

---

# A REVIEW OF ELECTROMAGNETIC ELIMINATION METHODS FOR LOW-FIELD PORTABLE MRI SCANNER

---

**Wanyu Bian**

neuro42

San Francisco, CA, 94107

wanyu@neuro42.com

## ABSTRACT

This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of both conventional and deep learning methods for eliminating electromagnetic interference (EMI) in MRI systems. We explore the underlying principles and implementation of traditional analytical and adaptive EMI elimination techniques, as well as cutting-edge deep learning approaches. Through a detailed comparison, the strengths and limitations of each method are highlighted. Recent advancements in active EMI elimination utilizing multiple external EMI receiver coils and analytical techniques are discussed alongside the superior performance of deep learning methods, which leverage neural networks trained on extensive MRI data. While deep learning methods demonstrate significant improvements in EMI suppression, enhancing diagnostic capabilities and accessibility of MRI technology, they also introduce potential security and safety concerns, especially in production and commercial applications. This study underscores the need to address these challenges to fully realize the benefits of deep learning in EMI elimination. The findings suggest a balanced approach, combining the reliability of conventional methods with the advanced capabilities of deep learning, to develop more robust and effective EMI suppression strategies in MRI systems.

**Keywords** ultra-low-field MRI · portable MRI · electromagnetic interference

## 1 Introduction

Traditional high-field MRI scanners, which operate at 1.5T or 3T, require specialized infrastructure and are associated with significant costs. These requirements make it difficult to deploy such advanced imaging technology in underserved areas, where the necessary infrastructure and financial resources may not be available. To address these challenges, there has been a concerted effort to develop ultra-low-field (ULF) portable MRI scanners that operate below 0.1T. These scanners are designed to be more accessible and cost-effective, making advanced imaging technology available to a broader range of healthcare settings.

Recent advancements in ULF MRI technology have shown promising results, particularly in point-of-care settings where quick and effective imaging is crucial. Unlike traditional high-field MRI systems, ULF MRI scanners are compact and portable, making it feasible to deploy them in non-traditional locations such as rural clinics, urgent care centers, sports arenas, and hospital emergency departments and intensive care units. This portability ensures that patients can receive timely and accurate diagnoses without the need to travel to specialized facilities. One of the significant advantages of ULF MRI technology is its application in brain imaging. Brain MRI requires detailed visualization of soft tissues, and ULF MRI scanners have proven to be exceptionally effective in this regard. They offer superior soft tissue contrast compared to other imaging modalities, making them invaluable for diagnosing neurological conditions and monitoring brain health. The expansion of portable MRI technology significantly improves access to advanced diagnostic tools, enhancing diagnostic capabilities in diverse healthcare environments. The development of ultra-low-field portable MRI scanners is a major advancement, making MRI more accessible and affordable. Additionally, the ability to perform MRI scans in various settings reduces the burden on traditional MRI facilities, streamlining the workflow and making imaging services more efficient and patient-friendly.

Eliminating electromagnetic interference (EMI) is crucial for the effectiveness of ULF MRI scanners. EMI often from nearby electronic equipment, occurs at the Larmor frequency close to the operating frequency of MRI. This interference can disrupt MRI scan accuracy by inducing noise in the receiver coils. Traditional high-field MRI systems use bulky, enclosed RF shielding rooms (Faraday shield) to block this interference.

To make MRI technology more accessible and affordable, innovative EMI suppression strategies are essential. Techniques such as active shielding, adaptive filtering, and noise cancellation are being developed to mitigate EMI in ULF MRI systems. Active shielding creates counteracting electromagnetic fields, adaptive filtering adjusts dynamically to remove noise frequencies, and noise cancellation algorithms identify and subtract interference patterns. These advanced EMI suppression methods enable the deployment of ULF MRI scanners in diverse settings, including rural clinics, emergency departments, and mobile health units, without the need for expensive shielding infrastructure. This not only reduces costs but also expands access to high-quality MRI diagnostics for underserved populations.

This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of both conventional and deep learning methods for EMI elimination in MRI systems. We will delve into a detailed comparison of these methods, highlighting the strengths and limitations of each. It will explore the underlying principles of conventional analytical and adaptive EMI elimination techniques alongside cutting-edge deep learning approaches. Through a series of experiments and analyses, we will demonstrate how deep learning can provide superior EMI suppression, enhancing the diagnostic capabilities and accessibility of MRI technology.

## 2 Conventional Methods for EMI Reduction

Recent advancements in active EMI elimination methods[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] utilize analytical and adaptive techniques to predict and mitigate EMI during MRI scans. Most of the methods involve the use of multiple external EMI receiver coils that are strategically positioned and oriented around the primary MRI receiver coil. These EMI receiver coils actively detect electromagnetic interference simultaneously with the primary MRI receiver coil. The primary MRI receiver coil is responsible for collecting the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) signals, which are crucial for imaging. However, it also collects interference signals and thermal noise, which can degrade the quality of the MRI images. The EMI receiver coils capture these unwanted EMI signals, providing valuable data to characterize the interference affecting the primary coil. The captured EMI signals are then used to identify and characterize the unwanted noise present in the primary coil. This data is processed retrospectively to cancel out the interference anomalies during the image reconstruction process. The formulations of the EMI in the primary coil employ frequency domain impulse response functions, which describe the relationship between the primary coil and the EMI receiver coils. By understanding these relationships, advanced algorithms can accurately model the interference and subtract it from the MRI data. This process significantly improves the quality of the resulting images by reducing noise and artifacts caused by EMI.

These active EMI elimination methods are particularly beneficial in ULF MRI systems, where the sensitivity to external interference is higher due to the lower magnetic field strengths. Implementing such techniques allows ULF MRI scanners to achieve high-quality imaging without the need for bulky and expensive RF shielding.

### 2.1 Multiple-external-coil-based Methods

The raw measurement data captured from the primary coil  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{N_x \times N_y}$  is contaminated with EMI. This data comprises  $N_x$  points in readout direction and  $N_y$  points along phase encoding direction. The raw data  $\mathbf{x}_0$  consists of the desired EMI-free measurement data  $\mathbf{x}_0^* \in \mathbb{C}^{N_x \times N_y}$ , and the EMI signal  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_x \times N_y}$ . Assume there are  $N_c$  external EMI receiver coils that detect the noise surrounding the primary coil. The set of EMI signals from these coils is denoted as  $\{\mathbf{x}_i \mid i = 1, \dots, N_c\}$ .

#### 2.1.1 EDITER

EDITER[5] advances the management of time-varying EMI in MRI by dynamically adapting to changing EMI sources. It segments MRI data into smaller subsets based on empirical thresholds, allowing precise fitting of impulse response functions within limited temporal windows, such as individual or successive phase-encode lines. By binning data and defining temporal windows, EDITER accurately estimates and eliminates EMI from each specific timeframe, maintaining the integrity and quality of MRI images.

EDITER algorithm utilizes these external EMI receiver coils to remove the noise and obtain the EMI-free signal by subtracting the EMI signal from the primary coil data,  $\mathbf{x}_0^* = \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{e}$ . However, since  $\mathbf{e}$  is unknown and difficult to measure directly, it is necessary to estimate  $\mathbf{e}$  as accurately as possible by extracting representation information from the  $N_c$  EMI receiver coils.

A noise characterization matrix  $\mathbf{E}$  was constructed through a convolution operation in the time domain using all the external EMI signal  $\{\mathbf{x}_i | i = 1 \cdots N_c\}$ . There exists an impulse response vector  $\vec{\gamma}$  such that  $\mathbf{e} \approx \mathbf{E}\vec{\gamma}$ . To measure  $\vec{\gamma}$ , EDITER solves the optimization problem using measurement data  $\mathbf{x}_0$  that obtained from primary coil:

$$\min_{\vec{\gamma}} \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{E}\vec{\gamma}\|_2^2. \quad (1)$$

This yields:

$$\vec{\gamma} = \mathbf{E}^\top \mathbf{x}_0. \quad (2)$$

The EMI free signal can be approximated as:

$$\mathbf{x}_0^* \approx \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{E}\vec{\gamma} = \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}^\top \mathbf{x}_0). \quad (3)$$

This relationship works well under the situation that  $\mathbf{x}_0 \approx \mathbf{e}$  because of the strong EMI signal in the primary coil.

Although achieving completely EMI-free images is challenging due to the complexity of electromagnetic interference, the EDITER method reduces EMI impact, enhancing overall image quality. To achieve improved image quality in in-vivo experiments, passive shielding remained necessary. Despite this, the EMI suppression performance of the receiving coil was not particularly effective. The system still required additional measures to adequately reduce electromagnetic interference.

### 2.1.2 Active EMI suppression system

EDITER offers a general concept for EMI suppression in ultra-low-field (ULF) portable MRI scanners, inspiring the development of various EMI suppression systems.

Lei Y et. al[6] developed an active EMI suppression (AES) system tailored for a 50 mT portable MRI scanner without shielding. The primary source of interference was identified as human-body-coupled interferences. To address this, the authors created EMI detectors featuring a "ring"-shaped EMI receiving coil and an electrocardiograph electrode patch. The AES system uses a reference channel-based algorithm to mitigate EMI in the MR signal captured by the RF receive coil. The method synchronizes the k-space data from the EMI detectors and the RF coil, decomposes this data into several frequency bands, and calculates transfer factors for each band. These factors are then applied to transform the reference EMI signals into corresponding interference signals, which are subtracted from the MR signal within each frequency band. This approach significantly diminishes EMI, thereby improving the image quality of the portable MRI scanner.

## 2.2 Single-coil-based Method

J Parsa et al. [7] introduced an innovative single-coil-based technique to mitigate electromagnetic interference (EMI) in low-field MRI systems, building on the Halbach-based scanner without a Faraday shield. The spectrometer has a built-in transmit/receive switch and preamplifier. A quadrature birdcage coil was chosen for its simplicity in achieving equal sensitivities in circularly polarized modes. In this configuration, the  $B_0$ -orthogonal (MR-active) channel captures both the MRI signal and EMI, while the  $B_0$ -parallel (MR-inactive) channel exclusively detects EMI. Although the birdcage coil itself is unshielded, the magnet's inner bore is equipped with a thin floating copper shield to reduce interactions between the gradient and RF coils.

The EMI reduction is achieved by combining signals from the MR-inactive and MR-active ports using a 180° power splitter/combiner. This combined output is then fed into the spectrometer's transmit/receive switch. This method is advantageous as it eliminates the need for external sensors or extensive signal processing, allowing implementation on a single receiver console. This technique ensures high-quality imaging in environments with fluctuating EMI, broadening the applicability and reliability of MRI technology in diverse settings.

However, when only a single receiver channel is available, the use of a 180° power splitter/combiner can reduce the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) by approximately 40%. This SNR loss can be avoided if the system has two separate receive channels.

## 3 Deep Learning Methods for EMI Reduction

Deep learning (DL) techniques are increasingly applied in MRI processing, showing remarkable effectiveness in tasks such as image reconstruction[8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24], segmentation[25, 26], and super-resolution[27], etc. DL methods leverage large datasets and sophisticated algorithms to predict and mitigate EMI. These methods can learn complex patterns of interference and adaptively filter out noise, providing a more flexible and scalable approach to EMI elimination.

DL techniques[28, 29, 30, 31] employ neural networks trained on extensive MRI data, including both NMR signals and EMI signatures. By learning the characteristics of EMI, these models can accurately distinguish between true MRI signals and interference. One of the key advantages of DL methods is their ability to handle non-linear and non-stationary EMI, common in real-world scenarios. These methods can be integrated into the image reconstruction pipeline, allowing for real-time or near-real-time EMI suppression. This results in cleaner, higher-quality images without the need for extensive physical shielding. In addition, using DL for EMI elimination can significantly reduce the overall cost and complexity of MRI systems. By relying on advanced software algorithms rather than hardware solutions, it becomes feasible to deploy high-quality MRI technology in a wider range of environments, including rural clinics and mobile health units.

Most state-of-the-art DL methods for EMI reduction rely on supervised learning, which requires a large amount of ground truth data for training. This data is typically simulated from 3T scanners or collected in environments with RF shielding. However, obtaining sufficient EMI-free reference data is often impractical in real clinical settings due to the dynamic and variable nature of these environments. Moreover, supervised learning methods are sensitive to domain shift, meaning they may not perform well when applied to different types of data than those they were trained on. This issue limits their effectiveness in diverse clinical settings where variations in equipment, patient populations, and environmental conditions are common.

### 3.1 EMI Characterization Networks

Y Liu et. al[28] developed a data-driven, deep learning-based model for EMI cancellation, which outperforms traditional analytical methods in robustness and simplicity for predicting and removing EMI. EMI signals can change dynamically due to various surrounding sources and the influence of the human body, which acts as an effective antenna for EMI pickup. Body movement during scanning further affects these signals. To address this, the system employs ten EMI sensing coils strategically placed around the scanner and inside the electronic cabinet to capture radiative EMI signals without RF shielding. These signals, along with those from the main MRI receive coil, were used to train a convolutional neural network (CNN). The CNN model predicts the EMI component in the MRI receive coil signal for each frequency encoding (FE) line based on the EMI signals detected by the sensing coils. This predicted EMI component is then subtracted from the MRI receive coil signals, resulting in EMI-free k-space data before image reconstruction.

This deep learning EMI cancellation method effectively eliminates undesirable EMI signals, providing reliable results for both phantom and human brain imaging, even with dynamically changing environmental EMI sources. Experimental comparisons with a ground truth scenario, where an RF shielding cage was used to enclose the subject during scanning, demonstrated that this method nearly completely removes EMI noise without the shielding. The final image noise levels achieved with this method were as low as those obtained using the RF shielding cage, within a 5% range.

Y Zhao et. al[30] presents an active sensing and deep learning approach for predicting and canceling EMI in a low-cost ULF 0.055 T permanent magnet brain MRI scanner, which operates without RF shielding and at a 2.32 MHz resonance frequency. Multiple EMI-sensing coils are placed around and inside the scanner to detect EMI signals during MRI scans. A five-layer CNN is trained to establish the relationship between EMI signals detected by the MRI receive coil and the EMI-sensing coils. The CNN model then predicts the EMI signal component detected by the MRI receive coil. This strategy effectively models, predicts, and removes EMI signals from MRI data using the scanner's multireceiver electronics and the physical properties of RF signal propagation, offering potential applications for other RF signal detection scenarios with complex EMI emissions.

## 4 Benefits and Weaknesses of Conventional and Deep learning Methods

Conventional methods for EMI suppression in MRI systems are advantageous due to their proven reliability and simplicity. These techniques have been extensively tested over the years, making them well-understood and trusted in the medical imaging community. They provide stable and reliable performance with relatively lower computational requirements, which translates to reduced costs and easier integration into existing MRI systems. Conventional methods often involve straightforward implementation strategies, such as filtering and shielding, which are effective in many standard scenarios. However, their performance is limited in highly complex or dynamic EMI environments. They often lack the adaptability to quickly respond to new or unexpected sources of interference without significant manual adjustments or reconfiguration. This inflexibility can result in suboptimal EMI suppression, especially in more challenging or evolving electromagnetic environments.

Deep learning methods, in contrast, offer superior performance by leveraging the power of large datasets and sophisticated algorithms. These methods can learn and adapt to various interference patterns, making them highly effective

in distinguishing between genuine MRI signals and complex EMI. The automation provided by deep learning models significantly reduces the need for manual intervention, enhancing efficiency and consistency in EMI suppression. Furthermore, deep learning approaches can continuously improve over time as they are exposed to more data, potentially leading to incremental advancements in performance. However, these methods introduce potential security vulnerabilities and safety risks, particularly in production and commercial applications. The complexity of deep learning models can make them susceptible to adversarial attacks, where slight modifications to input data can lead to incorrect predictions. Additionally, ensuring the safety and robustness of these models in real-world scenarios requires extensive testing and validation. Deep learning methods also demand large amount of training data with reference true data, significant computational resources and expertise for their development, deployment, and maintenance, which can be a barrier to their widespread adoption, especially in resource-constrained settings.

## 5 Conclusion

This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of both conventional and deep learning methods for EMI elimination in MRI systems, highlighting their respective strengths and limitations. While deep learning methods offer advanced performance in suppressing EMI by learning from large datasets and adapting to various interference patterns, they also bring challenges related to security, safety, and computational demands. Conventional methods, although less powerful in dynamic environments, provide a reliable and simpler alternative that is easier to implement and integrate into existing systems.

The findings underscore the potential of deep learning to revolutionize EMI suppression in MRI technology, improving diagnostic accuracy and accessibility. However, to fully realize this potential, it is crucial to address the security and safety concerns associated with deep learning, particularly in commercial and clinical applications. By balancing the strengths of both conventional and deep learning methods, the medical imaging community can develop more robust and effective strategies for EMI suppression, ensuring the continued advancement and reliability of MRI technology.

## References

- [1] David O Walsh. Multi-channel surface nmr instrumentation and software for 1d/2d groundwater investigations. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 66(3-4):140–150, 2008.
- [2] Mike Müller-Petke. Non-remote reference noise cancellation-using reference data in the presence of surface-nmr signals. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 177:104040, 2020.
- [3] Sai Abitha Srinivas, Clarissa Z Cooley, Jason P Stockmann, Patrick C McDaniel, and Lawrence L Wald. Retrospective electromagnetic interference mitigation in a portable low field mri system. *Proc. 28th Annu. Meet. ISMRM Syd*, 2020.
- [4] Hadrien Dyvorne, Todd Rearick, Michael Poole, Carole Lazarus, Pierre Weiss, Laura Sacolick, Jeremy Jordan, Cedric Hugon, William Mileski, Gang Chen, et al. Freeing mri from its faraday cage with interference rejection. In *Proceedings of the 29th Annual Meeting of ISMRM*, volume 749, 2021.
- [5] Sai Abitha Srinivas, Stephen F Cauley, Jason P Stockmann, Charlotte R Sappo, Christopher E Vaughn, Lawrence L Wald, William A Grissom, and Clarissa Z Cooley. External dynamic interference estimation and removal (editor) for low field mri. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 87(2):614–628, 2022.
- [6] Lei Yang, Wei He, Yucheng He, Jiamin Wu, Sheng Shen, and Zheng Xu. Active emi suppression system for a 50 mt unshielded portable mri scanner. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 69(11):3415–3426, 2022.
- [7] Javad Parsa, Thomas O’Reilly, and Andrew Webb. A single-coil-based method for electromagnetic interference reduction in point-of-care low field mri systems. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, 346:107355, 2023.
- [8] Jian Zhang and Bernard Ghanem. Ista-net: Interpretable optimization-inspired deep network for image compressive sensing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1828–1837, 2018.
- [9] Wanyu Bian, Yunmei Chen, Xiaojing Ye, and Qingchao Zhang. An optimization-based meta-learning model for mri reconstruction with diverse dataset. *Journal of Imaging*, 7(11):231, 2021.
- [10] Dong Liang, Jing Cheng, Ziwen Ke, and Leslie Ying. Deep magnetic resonance image reconstruction: Inverse problems meet neural networks. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 37(1):141–151, 2020.
- [11] Wanyu Bian, Qingchao Zhang, Xiaojing Ye, and Yunmei Chen. A learnable variational model for joint multimodal mri reconstruction and synthesis. In *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, pages 354–364. Springer, 2022.

- [12] Jo Schlemper, Jose Caballero, Joseph V Hajnal, Anthony Price, and Daniel Rueckert. A deep cascade of convolutional neural networks for mr image reconstruction. In *Information Processing in Medical Imaging: 25th International Conference, IPMI 2017, Boone, NC, USA, June 25-30, 2017, Proceedings 25*, pages 647–658. Springer, 2017.
- [13] Wanyu Bian, Yunmei Chen, and Xiaojing Ye. Deep parallel mri reconstruction network without coil sensitivities. In *Machine Learning for Medical Image Reconstruction: Third International Workshop, MLMIR 2020, Held in Conjunction with MICCAI 2020, Lima, Peru, October 8, 2020, Proceedings 3*, pages 17–26. Springer, 2020.
- [14] Wanyu Bian, Yunmei Chen, and Xiaojing Ye. An optimal control framework for joint-channel parallel mri reconstruction without coil sensitivities. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 89:1–11, 2022.
- [15] Wanyu Bian. *Optimization-Based Deep learning methods for Magnetic Resonance Imaging Reconstruction and Synthesis*. PhD thesis, University of Florida, 2022.
- [16] Florian Knoll, Kerstin Hammernik, Chi Zhang, Steen Moeller, Thomas Pock, Daniel K Sodickson, and Mehmet Akcakaya. Deep-learning methods for parallel magnetic resonance imaging reconstruction: A survey of the current approaches, trends, and issues. *IEEE signal processing magazine*, 37(1):128–140, 2020.
- [17] Kerstin Hammernik, Teresa Klatzer, Erich Kobler, Michael P Recht, Daniel K Sodickson, Thomas Pock, and Florian Knoll. Learning a variational network for reconstruction of accelerated mri data. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 79(6):3055–3071, 2018.
- [18] Wanyu Bian, Albert Jang, and Fang Liu. Improving quantitative mri using self-supervised deep learning with model reinforcement: Demonstration for rapid t1 mapping. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 2024.
- [19] Wanyu Bian, Albert Jang, and Fang Liu. Diffusion modeling with domain-conditioned prior guidance for accelerated mri and qmri reconstruction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.00783*, 2023.
- [20] Guang Yang, Simiao Yu, Hao Dong, Greg Slabaugh, Pier Luigi Dragotti, Xujiang Ye, Fangde Liu, Simon Arridge, Jennifer Keegan, Yike Guo, et al. Dagan: deep de-aliasing generative adversarial networks for fast compressed sensing mri reconstruction. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 37(6):1310–1321, 2017.
- [21] Wanyu Bian, Albert Jang, and Fang Liu. Magnetic resonance parameter mapping using self-supervised deep learning with model reinforcement. *ArXiv*, 2023.
- [22] Tran Minh Quan, Thanh Nguyen-Duc, and Won-Ki Jeong. Compressed sensing mri reconstruction using a generative adversarial network with a cyclic loss. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 37(6):1488–1497, 2018.
- [23] Wanyu Bian, Albert Jang, and Fang Liu. Multi-task magnetic resonance imaging reconstruction using meta-learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.19966*, 2024.
- [24] Wanyu Bian. A brief overview of optimization-based algorithms for mri reconstruction using deep learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.02626*, 2024.
- [25] Olivier Bernard, Alain Lalande, Clement Zotti, Frederick Cervenansky, Xin Yang, Pheng-Ann Heng, Irem Cetin, Karim Lekadir, Oscar Camara, Miguel Angel Gonzalez Ballester, et al. Deep learning techniques for automatic mri cardiac multi-structures segmentation and diagnosis: is the problem solved? *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 37(11):2514–2525, 2018.
- [26] Xiaomeng Li, Hao Chen, Xiaojuan Qi, Qi Dou, Chi-Wing Fu, and Pheng-Ann Heng. H-denseunet: hybrid densely connected unet for liver and tumor segmentation from ct volumes. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 37(12):2663–2674, 2018.
- [27] Chi-Hieu Pham, Carlos Tor-Díez, Hélène Meunier, Nathalie Bednarek, Ronan Fablet, Nicolas Passat, and François Rousseau. Multiscale brain mri super-resolution using deep 3d convolutional networks. *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, 77:101647, 2019.
- [28] Yilong Liu, Alex TL Leong, Yujiao Zhao, Linfang Xiao, Henry KF Mak, Anderson Chun On Tsang, Gary KK Lau, Gilberto KK Leung, and Ed X Wu. A low-cost and shielding-free ultra-low-field brain mri scanner. *Nature communications*, 12(1):7238, 2021.
- [29] Yujiao Zhao, Linfang Xiao, Yilong Liu, Alex TL Leong, and Ed X Wu. Deep learning driven emi prediction and elimination for rf shielding-free mri at 0.055 t and 1.5 t. In *Proceedings of the 30th Annual Meeting of ISMRM*, page 3864, 2022.
- [30] Yujiao Zhao, Linfang Xiao, Yilong Liu, Alex T Leong, and Ed X Wu. Electromagnetic interference elimination via active sensing and deep learning prediction for radiofrequency shielding-free mri. *NMR in Biomedicine*, 37(7):e4956, 2024.
- [31] Yujiao Zhao, Linfang Xiao, Jiahao Hu, and Ed X Wu. Robust emi elimination for rf shielding-free mri through deep learning direct mr signal prediction. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 2024.