

Cosmological Inflation in Light of the Mori-Zwanzig Formalism

Ramin Hassannejad^{1,2,*}

¹*Department of Physics, University of Tehran, P.O. Box 14395-547 Tehran, Iran.*

²*Department of Physics, Shahid Beheshti University, 1983969411, Tehran, Iran.*

(Dated: 2 de julho de 2024)

The existence of fluctuations at the early stage of the universe provides enough confidence to rely on the averaging methods. However, the nonlinearity of general relativity makes it extremely difficult. There are different methods to study inhomogeneous cosmology and provide a straightforward solution to the averaging problem in cosmology, such as Buchert's spatial averaging. I am attempting to study early cosmic inflation using Buchert equations and the Mori-Zwanzig projection operator formalism. Buchert equations and Mori-Zwanzig formalism's coarse-grained description are the geometrical source of early cosmic inflation through higher-order differential equations. It can be seen that the observational data and the given theoretical results are in agreement.

Keywords: Cosmological Inflation — Spectral Index — Mori-Zwanzig Formalism

I. INTRODUCTION

Cosmological inflation has emerged as a pivotal paradigm in modern cosmology, offering solutions to critical issues within the standard model of cosmology. This theory posits a brief period of exponential expansion in the early universe, addressing the horizon, flatness, and magnetic monopole problems [2–4]. By expanding a small, causally connected region to encompass the observable universe, inflation resolves the uniformity observed in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) across apparently disconnected regions, it is an active area of research [6, 8–10]. It also accounts for the observed spatial flatness and dilutes the predicted abundance of magnetic monopoles to align with observational constraints [3, 4]. Despite its successes, the inflationary paradigm faces challenges within the standard model of cosmology. These include the initial conditions problem [19], which questions the likelihood of specific field configurations necessary for inflation, and the measure problem, which complicates probability assignments to different inflationary outcomes. The transition from quantum fluctuations to classical density perturbations remains an active area of research [5, 7, 11]. These unresolved issues motivate the exploration of alternative approaches and novel theoretical tools. Moreover, studying the averaging problem in cosmology has the potential to solve some problems in cosmology, which have been considered in different research projects [15–18].

The Mori-Zwanzig formalism, originally developed in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics [23, 24], offers a promising avenue for addressing these challenges in inflationary cosmology. This mathematical framework enables the derivation of effective equations of motion for relevant observables by systematically accounting for neglected degrees of freedom. By focusing on the most pertinent variables and integrating out the less relevant ones, the formalism can simplify the complex dynamics of inflation. Its application to cosmic inflation bridges microscopic dynamics and macroscopic behavior, po-

tentially offering insights into the quantum-to-classical transition of primordial perturbations and the origin of stochastic effects during inflation[20–22].

This approach, treating the Universe as an open system influenced by coarse-grained microscopic degrees of freedom, aligns with the broader trend of applying condensed matter and statistical physics concepts to cosmological problems. It offers the potential to forge deeper connections between fundamental physical processes and observable cosmological parameters, such as the scalar spectral index and tensor-to-scalar ratio. By effectively capturing the influence of microphysical processes on large-scale dynamics, the Mori-Zwanzig formalism may yield more accurate predictions of inflationary observables. Moreover, it may provide fresh perspectives on longstanding issues like the initial conditions problem and the nature of dissipation in the early Universe.

The application of the Mori-Zwanzig formalism to inflationary cosmology can involve deriving effective equations for key evolutionary parameters during the inflationary epoch. Analyzed within the slow-roll approximation, these equations enable the calculation of crucial observables directly comparable to high-precision CMB measurements. This approach may refine predictions of inflationary observables, potentially improving alignment with current observational data, including joint constraints from Planck, Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO), and BICEP2/Keck Array (BK15) observations [25]. By capturing subtle effects in inflationary dynamics, this framework aims to contribute to a more comprehensive and physically motivated theory of the early universe, addressing outstanding challenges while potentially aligning more closely with precise cosmological measurements.

In this work, we propose to study cosmological inflation through the lens of the Mori-Zwanzig formalism. In Section II, we briefly discuss the Mori-Zwanzig formalism, highlighting its key principles. In Section III, we develop a theoretical framework for cosmological inflation using the Mori-Zwanzig formalism. Moreover, we derive and calculate significant inflationary observables, including slow-roll parameters, the scalar spectral index, the running of the scalar spectral index, the tensor spectral index, and the tensor-to-scalar ratio. We then compare our results with observational data, inclu-

* r.hassannejad@ut.ac.ir

ding joint constraints from Planck, BAO, and BK15. Finally, we will discuss our findings, interpret the results, and draw conclusions.

II. MORI-ZWANZIG PROJECTION OPERATOR FORMALISM

For a variable A that obeying the Hamiltonian dynamics the microscopic equation of motion is written $\dot{A} = iLA$, in which L is the Liouvillian. Assuming that L is time-independent one can write the microscopic equation of motion $A(t) = e^{iLt}A$, in which $A(t)$ is time-dependent Heisenberg picture observable, and A is the time-independent Schrodinger picture. These are fundamental definitions that have been used to study different microscopic systems. It is also possible to consider them to study coarse-grained descriptions of a system. There are some techniques to do that, but the most powerful one is the Mori-Zwanzig formalism [23, 24]. It is a method utilized in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics to derive a generalized Langevin equation [26]. This technique characterizes the non-equilibrium behavior of functions defined within the phase-space of a microscopic system, practically focusing on coarse-grained variables. The key element of the Mori-Zwanzig formalism is defining projection operators to distinguish between relevant and irrelevant variables. It enables the separation of a system's dynamics into relevant and irrelevant components and allows us to derive closed equations of motion for the relevant part. To derive the Mori-Zwanzig equation one should consider an arbitrary set of relevant variables $\{A_j\}$, and define the projection operator acting on a phase-space X as $PX = A_j(A_j, A_k)^{-1}(X, A_k)$, where (\dots, \dots) is a scalar product. Besides, some mathematical tools are also required like the Dyson identity which is defined as

$$e^{iLt} = e^{iQLt} + \int_0^t ds e^{iL(t-s)t} P i L e^{iQLs} \quad (1)$$

where $Q = 1 - P$ is the orthogonal projection operator. Applying the Dyson identity to the expression $Q i L A_j$ gives us the Mori-Zwanzig equation, it is given by

$$\dot{A}_i(t) = \Omega_{ij} A_j(t) + \int_0^t ds K_{ij}(s) A_j(t-s) + F_i(t) \quad (2)$$

where the defined functions of the above equation are: the frequency matrix $\Omega_{ij} = (A_i, A_j)^{-1}(iL A_i, A_k)$, the memory matrix $K_{ij}(t) = (A_i, A_j)^{-1}[iL F_i(t), A_k]$, and the random force $F_i(t) = e^{iQLt} Q i L A_i$. The Mori-Zwanzig equation contains a memory term, that means the present rate of change \dot{A}_i depends not only on the present value of the variables, but also on the values $A_i(s)$ at the previous times $s < t$, it is described by the term $K_{ij}(s)$ [27].

The standard Friedman equations hold for homogeneous and isotropic space-time, which at large scale is consistent with experimental data with high accuracy. But at the middle scale there should be considered the inhomogeneity effects. Buchert equations have been derived to this purpose [12–14];

trying to study an inhomogeneous universe through backreaction terms.

In a seminal paper [28] the authors have used the Mori-Zwanzig formalism to study the universe through the defined averaged parameters and the effects of backreaction terms. They considered a universe filled with irrotational dust matter and used the Buchert equations with averaged pressure $\bar{p}_D = 0$. In this paper we want to study the early cosmic inflation, so the pressure term should be considered into account. The buchert equations [12, 14] with a general equation pressure function are given by

$$\dot{H} + H^2 = -\frac{\bar{\rho}_D + 3\bar{p}_D}{6} + \frac{Q_D + \mathcal{P}_D}{3} \quad (3)$$

$$H^2 = \frac{\bar{\rho}_D}{3} - \frac{\bar{R}_D + Q_D}{6} \quad (4)$$

where $\bar{\rho}_D$ is the averaged mass density, \bar{R}_D the averaged spatial Ricci scalar, \mathcal{P}_D the dynamical backreaction, and Q_D the kinematical backreaction term which stemming from the averaged fluctuations in the local expansion and shear scalars. Moreover, there is a differential relation between Q_D , \mathcal{P}_D , and \bar{R}_D [12], which is written

$$\partial_t Q_D + 6H Q_D + \partial_t \bar{R}_D + 2H \bar{R}_D + 4H \mathcal{P}_D - 2[\dot{\bar{\rho}}_D + 3H(\bar{\rho}_D + 3\bar{p}_D)] = 0 \quad (5)$$

Consider a situation in which $\dot{\bar{\rho}}_D + 3H(\bar{\rho}_D + 3\bar{p}_D) = 0$, (it is similar to the continuity equation for a perfect fluid), the equation (5) can be written $\partial_t Q_D + 6H Q_D + \partial_t \bar{R}_D + 2H \bar{R}_D + 4H \mathcal{P}_D = 0$, which describes a differential relation among the backreaction terms and the averaged spatial Ricci scalar. It is straightforward to combine the Buchert equations to write a single equation that is not a function of the averaged energy density $\bar{\rho}_D$,

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{3}{2}H^2 + \Psi \quad (6)$$

where $\Psi = Q_D/4 - \bar{R}_D/12 + \mathcal{P}_D/3 - \bar{p}_D/2$.

To apply the Mori-Zwanzig formalism to the above microscopic equation of motion we use the Dyson identity (1), the result is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ddot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = & -\frac{H^2(t)}{2} + \Omega_{HH} H(t) + \Omega_{HH^2} H^2(t) + F_H(t) \\ & + \int_0^t ds [K_{HH}(s) H(t-s) + K_{HH^2}(s) H^2(t-s)] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

to reach the above equation the relevant variables are considered as $A_j \in \{H, H^2\}$.

We know that the Buchert equations has been obtained for effective expansion factor $a_D(t)$ [12, 13], and the effective FRW metric has also been defined [29, 30] as follows

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + L_{HD_0}^2 a_D^2(t) \left(\frac{dr_D^2}{1 - k_D(t)r_D^2} + r_D^2 d\Omega^2 \right) \quad (8)$$

where $L_{HD_0} = H_{D_0}^{-1} a_{D_0}^{-1}$, and k_D is a dimensionless spatial constant-curvature function related to the averaged spatial Ricci scalar through $k_D(t) = \frac{\bar{R}_D(t) a_D^2(t)}{|\bar{R}_{D_0}| a_{D_0}^2}$. Moreover, for the situation in which the backreaction terms are zero $Q_D = \mathcal{P}_D = 0$, the averaged spatial Ricci scalar is given by $\bar{R}_D = \frac{|\bar{R}_{D_0}| a_{D_0}^2}{a_D^2(t)} k_0$, and the curvature term is a constant $k_D = k_0$ which describes the homogeneous and isotropic FRW space-time.

For the spatially flat space-time $k_D = 0$, we consider $L_{HD_0} = 1$, and redefine $a_D(t) = a(t)$. Substituting the spatially flat effective FRW metric (8) into Einstein's field equations $G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}$, with the perfect fluid effective energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho(t) + p(t))u_\mu u_\nu + p(t)g_{\mu\nu}$, leads to the following Friedmann equations

$$H^2(t) = \frac{\rho(t)}{3}, \quad \frac{\ddot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = -\frac{\rho(t) + 3p(t)}{6} \quad (9)$$

comparing the equation (7) with (9) tends to

$$p(t) = -2(\Omega_{HH}H(t) + \Omega_{HH^2}H^2(t) + F_H(t)) - 2 \int_0^t ds [K_{HH}(s)H(t-s) + K_{HH^2}(s)H^2(t-s)] \quad (10)$$

It's not simple to deal with the equation above, hence, some terms should be eliminated. To do so, we do not take into account the first line of the equation (10) (right hand side). Moreover, the other simplifications are also been considered $K_{HH^2} = 0$ and $K_{HH} = -\kappa e^{-\zeta t}$. So the pressure equation is written

$$p(t) = 2\kappa \int_0^t ds e^{-\zeta s} H(t-s) \quad (11)$$

This equation is a memory effect pressure, the present value of $p(t)$ depends on the previous times $s < t$, that is given by the previous values of Hubble parameter.

Finding a specific function for the pressure without specifying the function inside the above integral is impossible. But one can write it as a differential equation

$$\dot{p} + \xi p - 2\kappa H(t) = 0 \quad (12)$$

for the situation in which the Hubble parameter is approximately a constant like the early cosmic inflation $H(t) \approx \alpha$, the differential equation (12) is written as

$$p(t) = \frac{2\kappa\alpha}{\zeta} + \beta e^{-\zeta t} \quad (13)$$

where β is an integral constant. It is straightforward to write the above equation as a function of effective scale factor $a(t)$. From the Hubble parameter definition we have $a(t) = a_0 e^{\alpha t}$, substituting this into equation (13) leads to

$$p = \frac{2\kappa\alpha}{\zeta} + \beta \left(\frac{a(t)}{a_0}\right)^{\frac{-\zeta}{\alpha}} \quad (14)$$

for negative values of $\zeta = -|\zeta|$, the equation (14) is written as $p = -\frac{2\kappa\alpha}{|\zeta|} + \beta \left(\frac{a(t)}{a_0}\right)^{\frac{|\zeta|}{\alpha}}$, hence, in the limit $a(t) \rightarrow 0$, the pressure tends to a constant and finite value. Substituting the equation (14) into continuity equation leads to $\rho = -\frac{3\alpha\beta}{|\zeta|+3\alpha} \left(\frac{a(t)}{a_0}\right)^{\frac{|\zeta|}{\alpha}} + \frac{2\kappa\alpha}{|\zeta|}$, which at small distances tends to $\rho|_{a(t) \rightarrow 0} = \frac{2\kappa\alpha}{|\zeta|}$, and the state parameter is given by $\omega \approx -1$. Combining the mentioned energy density with pressure function tends to a equation of state of the form $\omega = \frac{p}{\rho} = -(1 - \frac{|\zeta|}{3\alpha}) - \frac{2\kappa}{3\rho}$, in which for high energy density $\rho \gg \kappa$ and $\alpha \gg |\zeta|$ the state parameter tends to $\omega \approx -1$. We can consider the other values for scale factor $a(t) \propto t^n$, where $n = \frac{1}{2}$ is related to radiation-dominated era and $n = \frac{3}{2}$ the matter-dominated era. For this scale factor the Hubble parameter is given by $H(t) = \frac{n}{t}$, and substituting it into equation (12) leads to the pressure equation as follows

$$p(t) = \kappa n e^{-\zeta t} Ei(\zeta t) + C_1 e^{-\zeta t} \quad (15)$$

where C_1 is an integration constant, and the exponential integral is given by $Ei(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{e^y}{y} dy$.

Another important point regarding the equation (12) is that; substituting the Friedman equation $\rho(t) = 3H^2(t)$ and the continuity equation $\dot{p}(t) = -\frac{\dot{\rho}(t)}{3H(t)} - \rho(t)$ into equation (12) leads to the following differential equation

$$\ddot{H}(t) + 3H\dot{H}(t) + \xi(\dot{H}(t) + \frac{3}{2}H^2(t)) + \kappa H(t) = 0 \quad (16)$$

this is the same as the equation that has been obtained in [28]. Indeed we used the Einstein field equation for effective FRW metric and considered the energy-momentum tensor as a perfect fluid and finally reached to a same equation that derived from another approach (see appendix A).

III. COSMOLOGICAL INFLATION IN MORI-ZWANZIG FORMALISM

We want to apply the early cosmic inflation conditions on equation (16), the slow-roll parameters are expressed in terms of the Hubble parameter as

$$\epsilon_1 = -\frac{\dot{H}(t)}{H^2(t)} \ll 1, \quad \epsilon_2 = \frac{\ddot{H}(t)}{\dot{H}(t)H(t)} - 2\frac{\dot{H}(t)}{H^2(t)} \ll 1 \quad (17)$$

considering the above conditions one can write the equation (16) in the form $3\ddot{H}(t) + \frac{3}{2}\xi\dot{H}(t) + \kappa = 0$. It is easy to write this equation as a function of the given energy density and pressure

$$\dot{H}(t) + H^2(t) = -\frac{\rho}{6} - \frac{p}{2}, \quad \omega = -1 + \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{3\rho}} + \frac{2\kappa}{3\rho} \quad (18)$$

where $\rho = 3H^2(t)$, and at high energy density $\rho \gg \{\zeta^2, \kappa\}$, the state parameter tends to $\omega \approx -1$. The equation (18) is a differential equation for the Hubble parameter that provides

$$H(t) = -\frac{2\kappa}{3\zeta} + \gamma \exp\left(-\frac{\zeta}{2}t\right), \quad (19)$$

where γ is the integration constant. Moreover, the scale factor of this model, evolves as

$$a(t) = \gamma \exp \left[\frac{-2\kappa t - 6\gamma \exp(-\zeta t/2)}{3\zeta} \right]. \quad (20)$$

Nevertheless, the time derivative of the Hubble parameter can also be derived from the aforementioned relation as

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{\gamma\zeta}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{\zeta}{2}t \right). \quad (21)$$

Considering the spatially flat effective FLRW spacetime (8), and assuming that the universe is dominated by a perfect fluid with energy density ρ and pressure p , using relations (19) and (21), the Friedmann equations for the model can be formulated as follows

$$3H^2 \equiv \rho = \frac{1}{3\zeta^2} \left[3\gamma\zeta \exp \left(-\frac{\zeta}{2}t \right) - 2\kappa \right]^2, \quad (22)$$

$$3H^2 + 2\dot{H} \equiv -p = -\gamma\zeta \exp \left(-\frac{\zeta}{2}t \right) + \frac{[3\gamma\zeta \exp(-\zeta t/2) - 2\kappa]^2}{3\zeta^2}. \quad (23)$$

Moreover, assuming the thermodynamical equation of state equation, $p = w\rho$, the state parameter can be derived as follows

$$w = \frac{p}{\rho} = -1 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}. \quad (24)$$

Additionally, we can utilize the equation (19) to analyze inflation within the Mori-Zwanzig formalism. For this purpose, we first introduce the Hubble slow-roll parameters ϵ_i , for $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$ (they are defined in Appendix B). It is established that if the condition $|\epsilon_i| \ll 1$ is satisfied, inflation will occur and persist long enough to address the standard cosmological problems. Furthermore, inflation concludes when the first slow-roll parameter reaches one, i.e., $\epsilon_1 = 1$. The slow-roll parameters can be expressed in terms of the e-folding number. The e-folding number quantifies the rate of expansion during inflation as the natural logarithm of the scale factor, as [31]

$$N \equiv \ln \left(\frac{a_{\text{end}}}{a} \right) = \int_t^{t_{\text{end}}} H dt, \quad (25)$$

where the index 'end' represents the values of quantities at the end of inflation. Therefore, using the above relation, one can achieve the relation $d/dt = Hd/dN$ and the slow-roll

parameters (B1), (B2) and (B3), can be rewritten as follows

$$\epsilon_1(N) = -\frac{H'(N)}{H(N)}, \quad (26)$$

$$\epsilon_2(N) = \left| \frac{H''(N)}{H'(N)} - \frac{H'(N)}{H(N)} \right| \quad (27)$$

$$\epsilon_3(N) = \left[\frac{H(N)H'(N)}{H(N)H''(N) - H'^2(N)} \right] \times \left[\frac{H'''(N)}{H'(N)} - \frac{H''^2(N)}{H'^2(N)} - \frac{H''(N)}{H(N)} + \frac{H'^2(N)}{H^2(N)} \right]. \quad (28)$$

where prime denotes the derivative with respect to the N . Additionally, equation (24), can be rewritten as follows

$$w = -1 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{H'(N)}{H(N)}. \quad (29)$$

According to the equation (37) and the condition $\epsilon_1 \ll 1$, the above relation implies that $w \approx -1$, for the inflationary era, which is consistent with the prediction of the equation (18) for high energy density.

For our model by using equation (19), one can calculate the e-folding number as

$$N = -\frac{2\kappa}{3\zeta}t - \frac{2\gamma}{\zeta} \exp \left(-\frac{\zeta}{2}t \right), \quad (30)$$

From the above relation it is easy to write the time in terms of e-folding number

$$t = -\frac{1}{2\kappa\zeta} (-4\kappa A + 3N\zeta^2). \quad (31)$$

Here, we have defined A as

$$A \equiv \text{LambertW} \left\{ \frac{-3\zeta\gamma \exp[3N\zeta^2/(4\kappa)]}{2\kappa} \right\}, \quad (32)$$

By substituting the relation (31) in relation (19), one can obtain the Hubble parameter in terms of e-folding number

$$H(N) = \gamma \exp \left(\frac{3N\zeta^2 - 4A}{4\kappa} \right) - \frac{2\kappa}{3\zeta}, \quad (33)$$

The derivatives of the Hubble parameter with respect to the e-folding number can also be derived from the above relation as follows

$$H' = \frac{3\gamma\zeta^2}{4\kappa(A+1)} \exp \left(\frac{3N\zeta^2 - 4A}{4\kappa} \right), \quad (34)$$

$$H'' = \frac{9\gamma\zeta^4}{16\kappa^2(A+1)^3} \exp \left(\frac{3N\zeta^2 - 4A}{4\kappa} \right), \quad (35)$$

$$H''' = \frac{-27\gamma\zeta^6(2A-1)}{64\kappa^3(A+1)^5} \exp \left(\frac{3N\zeta^2 - 4A}{4\kappa} \right). \quad (36)$$

By substituting equations (33), (34), (35) and (36) into equations (37), (38) and (39), the slow-roll parameters are written

as

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{-3\gamma\zeta^3 \exp[(3N\zeta^2 - 4A)/4\kappa]}{4\kappa(1+A) \left\{ -2\kappa/3 + \gamma\zeta \exp[(3N\zeta^2 - 4A)/4\kappa] \right\}}, \quad (37)$$

$$\epsilon_2 = \left| \frac{3\zeta^2}{4\kappa(1+A^2)} \times \frac{\left\{ 2\kappa/3 + \gamma\zeta A \exp[(3N\zeta^2 - 4A)/4\kappa] \right\}}{\left\{ -2\kappa/3 + \gamma\zeta A \exp[(3N\zeta^2 - 4A)/4\kappa] \right\}} \right|, \quad (38)$$

$$\epsilon_3 = \frac{-3\zeta^2}{4\kappa(1+A)^2 \left\{ \gamma^2\zeta^2 A^2 \exp[(3N\zeta^2 - 4A)/2\kappa] - 4/9\kappa^2 \right\}} \times \left[\gamma^2\zeta^2 A(A-1) \exp\left(\frac{3N\zeta^2 - 4A}{2\kappa}\right) - \frac{8}{9}\kappa^2 A + \gamma\zeta \frac{\kappa}{6} (5A+1) \exp\left(\frac{3N\zeta^2 - 4A}{4\kappa}\right) \right]. \quad (39)$$

Moreover, by substituting equations (34) and (33) into equation (29), it is straightforward to write the state parameter

$$w = \frac{\gamma\zeta^3 \exp[(3N\zeta^2 - 4A)/4\kappa]}{2\kappa(1+A) \left\{ -2\kappa/3 + \gamma\zeta \exp[(3N\zeta^2 - 4A)/4\kappa] \right\}} - 1 \quad (40)$$

As it can be seen, the slow-roll parameters and the parameter of the equation of state are dependent on the values of the parameters and constants N , κ , ζ and γ . Therefore, in Fig. 2, we have illustrated the slow-roll parameters ϵ_1 , ϵ_2 , and ϵ_3 as functions of the model parameters ζ and κ , with $\gamma = -1$ and $N = 70$. That, the results for intervals $\zeta = [-0.04, -0.06]$ and $\kappa = [0.3, 0.4]$ are in agreement with the condition of $\epsilon_i \ll 1$. Additionally, in Fig. 3, for the mentioned values, we have determined the value of the parameter of the equation of state, w , which aligns with the expected value for the inflationary era.

The primary goal of gravitational theories in accurately describing cosmological inflation is to ensure their predictions align with observational data. To achieve this, it is necessary to evaluate the theoretical predictions of the proposed gravitational models for the following inflationary observables, namely the scalar spectral index n_s , the tensor spectral index n_t , the tensor-to-scalar ratio r , and the running of the scalar spectral index α_s

$$n_s - 1 = -2\epsilon_1 - 2\epsilon_2, \quad (41)$$

$$n_t = -2\epsilon_1, \quad (42)$$

$$r = 16\epsilon_1, \quad (43)$$

$$\alpha_s = -2\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_2\epsilon_3. \quad (44)$$

By substituting relations (37), (38) and (39) in the above relations, one can calculate the inflationary observables n_s , n_t , r and α_s as functions of parameters and constants ζ , κ , N and γ .

In this context, the latest limitations set by the Planck collaboration on the scalar spectral index, the tensor-to-scalar ratio and the running of the scalar spectral index are [32]

$$\begin{aligned} n_s &= 0.9649 \pm 0.0042 \\ &\quad (\text{at } 68\% \text{ CL, PlanckTT, TE, EE + lowE + lensing}), \\ \alpha_s &= -0.0045 \pm 0.0067 \\ &\quad (\text{at } 68\% \text{ CL, PlanckTT, TE, EE + lowE + lensing}), \\ r &< 0.10 \quad (\text{at } 95\% \text{ CL, PlanckTT + lowE + lensing}). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Nevertheless, by jointly analyzing the Planck, BK15, and BAO data, additional constraints have been applied, further tightening the upper limit of r to a specific value of [32]

$$r < 0.056 \quad \text{at } 95\% \text{ CL.} \quad (46)$$

In Fig. 4, we have plotted the scalar spectral index, n_s , the tensor spectral index, n_t , the tensor-to-scalar ratio, r , and the running of the scalar spectral index, α_s , as functions of the model parameters ζ and κ for $N = 70$ and $\gamma = -1$. The results indicate that for $\zeta = [-0.04, -0.03]$ and $\kappa = [0.3, 0.4]$, the model can make predictions in agreement with both the Planck 2018 data and the joint Planck, BK15, and BAO data. Additionally, in Fig. 1, we have plotted the tensor-to-scalar ratio using Planck+LowE, Planck+LowE+Lensing, and Planck+LowE+Lensing+BK15+BAO, as functions of the model parameters ζ and κ for $N = 70$ and $\gamma = -1$. The results indicate that for $\zeta = [-0.04, -0.03]$ and $\kappa = [0.3, 0.4]$, the model can make predictions in agreement with both the Planck 2018 data and the joint Planck, BK15, and BAO data. All these results indicate that the application of Mori-Zwanzig formalism to early universe predicts an inflationary phase which is in agreement with recent observational data.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a modification of the standard cosmological model has been proposed, which at high densities allows for a phase of accelerated expansion without the introduction of additional fields. This was achieved through the application of Mori-Zwanzig formalism on the Buchert equations which leads to effective field equations with higher-order differential equations. We showed that the choice of slow-roll parameters allows us to reproduce a phase of accelerated expansion in the early universe without the aid of a scalar field. It is shown that there are ranges of values for ζ and κ , which they are free parameters of the model. For some specific values our model predicts an inflationary phase at high energy density in agreement with observational data.

Mori-Zwanzig formalism has been used in a different area of physics from chemical and biophysics systems to gravitational systems, and now to study cosmic inflation. It has the applicable ability to study gravitational systems at small distances




Figure 1. Constraints on the tensor-to-scalar ratio using Planck+LowE, Planck+LowE+Lensing, and Planck+LowE+Lensing+BK15+BAO (red, green, and blue respectively) as a function of model parameters ζ and κ for $N = 70$ and $\gamma = -1$. The results indicate that for $\zeta = [-0.04, -0.03]$ and $\kappa = [0.3, 0.4]$, the model makes predictions in agreement with both the Planck 2018 data and the joint Planck, BK15, and BAO data.

and high energy densities, the situations in which people try to use quantum gravity. In this paper, the Buchert equations are considered microscopic equations but there are lots of field equations from modified gravity theories to some known quantum gravity models. Therefore it is possible to apply the Mori-Zwanzig formalism to these equations which definitely would have considerable predictions, this is the case we will follow in future works.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Apêndice A: Equation of motion from Mori-Zwanzig formalism

According to the Heisenberg equation of motion $\frac{dA}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H, A] = iLA$, where one can write it $A(t) = e^{iLt}A$, the following definitions can be written

$$H(t) = e^{iLt}H, \quad \dot{H}(t) = e^{iLt}\dot{H}, \quad \ddot{H}(t) = e^{iLt}\ddot{H} \quad (\text{A1})$$

Applying the operator e^{iLt} on the microscopic equation of motion (6), using the equation (A1), and the Dyson identity (1) leads to the following equation

$$\dot{H}(t) = -\frac{3}{2}H^2(t) + e^{iLt}Q\Psi + e^{iLt}P\Psi \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $P + Q = 1$, and the above terms are defined as

$$e^{iLt}P\Psi = A_j(t)(A_j, A_k)^{-1}(\Psi, A_k) = A_j(t)\Omega_{A_j H} \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{iLt}Q\Psi &= F_H(t) + \int_0^t ds e^{iL(t-s)} P i L F_H(t) \\ &= F_H(t) + \int_0^t ds A_j(t-s) K_{ij}(s) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

with $F_H(t) = e^{iQLt}Q\Psi$, $\Omega_{A_j H} = (A_j, A_k)^{-1}(\Psi, A_k)$, and $K_{ij}(s) = (A_j, A_k)^{-1}(iLF_H(t), A_k)$.

Substituting the equations (A4) and (A3) into (A2) leads to the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{H}(t) &= -\frac{3}{2}H^2(t) + \Omega_{HH}H(t) + \Omega_{HH^2}H^2(t) \\ &+ \int_0^t ds [K_{HH}(s)H(t-s) + K_{HH^2}(s)H^2(t-s)] \\ &+ F_H(t) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

where $F_H(t)$ is the noise term. It is assumed that noise term is small and can be omitted. Considering some simplifications $\Omega_{HH} = \Omega_{HH^2} = 0$, $K_{HH^2}(s) = 0$, and $K_{HH}(s) = -\kappa e^{-\zeta s}$ one can write the equation (A5) in the following form

$$\dot{H}(t) = -\frac{3}{2}H^2(t) - \kappa \int_0^t ds e^{-\zeta s} H(t-s) \quad (\text{A6})$$

Taking the time derivative the above equation gives us a new differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{H}(t) &= -3\dot{H}(t)H(t) - \kappa e^{-\zeta t}H(0) \\ &+ \kappa \int_0^t ds e^{-\zeta s} \frac{\partial H(t-s)}{\partial s} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

Finally, using the integration by parts the equation (A7) is written

$$\ddot{H}(t) = -3\dot{H}(t)H(t) - \xi \left(\dot{H}(t) + \frac{3}{2}H^2(t) \right) - \kappa H(t) \quad (\text{A8})$$

This is the main equation we have worked on to study the early cosmic inflation. It is a third order differential equation with respect to effective scale factor, which finding a general solution is not easy.

Apêndice B: Slow-roll parameters

The slow-roll parameters as function of Hubble parameter and its time derivatives are given by

$$\epsilon_1 \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

$$\epsilon_2 \equiv \left| \frac{\ddot{H}}{H\dot{H}} - 2\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \right|, \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_3 &\equiv \frac{1}{\left(\ddot{H}H - 2\dot{H}^2 \right)} \\ &\times \left[\frac{H\dot{H}\ddot{H} - \ddot{H}(\dot{H}^2 + H\ddot{H})}{H\dot{H}} - 2\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} (H\ddot{H} - 2\dot{H}^2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

-
- [1] Automatically placing footnotes into the bibliography requires using BibTeX to compile the bibliography.
- [2] A. H. Guth, The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 347 (1981).
- [3] A. H. Guth and S. H. H. Tye, Phase Transitions and Magnetic Monopole Production in the Very Early Universe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44**, 631 (1980), [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44**, 963 (1980)].
- [4] A. D. Linde, A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems, *Phys. Lett. B* **108**, 389 (1982).
- [5] A. H. Guth and S.-Y. Pi, The Quantum Mechanics of the Scalar Field in the New Inflationary Universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **32**, 1899 (1985).
- [6] D. H. Lyth, What would we learn by detecting a gravitational wave signal in the cosmic microwave background anisotropy?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 1861 (1997), [arXiv:hep-ph/9606387](#).
- [7] J. M. Maldacena, Non-Gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models, *JHEP* **05**, 013, [arXiv:astro-ph/0210603](#).
- [8] G. Hinshaw, D. Larson, E. Komatsu, D. N. Spergel, C. Bennett, J. Dunkley, M. Nolta, M. Halpern, R. Hill, N. Odegard, *et al.*, Nine-year wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe (wmap) observations: cosmological parameter results, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* **208**, 19 (2013).
- [9] R. Keisler, C. Reichardt, K. Aird, B. Benson, L. Bleem, J. Carlstrom, C. Chang, H. Cho, T. Crawford, A. Crites, *et al.*, A measurement of the damping tail of the cosmic microwave background power spectrum with the south pole telescope, *The Astrophysical Journal* **743**, 28 (2011).
- [10] A. A. Starobinsky, A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity, *Phys. Lett. B* **91**, 99 (1980).
- [11] V. F. Mukhanov and G. V. Chibisov, Quantum Fluctuations and a Nonsingular Universe, *JETP Lett.* **33**, 532 (1981).
- [12] T. Buchert, On average properties of inhomogeneous fluids in general relativity: Perfect fluid cosmologies, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **33**, 1381 (2001), [arXiv:gr-qc/0102049](#).
- [13] T. Buchert, On average properties of inhomogeneous fluids in general relativity. 1. Dust cosmologies, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **32**, 105 (2000), [arXiv:gr-qc/9906015](#).
- [14] T. Buchert, P. Mourier, and X. Roy, On average properties of inhomogeneous fluids in general relativity III: general fluid cosmologies, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **52**, 27 (2020), [arXiv:1912.04213 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [15] D. L. Wiltshire, Exact solution to the averaging problem in cosmology, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 251101 (2007), [arXiv:0709.0732 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [16] D. L. Wiltshire, What is dust? - Physical foundations of the averaging problem in cosmology, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 164006 (2011), [arXiv:1106.1693 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [17] R. Zalaletdinov, The Averaging Problem in Cosmology and Macroscopic Gravity, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **23**, 1173 (2008), [arXiv:0801.3256 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [18] Y. B. Ginat, Multiple-Scales Approach to The Averaging Problem in Cosmology, *JCAP* **02**, 049, [arXiv:2005.03026 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [19] D. Garfinkle, A. Ijjas, and P. J. Steinhardt, Initial conditions problem in cosmological inflation revisited, *Phys. Lett. B* **843**, 138028 (2023), [arXiv:2304.12150 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [20] Y. L. Launay, G. I. Rigopoulos, and E. P. S. Shellard, Stochastic inflation in general relativity, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 123523 (2024), [arXiv:2401.08530 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [21] A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, Equilibrium state of a self-interacting scalar field in the De Sitter background, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 6357 (1994), [arXiv:astro-ph/9407016](#).
- [22] E. Verdaguer, Stochastic Gravity: Beyond Semiclassical Gravity, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **66**, 012006 (2007), [arXiv:gr-qc/0611051](#).
- [23] H. Mori, Transport, Collective Motion, and Brownian Motion, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **33**, 423 (1965).
- [24] R. Zwanzig, Ensemble Method in the Theory of Irreversibility, *J. Chem. Phys.* **33**, 1338 (1960).
- [25] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* (BICEP2, Keck Array), BICEP2 / Keck Array x: Constraints on Primordial Gravitational Waves using Planck, WMAP, and New BICEP2/Keck Observations through the 2015 Season, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 221301 (2018), [arXiv:1810.05216 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [26] J. Rau and B. Muller, From reversible quantum microdynamics to irreversible quantum transport, *Phys. Rept.* **272**, 1 (1996), [arXiv:nucl-th/9505009](#).
- [27] M. te Vrugt and R. Wittkowski, Projection operators in statistical mechanics: a pedagogical approach, *European Journal of Physics* **41**, 045101 (2020).
- [28] M. t. Vrugt, S. Hossenfelder, and R. Wittkowski, Mori-Zwanzig Formalism for General Relativity: A New Approach to the Averaging Problem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 231101 (2021), [arXiv:2107.11497 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [29] C. Desgrange, A. Heinesen, and T. Buchert, Dynamical spatial curvature as a fit to type Ia supernovae, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **28**, 1950143 (2019), [arXiv:1902.07915 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [30] J. Larena, J.-M. Alimi, T. Buchert, M. Kunz, and P.-S. Corasaniti, Testing backreaction effects with observations, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 083011 (2009), [arXiv:0808.1161 \[astro-ph\]](#).
- [31] A. R. Liddle, An Introduction to cosmological inflation, in *ICTP Summer School in High-Energy Physics and Cosmology* (1999) pp. 260–295, [arXiv:astro-ph/9901124](#).
- [32] Y. Akrami *et al.* (Planck), Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A10 (2020), [arXiv:1807.06211 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).




Figure 2. The slow-roll parameters as functions of model parameters ζ and k for $N = 70$ and $\gamma = -1$.




Figure 3. The parameter of the equation of state as a function of model parameters ζ and k for $N = 70$ and $\gamma = -1$.




Figure 4. The inflationary observables as functions of model parameters ζ and k for $N = 70$ and $\gamma = -1$.