

Robust Classification of Dynamic Bichromatic Point Sets in \mathbb{R}^2

Erwin Glazenburg ✉

Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Marc van Kreveld ✉

Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Frank Staals ✉

Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Abstract

Let $R \cup B$ be a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^2 , and let $k \in 1..n$. Our goal is to compute a line that “best” separates the “red” points R from the “blue” points B with at most k outliers. We present an efficient semi-online dynamic data structure that can maintain whether such a separator exists. Furthermore, we present efficient exact and approximation algorithms that compute a linear separator that is guaranteed to misclassify at most k points and minimizes the distance to the farthest outlier. Our exact algorithm runs in $O(nk + n \log n)$ time, and our $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm runs in $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}((n + k^2) \log n))$ time. Based on our $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm we then also obtain a semi-online data structure to maintain such a separator efficiently.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation \rightarrow Computational Geometry

Keywords and phrases classification, duality, data structures

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs...

Funding *Erwin Glazenburg*: Supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) under project OCENW.M20.135.




© Erwin Glazenburg, Marc van Kreveld, and Frank Staals;
licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY 4.0



Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany



■ **Figure 1** Red and blue points, and two optimal separators for M_{\max} . Allowing more misclassifications could decrease the value of M_{\max} .

1 Introduction

Classification is a well known and well studied problem: given a “training” set of n data items with known classes, decide which class to assign to a new query item. Support Vector Machines (SVMs) [1] are a popular method for binary classification in which there are just two classes: *red* and *blue*. An SVM maps the input data items to points in \mathbb{R}^d , and constructs a hyperplane s that separates the red points R from the blue points B “as well as possible”. Intuitively, it tries to minimize the distance from s to the set $X(s, B \cup R) \subseteq R \cup B$ of points *misclassified* by s while maximizing the distance to the closest correctly classified points. A red point $r \in R$ is misclassified if it lies strictly inside the halfspace s^+ above (left) of s , whereas a blue point $b \in B$ is misclassified if it lies strictly inside the halfspace s^- below s . See Figure 1 for an illustration. An SVM is typically modeled as a convex quadratic programming problem with linear constraints. However, this cannot provide guarantees on the number of misclassifications nor on the running time¹. In practice, solving such optimization problems is possible, but it is computationally expensive as it involves $n + d$ variables [5]. This problem is magnified as training a high-quality classifier typically requires computing many classifiers, each trained on a large subset of the input data, during cross-validation. Similarly, in streaming settings, the labeled input points arrive on the fly, and old data points should be removed due to concept drift [6]. Each such update requires recomputing the classifier. Hence, this limits the applicability of SVMs in these settings, even when the input data is just low-dimensional.

The goal. We aim to tackle both these problems. That is, we wish to develop an “SVM-like” linear classifier that can provide guarantees on the number of misclassified points k , and can be constructed and updated efficiently. As the problem of minimizing k is NP-complete in general [7], we restrict our attention to the setting where the input points are low-dimensional. As it turns out, even for points in the plane, this is a challenging problem.

For a separator s , let $M_{\text{mis}}(s) = |X(s, B \cup R)|$ be the number of points misclassified by s . Let $S_k(B \cup R) = \{s \mid M_{\text{mis}}(s) \leq k\}$ denote the set of hyperplanes that misclassify at most k points from $B \cup R$, and let $\text{dist}(p, q)$ denote the Euclidean distance between geometric objects p and q . When the point sets are linearly separable, we want to compute a maximum-margin separator $s_{\text{strip}} \in S_0(R \cup B)$ that correctly classifies all points and maximizes the distance $M_{\text{strip}}(s_{\text{strip}}) = \min_{p \in R \cup B} \text{dist}(s_{\text{strip}}, p)$ to the closest points, exactly as in an SVM. Moreover, we would like to efficiently maintain such a separator when we insert or delete a point from $B \cup R$. We can relatively easily find such a separator by maintaining the convex hulls of R and B (Theorem 14). So the main challenge occurs when the point sets are not

¹ When we restrict the coefficients in the SVM formulation to be rational numbers with bounded bit complexity such a problem can be solved in polynomial time [2, 3, 4]. However, it is unclear if they can be extended to allow for arbitrary real valued costs.

linearly separable. In this case, given a maximum number of allowed misclassified points k , our aim is to find a separator $s_{\text{opt}} \in S_k(R \cup B)$ that minimizes the (Euclidean) distance $M_{\text{max}}(s) = \max_{p \in X(s, B \cup R)} \text{dist}(p, s)$ to the furthest misclassified point. This thus asks for a minimum width strip containing the k outliers. We again would like to maintain such a separator when points are inserted or deleted. Furthermore, we may want to compute the smallest number k_{min} for which there exists a separator s_{min} that misclassifies at most k_{min} points. Note that decreasing the number of outliers may increase the value of M_{max} , i.e. when $k_{\text{min}} < k$ we may have $M_{\text{max}}(s_{\text{min}}) > M_{\text{max}}(s_{\text{opt}})$, see Figure 1.

By the above discussion we distinguish four general variations of the problem:

MaxStrip: find a separator $s_{\text{strip}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{s \in S_0(R \cup B)} M_{\text{strip}}(s)$

MinMax: find a separator $s_{\text{max}} = \operatorname{argmin}_s M_{\text{max}}(s)$

MinMis: find a separator $s_{\text{mis}} = \operatorname{argmin}_s M_{\text{mis}}(s)$

k -mis MinMax: given a value k , find a separator $s_{\text{opt}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{s \in S_k(B \cup R)} M_{\text{max}}(s)$

Related Work. It is well known that for points in \mathbb{R}^d , for constant d , we can test if R and B can be linearly separated in $O(n)$ time by using linear programming (LP) [8]. The problem becomes much more challenging when we wish to allow for a limited number of misclassifications. Everett, Robert, and van Kreveld [9] showed that for point sets R and B in the plane, one can find a line that separates R and B while allowing for at most k misclassifications in $O(n \log n + nk)$ time. Matoušek [10] showed how to solve LP-type problems while allowing at most k violated constraints. In particular, for linear programming in \mathbb{R}^2 , and thus also for our problem of finding a separating line with at most k misclassifications, his algorithm runs in $O(n \log n + k^3 \log^2 n)$ time. Chan [11] improves this to $O((n + k^2) \log n)$ time, and can compute the smallest number k for which the points can be separated (the MinMis problem) in the same time. Aronov et al. [12] considered computing optimal separators with respect to other error measures as well. In particular, they consider minimizing the distance $M_{\text{max}}(s)$ from s to the furthest misclassified point, as well as minimizing the average (squared) distance to a misclassified point $M_{\text{avg}}^\beta(s) = \sum_{p \in X(s, B \cup R)} (\text{dist}(s, p))^\beta$. For n points in \mathbb{R}^2 , their running times for computing an optimal separator vary from $O(n \log n)$ for the M_{max} measure (the MinMax problem), to $O(n^{4/3})$ for the M_{avg}^1 measure, to $O(n^2)$ for M_{mis} (the MinMis problem) and the M_{avg}^2 measures. Some of their results extend to points in higher dimensions as well. Har-Peled and Koltun [13] consider similar measures, and present both exact and approximation algorithms. For example, they present an exact $O(nk^{d+1} \log n)$ time algorithm to find a hyperplane that minimizes the number of outliers (for points in \mathbb{R}^d), and an $O(n(\varepsilon^{-2} \log n)^{d+1})$ time algorithm to compute a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of that number². Their exact and approximation algorithms for computing a hyperplane minimizing M_{max} run in $O(n^d)$ and $O(n\varepsilon^{(d-1)/2})$ time, respectively. Matheny and Phillips [14] consider computing a separating hyperplane s , so that the discrepancy (that is, the fraction of red points in s^- minus the fraction of blue points in s^-) is maximized. They present an $O(n + \varepsilon^{-d} \log^4(\varepsilon^{-1}))$ time algorithm that makes an additive error of at most ε (and thus “misclassifies” at most εn points more than an optimal (with respect to discrepancy) classifier).

Results. As a warmup, in Section 2, we show that for points in \mathbb{R}^1 we can achieve both our goals: minimizing M_{max} with a hard guarantee on the number of outliers *and* efficiently

² Here and throughout the rest of the paper, $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrarily small constant.

supporting updates. In particular, we present an optimal linear space solution:

► **Theorem 1.** *Let $B \cup R$ be a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^1 . There is an $O(n)$ space data structure that, given a query value $k \in 1..n$ can compute an optimal separator $s_{\text{opt}} \in S_k(B \cup R)$ with respect to M_{max} in $O(\log n)$ time, and supports inserting or deleting a point in $O(\log n)$ time.*

The main focus of our paper is to establish whether we can achieve similar results for points in \mathbb{R}^2 . If the points are separable, we can maintain a maximum-margin separator—essentially a maximum width strip—in $O(\log^2 n)$ time per update, see Section 3.

The problem gets significantly more complicated when the point sets are not separable, and we thus wish to compute, and maintain, a separator $s_{\text{opt}} \in S_k(B \cup R)$ minimizing the distance M_{max} to the farthest misclassified point. We can test whether a separator $s \in S_k(B \cup R)$ exists (and find the smallest k for which a separator exists) using LP with violations. In Section 4 we show how to dynamize Chan’s approach to maintain such a separator when the set of points changes. In particular, given a static linear objective function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a dynamic set H of halfplanes that is given in a semi-online manner, i.e. at the time we insert a halfplane h into H we are told when we will delete h , we show how to efficiently maintain a point p minimizing f that lies outside at most k halfplanes from H :

► **Theorem 2.** *Let H be a set of n halfspaces in \mathbb{R}^2 , let f be a linear objective function, and let $k \in 1..n$. There is an $O(n + k^2 \log^2 n)$ space data structure that maintains a point p that violates at most k constraints of H (if it exists) and minimizes f , and supports semi-online updates in expected amortized $O(k \log^3 n)$ time.*

This then also allows us to maintain whether a separator that misclassifies at most k points exists in amortized $O(k \log^3 n)$ time per (semi-online) update, as well as maintain the minimum value k for which this is the case. Since linear programming queries have many other applications, e.g. finding extremal points and tangents, we believe this result to be of independent interest. For example, given a threshold δ , our data structure also allows us to maintain a line that minimizes the number of points k at vertical distance exceeding δ from ℓ in amortized $O(k \log^3 n)$ time per update. Note that the best update time we can reasonably expect with this approach is $O((1 + k^2/n) \log n)$. For values of k that are small (e.g. polylogarithmic) or very large (near linear) our approach is relatively close to this bound.

In Section 5, we then actually incorporate finding the best separator from $S_k(B \cup R)$; i.e. a separator that minimizes M_{max} . We first tackle the algorithmic problem of simply computing such an optimal separator. Our main result here is:

► **Theorem 3.** *Let $B \cup R$ be a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^2 , and let $k \in 1..n$. We can compute a separator $s_{\text{opt}} \in S_k(B \cup R)$ minimizing M_{max} in*

- $O(nk + n \log n)$ time,
- $O((n + |S_k(B \cup R)| + n + k^3) \log^2 n)$ time, or
- when $k = k_{\text{min}}$ in $O(k^{4/3} n^{2/3} \log n + (n + k^2) \log n)$ time.

The key challenge here is that (the region in the dual plane representing) $S_k(B \cup R)$, may consist of $\Theta(k^2)$ connected components, and each one has very little structure. Where in the linear programming approach we can efficiently find one local minimum per connected component, that is no longer the case here. Instead, explicitly construct the boundary of this region. Unfortunately, the total complexity of $S_k(B \cup R)$ is rather large: Chan [15] gives an upper bound of $O(nk^{1/3} + n^{5/6-\varepsilon} k^{2/3+2\varepsilon} + k^2)$. We give two different algorithms to construct $S_k(B \cup R)$, and then efficiently find an optimal separator. When we restrict to the case where $k = k_{\text{min}}$, i.e. finding an separator that minimizes M_{max} among all

lines that misclassify the least possible number of outliers, each connected component of $S_k(B \cup R)$ is a single face in an arrangement of lines. This then gives us a slightly faster $O(k^{4/3}n^{2/3} \log^{2/3}(n/k) + (n + k^2) \log n)$ time algorithm as well.

Unfortunately, even when $k = k_{\min}$, dynamization turns out to be extremely challenging. In Section 6 we present an $O((k^{4/3}n^{2/3} + n) \log^5 n)$ space data structure that supports insertions in amortized $O(kn^{3/4+\varepsilon})$ time, provided that the convex hulls of R and B remain the same. While the applicability of this result is limited, we do use and develop an interesting combination of techniques here. For example; we develop a near linear space data structure that stores the lower envelope of surfaces, and allows for sub-linear time vertical ray shooting queries.

In Section 7, we slightly relax our goal and consider approximating the distance M_{\max} instead. Our key idea is to replace the Euclidean distance by a convex distance function. This avoids some algebraic issues, as the distance between a point and a line now no longer has a quadratic dependency on the slope of the line. Instead the dependency becomes linear. We now obtain a much more efficient algorithm for finding a good separator:

► **Theorem 4.** *Let $B \cup R$ be a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^2 , let $k \in 1..n$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. We can compute a separator $s \in S_k(B \cup R)$ that is a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ approximation with respect to M_{\max} in $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}((n + k^2) \log n))$ time.*

Our approach essentially “guesses” the width δ of a strip “separating” the point sets. We then show that we can use the linear programming machinery to efficiently test whether there exists such a strip containing at most k outliers. This involves extending the algorithm to deal with both “soft constraints” (that may be violated) as well as “hard constraints” that cannot be violated. We then find the smallest δ for which such a strip exists using parametric search [16]. This leads to the following result:


► **Theorem 5.** *Let $B \cup R$ be a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^2 , let $k \in 1..n$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is an $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}(k^2 \log^2 n + n))$ space data structure that maintains a separator $s \in S_k(B \cup R)$ that is a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation with respect to M_{\max} , and supports semi-online updates in expected amortized $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}k \log^3 n \log \log k)$ time.*

Applications. Our data structure from Theorem 5 can reduce the total time in a leave-out-one cross validation process by roughly a linear factor in comparison to Theorem 4. For m -fold cross validation we gain a factor m . Similarly, in a streaming setting in which we maintain a window of width w , we gain a factor of roughly w . Note that in both these settings, the semi-online updates indeed suffice.

Open Problems. There are several remaining interesting open problems. For example, can we improve the running time of the algorithm from Theorem 3? In particular, can we achieve $O((n + k^2) \log n)$ time (the time it takes to test if a valid separator exists)? This will also make it easier to then turn the algorithm into a dynamic data structure. Furthermore, it would be interesting to develop a fully dynamic data structure for LP with violations. And of course, can we obtain similar results in higher dimensions?

2 A fully dynamic solution for points in \mathbb{R}^1

In this section we prove Theorem 1. We present a linear space data structure to maintain an optimal separator s_{opt} that classifies points to its left as red and points to its right as blue. If we maintain both this data structure and its mirrored version, which classifies



■ **Figure 2** Optimal separators for the MinMax problem (left), and the binary tree for the MinMis problem (right). Node u has children v and w with a local optima \hat{s}_v and \hat{s}_w .

points to its left as blue and points to its right as red, the best of the two will be the optimal separator.

We first describe how to compute and maintain a separator $s_{\max} \in S_n(B \cup R)$ that minimizes M_{\max} (i.e. the MinMax problem). We then describe how to solve the MinMis problem: i.e. maintain a separator s_{\min} that minimizes the number of outliers. In both cases we obtain linear space solutions with $O(\log n)$ update time. We then combine these results to maintain an optimal separator $s_{\text{opt}} \in S_k(B \cup R)$.

Minimizing M_{\max} . We start with the simple case in which we wish to compute a separator $s_{\max} \in S_n(B \cup R)$ that minimizes M_{\max} .

If R and B are separable, this means R lies fully to the left of B . The optimal separator has the largest distance to both sets. Clearly the optimal separator then lies exactly between the rightmost point of R and the leftmost point of B . By maintaining the extremal points of R and B in a heap, we can thus find an optimal separator in $O(1)$ time: we simply query the heaps and take the average.

If R and B are inseparable, the rightmost red point is to the right of the leftmost blue point, but the optimal separator s_{\max} that minimizes the maximum distance to any misclassified point is still exactly in the middle of them. This means the above approach still works without any modifications.

Minimizing M_{mis} . Next, we consider computing a separator s_{\min} that minimizes M_{mis} . We again present an $O(n)$ space solution supporting updates in $O(\log n)$ time.

The red and blue points $P = R \cup B$ partition \mathbb{R} into $n + 1$ intervals. All separators within a given interval misclassify the same number of points, regardless of where in the interval they lie exactly. So we can sort all points in $O(n \log n)$ time to obtain all $O(n)$ intervals. A separator in the leftmost interval lies left of all points in P . It misclassifies all red points and correctly classifies all blue point, so it misclassifies $|R|$ points. When we move s to the right over a red point, the number of misclassifications decreases by one, whereas moving over a blue point increases the number of misclassifications. We can thus simply scan through the points while maintaining the number of misclassifications.

We can maintain this minimum by maintaining a balanced binary search tree of P with some additional information.

Firstly in each node u with subtree T_u we store the number of blue points B_u and the number of red points R_u in T_u .

Secondly in each node u with subtree T_u we consider the local problem of finding a separator s_u within this subtree (including the intervals adjacent to the leftmost and rightmost points of T_u) that minimizes the number of misclassified points in T_u . Note that if

u is the root this problem is equal to MinMis. We store the optimal value for this problem in each node as M_{mis}^u .

With this tree we can find the minimum value of $M_{\text{mis}}(s)$ in $O(1)$ time, since this is stored in the root of the tree. We can also find a minimum separator \hat{s} in $O(\log n)$ time by going down the tree, always choosing a child that contains a minimum separator.

Dynamically maintaining R_u and B_u is easy, but to maintain M_{mis}^u we first need to prove the following lemma.

► **Lemma 6.** *Let u be a node with left child v and right child w , and let \hat{s}_v and \hat{s}_w be optimal local separators for v and w respectively. Then either \hat{s}_v or \hat{s}_w is also an optimal local separator for u .*

Proof. Proof by contradiction: assume neither \hat{s}_v nor \hat{s}_w are optimal local optimal separators for T_u , but there is some optimal s' which lies in T_v (or symmetrically in T_w). Note that the number of extra misclassifications due to adding T_w is constant for any local separator s in T_v , since T_w will always be fully on the right of s . So if s' is a better separator than \hat{s}_v for T_u , it is also a better separator than \hat{s}_v for T_v . This is a contradiction since \hat{s}_v should be an optimal separator for v . ◀

For a node u with children v and w , whenever M_{mis}^v or M_{mis}^w changes we can update M_{mis}^u as follows. By Lemma 6 we know that either \hat{s}_v or \hat{s}_w is an optimal separator for T_u . For \hat{s}_v the total number of misclassified points in T_u is $M_{\text{mis}}^v + R_w$, and similarly for \hat{s}_w it is $M_{\text{mis}}^w + B_v$. We store the minimum of the two as M_{mis}^u .

This update can be done in constant time per node so the total update time will remain $O(\log n)$, since we only need to update nodes along the update path and nodes that are adjusted during rebalancing.

Minimizing M_{max} with at most k misclassifications. Let s_{max} be the optimal separator for the MinMax problem with value $M_{\text{max}}(s_{\text{max}})$. Then any separator s' has value $M_{\text{max}}(s_{\text{max}}) + \|s' - s_{\text{max}}\|$, since it is moved $\|s' - s_{\text{max}}\|$ farther away from one of the two extremal points.


► **Observation 7.** *The valid separator s_{opt} (i.e. $s_{\text{opt}} \in S_k(B \cup R)$) with the smallest distance to s_{max} is an optimal separator for k -mis MinMax.*

So, if s_{max} is valid then s_{max} itself is the optimal separator. Furthermore, recall that points exactly on the separator are always classified correctly, both red and blue. This means the optimal separator will either be s_{max} or be on an input point between a valid and an invalid interval; a point fully within a valid interval can be moved towards s_{max} to decrease its distance to the extremal point.

Hence, this suggests that we can compute s_{opt} (if it exists) in $O(n \log n)$ time as follows. We first find the optimal MinMax separator s_{max} in $O(n)$ time, and then as for the static MinMis problem we compute for every interval if it is valid or not. If s_{max} is valid, we return it. Otherwise for each point $p \in B \cup R$ that lies between a valid and an invalid interval we compute $\text{dist}(p, s_{\text{max}})$, and maintain and return the point with the smallest distance. If no such point exists, s_{opt} does not exist.

To turn the above algorithm into a data structure, we maintain the same heaps as for the MinMax problem. We also maintain the same augmented balanced binary tree as for the MinMis problem, but include an additional symbolic (colorless) point $-\infty$, such that every interval has a point on its left. See Figure 3. Updating these structures takes $O(\log n)$ time.

To find an optimal separator $s_{\text{opt}} \in S_k(B \cup R)$, we first we query the MinMis data structure to check if there exists any separator that misclassifies at most k points. If not, s_{opt}



■ **Figure 3** The search path towards s_{\max} in green. The walk to find the first valid point left of s_{\max} for $k = 1$ is indicated with arrows.

does not exist. Otherwise, we query the heaps for the optimal MinMax separator s_{\max} in $O(1)$ time. We then search for the point directly left of s_{\max} in our binary tree. The search path is shown in green in Figure 3. For each node u along the search path we compute k_u , the number of points outside of T_u misclassified by s_{\max} . Observe that if u is the root, then $k_u = 0$, and if u is a leaf, then $k_u = M_{\text{mis}}(s_{\max})$. Let u be a node along the search path with children v and w . If our search path takes a left turn to v , then s_{\max} misclassifies all red points in w , so $k_v = k_u + R_w$. Similarly if our search path takes a right turn to w , then $k_w = k_u + B_v$. Once we reach a leaf u we know that $M_{\text{mis}}(s_{\max}) = k_u$ (+1 if u is a blue point and s_{\max} lies strictly to the right). If $M_{\text{mis}}(s_{\max}) \leq k$ that means s_{\max} is valid, so we return it, otherwise the optimum is the closest valid point. We show below how to find the closest valid point to the left of s_{\max} , and we find the closest one to the right similarly. We return the closest one of the two.


To find the closest valid point to the left we start by walking back up the tree until we are at a node u where the search path goes to the right child and $k_u + M_{\text{mis}}^u \leq k$, so \hat{s}_u misclassifies k or fewer points. We then walk down u 's left child, choosing the right child if it contains a separator misclassifying k or fewer points, otherwise choosing the left child. See the arrows in Figure 3. Similarly we find the closest valid point to the right, and return the one closest to s_{\max} . Since the tree is balanced, traversing this path takes $O(\log n)$ time. We have thus established the following result:

► **Theorem 1.** *Let $B \cup R$ be a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^1 . There is an $O(n)$ space data structure that, given a query value $k \in 1..n$ can compute an optimal separator $s_{\text{opt}} \in S_k(R \cup B)$ with respect to M_{max} in $O(\log n)$ time, and supports inserting or deleting a point in $O(\log n)$ time.*


3 Preliminaries

General definitions. We use the standard point-line duality that maps any point $p = (p_x, p_y)$ in the primal plane to a line $p^* : y = p_x x - p_y$ in the dual plane, and any line $\ell : y = mx + c$ in the primal plane into a point $(m, -c)$ in the dual plane.

Let A be a set of n lines, and let $k \in 1..n$. Let the lower $\leq k$ -level $L_{\leq k}(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of A be the set of points for which there are at most k lines below it. Similarly let the upper $\leq k$ -level $L'_{\leq k}(A)$ be set of points for which there are at most k lines above it. Let $L_k(A)$ be the k -level, the boundary of $L_{\leq k}(A)$. Note that a k -level lies exactly on existing lines in A . Although these terms refer to a region in the plane, with a slight abuse of notation we will also use them to refer to the part of the arrangement \mathcal{A} of the lines in A that lies in this region. The complexity of $(\mathcal{A}$ restricted to) $L_{\leq k}(A)$ is $O(nk)$, and it can be computed in $O(nk + n \log n)$ time [9]. Note that the lower 0-level $L_0(A)$ and the upper 0-level $L'_0(A)$ denote the lower envelope and the upper envelope of the set of lines, respectively.



■ **Figure 4** A concave chain decomposition of $L_{\leq 3}(B^*)$, with a dotted, dashed, and dashed-dotted chain.



■ **Figure 5** A construction of $q = 4$ red/blue pairs of lines. Left: $k = q - 2 = 2$ resulting in $\Theta(k^2)$ valid cells. Right: $k = q - 1 = 3$, resulting in a single valid cell of complexity $\Theta(k^2)$.

In $O(n \log k)$ time we can compute a *concave chain decomposition* [11, 17] of $L_{\leq k}(A)$: a set of $O(k)$ chains of total complexity $O(n)$ that together cover all edges of \mathcal{A} in $L_{\leq k}(A)$. See Figure 4. A convex chain decomposition is defined similarly.

Throughout this paper we assume points above a separating line s should be blue, and points below should be red. In the dual this means that lines above separating point s^* should be red, and lines below should be blue. In particular, we describe algorithms for finding the optimal separator that classifies in this way. We can then repeat the algorithm to find the best separator that classifies the other way around, and finally output the best of the two. For ease of description we assume all points in $R \cup B$ are in general position, meaning that all coordinates are unique, and no three points lie on a line.

Valid separators. Fix a value $k \in 1..n$. A separator s and its dual s^* are *valid* with respect to k if (and only if) $s \in S_k(B \cup R)$. Line s misclassifies all red points above s and all blue points below s . In the dual, this means all red lines below s^* and all blue lines above s^* are misclassified. Consider the dual arrangement of lines $R^* \cup B^*$. For any two separators s_1 and s_2 whose duals lie in the same face of the arrangement, $M_{\text{mis}}(s_1) = M_{\text{mis}}(s_2)$. Let a face containing valid points be a *valid face*, and note that points on the boundary of a valid face are also valid. A *valid region* is the union of a maximal set of adjacent valid faces. Now observe that $S_k(B \cup R)$ thus corresponds to the union of these valid regions. With some abuse of notation we use $S_k(B \cup R)$ to refer to this union of regions in the dual plane as well.

► **Lemma 8** (Chan [15]). *The set $S_k(B \cup R)$ is contained in $L_{\leq k}(R^*) \cap L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$, consists of $O(k^2)$ valid regions, and its total complexity is $O(nk^{1/3} + n^{5/6-\varepsilon}k^{2/3+2\varepsilon} + k^2)$.*

► **Lemma 9.** *There may be $\Omega(k^2)$ valid regions of total complexity $\Omega(k^2 + ne^{\sqrt{\log k}})$.*

Proof. The $\Omega(ne^{\sqrt{\log k}})$ term follows from the fact that we can make an arrangement of red lines whose k -level has complexity $ne^{\Omega(\sqrt{\log k})}$ [18]. All blue lines are placed sufficiently low so that they do not interfere. The whole red k -level shows up as boundary of one of the valid regions.

XX:10 Robust Classification of Dynamic Bichromatic Point Sets in \mathbb{R}^2

Constructions for the $\Omega(k^2)$ term are shown in Figure 5. They show that the valid regions can have $\Omega(k^2)$ connected components and that a single connected component can have complexity $\Omega(k^2)$, as it can have this many holes.

We create q thin strips, each bounded from above by a red line and from below by a blue line, such that there are $\Omega(q^2)$ cells where two strips intersect. Inside a strip both boundary lines are classified correctly, while above or below the strip exactly one of them is misclassified. Inside an intersection of two strips we are inside two strips and outside $q - 2$, so we have $q - 2$ misclassifications. Similarly, inside a single strip we have $q - 1$ misclassifications, and in any 'face' between the strips q misclassifications. By setting $k = q - 2$ only the intersections are valid, so we have $\Omega(k^2)$ valid regions of constant size. By setting $k = q - 1$ everything inside a strip is valid, and we have one large valid region with many holes of complexity $\Omega(k^2)$. ◀

In addition, we observe and will use the following useful properties.

► **Lemma 10.** *There are $O(k^2)$ red-blue intersections in $L_{\leq k}(R^*) \cap L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$.*

Proof. Consider a concave chain decomposition of $L_{\leq k}(R^*)$ consisting of $O(k)$ concave chains, and a convex chain decomposition of $L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$ consisting of $O(k)$ convex chains. Since a concave chain and a convex chain intersect at most twice, the $O(k)$ chains intersect at most $O(k^2)$ times. ◀

► **Lemma 11.** *Any line ℓ has $O(k)$ intersections with $L_{\leq k}(R^*) \cap L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$.*

Proof. Line ℓ intersects a convex (concave) chain at most twice. Since $L_{\leq k}(R^*)$ can be covered by $O(k)$ concave chains, and $L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$ can be covered by $O(k)$ convex chains, the lemma follows. ◀

► **Lemma 12.** *A valid region V is bounded by red lines on the top and blue lines on the bottom. The leftmost point of V is a red-blue intersection, or V is unbounded towards the left. The rightmost point in V is a red-blue intersection, or V is unbounded to the right.*

Proof. Let V be bounded to the left (otherwise there is nothing to prove), and let $s \in V$ be a lowest leftmost point. Therefore, s must lie on a blue line b (otherwise we could shift it further down without increasing the number of misclassifications). Since it is a leftmost point, we cannot shift it to the left along b either (i.e. it is a local minimum in terms of x -coordinates). Therefore, it must lie on a second line ℓ . Since $R^* \cup B^*$ contains no vertical lines, it follows that ℓ must be red. ◀

3.1 Finding a maximum-margin strip

Let S be a maximum margin-strip bounded by parallel lines ℓ_B and ℓ_R , with ℓ_B above ℓ_R that separates B and R . It is easy to see that ℓ_B must contain a blue point and ℓ_R must contain a red point. More precisely, we have:

► **Lemma 13.** *Let $b \in CH(B)$ and $r \in CH(R)$ be the pair of points realizing the Euclidean distance between $CH(R)$ and $CH(B)$. There is a maximum width strip S separating B and R bounded by ℓ_B and ℓ_R such that: (i) ℓ_B contains b , (ii) ℓ_R contains r , and (iii) S is perpendicular to the line segment \overline{br} .*

Proof. By Edelsbrunner [19, Lemma 2.1], either b or r is a vertex, and the other point lies on an edge. Assume that b is a vertex of $CH(B)$, and r lies on edge e_r of $CH(R)$. The case r is a vertex of $CH(R)$ is symmetric. By definition of the convex hull, $CH(R)$ lies in one of

the halfplanes bounded by ℓ_R . Assume without loss of generality it is the halfplane below ℓ_R . Similarly, it now follows by convexity that $CH(B)$ (and thus B) lies above ℓ_B . Hence, the strip S is empty of points in $B \cup R$ and separates B and R . Since S is perpendicular to \overline{br} , the width w of this strip equals the length, $dist(b, r)$, of the line segment \overline{br} .

A maximum width separating strip S^* has width $w^* \geq w = dist(b, r)$. However, since such a strip separates B and R it follows that $dist(CH(B), CH(R)) = dist(b, r)$ is at least w^* . Hence, it follows that $dist(b, r) = w \leq w^* \leq dist(b, r)$. Hence S is actually an maximum width separating strip. ◀

By Lemma 13 we can thus compute a maximum width strip—and thus a separating line that maximizes M_{strip} —by finding the pair of points that realizes the minimum distance between $CH(B)$ and $CH(R)$. Given two sets of points R and B , computing the distance between their convex hulls $CH(B)$ and $CH(R)$ is an LP-type problem [20], and can be solved in linear time [21]. Hence, we can find a maximum margin separator in linear time. This result actually extends to higher dimensions as well.

We can actually maintain a maximum margin separating line under updates efficiently as well, by maintaining the convex hulls $CH(B)$ and $CH(R)$ in the data structure of Overmars and van Leeuwen [22]. Their data structure uses linear space, and allows insertions and deletions of points in $O(\log^2 n)$ time. Since their structure explicitly maintains the upper and lower hull of $CH(R)$ and $CH(B)$ in a binary search tree, we can directly use the algorithm of Edelsbrunner [19] to recompute a maximum width strip from scratch in $O(\log n)$ time after every update.


▶ **Theorem 14.** *Let $B \cup R$ be a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^2 . There is an $O(n)$ space data structure that maintains an optimal separator s with respect to M_{strip} , and supports inserting or deleting a point in amortized $O(\log^2 n)$ time.*

Note that there are data structures to maintain the convex hull that supports updates in $O(\log^{1+\epsilon} n)$ time [23], or even in optimal $O(\log n)$ time [24, 25]. However, it is unclear whether they can be made to support computing the distance between $CH(R)$ and $CH(B)$ efficiently, as these data structures do not explicitly maintain the hull. Chan’s structure [23] does support testing whether $CH(R)$ and $CH(B)$ intersect (a subroutine of Edelsbrunner’s algorithm). Hence, it would be interesting to try and extend his approach to support computing the distance as well.

4 Dynamic linear programming with violations

In this section we consider the following problem: given a set of n constraints (halfplanes) H in \mathbb{R}^2 , an objective function f , and an integer k , find a point p that violates at most k constraints and minimizes $f(p)$. We assume without loss of generality that $f(p) = p_x$, so we are looking for the leftmost *valid* point, that is, a point that violates at most k constraints. Chan solves this problem in $O((n + k^2) \log n)$ time [11]. In the same time bounds, his approach can find the minimum number k_{\min} of constraints violated by any point. We give an overview of his techniques below, and then show how to make the approach dynamic. We maintain a leftmost valid point p under semi-online insertions and deletions of constraints. ‘Semi-online’ means that when a constraint is inserted we are told when it will be deleted. We first do so for a given value k , and then extend the result to maintain k_{\min} .

The above problem of linear programming with violations is a generalization of (the dual of) our MinMis problem. A point p violates a constraint (halfplane) $h \in H$ if it lies outside of the halfplane. Let R be the set of lines bounding lower halfplanes, and B be the set lines



■ **Figure 6** The blue chains create intervals on a red chain c_r . We can query the blue ply by comparing the number of start points (solid) and the number of end points (open).

bounding upper halfplanes, and color them red and blue respectively. Then p violates all blue constraints below, and all red constraints above, and thus p violates exactly the lines in $X(p, R \cup B)$, and thus violates $M_{\text{mis}}(p)$ constraints. This means we can solve the MinMis problem and compute $s_{\text{mis}} = \operatorname{argmin}_s M_{\text{mis}}(s)$ in $O((n + k^2) \log n)$ time.

4.1 Chan’s algorithm

Chan considers the decision version of the problem: given an integer k , find the leftmost point that violates at most k constraints. Their algorithm actually generates all local minima that violate fewer than k constraints as well, so by guessing $k = \sqrt{n}, 2\sqrt{n}, 4\sqrt{n} \dots$ we can find the minimum value k_{min} for which a valid point exists in the same time bounds.

We first assume the optimum is bounded, i.e. there are no valid regions that are unbounded towards the left, and handle this case later. Then by Lemma 12, the leftmost valid point in a valid region must be a red-blue intersection, and by Lemma 10 there are only $O(k^2)$ of them. We construct the concave chain decomposition of $L_{\leq k}(R)$ and the convex chain decomposition of $L'_{\leq k}(B)$ in $O(n \log n)$ time, and compute all their intersections in $O(k^2 \log n)$ time; this gives us all candidate optima.

Consider a red chain c_r , as in Figure 6. Every blue chain c_b defines a (possibly empty) interval on c_r , such that points inside the interval lie above c_b and points outside the interval lie below c_b . The *blue ply* of a point p on c_r is the number of blue chains above p (and thus the number of violated blue constraints above p). This is the number of blue intervals not containing p , and thus the number of intervals ending before p or starting after p . By storing the start points (end points) of all blue intervals in a balanced binary tree we can thus find the blue ply of any point p on c_r in $O(\log k)$ time. We call this the *chromatic ply data structure* of c_r . The chromatic ply data structure of a blue chain c_b is defined symmetrically.

For an intersection point p between red chain c_r and blue chain c_b we can now calculate its $M_{\text{mis}}(p)$ value: query c_r for the blue ply and query c_b for the red ply, both in $O(\log k)$ time, and sum them up. For all $O(k^2)$ red-blue intersections this takes $O(k^2 \log k)$ time. Among them we then find the leftmost valid intersection and return it, if it exists.

If the optimum was unbounded, then the leftmost segment of one of the chains must be valid. We can check this in $O(k \log k)$ time using the chromatic ply data structures.

The above algorithm takes $O((n + k^2) \log n)$ time. Note that our measure M_{max} is not linear, and therefore this result is not directly applicable to the k -mis MinMax problem.

4.2 A semi-dynamic data structure for a fixed k

We now make the above algorithm dynamic under semi-online insertions and deletions: given a value k , we maintain the leftmost point that violates at most k constraints.

We first show how to maintain the concave chain decomposition of $L_{\leq k}(R)$ (and similarly the convex chain decomposition of $L'_{\leq k}(B)$) using an extension of the logarithmic method [26,

27], and then use these chains to actually maintain the leftmost valid separator.

4.2.1 Maintaining the concave chain decomposition

First we maintain the concave chain decomposition of $L_{\leq k}(R)$ using Dobkin and Suri's extension of the logarithmic method [26, 27]. The idea is to maintain a partition of R into $z = O(\log n)$ subsets $R_0, R_1 \dots R_z$, such that for each layer i the following conditions hold:

- (1) none of the lines in set R_i will be deleted for at least 2^i updates after the set is created.
- (2) $|R_i| = O(2^i)$.

For each set R_i we separately store the concave chain decomposition of $L_{\leq k}(R_i)$. Since each such structure contains $O(k)$ chains, we have $O(k \log n)$ chains in total. The union of these chains also covers $L_{\leq k}(R)$: if a line ℓ is among the lowest k lines in R at some x -coordinate, it must also be among the lowest k lines in any subset $R' \subseteq R$, including the subset R_i containing ℓ .

The basic idea is the following. After set R_i is created, by condition (1) no items will be deleted from it for at least 2^i updates, so it remains fixed for 2^i updates and gets rebuilt after that. As such, the smaller data structures are rebuilt quite often, and the larger data structures remain fixed for a long time. By construction, deletions happen only at layer 0 from set R_0 , which contains very few ($O(1)$) lines. Lines are inserted in layer 0, and gradually move to higher layers where they remain fixed for an ever increasing number of updates. When a line is to be deleted soon, it gradually moves down to layer 0 again. Next, we specify how to initialize and update this data structure.


Initialization. Say we have some initial set of lines R with $|R| = n$. We put the first line to be deleted ($d = 1$) in set R_0 , and then iterate through all remaining lines $r \in R$ with $d > 1$. If line r is to be deleted after d deletions, we place it at layer $\lceil \log(d) \rceil - 1$. This indeed satisfies condition (1) and (2). Afterwards, we build the concave chain decomposition of each set R_i . This all takes $O(n \log n)$ time.

Update. Assume we have to perform $m = 2^z = \Omega(n)$ updates, numbered 0 through $m - 1$ (if $m \gg n$ we can rebuild the entire data structure every n updates). For every $0 \leq i \leq z$, we divide the updates into consecutive *blocks* of size 2^i : that is, block j at layer i consists of updates $j2^i$ through $(j + 1)2^i - 1$. See Figure 7 for a schematic illustration.

Suppose we are processing update u . We first perform the update on R_0 , i.e. insert a new line into R_0 or remove a line from it. Then, we have to ensure that condition (1) and (2) still hold. Let $j(i, u)$ be the block at layer i during update u , i.e. $j(i, u) = \lfloor u/2^i \rfloor$. Let i' be the highest layer for which the current block ends after update u (i.e. i' is the largest integer for which $u = (j(i', u) + 1)2^{i'} - 1$). That means that, for every layer $y \leq i'$, we have performed exactly 2^y updates since set R_y was created, and items in set R_y might be due to be deleted soon: set R_y no longer satisfies condition (1). Therefore, we destroy all data structures at layers 0 through i' , and redistribute all $O(2^{i'})$ items over sets R_0 through $R_{i'}$ as we did during initialization; lines that are to be deleted after $d > 2^{i'}$ deletions are put in $2^{i'}$. Afterwards, conditions (1) and (2) hold again.

Analysis. Consider set R_i at layer i . This set is rebuilt every 2^i iterations (whenever a block at layer i ends), after which we have to rebuild the concave chain decomposition of $L_{\leq k}(R_i)$ in $O(2^i \log 2^i) = O(2^{i+1})$ time. During all $2^i - 1$ updates in between, this set remains fixed,

XX:14 Robust Classification of Dynamic Bichromatic Point Sets in \mathbb{R}^2



■ **Figure 7** Updates 0 through m are divided into blocks at every layer. At update u , all data structures below layer i' are destroyed and rebuilt.

and we do not have to do anything. This results in an amortized update time for set R_i of $O(2^i i / 2^i) = O(i)$. Summing this up over all layers results in $O(\sum_{i=0}^z i) = O(z^2) = O(\log^2 n)$ amortized update time. As Smid shows [27], this amortized bound can be turned into a worst-case bound.

The chain decomposition of set R_i uses $O(|R_i|)$ space, so the total space usage is $O(n)$.

► **Lemma 15.** *We can maintain $O(k \log n)$ concave chains of total complexity $O(n)$ that cover $L_{\leq k}(R)$ under semi-online insertions and deletions in $O(\log^2 n)$ time.*

In fact, we can maintain a slightly altered version of the above data structure within the same time and space bounds. Let 2^x be the smallest power of two that is at least $k \log n$, i.e. $2^{x-1} < k \log n \leq 2^x$. We store the 2^x lines that are the first to be deleted in a separate list, the *leftover* list. We do not build a chain data structure on the leftover lines, but instead we let each leftover line form a trivial chain, so we still have $O(k \log n)$ chains covering $L_{\leq k}(R)$. This way all sets R_j with $j < x$ are empty. We can thus perform 2^x *cheap* updates without having to modify any of the sets R_j : we can simply insert directly in (or delete directly from) the leftover list, without having to rebuild any data structure, in $O(1)$ time. Once every 2^x updates we perform an *expensive* update, destroying all sets at layers $0 \dots i'$ as before, and redistributing all those lines and the leftover lines over layers 0 through i' again. Each set R_i still has an amortized update time of $O(i)$, and thus the total amortized update time remains $O(\log^2 n)$.

4.2.2 Maintaining intersections and chromatic ply data structures

Next, we show how to maintain the chromatic ply data structures on the chains, which also gives us the $O(k^2)$ red-blue intersections in $L_{\leq k}(R) \cap L'_{\leq k}(B)$.

We maintain a concave chain decomposition of $L_{\leq k}(R)$ and a convex chain decomposition of $L'_{\leq k}(B)$ using Lemma 15, specifically the slightly altered version with $O(k \log n)$ leftover lines. We maintain the two simultaneously with a shared update counter u , such that we always perform the same ‘type’ of update (cheap or expensive) on both structures. On each red chain c_r we maintain a blue ply data structure, consisting of a list of startpoints and a list of endpoints of blue intervals induced on c_r by blue chains. Similarly on each blue chain c_b we maintain a red ply data structure. Additionally, we maintain a set I of the $O(k^2 \log^2 n)$

red-blue intersections between the chains; all red-blue intersections in $L_{\leq k}(R) \cap L'_{\leq k}(B)$ are contained in I .

Consider the insertion of a line r . Depending on the type of update, we do the following:

Cheap update: line r is added to the leftover list, and forms a trivial chain c_r . We compute all $O(k \log n)$ intersections between c_r and the blue chains, insert them in I , and build the blue ply data structure on c_r . For each intersected blue chain c_b we insert the interval induced on c_b by c_r into the red ply data structure of c_b . Now I and all chromatic ply data structures are up-to-date again. We handle a deletion of a line similarly. This update takes $O(k \log^2 n)$ time.

Expensive update: some number of blue and red chains are destroyed and rebuilt. It would be difficult to update the chromatic ply data structures of all other chains efficiently. So instead we destroy all chromatic ply data structures and the set I , and rebuild them from scratch. Since we have $O(k \log n)$ red and blue chains, recomputing I and the chromatic ply data structures takes $O(k^2 \log^3 n)$ time.

Every $2^x = O(k \log n)$ updates we have $2^x - 1$ cheap updates, taking $O(k \log^2 n)$ time each, and 1 expensive update, taking $O(k^2 \log^3 n)$ time. This takes $O((k \log n) * (k \log^2 n) + 1 * (k^2 \log^3 n)) = O(k^2 \log^3 n)$ time for 2^x updates, making the amortized updates time $O(k \log^2 n)$. We thus have:

► **Lemma 16.** *We can maintain a set I of $O(k^2 \log^2 n)$ bichromatic intersection points containing all bichromatic intersections in $L_{\leq k}(R) \cap L'_{\leq k}(B)$ under semi-online updates in amortized $O(k \log^2 n)$ time. Our data structure uses $O(n + k^2 \log^2 n)$ space.*

4.2.3 Maintaining the leftmost valid point

The last step is to maintain the leftmost valid point s for a fixed value k . We know s is contained in the set I maintained by Lemma 16, but simply iterating through the entire set each update would take too long. We wish to build a data structure on the points in I that maintains $M_{\text{mis}}(p)$ for each $p \in I$, and can handle the following operations:


Insertion/Deletion: Inserting or deleting a point (a red-blue intersection).

Halfplane update: Correctly update $M_{\text{mis}}(p)$ for each $p \in I$ after the insertion or deletion of a constraint, e.g. increment $M_{\text{mis}}(p)$ by one for all points p in the halfplane above an inserted line r (or in the halfplane below an inserted line b).

Query: Given a query value $k' \leq k$, return the leftmost point $p \in I$ with $M_{\text{mis}}(p) \leq k'$.

We can achieve the above using partition trees [28]; we first recall their definition. Let P be a set of m points on which we wish to create a partition tree, and let q be some large constant (in the literature this constant is generally called r). At the root node, the set of points P is partitioned into q subsets $P_1 \dots P_q$ using a simplicial partition (a partition of space into triangles), with the property that any line intersects $O(\sqrt{q})$ of the triangles. For each subset P_i the subtree is built recursively, and once a subset contains a constant number of points we create a leaf. Given a halfplane h , the tree can return a set of $O(\sqrt{m})$ nodes corresponding to all points of P contained in h . The tree uses $O(m)$ space, can be built in expected $O(m \log m)$ time, and answers queries in expected $O(\sqrt{m})$ time.

We first consider the somewhat ‘static’ version of this problem where the set I is fixed, and we only have to handle halfplane updates and queries. We store I in a partition tree, and separately in a balanced binary search tree sorted on x -coordinate. Each node u of the



■ **Figure 8** A partition tree of depth two on a set of points I with three triangles at the first level. When inserting a line r , we increment the buffer of Δ , and recurse on the intersected triangles.

partition tree contains some set $I(u) \subseteq I$ of points. Let $k_{\min}(u) = \min_{p \in I(u)} M_{\text{mis}}(p)$ be the smallest number of violations achieved by a point in u . We would like to maintain $k_{\min}(u)$ in order to answer queries, but doing so explicitly is expensive since a halfplane operation could update this value in all nodes. Therefore we instead maintain two values in each node u : a *buffer* value $b(u)$, and a *partial* value $k'_{\min}(u)$, under the invariant that $k_{\min}(u) = k'_{\min}(u) + \sum_{a \in A(u)} b(a)$ where $A(u)$ is the set of ancestors of u (including u itself). We additionally maintain the leftmost point $p_{\min}(u) \in I(u)$ with $M_{\text{mis}}(p_{\min}(u)) = k_{\min}(p_{\min}(u))$.

When we initially build the partition tree on I we explicitly compute the number of violations $M_{\text{mis}}(p)$ for all $p \in I$ (using the chromatic ply data structures on the chains). We then go through the tree in a bottom-up fashion, and for each node u compute $p_{\min}(u)$, set $b(u) = 0$ and set $k'_{\min}(u) = M_{\text{mis}}(p_{\min}(u))$. Clearly, this satisfies the invariant.

Whenever we visit a node u , during any operation, we will *propagate the buffer*: we update $k'_{\min}(u) += b(u)$, then for each child c of u we do $b(c) += b(u)$, and afterwards we set $b(u) = 0$. Observe that after this operation the invariant $k_{\min}(u) = b(u) + k'_{\min}(u)$ still holds for u and all its children. Specifically for node u itself we know that $k'_{\min}(u) = k_{\min}(u)$, since $b(a) = 0$ for all ancestors $a \in A(u)$.

Consider a halfplane update operation, the insertion of a red line r (blue lines and deletions are handled analogously). See Figure 8. We start at the root node u , and first propagate its buffer. Then we find all children of u whose triangles are fully above r . For each such child c , all points in $I(c)$ violate r , and thus $k_{\min}(c)$ increases by one, so we increment the buffer $b(c)$ by one to satisfy the invariant. For all $O(\sqrt{q})$ children whose triangles are intersected by r , we can afford to recurse. After all intersected children are recursively updated, we look at all children $C(u)$ and set $k'_{\min}(u) = \min_{c \in C(u)} k'_{\min}(c) + b(c)$.

Consider now a query, where we wish to find the leftmost point p that is valid for a given value k' . For a value x , let $w(x)$ be the minimum number of constraints violated by any point $p \in I$ with $p_x \leq x$, and observe that $w(x)$ is monotonically decreasing in x . Hence, we can binary search on x to find the smallest x -coordinate for which $w(x) \leq k'$. We thus have to solve the decision problem: given a value k' and a value x , does there exist a point p with $p_x \leq x$ such that $M_{\text{mis}}(p) \leq k'$? If such a point exists we look for a smaller x , otherwise we look for a larger x . We can answer this decision problem by querying the partition tree with a vertical halfplane at x . Starting at the root u , we propagate the buffer. Then for each fully contained child c we compute $k_{\min}(c) = k'_{\min}(c) + b(c)$, and if $k_{\min}(c) \leq k'$ we are done and we return true. Otherwise, we recurse in the children intersected by the vertical query halfplane.

Lastly we consider the insertion or deletion of points, which we both handle in standard ways. We handle insertions using the logarithmic method, creating $O(\log n)$ partition trees. We perform all halfplane operations and queries on all trees, increasing their runtime by

a factor $\log n$. We perform the deletions implicitly: we can mimic the deletion of a point p by setting $M_{\text{mis}}(p) = \infty$, such that the point p can never be returned as a valid point anymore. We walk down the partition tree towards the leaf containing p , propagating the buffer at every step. Then in bottom-up fashion we update $k'_{\min}(u)$ and $p_{\min}(u)$ for every node u on the path, starting in the leaf node, as follows. If $p_{\min}(u) \neq p$ we do not have to do anything, since removing p does not make any difference for $k'_{\min}(u)$ or $p_{\min}(u)$. If $p_{\min}(u) = p$ we have to find the new minimum: we look at all children C and find the child $c' = \operatorname{argmin}_{c \in C} k'_{\min}(c) + b(c)$ containing the best point, and set $k'_{\min}(u) = k'_{\min}(c')$ and $p_{\min}(u) = p_{\min}(c')$. Observe that the invariant still holds. Every $n/2$ deletions we rebuild all partition trees from scratch to ensure that the trees do not contain too many deleted points.

► **Lemma 17.** *We can build a data structure on a set of $O(k^2 \log^2 n)$ points I that can perform insertions and deletions in $O(\log k \log n)$ time, halfplane updates in expected $O(k \log^2 n)$ time, and queries in expected $O(k \log^3 n)$ time. The data structure uses $O(k^2 \log^2 n)$ space.*

Proof. Clearly the data structure uses $O(k^2 \log^2 n)$ space, since $|I| = O(k^2 \log^2 n)$ and a partition tree uses linear space.

An insertion takes $O(\log k \log n)$ time by the logarithmic method, and a deletion takes $O(\log k)$ time since we only traverse a single path to a leaf. A halfplane update takes $O(\sqrt{k^2 \log^2 n}) = O(k \log n)$ time per tree, and thus $O(k \log^2 n)$ time in total: we spend constant time in each node and recursively visit $O(\sqrt{q})$ children, and it is well known that $Q(m) = O(\sqrt{q})Q(m/q) + O(1) = O(\sqrt{m})$ for constant fan-out q . Similarly for a query each decision problem takes $O(k \log n)$ time to solve, resulting in $O(k \log^2 n)$ time per tree to find the leftmost point p using binary search, resulting in $O(k \log^3 n)$ total time. ◀

We can now dynamically maintain the solution to an LP with at most k violations. We maintain the chain decompositions of $L_{\leq k}(R)$ and $L'_{\leq k}(B)$ using Lemma 15, and the set of their intersections I using Lemma 16. We additionally build the data structure from Lemma 17 on the set I , and update it as follows.

Each cheap update, e.g. the insertion of a line r , we find the $O(k \log n)$ intersections between r and blue chains, and insert them in $O(k \log^2 n \log k)$ total time. We then perform one halfplane update to update the $k'_{\min}(u)$ and $b(u)$ values, in $O(k \log^2 n)$ time.

Each expensive update we discard the data structures from Lemma 16 and 17 and rebuild them from scratch. This takes $O(k^2 \log^3 n)$ time, and thus $O(k \log^2 n)$ amortized time.

After every update we perform one query with $k' = k$ in $O(k \log^3 n)$ time. Thus:

► **Theorem 2.** *Let H be a set of n halfspaces in \mathbb{R}^2 , let f be a linear objective function, and let $k \in 1..n$. There is an $O(n + k^2 \log^2 n)$ space data structure that maintains a point p that violates at most k constraints of H (if it exists) and minimizes f , and supports semi-online updates in expected amortized $O(k \log^3 n)$ time.*

4.3 Dynamically maintaining k_{\min}

With the data structure from Theorem 2 we can thus maintain the leftmost valid point for a fixed value k . However, Chan's static algorithm could also find the smallest value k_{\min} for which there exists a valid point, and a leftmost point p_{\min} with $M_{\text{mis}}(p_{\min}) = k_{\min}$. The partition tree from Lemma 17 can handle such queries as long as $k_{\min} \leq k$; in fact, this value and the point p_{\min} are stored in the root. However when insertions cause k_{\min} to increase to $k_{\min} > k$, then p_{\min} no longer has to lie in $L_{\leq k}(R) \cup L'_{\leq k}(B)$, and thus p_{\min} is not necessarily a member of the set of intersection I maintained by Lemma 16.

We can solve this issue by slightly adapting Lemma 15. When the set R_i is created, we used to build the concave chain decomposition of $L_{\leq k}(R_i)$ in $O(|R_i| \log |R_i|)$ time (note this time is independent from k). Instead, we build multiple chain decompositions: for $l \in 1, 2 \dots \lceil \log n \rceil$ we build the chain decomposition of $L_{\leq 2^l}(R_i)$, in total time $O(|R_i| \log^2 |R_i|)$. Only one of these chain decompositions can be *active* at a time, the others are *inactive*; below we choose the active decomposition such that the union of the active chains form a concave decomposition of $L_{\leq k_{\min}}(R)$.

At initialization, we compute the value k_{\min} using Chan's static algorithm. We decide that the next $2^x - 1$ updates, where $2^{x-1} < k_{\min} \leq 2^x$, will be cheap updates where we do not change any sets R_i , and in 2^x updates we will perform an expensive update. Since k_{\min} can only increase by at most one each update, this ensures that $k_{\min} \leq 2^{x+1}$ until the next expensive update. We build the data structures from Theorem 2 for $k = 2^{x+1}$, with the above adaptation that for each set R_i we build multiple chain decompositions. For each set R_i we activate the chain decomposition of $L_{\leq 2^{x+1}}(R_i)$, and deactivate the other chain decompositions, ensuring that during the next $2^x - 1$ cheap updates the optimum p_{\min} will lie inside the active chain decomposition.

For the next $2^x - 1$ cheap updates, we update the data structures as normal. Update 2^x is an expensive update again. We query the partition trees for the current optimal value k_{\min} , and then destroy the chromatic ply data structures and partition trees. As during initialization, we decide that the next $2^x - 1$ updates, where $2^{x-1} < k_{\min} \leq 2^x$, will be cheap updates. We update the sets R_i as normal, activate the chain decomposition of $L_{\leq 2^{x+1}}(R_i)$ for each set, and rebuild the chromatic ply data structures and partition trees from scratch for $k = 2^{x+1}$. After each update we can simply query the partition tree for k_{\min} and p_{\min} .

Both the cheap and expensive updates still have the same asymptotic runtime (with k replaced with $O(k_{\min})$), but an expensive update now occurs every $O(k_{\min})$ updates rather than every $k \log n$ updates. This results in $O(k_{\min} \log^3 n)$ expected amortized update time.

► **Lemma 18.** *Let H be a set of n halfspaces in \mathbb{R}^2 , and let f be a linear objective function. There is an $O(n \log n + k_{\min}^2 \log^2 n)$ space data structure that maintains a point p that violates as few constraints k_{\min} as possible from H and minimizes f , and supports semi-online updates in expected amortized $O(k_{\min} \log^3 n)$ time.*

5 Exact algorithms for k -mis MinMax

For the k -mis MinMax problem we are given point sets R and B and an integer k and wish to compute a separator $s_{\text{opt}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{s \in S_k(R \cup B)} M_{\max}(s)$ that misclassifies at most k points and minimizes the distance to the furthest misclassified point. In this section we present an exact algorithm for this problem. In Section 5.1 we first discuss some geometric properties of an optimal solution. In Section 5.2 we then present algorithms to construct the valid regions $S_k(R \cup B)$. Finally, in Section 5.3, we show how we can then compute an optimal separator.

5.1 Geometric properties

Properties of an optimal MinMax solution. We first consider the (easier) MinMax problem. Here, we wish to compute $s_{\max} = \operatorname{argmin}_s M_{\max}(s)$, a separator with minimal distance to the farthest misclassified point.

First we consider the problem for lines with a fixed slope m ; note this is a vertical line $x = m$ in the dual plane. For slope m there is some optimal intercept c such that the resulting separating line $s_{\max}(m) : mx + c$ minimizes the error $M_{\max}(s_{\max}(m))$. The error

$M_{\max}(s_{\max}(m))$ is defined by a red point r and a blue point b . These are misclassified points with the largest distance to $s_{\max}(m)$, the extremal points, as shown in Figure 10. Separator $s_{\max}(m)$ minimizes distance to these points, so it is in their middle with equal distance to both. In the dual plane, clearly r^* must lie on $L_0(R^*)$ and b^* must lie on $L'_0(B^*)$.

► **Lemma 19.** *In the dual plane, for a fixed slope m , the optimal MinMax separator $s_{\max}^*(m)$ is vertically in the middle of $L_0(R^*)$ and $L'_0(B^*)$ at $x = m$.*

Proof. Let r^* be an extremal red line in $L_0(R^*)$ for slope m , and b^* an extremal blue line in $L'_0(B^*)$ for slope m . We wish to show that point $s_{\max}^*(m)$ is vertically in the middle of lines r^* and b^* . Since vertical distance is preserved when dualizing, it is equivalent to show in the primal that line $s_{\max}(m)$ is vertically in the middle of points r and b . See Figure 10.

Let ℓ be a line with slope m and p be a point, and let w_p be the Euclidean distance between ℓ and p (the width of the strip), and let h_p be the vertical distance (the height of the strip). Let $\rho(m) = \frac{h_p}{w_p}$ be the ratio between vertical and Euclidean distance, and note that $\rho(m)$ only depends on m , not on the specific choice of ℓ and p .


Since $s_{\max}(m)$ is optimal it must have equal (Euclidean) distance to both extremal points, so $w_r = w_b$. Because of the fixed ratio $\rho(m) = \frac{w_r}{h_r} = \frac{w_b}{h_b}$, this means $h_r = h_b$. That means $s_{\max}(m)$ is vertically in the middle of b and r , and thus $s_{\max}^*(m)$ vertically in the middle of $L_0(R^*)$ and $L'_0(B^*)$. ◀

We now generalize the above solution to any slope. Consider the curve $\{s_{\max}^*(m) \mid m \in \mathbb{R}\}$ in the dual plane representing the optimal separator for the MinMax problem for any given slope, shown in black in Figure 9. In the rest of this article we will refer to this as the *MinMax curve*, or simply MinMax. By Lemma 19 the MinMax curve is in the middle of the red and blue envelopes $L_0(R^*)$ and $L'_0(B^*)$ for every slope m . Since $L_0(R^*)$ and $L'_0(B^*)$ are both polygonal chains with $O(n)$ vertices, MinMax is also a polygonal chain with $O(n)$ vertices.


► **Lemma 20.** *Let s be a point in the interior of an edge e of the MinMax curve. Either moving s left or moving s right along e will decrease the error $M_{\max}(s)$.*

Proof. Since s lies on the interior of a MinMax edge, $M_{\max}(s)$ is defined by the distance to exactly one extremal red point r and one extremal blue point b in the primal plane as in Figure 11. Let m be the midpoint in between b and r , and note that m lies on s^* . Let C_b and C_r be circles tangent to s^* centered at b and r , respectively. Note that C_b and C_r have radius $M_{\max}(s)$, since r and b are extremal points.


Points b and r are on opposite sides of s^* , with m in between them. Either rotating s^* clockwise or counterclockwise around m will make it intersect both C_b and C_r , which means the distance from s^* to b and r decreases, and therefore $M_{\max}(s_{\max})$ decreases. This corresponds to moving s left or right along e . ◀



■ **Figure 9** Some primal points (left) with their dual (right). Valid cells for $k = 2$ are green.



■ **Figure 10** The optimal separating line for a fixed slope m , with extremal points r and b .



■ **Figure 11** Separator s^* with extremal points r and b . Rotating s^* counterclockwise around m will decrease $M_{\max}(s^*)$.

Properties of an optimal k -min MinMax solution. For the k -mis MinMax problem, want to compute an optimal separator $s_{\text{opt}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{s \in S_k(B \cup R)} M_{\max}(s)$, so a valid separator with minimal $M_{\max}(s_{\text{opt}})$. For a fixed slope m , let $s_{\text{opt}}(m)$ be an optimal separator with that slope m . Lemma 21 below then characterizes such an optimal separator for slope m . This then leads to a characterization of s_{opt} .

► **Lemma 21.** *Dual point $s_{\text{opt}}^*(m)$ is the valid point with the smallest vertical distance to $s_{\max}^*(m)$.*


If there are no valid points s^ with $s_x^* = m$, then $s_{\text{opt}}^*(m)$ does not exist.*

Proof. Let s^* be a dual point on the line $x = m$, and w.l.o.g. assume it lies below $s_{\text{opt}}^*(m)$. Then the blue line b^* on $L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$ at $x = m$ is the furthest misclassified line. As in the proof of Lemma 19, we know there is a fixed ratio $\rho(m)$ between Euclidean distance $\operatorname{dist}(s, b)$ in the primal and vertical distance between s^* and b^* on the line $x = m$ in the dual. Therefore, minimizing $\operatorname{dist}(s, b)$ is equivalent to minimizing the vertical distance from s^* to b^* . It follows that $s_{\text{opt}}^*(m)$ must be the highest valid point below $s_{\max}^*(m)$, or similarly the lowest valid point above $s_{\max}^*(m)$, whichever one is closer. ◀


► **Lemma 22.** *A point s_{opt}^* dual to an optimal separator is one of the following:*

- a. *A vertex of a valid cell, vertically closest to MinMax.*
- b. *A (valid) vertex of MinMax.*
- c. *The first valid point directly above or below a vertex of MinMax.*
- d. *The intersection of a MinMax edge e with a valid edge, closest to one of e 's endpoints.*

Proof. Intuition: in the primal plane, the optimal separating line has to be 'bounded' by at least three points. These can either be extremal points that we want the separator to be as



■ **Figure 12** Left: the cases **a**, **b**, **c**, **d** for s_{opt}^* in the dual plane; the red/blue regions represent some number of correctly classified lines. Right: the cases **a**, **b**, **c**, **d** for s_{opt} in the primal plane.




■ **Figure 13** Separator s'^* going through point e with extremal point b .

close to as possible, or points that the separator is not allowed to cross because that would make it invalid. The four cases are shown in Figure 12.

Proof by contradiction. Assume the optimum s_{opt}^* is not any of the four cases **a**, **b**, **c**, **d**. Then it has to be one of the following cases, for each of which we show that we can change s_{opt}^* slightly to decrease $M_{\text{max}}(s_{\text{opt}}^*)$, meaning s_{opt}^* was not optimal, which is a contradiction.

1. s_{opt}^* is not inside a valid face; clearly this is not possible.
2. s_{opt}^* is strictly inside a valid face not on MinMax. Then moving vertically towards MinMax will decrease $M_{\text{max}}(s_{\text{opt}}^*)$ by Lemma 21.
3. s_{opt}^* lies strictly inside a valid face and strictly inside an edge of MinMax. Then by Lemma 20, moving towards one of the two adjacent MinMax vertices will decrease $M_{\text{max}}(s_{\text{opt}}^*)$.
4. s_{opt}^* lies strictly inside a valid edge e^* , not on MinMax and not above/below a MinMax vertex. This means primal line s_{opt} goes through point e , as in Figure 13. It has a single extremal point (if multiple points of the same color would be equally far away we would be below a MinMax vertex, and if a blue and red point would be equally far away we would be on MinMax). W.l.o.g. let the extremal point be a blue point b , and let c_b be a circle centered at b tangent to s_{opt} . We can rotate s_{opt} either clockwise or counterclockwise around e (clockwise in Figure 13) to make it intersect c_b , decreasing the distance to b and decreasing $M_{\text{max}}(s_{\text{opt}}^*)$.
5. s_{opt}^* lies strictly inside a valid edge e^* above or below a MinMax vertex, or on a valid vertex, but there is another point s'^* with the same x -coordinate that is closer to MinMax. Then $M_{\text{max}}(s'^*) < M_{\text{max}}(s_{\text{opt}}^*)$ by Lemma 21.
6. s_{opt}^* lies on an intersection of a MinMax edge e with a valid edge, but e intersects another valid edge in point s_1 left of s_{opt}^* and in point s_2 right of s_{opt}^* . Then s_1 is closer to the left vertex of e , and s_2 is closer to the right vertex of e , so by Lemma 20 we have $M_{\text{max}}(s_1) < M_{\text{max}}(s_{\text{opt}}^*)$ and $M_{\text{max}}(s_2) < M_{\text{max}}(s_{\text{opt}}^*)$.

Now the only remaining cases are cases **a**, **b**, **c**, **d**, proving the lemma. ◀



■ **Figure 14** The overlay $\mathcal{A}_k(R^*, B^*)$ has complexity is $O(nk)$.

► **Lemma 23.** *There are $O(nk^{1/3} + n^{5/6-\varepsilon}k^{2/3+2\varepsilon} + k^2)$ points of type **a**, and there are $O(n)$ points of types **b**, **c**, and **d**.*

- Proof.**
- a.** Each type **a** point is a vertex of $S_k(B \cup R)$. By Lemma 8 the total complexity of $S_k(B \cup R)$, and thus also the number of type **a** points, is $O(nk^{1/3} + n^{5/6-\varepsilon}k^{2/3+2\varepsilon} + k^2)$.
 - b.** MinMax has $O(n)$ vertices.
 - c.** Again, MinMax has $O(n)$ vertices. Since there are at most two type **c** points per MinMax vertex, the closest valid one above and below, there are also $O(n)$ type **c** points.
 - d.** Each edge e of MinMax has at most one point closest to its left endpoint, and one point closest to its right endpoint, and MinMax has $O(n)$ edges. ◀

5.2 Constructing the valid regions

We present two general algorithms for constructing $S_k(B \cup R)$, i.e. the union of valid regions. By Lemma 8 all valid points lie inside $L_{\leq k}(R^*) \cap L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$, so we present a simple algorithm that simply constructs this part of the arrangement, and prunes all invalid regions. This gives an $O(n \log n + kn)$ time algorithm. We then present a much more involved $O((nk^{1/3} + n^{5/6-\varepsilon}k^{2/3+2\varepsilon} + k^3) \log^2 n)$ time algorithm. Finally, if we care only about the case where $k = k_{\min} = M_{\text{mis}}(s_{\text{mis}})$, i.e. where we are only allowed to misclassify as few points as possible (we say the value of k is *tight* in this case), we can compute $S_k(B \cup R)$ in $O(k^{4/3}n^{2/3} \log^{2/3}(n/k) + (n + k^2) \log n)$ time.

A simple algorithm. By Lemma 8 all valid points lie inside $L_{\leq k}(R^*) \cap L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$. Consider the overlay $\mathcal{A}_k(R^*, B^*)$ of $L_{\leq k}(R^*)$ and $L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$.

► **Lemma 24.** *The complexity of $\mathcal{A}_k(R^*, B^*)$ is $O(nk)$.*

Proof. Arrangements $L_{\leq k}(R^*)$ and $L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$ both have complexity $O(nk)$, and there are only $O(k^2)$ bichromatic intersections (Lemma 10), their overlay also has complexity $O(nk + k^2) = O(nk)$. ◀

► **Lemma 25.** *We can construct $\mathcal{A}_k(R^*, B^*)$ and filter out the valid regions in $S_k(R \cup B)$ in $O(n \log n + nk)$ time.*

Proof. We use the following steps:

1. Construct $L_{\leq k}(R^*)$ and $L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$. This can be done in $O(nk + n \log n)$ time [9].

2. Overlay $L_{\leq k}(R^*)$ and $L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$ to get $\mathcal{A}_k(R^*, B^*)$. Except for the upper unbounded face which has the k -level $L_k(R^*)$ as boundary, all faces in $L_{\leq k}(R^*)$ are convex. This unbounded face can be trivially triangulated, making all faces convex. The same holds for $L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$. We can then use Finke and Hinrichs' algorithm [29] to overlay two convex subdivisions in time linear in both input and output size, which is $O(nk)$ in our case.
3. Walk through the faces of $\mathcal{A}_k(R^*, B^*)$ in depth-first search order, maintaining the number of misclassifications per face, and storing it for each face. For two neighboring faces F_1 and F_2 , we have $|M_{\text{mis}}(F_1) - M_{\text{mis}}(F_2)| = 1$, since we cross only a single line. This means we can maintain the number of misclassifications in constant time per step, so going through the whole subdivision takes $O(nk)$ time. ◀

An output sensitive algorithm. Chan [15] sketches an approach to compute the valid region in an output sensitive manner by first computing the bichromatic intersection points of $L_{\leq k}(R^*) \cap L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$, and then tracing $S_k(B \cup R)$, starting from these bichromatic intersection points “as in a standard k -level algorithm”. He states this results in a running time of $O(|S_k(B \cup R)| \text{polylog} n)$ time, but does not provide any details. The k -level in an arrangement of lines is connected, whereas here $S_k(B \cup R)$ may consist of $\Omega(k^2)$ disconnected pieces (Lemma 9). This unfortunately provides some additional difficulties in initializing the data structure used in the tracing process. Hence, it is not clear that can indeed be done in $O(|S_k(B \cup R)| \text{polylog} n)$ time. Instead, we present an algorithm that runs in $O((|S_k(B \cup R)| + n + k^3) \log^2 n)$ time.

▶ **Lemma 26.** *The region $S_k(B \cup R)$ can be constructed in $O((n + |S_k(B \cup R)| + n + k^3) \log^2 n)$ time.*


Proof. We first compute the set Q of $O(k^2)$ bichromatic intersection points in $L_{\leq k}(R^*) \cap L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$ using the algorithm of Chan [11] (i.e. by computing the $O(k)$ concave chains covering $L_{\leq k}(R^*)$ and the convex chains covering $L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$, and intersecting them). For each such intersection point $v \in Q$, let $R_v \subseteq R^*$ denote the at most k red lines below v , and $B_v \subseteq B^*$ be the at most k blue lines above v . These are the lines misclassified by v . We wish to trace the boundaries of the valid regions of $S_k(B \cup R)$ containing v , i.e. for which $|B_v| + |R_v| = k$.

Let $F_0 = \{r^- \mid r \in R^*\} \cup \{b^+ \mid b \in B^*\}$ be the set consisting of all halfplanes that are bounded from above by a red line, all halfplanes bounded from below by a blue line. We store them in the dynamic common halfplane intersection data structure of Overmars and van Leeuwen [22, Theorem 7.1].

Consider a bichromatic intersection point $v \in Q$ for which $|B_v| + |R_v| = k$ that we have not traced yet, and at which lines $r_v \in R$ and $b_v \in B$ intersect. Consider the case that b_v lies below r_v to the right of v , and thus v is a point on the boundary of a valid region V . See Figure 15 for an illustration. The case b lies above r can be handled analogously.

Before we start tracing V , we delete the halfplanes corresponding to the lines in R_v from the common halfplane intersection data, and replace each such halfplane r^- by r^+ . Similarly, we replace the halfplanes corresponding to the blue lines in B_v with b^- . Let F denote the current set of halfplanes in the data structure. Observe that v is the leftmost point of a (valid) face of the arrangement $R^* \cup B^*$; namely the face that is the common intersection of the halfplanes in F .

We now trace the boundary of V by repeatedly computing and reporting the next clockwise neighbor w of v in F . We describe what happens when the edge \overline{vw} lies on a red line r (as the case initially); when the edge \overline{vw} is blue, we handle the situation analogously. If line r



■ **Figure 15** (a) Tracing a valid region V . The boundary is shown in bold. (b) If the halfplane $r_w^- \in F$, then at the intersection of r and r_w the tracing switches to tracing a different face F' that also misclassifies k lines. The same happens in figure (a) when r_w intersects a blue line b with $b^- \in F$. (c) If the halfplane $b^+ \in F$, we keep tracing in F .

intersects an other red line r_w with $r_w^- \in F$, we continue the tracing from w . If $r_w^+ \in F$, we replace r^- by r^+ and r_w^+ by r_w^- in F , and continue tracing along r_w . In this case, we moved into another face F' of the valid region, see Figure 15(b). Similarly, if w lies on a blue line b with $b^- \in F$, we “swap” to the halfplanes r^- and b^+ as we switch tracing another face. If w lies on a blue line b with $b^+ \in F$, we keep tracing in face F .

We repeat the above process until we are back at the initial vertex v we started from. We can then again delete and reinsert the halfplanes corresponding to the lines in R_v and B_v , so that the data structure is in its initial form, representing the set of halfplanes F_0 again.

Computing the $O(k^2)$ bichromatic intersection points takes $O((n+k^2)\log n)$ time [11, 17]. In an additional $O(k^3)$ time, we can report all sets R_v and B_v using the chain decompositions. Initializing the Overmars and van Leeuwen structure to represent the common intersection of F_0 takes $O(n\log^2 n)$ time. Every update takes an additional $O(\log^2 n)$ time. To trace the boundaries of all valid regions, we thus spend $O(|S_k(B \cup R)|\log^2 n)$ time, and an additional $O(k^3\log^2 n)$ time to convert the data structure between F_0 and the halfplanes representing the face containing a point in V . ◀

An algorithm for the tight k case. We start with the following useful observation:

► **Observation 27.** *If k is tight, any valid region consists of only a single face.*


Proof. Two adjacent faces can not both misclassify exactly k points, since they classify the line dividing them differently. ◀

By Lemma 8 there are $O(k^2)$ valid regions, so now there are $O(k^2)$ valid faces. Clarkson et al. [30] show that m faces in an arrangement have a complexity of $O(m^{2/3}n^{2/3} + n)$, so our $O(k^2)$ valid faces have complexity $O(k^{4/3}n^{2/3} + n)$. We then compute the $O(k^2)$ bichromatic intersection points using Chan’s algorithm as in Lemma 26, but then directly use Wang’s algorithm [31] to construct all valid faces in $O(k^{4/3}n^{2/3}\log^{2/3}(n/k) + (n+k^2)\log n)$ time.

► **Lemma 28.** *For $k = k_{\min}$, the region $S_k(B \cup R)$ has complexity $O(k^{4/3}n^{2/3} + n)$ and can be constructed in $O(k^{4/3}n^{2/3}\log^{2/3}(n/k) + (n+k^2)\log n)$ time.*

5.3 An algorithm for solving the k -mis MinMax problem

We now show how, given the valid regions, we can compute an optimal separator $s_{\text{opt}} \in S_k(B \cup R)$ efficiently. We start by constructing $L_0(R)$ and $L'_0(B)$, and simultaneously scan through them to construct the MinMax curve s_{max}^* . This takes $O(n\log n)$ time [32]. By



■ **Figure 16** Two valid faces with their vertical decomposition and type b , c and d points.

Lemma 22 an optimal separator is of type a , b , c , or d . So, we will now compute all these candidate optima, and iterate through them to find the one with lowest error.

Type a points. Since we are given $S_k(B \cup R)$, we can simply scan through its vertices, keeping track of the vertex with the smallest error. To calculate the error of a vertex, we need to know which segment of s_{\max}^* it lies above/below; then the error can be calculated in $O(1)$ time. We can compute this in $O(\log n)$ time per vertex using binary search (since MinMax is x -monotone). Hence, this step takes $O(|S_k(B \cup R)| \log n)$ time.

Type b and c points. Recall type b points are MinMax vertices, and type c points are the first valid points above or below MinMax vertices. We construct the *trapezoidal decomposition* of $S_k(B \cup R)$ in $O(|S_k(B \cup R)| \log n)$ time, so that we can support $O(\log n)$ time point location queries [33]. Each trapezoidal cell has vertical left and right sides, and up to four neighboring cells each, see Figure 16.

For each vertex of MinMax we perform one point location query, which tells us what trapezoidal cell the vertex lies in. If this cell is inside a valid region, the vertex is a type b point. Otherwise the closest valid edges vertically above and below this vertex are simply the edges bounding that trapezoid, giving us up to two type c points. Since MinMax has $O(n)$ vertices, this gives us all type b and c points in $O(n \log n)$ time. Including the time to build the decomposition, this thus takes $O(|S_k(B \cup R)| + n \log n)$ time.

Type d points. Recall type d points are intersections between MinMax and edges bounding $S_k(B \cup R)$. In particular, for every MinMax edge we care only about its outermost intersection points. We show how to find the leftmost intersection with a valid boundary for each segment of MinMax, and can find the rightmost intersection symmetrically.

We walk along MinMax from left to right through the vertical decomposition of $S_k(B \cup R)$. We start at the leftmost vertex v , and find what trapezoid contains v in $O(\log n)$ time. The cell has constant complexity, so we can calculate in $O(1)$ time on which side the edge of MinMax immediately to the right of v leaves the cell. There are three options. Either (i) the edge of MinMax ends in the current trapezoid, and thus produces no type d point, and we move to the next vertex, (ii) it intersects the edge bounding the cell on the top or bottom, in which case we have found our leftmost type d point and we move to the next vertex, or (iii) it moves to an adjacent cell on the right without intersecting a valid edge, in which case we continue to walk in the adjacent cell. Since MinMax is x -monotone this procedure enters case (iii) at most once per trapezoid. There are $O(|S_k(B \cup R)|)$ trapezoids, so we spend $O(|S_k(B \cup R)|)$ time on the walk. We also perform one point location query per MinMax vertex, resulting in a total time of $O(|S_k(B \cup R)| + n \log n)$ time.

► **Lemma 29.** *Given $S_k(B \cup R)$, we can compute a separator $s_{\text{opt}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{s \in S_k(B \cup R)} M_{\max}(s)$ in $O(|S_k(B \cup R)| + n \log n)$ time.*

XX:26 Robust Classification of Dynamic Bichromatic Point Sets in \mathbb{R}^2

By combining Lemma 29 with the algorithm of Lemma 26 we thus immediately obtain an $O((n + |S_k(B \cup R)| + n + k^3) \log^2 n)$ time algorithm to compute s_{opt} . Similarly, plugging in Lemma 28, we obtain an $O(k^{4/3}n^{2/3} \log n + (n + k^2) \log n)$ time algorithm for when $k = k_{\min}$. Plugging in our simple $O(nk + n \log n)$ time algorithm, that constructs the overlay $\mathcal{A}_k(R^*, B^*)$ of $L_{\leq k}(R^*)$ and $L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$ to find $S_k(B \cup R)$, we would obtain $O((nk + n) \log n)$ time. We can slightly reduce this to $O(nk + n \log n)$ time as follows. These results together thus establish Theorem 3.

► **Lemma 30.** *We can compute a separator $s_{\text{opt}} \in S_k(B \cup R)$ minimizing M_{\max} in $O(nk + n \log n)$ time.*

Proof. Rather than binary searching on MinMax to find the edge of MinMax below every vertex v , we do the following. For each $i \in 1..k$, we simultaneously scan through MinMax, and the i -level of R^* . Both MinMax and the i -level are x -monotone curves. Furthermore, since $i \leq k$, we can trace the i -level by walking in $\mathcal{A}_k(R^*, B^*)$. We do the same for every $n - i$ level of B^* . We scan through MinMax at most $2k$ times, and we visit every edge of $\mathcal{A}_k(R^*, B^*)$ at most once. Thus over all levels, this takes at most $O(nk)$ time.

To compute the type c and d points, we explicitly insert MinMax as well as a vertical line through every vertex of MinMax into the overlay $\mathcal{A}_k(R^*, B^*)$ of $L_{\leq k}(R^*)$ and $L'_{\leq k}(B^*)$. See Figure 14. The complexity remains $O(nk)$: Every vertical line intersects at most k red, and at most k blue lines, and thus adds at most $O(nk)$ vertices. Similarly, within a “slab” between two consecutive such vertical lines, an edge of MinMax can intersect at most $O(k)$ lines. Using Finke and Hinrichs’ algorithm [29] this takes $O(nk)$ time, and the resulting arrangement clearly contains all type c and d points. ◀

6 Maintaining M_{\max} for k_{\min} under restricted insertions


We want to maintain a valid separator s_{opt} minimizing $M_{\max}(s_{\text{opt}})$ under insertions. This turns out to be difficult, so we consider the case when $k = k_{\min}$ (so for a tight k), and we impose two restrictions on the insertions:

1. The convex hulls of R and B do not change. This has two consequences in the dual: MinMax does not change, and the error of any fixed point does not change.
2. k_{\min} does not increase after an insertion, i.e. not all valid cells are made invalid by an insertion. This means the valid regions only ever decrease in size.

For each type a, b, c, d (as defined in Lemma 22) we separately maintain an optimal solution of that type; the overall optimum is then of course one of these four. For each type we actually maintain a set of candidate optimal points, and store them in a min-heap with their error M_{\max} to maintain the point with lowest error. The type c and especially d vertices are the bottleneck. We obtain the following:

► **Theorem 31.** *Given an initial set of n points $P = B \cup R$, we can build a data structure that maintains an optimal separator s_{opt} minimizing $M_{\max}(s_{\text{opt}})$ with $M_{\text{mis}}(s_{\text{opt}}) = k = k_{\min}$ under $m = \Omega\left(\left(\frac{k^{1/3}}{n^{1/12+\varepsilon}} + \frac{n^{1/4-\varepsilon}}{k}\right) \log^{6+\varepsilon}\right)$ restricted insertions in $O(kn^{3/4+\varepsilon})$ amortized insertion time, using $O((k^{4/3}n^{2/3} + n) \log^5 n)$ space.*

In Section 6.1 we first show how to answer lower envelope queries on some set of functions; we will use this result for finding the type d optimum. In the following four sections we consider the insertion of a red line r , and show how to maintain an optimal type a, b, c , and d point respectively. Inserting a blue line is handled similarly.



■ **Figure 17** The δ value δ_a for a point a .

6.1 Lower-envelope queries in a set of functions

Let $g(x_0, \dots, x_{d_1}, a_0, \dots, a_{d_2}) = g(x, a)$ be a $(d_1 + d_2)$ -variate function, for some constants d_1 and d_2 , such that $g(x, a) \leq c$ can be written as a $(d_1 + d_2)$ -variate polynomial with constant degree and integer coefficients. Recall that a *semi-algebraic set* is obtained by boolean operations on a collection of such functions, so $g(x, a) \leq c$ itself forms a semi-algebraic set too. In particular it is a constant complexity semi-algebraic set, since it is formed of a constant number (one) of constant-variate, constant-degree functions. Let such a function $g(x, a)$ be an *admissible* function.

We refer to x as the *variables* and a as the *parameters* of this function. If we fix a parameter vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ we get a d_1 -variate function $f_a(x) = g(x, a)$. Let F be a set of such functions, i.e. for each function $f \in F$ there exists some parameter vector a_f such that $f(x) = g(x, a_f)$. We call \mathbb{R}^{d_2} the *parameter space*, and observe that each function $f \in F$ corresponds to a point $a_f \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$. Similarly each point $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ corresponds to a function $f_a(x) = g(x, a)$. We wish to perform lower envelope queries in F : given a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ we wish to find the lower envelope of F at p , that is, we wish to find the lowest value $\hat{\delta}$ for which there still exists a function $f \in F$ such that $f(p) \leq \hat{\delta}$.

Ranges in parametric space. For a given point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ and value $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the equation $g(p, a) \leq \delta$. All the a 's are free variables, and thus this describes a region or *range* $\Gamma_p(\delta)$ in \mathbb{R}^{d_2} : a point $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ lies in $\Gamma_p(\delta)$ if and only if $f_a(p) \leq \delta$.

▶ **Lemma 32.** *For any fixed point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ and any two values $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2$, it holds that $\Gamma_p(\delta_1) \subseteq \Gamma_p(\delta_2)$.*


Proof. For every point $a \in \Gamma_p(\delta_1)$ there is a corresponding function f_a s.t. $f_a(p) \leq \delta_1$. Since $\delta_1 < \delta_2$, clearly then $f_a(p) < \delta_2$ also holds, so $a \in \Gamma_p(\delta_2)$, and thus $\Gamma_p(\delta_1) \subseteq \Gamma_p(\delta_2)$. ◀

It can be helpful to think of δ as ‘time’, where δ starts at $-\infty$ and continuously increases until ∞ . By Lemma 32 the range $\Gamma_p(\delta)$ grows in size as δ increases; indeed as δ increases the number of functions $f \in F$ for which $f(p) \leq \delta$ increases.


For a point $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ let δ_a be such that a lies exactly on the boundary of $\Gamma_p(\delta_a)$. See Figure 17. Given p and a we can compute δ_a , and thus the range $\Gamma_p(\delta_a)$, in constant time, by simply solving the equation $f_a(p) = \delta_a$, in which every parameter is known except δ_a .

Let $A = \{a_f \mid f \in F\}$ be the set of parameters (points in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}) corresponding to our functions F . Let $\hat{a} = \operatorname{argmin}_{a \in A} \delta_a$, i.e. the first point to be contained in the range $\Gamma_p(\delta)$ as δ increases, and note that none of the other points in A are contained in $\Gamma_p(\delta)$. This point \hat{a} is the point we are looking for, as it corresponds to the function $f_{\hat{a}}$ with the lowest value $f_{\hat{a}}(p) = \delta_{\hat{a}}$ at point p .

The data structure. We build a polynomial partition tree T on the points in A [34] (to be precise, we use the ‘boundary-fuzzy’ variant, which uses symbolic perturbation to ensure the input set A is not degenerate). Given a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ and a value δ , we could then construct



■ **Figure 18** A polynomial partition tree of a set of points, with two levels.



■ **Figure 19** The range $\Gamma_p(\delta_{\hat{a}})$ through \hat{a} .

the range $\Gamma_p(\delta)$ and query the partition tree: if the range contains any point $a \in A$ then there exists a function f_a with $f_a(p) \leq \delta$, if the range is empty such a function does not exist. We could then use parametric search to find the lowest value δ for which such a function exists, but this would add at least a $\log n$ factor in the query time, which we can avoid as we show below.


The partition tree T recursively partitions the set of points A (see Figure 18 for an illustration for when $d_2 = 2$). We start at the root node, where the set A is partitioned into $t = O(r)$ subsets $A_1 \dots A_t$ using an r -partitioning polynomial h , for some large but constant value r . The polynomial h partitions the space into cells $C_1 \dots C_t$ (shown in black in the figure). For each cell C_i we get a *representative point* $p(C_i)$ that lies in C_i (note that $p(C_i)$ is not generally a point from A). We create a child u_i for each subset A_i , for which we recursively build a subtree; the second level of cells is shown in red in Figure 18. Once a node contains only a constant number of points, we create a leaf node, and the recursion stops.

Consider a cell C and a range $\Gamma_p(\delta)$. We say that $\Gamma_p(\delta)$ *contains* C if $C \subseteq \Gamma_p(\delta)$, and $\Gamma_p(\delta)$ *crosses* C if $C \not\subseteq \Gamma_p(\delta)$ and $C \cap \Gamma_p(\delta) \neq \emptyset$. The most important property of the partition tree is that, for any internal node u of the tree, any range $\Gamma_p(\delta)$ crosses at most cr^{1-1/d_2} children of u , where c is a constant independent of r [34].

The query algorithm. Recall that $\hat{a} = \operatorname{argmin}_{a \in A} \delta_a$. Figure 19 shows $\Gamma_p(\delta_{\hat{a}})$, and the nodes in the partition tree it crosses.

► **Lemma 33.** *Let $\Gamma_p(\delta)$ be any range. If $\Gamma_p(\delta)$ contains a cell C , then it also contains \hat{a} .*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 32 that the range $\Gamma_p(\delta)$ contains a point a if and only if $\delta_a \leq \delta$. Every cell contains at least one point, by construction of the partition tree, and thus C contains some point a with $\delta_a \leq \delta$. Since $\delta_{\hat{a}} \leq \delta_a$, point \hat{a} is also contained in $\Gamma_p(\delta)$. ◀



■ **Figure 20** All cells contained in $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$ are crossed by $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'-1})$.

Consider some internal node u with children $u_1 \dots u_t$ (recall that $t = O(r)$), associated with cells $C_1 \dots C_t$. Recall that $p(C_i)$ is a representative point inside cell C_i , which is computed and stored during preprocessing for every cell. Let $\Gamma_p(\delta_i)$ be the surface through representative point $p(C_i)$. We sort the children of u by increasing δ_i value and renumber them, such that $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \dots \leq \delta_t$. By Lemma 32, this also means that $\Gamma_p(\delta_1) \subseteq \Gamma_p(\delta_2) \dots \subseteq \Gamma_p(\delta_t)$.

Let i' be the lowest integer such that $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$ fully contains any cell, and let the contained cell be C_j . For a given range $\Gamma_p(\delta)$ we can compute all cells contained in it using the A2 operation from [34]. Since we have $O(r)$ cells, and we chose r to be a sufficiently large constant, we can perform this operation for each representative range and thus find i' in $O(1)$ time total.

From Lemma 33 we immediately obtain:

► **Corollary 34.** *The range $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$ contains \hat{a} .*

We can now prove the last ingredient of our algorithm:

► **Lemma 35.** *The number of cells of the partition at a node u crossed by or contained in range $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$ is bounded by $c'r^{1-1/d_2}$, where c' is a constant independent of r .*


Proof. Recall that cell C_j is a cell contained in $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$. Clearly the range $\Gamma_p(\delta_j)$ through the representative point $p(C_j)$ cannot contain the cell C_j itself, since $p(C_j)$ lies in the interior C_j . Therefore, $\Gamma_p(\delta_j) \subset \Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$, and thus $\delta_j < \delta_{i'}$. Since we sorted the δ values of the children, it follows that $j < i'$, and thus $i' > 1$.

Recall that any range crosses at most cr^{1-1/d_2} children of u , where c is a constant independent of r . Clearly, the number of cells crossed by $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$ is thus bounded by cr^{1-1/d_2} , and we only need to consider the cells contained in $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$.

Consider the range $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'-1})$, so the last range to not contain any cell. See Figure 20. All ranges contained in $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$ must be crossed by $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'-1})$. Assume towards a contradiction that this is not the case, so there is some cell C_k that is contained in $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$ but not crossed by $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'-1})$. Then, by the applying the same reasoning as above to $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'-1})$ and $\Gamma_p(\delta_k)$, and to $\Gamma_p(\delta_k)$ and $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$, we have $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'-1}) \subset \Gamma_p(\delta_k) \subset \Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$ and thus $\delta_{i'-1} < \delta_k < \delta_{i'}$. This means k must be an integer between $i' - 1$ and i' , which is not possible.

So, all cells contained in $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$ are crossed by $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'-1})$, and thus the number of cells contained in $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$ is also bounded by cr^{1-1/d_2} . Thus the total number of cells crossed by or contained in $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$ is bounded by $2cr^{1-1/d_2} = c'r^{1-1/d_2}$, with $c' = 2c$. ◀

The above two lemmas give rise to the following result.



■ **Figure 21** Vertices above r become invalid, vertices below r stay valid. New valid vertices are created at intersected edges.

► **Lemma 36.** *Let G be an admissible $(d_1 + d_2)$ -variate function $g(x, a)$ as described above, let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ with $|A| = n$, and let $F = \{f_a(x) = g(x, a) \mid a \in A\}$. We can build a data structure that, given a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$, can find the lower envelope of the functions F at p . This data structure takes $O(n)$ space, can be built in $O(n \log n)$ expected time, and answers queries in $O(n^{1-1/d_2+\epsilon})$ time.*

Proof. We transform the functions F into a set of points A in \mathbb{R}^{d_2} and build a polynomial partition tree T on A , as described above, which uses $O(n)$ space and $O(n \log n)$ expected time. We wish to find point $\hat{a} = \operatorname{argmin}_{a \in A} \delta_a$.

Consider a query with point p . We start at the root of T . If it is a leaf we simply iterate through all $O(1)$ points a stored in this leaf, compute the surfaces $\Gamma_p(\delta_a)$ through them, and maintain the smallest δ_a we encounter. If it is an internal node we find the first representative range $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$ to contain a cell as described above. By Corollary 34 this range contains \hat{a} . Consider all the cells that are either contained in or crossed by $\Gamma_p(\delta_{i'})$; clearly \hat{a} lies in one of these cells. Therefore we recursively search all children that are contained in or crossed by $\Gamma_\ell(\delta_{i'})$. By Lemma 35 there are at most $c'r^{1-1/d_2}$ such children, each containing at most $O(n/r)$ points.

An internal node contains a constant number of cells (since we choose r to be a large but constant value), and a leaf node contains a constant number of points; therefore we only spend constant time per node we visit. Thus, the total query time $Q(n)$ of the above procedure obeys the following recurrence


$$Q(n) = \begin{cases} c'r^{1-1/d_2}Q(n/r) + O(1) & \text{for an internal node} \\ O(n) & \text{for a leaf node.} \end{cases}$$

This recurrence solves to $Q(n) = O(n^{1-1/d_2+\epsilon})$, as claimed. ◀

6.2 Type a: valid vertices

We first consider maintaining the valid cells themselves. We explicitly store and maintain all valid vertices, in $O(k^{4/3}n^{2/3} + n)$ space. For each valid face we store the vertices in clockwise order in a linked list. After the insertion of red line r all valid vertices above r become invalid, and two new valid vertices appear at every valid cell r intersects, see Figure 21. By Lemma 11 a line intersects $O(k)$ valid cells, so $O(k)$ new vertices are created.

We maintain the valid vertices in a dynamic halfplane range reporting data structure [23]. This uses $O((k^{4/3}n^{2/3} + n) \log^5 n)$ space, can be updated in $O(\log^{6+\epsilon} n)$ time (for any $\epsilon > 0$), and can report all q points above a query halfplane in $O(\log n + q)$ time. (With newer techniques, these times can be slightly improved; however, since the type a vertices are not the bottleneck anyway, this will suffice.)



■ **Figure 22** The MinMax vertices above r become invalid.

When inserting line r we perform one query to find all q valid vertices V above r in $O(\log n + q)$ time. We first check if r intersects any of the edges adjacent to these vertices V ; each such intersection corresponds to a new valid vertex. We add these $O(k)$ new vertices to their respective linked lists in $O(k)$ time, and to the range reporting data structure in $O(k \log^{6+\varepsilon} n)$ time. Afterwards we remove all vertices in V from their linked lists in $O(q)$ time, and from the range reporting data structure in $O(q \log^{6+\varepsilon} n)$ time. This yields a total insertion time of $O((k + q) \log^{6+\varepsilon} n)$.

► **Lemma 37.** *We can maintain the vertices of the valid faces under restricted insertions in $O((k + q) \log^{6+\varepsilon} n)$ time, where q is the number of valid vertices made invalid by an insertion, using $O((k^{4/3}n^{2/3} + n) \log^5 n)$ space.*

Due to restriction 1, the error $M_{\max}(p)$ of a fixed point p can not change after an insertion. Thus we can additionally maintain a min-heap containing all valid vertices with their error, to maintain an overall optimal type a point. Since updating a min-heap costs only $O(\log n)$ time, this does not increase the total insertion time.

► **Lemma 38.** *We can maintain an optimal type a point under restricted insertions in $O((k + q) \log^{6+\varepsilon} n)$ time, where q is the number of valid MinMax vertices made invalid by an insertion, using $O((k^{4/3}n^{2/3} + n) \log^5 n)$ space.*

6.3 Type b: MinMax vertex

By restriction 1 the MinMax curve does not change, nor does the error of its vertices. When inserting line r , all valid MinMax vertices above r become invalid. We again build a dynamic halfplane reporting data structure on the valid vertices, of size $O(n \log^5 n)$. (In fact, this data structure needs to support only deletions, not insertions, which can be handled more simply and quickly. However, since a fully dynamic data structure was already used above, we may as well re-use it.) For every insertion we perform one query in $O(\log n + q)$ time to find all q vertices above r , and remove those from the reporting data structure in $O(q \log^{6+\varepsilon} n)$ time.


As before, we additionally maintain a min-heap containing all valid MinMax vertices with their error to maintain an optimal type b point.

► **Lemma 39.** *We can maintain an optimal type b point under restricted insertions in $O(\log n + q \log^{6+\varepsilon} n)$ time, where q is the number of valid vertices made invalid by an insertion, time and $O(n \log^5 n)$ space.*


6.4 Type c: the first valid point above/below a MinMax vertex

We want to maintain the best type c point, i.e. the *first* valid point above or below a MinMax vertex. First we define a type c' point to be *any* valid point above or below a MinMax vertex, i.e. without the requirement to be the first.

► **Lemma 40.** *An optimal type c' point is also an optimal type c point.*



■ **Figure 23** An optimal type c' point is also a type c point.



■ **Figure 24** Left: a dual valid face with MinMax in black, a query line ℓ , and a type c' point p_v . Right: the dual of the left, so the primal.


Proof. Every type c point is also a type c' point, so we only have to prove that an optimal type c' point is also a type c point.

Let p be an optimal type c' point, and assume w.l.o.g. that it lies below a MinMax vertex. See Figure 23. Assume by contradiction that point p is not a type c point, i.e. p is not the first valid point below a MinMax vertex: then there must be another valid point q between p and MinMax. This point q must also be a type c' point, since it is also vertically below a MinMax vertex. Point q is vertically closer to MinMax than point p , so by Lemma 21 we have $M_{\max}(q) < M_{\max}(p)$, which is a contradiction since we assumed p to be an optimal type c' point. ◀

Geometry of type c' points. Consider a MinMax vertex v , defined by (w.l.o.g.) two blue lines b_1 and b_2 and a red line r_1 . See Figure 24. Let e be a valid edge below v with supporting line ℓ . Vertex v projects a type c' point $p_{v,\ell}$ on ℓ . We dualize everything, going ‘back’ to the primal plane, as on the right side of the figure. Here, line v^* is defined by points b_1^* and b_2^* on one side and r_1^* on the other, with equal distance δ_v to all three points. Line ℓ dualizes to a point ℓ^* , and the type c' point $p_{v,\ell}$ dualizes to a line $p_{v,\ell}^*$ through ℓ^* parallel to v^* . Let $\delta_\ell = \text{dist}(\ell^*, v^*)$. Recall that the error $M_{\max}(p_{v,\ell}^*)$ of $p_{v,\ell}^*$ is the distance to its furthest misclassified point, which must be one of the points defining v^* , so $M_{\max}(p_{v,\ell}^*) = \delta_v + \delta_\ell$.

For a fixed MinMax vertex v , each point $\ell^* \in \mathbb{R}^2$ defines a type c' line $p_{v,\ell}^*$ as described above, with some error $M_{\max}(p_{v,\ell}^*)$. We define the bivariate error function $E_v(\ell^*) = M_{\max}(p_{v,\ell}^*)$. Since this error is a constant (δ_v) plus the distance to the line (δ_ℓ), $E_v(\ell^*)$ is piecewise linear. In particular, the graph of $E_v(\ell^*)$ is the union of two halfplanes at a 45° originating from v^* , forming a *wedge* as in Figure 25, starting at height δ_v . For intuition, observe that all points ℓ^* on line $p_{v,\ell}^*$ would result in the same type c' line $p_{v,\ell}^*$ and thus the same error, and moving ℓ^* a distance d away from v^* would indeed increase its error by d .

Fix a valid edge e with supporting line ℓ , and let V be the set of MinMax vertices that define a type c' point on e . With slight abuse of notation, let $E_V = \{E_v | v \in V\}$ be the set



■ **Figure 25** The error function for two lines v_1^* and v_2^* , and the corresponding error of two points ℓ_1^* and ℓ_2^* .

of wedge-functions defined by vertices in V . The following lemma follows immediately from the definition of the error function:

► **Lemma 41.** *Point $p_{\hat{v},\ell}$ is the type c' point on e with the lowest error, if and only if the function $E_{\hat{v}}$ has the lowest value at point ℓ^* among all functions E_V , i.e. $\hat{v} = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in V} E_v(\ell^*)$.*

For example in Figure 25, the function E_u has the lowest value at point ℓ^* , so vertex u defines the best type c' point on ℓ .

Data structures. By Lemma 41, we can find the best type c' point on a given line ℓ by finding the error function with the lowest value at point ℓ^* , i.e. by performing a *lower envelope query* in a set of wedge-functions. Simply constructing the entire lower envelope and storing its projection on the xy -plane would allow for logarithmic time queries, but would take $O(n^2)$ time and space, and is therefore not feasible. We could use the data structure developed in Section 6.1 to answer these queries, however we can do something faster in this case, since our functions E_V have a rather simple shape.

Let E_v be a wedge-function defined by line v^* . A point ℓ^* lies *north* of E_v if ℓ^* lies above v^* (in the plane), and similarly ℓ^* lies *south* of E_v if ℓ^* lies below v^* . We first show how to perform restricted lower envelope queries, where all wedges lie on the same side of the query point, and then extend it to the non-restricted case. We use this to find the best type c' point on a query edge, and finally maintain the overall best type c point.

► **Lemma 42.** *Let V be a set of n MinMax vertices. We can build a data structure that can answer lower envelope queries on the functions E_V for query points ℓ^* that lie south of all wedges. This data structure has size $O(n)$ and answers queries in $O(\log n)$ time.*

Proof. Until now we have written $E_v(\ell^*) = M_{\max}(p_{v,\ell}^*) = \delta_v + \operatorname{dist}(\ell^*, v^*)$, but we can make it more explicit. For a point $p : (p_x, p_y)$ and a line $m : ax + by + c = 0$ let $\widehat{\operatorname{dist}}(p, m) = |ap_x + bp_y + c|/\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ be the *signed* distance between p and m , i.e. it is positive if p lies north of m and negative otherwise. Note that, for a fixed m , this function is linear in p . Then:

$$E_v(\ell^*) = \begin{cases} f_{\text{north}}(\ell^*) = \delta_v + \widehat{\operatorname{dist}}(\ell^*, v^*) & \text{if } \ell^* \text{ north of } v^* \\ f_{\text{south}}(\ell^*) = \delta_v - \widehat{\operatorname{dist}}(\ell^*, v^*) & \text{if } \ell^* \text{ south of } v^* \end{cases}$$

XX:34 Robust Classification of Dynamic Bichromatic Point Sets in \mathbb{R}^2

For a fixed v^* , both f_{north} and f_{south} are linear in ℓ^* . Since ℓ^* lies south of all wedges, we can simply take $E_v(\ell^*) = f_{\text{south}}(\ell^*)$, and the lower envelope at ℓ^* remains the same. Since $E_v(\ell^*)$ is a linear function now, we can build an $O(n)$ space data structure in $O(n \log n)$ time that allows lower envelope queries in $O(\log n)$ time (this corresponds to lower envelope queries on a set of planes in 3D, see e.g. [32]). ◀

Analogously, the above lemma works for query points that lie north of all wedges.

We can extend the above data structure to handle general query points, that don't necessarily lie south of all wedges, albeit at a much higher query time:

► **Lemma 43.** *Let V be a set of n MinMax vertices. We can build a data structure that can answer lower envelope queries on the functions E_V for any query point ℓ^* . This data structure has size $O(n \log n)$, and answers queries in $O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$.*

Proof. We build a partition tree [28] of size $O(n)$ on the MinMax vertices V . Each node u has an associated canonical subset $V_u \subseteq V$ of points, and on each canonical subset we build the data structure from Lemma 42. This uses $O(n \log n)$ space.

For query point ℓ^* , let $V_n(\ell) \subseteq V^*$ and $V_s(\ell) \subseteq V$ be the set of MinMax vertices that ℓ lies north of, respectively that ℓ lies south of (by duality, ℓ^* thus lies south of all lines $V_n(\ell)^*$). We can query the partition tree to find $O(\sqrt{n})$ nodes representing $V_n(\ell)$, in $O(\sqrt{n})$ time. In each such node, we are certain that ℓ^* lies south of all wedges in its canonical subset, so we can query the associate data structure for the lower envelope at ℓ^* in $O(\log n)$ time. We similarly find and query the nodes representing $V_s(\ell)$. We maintain and return the lowest point. This takes $O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$ time. ◀

We can now use the above data structure to find the best type c' point on an edge:

► **Lemma 44.** *We can build a data structure that, given a query edge e , can find the best type c' point on e . This data structure has size $O(n \log^2 n)$, and answers queries in $O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$.*

Proof. We build a balanced binary tree on the MinMax vertices, sorted by x -coordinate, and on each canonical subset of the tree we build the data structure from Lemma 43. Since the associated data structure on m vertices takes $O(m \log m)$ space, and the total size of all canonical subsets is $O(n \log n)$, this takes $O(n \log^2 n)$ space in total.


For a query edge e with supporting line ℓ , we query the binary tree for the $O(\log n)$ nodes representing the MinMax vertices in the x -interval of edge e . These are exactly the vertices that define a type c' point on e , and we wish to find the one with the lowest error. We perform lower envelope queries at point ℓ^* using the associate data structures on each node, and by Lemma 41 this gives us the type c' point with the lowest error.

At a node u containing n MinMax vertices we thus spend $O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$ time for querying the associate data structure, and recurse into one child. The query time thus follows the recurrence $Q(n) = Q(n/2) + O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$, which solves to $Q(n) = O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$. ◀


We can now finally maintain an overall optimal type c' (and thus type c) point:

► **Lemma 45.** *We can maintain an optimal type c point under restricted insertions in $O(k\sqrt{n} \log n + q \log n)$ time, where q is the number of valid vertices made invalid by an insertion, using $O(n \log^2 n)$ space.*

Proof. We will maintain the best type c' point on every valid edge, and maintain a min-heap of all these local optima to maintain the global optimum. By Lemma 40 the optimal type c' maintained by the min-heap is also an optimal type c point.



■ **Figure 26** Left: a dual type d point p_s , defined by a MinMax edge e and a line ℓ . Right: the dual of the left, so the primal.



■ **Figure 27** The error function of a wedge s^* , and the corresponding error of a point ℓ^* . The blue line illustrates that this function forms a ruled surface.

Unsurprisingly, we build the data structure of Lemma 44, using $O(n \log^2 n)$ space.

Suppose we insert a red line ℓ . As we saw when maintaining the valid faces in Section 6.2, some valid edges disappear, some edges get shorter, some edges do not change, and some new edges on ℓ appear. We do not have to do anything with the edges that do not change, as the best type c' point on that edge does not change. For each of the new or shortened edges we simply perform one query in the above data structure to find the (new) best type c' point on the edge, and update or insert the entry in the min-heap. By Lemma 11 a line intersects $O(k)$ valid regions, so there are $O(k)$ new or shortened edges. These $O(k)$ queries take $O(k\sqrt{n} \log n)$ time. For the q edges that disappear, we only have to remove their type c' point from the min-heap in $O(\log n)$ time each. The total time for an insertion is $O(q \log n + k\sqrt{n} \log n)$. ◀

6.5 Type d : intersection between MinMax and valid cells

We want to maintain an optimal type d point, i.e. an intersection between a MinMax edge and a valid edge. Our approach is very similar to how we maintained an optimal type c point. We will create a data structure on the MinMax edges that, given a line ℓ , can find the best type d point on ℓ . Within this data structure we again want to perform lower envelope queries on a set of error-functions. However these functions are higher dimensional, and their shape is more complicated, so we resort to using general techniques for semi-algebraic range searching. We use this data structure to maintain the best type d point on each valid edge, as before.

Geometry of type d points. Consider a MinMax segment s with supporting line m , defined by a blue line b and a red line r . See Figure 26. Let ℓ be a line intersecting s in point $p_{s,\ell}$, our type d point. We dualize everything, going 'back' to the primal plane, as on the right side of the figure. Here we have a double wedge s^* with apex m^* , defined by two points r^* and b^* at equal distance from m^* . Both r^* and b^* have equal distance to the left and right part of the double wedge s^* . Line ℓ dualizes to a point ℓ^* inside s^* , and the type d point $p_{s,\ell}$ dualizes to a line $p_{s,\ell}^*$ through ℓ^* and m^* . Some algebra tells us $p_{s,\ell}^* : y = ax + c$, with $a = (m_y^* - \ell_y^*) / (m_x^* - \ell_x^*)$ and $c = \ell_y^* - \ell_x^* a$.

Let $\delta_\ell = \text{dist}(b^*, p_{s,\ell}^*) = |ab_x^* - b_y^* + c| / \sqrt{a^2 + 1}$ (or equivalently $\delta_\ell = \text{dist}(r^*, p_{s,\ell}^*)$). Recall that the error $M_{\max}(p_{s,\ell}^*)$ of line $p_{s,\ell}^*$ is the distance to its furthest misclassified point, which is r^* or b^* , so $M_{\max}(p_{s,\ell}^*) = \delta_\ell$.

For a fixed MinMax segment s defined by lines r and b , each (primal) point ℓ^* defines a type d line $p_{s,\ell}^*$ as described above, with some error $M_{\max}(p_{s,\ell}^*)$. We define the bivariate error function $E_s(\ell^*) = M_{\max}(p_{s,\ell}^*)$, see Figure 27 for a schematic illustration. For intuition, observe that any point ℓ^* on $p_{s,\ell}^*$ would result in the same type d line $p_{s,\ell}^*$ and thus the same error, and that moving ℓ^* towards the outside of wedge s^* will decrease the error.

Let S be the set of MinMax edges intersecting line ℓ , and let $E_S = \{E_s | s \in S\}$ be the set of functions defined by edges in S . The following lemma, very similar to Lemma 41, holds by definition of the error functions:

► **Lemma 46.** *Point $p_{\hat{s},\ell}$ is the type d point on ℓ with the lowest error, if and only if function $E_{\hat{s}}$ has the lowest value at point ℓ^* among all functions in E_S , i.e. $\hat{s} = \text{argmin}_{s \in S} E_s(\ell^*)$.*

We will thus want to perform lower envelope queries in the set of functions E_S .

Using the lower-envelope data structure with our functions E_S . We will use the lower-envelope data structure developed in Section 6.1. For this, we need to show that our function E_s is admissible. For the function $E_s(\ell^*)$ to be admissible, as defined in Section 6.1, we first need to write it in the form $g(x, a)$, i.e. separate the inputs into parameters and variables. Recall that s is defined by two points r^* and b^* , and thus $E_s(\ell^*)$ depends on six values: the coordinates of 2D points r^* , b^* and ℓ^* . We wish to find the lower envelope at a given point ℓ^* . We can thus view r^* and b^* as parameters and ℓ^* as the variables, or in other words we can write $E_s = g(x, a)$ where $x = (\ell_x^*, \ell_y^*)$ and $a = (r_x^*, r_y^*, b_x^*, b_y^*)$, so $g(x, a)$ is a $(2 + 4)$ -variate function. We now need to show that this function can be written as a constant degree polynomial with integer coefficients.

► **Lemma 47.** *The equation $E_s(p) = |ap_x - p_y + c| / \sqrt{a^2 + 1} \leq \delta$ can be written polynomial of degree 4 in r^* , b^* , and ℓ^* , with integer coefficients.*

Proof.

$$E_s(p) = \frac{|ar_x - r_y + c|}{\sqrt{a^2 + 1}} \leq \delta$$

Move square root to other side:

$$|ar_x - r_y + c| \leq \delta \sqrt{a^2 + 1}$$

Square:

$$(ar_x - r_y + c)^2 \leq \delta^2 (a^2 + 1)$$

Working out the square:

$$a^2 r_x^2 + r_y^2 + c^2 - ar_x r_y + ar_x c - r_y c \leq \delta^2 a^2 + \delta^2$$

Substituting $c = p_y - p_x * a$:

$$a^2 r_x^2 + r_y^2 + (p_y - p_x a)^2 - ar_x r_y + ar_x (p_y - p_x a) - r_y (p_y - p_x a) \leq \delta^2 a^2 + \delta^2$$

Working out the square:

$$a^2 r_x^2 + r_y^2 + p_y^2 + p_x^2 a^2 - 2p_y p_x a - ar_x r_y + ar_x p_y - p_x r_x a^2 - r_y p_y + r_y p_x a - \delta^2 a^2 - \delta^2 \leq 0$$

Grouping terms:

$$a^2 (r_x^2 + p_x^2 - \delta^2 - p_x r_x) + a (r_x p_y + p_x r_y - r_x r_y - 2p_y p_x) + r_y^2 + p_y^2 - r_y p_y - \delta^2 \leq 0$$

Since $a = (m_y - p_y)/(m_x - p_x)$, multiply by $(m_x - p_x)^2$ to get rid of divisions:

$$(m_y - p_y)^2 (r_x^2 + p_x^2 - \delta^2 - p_x r_x) + (m_y - p_y)(m_x - p_x)(r_x p_y + p_x r_y - r_x r_y - 2p_y p_x) \dots$$

$$\dots + (m_x - p_x)^2 (r_y^2 + p_y^2 - r_y p_y - \delta^2) \leq 0$$

Substituting $m_x = \frac{r_x + b_x}{2}$ and $m_y = \frac{r_y + b_y}{2}$:

$$\left(\frac{r_y + b_y}{2} - p_y\right)^2 (r_x^2 + p_x^2 - \delta^2 - p_x r_x) + \left(\frac{r_y + b_y}{2} - p_y\right) \left(\frac{r_x + b_x}{2} - p_x\right) (r_x p_y + p_x r_y - r_x r_y - 2p_y p_x) \dots$$

$$\dots + \left(\frac{r_x + b_x}{2} - p_x\right)^2 (r_y^2 + p_y^2 - r_y p_y - \delta^2) \leq 0$$

We can view p and δ as constants, so the polynomial is of degree 4 in r , b , and ℓ . ◀

By Lemma 36 we can thus perform lower envelope queries in E_S in $O(n^{3/4+\varepsilon})$ time.

► **Lemma 48.** *We can build a data structure that, given a query line ℓ , can find the best type d point on ℓ . This data structure has size $O(n \log^2 n)$, and answers queries in $O(n^{3/4+\varepsilon})$ time.*

Proof. This is a three-level data structure, where the first two levels are ‘regular’ non-polynomial partition trees [28], and the last level is our data structure from Lemma 36.

More specifically, the level 1 partition tree is built on all MinMax vertices, and can return a set of canonical subsets representing all endpoints of MinMax segments that lie above ℓ . On each canonical subset we build a level 2 partition tree, which can return a set of canonical subsets representing intersected segments whose other endpoint lies below ℓ . Lastly, on these canonical subsets we build our level 3 data structure from Lemma 36 to find the lower envelope of the functions they define. This gives us the lower envelope of all functions defined by segments intersecting ℓ , which by Lemma 46 gives us the best type d point on ℓ .

The canonical subsets of a partition tree have total size $O(n \log n)$, and since we have two levels of explicit canonical subsets this results in $O(n \log^2 n)$ space.

XX:38 Robust Classification of Dynamic Bichromatic Point Sets in \mathbb{R}^2

Let $Q(n)$ be the query time of our entire multi-level data structure, and let $Q_2(n)$ and $Q_3(n)$ be the query times of the level 2 and level 3 data structure. By Lemma 36, $Q_3(n) = O(n^{3/4+\varepsilon})$. Consider the level 2 partition tree, and consider a node u containing n points. Query line ℓ crosses $c\sqrt{r}$ children of u on which we recurse (for some constant c), and we directly query the level 3 data structure on all $O(r)$ cells fully below ℓ . Therefore the level 2 query time $Q_2(n)$ follows the following recurrence:

$$Q_2(n) = \begin{cases} c\sqrt{r}Q_2(n/r) + rQ_3(n) & \text{for an internal node} \\ Q_3(n) = O(1) & \text{for a leaf node} \end{cases}$$

Using the Master Theorem we can see that $rQ_3(n)$ dominates the subproblems, and thus $Q_2(n) = rQ_3(n) = O(n^{3/4+\varepsilon})$. The overall query time $Q(n)$ follows an identical recurrence, and thus $Q(n) = O(n^{3/4+\varepsilon})$. ◀

We need one more level: we have a data structure that can answer queries for a line ℓ , but we need a data structure that can answer queries for a valid edge e .

► **Lemma 49.** *We can build a data structure that, given a query edge e , can find the best type d point on e . This data structure has size $O(n \log^3 n)$, and answers queries in $O(n^{3/4+\varepsilon})$ time.*

Proof. This data structure is analogous to the one used for type c points in Lemma 44. We build a balanced binary search tree on the MinMax vertices sorted by x -coordinate, and build the data structure from Lemma 48 on each canonical subset, using $O(n \log^3 n)$ space.

We handle a query identically: for a query edge e with supporting line ℓ we find nodes representing the MinMax vertices in the x -interval of edge e , and query their associate data structure for the best type d point in that x -interval. The query time follows the recurrence $Q(n) = Q(n/2) + O(n^{3/4+\varepsilon})$ which solves to $Q(n) = O(n^{3/4+\varepsilon})$. ◀


► **Lemma 50.** *We can maintain an optimal type d point under restricted insertions in $O(kn^{3/4+\varepsilon} + q \log n)$ time, where q is the number of valid vertices made invalid by an insertion, using $O(n \log^2 n)$ space.*

Proof. We can do this the exactly how we maintained an optimal type c point in Lemma 45, by maintaining the best type d point on every valid edge, and using a min-heap to maintain the global optimum. After an insertion, for each of the $O(k)$ new or shortened edges we perform one query on the data structure from Lemma 49, in $O(kn^{3/4+\varepsilon})$ total time. For the q edges that disappear, we only have to remove their type d point from the min-heap in $O(q \log n)$ total time. ◀


6.6 Total amortized insertion time

We can separately maintain the best point of each type a, b, c, d using the above data structures; if an insertion makes q_1 valid vertices invalid and makes q_2 valid MinMax vertices invalid, then the insertion time is $O((k + q_1) \log^{6+\varepsilon} n + \log n + q_2 \log^{6+\varepsilon} n + k\sqrt{n} \log n + q_1 \log n + kn^{3/4+\varepsilon} + q_1 \log n) = O(kn^{3/4+\varepsilon} + q_1 \log^{6+\varepsilon} n + q_2 \log^{6+\varepsilon} n)$. Over a sequence of m insertions, let Q_1 be the sum of all q_1 's, so the total number of valid vertices made invalid. Define Q_2 similarly. The total time of all these insertions is $O(mkn^{3/4+\varepsilon} + Q_1 \log^{6+\varepsilon} n + Q_2 \log^{6+\varepsilon} n)$.

For any insertion q_1 can be as large as $O(k^{4/3}n^{2/3} + n)$, since every valid vertex can be made invalid by a single insertion. However, since a vertex can become invalid only once and only $O(k)$ new vertices appear every insertion, $Q_1 = O(k^{4/3}n^{2/3} + n + mk)$. Similarly,



■ **Figure 28** The unit circle and unit 4-gon



■ **Figure 29** A cone of a t -gon around point p aligned with line s

although any q_2 can be $O(n)$, their sum $Q_2 = O(n)$ as well. Since Q_2 is smaller than Q_1 , we focus only on Q_1 .

We wish to find a value m such that $O(mkn^{3/4+\epsilon})$ dominates $O(Q_1 \log^{6+\epsilon})$; then the amortized insertion time would simply be $O(kn^{3/4+\epsilon})$. Some simple math tells us this holds for $m = \Omega\left(\frac{(k^{4/3}n^{2/3+n})\log^{6+\epsilon}}{kn^{3/4+\epsilon}}\right) = \Omega\left(\frac{k^{1/3}}{n^{1/12+\epsilon}} + \frac{n^{1/4-\epsilon}}{k}\right)\log^{6+\epsilon}$. This finalizes the proof of Theorem 31.

7 ϵ -Approximation


Let $s_{\text{opt}} \in S_k(B \cup R)$ be an optimal valid separator minimizing M_{max} , and let $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ be some given threshold. Our goal is to compute a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximation of s_{opt} : that is, we want to find a valid separator \hat{s} with $M_{\text{max}}(\hat{s}) \leq (1 + \epsilon)M_{\text{max}}(s_{\text{opt}})$. The main idea is to replace the Euclidean distance function $dist$ by some convex distance function \hat{d} that approximates $dist$, and compute a separator \hat{s} that minimizes $\hat{M}(s) = \max_{p \in X(s, B \cup R)} \hat{d}(p, s)$.

7.1 Convex distance function

Let p be a point and s be a line, let $t = \Theta(1/\sqrt{\epsilon})$, and let T be a convex regular t -gon centered at the origin inscribed by a unit disk. See Figure 28. We then define the convex distance function $\hat{d}(p, s) = \min\{\lambda \mid s \cap (p + \lambda T) \neq \emptyset\}$ to be the smallest scaling factor for which a scaled copy of T centered at p intersects s . Observe that this distance is realized in a corner v of the t -gon; i.e. the t -gon scaled by a factor $\hat{d}(p, s)$ intersects s in a corner point v of the t -gon, see Figure 30. We say v is a *realizer* for the line s . As t increases, T becomes more circular, and \hat{d} and $dist$ become more similar. It can be shown that $dist(p, s) \leq \hat{d}(p, s) \leq (1 + \epsilon)dist(p, s)$ [35, 36], and thus $M_{\text{max}}(s) \leq \hat{M}(s) \leq (1 + \epsilon)M_{\text{max}}(s)$. It follows that the separator \hat{s} minimizing \hat{M} is a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximation of s_{opt} .

7.2 Overview

Each corner v of the t -gon corresponds to some interval J_v of slopes for which it is a realizer. These slope intervals J_v , over all corners of the t -gon, partition all possible slopes into t intervals. For each slope interval J_v we will compute an optimal valid separator \hat{s}^v with slope in J_v , and finally $\hat{s} = \min_v \hat{s}^v$. From now on, we consider one such slope interval J_v . Assume w.l.o.g. that v is vertically below the center point of the t -gon (we can rotate the plane to achieve this). This means interval J_v is centered at slope 0, so $J_v = (-\pi/t, \pi/t)$.



■ **Figure 30** The Euclidean distance from point p to halfspace ℓ^- , and the convex (Manhattan) distance from point q to halfspace ℓ^- with $t = 4$.

The distance between a point and a line is now simply their vertical distance. More formally, let $r = (r_x, r_y) \in R$ be a red point, and let $s : y = mx + c$ be a line with $m \in J_v$. By filling in r in the equation of s we find the signed (vertical) distance between r and s is $d_r(s) = r_y - (mr_x + c)$. Recall red points are misclassified if they lie in the halfplane s^+ above s . Thus the error of point r is its distance to s^- , which is $\hat{M}_r(s) = \max\{0, d_r(s)\}$. Similarly, the error of a blue point $b \in B$ is $\hat{M}_b(s) = \max\{0, d_b(s)\}$ where $d_b(s) = mb_x + c - b_y$. Hence, our goal is to compute a separator \hat{s}^v with $m \in J_v$ that minimizes total error $\hat{M}(s) = \max_{p \in B \cup R} d_p(s)$, while misclassifying at most k points.

We will be working in the dual. We are only interested in separators with slope in J_v , which restricts us to a vertical slab from $x = -\pi/t$ to $x = \pi/t$. Recall that the dual transformation preserves vertical distance between points and lines, so for a dual point s the error $\hat{M}(s)$ is the vertical distance from s to the furthest misclassified line.

We first create a data structure that can quickly find a valid separator (a dual point) s with $\hat{M}(s) \leq \delta$, for a given value δ , if it exists. We then use parametric search to find the optimal value δ , and a separator \hat{s}^v with that error.

7.3 Decision problem for a given δ


For a given value δ , we want to quickly find a valid separator s , with slope in J_v and $\hat{M}(s) \leq \delta$, if it exists. We extend Chan’s algorithm from Section 4.1 to this end.

Recall that the error of a point is its vertical distance to the furthest misclassified line, which lies either on $L'_0(B)$ or $L_0(R)$. Therefore, all points with error at most δ lie at most δ below $L'_0(B)$. This can be imagined as moving $L'_0(B)$ down by δ . Let the resulting chain be the *convex δ -chain*. See Figure 31. Similarly, let the *concave δ -chain* be $L_0(R)$ moved up by δ . All points with error at most δ must thus lie above the convex δ -chain, and below the concave δ -chain: let the δ -region be the intersection of these two regions, a convex polygon of complexity $O(n)$. Since we are interested only in separators with slope in J_v , we clip the δ -region to the vertical slab induced by J_v , after which it is still convex.


We do not need to consider any points s outside the δ -region, as they either have a slope not in J_v or have error $\hat{M}(s^*) > \delta$. So the question becomes: does there exist a valid point in the δ -region?

► **Lemma 51.** *If any valid point exists in the δ -region, there must exist a valid point on the intersection of a convex chain (a blue chain or the convex δ -chain) and a concave chain (a red chain or the concave δ -chain).*

Proof. Let s be the valid point, and let C be the valid region containing s . Let C' be the



■ **Figure 31** An overview of the geometry: the slab induced by J_v , the δ -chains created by moving $L'_0(B)$ down and $L_0(R)$ up by δ , and the δ -region formed by them



■ **Figure 32** A δ -region, with some red and blue chains. Candidate intersections are marked.

intersection of C and the δ -region. Both C and the δ -region are bounded on top by concave chains, and bounded on the bottom by convex chains. Therefore, C' is also bounded on top by concave chains, and bounded on the bottom by convex chains. In particular, the leftmost point of C' lies on a convex-concave intersection, and by construction it is valid and lies in (the boundary of) the δ -region, proving the lemma. ◀

The set of concave-convex intersections consist of $O(k^2)$ red-blue intersections, $O(k)$ intersections of the concave δ -chain with blue chains, $O(k)$ intersections between the convex δ -chain with red chains, and $O(1)$ intersections between the δ -chains. Candidate convex-concave intersections in Figure 32 are marked.

We can calculate all $O(k^2)$ red-blue intersections during preprocessing, among them find the valid point with smallest error p_{min} , and simply forget about all others. The $O(k)$ intersections involving the δ -chains can not be preprocessed, as they require a query value δ . Explicitly constructing the δ -chains for a query would take $O(n)$ time, as the envelopes have complexity $O(n)$, so we do it implicitly by just adding δ to the y -coordinate of $L_0(R)$ (or subtracting δ from the y -coordinate of $L'_0(B)$) whenever we need this value in a calculation.

Data structure. The data structure consists of three parts:

- A concave chain decomposition of $L_{\leq k}(R)$, and a convex chain decomposition of $L'_{\leq k}(B)$, with a chromatic ply data structure for every chain.
- The point p_{min} , the red-blue intersection with lowest error.
- The envelopes $L_0(R)$ and $L'_0(B)$.

This can be constructed in $O((n + k^2) \log n)$ time using Chan's method as explained in Section 4.1, and uses $O(n + k^2)$ space.

Query. We answer a query with value δ as follows:

- Check if $\hat{M}(p_{min}) \leq \delta$. If so, return p_{min} . This takes $O(1)$ time.
- Find the $O(k)$ convex-concave intersections involving the δ -chains. This takes $O(k \log n)$ time.
- Build a red ply data structure for the convex δ -chain, and build a blue ply data structure for the concave δ -chain. This takes $O(k \log k)$ time.
- For each intersection, calculate whether it is valid or not using the chromatic ply data structures on the chains, and calculate its error. This takes $O(k \log k)$ time.

- Return the valid intersection with lowest error if its error is at most δ , otherwise there exists no point with error at most δ . This takes $O(k)$ time.

► **Lemma 52.** *We can build a data structure that, given a query value $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, can find a valid separator s with slope in J_v such that $\hat{M}(s^*) \leq \delta$, if it exists. This data structure takes $O(n + k^2)$ space and $O((n + k^2) \log n)$ time to build, and queries are answered in $O(k \log n)$ time.*

In fact we can parallelize these queries with k processors; this will be useful later for parametric search.

Parallel query with k processors We answer a query with value δ as follows:

- Check if $M(p_{\min}) \leq \delta$. If so, return p_{\min} . This step does not need to be parallelised. $O(1)$ time.
- Find the $O(k)$ convex-concave intersections involving the δ -chains. Since all intersections are independent, with k processors this takes $O(\log n)$ time.
- Build a red ply data structure for the convex δ -chain, and build a blue ply data structure for the concave δ -chain. Since the ply data structure simply consists of two sorted lists, this comes down to sorting k values, which takes $O(\log k)$ time with k processors [37].
- For each intersection, calculate whether it is valid or not using the chromatic ply data structures on the chains, and calculate its error. Again these calculations are independent, so this takes $O(\log k)$ time.
- Return the valid point with lowest error if its error is at most δ , otherwise there exists no point with error at most δ . With a simple divide and conquer approach this takes $O(\log k)$ time.

► **Lemma 53.** *Using the data structure of Lemma 52, with k processors, we can answer queries in $O(\log n)$ time.*

7.4 Finding optimal δ (parametric search)

In Lemma 52 we give a decision algorithm: for a given value δ , does there exist a valid point with error at most δ ? Using *parametric search* [16, 38] we can turn this decision algorithm into an optimisation algorithm: what is the lowest value δ^* for which there exists a valid point? Parametric search requires that the decision algorithm (1) behaves discontinuously at the optimal value δ^* , and (2) only computes roots of polynomials in δ of small degree to govern its control flow. Clearly condition (1) holds for our problem, since for any $\delta < \delta^*$ there does not exist a valid point with error at most δ , while for any $\delta \geq \delta^*$ there does. To see that condition (2) holds, observe that our algorithm finds intersections between convex and concave chains, sorts lists of points, and binary searches on lists or chains. All these steps yield low degree polynomials.

Parametric search requires a parallel decision algorithm that uses p processors and runs in T_p parallel steps, as well as a sequential algorithm that runs in T_s time, and computes δ^* in $O(pT_p + T_pT_s \log p)$. Lemma 52 gives a sequential algorithm running in $T_s = O(k \log n)$ time (after building the data structure in $O((n + k^2) \log n)$ time) and Lemma 53 gives a parallel algorithm running in $T_p = O(\log n)$ time with $p = k$ processors. This yields $O(k \log n + \log nk \log n \log k) = O(k \log^2 n \log k)$ time for the parametric search. Including the time to build the data structure this yields an $O((n + k^2) \log n + k \log^2 n \log k) = O((n + k^2) \log n)$ time algorithm for finding δ^* .

► **Lemma 54.** *We can find a valid separator \hat{s}^v with slope in J_v that minimizes $\hat{M}(\hat{s}^v)$ in $O((n + k^2) \log n)$ time.*

Recall that this is for one slope interval J_v . Doing this for all $t = \Theta(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ intervals results in the following:

► **Theorem 4.** *Let $B \cup R$ be a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^2 , let $k \in 1..n$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. We can compute a separator $s \in S_k(B \cup R)$ that is a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ approximation with respect to M_{\max} in $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}((n + k^2) \log n))$ time.*

7.5 Maintaining an approximate separator

Recall the data structure from Lemma 52 which, for a given slope interval J_v and value δ , can find if there exists a valid separator in J_v with error at most δ . Also recall Lemma 15, which tells us we can maintain a concave chain decomposition of the $\leq k$ level of a set of lines. By combining the two, we can maintain an approximate optimal solution to the k-Mis MinMax problem.

We can maintain the data structure from Lemma 52 in the semi-online setting in $O(k \log^3 n)$ update time: we maintain the chains, chromatic ply data structures, and point p_{\min} using Lemma 16, and additionally maintain the envelopes of R and B . After every insertion we perform the parametric search from Lemma 4 to find a new approximate optimum. The only difference is that we now have $O(k \log n)$ chains, instead of $O(k)$, which changes the runtime slightly. The δ -chains now intersect $O(k \log n)$ other chains, resulting in a sequential time $T_s = O(k \log^2 n)$, and a parallel time that remains $T_p O(\log n)$ but now using $p = O(k \log n)$ processors. Filling in the runtime formula for parametric search now gives $O(pT_p + T_p T_s \log p) = O(k \log n \log n + \log nk \log^2 n \log \log k) = O(k \log^3 n \log \log k)$ time.

Doing this for all $t = \Theta(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ slope intervals J_v results in the following:

► **Theorem 5.** *Let $B \cup R$ be a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^2 , let $k \in 1..n$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is an $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}(k^2 \log^2 n + n))$ space data structure that maintains a separator $s \in S_k(B \cup R)$ that is a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation with respect to M_{\max} , and supports semi-online updates in expected amortized $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2} k \log^3 n \log \log k)$ time.*

References

- 1 Corinna Cortes and Vladimir Vapnik. Support-vector networks. *Mach. Learn.*, 20(3):273–297, 1995.
- 2 D. Goldfarb and S. Liu. An $O(n^3 L)$ primal interior point algorithm for convex quadratic programming. *Mathematical Programming*, 49(1):325–340, 1990.
- 3 R. D. C. Monteiro and I. Adler. Interior path following primal-dual algorithms. Part II: Convex quadratic programming. *Mathematical Programming*, 44(1):43–66, 1989.
- 4 M. K. Kozlov, S. P. Tarasov, and L. G. Khachiyan. The polynomial solvability of convex quadratic programming. *USSR Comp. Math. and Math. Phys.*, 20(5):223–228, 1980.
- 5 Cho-Jui Hsieh, Si Si, and Inderjit Dhillon. A divide-and-conquer solver for kernel support vector machines. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 566–574. PMLR, 2014.
- 6 Jeffrey C Schlimmer and Richard H Granger Jr. Beyond incremental processing: Tracking concept drift. In *Proceedings of the Fifth AAAI National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 502–507, 1986.
- 7 Edoardo Amaldi and Viggo Kann. The complexity and approximability of finding maximum feasible subsystems of linear relations. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 147(1&2):181–210, 1995.

- 8 Nimrod Megiddo. Linear programming in linear time when the dimension is fixed. *J. ACM*, 31(1):114–127, 1984.
- 9 Hazel Everett, Jean-Marc Robert, and Marc J. van Kreveld. An optimal algorithm for the ($\leq k$)-levels, with applications to separation and transversal problems. *Int. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 6(3):247–261, 1996.
- 10 Jirí Matousek. On geometric optimization with few violated constraints. *Discret. Comput. Geom.*, 14(4):365–384, 1995.
- 11 Timothy M. Chan. Low-dimensional linear programming with violations. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 34(4):879–893, 2005.
- 12 Boris Aronov, Delia Garijo, Yurai Núñez Rodríguez, David Rappaport, Carlos Seara, and Jorge Urrutia. Minimizing the error of linear separators on linearly inseparable data. *Discret. Appl. Math.*, 160(10-11):1441–1452, 2012.
- 13 Sariel Har-Peled and Vladlen Koltun. Separability with outliers. In *Algorithms and Computation, 16th International Symposium, ISAAC 2005, Sanya, Hainan, China, December 19-21, 2005, Proceedings*, volume 3827 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 28–39. Springer, 2005.
- 14 Michael Matheny and Jeff M. Phillips. Approximate maximum halfspace discrepancy. In Hee-Kap Ahn and Kunihiko Sadakane, editors, *32nd International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation, ISAAC 2021, December 6-8, 2021, Fukuoka, Japan*, volume 212 of *LIPICs*, pages 4:1–4:15. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2021.
- 15 Timothy M. Chan. On the bichromatic k -set problem. *ACM Trans. Algorithms*, 6(4):62:1–62:20, 2010.
- 16 Nimrod Megiddo. Applying parallel computation algorithms in the design of serial algorithms. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 30(4):852–865, 1983.
- 17 Timothy M. Chan and Konstantinos Tsakalidis. Optimal deterministic algorithms for 2-d and 3-d shallow cuttings. *Discret. Comput. Geom.*, 56(4):866–881, 2016.
- 18 Géza Tóth. Point sets with many k -sets. *Discret. Comput. Geom.*, 26(2):187–194, 2001.
- 19 Herbert Edelsbrunner. Computing the extreme distances between two convex polygons. *J. Algorithms*, 6(2):213–224, 1985.
- 20 Bernd Gärtner. A subexponential algorithm for abstract optimization problems. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 24(5):1018–1035, 1995.
- 21 Bernard Chazelle and Jirí Matousek. On linear-time deterministic algorithms for optimization problems in fixed dimension. *J. Algorithms*, 21(3):579–597, 1996.
- 22 Mark H. Overmars and Jan van Leeuwen. Maintenance of configurations in the plane. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 23(2):166–204, 1981.
- 23 Timothy M Chan. Three problems about dynamic convex hulls. In *Proceedings of the twenty-seventh annual symposium on Computational geometry*, pages 27–36, 2011.
- 24 Gerth Stølting Brodal and Riko Jacob. Dynamic planar convex hull. In *43rd Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS 2002), 16-19 November 2002, Vancouver, BC, Canada, Proceedings*, pages 617–626. IEEE Computer Society, 2002.
- 25 Riko Jacob and Gerth Stølting Brodal. Dynamic planar convex hull. *CoRR*, abs/1902.11169, 2019.
- 26 David Dobkin and Subhash Suri. Dynamically computing the maxima of decomposable functions, with applications. In *30th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 488–493. IEEE Computer Society, 1989.
- 27 Michiel Smid. A worst-case algorithm for semi-online updates on decomposable problems. Technical report, Universität des Saarlandes, 1990.
- 28 Timothy M Chan. Optimal partition trees. In *Proceedings of the twenty-sixth annual symposium on Computational geometry*, pages 1–10, 2010.
- 29 Ulrich Finke and Klaus H. Hinrichs. Overlaying simply connected planar subdivisions in linear time. In Jack Snoeyink, editor, *Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*, pages 119–126. ACM, 1995.

- 30 Kenneth L Clarkson, Herbert Edelsbrunner, Leonidas J Guibas, Micha Sharir, and Emo Welzl. Combinatorial complexity bounds for arrangements of curves and spheres. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 5(2):99–160, 1990.
- 31 Haitao Wang. Constructing many faces in arrangements of lines and segments. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 3168–3180. SIAM, 2022.
- 32 Mark de Berg, Otfried Cheong, Marc J. van Kreveld, and Mark H. Overmars. *Computational geometry: algorithms and applications, 3rd Edition*. Springer, 2008.
- 33 Neil Sarnak and Robert E Tarjan. Planar point location using persistent search trees. *Communications of the ACM*, 29(7):669–679, 1986.
- 34 Pankaj K Agarwal, Jiri Matousek, and Micha Sharir. On range searching with semialgebraic sets. ii. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 42(6):2039–2062, 2013.
- 35 Richard M Dudley. Metric entropy of some classes of sets with differentiable boundaries. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 10(3):227–236, 1974.
- 36 Sariel Har-Peled and Mitchell Jones. Proof of dudley’s convex approximation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.01977*, 2019.
- 37 Richard Cole. Parallel merge sort. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 17(4):770–785, 1988.
- 38 Pankaj K Agarwal and Micha Sharir. Efficient algorithms for geometric optimization. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 30(4):412–458, 1998.