties*, Proceedings of the International Consortium of Chinese Mathematicians 2017, International Press, Boston, MA, 2020, pp. 505–519, Available from: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1joTs9BvC4gGEMjSgDHG4EnzH41A03oMa/view?usp=drive_link.
- [Qin20b] Fan Qin, *Dual canonical bases and quantum cluster algebras*, [arXiv:2003.13674](#).
- [Qin24a] ———, *Analogs of dual canonical bases for cluster algebras from Lie theory*, [arXiv:2407.02480](#).
- [Qin24b] ———, *Bases for upper cluster algebras and tropical points*, Journal of the European Mathematical Society **26** (2024), no. 4, 1255–1312, [arXiv:1902.09507](#).
- [SW21] Linhui Shen and Daping Weng, *Cluster structures on double Bott–Samelson cells*, Forum of Mathematics, Sigma, vol. 9, Cambridge University Press, 2021, [arXiv:1904.07992](#).
- [Thu14] Dylan Paul Thurston, *Positive basis for surface skein algebras*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **111** (2014), no. 27, 9725–9732, [arXiv:1310.1959](#).
- [Tra11] Thao Tran, *F-polynomials in quantum cluster algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **14** (2011), no. 6, 1025–1061, [arXiv:0904.3291v1](#).

Email address: qin.fan.math@gmail.com