

Probing leptophobic dark sector with a pseudoscalar portal in the NA64 experiment at CERN

Sergei N. Gninenko ¹, Dmitry V. Kirpichnikov ¹, Nikolai V. Krasnikov ¹,
Sergey Kuleshov ^{2,3}, Valery E. Lyubovitskij ^{4,5,2} and Alexey S. Zhevlakov ^{6,7}

¹*Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 117312 Moscow, Russia*

²*Millennium Institute for Subatomic Physics at the High-Energy Frontier (SAPHIR) of ANID,
Fernández Concha 700, Santiago, Chile*

³*Center for Theoretical and Experimental Particle Physics, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas,
Universidad Andres Bello, Fernandez Concha 700, Santiago, Chile*

⁴*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Tübingen,
Kepler Center for Astro and Particle Physics,
Auf der Morgenstelle 14, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany*

⁵*Departamento de Física y Centro Científico Tecnológico de Valparaíso-CCTVal,
Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile*

⁶*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Russia*

⁷*Matrosov Institute for System Dynamics and Control Theory SB RAS,
Lermontov str., 134, 664033, Irkutsk, Russia*

We consider the possibility of discovering a light pseudoscalar particle a , which could be the axion or an axion-like particle (ALP), interacting mainly with quarks using the electron and photon scattering reaction chain $e + Z \rightarrow e + \gamma + Z$; $\gamma + Z \rightarrow a + Z$ on nuclei in the NA64 experiment at the CERN SPS. New bounds on the coupling strengths of the axion/ALP with quarks and of pseudoscalar mesons $P = \pi, \eta, \eta'$ with dark fermions are obtained by using existing upper bounds on invisible decay modes of P s including those recently derived by NA64. We also study a scenario when the a plays the role of a messenger in the communication between our world and the dark sector.

I. INTRODUCTION

Pseudoscalar mesons play an important role in contemporary particle physics [1]. In particular, due to the nontrivial structure of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) vacuum eight light pseudoscalar mesons (π, K, η) appear as Goldstone massless particles associated with spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry. The source of explicit breaking of chiral symmetry is the current quark masses m_q . In particular, the masses of π, K , and η at the leading order of chiral expansion are proportional to m_q and the quark condensate, the parameter of spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry. The dynamics of light pseudoscalars is well and consistently described by the chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) [2, 3].

The QCD vacuum is also responsible for a CP violation in the QCD Lagrangian, producing the so-called θ -term. The θ -term is induced by the instanton effects [4] and related to such important physical phenomena as the nonvanishing electric dipole moment of the neutron and rare two-pion decays of the η and η' mesons [5–10]. To resolve the strong CP-violation in QCD the Peccei-Quinn (PQ) mechanism has been proposed [11]. This mechanism is based on the idea of existing a new type of QCD symmetry (the PQ symmetry), which is spontaneously broken at a scale much larger than the electroweak scale to be consistent with data, astrophysical and cosmological phenomena [12, 13]. It gives rise to a new pseudoscalar particle, axion [14, 15]. The QCD axion mass has been calculated using chiral algebra leading to two equivalent results [14, 15] and [7], which differ by interpretation of the vacuum expectation of the scalar field, which is related to the effective Fermi constant G_F in [14] and a free parameter in [7]. Finally, restricting to the two flavor (u, d) picture one can express the QCD axion mass as $M_{a,\text{QCD}}^2 = (F_\pi/f_a)^2 M_\pi^2 m_u m_d / (m_u + m_d)^2$, where f_a is the axion decay constant, $F_\pi = 92.4$ MeV and M_π are the pion decay constant and mass, m_u and m_d are the current masses of the u and d quarks, respectively. The vacuum expectation value of the axion can be fixed to cancel the theta parameter and solve the strong CP-violation problem. During past decades the QCD axion and its extension to the sector of so-called axion-like particles (ALPs) were extensively used for possible resolutions of existing puzzles in astro-particle and particle physics, and cosmology starting from the strong CP-violation problem, including rare processes, and considerations of them as a portal to Dark Matter (DM).

The mass of the ninth pseudoscalar η' is much larger than their eight partners (π, K, η) and it can be included in QCD using large N_c arguments indicating that QCD at large N_c is a reasonable approximation to the real world of strong interactions [16–19]. Using $1/N_c$ expansion one can solve the $U_A(1)$ problem without instantons and relate it to the existence of the ninth Goldstone boson, η' meson [17, 18]. In particular [17], it was argued that at large N_c the axial $U_A(1)$ symmetry is exact, the corresponding current is conserved, and η' meson manifests itself as a massless $U_A(1)$ Goldstone. The $U_A(1)$ symmetry is violated by the gluon anomaly, which together with the η' meson mass $M_{\eta'}$ scales as $1/N_c$ and vanishes at $N_c \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, at large N_c limit the soft η' theorems take place, which have an analogy

with the soft pion theorems. Later, in Ref. [18], it was shown that ideas of Ref. [17] are consistent with expected θ dependences and anomalous Ward identities.

In order to involve the η' state in the low-energy chiral Lagrangians, the Large N_c ChPT has been developed in Refs. [19–24]. In particular, it was shown that the η' meson can be included in the ChPT Lagrangian doing replacement of the $SU(3)$ chiral field by one representing an element $U(x)$ of the $U(3)$ group, whose phase ψ defined as $\det U(x) = e^{i\psi(x)}$ and is identified with singlet field η^0 . Effective Lagrangian is constructed in terms of the chiral field $U(x)$ and expanded in powers of $1/N_c$, powers of the momenta, and current quark masses. Physical states of η and η' meson appear as result of the $\eta^0 - \eta^8$ mixing. Large mass of the η' meson is explained by the sizable contribution of the $U_A(1)$ anomaly m_0^2 or the topological susceptibility τ of the purely gluonic theory. The quantity $\tau = m_0^2$ is counted in the $1/N_c$ expansion as $\mathcal{O}(N_c^0)$. In Ref. [23] the $\pi^0 - \eta - \eta'$ mixing has been studied. The mixing of three pseudoscalars was represented in terms of three angles: $\epsilon = \theta_{\pi\eta}$, $\epsilon' = \theta_{\pi\eta'}$, and $\theta_{\eta\eta'}$. It was shown that the isospin breaking angles ϵ and ϵ' are proportional to the quark mass ratio $(m_d - m_u)/(m_s - \hat{m})$, where $\hat{m} = (m_u + m_d)/2$, and the combinations of the $\cos\theta_{\eta\eta'}$ and $\sin\theta_{\eta\eta'}$. Another important finding of the Large N_c QCD is the mixing of the leptonic decay constants of the η and η' mesons [24], which are parametrized by two mixing angles θ_0 (singlet) and θ_8 (octet) specifying the η and η' projections of the states $A_\mu^0|0\rangle$ and $A_\mu^8|0\rangle$, where A_μ^0 and A_μ^8 are the $U(3)$ singlet and octet axial-vector quark currents. Later importance of such a mixing of the leptonic decay constants of the η and η' mesons was investigated and proved in Refs. [25, 26] in the analysis of the two-photon decay rates, $P\gamma\gamma$ and $VP\gamma$ transition form factors.

In this work we study the possibility of discovering a light pseudoscalar particle a (either axion or ALP) interacting mainly with quarks and light dark matter with the NA64 experiment at the CERN SPS. It is a continuation of a series of our papers on the searching for new physics by using the charge exchange reactions at NA64 [27, 28]. Namely, we consider the electron and photon scattering reaction chain

$$e + Z \rightarrow e + \gamma + Z; \gamma + Z \rightarrow a + Z \quad (1)$$

on nuclei as a source of a 's, and obtain new bounds on the a coupling strength with quarks and new limits on invisible pseudoscalar meson P ($P = \pi^0, \eta, \eta'$) decays using the corresponding constraints on (1) from NA64. Also we study the possibility that the a plays the role of a messenger in the communication between our world and dark sector.

In this vein, we take into account the $a - P$ mixing, previously discussed in the literature, see, e.g., Refs. [8],[29]-[46] based on the chiral Lagrangian. For the first time, this Lagrangian was derived in Ref. [8] as an extension of the ChPT Lagrangian [2, 3, 19], where the derived $a - P$ mixing results in the producing of two types of the mixing term: (1) mass mixing via replacement the quark mass matrix $\mathcal{M} = \text{diag}(m_u, m_d, m_s)$ by the axion-dependent matrix $\mathcal{M}(a) = \text{diag}(m_u e^{iaQ_u/f_a}, m_d e^{iaQ_d/f_a}, m_s e^{iaQ_s/f_a})$, where Q_q with $q = u, d, s$ are the PQ charges of the quarks; (2) kinetic mixing after the axial transformation removing the anomaly coupling of the axion field with gluons and producing the derivative coupling of the axion to axial-vector currents composed of the chiral field matrix $U(x)$. In order to deal with physical states of axion and pseudoscalar fields one should perform diagonalization of the mixing term of the chiral Lagrangian. In this vein, one can use different approximations and assumptions. E.g., in Ref. [8] it was suggested to postulate the PQ charge matrix of quark in the form $\mathcal{Q}_q = \text{diag}(Q_u, Q_d, Q_s) = \mathcal{M}^{-1}/\text{Tr}(\mathcal{M}^{-1})$. Such ansatz for the Q_{PQ} allows to eliminate the mass mixing term between the axion and the pseudoscalar mesons. Later on, the formalism proposed in Ref. [8] was extensively used for physical applications involving axion/ALP and pseudoscalar mesons (π^0, η, η') [29]-[46] considering various limiting cases, e.g., restricting to the schemes: (1) involving the axion and one (or two) pseudoscalars; (2) neglecting by kinetic or mass mixing of axion with some of the pseudoscalars; (3) neglecting by mass mixing between some of the pseudoscalars. The most complete and detailed mixing scheme involving the axion and all three pseudoscalars (π^0, η, η') has been considered for the first time in Ref. [30] and later in Ref. [32]. Note, in Ref. [30] the framework was limited to consideration of the QCD axion by neglecting the PQ-breaking contribution to its mass $M_{a,0}$. In Ref. [32] the $\eta - \eta'$ mixing was restricted to the ideal mixing with $\cos\theta_{\eta\eta'}^I = 1/\sqrt{3}$. In our paper we will follow Ref. [44] and consider nonderivative coupling of the axion to the pseudoscalars via replacement the quark mass matrix \mathcal{M} by the axion-dependent matrix $\mathcal{M}(a)$, also we include the contribution of the gluon and heavy quarks c, b, t by integrating over these degrees of freedom, the contribution of the axial $U_A(1)$ anomaly to the η^0 mass, go beyond the limit of the ideal $\eta - \eta'$ mixing and take into account $M_{a,0} \neq 0$.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the derivation of the effective Lagrangian describing ALP, light pseudoscalar mesons and their mixing. Diagonalizing the mixing terms we get the set of physical states of axion/ALP, π^0, η , and η' . In Sec. III we discuss inclusion of light Dark Matter fermions and its coupling to ALP and pseudoscalar fields. In Sec. IV we derive the anomalous couplings of ALP and pseudoscalar mesons with two photons. In Sec. V we consider application of our formalism to deriving constraints on the mixing parameters of ALP with pseudoscalar mesons and combinations of the PQ charges using invisible decays of π^0, η , and η' into a pair light DM particles, cross sections of the scattered DM particles into quarks and hadrons. In Sec. VI we present the summary of our paper. Some calculation details are collected in the Appendix.

II. ALP-PSEUDOSCALAR MESONS EFFECTIVE LAGRANGIAN

Study of the processes involving ALP and light pseudoscalar mesons P will be performed using the effective Lagrangian, which was derived in Ref. [8] after mapping of the QCD-level quark-gluon Lagrangian into meson ChPT Lagrangian [2, 3, 19]. As we stressed in Introduction we will follow ideas of Ref. [8], where this mapping was proposed and Refs. [29]–[46], which further developed the formalism presented in [8]. In our consideration we include all terms of the resulting Lagrangian producing mixing between four states: axion/ALP, π^0 , η , and η' .

The ALP-pseudoscalar meson leading-order ChPT Lagrangian based on the non-derivative coupling of the ALP to the light pseudoscalar mesons reads [44]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{ALP}+P} = & \frac{1}{2} \left[\partial_\mu a^0 \partial^\mu a^0 - M_{a,0}^2 (a^0)^2 \right] + \frac{F^2}{4} \text{Tr} \left[D_\mu U^\dagger D^\mu U \right] + \frac{F^2 B}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{M}(a^0) U + \mathcal{M}^\dagger(a^0) U^\dagger \right] \\ & - \frac{\tau}{2} \left[-i \log \det U - \frac{Q_{GQ}}{f_a} a^0 \right]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where a is the ALP field, U is the chiral field in the nonlinear exponential representation collecting nine pseudoscalars (π , K , η^8 , η^0):

$$U = \exp \left[\frac{iP}{F} \right], \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^3}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta^8}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\eta^0}{\sqrt{3}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^3}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta^8}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\eta^0}{\sqrt{3}} & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\frac{2\eta^8}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\eta^0}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

and F is the leptonic decay constant of pseudoscalar mesons in the chiral limit, D_μ is the covariant derivative acting on chiral field and including external vector v_μ and axial-vector a_μ fields, which is defined as

$$D_\mu U = \partial_\mu U - i(v_\mu + a_\mu)U + iU(v_\mu - a_\mu). \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{M}(a^0) = \text{diag} \left(e^{iQ_u a^0/f_a} m_u, e^{iQ_d a^0/f_a} m_d, e^{iQ_s a^0/f_a} m_s \right) \quad (5)$$

is the axion/ALP-dependent quark mass matrix, f_a is the axion decay constant, Q_q is the PQ charge of the quark of flavor $q = u, d, s$. Let us discuss the meaning of the terms in the effective Lagrangian (2). The first term is the free Lagrangian of the ALP with the mass $M_{a,0}$.

The second term is the leading-order chiral- and gauge-invariant ChPT Lagrangian [2, 3] describing dynamics of the nonet of massless pseudoscalar mesons (π , K , η^8 , η^0) and their couplings with external vector and axial fields. The third term describes the mass term of pseudoscalar mesons and their nonderivative coupling with the ALP. This term generates the so-called mass mixing of the ALP with pseudoscalar mesons [8]. Finally, the fourth term is generated by the $U_A(1)$ anomaly, where τ is the topological susceptibility of the purely gluonic theory [19–21] and $-\frac{Q_{GQ}}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{F}{f_a}$ is the contribution of the pure gluonic theory and heavy quarks $Q = c, b, t$ [44], which are integrated out. Here $Q_{GQ} = Q_G + Q_c + Q_b + Q_t$ is the sum of the bare PQ charge of the gluons (Q_G) and heavy quarks (Q_c, Q_b, Q_t). Taking into account that $-i \log \det U = (\eta^0 \sqrt{6})/F$ we finally get [44] for the fourth term:

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = -\frac{M_0^2}{2} \left[\eta^0 - \frac{Q_{GQ}}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{F}{f_a} a^0 \right]^2, \quad (6)$$

where $M_0^2 = 6\tau/F^2$ is the leading-order contribution to the η^0 meson mass squared due to the axial anomaly. Appearance of the ALP-dependent term follows from Refs. [20, 21], where the shift $\log \det U \rightarrow \log \det U - \theta$ was produced by two equivalent methods. Here, if we substitute the θ term by the $\theta_{\text{eff}}(x) = \theta + Q_{GQ} (F/f_a) a^0(x)$, linear combination of the θ term and ALP, then we get the Lagrangian $\Delta \mathcal{L}$, which describes the $U(1)$ anomaly contribution to the masses of the η^0 meson and ALP and their mixing. Note, that the effective field $\theta_{\text{eff}}(x)$ does not contain effects of light quarks to exclude a double-counting.

As the next step we perform diagonalization of the mass term involving the massless ALP a^0 and bare mass terms of the pseudoscalars $P = (\pi^3, \eta^8, \eta^0)$ and their mixing, i.e. at the first step we drop the PQ-violating mass of the ALP. Inclusion of the PQ-violating mass of the ALP in the diagonalization is discussed in Appendix A. The corresponding part of the underlying Lagrangian (2) collecting terms mentioned above reads [44]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ALP}+P}^{\text{mix}} = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_0^T \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_0}^2 \Phi_0, \quad (7)$$

where $\Phi_0^T = (a^0, \pi^3, \eta^8, \eta^0)$ is the quadruplet of the bare mixing states and $M_{\Phi_0}^2$ is the 4×4 mass mixing matrix

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Phi_0}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pi^3}^2 & M_{\pi^3\eta^8}^2 & M_{\pi^3\eta^0}^2 & M_{a^0\pi^3}^2 \\ M_{\pi^3\eta^8}^2 & M_{\eta^8}^2 & M_{\eta^8\eta^0}^2 & M_{a^0\eta^8}^2 \\ M_{\pi^3\eta^0}^2 & M_{\eta^8\eta^0}^2 & M_{\eta^0}^2 & M_{a^0\eta^0}^2 \\ M_{a^0\pi^3}^2 & M_{a^0\eta^8}^2 & M_{a^0\eta^0}^2 & M_{a^0}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

where for convenience we denote the bare axion as a^0 . The elements of the mixing matrix read [2, 3, 19, 23, 44]

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\pi^3}^2 &= B(m_u + m_d), \\ M_{\eta^8}^2 &= \frac{B}{3}(m_u + m_d + 4m_s), \\ M_{\eta^0}^2 &= M_0^2 + \frac{2B}{3}(m_u + m_d + m_s), \\ M_{a^0}^2 &= \frac{F^2}{f_a^2} B(m_u Q_u^2 + m_d Q_d^2 + m_s Q_s^2 + \frac{M_0^2}{6B} Q_{GQ}^2), \\ M_{\pi^3\eta^8}^2 &= \frac{M_{\pi^3\eta^0}^2}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{B}{\sqrt{3}}(m_u - m_d), \\ M_{\eta^8\eta^0}^2 &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} B(m_u + m_d - 2m_s), \\ M_{a^0\pi^3}^2 &= \frac{F}{f_a} B(m_u Q_u - m_d Q_d), \\ M_{a\eta^8}^2 &= \frac{F}{f_a} \frac{B}{\sqrt{3}}(m_u Q_u + m_d Q_d - 2m_s Q_s), \\ M_{a\eta^0}^2 &= \frac{F}{f_a} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} B(m_u Q_u + m_d Q_d + m_s Q_s - \frac{M_0^2}{2B} Q_{GQ}). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Diagonalization of the mixing term (7) is performed with the use of the 4×4 orthogonal matrix $\mathbb{T}(\Phi)$ [30, 32, 44], which is conventionally can be factorized as the product of two other 4×4 matrices $\mathbb{T}(a)$ and $\mathbb{T}(P)$ as $\mathbb{T}(\Phi) = \mathbb{T}(a) \mathbb{T}(P)$. Here, first the matrix $\mathbb{T}(a)$ removes the mixing of the ALP with pseudoscalars and parametrized in terms of the corresponding mixing angles θ_{aP} with $P = \pi^3, \eta^8, \eta^0$ and then the matrix $\mathbb{T}(P)$ performs diagonalization in the sector of the neutral pseudoscalars. Based on this, the transformation between the quadruplets of bare $\Phi_0 = (\pi^3, \eta^8, \eta^0, a^0)$ and physical $\Phi^T = (\pi^0, \eta, \eta', a)$ states reads:

$$\Phi_0 = \mathbb{T}(a) \mathbb{T}(P) \Phi, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\mathbb{T}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} & \theta_{a\pi} & & \\ & \theta_{a\eta} & & \\ & \theta_{a\eta'} & & \\ -\theta_{a\pi} & -\theta_{a\eta} & -\theta_{a\eta'} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{T}(P) = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & \mathbb{P}_{3 \times 3} & & 0 \\ & & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbb{P}_{3 \times 3}$ is the 3×3 orthogonal matrix diagonalizing remaining terms involving the triplet of neutral pseudoscalars to first order in isospin breaking [23, 44, 47]:

$$\mathbb{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\theta_{\pi\eta} & -\theta_{\pi\eta'} \\ \theta_{\pi\eta} \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} + \theta_{\pi\eta'} \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'} & \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} & \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'} \\ \theta_{\pi\eta'} \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} - \theta_{\pi\eta} \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'} & -\sin \theta_{\eta\eta'} & \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Here, $\theta_{\pi\eta}, \theta_{\pi\eta'}, \theta_{\eta\eta'}$ are the corresponding mixing angles.

Finally, the sum of the mass terms of the axion and neutral light pseudoscalar mesons (π^0, η and η') reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ALP}+P}^{\text{mass}} = \frac{1}{2} \Phi^T \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^2 \Phi, \quad \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^2 = \text{diag}(M_{\pi}^2, M_{\eta}^2, M_{\eta'}^2, M_{a, \text{QCD}}^2). \quad (13)$$

Here $M_{a,\text{QCD}}^2$ is the contribution to the ALP mass when the ALP is identified with the QCD axion. We list the explicit results for the mixing angles θ_{aP_i} , $\theta_{P_1 P_2}$ and masses M_π^2 , M_η^2 , $M_{\eta'}^2$, and $M_{a,\text{QCD}}^2$ in Appendix A. Also in Appendix A we present results for the diagonalization with taking into account the mass term $M_{a,0}$, the leading perturbative contribution to the ALP mass due explicit breaking of the PQ symmetry. $M_{a,0}$ makes the transition of the QCD axion to the ALP and vice versa at the limit $M_{a,0} \rightarrow 0$, when the ALP reduces to the QCD axion.

III. INCLUSION OF DARK FERMION FIELD

In this section we discuss inclusion of the Dark fermions in our effective Lagrangian (2). We assume that Dark Matter (DM) is described by Dirac fermion χ with mass M_χ . Lagrangian involving field χ has two main terms: (1) free Lagrangian $L_{\chi,0}$ and (2) interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{a\chi\bar{\chi}}$ of χ with axion/ALP:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\chi &= \mathcal{L}_{\chi,0} + \mathcal{L}_{a\chi}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\chi,0} &= \bar{\chi} (i \not{\partial} - M_\chi) \chi, \\ \mathcal{L}_{a\chi\bar{\chi}} &= a (g_s J_s + g_p J_p),\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

where $J_s = \bar{\chi} \chi$ and $J_p = \bar{\chi} i \gamma_5 \chi$ are the scalar and pseudoscalar currents composed of DM fermions.

Using interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{a\chi\bar{\chi}}$ for $M_a > 2M_\chi$ we can calculate the decay width $\Gamma(a \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi})$, which is given by the formula

$$\Gamma(a \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}) = \frac{M_a}{8\pi} \beta_{a\chi} \left[g_p^2 + \beta_{a\chi}^2 g_s^2 \right], \quad \beta_{H_1 H_2} = \left(1 - \frac{4M_{H_2}^2}{M_{H_1}^2} \right)^{1/2}.\tag{15}$$

Diagonalization of the mass term in the effective Lagrangian (2) induces the mixing of the ALP with neutral pseudoscalar fields of π^0, η, η' in the couplings with other fields, including fields of DM fermions. In particular, the shift of the ALP field

$$a \rightarrow a - \bar{\theta}_{a\pi} \pi^0 - \bar{\theta}_{a\eta} \eta - \bar{\theta}_{a\eta'} \eta',\tag{16}$$

where $\bar{\theta}_{a\pi}$ are the linear combinations of the mixing parameters θ_{aP} :

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\theta}_{a\pi} &= \theta_{a\pi}, \\ \bar{\theta}_{a\eta} &= \theta_{a\eta} \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} - \theta_{a\eta'} \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'}, \\ \bar{\theta}_{a\eta'} &= \theta_{a\eta'} \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} + \theta_{a\eta} \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'}.\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

The mixing parameters θ_{aP} and $\eta - \eta'$ mixing angle are specified in Appendix A, generates the coupling of the pseudoscalar mesons with DM fermions:

$$\mathcal{L}_{P\chi} = -(g_s J_s + g_p J_p) \sum_{P=\pi^0, \eta, \eta'} \bar{\theta}_{aP} P.\tag{18}$$

Therefore, Lagrangian (18) gives the opportunity of invisible decays of the $P = \pi^0, \eta, \eta'$ into light dark matter particles $\chi\bar{\chi}$. The corresponding decay widths are defined by the formula

$$\Gamma(P \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}) = \frac{M_P}{8\pi} \bar{\theta}_{aP}^2 \beta_{P\chi} \left[g_p^2 + \beta_{P\chi}^2 g_s^2 \right],\tag{19}$$

IV. ALP-TWO PHOTON COUPLING

The anomalous coupling of the pseudoscalar P with two photons is defined by the effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{P\gamma\gamma} = \frac{e^2}{4} G_{P\gamma\gamma} P F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu},\tag{20}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the stress tensor of the electromagnetic field and $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}$ is its dual. By analogy the ALP coupling with two photons has been derived in Ref. [8]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{a\gamma\gamma} = \frac{e^2}{4} G_{a\gamma\gamma} a F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}.\tag{21}$$

Note in our definition of the couplings of ALPs and pseudoscalar mesons with two photons we subtract the factor e^2 to have the expression for the $G_{\pi^0\gamma\gamma}$ coupling consistent with low-energy theorem $G_{\pi^0\gamma\gamma} = 1/(4\pi^2 F)$, while the other definition the $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ with the factor e^2 hidden in the $a(P)\gamma\gamma$ coupling is also used in the literature, see, e.g., Ref. [48]. Therefore, two couplings are simply related as $e^2 G_{a\gamma\gamma} = -g_{a\gamma\gamma}$. Using prediction $g_{a\gamma\gamma} < 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ of the NA64 experiment [48] for upper limit of the $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ coupling, we derive the upper limit for the $G_{a\gamma\gamma}$ coupling: $|G_{a\gamma\gamma}| = 2.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for masses $M_a \lesssim 55 \text{ MeV}$.

Then phenomenology of the $a\gamma\gamma$ coupling was discussed in detail in literature (see, e.g., Refs. [39, 46]). In our consideration we include three contributions to the $a\gamma\gamma$ coupling: (1) direct bare $a\gamma\gamma$ coupling $G_{a\gamma\gamma,1} = G_{a\gamma\gamma}^0$, (2) the coupling $G_{a\gamma\gamma,2}$ induced by mixing with light pseudoscalar mesons, which encodes the contribution of light (u, d, s) quarks, (3) the coupling $G_{a\gamma\gamma,3}$ encoding the contribution of heavy (c, b, t) quarks. Therefore, the total contribution to the $a\gamma\gamma$ couplings is

$$G_{a\gamma\gamma} = \sum_{i=1}^3 G_{a\gamma\gamma,i}. \quad (22)$$

The coupling $G_{a\gamma\gamma,2}$ is generated by the shifts of the pseudoscalar fields as result of diagonalization of the ALP-P mass term:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^0 &\rightarrow \pi^0 - \theta_{\pi\eta} \eta - \theta_{\pi\eta'} \eta' + \theta_{a\pi} a, \\ \eta &\rightarrow \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} \eta + \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'} \eta' + \left(\theta_{\pi\eta} \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} + \theta_{\pi\eta'} \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'} \right) \pi^0 + \theta_{a\eta} a, \\ \eta' &\rightarrow \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} \eta' - \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'} \eta + \left(\theta_{\pi\eta'} \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} - \theta_{\pi\eta} \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'} \right) \pi^0 + \theta_{a\eta'} a. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

As result of such shifts we generate the second contribution to the $a\gamma\gamma$ coupling

$$G_{a\gamma\gamma,2} = \sum_{P=\pi^0,\eta,\eta'} \theta_{aP} g_{P\gamma\gamma}. \quad (24)$$

The coupling $G_{a\gamma\gamma,3}$ due to heavy quarks can be derived following recent paper [46]:

$$G_{a\gamma\gamma,3} = \sum_{Q=c,b,t} C_Q e_Q^2 \frac{M_a^2}{4m_Q^2 f_a}, \quad (25)$$

where e_Q and m_Q are the electric charge and mass of heavy quark.

Finally, the full expression for the $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ coupling is

$$G_{a\gamma\gamma} = G_{a\gamma\gamma}^0 + \sum_{P=\pi^0,\eta,\eta'} \theta_{aP} G_{P\gamma\gamma} + \sum_{Q=c,b,t} C_Q e_Q^2 \frac{M_a^2}{4m_Q^2 f_a}, \quad (26)$$

Based on the effective Lagrangians (20) and (21) a the decay width $P \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ is given by the formula

$$\Gamma(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{4} G_{H\gamma\gamma}^2 M_H^3, \quad H = a, P. \quad (27)$$

We fix the two-photon couplings of the pseudoscalar mesons π^0 , η , and η' using data from Particle Data Group [49] for the central values of the following decay widths:

$$\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 7.72 \text{ eV}, \quad \Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 0.516 \text{ keV}, \quad \Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 4.28 \text{ keV}. \quad (28)$$

Using Eqs. (27) and (28) we find

$$G_{\pi^0\gamma\gamma} = G_{\eta\gamma\gamma} = 0.274 \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \quad G_{\eta'\gamma\gamma} = 0.341 \text{ GeV}^{-1} \quad (29)$$

V. RESULTS

A. Bounds on the PQ charges from invisible decays of pseudoscalar mesons π^0 , η , and η'

Using currently the best experimental upper limits for the branching ratios of the $\pi^0, \eta, \eta' \rightarrow \text{invisible}$ decays:

$$Br(\pi^0 \rightarrow \text{invisible}) < 4.4 \times 10^{-9}, \quad (30)$$

$$Br(\eta \rightarrow \text{invisible}) < 1 \times 10^{-4}, \quad (31)$$

$$Br(\eta' \rightarrow \text{invisible}) < 2.1 \times 10^{-4}, \quad (32)$$

respectively from the NA62 [50], BESIII [51], and NA64 [52] experiments, one can derive the bounds for the products of the couplings involving g_p and g_s couplings of the ALP with fermion DM:

$$|\theta_{a\pi^0}| G_{\pi^0\chi} < 7.9 \times 10^{-8}, \quad (33)$$

$$|\theta_{a\eta}| G_{\eta\chi} < 1.9 \times 10^{-4}, \quad (34)$$

$$|\theta_{a\eta'}| G_{\eta'\chi} < 1 \times 10^{-3}, \quad (35)$$

where $G_{P\chi} = g_p \beta_{P\chi}^{1/2}$ or $G_{P\chi} = g_s \beta_{P\chi}^{3/2}$.

Upper limits on the combinations of the PQ charges are derived using Eqs. (33)-(35) and Eq. (A11):

$$|Q_d - Q_u| G_{\pi^0\chi} < 1.7 \times 10^{-6} \frac{f_a}{1 \text{ GeV}}, \quad (36)$$

$$|Q_{\text{tot}}| G_{\pi^0\chi} < 6.3 \times 10^{-6} \frac{f_a}{1 \text{ GeV}}, \quad (37)$$

using upper limit for $|\theta_{a\pi^0}|$,

$$|Q_s| G_{\eta\chi} < 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \frac{f_a}{1 \text{ GeV}}, \quad (38)$$

$$|Q_{GQ}| G_{\eta\chi} < 7.1 \times 10^{-2} \frac{f_a}{1 \text{ GeV}}, \quad (39)$$

$$|Q_{\text{tot}}| G_{\eta\chi} < 0.87 \frac{f_a}{1 \text{ GeV}}, \quad (40)$$

using upper limit for $|\theta_{a\eta}|$, and

$$|Q_{GQ}| G_{\eta'\chi} < 3.7 \times 10^{-2} \frac{f_a}{1 \text{ GeV}}, \quad (41)$$

$$|Q_{\text{tot}}| G_{\eta'\chi} < 0.58 \frac{f_a}{1 \text{ GeV}}, \quad (42)$$

using upper limit for $|\theta_{a\eta'}|$. Here $Q_{\text{tot}} = Q_u + Q_d + Q_s + Q_{GQ}$, $Q_{GQ} = Q_G + Q_c + Q_b + Q_t$, and $F = 92.4$ MeV. Here and in the following we translate the derived limits on the mixing parameters θ_{aP} to the PQ charges Q using their relation in the simplest mixing scheme for the case of the QCD axion when $M_{a,0} = 0$. The coupling f_a should be substituted in the units of GeV. Note similar estimates have been done before in Ref. [38] in the framework without mixing of the pseudoscalar mesons.

Below we derive our estimates for the QCD axion mass using upper limits for $|Q_{\text{tot}}|$ derived from experimental upper limits for invisible decay of π^0

$$M_{a,\text{QCD}} < \frac{2.7 \times 10^{-7}}{G_{\pi^0\chi}} M_\pi, \quad (43)$$

of η

$$M_{a,\text{QCD}} < \frac{3.8 \times 10^{-3}}{G_{\eta\chi}} M_\pi, \quad (44)$$

of η'

$$M_{a,\text{QCD}} < \frac{2.5 \times 10^{-2}}{G_{\eta'\chi}} M_\pi. \quad (45)$$

B. Search for ALPs in two photon decays

The NA64 collaboration looked for ALPs using the reaction chain

$$e^- Z \rightarrow e^- Z\gamma; \gamma Z \rightarrow Za; a \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \quad (46)$$

and obtained upper bound $G_{a\gamma\gamma} < 2.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for $M_a \leq 55$ MeV [48].

The number of events with pseudoscalars P in the reaction (46) is proportional to $G_{P\gamma\gamma}^2$. For the case of the invisible decays of π^0 , η , and η' the number of events with missing energy coming from the invisible pseudoscalar decays is

$$N_P(\text{invisible decays}) \sim G_{P\gamma\gamma}^2 \cdot \text{Br}(P \rightarrow \text{invisible}) \quad (47)$$

By assumption $\text{Br}(a \rightarrow \text{invisible})$ and taking into account the mixing of the axion with pseudoscalar mesons we can derive the upper limits for the branchings of invisible decays of the pseudoscalar in the terms of the ratio of two-photon couplings $G_{a\gamma\gamma}/G_{P\gamma\gamma}$

$$\text{Br}(P \rightarrow \text{invisible}) < \left(\frac{G_{a\gamma\gamma}}{G_{P\gamma\gamma}} \right)^2. \quad (48)$$

Using prediction of the NA64 experiment for the upper bound of the axion coupling $G_{a\gamma\gamma}$ and data for the pseudoscalar couplings $G_{P\gamma\gamma}$ we find that

$$\text{Br}(\pi^0 \rightarrow \text{invisible}) < 6.4 \times 10^{-5}, \quad (49)$$

$$\text{Br}(\eta \rightarrow \text{invisible}) < 6.4 \times 10^{-5}, \quad (50)$$

$$\text{Br}(\eta' \rightarrow \text{invisible}) < 4.2 \times 10^{-5}, \quad (51)$$

So we see that obtained bounds for the $\text{Br}(\eta \rightarrow \text{invisible})$ and $\text{Br}(\eta' \rightarrow \text{invisible})$ are more stringent than data bounds (31) and (32).

Using Eq. (26) and the upper bound for the coupling $G_{a\gamma\gamma} < 2.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ [48] we can derive the upper limits for the mixing angles $|\theta_{aP}|$, which are less stringent than ones derived from branchings of invisible decays

$$|\theta_{a\pi^0}| < 8 \times 10^{-3}, \quad |\theta_{a\eta}| < 8 \times 10^{-3}, \quad |\theta_{a\eta'}| < 6.4 \times 10^{-3}. \quad (52)$$

C. Expected bounds on mixing of the ALPs with pseudoscalars from the reaction

$$\pi^- + (Z, A) \rightarrow (Z-1, A) + a; \quad a \rightarrow \text{invisible}$$

The values of the cross sections of charge exchange reaction [27]

$$\sigma(\pi^- + (Z, A) \rightarrow P + (Z-1, A)), \quad P = \pi^0, \eta, \eta' \quad (53)$$

are known. In particular for Fe nuclei target it was found [27] that for final neutral light pseudoscalar mesons state $P = \pi^0, \eta, \eta'$, the cross sections are equal to 67.4, 21.9, 10.4 μb , respectively, for the incoming pion energy 50 GeV. Using the approximate formula

$$\sigma(\pi^- + (Z, A) \rightarrow a + (Z-1, A)) = \sum_P \bar{\theta}_{aP}^2 \sigma(\pi^- + (Z, A) \rightarrow P + (Z-1, A)) \quad (54)$$

we can estimate the ALP production cross section and as a consequence to find expected bounds on the mixing parameters $\bar{\theta}_{aP}$. Here we assume that invisible ALP decays dominate. In particular for a meson mass close to π^0 mass the number of produced ALPs is given by the formula

$$N_a = \pi\text{OT} \times \frac{\sigma(\pi^- + (Z, A) \rightarrow \pi^0 + (Z-1, A))}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}} \theta_{a\pi}^2 \quad (55)$$

where πOT is the number of pions on target.

For the total π^- scattering cross section on Fe nuclei equal to $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\pi^- + \text{Fe}) = A^{2/3} \sigma_{\text{tot}}(\pi^- + p) \sim 500 \text{ mb}$ at 50 GeV, our estimate gives

$$\frac{\sigma(\pi^- + (Z, A) \rightarrow \pi^0 + (Z-1, A))}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-4}) \quad (56)$$

So for $\pi\text{OT} = 10^{12}$ in the assumption of the zero background we can expect to obtain the following estimation for the $|\theta_{a\pi^0}|$

$$|\theta_{a\pi^0}| = 10^{-4} \sqrt{N_a}. \quad (57)$$

As it follows from the previous section from the use of secondary gamma beam we can find the bound on $\theta_{a\pi}^2$ at the level $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$. The similar situation takes place for the $\theta_{a\eta}^2$ and $\theta_{a\eta'}^2$ mixing parameters, where we can hope to reach bounds at the level $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$.

D. An estimate of the observable dark matter density

We make standard assumption that in the early Universe dark matter particles were in equilibrium with the SM particles. At some temperature decoupling of dark matter takes place that leads to the observable relic density of dark matter. The solution of Boltzmann equation allows to estimate the cross section of the S -wave annihilation of dark fermions into quarks $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow q\bar{q}$. For our purpose we use the interaction Lagrangians of axion/ALP with dark fermions (pseudoscalar coupling)

$$\mathcal{L}_{a\chi\bar{\chi}} = a g_p \bar{\chi} i\gamma_5 \chi \quad (58)$$

and with quarks

$$\mathcal{L}_{aqq} = a \sum_{q=u,d,s} \bar{q} i\gamma_5 g_{aq} q, \quad (59)$$

where χ is pseudo Dirac fermion and $g_{aq} = (m_q/f_a) Q_q$ is the ALP-quark coupling. Here we consider the couplings of the axion/ALP with pseudoscalar currents composed of quarks and dark fermions, respectively. The tree-level result for the annihilation cross section into u , d , and s quarks is

$$\sigma(\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow q\bar{q}) v_{rel} = \frac{3}{2\pi} g_p^2 \bar{g}_{aq}^2 \frac{M_\chi^2}{(M_a^2 - 4M_\chi^2)^2}. \quad (60)$$

Here $v_{rel} = |\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2|$ is the relative velocity of the annihilating DM particles and $\bar{g}_{aq} = \sqrt{g_{au}^2 + g_{ad}^2 + g_{as}^2}$.

Numerically it is known [49] that the value of the annihilation cross section

$$\langle \sigma(\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow SM \text{ particles}) v_{rel} \rangle = O(1 \text{ pb}) = O(2.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{ GeV}^{-2}) \quad (61)$$

leads to observable DM density. It should be noted that for the case $2M_\chi \leq 1 \text{ GeV}$ formula (60) is not quite correct since strong interaction effects are important.

In the method of the effective Lagrangians we can estimate the hadronic effects with the use of the a mixing with pseudoscalars π , η , η' and to estimate the correct value of $\sigma(\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow hadrons)$. Namely we assume that $\sigma(\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow hadrons) = \sigma(\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow a \rightarrow (\pi^0, \eta, \eta') \rightarrow hadrons)$. Because the total widths of the π^0 and η are much smaller than the total width of the η'

$$\Gamma_{tot}(\eta') = 0.23 \text{ MeV} \gg \Gamma_{tot}(\eta) = 1.31 \text{ keV} \gg \Gamma_{tot}(\pi^0) = 7.81 \text{ eV}, \quad (62)$$

the π^0 predominantly decays into two photons with the fraction 98.8 %, and the total fractions of hadronic decay modes of the η' (65 %) and η (55.6 %) are compatible we conclude that the only η' meson contributes to the cross section $\sigma(\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow a \rightarrow hadrons)$. Due to this reason we shall consider the scenario that the double mass of the DM fermion lies in the interval $M_\eta < 2M_\chi < M_{\eta'}$ for which only invisible decays $\eta' \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}$ are possible.

For this case the formula for the determination of annihilation cross section reads

$$\sigma(\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow hadrons) v_{rel} = \frac{2g_p^2 M_\chi}{(M_a^2 - 4M_\chi^2)^2} \Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow hadrons) \bar{\theta}_{a\eta'}^2, \quad (63)$$

where $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow hadrons) \simeq 0.65\Gamma_{tot}(\eta') = 0.15 \text{ MeV}$.

As a numerical application we make the estimates for the axion-quark coupling \bar{g}_{aq} and the axion- η' mixing parameter $\bar{\theta}_{a\eta'}$ using Eqs. (60) and (61). The values M_a , M_χ are not known and we consider three scenarios for the ratio of the ALP and DM fermion masses, $M_a/M_\chi = 2.5$, $M_a/M_\chi = 5$, and $M_a/M_\chi = 10$ with $M_\chi = 0.45 M_{\eta'}$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{g}_{aq} g_p| &= 7.2 \times 10^{-5}, & \frac{M_a}{M_\chi} &= 2.5, \\ |\bar{g}_{aq} g_p| &= 6.7 \times 10^{-4}, & \frac{M_a}{M_\chi} &= 5, \\ |\bar{g}_{aq} g_p| &= 3.1 \times 10^{-3}, & \frac{M_a}{M_\chi} &= 10 \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{\theta}_{a\eta'} g_p| &= 2.8 \times 10^{-7}, & \frac{M_a}{M_\chi} &= 2.5, \\
|\bar{\theta}_{a\eta'} g_p| &= 2.6 \times 10^{-6}, & \frac{M_a}{M_\chi} &= 5, \\
|\bar{\theta}_{a\eta'} g_p| &= 1.2 \times 10^{-5}, & \frac{M_a}{M_\chi} &= 10.
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

For the case of the P -wave annihilation we use the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi a} = g_s a \bar{\chi} \chi \tag{66}$$

The corresponding annihilation cross section is

$$\sigma(\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow \text{quarks})v_{rel} = \frac{3 g_s^2 \bar{g}_{aq}^2 M_\chi^2}{8\pi} \cdot \frac{v_{rel}^2}{(M_a^2 - 4M_\chi^2)^2}, \tag{67}$$

From the requirement of obtaining correct dark matter density one can find that

$$\langle \sigma(\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow \text{quarks})v_{rel} \rangle \cdot \frac{1}{\langle v_{rel}^2 \rangle} \approx 2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ GeV}^2. \tag{68}$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{g}_{aq} g_s| &= 4 \times 10^{-4}, & \frac{M_a}{M_\chi} &= 2.5, \\
|\bar{g}_{aq} g_s| &= 3.7 \times 10^{-3}, & \frac{M_a}{M_\chi} &= 5, \\
|\bar{g}_{aq} g_s| &= 1.7 \times 10^{-2}, & \frac{M_a}{M_\chi} &= 10
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

So we find that the bound from η' -invisible decay does not allow to have the annihilation cross section leading to correct DM density. However we can use mass point $M_a = 2.2 M_\chi$, $M_\chi = 0.45 M_{\eta'}$.

E. Alternative method based on direct interaction of η' with light dark matter particles

Since we are mainly interested in the mass region $M_\eta < 2M_\chi < M_{\eta'}$ we can consider an effective interaction of η' with $\chi\bar{\chi}$, namely

$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta'\chi\bar{\chi}} = \eta' \bar{\chi} (g_s + i\gamma_5 g_p) \chi, \tag{70}$$

where $g_s = \theta_{\eta'a} g_{1\chi a}$, $g_p = \theta_{\eta'a} g_{2\chi a}$. The decay width $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi})$ is given by the formula

$$\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}) = \frac{M_{\eta'}}{8\pi} (g_s^2 \beta_{\eta'\chi}^3 + g_p^2 \beta_{\eta'\chi}). \tag{71}$$

As a consequence of experimental bound $Br(\eta' \rightarrow \text{invisible}) < 2.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and the value (32) $\Gamma_{tot}(\eta') = 0.23 \text{ MeV}$ we find that

$$|G_{\eta'\chi}| < 0.8 \times 10^{-3}, \tag{72}$$

where $G_{\eta'\chi} = g_p \beta_{\eta'\chi}^{1/2}$ or $G_{\eta'\chi} = g_s \beta_{\eta'\chi}^{3/2}$.

The cross section for the $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ based on the use of the effective Lagrangian (18) reads

$$\sigma(\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow \text{hadrons})v_{rel} = \frac{g_p^2(1 - \beta_{\eta'\chi}^2)}{M_{\eta'}^3 \beta_{\eta'\chi}^4} \Gamma_{tot}(\eta') \tag{73}$$

for $g_s = 0$ and

$$\sigma(\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow \text{hadrons})v_{rel} = \frac{g_s^2(1 - \beta_{\eta'\chi}^2)v_{rel}^2}{4M_{\eta'}^3\beta_{\eta'\chi}^4}\Gamma_{\text{tot}}(\eta') \quad (74)$$

for $g_p = 0$. In our estimates we take the values (61) and (68) and we find

$$\frac{g_P^2(1 - \beta_{\eta'\chi}^2)}{\beta_{\eta'\chi}^4} = 1.2 \times 10^{-5}, \quad \frac{g_S^2(1 - \beta_{\eta'\chi}^2)}{\beta_{\eta'\chi}^4} = 3.7 \times 10^{-4} \quad (75)$$

As a consequence of the inequality (72) we obtain

$$\beta_{\eta'\chi} < 0.629, \quad M_\chi > 0.39M_{\eta'} \quad (76)$$

for pseudoscalar coupling ($g_p \neq 0$, $g_s = 0$) and

$$\beta_{\eta'\chi} < 0.454, \quad M_\chi > 0.44M_{\eta'} \quad (77)$$

for scalar coupling ($g_s \neq 0$, $g_p = 0$). Note that we assumed that $M_\chi < 0.5M_{\eta'}$ for scalar coupling ($g_s \neq 0$, $g_p = 0$). So we see that the obtained bounds on M_χ are very strong and lead to some fine tuning in the choice of M_χ . Due to resonance type of the annihilation cross section the improvement for the $\text{Br}(\eta' \rightarrow \text{invisible})$ bound will make the bounds (76) and (77) more stringent.

VI. SUMMARY

In this paper, we discuss leptophobic dark sector with the pseudoscalar portal involving axion/ALPs particles. In particular, we include the mixing of the ALP with light pseudoscalar mesons $P = \pi, \eta, \eta'$ and derive the new limits on the couplings of the ALP with quarks and the couplings of P states with dark fermions using upper limits for the branchings of the invisible modes $\text{Br}(P \rightarrow \text{invisible})$ and constraints obtained by the NA64 experiment at CERN. In our study we establish the limits on the ALP-pseudoscalar mesons mixing parameters, which are related to the combinations of the PQ charges due to the mechanism of diagonalization of the ALP-pseudoscalar mesons mass term. We performed the diagonalization in general case for nonvanishing mass of the ALP $M_{a,0} \neq 0$ and also in the limit $M_{a,0} = 0$ corresponding to the picture of the QCD axion. For the first time, in our numerical analysis we restrict ourselves to the case of the QCD axion, i.e. we neglect by the axion/ALP mass $M_{a,0}$ in the expressions for the ALP-pseudoscalar mesons mixing parameters. We plan to perform comprehensive analysis of the general case with $M_{a,0} \neq 0$ in future. It will give us a possibility to derive the constraints on $M_{a,0}$ and PQ charges using their relations to the ALP-pseudoscalar mesons mixing parameters and existing data.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by ANID PIA/APOYO AFB220003 (Chile), by FONDECYT (Chile) under Grant No. 1240066, and by ANID–Millennium Program–ICN2019_044 (Chile). The work of A. S. Zh. is supported by Russian Science Foundation (grant No. RSF 23-22-00041).

Appendix A: ALP-pseudoscalar mesons mixing and diagonalization of mass term

To first order in isospin breaking and F/f_a expansion, the relations between the bare states ($\pi^3, \eta^8, \eta^0, a^0$) and the mass eigenstates (π^0, η, η', a) are

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^3 &= \pi^0 - \theta_{\pi\eta}\eta - \theta_{\pi\eta'}\eta' + \theta_{a\pi}a, \\ \eta^8 &= \cos\theta_{\eta\eta'}\eta + \sin\theta_{\eta\eta'}\eta' + \left(\theta_{\pi\eta}\cos\theta_{\eta\eta'} + \theta_{\pi\eta'}\sin\theta_{\eta\eta'}\right)\pi^0 + \theta_{a\eta}a, \\ \eta^0 &= \cos\theta_{\eta\eta'}\eta' - \sin\theta_{\eta\eta'}\eta + \left(\theta_{\pi\eta'}\cos\theta_{\eta\eta'} - \theta_{\pi\eta}\sin\theta_{\eta\eta'}\right)\pi^0 + \theta_{a\eta'}a, \\ a^0 &= a - \theta_{a\pi}\pi^0 - \left(\theta_{a\eta}\cos\theta_{\eta\eta'} - \theta_{a\eta'}\sin\theta_{\eta\eta'}\right)\eta - \left(\theta_{a\eta'}\cos\theta_{\eta\eta'} + \theta_{a\eta}\sin\theta_{\eta\eta'}\right)\eta'. \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

First, we specify the mixing angles and the masses for the subsystem of the pseudoscalar mesons (π^0 , η , η') [19, 23]. As the result of the diagonalization of the mass mixing term for the mixing angle $\theta_{\eta\eta'}$ two independent Leutwyler relations have been derived in Ref. [23]:

$$\begin{aligned}\sin 2\theta_{\eta\eta'} &= \frac{2M_{\eta^s\eta^0}^2}{M_{\eta'}^2 - M_\eta^2} = -\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3} \frac{M_K^2 - M_\pi^2}{M_{\eta'}^2 - M_\eta^2}, \\ \tan \theta_{\eta\eta'} &= \frac{M_{\eta^s}^2 - M_\eta^2}{M_{\eta^s\eta^0}^2} = -\frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{M_{\eta^s}^2 - M_\eta^2}{M_K^2 - M_\pi^2},\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A2})$$

where $M_K^2 = (M_{K^+}^2 + M_{K^0}^2)/2 = B(m_s + \hat{m})$, $M_\pi^2 = (M_{\pi^+}^2 + M_{\pi^0}^2)/2 = 2B\hat{m}$, and $\hat{m} = (m_u + m_d)/2$. Using Eq. (A2) one can derive two additional useful relations [19]:

$$\begin{aligned}\sin^2 \theta_{\eta\eta'} &= \frac{M_{\eta^s}^2 - M_\eta^2}{M_{\eta'}^2 - M_\eta^2}, \\ (M_K^2 - M_\pi^2)^2 &= \frac{9}{8} (M_{\eta'}^2 - M_{\eta^s}^2)(M_{\eta^s}^2 - M_\eta^2).\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A3})$$

For convenience we introduce the parameter $x = Bm_s/M_0^2 = (2M_K^2 - M_\pi^2)/(2M_0^2)$. Using experimental values for the pion and kaon masses $M_{\pi^+} = 0.13957$ GeV, $M_{\pi^0} = 0.1349768$ GeV, $M_{K^+} = 0.493677$ GeV, $M_{K^0} = 0.497611$ GeV, and a typical value for the $M_0 \simeq 1$ GeV we estimate $x \simeq 0.236$. Therefore, in the following we perform expansion of the masses and mixing parameters including terms up to second order in x . In particular, the expansion for the $\sin 2\theta_{\eta\eta'}$ starts from x . Keeping the first order in \hat{m}/m_s and two order in x we get:

$$\sin 2\theta_{\eta\eta'} = -\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3} x \left[1 + \frac{2}{3}x + \frac{4}{9}x^2 - \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \left(1 + \frac{4}{3}x + \frac{4}{3}x^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x^3, \frac{\hat{m}^2}{m_s^2}\right) \right]. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Numerically using canonical value of ChPT for the ratio of quark masses $m_s/\hat{m} = 25$ [2, 3] we get $\theta_{\eta\eta'} \simeq -15.1^\circ$, which is in very good agreement with the measurements made by the KLOE (-15.0 ± 0.7)^o [53] and BESII (-15.9 ± 1.2)^o [54] experiments.

For completeness we also present the expansion for the $\cos \theta_{\eta\eta'}$ and $\sin \theta_{\eta\eta'}$

$$\begin{aligned}\cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} &= 1 - \frac{4}{9}x^2 + \frac{8}{9} \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} x^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(x^3, \frac{\hat{m}^2}{m_s^2}\right), \\ \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'} &= -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} x \left[1 + \frac{2}{3}x + \frac{8}{9}x^2 - \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \left(1 + \frac{4}{3}x + \frac{8}{3}x^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x^3, \frac{\hat{m}^2}{m_s^2}\right) \right].\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A5})$$

Next we specify the the mixing angles of pion with η and η'

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{\pi\eta} &= A_{\pi\eta\eta'} \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} - B_{\pi\eta\eta'} \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'}, \\ \theta_{\pi\eta'} &= B_{\pi\eta\eta'} \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} + A_{\pi\eta\eta'} \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'},\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A6})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}A_{\pi\eta\eta'} &= \frac{M_{\pi^3\eta^s}^2(M_{\eta^0}^2 - M_{\pi^3}^2) - M_{\eta^s\eta^0}^2 M_{\pi^3\eta^0}^2}{M_{\eta^s\eta^0}^4 - (M_{\eta^0}^2 - M_{\pi^3}^2)(M_{\eta^s}^2 - M_{\pi^3}^2)}, \\ B_{\pi\eta\eta'} &= \frac{M_{\pi^3\eta^0}^2(M_{\eta^s}^2 - M_{\pi^3}^2) - M_{\eta^s\eta^0}^2 M_{\pi^3\eta^s}^2}{M_{\eta^s\eta^0}^4 - (M_{\eta^0}^2 - M_{\pi^3}^2)(M_{\eta^s}^2 - M_{\pi^3}^2)}.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A7})$$

Expansion for the $\theta_{\pi\eta}$, $\theta_{\pi\eta'}$, and their ratio $R_{\eta\eta'} = \theta_{\pi\eta'}/\theta_{\pi\eta}$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{\pi\eta} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \frac{\delta m}{m_s} \left[1 + 2x + \frac{20}{9}x^2 + \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \left(1 - \frac{20}{9}x^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x^3, \frac{\hat{m}^2}{m_s^2}\right) \right], \\ \theta_{\pi\eta'} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} x \frac{\delta m}{m_s} \left[1 - \frac{4}{3}x - \frac{16}{9}x^2 + \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \left(\frac{4}{3}x + \frac{32}{3}x^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x^3, \frac{\hat{m}^2}{m_s^2}\right) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A8})$$

where $\delta m = m_d - m_u$ is the $d - u$ quark mass difference, which encodes the strong isospin breaking effect. Using canonical values of the $\delta m = 4$ MeV we get:

$$\theta_{\pi\eta} = 0.016, \quad \theta_{\pi\eta'} = 0.0027. \quad (\text{A9})$$

One can see that the $\theta_{\pi\eta'}$ mixing parameter is suppressed by one order in comparison with the parameter $\theta_{\pi\eta}$. Expressions for the masses of the pseudoscalar mesons after diagonalization and their expansions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_\pi^2 &= M_{\pi^3}^2 + 2M_{\pi^3\eta^8}^2 \left(\theta_{\pi\eta} \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} + \theta_{\pi\eta'} \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'} \right) + 2M_{\pi^3\eta^0}^2 \left(\theta_{\pi\eta'} \cos \theta_{\eta\eta'} - \theta_{\pi\eta} \sin \theta_{\eta\eta'} \right) \\ &= 2B\hat{m} \left[1 - \frac{\delta m^2}{4\hat{m}m_s} \left(1 + \frac{2}{3}x + \frac{32}{3}x^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x^3, \frac{\delta m \hat{m}}{m_s^2}, \frac{\delta m^2}{m_s^2}\right) \right], \\ M_{\eta'}^2 &= M_{\eta^8}^2 \cos^2 \theta_{\eta\eta'} + M_{\eta^0}^2 \sin^2 \theta_{\eta\eta'} - M_{\eta^8\eta^0}^2 \sin 2\theta_{\eta\eta'} \\ &= \frac{4}{3}Bm_s \left[1 - \frac{2}{3}x - \frac{4}{9}x^2 + \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3}x + \frac{4}{3}x^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x^3, \frac{\hat{m}^2}{m_s^2}\right) \right], \\ M_{\eta^0}^2 &= M_{\eta^0}^2 \cos^2 \theta_{\eta\eta'} + M_{\eta^8}^2 \sin^2 \theta_{\eta\eta'} + M_{\eta^8\eta^0}^2 \sin 2\theta_{\eta\eta'} \\ &= \frac{2}{3}Bm_s \left[\frac{3}{2x} + 1 + \frac{4}{3}x + \frac{8}{9}x^2 + \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \left(2 - \frac{8}{3}x - \frac{8}{3}x^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x^3, \frac{\hat{m}^2}{m_s^2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

Below we list results for the mass of the QCD axion $M_{a,\text{QCD}}^2$ and the axion-pseudoscalar mesons mixing parameters θ_{aP} , which were obtained recently in Ref. [44] and perform expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{a\pi} &= \frac{F}{2f_a} \left[Q_d - Q_u + \frac{\delta m}{2\hat{m}} \frac{Q_{\text{tot}}}{1+\epsilon} \right] \\ &= \frac{F}{2f_a} \left[Q_d - Q_u + \frac{\delta m}{2\hat{m}} (1-\epsilon) Q_{\text{tot}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right] \\ &= \frac{F}{2f_a} \left[Q_d - Q_u + \frac{Q_{\text{tot}}}{2} \frac{\delta m}{\hat{m}} \left(1 - \frac{\hat{m}}{2m_s} (1+6x) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\hat{m}^2}{m_s^2}, \frac{\delta m^3}{\hat{m}^3}\right) \right], \\ \theta_{a\eta} &= \frac{F\sqrt{3}}{2f_a} \left[Q_s + \frac{Q_{GQ}}{3} - \frac{\epsilon Q_{\text{tot}}}{1+\epsilon} \frac{1+2x}{1+6x} \right] \\ &= \frac{F\sqrt{3}}{2f_a} \left[Q_s + \frac{Q_{GQ}}{3} - \epsilon Q_{\text{tot}} \frac{1+2x}{1+6x} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right] \\ &= \frac{F\sqrt{3}}{2f_a} \left[Q_s + \frac{Q_{GQ}}{3} - \frac{Q_{\text{tot}}}{2} \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \left(1 - \frac{\delta m^2}{4\hat{m}^2} \right) (1+2x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\hat{m}^2}{m_s^2}\right) \right], \\ \theta_{a\eta'} &= \frac{F}{f_a\sqrt{6}} \left[Q_{GQ} - \frac{\epsilon Q_{\text{tot}}}{1+\epsilon} \frac{6x}{1+6x} \right] \\ &= \frac{F}{f_a\sqrt{6}} \left[Q_{GQ} - \epsilon Q_{\text{tot}} \frac{6x}{1+6x} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right] \\ &= \frac{F}{f_a\sqrt{6}} \left[Q_{GQ} - 3Q_{\text{tot}} \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \left(1 - \frac{\delta m^2}{4\hat{m}^2} \right) x + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\hat{m}^2}{m_s^2}\right) \right], \\ M_{a,\text{QCD}}^2 &= \sum_P \left(\theta_{aP}^2 M_P^2 + 2\theta_{aP} M_{a^0P} \right) + 2\theta_{a\eta^8} \theta_{a\eta^0} M_{\eta^8\eta^0}^2 + 2\theta_{a\pi^3} \left(\theta_{a\eta^8} M_{\pi^3\eta^8} + \theta_{a\eta^0} M_{\pi^3\eta^0} \right) \\ &= \frac{F^2}{f_a^2} \frac{Q_{\text{tot}}^2}{1+\epsilon} \frac{Bm_um_d}{m_u+m_d} \simeq \frac{F^2}{4f_a^2} Q_{\text{tot}}^2 M_\pi^2 \\ &= \frac{F^2}{2f_a^2} Q_{\text{tot}}^2 B\hat{m} \left[1 - \frac{\delta m^2}{4\hat{m}^2} - \frac{\hat{m}}{2m_s} \left(1 - \frac{\delta m^2}{2\hat{m}^2} \right) (1+6x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\hat{m}^2}{m_s^2}, \frac{\delta m^4}{\hat{m}^3 m_s}\right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

where $Q_{\text{tot}} = Q_u + Q_d + Q_s + Q_{GQ}$, $\epsilon = \frac{m_um_d}{m_s(m_u+m_d)} (1+6x) \sim \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \simeq 0.044$ is the small parameter in which we make an expansion. As we stressed in Sec. II

Now we present the results for the diagonalization of the underlying Lagrangian (2) with taking into account of the axion mass term $-M_{a,0}^2 (a^0)^2/2$. As we stressed before, $M_{a,0}$ is the leading perturbative contribution to the ALP mass due explicit breaking of the PQ symmetry. The results for the mixing parameters of the axion with pseudoscalar are modified in this case as

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{a\pi}^{\text{full}} &= \frac{F}{f_a} B \hat{m} \frac{I_{a\pi}}{J}, \\ \theta_{a\eta}^{\text{full}} &= \frac{F}{f_a \sqrt{3}} B \hat{m} \frac{I_{a\eta}}{J}, \\ \theta_{a\eta'}^{\text{full}} &= \frac{F}{f_a \sqrt{6}} B \hat{m} \frac{I_{a\eta'}}{J},\end{aligned}\tag{A12}$$

where I_{aP} and J are given by

$$\begin{aligned}I_{aP} &= \alpha_1^P M_{a,0}^4 + \alpha_2^P M_{a,0}^2 B m_s + \alpha_3^P (B m_s)^2, \\ J &= \beta_1 M_{a,0}^6 + \beta_2 M_{a,0}^4 B m_s + \beta_3 M_{a,0}^2 (B m_s)^2 + \beta_4 (B m_s)^3\end{aligned}\tag{A13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1^\pi &= x \left[Q_d - Q_u + \frac{\delta m}{2\hat{m}} (Q_u + Q_d) \right], \\ \alpha_2^\pi &= -(Q_d - Q_u) \left[1 + 2x + \frac{4x\epsilon}{1+6x} \right] - \frac{\delta m}{\hat{m}} (Q_u + Q_d) (2+x) - \frac{\delta m}{3\hat{m}} Q_{GQ}, \\ \alpha_3^\pi &= \frac{4}{3} \left[(Q_d - Q_u)(1+\epsilon) + \frac{\delta m}{2\hat{m}} Q_{\text{tot}} \right], \\ \alpha_1^\eta &= \frac{2m_s}{\hat{m}} x \left[Q_s - \frac{\hat{m}}{2m_s} (Q_u + Q_d) - \frac{\delta m}{4m_s} (Q_d - Q_u) \right], \\ \alpha_2^\eta &= \frac{2m_s}{\hat{m}} \left[-Q_s \left(1 + 4x \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \right) - \frac{Q_{GQ}}{3} \left(1 - \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \right) + \frac{\hat{m}}{2m_s} (Q_u + Q_d) \left(1 + 2x + \frac{4x\epsilon}{1+6x} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\delta m}{4m_s} (Q_d - Q_u)(1+2x) \right], \\ \alpha_3^\eta &= 4 \left[\left(Q_s + \frac{Q_{GQ}}{3} \right) (1+\epsilon) - Q_{\text{tot}} \frac{1+2x}{1+6x} \epsilon \right], \\ \alpha_1^{\eta'} &= \frac{m_s}{\hat{m}} \left[Q_{GQ} - 2x \left(Q_s + \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} (Q_u + Q_d) + \frac{\delta m}{2m_s} (Q_d - Q_u) \right) \right], \\ \alpha_2^{\eta'} &= \frac{m_s}{\hat{m}} \left[-\frac{4}{3} Q_{GQ} \left(1 + \frac{2\hat{m}}{m_s} \right) + 4x \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \left(2Q_s + (Q_u + Q_d) \left(1 + \frac{2\epsilon}{1+6x} \right) \right) + 2x \frac{\delta m}{m_s} (Q_d - Q_u) \right], \\ \alpha_3^{\eta'} &= \frac{8}{3} \left[Q_{GQ} (1+\epsilon) - Q_{\text{tot}} \frac{6x\epsilon}{1+6x} \right], \\ \beta_1 &= -x, \\ \beta_2 &= 1 + 2x \left(1 + 2 \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \right), \\ \beta_3 &= -\frac{4}{3} \left(1 + 2 \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} \left(1 + 3x + \frac{3x\epsilon}{1+6x} \right) \right), \\ \beta_4 &= \frac{8}{3} \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s} (1+\epsilon).\end{aligned}\tag{A14}$$

We should note that the axion mass in the full scheme is defined as

$$M_a^2 = M_{a,0}^2 + \sum_P \left((\theta_{aP}^{\text{full}})^2 M_P^2 + 2\theta_{aP}^{\text{full}} M_{a^0 P} \right) + 2\theta_{a\eta^8}^{\text{full}} \theta_{a\eta^0}^{\text{full}} M_{\eta^8 \eta^0}^2 + 2\theta_{a\pi^3}^{\text{full}} \left(\theta_{a\eta^8}^{\text{full}} M_{a^0 \eta^8} + \theta_{a\eta^0}^{\text{full}} M_{a^0 \eta^0} \right), \tag{A15}$$

where the second term is an extension of the QCD axion mass squared at $M_{a,0} \neq 0$.




FIG. 1: Predictions for the ALP-pseudoscalar mixing parameters as functions of the ALP mass M_a .

One can see that in the limit $M_{a,0} = 0$ we reproduce the results for the diagonalization obtained for the QCD axion in Eq. (A11). In particular, in this limit $M_a^2 \rightarrow M_{a,\text{QCD}}^2$ and $\theta_{aP}^{\text{full}} \rightarrow \theta_{aP}$. Also it is important to stress that our full results (A12) are differed from the results obtained in the limit when the mixing of the pseudoscalar mesons is dropped (see, e.g., Ref. [38]) by corrections of order M_P^2/M_a^2 and \hat{m}/m_s , where M_P is the mass of the pseudoscalar meson.

In Figs.1 we display the results for the mixing parameters $\theta_{a\pi}^{\text{full}}$, $\theta_{a\eta}^{\text{full}}$, and $\theta_{a\pi}^{\text{full}}$ as functions of the axion mass M_a . For convenience, we subtract the factor $(F_\pi Q)/f_a$, where $F_\pi = 92.4$ MeV and Q is averaged PQ charge. For specific values of PQs we use: $Q_u = -Q_d = -Q_s = Q_{GQ} = Q$.

-
- [1] P. Agrawal, M. Bauer, J. Beacham, A. Berlin, A. Boyarsky, S. Cebrian, X. Cid-Vidal, D. d’Enterria, A. De Roeck and M. Drewes, *et al.* Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 1015 (2021) [arXiv:2102.12143 [hep-ph]]; N. V. Krasnikov and V. A. Matveev, Phys. Usp. **47**, 643 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0309200 [hep-ph]]; J. Jaeckel and A. Ringwald, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **60**, 405 (2010) [arXiv:1002.0329 [hep-ph]]; S. Alekhin, W. Altmannshofer, T. Asaka, B. Batell, F. Bezrukov, K. Bondarenko, A. Boyarsky, K. Y. Choi, C. Corral, and N. Craig, *et al.* Rept. Prog. Phys. **79**, 124201 (2016) [arXiv:1504.04855 [hep-ph]]; J. Beacham, C. Burrage, D. Curtin, A. De Roeck, J. Evans, J. L. Feng, C. Gatto, S. Gninenko, A. Hartin and I. Irastorza, *et al.* J. Phys. G **47**, 010501 (2020) [arXiv:1901.09966 [hep-ex]]; J. Elam *et al.* (REDTOP Collaboration), [arXiv:2203.07651 [hep-ex]]; L. Di Luzio, H. Gisbert, G. Levati, P. Paradisi, and P. Sørensen, [arXiv:2312.17310 [hep-ph]].
- [2] S. Weinberg, Physica A **96**, 327 (1979); J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Annals Phys. **158**, 142 (1984).
- [3] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B **250**, 465 (1985).
- [4] A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B **59**, 82 (1975); A. M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B **120**, 429 (1977); A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. S. Schwartz and Y. S. Tyupkin, Phys. Lett. B **59**, 85 (1975); G. ’t Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 8 (1976); Phys. Rev. D **14**, 3432 (1976); D **18**, 2199(E) (1978) C. G. Callan, Jr., R. F. Dashen, and D. J. Gross, Phys. Lett. B **63**, 334 (1976); R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 172 (1976); S. R. Coleman, Subnucl. Ser. **15**, 805 (1979).
- [5] V. Baluni, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2227 (1979).
- [6] R. J. Crewther, P. Di Vecchia, G. Veneziano, and E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B **88**, 123 (1979); B **91**, 487(E) (1980).
- [7] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B **166**, 493 (1980).
- [8] H. Georgi, D. B. Kaplan, and L. Randall, Phys. Lett. B **169**, 73 (1986).
- [9] T. Gutsche, A. N. Hiller Blin, S. Kovalenko, S. Kuleshov, V. E. Lyubovitskij, M. J. Vicente Vacas, and A. Zhevlakov, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 036022 (2017) [arXiv:1612.02276 [hep-ph]]; A. S. Zhevlakov, M. Gorchtein, A. N. Hiller Blin, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 031703(R) (2019) [arXiv:1812.00171 [hep-ph]]; A. S. Zhevlakov, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 115004 (2019) [arXiv:1904.08154 [hep-ph]]; A. S. Zhevlakov and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 115041 (2020) [arXiv:2003.12217 [hep-ph]].
- [10] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, S. Kovalenko, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 074013 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0607269 [hep-ph]]; Phys. Rev. D **73**, 114023 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0604026 [hep-ph]].
- [11] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 1440 (1977) Phys. Rev. D **16**, 1791 (1977).
- [12] R. D. Peccei, Lect. Notes Phys. **741**, 3 (2008) [arXiv:hep-ph/0607268 [hep-ph]].
- [13] O. Castillo-Felisola, C. Corral, S. Kovalenko, I. Schmidt, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 085017 (2015) [arXiv:1502.03694 [hep-ph]].
- [14] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 223 (1978).
- [15] F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 279 (1978).
- [16] G. ’t Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B **72**, 461 (1974); B **75**, 461 (1974); G. Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. B **117**, 519 (1976); R. J. Crewther, Phys. Lett. B **70**, 349 (1977); E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **160**, 57 (1979); P. Di Vecchia, Phys. Lett. B **85**, 357 (1979); S. R. Coleman and E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 100 (1980); P. Nath and R. L. Arnowitt, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 473 (1981).
- [17] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **156**, 269 (1979).
- [18] G. Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. B **159**, 213 (1979).
- [19] H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **64**, 223 (1998); [arXiv:hep-ph/9709408 [hep-ph]]; R. Kaiser and H. Leutwyler, Eur. Phys. J. C **17**, 623 (2000).
- [20] E. Witten, Annals Phys. **128**, 363 (1980).
- [21] P. Di Vecchia and G. Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. B **171**, 253 (1980).
- [22] P. Di Vecchia, Phys. Lett. B **85**, 357 (1979); C. Rosenzweig, J. Schechter, and C. G. Trahern, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 3388 (1980); P. Nath and R. L. Arnowitt, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 473 (1981).
- [23] H. Leutwyler, Phys. Lett. B **374**, 181 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9601236 [hep-ph]].
- [24] R. Kaiser and H. Leutwyler, [arXiv:hep-ph/9806336 [hep-ph]].
- [25] T. Feldmann, P. Kroll, and B. Stech, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 114006 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9802409 [hep-ph]]; Phys. Lett. B **449**, 339 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9812269 [hep-ph]]; T. Feldmann and P. Kroll, Eur. Phys. J. C **5**, 327 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9711231 [hep-ph]].
- [26] T. Feldmann, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **15**, 159 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9907491 [hep-ph]].
- [27] S. N. Gninenko, D. V. Kirpichnikov, S. Kuleshov, V. E. Lyubovitskij, and A. S. Zhevlakov, Phys. Rev. D **109**, 075021 (2024) [arXiv:2312.01703 [hep-ph]].

- [28] A. S. Zhevlakov, D. V. Kirpichnikov, S. N. Gninenko, S. Kuleshov, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **108**, 115005 (2023) [arXiv:2309.09347 [hep-ph]].
- [29] L. M. Krauss and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B **176**, 483 (1986).
- [30] K. Choi, K. Kang, and J. E. Kim, Phys. Lett. B **181**, 145 (1986).
- [31] W. A. Bardeen, R. D. Peccei, and T. Yanagida, Nucl. Phys. B **279**, 401 (1987).
- [32] D. Aloni, Y. Soreq, and M. Williams, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 031803 (2019) [arXiv:1811.03474 [hep-ph]].
- [33] G. Alonso-Álvarez, M. B. Gavela, and P. Quilez, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 223 (2019) [arXiv:1811.05466 [hep-ph]].
- [34] W. Altmannshofer, S. Gori, and D. J. Robinson, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 075002 (2020) [arXiv:1909.00005 [hep-ph]].
- [35] S. Gori, G. Perez, and K. Tobioka, JHEP **08**, 110 (2020) [arXiv:2005.05170 [hep-ph]].
- [36] L. Gan, B. Kubis, E. Passemar, and S. Tulin, Phys. Rept. **945**, 1 (2022) [arXiv:2007.00664 [hep-ph]].
- [37] D. S. M. Alves, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 055018 (2021) [arXiv:2009.05578 [hep-ph]].
- [38] Y. Ema, F. Sala, and R. Sato, SciPost Phys. **10**, 072 (2021) [arXiv:2011.01939 [hep-ph]].
- [39] M. Bauer, M. Neubert, S. Renner, M. Schnubel, and A. Thamm, JHEP **04**, 063 (2021) [arXiv:2012.12272 [hep-ph]].
- [40] M. Bauer, M. Neubert, S. Renner, M. Schnubel, and A. Thamm, JHEP **09**, 056 (2022) [arXiv:2110.10698 [hep-ph]].
- [41] R. Gao, Z. H. Guo, J. A. Oller, and H. Q. Zhou, JHEP **04**, 022 (2023) [arXiv:2211.02867 [hep-ph]].
- [42] C. Cornella, A. M. Galda, M. Neubert, and D. Wyler, JHEP **06**, 029 (2024) [arXiv:2308.16903 [hep-ph]].
- [43] L. Di Luzio, G. Levati, and P. Paradisi, JHEP **2024**, 020 (2024) [arXiv:2311.12158 [hep-ph]].
- [44] D. S. M. Alves and S. González-Solís, [arXiv:2402.02993 [hep-ph]].
- [45] J. B. Wang, Z. H. Guo, Z. Lu, and H. Q. Zhou, [arXiv:2403.16064 [hep-ph]].
- [46] M. Aghaie, G. Armando, A. Conaci, A. Dondarini, P. Matak, P. Panci, Z. Sinska, and R. Ziegler, [arXiv:2404.12199 [hep-ph]].
- [47] R. Escribano, S. Gonzalez-Solis, and P. Roig, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 034008 (2016) [arXiv:1601.03989 [hep-ph]].
- [48] D. Banerjee *et al.* (NA64 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 081801 (2020) [arXiv:2005.02710 [hep-ex]].
- [49] R. L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), PTEP **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
- [50] E. Cortina Gil *et al.* (NA62 Collaboration), JHEP **02**, 201 (2021) [arXiv:2010.07644 [hep-ex]].
- [51] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **87**, 012009 (2013) [arXiv:1209.2469 [hep-ex]].
- [52] Y. M. Andreev *et al.* (NA64 Collaboration) η and η' decays with NA64h," [arXiv:2406.01990 [hep-ex]].
- [53] F. Ambrosino *et al.* (KLOE Collaboration), content with KLOE detector," Phys. Lett. B **648**, 267 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ex/0612029 [hep-ex]].
- [54] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **73**, 052008 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ex/0510066 [hep-ex]].