

LIFTING OF LOCALLY INITIAL OBJECTS AND UNIVERSAL (CO)ACTING HOPF ALGEBRAS

A.L. AGORE, A.S. GORDIENKO, AND J. VERCRUYSE

ABSTRACT. The universal (co)acting bi/Hopf algebras introduced by Yu.I. Manin, M. Sweedler and D. Tambara, the universal Hopf algebra of a given (co)module structure, as well as the universal group of a grading, introduced by J. Patera and H. Zassenhaus, find their applications in the classification of quantum symmetries. Typically, universal (co)acting objects are defined as initial or terminal in the corresponding categories and, as such, they do not always exist. In order to ensure their existence, we introduce the support of a given object, which generalizes the support of a grading and is used to restrict the class of objects under consideration. The existence problems for universal objects are formulated and studied in a purely categorical manner by seeing them as particular cases of the lifting problem for a locally initial object. We prove the existence of a lifting and, consequently, of the universal (co)acting objects under some assumptions on the base (braided or symmetric monoidal) category. In contrast to existing constructions, our approach is self-dual in the sense that we can use the same proof to obtain the existence of universal actions and coactions. In particular, when the base category is the category of vector spaces over a field, the category of sets or their duals, we recover known existence results for the aforementioned universal objects. The proposed approach allows us to apply our results not only to the classical categories of sets and vectors spaces and their duals but also to (co)modules over bi/Hopf algebras, differential graded vector spaces, G -sets and graded sets.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Lifting of locally initial objects	5
2.1. Locally initial objects	5
2.2. Absolute values	6
2.3. Group gradings	8
3. (Co)modules, Ω -magmas, (co)measurings and (co)actions	9
3.1. Modules over monoids	9
3.2. Comodules over comonoids	10
3.3. Ω -magmas	10
3.4. Measurings	10
3.5. Comeasurings	11
3.6. Hopf monoids	12
4. Existence theorems for supports and universal coacting bi- and Hopf monoids	13
4.1. Monomorphisms and epimorphisms	13
4.2. Conditions on the base category	14
4.3. Limits and colimits in $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$ and reflectivity of $\text{Hopf}(\mathcal{C})$ in $\text{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$	16
4.4. Supports of morphisms $A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$	19

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 18A30; Secondary 16T05, 16T15, 16T25, 16W22, 16W25, 16W50, 18A40, 18B35, 18C40, 18M05, 18M15.

Key words and phrases. Locally initial object, support, (co)monoid, (co)algebra, Hopf algebra, H -module (co)algebra, H -comodule (co)algebra, universal (co)acting Hopf algebra.

The second author is partially supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant № 22-11-00052. The third author thanks the FNRS for support via the MIS project “Antipode” (Grant F.4502.18).

4.5. Supports of comodule structures	22
4.6. Universal comeasuring monoids	25
4.7. Universal coacting bimonoids	29
4.8. Universal coacting Hopf monoids	35
5. Existence theorems for cosupports and universal acting bi- and Hopf monoids	37
5.1. Conditions on the base category	37
5.2. Examples	38
5.3. Limits and colimits in $\mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{C})$ and coreflectivity of $\mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$	42
5.4. Cosupports of morphisms $P \otimes A \rightarrow B$	42
5.5. Cosupports of module structures	43
5.6. Universal measuring comonoids	44
5.7. Universal acting bimonoids	45
5.8. Universal acting Hopf monoids	46
References	48

1. INTRODUCTION

When an affine algebraic group G is acting morphically on an affine algebraic variety X , this action $G \times X \rightarrow X$ corresponds to a homomorphism of algebras $\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G)$ where $\mathcal{O}(X)$ and $\mathcal{O}(G)$ are the coordinate algebras of regular functions (functions that can be represented by polynomials in coordinates) on X and G , respectively. Recall that the group structure on G turns $\mathcal{O}(G)$ into a Hopf algebra. Moreover $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is an $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -comodule algebra and a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module algebra where $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . In this classical situation, $\mathcal{O}(G)$ and $\mathcal{O}(X)$ are commutative and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is cocommutative. More generally, if H is a (neither necessarily commutative nor necessarily cocommutative) Hopf algebra (co)acting on a (not necessarily commutative algebra) A , this can be interpreted in view of the above as an action of a “quantum group” by “quantum symmetries” on some (not necessarily commutative) algebraic variety X where the algebra A plays the role of the coordinate algebra on X . As the structure of a general Hopf algebra can be more involved than the one arising from a usual (algebraic) group, the associated (co)actions do not only allow us to describe classical automorphisms and derivations, but also gradings and much wilder types of “measurings”. Therefore, the problem of classifying (co)module structures on a given algebra A , can be seen geometrically as the problem of classifying quantum symmetries of A and is as such a natural continuation and unification of the classifications of automorphisms, derivations and gradings of A .

Usually, gradings are classified either up to an isomorphism (when the grading group is fixed) or up to equivalence (when it is not important by elements of which group the graded components are marked), see e.g. [15]. The classification up to equivalence may seem coarser, however the notion of the universal group of the grading makes it possible to recover all groups that realize a concrete grading. Inspired by this, the notions of equivalence and universal Hopf algebras of (co)module structures on algebras were introduced in [3] as a natural generalization of the aforementioned universal group of a grading. This construction was unified in [4] with the universal (co)acting bi/Hopf algebras of Sweedler — Manin — Tambara, introducing the V -universal (co)acting bi/Hopf algebras for a given algebra A , where V is a unital subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A)$ and \mathbb{k} is the base field. The advantage of such a unified theory is that it simplifies the classification of (co)module structures (or even in certain cases makes it possible at all) by providing duality theorems. Moreover, it is known that for a general infinite dimensional algebra A , the universal coacting bi/Hopf algebra of Manin — Tambara do not always exist (see [4, Section 4.5]) and the use of V provides the

necessary restriction on the class of comodule structures under consideration to ensure the existence of the universal Hopf algebra for this class. Furthermore, following Sweedler [26], rather than considering just (universal) (co)actions of a bi/Hopf algebra on an algebra, one can also consider (universal) measurings of coalgebras between different algebras, similarly to considering homomorphisms between algebras rather than just endomorphisms of a single algebra.

Various other instances of universal (co)acting bi/Hopf algebras and universal (co)measurings surfaced in recent years motivated by different applications in e.g., subfactor theory [10], superpotential algebras [13] or for studying comodules over bialgebroids via chain complexes of modules in [6]. Furthermore, universal coacting weak bi/Hopf algebras are introduced in [18] as a generalization of the Manin-Tambara construction while measurings of Hopf algebroids were recently considered in [7] for their applications in cyclic (co)homology theories and whereas measurings by Hopf algebroids as introduced in [11], were used in [9] for proving structure theorems for crossed products. All this motivates once more the need for a unified theory for such universal objects.

In this paper, we introduce the categorical foundations for the notion of universal bi/Hopf algebra. Instead of working with algebras and coalgebras over a field, we are considering Ω -magmas (see Section 3.3), i.e. objects endowed with a collection of morphisms $\omega_A: A^{\otimes s(\omega)} \rightarrow A^{\otimes t(\omega)}$, indexed by $\omega \in \Omega$, in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} throughout referred to as the *base category*. We provide sufficient conditions for the existence of universal bi/Hopf monoids in terms of the category \mathcal{C} . Such a categorical approach allows us to treat algebras and coalgebras over fields, as well as monoids in **Sets** by a single theory. Furthermore, we may now consider the cases when all (co)algebras under consideration as well as bi/Hopf algebras (co)acting on them are endowed with an additional structure: an action of a group or a Lie algebra, group grading, (co)action of a fixed Hopf algebra, structure of a Yetter-Drinfel'd module algebra, etc., and (co)actions of bi/Hopf algebras are compatible with this structure (see Section 5.2 and [5, Section 5]).

Usually the conditions imposed on a the category \mathcal{C} to obtain the existence of universal objects are rather strong. A common condition for example (see e.g. [19, 16, 23, 25, 29]) is to require that \mathcal{C} is locally presentable. However, since a category and its dual cannot be at the same time locally presentable without being “almost trivial” (isomorphic to a poset category to be precise), such an approach cannot be applied for universal coactions. In contrast to this, we propose a self-dual approach that allows us to provide a single proof for both the existence of universal actions and coactions, which can be applied to many categories of interest, such as Sets, Vector spaces, Yetter-Drinfel'd modules etc, as well as to their duals. The price to pay for this self-dual approach is that we have to introduce restrictions on (co)actions in terms of their (co)supports. In addition, we need to suppose the existence of (co)free (co)monoids, rather than deducing it from the conditions on \mathcal{C} . Indeed, the construction of a cofree coalgebra is not just a formal dual of the construction of a free monoid.

The main difference between existing literature and the approach we advocate here, is that all known general categorical results prove the existence of *globally* universal (i.e. universal among *all* measurings) (co)acting objects, and as already pointed out above, it is known [4, Section 4.5], that even in the case $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}, \otimes)$, the category of vector spaces over a field \mathbb{k} , such global objects do not exist. Therefore, we propose to restrict the class of (co)measurings and (co)actions under consideration which allows for many new categories to be considered, including the ones previously mentioned and not covered by the existing literature. More precisely, a universal (co)action of a Hopf monoid in our sense can be defined as an initial object in some suitable full subcategory of the category of all (co)actions on a fixed Ω -magma. Consequently, for the whole category of (co)actions this universal (co)action

is then a *locally* initial object, by which we mean an object which admits *at most* one arrow into any other object. In contrast to (classical) initial objects, a category (in our case, the category of all coactions on a fixed Ω -magma) can contain several non-isomorphic locally initial objects (in our case, each of these will be a coaction that is universal with respect to a different ‘support’, see below). The aforementioned full subcategory consists then exactly of those objects whose images under some forgetful functor admit an arrow from the considered locally initial object. This suggests that the problem of finding universal Hopf monoids can be described as a lifting problem for locally initial objects and we provide several useful methods of lifting locally initial objects for various classes of functors (e.g., functors which admit an adjoint, functors which are full and faithful on some hom-sets) in Section 2. To properly describe the full subcategory in which a locally initial object becomes initial, we introduce the notion of an absolute value of an object x as the “minimum” among the locally initial objects which admit an arrow into x . This leads naturally to what we call the (co)support of a morphism which can be used to restrict the class of objects under consideration to obtain the desired full subcategory. In a subsequent paper [5], we will show that in case of closed monoidal categories the universal (co)actions and (co)measurings obtained in this way, correspond with the V -universal (co)measurings as mentioned above and studied in [4].

Concretely, the lifting of locally initial objects is carried out in several steps as we will outline now. We denote by

- A and B some Ω -magmas;
- $\mathbf{MorTens}(A, B)$ and $\mathbf{TensMor}(A, B)$ the categories of, respectively, morphisms $A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ and morphisms $P \otimes A \rightarrow B$ for some objects P and Q ;
- $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)$ and $\mathbf{Meas}(A, B)$ the categories of, respectively, comeasurings $A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ and measurings $P \otimes A \rightarrow B$ where P is a comonoid and Q a monoid;
- $\mathbf{ComodStr}(A)$ and $\mathbf{ModStr}(A)$ the categories of, respectively, comodule structures $A \rightarrow A \otimes P$ and module structures $Q \otimes A \rightarrow A$ where P is a comonoid and Q a monoid;
- $\mathbf{Coact}(A)$ and $\mathbf{Act}(A)$ the categories of bimonoid (co)actions on A ;
- $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)$ and $\mathbf{HAct}(A)$ the categories of Hopf monoid (co)actions on A ;
- $G, G_1-G_4, G', G'_1-G'_4$ the corresponding forgetful/embedding functors, as in the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \mathbf{HCoact}(A) & \mathbf{HAct}(A)^{\text{op}} \\
& \downarrow G_4 & \downarrow G'_4 \\
\mathbf{Comeas}(A, A) \xleftarrow{G_3} \mathbf{Coact}(A) & & \mathbf{Act}(A)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{G'_3} \mathbf{Meas}(A, A)^{\text{op}} \\
\downarrow G_1 & & \downarrow G'_2 \qquad \qquad \downarrow G'_1 \\
\mathbf{MorTens}(A, A) \xleftarrow{G} \mathbf{ComodStr}(A) & & \mathbf{ModStr}(A)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{G'} \mathbf{TensMor}(A, A)^{\text{op}}
\end{array}$$

In Theorems 4.23 and 5.19 we lift locally initial objects along the forgetful functors $G_1: \mathbf{Comeas}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathbf{MorTens}(A, B)$ and $G'_1: \mathbf{Meas}(A, B)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{TensMor}(A, B)^{\text{op}}$, respectively. As opposed to the existing results from [19, 16, 23, 25, 29], we do not require our base category to be locally presentable nor monoidal closed. The precise conditions that we impose are detailed in Sections 4.2 and 5.1. When $A = B$, one can consider bi/Hopf monoid (co)actions as well. Corollaries 4.36 and 5.23 provide a method of lifting objects in $\mathbf{ComodStr}(A)$ and $\mathbf{ModStr}(A)^{\text{op}}$, whose images under G and G' are locally initial, along the functors G_2 and G'_2 , respectively. The actual lifting is made along G_3 and G'_3 .

Along the way, in order to construct universal (co)acting Hopf monoids, we prove in Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 5.10, respectively, that under certain conditions on the base braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} the category $\mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C})$ of Hopf monoids in \mathcal{C} is a reflective and

coreflective subcategory of the category $\mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$ of bimonoids in \mathcal{C} . The constructions follow the general outline proposed in [27, 12] (for Hopf algebras over fields) and [25], see also [16]. However, we do not assume \mathcal{C} to be symmetric nor locally finitely presentable as in [25] and [16]. Instead, the conditions we impose on the base category \mathcal{C} make the construction in Theorem 4.6 dualizable. Finally, in Theorems 4.40 and 5.26 we lift the locally initial objects obtained in $\mathbf{Coact}(A)$ and $\mathbf{Act}(A)^{\text{op}}$ to $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)$ and $\mathbf{HAct}(A)^{\text{op}}$, respectively.

As suggested by the above diagrams, universal (co)acting objects give rise to a very rich duality theory which is thoroughly investigated in [5].

For all undefined notions and further details on (braided monoidal) categories see [21] (resp. [20]) and for unexplained concepts in Hopf algebra theory we refer the reader to [14].

2. LIFTING OF LOCALLY INITIAL OBJECTS

2.1. Locally initial objects. An object x_0 in a category X is *locally initial* if for every object x in X there exists at most one morphism $x_0 \rightarrow x$. If for every object x in X there exists exactly one morphism $x_0 \rightarrow x$, then x_0 is just *initial*. It is well known that the initial object is unique up to an isomorphism.

Example 2.1. Let a be an object in an abelian category A . Then locally initial objects in the comma category $(a \downarrow A)$ are just epimorphisms $a \rightarrow b$ for arbitrary objects b in A .

Locally initial objects form a preorder $\mathbf{LIO}(X)$ where $x_1 \succcurlyeq x_2$ if there exists a morphism $x_1 \rightarrow x_2$. For a given locally initial object x_0 denote by $X(x_0)$ the full subcategory of X consisting of all objects x such that there exists a morphism $x_0 \rightarrow x$. Then x_0 is the initial object in $X(x_0)$.

Fix a functor $G: Y \rightarrow X$ where Y is a category. For $x_0 \in \mathbf{LIO}(X)$ denote by $Y(x_0)$ the full subcategory of Y consisting of all objects y such that Gy is an object in $X(x_0)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y(x_0) & \hookrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow G \\ X(x_0) & \hookrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

Lifting Problem. *Given $x_0 \in \mathbf{LIO}(X)$, find an initial object y_0 in $Y(x_0)$.*

Note that the terminology is used loosely since our definition does not require that $Gy_0 = x_0$. However, a slightly different equality may hold, see Proposition 2.13 below. A criterion for $Gy_0 = x_0$ to hold is given in Proposition 2.6.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $G: Y \rightarrow X$ be a functor and let y_0 be an initial object in $Y(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbf{LIO}(X)$. Then $y_0 \in \mathbf{LIO}(Y)$.*

Proof. Let $y_0 \rightarrow y$ be an arrow in Y . Then there is an arrow $x_0 \rightarrow Gy_0 \rightarrow Gy$ in X . Thus y is an object in $Y(x_0)$. Therefore, the arrow $y_0 \rightarrow y$ is unique since the object y is initial in $Y(x_0)$. \square

In most cases below we will lift initial objects using *ad hoc* methods. However, in the case when G admits a left adjoint F , the lifting can be done by simply applying the functor F .

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\tilde{G}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ and $G: Y \rightarrow X$ be functors for some categories X, Y, \tilde{Y} . Let y_0 be the initial object in $Y(x_0)$ (with respect to G) for some $x_0 \in \mathbf{LIO}(X)$. Suppose \tilde{G} admits a left adjoint $\tilde{F}: Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$:*

$$\tilde{Y} \xleftarrow[\tilde{G}]{\tilde{F}} Y \xrightarrow{G} X$$

Then $\tilde{F}y_0$ is the initial object in $\tilde{Y}(x_0)$ (with respect to $G\tilde{G}$). If $y_0 \in \text{LIO}(Y)$, then $Fy_0 \in \text{LIO}(\tilde{Y})$.

Proof. By the definition of $\tilde{Y}(x_0)$, the functor \tilde{G} maps $\tilde{Y}(x_0)$ to $Y(x_0)$. Moreover, if y is an object in $Y(x_0)$, then we have an arrow $x_0 \rightarrow Gy \rightarrow G\tilde{G}\tilde{F}y$, where $y \rightarrow \tilde{G}\tilde{F}y$ is the unit of the adjunction $\tilde{F} \dashv \tilde{G}$, so $\tilde{F}y$ is an object in $\tilde{Y}(y_0)$. In other words, the adjunction $\tilde{F} \dashv \tilde{G}$ restricts to the subcategories $Y(x_0)$ and $\tilde{Y}(x_0)$. As \tilde{F} is a left adjoint, it preserves all colimits and, in particular, the initial object, which is the colimit of the functor from the empty category. Hence $\tilde{F}y_0$ is indeed the initial object in $\tilde{Y}(x_0)$. If $y_0 \in \text{LIO}(Y)$, then the set $Y(y_0, \tilde{G}\tilde{y})$ of morphisms $y_0 \rightarrow G\tilde{y}$ consists of no more than a single element for every \tilde{y} in \tilde{Y} . But the adjunction implies that the sets $Y(y_0, \tilde{G}\tilde{y})$ and $\tilde{Y}(\tilde{F}y_0, \tilde{y})$ have the same cardinality. Hence $Fy_0 \in \text{LIO}(\tilde{Y})$ too. \square

Applying Theorem 2.3 for the case when $X = Y$ and $G = \text{id}_X$, we get

Corollary 2.4. *Let $x_0 \in \text{LIO}(X)$ for some category X . Suppose a functor $G: Y \rightarrow X$, where Y is a arbitrary category, admits a left adjoint $F: X \rightarrow Y$. Then Fx_0 is the initial object in $Y(x_0)$. Moreover, $Fx_0 \in \text{LIO}(Y)$.*

Remark 2.5. Corollary 2.4 hints at another approach to the Lifting Problem. It is well known (see e.g. [21, Chapter IV, Section 1, Theorem 2, (ii)]) that a functor $G: Y \rightarrow X$ admits a left adjoint if and only if for every object x in X the comma category $(x \downarrow G)$ admits an initial object. Hence the Lifting Problem above is the restriction of the problem of constructing of a left adjoint functor to objects $x \in \text{LIO}(X)$.

The most obvious candidate to check, whether it is an initial object in $Y(x_0)$ for a given $x_0 \in \text{LIO}(X)$, is some preimage y_0 of x_0 in Y if that preimage indeed exists. Proposition 2.6 shows that such y_0 is a solution of the Lifting Problem if G is full and faithful on some hom-sets.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $G: Y \rightarrow X$ be a functor and let y_0 be an object in Y such that $Gy_0 \in \text{LIO}(X)$. Then y_0 is an initial object in $Y(Gy_0)$ if and only if for every object y in $Y(Gy_0)$ the map $Y(y_0, y) \rightarrow X(Gy_0, Gy)$, defined by G , is a bijection.*

Proof. For every object y in $Y(Gy_0)$ the set $X(Gy_0, Gy)$ consists of a single arrow $Gy_0 \rightarrow Gy$ since $Gy_0 \in \text{LIO}(X)$. Then $Y(y_0, y) \rightarrow X(Gy_0, Gy)$ is a bijection if and only if the set $Y(y_0, y)$ consists of a single element. However, the latter holds for every y in $Y(Gy_0)$ if and only if y_0 is an initial object in $Y(Gy_0)$. \square

2.2. Absolute values. Let X be again a category. For a given object x denote by $|x|$ an object in $\text{LIO}(X)$ such that x is an object in $X(|x|)$ and for any other object x_1 in $\text{LIO}(X)$ such that x is an object in $X(x_1)$ we have $|x| \preceq x_1$. In other words, $|x|$ is the absolute minimum of such $x_1 \in \text{LIO}(X)$ for which there exists a morphism $x_1 \rightarrow x$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \longleftarrow & x_1 \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ & & |x| \end{array}$$

The object $|x|$ (if it exists) is unique up to an isomorphism. We will call $|x|$ the *absolute value* of x .

The following example motivates the terminology used:

Example 2.7. Consider the category \mathbb{C} where the objects are complex numbers and

$$\mathbb{C}(a, b) = \begin{cases} \{1_a, e_a\} & \text{if } a = b \text{ and } a \notin \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \{f_{a,b}\} & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ and } |b| \leq a, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define

$$f_{b,c}f_{a,b} = f_{a,c}, \quad 1_c^2 = 1_c, \quad e_c^2 = 1_c e_c = e_c 1_c = e_c, \quad e_c f_{b,c} = 1_c f_{b,c} = f_{b,c}$$

for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $|c| \leq b \leq a$. Then $\text{LIO}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{R}_+$ and for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ the object $|c| \in \mathbb{R}_+$ coincides with the absolute value of c in the usual sense.

Remarks 2.8.

- (1) The arrow $|x| \rightarrow x$ is just the terminal object in the comma category $(\text{LIO}(X) \downarrow x)$;
- (2) For every $x \in \text{LIO}(X)$ we have $x = |x|$.

Example 2.9. Let $f: a \rightarrow b$ be a morphism in an abelian category A and let $X := (a \downarrow A)$. Then $|f| = \text{coim } f := \text{coker}(\ker f)$.

Now return to the situation when we have a functor $G: Y \rightarrow X$ for some categories X and Y .

Given an object y in Y , denote $|y| := |Gy|$. If we need to stress that the absolute value of y is an object in the category X , we will write $|y|_X$.

For objects y_1, y_2 in Y we write $y_1 \preceq y_2$ and say that y_1 is *coarser* than y_2 and y_2 is *finer* than y_1 if $|y_1| \preceq |y_2|$. If $y_1 \preceq y_2$ and $y_2 \preceq y_1$, then we say that y_1 and y_2 are (*support*) *equivalent*.

Now we prove some simple criteria that can be used to check whether $y_1 \succcurlyeq y_2$ for given objects y_1 and y_2 .

Proposition 2.10. *Let $G: Y \rightarrow X$ be a functor for some categories X and Y and let y_1 and y_2 be some objects in Y such that there exist $|y_1|$ and $|y_2|$. Then $y_1 \succcurlyeq y_2$ holds if and only if there exists an arrow $|y_1| \rightarrow Gy_2$ in X .*

Proof. If $y_1 \succcurlyeq y_2$, then there exists an arrow $|y_1| \rightarrow |y_2|$. Composing this arrow with $|y_2| \rightarrow Gy_2$, we get the desired arrow $|y_1| \rightarrow Gy_2$.

Conversely, suppose there exists an arrow $|y_1| \rightarrow Gy_2$ in X . By the definition of $|y_1|$, this arrow must factor through $|y_2|$, which yields an arrow $|y_1| \rightarrow |y_2|$. Hence $y_1 \succcurlyeq y_2$ \square

Corollary 2.11. *Let $G: Y \rightarrow X$ be a functor for some categories X and Y . Suppose there is an arrow $y_1 \rightarrow y_2$ in Y such that there exist $|y_1|$ and $|y_2|$. Then $y_1 \succcurlyeq y_2$.*

Proof. We consider the composition of $|y_1| \rightarrow Gy_1$ and $Gy_1 \rightarrow Gy_2$ and apply Proposition 2.10. \square

Remark 2.12. Using the notion of an absolute value, we see that if absolute values of all objects in X exist, then, given $x_0 \in \text{LIO}(X)$, the category $Y(x_0)$ consists of all objects y in Y such that $|y| \preceq x_0$.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $G: Y \rightarrow X$ be a functor for some categories X and Y . Suppose that in X there exist absolute values of all objects. Let y_0 be the initial object in $Y(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in \text{LIO}(X)$. Then $x_0 = |y_0|$ if and only if $x_0 = |y|$ for some object y in Y .*

Proof. Suppose $x_0 = |y|$ for some object y in Y . Then there exists an arrow $x_0 \rightarrow Gy$ in X and the object y belongs to $Y(x_0)$. Hence there is an arrow $y_0 \rightarrow y$ in Y . Note that by

the definition of the absolute value, the arrow $x_0 \rightarrow Gy_0$ factors through $|y_0|$. We get the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x_0 & \dashrightarrow & |y_0| \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ Gy & \longleftarrow & Gy_0 \end{array}$$

In particular, $|y_0| \preceq x_0$. On the other hand, as the arrow $x_0 \rightarrow Gy$ factors through $|y_0|$ and $|y| = x_0$, we have $|y_0| \succeq x_0$. Therefore, $|y_0|$ and x_0 are isomorphic, since both belong to $\text{LIO}(X)$. As a consequence, x_0 is the absolute value of y_0 too. (Recall that the absolute value is defined up to an isomorphism.)

The converse is trivial. \square

If for an object y in Y there exists an initial object y_{univ} in $Y(|y|)$, then we call y_{univ} the *universal object of y* . Note that, by Proposition 2.13, we have $|y_{\text{univ}}| = |y|$, so y_{univ} is indeed universal among all objects y_1 in Y equivalent to y , i.e. for every y_1 with $|y_1| = |y|$ there exists a unique arrow $y_{\text{univ}} \rightarrow y_1$ in Y .

In Proposition 2.6 we considered the particular case when it was possible to lift some arrows from Y to X in a unique way. If, in addition, one is able to find preimages in X of absolute values of objects in Y , then the corresponding universal objects exist and this particular case of the Lifting Problem has a solution:

Proposition 2.14. *Let $G: Y \rightarrow X$ be a functor and let y_0, y_1 be objects in Y such that $Gy_0 = |y_1|$ and for every object y in $Y(|y_1|)$ the map $Y(y_0, y) \rightarrow X(Gy_0, Gy)$, defined by G , is a bijection. Then y_0 is an initial object in $Y(|y_1|)$.*

Proof. Apply Proposition 2.6 for $x_0 = |y_1|$. \square

2.3. Group gradings. While the main examples will follow in the next sections, we provide here an example to justify the terminology introduced.

Let A be a (neither necessarily unital, nor necessarily associative) algebra over a field \mathbb{k} . Let Y be the category where

- the objects are all gradings

$$A = \bigoplus_{h \in H} A^{(h)} \quad (\text{direct sum of subspaces})$$

on A by arbitrary groups H where $A^{(g)}A^{(h)} \subseteq A^{(gh)}$ for all $g, h \in H$;

- the morphisms between group gradings

$$A = \bigoplus_{h \in H_1} A_1^{(h)} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \bigoplus_{h \in H_2} A_2^{(h)}$$

are all group homomorphisms $\varphi: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ such that $A_1^{(h)} \subseteq A_2^{(\varphi(h))}$ for all $h \in H_1$.

Let X be the category where

- the objects are all gradings on A by arbitrary sets T that can be realized as group gradings, i.e. such decompositions $\Gamma: A = \bigoplus_{t \in T} A^{(t)}$ that there exists a group grading

$$A = \bigoplus_{h \in H} A_0^{(h)} \quad \text{and an embedding}$$

$$\tau: \text{supp } \Gamma := \{t \in T \mid A^{(t)} \neq 0\} \hookrightarrow H$$

such that $A^{(t)} = A_0^{(\tau(t))}$ for all $t \in \text{supp } \Gamma$;

- the morphisms between set gradings

$$\Gamma_1: A = \bigoplus_{t \in T_1} A_1^{(t)} \text{ and } \Gamma_2: A = \bigoplus_{t \in T_2} A_2^{(t)}$$

are all maps $\varphi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ such that $A_1^{(t)} \subseteq A_2^{(\varphi(t))}$ for all $t \in T_1$.

Note that if $\Gamma: A = \bigoplus_{t \in T} A^{(t)}$, then $\Gamma \in \text{LIO}(X)$ if and only if $T = \text{supp } \Gamma$.

Now let $G: Y \rightarrow X$ be the functor that forgets the group structure on then grading group. Then for $\Gamma: A = \bigoplus_{h \in H} A^{(h)}$ we have $|\Gamma| = \Gamma_0$ where $\Gamma_0: A = \bigoplus_{g \in \text{supp } \Gamma} A^{(g)}$. In other words, in this example $|\Gamma|$ turns out to be the restriction of the grading Γ on its support $\text{supp } \Gamma$. The finer/coarser relation and the equivalence relation introduced in Section 2.2 coincide here with the usual ones for gradings.

Finally, the left adjoint functor $F: X \rightarrow Y$ is constructed as follows. Let $\Gamma: A = \bigoplus_{t \in T} A^{(t)}$.

Denote $\mathbf{G}_\Gamma := \mathcal{F}(T)/N$ where $\mathcal{F}(T)$ is the free group with the set T of free generators and N is the normal closure in $\mathcal{F}(T)$ of the words hst^{-1} where $h, s, t \in T$ are all such elements that $0 \neq A^{(h)}A^{(s)} \subseteq A^{(t)}$. Now let

$$F\Gamma: A = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathbf{G}_\Gamma} A_1^{(g)}$$

where $A_1^{(g)} = A^{(t)}$ if $g \in \mathbf{G}_\Gamma$ is the image of some $t \in T$ in \mathbf{G}_Γ and $A_1^{(g)} = 0$ otherwise.

Given a group grading Γ_1 , the object $F(|\Gamma_1|)$ is initial in $Y(|\Gamma_1|)$ by Corollary 2.4. The corresponding group $\mathbf{G}_{|\Gamma_1|}$ is called the *universal group* of the grading Γ_1 and it was first introduced by J. Patera and H. Zassenhaus [24] in 1989.

3. (CO)MODULES, Ω -MAGMAS, (CO)MEASURINGS AND (CO)ACTIONS

In order to proceed to the main cases of categories and functors where we solve the Lifting Problem, we recall definitions that generalize well known notions for the categorical setting.

3.1. Modules over monoids. Let (A, μ, u) be a monoid in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} and let $\psi: A \otimes M \rightarrow M$ be a morphism for some object M in \mathcal{C} . Recall that the pair (M, ψ) is a (*left*) A -*module* if the diagrams below are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{1} \otimes M \\ \parallel & & \downarrow u \otimes \text{id}_M \\ M & \xleftarrow{\psi} & A \otimes M \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (A \otimes A) \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A \otimes (A \otimes M) \\ \downarrow \mu \otimes \text{id}_M & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \psi \\ A \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A \otimes M \\ & \searrow \psi & \swarrow \psi \\ & M & \end{array}$$

Examples 3.1.

- (1) Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_k$ for a field k where the monoidal product \otimes is the usual tensor product \otimes and the monoidal unit $\mathbb{1}$ is just the base field k . Then we recover the usual definition of a unital module over a unital associative algebra A ;
- (2) Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$ where the monoidal product \otimes is just the usual Cartesian product \times and the monoidal unit $\mathbb{1}$ is a one element set $\{*\}$. Then we get the usual definition of a set M with an action of a monoid A .

3.2. Comodules over comonoids. Dually, let (C, Δ, ε) be a comonoid in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} and let $\rho: M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ be a morphism for some object M in \mathcal{C} . Recall that the pair (M, ρ) is a (*right*) C -comodule if the diagrams below are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\rho} & M \otimes C \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \varepsilon \\
 M & \xleftarrow{\sim} & M \otimes \mathbb{1}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & M & & \\
 & \swarrow \rho & & \searrow \rho & \\
 M \otimes C & & & & M \otimes C \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \Delta & & & & \downarrow \rho \otimes \text{id}_C \\
 M \otimes (C \otimes C) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & & & (M \otimes C) \otimes C
 \end{array}$$

Examples 3.2.

- (1) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ for a field \mathbb{k} we obtain the usual definition of a (counital) comodule over a (counital coassociative) coalgebra C ;
- (2) Recall that in \mathbf{Sets} all comonoids C are just sets endowed with the diagonal map $\Delta: C \rightarrow C \times C$, $\Delta c = (c, c)$. Therefore, if $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$ all C -comodules are just sets M endowed with maps $\rho_1: M \rightarrow C$. Namely, $\rho(m) = (m, \rho_1(m))$ for all $m \in M$.

3.3. Ω -magmas. Let Ω be a set together with maps $s, t: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Definition 3.3. An Ω -magma in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} is an object A endowed with morphisms $\omega_A: A^{\otimes s(\omega)} \rightarrow A^{\otimes t(\omega)}$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$. (We will usually drop the subscript A and denote the map just by ω .) Here we use the convention that $A^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{1}$, the neutral object in \mathcal{C} .

Remark 3.4. Note that ω_A is not required to satisfy any identities.

Examples 3.5.

- (1) Every (neither necessarily associative, nor necessarily unital) algebra over a field \mathbb{k} is just an Ω -magma in $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ for $\Omega = \{\mu\}$, $s(\mu) = 2$, $t(\mu) = 1$;
- (2) Every unital algebra A over a field \mathbb{k} is an example of an Ω -magma in $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ for $\Omega = \{\mu, u\}$, $s(\mu) = 2$, $t(\mu) = 1$, $s(u) = 0$, $t(u) = 1$, where $u_A: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow A$ is defined by $u_A(\alpha) = \alpha 1_A$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}$. An ordinary monoid is an example of an Ω -magma in \mathbf{Sets} for the same Ω ;
- (3) Every coalgebra C over a field \mathbb{k} is an example of an Ω -magma in $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ for $\Omega = \{\Delta, \varepsilon\}$, $s(\Delta) = 1$, $t(\Delta) = 2$, $s(\varepsilon) = 1$, $t(\varepsilon) = 0$. In general, Ω -magmas in $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ are called Ω -algebras over \mathbb{k} [4].
- (4) An object A endowed with a braiding $c_A: A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is an example of an Ω -magma for $\Omega = \{c\}$, $s(c) = 2$, $t(c) = 2$.

3.4. Measurements. Fix a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} with a braiding c and a neutral object $\mathbb{1}$. Let P be a comonoid in \mathcal{C} with a comultiplication $\Delta: P \rightarrow P \otimes P$ and a counit $\varepsilon: P \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$. Consider the monoidal category $\mathbf{TensMor}(P)$ where the objects are morphisms $P \otimes A \rightarrow B$ and morphisms between objects $\psi_1: P \otimes A_1 \rightarrow B_1$ and $\psi_2: P \otimes A_2 \rightarrow B_2$ are pairs of morphisms $\alpha: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and $\beta: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P \otimes A_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & B_1 \\
 \text{id}_P \otimes \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow \\
 P \otimes A_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & B_2
 \end{array}$$

The monoidal product $\psi_1 \tilde{\otimes} \psi_2: P \otimes (A_1 \otimes A_2) \rightarrow B_1 \otimes B_2$ of objects $\psi_1: P \otimes A_1 \rightarrow B_1$ and $\psi_2: P \otimes A_2 \rightarrow B_2$ in $\mathbf{TensMor}(P)$ is defined as the composition of the morphisms below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
P \otimes (A_1 \otimes A_2) & \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes \text{id}_{A_1 \otimes A_2}} & (P \otimes P) \otimes (A_1 \otimes A_2) \xrightarrow{\text{id}_P \otimes c_{P, A_1} \otimes \text{id}_{A_2}} (P \otimes A_1) \otimes (P \otimes A_2) \\
& & \downarrow \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \\
& & B_1 \otimes B_2
\end{array}$$

The monoidal unit of $\mathbf{TensMor}(P)$ is the composition $P \otimes \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} P \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{1}$. The axioms of a monoidal category for $\mathbf{TensMor}(P)$ are consequences of those for \mathcal{C} and the fact that P is a comonoid.

A *measuring* of Ω -magmas is an Ω -magma $\psi: P \otimes A \rightarrow B$ in the category $\mathbf{TensMor}(P)$. Note that the structure of an Ω -magma on ψ endows the objects A and B with structures of Ω -magmas in \mathcal{C} and the morphism ψ relates these structures in a special way.

Examples 3.6.

- (1) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_k$ for a field k and Ω is from Examples 3.5, (1) and (2), we recover the traditional definition of a measuring of (now not necessarily associative) algebras [26, Chapter VII];
- (2) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$ with $\otimes = \times$, then a measuring $\psi: P \otimes A \rightarrow B$ is just a map $\psi: P \times A \rightarrow B$ such that for every fixed $p \in P$ the map $\psi(p, -)$ is an ordinary Ω -magma homomorphism, i.e. a map compatible with all operations from the set Ω .

For a monoidal category \mathcal{C} denote by $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{C})$ the categories of monoids and comonoids in \mathcal{C} , respectively.

Recall that if the category \mathcal{C} is braided, then $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$ is a monoidal category too. Objects of the category $\mathbf{Comon}(\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C}))$ (which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{C}))$) are called *bimonoids* in \mathcal{C} .

If P is a bimonoid, then the category ${}_P\mathbf{Mod}$ of left P -modules is a subcategory of $\mathbf{TensMor}(P)$ that inherits from $\mathbf{TensMor}(P)$ the monoidal structure. An Ω -magma in ${}_P\mathbf{Mod}$ is called a *P -module Ω -magma* and the corresponding morphism $\psi: P \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is called a *P -action* on A .

Examples 3.7.

- (1) In the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_k$ for a field k and Ω is from Examples 3.5, (1) and (2), we recover the traditional definition of a (now not necessarily associative) module algebra over a bialgebra;
- (2) Recall that, since comonoids in \mathbf{Sets} are trivial, all bimonoids in \mathbf{Sets} are just monoids. Hence if $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$, then an action $\psi: P \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is just such an action of P on A by Ω -magma homomorphisms.

3.5. Comeasurings. Dually, let Q be a monoid in \mathcal{C} with a multiplication $\mu: Q \otimes Q \rightarrow Q$ and a unit $u: \mathbb{1} \rightarrow Q$. Consider the monoidal category $\mathbf{MorTens}(Q)$ where the objects are morphisms $A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ and morphisms between objects $\rho_1: A_1 \rightarrow B_1 \otimes Q$ and $\rho_2: A_2 \rightarrow B_2 \otimes Q$ are pairs of morphisms $\alpha: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and $\beta: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & B_1 \otimes Q \\
\downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \otimes \text{id}_Q \\
A_2 & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & B_2 \otimes Q
\end{array}$$

The monoidal product $\rho_1 \tilde{\otimes} \rho_2: A_1 \otimes A_2 \rightarrow (B_1 \otimes B_2) \otimes Q$ of objects $\rho_1: A_1 \rightarrow B_1 \otimes Q$ and $\rho_2: A_2 \rightarrow B_2 \otimes Q$ in $\mathbf{MorTens}(Q)$ is defined as the composition of the morphisms below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_1 \otimes A_2 \xrightarrow{\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2} (B_1 \otimes Q) \otimes (B_2 \otimes Q) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{B_1} \otimes c_{Q, B_2} \otimes \text{id}_Q} & (B_1 \otimes B_2) \otimes (Q \otimes Q) \\
& & \downarrow \text{id}_{A_1 \otimes A_2} \otimes \mu \\
& & (B_1 \otimes B_2) \otimes Q
\end{array}$$

The monoidal unit of $\text{MorTens}(Q)$ is the composition $\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{u} Q \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{1} \otimes Q$. The axioms of a monoidal category for $\text{MorTens}(Q)$ are consequences of those for \mathcal{C} and the fact that Q is a monoid.

A *comeasuring* of Ω -magmas is an Ω -magma $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ in the category $\text{MorTens}(Q)$. Note that the structure of an Ω -magma on ρ endows the objects A and B with structures of Ω -magmas in \mathcal{C} and the morphism ρ relates these structures in a special way.

Example 3.8. If $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$, then a comeasuring $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ is just a pair of maps $\rho_0: A \rightarrow B$ and $\rho_1: A \rightarrow Q$ such that $\rho(a) = (\rho_0(a), \rho_1(a))$ for all $a \in A$, the map ρ_0 is an Ω -magma homomorphism and ρ_1 defines on A a Q -grading: $A = \bigsqcup_{q \in Q} A^{(q)}$ where $\rho_0(a) = q$

for $a \in A^{(q)}$ and $q \in Q$ and all the operations from Ω are compatible with this grading. If Ω is the one from Examples 3.5 (2), and A and B are ordinary monoids, then a comeasuring $\rho: A \rightarrow B \times Q$ is just a monoid homomorphism.

If Q is a bimonoid, then the category Comod^Q of right Q -comodules is a subcategory of $\text{MorTens}(Q)$ that inherits from $\text{MorTens}(Q)$ the monoidal structure. An Ω -magma in Comod^Q is called a Q -comodule Ω -magma and the corresponding morphism $\rho: A \rightarrow A \otimes Q$ is called a Q -coaction on A .

Examples 3.9.

- (1) In the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_k$ for a field k and Ω is from Examples 3.5, (1) and (2), we recover the traditional definition of a (now not necessarily associative) comodule algebra over a bialgebra;
- (2) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$, then a coaction $\rho: A \rightarrow A \times Q$ is just a map $a \mapsto (a, \rho_1(a))$ where ρ_1 defines a Q -grading on A . In particular, when Ω is from Examples 3.5 (2) and A is an ordinary monoid, then $\rho_1: A \rightarrow Q$ is just a monoid homomorphism.

3.6. Hopf monoids. Recall that if (A, μ_A, u_A) is a monoid and $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ is a comonoid in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} , then the set $\mathcal{C}(C, A)$ of all morphisms $C \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} admits a structure of an ordinary monoid: the multiplication is defined by

$$\varphi * \psi := \mu_A(\varphi \otimes \psi) \Delta_C \text{ for all } \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}(C, A)$$

and $u_A \varepsilon_C$ is the identity element. The monoid $\mathcal{C}(C, A)$ is called the *convolution monoid*.

A bimonoid H in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} is called a *Hopf monoid* if $\text{id}_H \in \mathcal{C}(H, H)$ admits an inverse $S: H \rightarrow H$, which is called the *antipode*. We denote the category of Hopf monoids in \mathcal{C} by $\text{Hopf}(\mathcal{C})$.

Examples 3.10.

- (1) Hopf monoids in \mathbf{Vect}_k , where k is a field, are exactly Hopf algebras over k ;
- (2) Hopf monoids in \mathbf{Sets} are exactly groups.

Denote by $c_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X$ the braiding in \mathcal{C} .

For a monoid (A, μ_A, u_A) denote by $A^{(\text{op}^n)}$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the monoid $(A, \mu_A(c_{A,A})^n, u_A)$. Analogously, for a comonoid $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ denote by $C^{(\text{cop}^n)}$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the comonoid $(C, (c_{C,C})^n \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$.

If B is a bimonoid in \mathcal{C} , then $B^{\text{op}^n, \text{cop}^{-n}}$ is a bimonoid too. (By the induction argument, it is sufficient to check this only for $n = \pm 1$.)

Standard convolution techniques (see e.g. [14, Section 4.2] or [25, Lemma 35, Proposition 36]) combined with a diagram chasing shows that $S: H \rightarrow H^{\text{op}}$ is a monoid homomorphism and $S: H^{\text{cop}} \rightarrow H$ is a comonoid homomorphism. Moreover, every bimonoid homomorphism of Hopf monoids commutes with (or preserves) the antipode. Finally, $H^{\text{op}^n, \text{cop}^{-n}}$ is a Hopf monoid with the same antipode S for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

4. EXISTENCE THEOREMS FOR SUPPORTS AND UNIVERSAL COACTING BI- AND HOPF MONOIDS

The aim of the next sections is to identify the sufficient conditions on the base category \mathcal{C} to ensure the existence of supports and the universal coacting bi- and Hopf monoids.

4.1. Monomorphisms and epimorphisms. In order to formulate the conditions, we first need to recall some definitions and results related to monomorphisms and epimorphisms. The details can be found e.g. in [2].

A monomorphism $i: A \rightarrow B$ is called

- *regular* if i is an equalizer of some morphisms $f_1, f_2: B \rightarrow C$;
- *strong* if for every commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Q \\ f \downarrow & \swarrow t & \downarrow g \\ A & \xrightarrow{i} & B \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

where π is an epimorphism, there exists a diagonal fill-in, i.e. a morphism $t: Q \rightarrow A$ such that $it = g$ and $t\pi = f$ (obviously, such t is unique);

- *extremal* if for every factorization $i = f\pi$, where π is an epimorphism, i is in fact an isomorphism.

Regular, strong and extremal epimorphisms are introduced in the dual way.

Every regular monomorphism is strong, every strong monomorphism is extremal. The identity isomorphism is regular, a composition of two strong monomorphisms is again strong, a limit (=intersection) of strong subobjects is again a strong subobject (see also Remark 4.1 below). If in a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{t} & A \\ h \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ C & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

f is a strong monomorphism, then h is a strong monomorphism too.

A category is called (Epi, ExtrMono)-*structured* if for every morphism f there exists an epimorphism π and an extremal monomorphism i such that $f = i\pi$ and every commutative square (4.1), where i is an extremal monomorphism and π is an epimorphism, has a diagonal fill-in. In (Epi, ExtrMono)-structured categories all extremal monomorphisms are strong. (ExtrEpi, Mono)-structured categories are introduced in the dual way.

A category \mathcal{C} is *wellpowered* if for every object M in \mathcal{C} the set of equivalence classes of monomorphisms to M is a small set. Dually, a category \mathcal{C} is *cowellpowered* if for every object M in \mathcal{C} the set of equivalence classes of epimorphisms from M is a small set.

4.2. Conditions on the base category. In this section we list conditions on the base category that make the constructions of Section 4 possible. Namely, \mathcal{C} will be a monoidal category satisfying some of the following properties:

- (1) there exist all small limits in \mathcal{C} ;
- (2) there exist finite and countable colimits in \mathcal{C} ;
- (3) \mathcal{C} is (Epi, ExtrMono)-structured;
- (4) \mathcal{C} is wellpowered;
- (4*) \mathcal{C} is cowellpowered;
- (5) for every monomorphism f and every object M both $f \otimes \text{id}_M$ and $\text{id}_M \otimes f$ are monomorphisms too;
- (5a) for every extremal monomorphism f the morphism $f \otimes f$ is an extremal monomorphism too;
- (5*) for every epimorphism f and every object M both $f \otimes \text{id}_M$ and $\text{id}_M \otimes f$ are epimorphisms too;
- (6) for every object M the functor $M \otimes (-)$ preserves limits (= intersections) of extremal subobjects in \mathcal{C} (see Remark 4.1 below);
- (7) for every object M the functor $M \otimes (-)$ preserves preimages, i.e. for every pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{t} & A \\ \downarrow h & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ C & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

where f is an arbitrary monomorphism and g is an arbitrary morphism having the same codomain B (recall that in this case h is automatically a monomorphism too) the diagram below is a pullback too:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes P & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes t} & M \otimes A \\ \text{id}_M \otimes h \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes f \\ M \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes g} & M \otimes B \end{array}$$

- (8) for any nonempty small set Λ and any objects M and A_α , $\alpha \in \Lambda$, the morphism

$$M \otimes \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_M \otimes \pi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}} \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (M \otimes A_\alpha),$$

where π_α is the projection from $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha$ to A_α , $\alpha \in \Lambda$, is a monomorphism;

- (9) for every object M the functor $M \otimes (-)$ preserves all equalizers;
- (10) the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a left adjoint $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark 4.1. Properties 1 and 4 imply that there exist limits of any families of subobjects, i.e. if $\varphi_\alpha: A_\alpha \rightarrow B$ are monomorphisms for some set Λ and objects B , A_α , where $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then there exists $\lim T$ where $T: \Lambda \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $\Lambda \cup \{0\}$ is the category with the class of objects $\Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and either only the arrows $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ or, in addition, some of arrows $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ such that $\varphi_\beta = \varphi_{\alpha\beta} \varphi_\alpha$ for some morphism $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}: A_\alpha \rightarrow A_\beta$ (the resulting $\lim T$ will not depend on whether we include $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ or not) and the functor T is defined as follows: $T\alpha = A_\alpha$, $T0 = B$, $T(\alpha \rightarrow 0) = \varphi_\alpha$, $T(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) = \varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$.

Proposition 4.2. (1) Property 3 follows from Properties 1 and 4;
 (2) Property 9 follows from Properties 1, 7 and 8.

Proof. 1) By [2, Proposition 12.5] any wellpowered small complete category is strongly complete. Now [2, Corollary 14.21] implies that such a category is both (Epi, ExtrMono)- and (ExtrEpi, Mono)-structured, as desired.

2) Recall that an equalizer h of morphisms $f, g: A \rightarrow B$ can be calculated via the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{h} & A \\ h \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow (\text{id}_A, f) \\ A & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_A, g)} & A \times B \end{array}$$

Property 7 implies that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes P & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes h} & M \otimes A \\ \text{id}_M \otimes h \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes (\text{id}_A, f) \\ M \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes (\text{id}_A, g)} & M \otimes (A \times B) \end{array}$$

is a pullback.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id}_M \otimes \pi_A)(\text{id}_M \otimes (\text{id}_A, f)) &= \text{id}_M \otimes \text{id}_A, \\ (\text{id}_M \otimes \pi_B)(\text{id}_M \otimes (\text{id}_A, f)) &= \text{id}_M \otimes f, \\ (\text{id}_M \otimes \pi_A)(\text{id}_M \otimes (\text{id}_A, g)) &= \text{id}_M \otimes \text{id}_A \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\text{id}_M \otimes \pi_B)(\text{id}_M \otimes (\text{id}_A, g)) = \text{id}_M \otimes g.$$

Hence for every pair of morphisms $\alpha, \beta: Q \rightarrow M \otimes A$ the equality

$$(\text{id}_M \otimes (\text{id}_A, f))\alpha = (\text{id}_M \otimes (\text{id}_A, g))\beta$$

holds if and only if

$$(\text{id}_M \otimes f)\alpha = (\text{id}_M \otimes g)\alpha \text{ and } \alpha = \beta.$$

The “if” part is a consequence of the fact that

$$(\text{id}_M \otimes \pi_A, \text{id}_M \otimes \pi_B): M \otimes (A \times B) \rightarrow (M \otimes A) \times (M \otimes B)$$

is a monomorphism by Property 8.

Therefore, $\text{id}_M \otimes h$ is indeed an equalizer of $\text{id}_M \otimes f$ and $\text{id}_M \otimes g$. \square

Example 4.3. The basic examples of a category \mathcal{C} satisfying Properties 1–10, 4*, 5* and 5a we keep in mind are **Sets** (with the Cartesian monoidal product), **Sets**^{op} (with the same monoidal product as in **Sets**, which becomes the co-Cartesian monoidal product with respect to **Sets**^{op}), **Vect** _{\mathbb{k}} and **Vect** _{\mathbb{k}} ^{op} for a field \mathbb{k} . In all these examples all monomorphisms and epimorphisms are extremal. Property 10 is equivalent to the existence of the free monoid of a set for **Sets**, the free (or tensor) algebra of a vector space for **Vect** _{\mathbb{k}} and the cofree coalgebra of a vector space for **Vect** _{\mathbb{k}} ^{op}. Property 10 holds trivially in **Sets**^{op}, since all comonoids in **Sets** are just sets endowed with diagonal maps. More examples of categories satisfying Properties 1–10, 4*, 5* and 5a will be provided in Section 5.2.

The proof of Proposition 4.4 below is straightforward since if \mathcal{C} satisfies Property 3, then every extremal monomorphism is strong:

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose a monoidal category \mathcal{C} satisfies Properties 3 and 5*. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a monoid homomorphism for monoids M and N in \mathcal{C} and let $f = i\pi$ for an epimorphism $\pi: M \twoheadrightarrow L$, an extremal monomorphism $i: L \hookrightarrow N$ and an object L in \mathcal{C} . Then L admits a unique monoid structure making π and i monoid homomorphisms.*

4.3. Limits and colimits in $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$ and reflectivity of $\mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$. Let $T: J \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$ be a functor where J is a category and \mathcal{C} is a monoidal category. Suppose that $M := \lim UT$ is the limit of UT in \mathcal{C} where $U: \mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the forgetful functor. Then the unique morphisms μ_M and u_M making the diagrams below commutative for every object j of J (φ_j is the limiting cone) turn M into a monoid and, therefore, into the limit of T in $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j \otimes \varphi_j} & Tj \otimes Tj \\ \downarrow \mu_M & & \downarrow \mu_{Tj} \\ M & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} & Tj \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{1} & \\ u_M \swarrow & & \searrow u_{Tj} \\ M & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} & Tj \end{array}$$

In other words, the forgetful functor U creates limits.

Now consider colimits in $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$:

Theorem 4.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided monoidal category satisfying Properties 1, 3, 4*, 5*, 10 of Section 4.2. Let $T: J \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$ be a functor where J is a category. Suppose there exists $N := \operatorname{colim} UT$ and φ_j is the corresponding colimiting cocone. (The colimit is taken in \mathcal{C} .) Then there exists a monoid homomorphism $\pi: \mathcal{F}N \rightarrow P$, which is, in addition, an epimorphism in \mathcal{C} , such that the composition*

$$Tj \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} N \xrightarrow{\eta_N} \mathcal{F}N \xrightarrow{\pi} P$$

is a colimiting cocone of T in $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$. (Here η is the unit of the adjunction $\mathcal{F} \dashv U$.)

Proof. Consider the class $(\psi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of all monoid homomorphisms $\psi_\alpha: \mathcal{F}N \rightarrow P_\alpha$ that are epimorphisms in \mathcal{C} such that the composition $\psi_\alpha \eta_N \varphi_j$ is a monoid homomorphism for every j . By Property 4* we may assume that the set Λ is small. Introduce on Λ a partial ordering by $\alpha \preceq \beta$ if $\psi_\alpha = \psi_{\beta\alpha} \psi_\beta$ for some morphism $\psi_{\beta\alpha}$. Note that since ψ_β is an epimorphism, every such morphism $\psi_{\beta\alpha}$ is unique and by Property 5* is a monoid homomorphism too. Denote by P_0 the limit of P_α in \mathcal{C} and by i and π , respectively, the extremal monomorphism and the epimorphism from the (Epi, ExtrMono)-factorization of the comparison morphism between ψ_α and the limiting cone:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Tj & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} & N & \xrightarrow{\eta_N} & \mathcal{F}N & \xrightarrow{\psi_\alpha} & P_\alpha \\ & & & & \downarrow \pi & & \uparrow \\ & & & & P & \xrightarrow{i} & P_0 \end{array}$$

By the remarks made before the theorem, P_0 is a limit in $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$ too. By Proposition 4.4 the object P_0 bears a unique structure of a monoid and π and i are monoid homomorphisms. In addition, from the diagrams below it follows that $\pi \eta_N \varphi_j$ is a monoid homomorphism for every j :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Tj \otimes Tj & \xrightarrow{\eta_N \varphi_j \otimes \eta_N \varphi_j} & \mathcal{F}N \otimes \mathcal{F}N & \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes \pi} & P \otimes P & \xrightarrow{i \otimes i} & P_0 \otimes P_0 \longrightarrow P_\alpha \otimes P_\alpha \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Tj & \xrightarrow{\eta_N \varphi_j} & \mathcal{F}N & \xrightarrow{\pi} & P & \xrightarrow{i} & P_0 \longrightarrow P_\alpha \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \\ & & & & \mathbb{1} & & \end{array}$$

In other words, P belongs to the set $\{P_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ and corresponds to the global maximum of Λ . Hence P is the limit of P_α in \mathcal{C} and i is in fact an isomorphism.

Suppose now $\tau_j: Tj \rightarrow Q$ is a cocone in $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$. Then there exists a unique monoid homomorphism $\tau: \mathcal{F}N \rightarrow Q$ making the left triangle of the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Tj & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} & N & \xrightarrow{\eta_N} & \mathcal{F}N & \xrightarrow{\pi} & P \\
 & \searrow \tau_j & & & \downarrow \pi_0 & \swarrow s & \\
 & & Q & \xleftarrow{i_0} & Q_0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Considering the corresponding (Epi, ExtrMono)-factorization $\tau = i_0\pi_0$ and the comparison morphism s , we obtain that P is indeed the colimit of T in $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$. The uniqueness of i_0s follows from the fact that π is an epimorphism. \square

Now we prove the following theorem inspired by [12, 25, 27]:

Theorem 4.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided monoidal category satisfying Properties 1–3, 4*, 5*, 10 of Section 4.2. Then the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$ admits a left adjoint functor $H_l: \mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. For a given bimonoid B consider the coproduct $\tilde{B} = \coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} B^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n}$ in $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$, which exists by Property 2 and Theorem 4.5. Note that being a coproduct, the monoid \tilde{B} bears a natural structure of a comonoid making \tilde{B} the coproduct of $B^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n}$ in $\mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$.

We regard $(-)^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}}$ as an endofunctor on $\mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$ that twists both the multiplication and the comultiplication and changes neither morphisms nor objects. Being an automorphism of the category $\mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$, the functor $(-)^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}}$ preserves all limits and colimits. Hence for any bimonoids B_α we may identify $\coprod_{\alpha} (B_\alpha)^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}}$ with $\left(\coprod_{\alpha} B_\alpha\right)^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}}$. Define the morphism S on \tilde{B} as the unique bimonoid homomorphism making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} B^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n} & \xrightarrow{S} & \left(\coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} B^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n}\right)^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}} \\
 \uparrow i_n & & \uparrow (i_{n+1})^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}} \\
 B^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \left(B^{\text{op}^{-n-1}, \text{cop}^{n+1}}\right)^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}}
 \end{array}$$

Here i_n are the morphisms $B^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ from the universal property of the coproduct. By the definition, S is a bimonoid homomorphism $\tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{B}^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}}$.

If H is bimonoid endowed with a bimonoid homomorphism $S_H: H \rightarrow H^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}}$ and $\varphi_0: B \rightarrow H$ is an arbitrary bimonoid homomorphism, then the diagram below shows that there exists a unique bimonoid homomorphism $\varphi: \tilde{B} \rightarrow H$ such that $\varphi S = S_H \varphi$ and

$\varphi_0 = \varphi i_0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& H^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n} & \xrightarrow{(S_H)^n} & H \\
& \uparrow \varphi^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n} & & \uparrow \varphi \\
(\varphi_0)^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n} & \left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} B^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n} \right)^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n} & \xrightarrow{S^n} & \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} B^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n} \\
& \uparrow (i_0)^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n} & & \uparrow i_n \\
& B^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n} & \xlongequal{\quad} & B^{\text{op}^{-n}, \text{cop}^n}
\end{array}$$

Consider the coequalizer $\gamma: \tilde{B} \rightarrow E$ in \mathcal{C} of morphisms $S * \text{id}_{\tilde{B}}$, $\text{id}_{\tilde{B}} * S$ and $u\varepsilon$ where u and ε are, respectively, the unit and the counit of \tilde{B} . (The coequalizer exists by Property 2.) Like in the proof of Theorem 4.5, consider the free monoid $\mathcal{F}E$ on E and the class $(\psi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of all monoid homomorphisms $\psi_\alpha: \mathcal{F}E \rightarrow P_\alpha$ that are epimorphisms in \mathcal{C} such that the composition $\psi_\alpha \eta_N \gamma$ is a monoid homomorphism for every j . By Property 4* we may assume that the set Λ is small. Introduce on Λ a partial ordering by $\alpha \preceq \beta$ if $\psi_\alpha = \psi_{\beta\alpha} \psi_\beta$ for some morphism $\psi_{\beta\alpha}$. Note that since ψ_β is an epimorphism, every such morphism $\psi_{\beta\alpha}$ is unique and by Property 5* is a monoid homomorphism too. Denote by P_0 the limit of P_α in \mathcal{C} and by i and π , respectively, the extremal monomorphism and the epimorphism from the (Epi, ExtrMono)-factorization of the comparison morphism between ψ_α and the limiting cone:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\tilde{B} & \rightrightarrows & \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & E & \xrightarrow{\eta_E} & \mathcal{F}E & \xrightarrow{\psi_\alpha} & P_\alpha \\
& & & & & & \downarrow \pi & & \uparrow \\
& & & & & & P & \xrightarrow{i} & P_0
\end{array}$$

The same argument as in Theorem 4.5 shows that $\theta := \pi \eta_E \gamma$ is the coequalizer of $S * \text{id}_{\tilde{B}}$, $\text{id}_{\tilde{B}} * S$ and $u\varepsilon$ among monoid homomorphisms from \tilde{B} . Considering the (Epi, ExtrMono)-factorization of θ and applying Proposition 4.4, we get that θ is an epimorphism in \mathcal{C} .

Diagram chasing dual to that in the proof of Porst's Crucial Lemma [25, Lemma 38] shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\theta \otimes \theta) \Delta(S * \text{id}_{\tilde{B}}) &= (\theta \otimes \theta) \Delta(\text{id}_{\tilde{B}} * S) = (\theta \otimes \theta) \Delta u \varepsilon, \\
\varepsilon(S * \text{id}_{\tilde{B}}) &= \varepsilon(\text{id}_{\tilde{B}} * S) = \varepsilon u \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

(here Δ is the comultiplication in \tilde{B}) and therefore there exist unique monoid homomorphisms $\varepsilon_P: P \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ and $\Delta_P: P \rightarrow P \otimes P$ making the diagrams below commutative and turning P into a bimonoid:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{B} \rightrightarrows \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & P \\
\downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow \Delta_P \\
\tilde{B} \otimes \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{\theta \otimes \theta} & P \otimes P
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{B} \rightrightarrows \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & P \\
& \searrow \varepsilon & \downarrow \varepsilon_P \\
& & \mathbb{1}
\end{array}$$

Moreover, there exists a unique bimonoid homomorphism $S_P: P \rightarrow P^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}}$ such that $S_P \theta = \theta S$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{B} \rightrightarrows \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & P \\
\downarrow S & & \downarrow S_P \\
B^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}} \rightrightarrows B^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}} & \xrightarrow{\theta^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}}} & P^{\text{op}, \text{cop}^{-1}}
\end{array}$$

Since θ is an epimorphism, P is a Hopf monoid. The universal property of P implies that for every Hopf monoid H and every bimonoid homomorphism $\varphi_0: B \rightarrow H$ there exists a

unique Hopf monoid homomorphism $\psi: P \rightarrow H$ such that $\varphi_0 = \psi\theta i_0$, i.e. we can take $H_l B := P$. \square

Remark 4.7. In Proposition 4.4 and Theorems 4.5 and 4.6 instead of Property 5* it is sufficient to require just that for every epimorphism φ in \mathcal{C} the morphisms $\varphi \otimes \varphi$ and $\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi$ are epimorphisms too.

Example 4.8. In the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$ the left adjoint functor H_l assigns to each monoid M its *Grothendieck group*, i.e. the group with the same generators and relations as in M .

4.4. Supports of morphisms $A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$. Now we are ready to define supports of morphisms and prove their existence.

Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. For given objects A, B in \mathcal{C} denote by $\mathbf{MorTens}(A, B)$ the comma category $(A \downarrow B \otimes (-))$, i.e. the category where

- the objects are morphisms $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ for some objects Q ;
- the morphisms between $\rho_1: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q_1$ and $\rho_2: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q_2$ are morphisms $\tau: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & B \otimes Q_1 \\ & \searrow \rho_2 & \downarrow \text{id}_B \otimes \tau \\ & & B \otimes Q_2 \end{array}$$

Definition 4.9. We say that a morphism $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ is a *tensor epimorphism* if $\rho \in \text{LIO}(\mathbf{MorTens}(A, B))$, i.e. if for every $f, g: Q \rightarrow R$, such that

$$(\text{id}_B \otimes f)\rho = (\text{id}_B \otimes g)\rho,$$

we have $f = g$.

If there exists $|\rho|: A \rightarrow B \otimes \tilde{Q}$ for some ρ , then we call the object $\text{supp } \rho := \tilde{Q}$ the *support* of ρ . From the definition of the absolute value it follows that $\text{supp } \rho$ is defined up to an isomorphism compatible with $|\rho|$.

Examples 4.10.

- (1) Let $\Gamma: A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A^{(g)}$ be a grading on an algebra A over a field \mathbb{k} by a group G . Then A is a $\mathbb{k}G$ -comodule algebra, where $\mathbb{k}G$ is the group Hopf algebra and the comodule structure $\rho: A \rightarrow A \otimes \mathbb{k}G$ is defined by $\rho(a) := a \otimes g$ for all $a \in A^{(g)}$ and $g \in G$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$. Then $\text{supp } \rho = \langle \text{supp } \Gamma \rangle_{\mathbb{k}}$, which justifies using the name *support* for $\text{supp } \rho$;
- (2) Let $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ be a linear map where A, B, Q are vector spaces over a field \mathbb{k} . Choose a basis $(a_\alpha)_\alpha$ in A and a basis $(b_\beta)_\beta$ in B . Define $q_{\beta\alpha} \in Q$ by $\rho(a_\alpha) = \sum_\beta b_\beta \otimes q_{\beta\alpha}$. Applying the elements of the dual vector space B^* to the left component of $B \otimes Q$, we see that the \mathbb{k} -linear span Q_0 of all $q_{\beta\alpha}$ is the minimal subspace $Q_0 \subseteq Q$ such that $\rho(A) \subseteq B \otimes Q_0$. Hence ρ is a tensor epimorphism if and only if $Q = Q_0$. Denote by $\rho_0: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q_0$ the corestriction of ρ to $B \otimes Q_0$. Suppose now that $\rho = (\text{id}_B \otimes \tau)\rho'$ for some vector space Q' , tensor epimorphism $\rho': A \rightarrow B \otimes Q'$ and a linear map $\tau: Q' \rightarrow Q$. Define $q'_{\beta\alpha} \in Q'$ by $\rho'(a_\alpha) = \sum_\beta b_\beta \otimes q'_{\beta\alpha}$. Then $\tau(q'_{\beta\alpha}) = q_{\beta\alpha}$ for all α and β . Thus $\rho_0 = (\text{id}_B \otimes \tau)\rho'$ and $\rho_0 \preceq \rho'$. Therefore, $|\rho| = \rho_0$ and $\text{supp } \rho = Q_0$;
- (3) In the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$, $\text{supp } \rho$ is the projection of the image of ρ on the second component of $B \times Q$ and $|\rho|: A \rightarrow B \otimes (\text{supp } \rho)$ is the corestriction of ρ to $B \otimes (\text{supp } \rho)$.

Proposition 4.11 establishes a link between tensor epimorphisms and ordinary epimorphisms.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $\rho_1: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q_1$ be a tensor epimorphism in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Then a morphism $\tau: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ is an epimorphism if and only if $\rho_2 = (\text{id}_B \otimes \tau)\rho_1$ is a tensor epimorphism.*

Proof. Suppose first that ρ_2 is a tensor epimorphism. Let $f, g: Q_2 \rightarrow Q_3$ be morphisms such that $f\tau = g\tau$. Then

$$(\text{id}_B \otimes f)\rho_2 = (\text{id}_B \otimes f\tau)\rho_1 = (\text{id}_B \otimes g\tau)\rho_1 = (\text{id}_B \otimes g)\rho_2$$

and $f = g$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & B \otimes Q_1 \\ & \searrow \rho_2 & \downarrow \text{id}_B \otimes \tau \\ & & B \otimes Q_2 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \\ & & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_B \otimes f} \\ & & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_B \otimes g} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ B \otimes Q_3 \end{array}$$

Suppose now that τ is an epimorphism. Let $f, g: Q_2 \rightarrow Q_3$ be morphisms such that $(\text{id}_B \otimes f)\rho_2 = (\text{id}_B \otimes g)\rho_2$. Then

$$(\text{id}_B \otimes f\tau)\rho_1 = (\text{id}_B \otimes f)\rho_2 = (\text{id}_B \otimes g)\rho_2 = (\text{id}_B \otimes g\tau)\rho_1.$$

Since ρ_1 is a tensor epimorphism, we have $f\tau = g\tau$ and $f = g$. \square

Theorem 4.12. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category satisfying Properties 1, 4–6 and 9. Then for every objects A, B in \mathcal{C} there exist absolute values of all objects in the category $\text{MorTens}(A, B)$. As a consequence, there exist supports for all morphisms $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ in \mathcal{C} .*

In order to prove Theorem 4.12, consider the following construction.

Let $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ be a morphism for some objects A, B, Q in \mathcal{C} . Consider the category $\mathcal{Q}(\rho)$ where:

- the objects are pairs (ρ_1, i_1) where $\rho_1: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q_1$ is a morphism and $i_1: Q_1 \rightarrow Q$ is an extremal monomorphism making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & B \otimes Q_1 \\ & \searrow \rho & \downarrow \text{id}_B \otimes i_1 \\ & & B \otimes Q \end{array} \quad (4.2)$$

- the morphisms between

$$\rho_1: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q_1, \quad i_1: Q_1 \rightarrow Q$$

and

$$\rho_2: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q_2, \quad i_2: Q_2 \rightarrow Q$$

are morphisms $\tau: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ making the diagrams below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & B \otimes Q_1 \\ & \searrow \rho_2 & \downarrow \text{id}_B \otimes \tau \\ & & B \otimes Q_2 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Q_1 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & Q \\ \tau \downarrow & & \nearrow i_2 \\ Q_2 & & \end{array}$$

Consider the functor $T: \mathcal{Q}(\rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ that maps a pair $(A \rightarrow B \otimes Q_1, Q_1 \rightarrow Q)$ to Q_1 . Define a candidate $\text{supp}_0 \rho$ for the support of ρ by $\text{supp}_0 \rho := \lim T$. The latter exists by Properties 1 and 4.

Remark 4.13. Note that $i_0: \text{supp}_0 \rho \rightarrow Q$ is an extremal monomorphism, since $\text{supp}_0 \rho$ is a limit (=intersection) of extremal subobjects of Q . (Recall that by Property 3, which follows from Properties 1 and 4, all extremal monomorphisms are strong.)

By Property 6, if $\text{supp}_0 \rho \rightarrow Q_1$ is the limiting cone for T , then $B \otimes (\text{supp}_0 \rho) \rightarrow B \otimes Q_1$ is the limiting cone for $B \otimes T(-)$. However, $A \rightarrow B \otimes Q_1$ is a cone over $B \otimes T(-)$ too. Hence there exists a unique morphism $|\rho|_0: A \rightarrow B \otimes \text{supp}_0 \rho$ between the cones. In particular, the diagram below is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{|\rho|_0} & B \otimes \text{supp}_0 \rho \\
 \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_1 \\
 & & B \otimes Q_1 \\
 \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_B \otimes i_1 \\
 & & B \otimes Q \\
 & & \uparrow \text{id}_B \otimes i_0 \\
 & & B \otimes \text{supp}_0 \rho
 \end{array}$$

We claim that $\text{supp}_0 \rho = \text{supp} \rho$ and $|\rho| = |\rho|_0$.

Remark 4.14. Note that $i: \text{supp}_0 \rho \rightarrow Q$ is the global minimum in the preorder of extremal subobjects $i_1: Q_1 \rightarrow Q$ corresponding to objects in $\mathcal{Q}(\rho)$.

Proposition 4.15. *Suppose a monoidal category \mathcal{C} satisfies Properties 1, 4, 6 and 9. Then for every $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ the morphism $|\rho|_0$ is a tensor epimorphism.*

Proof. Let $f, g: \text{supp}_0 \rho \rightarrow R$ be such morphisms that

$$(\text{id}_B \otimes f)|\rho|_0 = (\text{id}_B \otimes g)|\rho|_0. \quad (4.3)$$

We claim that $f = g$.

Let $h: P \rightarrow \text{supp}_0 \rho$ be an equalizer of f and g . Then by Property 9 the morphism $\text{id}_B \otimes h$ is an equalizer of $\text{id}_B \otimes f$ and $\text{id}_B \otimes g$. Hence (4.3) implies that there exists a morphism $q: A \rightarrow B \otimes P$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & B \otimes Q & & \\
 & \nearrow \rho & \uparrow \text{id}_B \otimes i_0 & & \\
 A & \xrightarrow{|\rho|_0} & B \otimes (\text{supp}_0 \rho) & \xrightarrow[\text{id}_B \otimes g]{\text{id}_B \otimes f} & B \otimes R \\
 & \searrow q & \uparrow \text{id}_B \otimes h & & \\
 & & B \otimes P & &
 \end{array}$$

Recall that every equalizer, in particular h , is an extremal monomorphism. By Remark 4.13, $\text{supp}_0 \rho$ is an extremal subobject of Q , whence P is an extremal subobject of Q . Moreover, ρ factors through q . Since $\text{supp}_0 \rho$ is the corresponding limit, $\text{supp}_0 \rho$ is an extremal subobject of P . At the same time, P is an extremal subobject of $\text{supp}_0 \rho$ via h . Hence h is an isomorphism and $f = g$. \square

Now Theorem 4.12 follows from Lemma 4.16 below:

Lemma 4.16. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category satisfying Properties 1, 4–6 and 9. We have $\text{supp}_0 \rho = \text{supp} \rho$ and $|\rho| = |\rho|_0$ for every morphism $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.15, $|\rho|_0$ is a tensor epimorphism, i.e. $|\rho|_0 \in \text{LIO}(\text{MorTens}(A, B))$. Hence it is sufficient to show that if $\rho = (\text{id}_B \otimes \tau)\rho_1$ for some tensor epimorphism $\rho_1: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q_1$ and a morphism $\tau: Q_1 \rightarrow Q$, then $|\rho|_0 \preceq \rho_1$.

Let $\tau = i\pi$ be the (Epi, ExtrMono)-factorization of τ where $\pi: Q_1 \twoheadrightarrow Q_2$ is an epimorphism and $i: Q_2 \hookrightarrow Q$ is an extremal monomorphism.

Recall that by $i_0: \text{supp}_0 \rho \hookrightarrow Q$ we denote the extremal monomorphism such that $\rho = (\text{id}_B \otimes i_0)|\rho|_0$.

Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & B \otimes Q_1 \\
 & \nearrow \rho_1 & \downarrow \text{id}_B \otimes \pi \\
 A & & B \otimes Q_2 \\
 \downarrow |\rho|_0 & \dashrightarrow \text{id}_B \otimes h & \downarrow \text{id}_B \otimes i \\
 B \otimes (\text{supp}_0 \rho) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_B \otimes i_0} & B \otimes Q
 \end{array}$$

By Remark 4.14 there exists an extremal monomorphism $h: \text{supp}_0 \rho \hookrightarrow Q_2$ such that $i_0 = ih$. By Proposition 4.11, $(\text{id}_B \otimes \pi)\rho_1$ is a tensor epimorphism, whence, by the same proposition, h is an epimorphism. Now the extremality of h implies that h is an isomorphism. Therefore, $|\rho|_0 = (\text{id}_B \otimes h^{-1}\pi)\rho_1$ and $|\rho|_0 \preceq \rho_1$. \square

We conclude Section 4.4 with two propositions that we will use to calculate supports:

Proposition 4.17. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category satisfying Properties 1, 4–6 and 9 and let $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ be a morphism for some objects A, B, Q in \mathcal{C} . If $i: Q \hookrightarrow \tilde{Q}$ is an extremal monomorphism, then $|(\text{id}_B \otimes i)\rho| = |\rho|$ and $\text{supp}((\text{id}_B \otimes i)\rho) = \text{supp } \rho$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\rho} := (\text{id}_B \otimes i)\rho$. By Corollary 2.11, we have $|\tilde{\rho}| \preceq |\rho|$. In particular, there exists a morphism $\tau: \text{supp } \rho \rightarrow \text{supp } \tilde{\rho}$ such that $(\text{id}_B \otimes \tau)|\rho| = |\tilde{\rho}|$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}} & B \otimes \tilde{Q} \\
 \downarrow |\rho| & \searrow |\tilde{\rho}| & \\
 B \otimes (\text{supp } \rho) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_B \otimes \tau} & B \otimes (\text{supp } \tilde{\rho}) \\
 \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \\
 B \otimes Q & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_B \otimes i} & B \otimes \tilde{Q}
 \end{array}$$

Note that τ is compatible with the extremal monomorphisms $\text{supp } \rho \hookrightarrow \tilde{Q}$ and $\text{supp } \tilde{\rho} \hookrightarrow \tilde{Q}$, since $|\rho|$ is a tensor epimorphism. On the other hand, $\tilde{\rho}$ factors through $B \otimes (\text{supp } \rho)$. By Remark 4.13 and Lemma 4.16, $\text{supp } \tilde{\rho}$ is a subobject of $\text{supp } \rho$. Thus τ is an isomorphism and we may identify $|\tilde{\rho}|$ with $|\rho|$ and $\text{supp } \tilde{\rho}$ with $\text{supp } \rho$. \square

Proposition 4.18. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category satisfying Properties 1, 4–6 and 9. For every tensor epimorphism $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ and every extremal monomorphism $i: Q \hookrightarrow \tilde{Q}$ we have $|(\text{id}_B \otimes i)\rho| = \rho$ and $\text{supp}((\text{id}_B \otimes i)\rho) = Q$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.17 it is sufficient to consider the case $i = \text{id}_Q$, $\tilde{Q} = Q$. However, as $\rho \in \text{LIO}(\text{MorTens}(A, B))$, we have $|\rho| = \rho$. \square

4.5. Supports of comodule structures. We first show that under certain conditions on the base category the support of a comodule structure is always a subcomonoid.

Theorem 4.19. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is a monoidal category satisfying Properties 1, 4–7, 9 and 5a of Section 4.2. Let M be a comodule over a comonoid (C, Δ, ε) and let $\rho: M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ be the*

corresponding morphism. Then in \mathcal{C} there exist unique morphisms

$$\Delta_0: \text{supp } \rho \rightarrow \text{supp } \rho \otimes \text{supp } \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_0: \text{supp } \rho \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$$

making the diagrams below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{supp } \rho & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \\ \downarrow \Delta_0 & & \downarrow \Delta \\ (\text{supp } \rho) \otimes (\text{supp } \rho) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \otimes C \end{array} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{supp } \rho & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} & \mathbb{1} \\ \downarrow \varepsilon & \nearrow & \\ C & & \end{array} \quad (4.5)$$

Moreover, $(\text{supp } \rho, \Delta_0, \varepsilon_0)$ is a comonoid and the diagrams below are commutative too:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{|\rho|} & M \otimes \text{supp } \rho \\ \downarrow |\rho| & & \downarrow |\rho| \otimes \text{id}_{\text{supp } \rho} \\ M \otimes \text{supp } \rho & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \Delta_0} & M \otimes \text{supp } \rho \otimes \text{supp } \rho \end{array} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{|\rho|} & M \otimes \text{supp } \rho \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \varepsilon_0 \\ M & \xleftarrow{\sim} & M \otimes \mathbb{1} \end{array} \quad (4.7)$$

In other words, M is a $(\text{supp } \rho)$ -comodule and the monomorphism $\text{supp } \rho \rightarrow C$ is a comonoid homomorphism.

Proof. By Property 5 the morphism $(\text{supp } \rho) \otimes (\text{supp } \rho) \rightarrow C \otimes C$ is a monomorphism, which implies the uniqueness of Δ_0 . In addition, from (4.5) above it is clear that the only way to define ε_0 is to restrict ε on $\text{supp } \rho$. Define ε_0 in this way, i.e. as the composition of $\text{supp } \rho \rightarrow C$ and ε . Now the commutativity of (4.7) follows from the definition of a comodule applied to the C -comodule M .

Consider the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \\ \downarrow \xi \lrcorner & & \downarrow \Delta \\ (\text{supp } \rho) \otimes (\text{supp } \rho) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \otimes C \end{array}$$

By Property 5a the monomorphism $P \rightarrow C$ is extremal.

Tensor the diagram above by M (recall that by Property 7 it will still be a pullback) and incorporate into a larger one (the outer square is commutative since M is a C -comodule):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{|\rho|} & M \otimes (\text{supp } \rho) & & \\ \downarrow |\rho| & \searrow \rho_1 & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \tau & & \downarrow \\ M \otimes (\text{supp } \rho) & \xrightarrow{|\rho| \otimes \text{id}_{\text{supp } \rho}} & M \otimes ((\text{supp } \rho) \otimes (\text{supp } \rho)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \otimes (C \otimes C) \\ & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \xi \lrcorner & & \downarrow \Delta \\ & & M \otimes P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \otimes C \end{array}$$

By the universal property of a pullback there exists $\rho_1: M \rightarrow M \otimes P$ and by the definition of $\text{supp } \rho$ there exists $\tau: \text{supp } \rho \rightarrow P$ making the diagram above commutative. Now it is sufficient to define $\Delta_0 := \xi\tau$. The commutativity of (4.6) follows from the fact that $(\text{supp } \rho) \otimes (\text{supp } \rho) \rightarrow C \otimes C$ is a monomorphism. The axioms of a comonoid for $(\text{supp } \rho, \Delta_0, \varepsilon_0)$ are verified analogously:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{supp } \rho & \xrightarrow{\Delta_0} & (\text{supp } \rho) \otimes (\text{supp } \rho) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \otimes C \\
\downarrow \Delta_0 & & \downarrow \Delta_0 \otimes \text{id}_{\text{supp } \rho} & & \downarrow \Delta \otimes \text{id}_C \\
(\text{supp } \rho) \otimes (\text{supp } \rho) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\text{supp } \rho} \otimes \Delta_0} & (\text{supp } \rho) \otimes (\text{supp } \rho) \otimes (\text{supp } \rho) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \otimes C \\
\downarrow \text{id}_{C \otimes C} & & \downarrow \text{id}_{C \otimes C} & & \downarrow \text{id}_{C \otimes C} \\
C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{C \otimes \Delta}} & C \otimes C \otimes C & & C \otimes C
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{supp } \rho & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \\
\downarrow \Delta_0 & & \downarrow \Delta \\
(\text{supp } \rho) \otimes (\text{supp } \rho) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \otimes C \\
\downarrow \text{id}_{\text{supp } \rho} \otimes \varepsilon_0 & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\text{supp } \rho} \otimes \varepsilon \\
(\text{supp } \rho) \otimes \mathbb{1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \otimes \mathbb{1}
\end{array}$$

□

Now we show that a morphism between comodule structures is always a comonoid homomorphism if its domain is a tensor epimorphism:

Proposition 4.20. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category and let $\rho_i: M \rightarrow M \otimes C_i$ define on an object M structures of C_i -comodules for comonoids $(C_i, \Delta_i, \varepsilon_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that ρ_1 is a tensor epimorphism and the diagram below is commutative for some morphism $\tau: C_1 \rightarrow C_2$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & M \otimes C_1 \\
& \searrow \rho_2 & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \tau \\
& & M \otimes C_2
\end{array}$$

Then τ is a comonoid homomorphism.

Proof. Consider the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
M & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & M \otimes C_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \tau} & M \otimes C_2 \\
\rho_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \Delta_1 & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \Delta_2 \\
M \otimes C_1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_1 \otimes \text{id}_{C_1}} & M \otimes C_1 \otimes C_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \tau \otimes \tau} & M \otimes C_2 \otimes C_2
\end{array} \tag{4.8}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
M & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & M \otimes C_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \tau} & M \otimes C_2 \\
\parallel & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \varepsilon_1 & \swarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \varepsilon_2 & \\
M & \xrightarrow{\sim} & M \otimes \mathbb{1} & &
\end{array} \tag{4.9}$$

where the left squares and the outer, respectively, square and pentagon are commutative by the definition of a comodule. Then use the definition of a tensor epimorphism. □

Remark 4.21. Suppose that \mathcal{C} satisfies Properties 1, 4–7, 9 and 5a of Section 4.2. Theorem 4.19 and Proposition 4.20 imply that in the construction of $\text{supp } \rho$ via the limit (see $\text{supp}_0 \rho$ in Section 4.4) in the case of a comodule structure $\rho: M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ over a comonoid C it is sufficient to consider only comodule structures $\rho_1: C \rightarrow C \otimes Q_1$ for comonoids Q_1 and comonoid homomorphisms between Q_1 . (The limit $\lim T$ is still taken in \mathcal{C} .)

Example 4.22. In the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_k$, where k is a field, $\text{supp } \rho$ corresponds to taking the intersection of all such subcoalgebras that the map can be factored through them. Therefore $\text{supp } \rho$ coincides with the support defined in [3]. (See also Examples 4.10 (2).)

Now we are ready to interpret Theorem 4.19 and Proposition 4.20 in terms of the Lifting Problem.

Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. For a given object M in \mathcal{C} denote by $\mathbf{ComodStr}(M)$ the category where

- the objects are morphisms $\rho: M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ defining on M a comodule structure for some comonoid C ;
- the morphisms between $\rho_1: M \rightarrow M \otimes C_1$ and $\rho_2: M \rightarrow M \otimes C_2$ are comonoid homomorphisms $\tau: C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & M \otimes C_1 \\ & \searrow \rho_2 & \downarrow \text{id}_B \otimes \tau \\ & & M \otimes C_2 \end{array}$$

Denote by G the forgetful functor $\mathbf{ComodStr}(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{MorTens}(M, M)$.

Suppose that \mathcal{C} satisfies Properties 1, 4–7, 9 and 5a of Section 4.2. Fix a comodule structure $\rho: M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ over a comonoid C . Theorem 4.19 implies that $|\rho| = G\rho_0$ where ρ_0 is an object in $\mathbf{ComodStr}(M)$ such that $\rho_0 = |\rho|$ as a morphism in \mathcal{C} . By Proposition 4.20, the morphism ρ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 2.14 and, therefore, ρ_0 is an initial object in $\mathbf{ComodStr}(M)(|\rho|)$.

4.6. Universal comeasuring monoids. Fix Ω -magmas A and B in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Consider the category $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)$ where

- the objects are all comeasurings $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ for all monoids Q ;
- the morphisms from $\rho_1: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q_1$ to $\rho_2: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q_2$ are monoid homomorphisms $\varphi: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & B \otimes Q_1 \\ & \searrow \rho_2 & \downarrow \text{id}_B \otimes \varphi \\ & & B \otimes Q_2 \end{array}$$

Given a tensor epimorphism $\rho_U: A \rightarrow B \otimes U$ for some object U in \mathcal{C} , let us call the monoid $\mathcal{A}^\square(\rho_U)$ corresponding to the initial object $\rho_U^{\mathbf{Comeas}}: A \rightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{A}^\square(\rho_U)$ in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)(\rho_U)$ (if it exists) the U -universal comeasuring monoid from A to B .

Theorem 4.23. *Suppose that a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} satisfies Properties 1, 3, 4*, 5, 5*, 8, 10 of Section 4.2. Then there exists an initial object in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)(\rho_U)$ if $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)(\rho_U)$ is not empty. In other words, the Lifting Problem for the forgetful functor*

$$G_1: \mathbf{Comeas}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathbf{MorTens}(A, B)$$

has a solution for all such $\rho_U \in \text{LIO}(\mathbf{MorTens}(A, B))$.

We first describe the construction and then prove in several lemmas that this construction indeed provides the initial object in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)(\rho_U)$.

Recall that by $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$ we denote the left adjoint to the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and let $\eta_M: M \rightarrow \mathcal{F}M$ be the unit of this adjunction. Consider the category Λ where objects α correspond to all monoid homomorphisms $\tau_\alpha: \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow Q_\alpha$ such that they are epimorphisms in \mathcal{C} and the composition $(\mathrm{id}_B \otimes \tau_\alpha \eta_U) \rho_U$ is a comeasuring. The arrow $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ exists if and only if $\tau_\beta = \tau_{\alpha\beta} \tau_\alpha$ for some morphism $\tau_{\alpha\beta}: Q_\alpha \rightarrow Q_\beta$. We say that τ_α and τ_β are equivalent if $\tau_{\alpha\beta}$ is an isomorphism. Define the functor T as follows: $T\alpha = Q_\alpha$, $T(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) = \tau_{\alpha\beta}$ for every objects α, β in Λ . By Property 4*, the set of equivalence classes is small. Since in $\lim T$ it is sufficient to take only a single representative for each equivalence class, by Property 1, the limit $Q_0 := \lim T$ exists. Denote by $\varphi_\alpha: Q_0 \rightarrow Q_\alpha$ the limiting cone. Since epimorphisms $\tau_\alpha: \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow Q_\alpha$ form themselves a cone, there exists a unique morphism θ between the cones:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & \mathcal{F}U & \xrightarrow{\theta} & Q_0 \\ & & \searrow \tau_\alpha & & \downarrow \varphi_\alpha \\ & & & & Q_\alpha \end{array}$$

Property 5* implies that morphisms $\tau_{\alpha\beta}$ between different epimorphisms τ_α are monoid homomorphisms too. Therefore there exists a unique monoid structure on Q_0 making θ a monoid homomorphism.

Below we show that $(\mathrm{id}_B \otimes \theta \eta_U) \rho_U$ is the initial object in $\mathbf{Comeas}(\rho_U)$.

Lemma 4.24. $(\mathrm{id}_B \otimes \theta \eta_U) \rho_U$ is a comeasuring.

Proof. By the definition, a morphism is a comeasuring if for every $\omega \in \Omega$ a certain diagram is commutative. Fix $\omega \in \Omega$ and denote by σ_1 and σ_2 the two boundary compositions of the corresponding diagram for $(\mathrm{id}_B \otimes \eta_U) \rho_U$. It is possible that $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, but

$$\left(\mathrm{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \tau_\alpha \right) \sigma_1 = \left(\mathrm{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \tau_\alpha \right) \sigma_2 \quad (4.10)$$

for every α in Λ . Now it is sufficient to show that

$$\left(\mathrm{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \theta \right) \sigma_1 = \left(\mathrm{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \theta \right) \sigma_2. \quad (4.11)$$

Denote by Λ_0 a small set of equivalence classes representatives in Λ . Let φ be the unique morphism making the diagram below commutative (π_α are the corresponding projections, $\alpha \in \Lambda_0$):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}U & \xrightarrow{\theta} & Q_0 \\ \downarrow \tau_\alpha & \searrow \varphi_\alpha & \downarrow \varphi \\ Q_\alpha & \xleftarrow{\pi_\alpha} & \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} Q_\alpha \end{array}$$

Note that since Q_0 is a limit (as we have mentioned above, it is sufficient to take the limit just on Λ_0), φ is a monomorphism.

Define the morphisms \varkappa and τ as the unique morphisms making the diagram below commutative (now $\tilde{\pi}_\alpha$ are the corresponding projections):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
A^{s(\omega)} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} & B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \mathcal{F}U & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \theta} & B^{t(\omega)} \otimes Q_0 \\
& \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} & \downarrow \text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \tau_\alpha & & \downarrow \text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \varphi \\
& & B^{t(\omega)} \otimes Q_\alpha & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \pi_\alpha} & B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} Q_\alpha \\
& \swarrow \tau_1 & \uparrow \tilde{\pi}_\alpha & \searrow \varkappa & \\
& & \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} B^{t(\omega)} \otimes Q_\alpha & &
\end{array}$$

By Property 8 the morphism \varkappa is a monomorphism. Moreover, (4.10) implies

$$\tau\sigma_1 = \tau\sigma_2.$$

Hence

$$\varkappa \left(\text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \varphi \theta \right) \sigma_1 = \varkappa \left(\text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \varphi \theta \right) \sigma_2.$$

Since both \varkappa and $\text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \varphi$ are monomorphisms, we get (4.11). As a consequence, $(\text{id}_B \otimes \theta \eta_U) \rho_U$ is a comeasuring too. \square

Lemma 4.25. *If for some monoid homomorphism $f: \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow Q$ the morphism*

$$(\text{id}_B \otimes f \eta_U) \rho_U$$

is a comeasuring and $f = i\pi$ is the (Epi, ExtrMono)-factorization of f , then

$$(\text{id}_B \otimes \pi \eta_U) \rho_U$$

is a comeasuring too.

Proof. Recall that by Proposition 4.4 both i and π are monoid homomorphisms.

Again we have to show that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ a certain diagram is commutative. Fix $\omega \in \Omega$ and denote by σ_1 and σ_2 the two boundary compositions of the corresponding diagram for $(\text{id}_B \otimes \eta_U) \rho_U$. We know that

$$\left(\text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes f \right) \sigma_1 = \left(\text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes f \right) \sigma_2.$$

Hence

$$\left(\text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes i\pi \right) \sigma_1 = \left(\text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes i\pi \right) \sigma_2$$

and

$$\left(\text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \pi \right) \sigma_1 = \left(\text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes \pi \right) \sigma_2$$

since by Property 5 the morphism $\text{id}_B^{t(\omega)} \otimes i$ is a monomorphism. Therefore, $(\text{id}_B \otimes \pi \eta_U) \rho_U$ is a comeasuring too. \square

Lemma 4.26. *θ is an epimorphism in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. Consider the (Epi, ExtrMono)-factorization $\theta = i\pi$, which exists by Property 3. By Lemma 4.25 the morphism $(\text{id}_B \otimes \pi \eta_U) \rho_U$ is a comeasuring too. Therefore $\pi = \tau_\beta$ for some β from Λ and for every α from Λ we have $\tau_\alpha = (\varphi_\alpha i) \tau_\beta$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
U & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & \mathcal{F}U & \xrightarrow{\tau_\beta} & Q_\beta & \xrightarrow{i} & Q_0 \\
& & & \searrow \tau_\alpha & & \swarrow \varphi_\alpha & \\
& & & & & & Q_\alpha
\end{array}$$

Hence Q_β is a limit of the functor T too, i is an isomorphism and θ is an epimorphism. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.23. Let $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ be a comeasuring such that $\rho = (\text{id}_B \otimes \tau)\rho_U$ for some morphism $\tau: U \rightarrow Q$. There exists a unique monoid homomorphism $\sigma: \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow Q$ such that $\tau = \sigma\eta_U$. Now consider the (Epi, ExtrMono)-factorization $\sigma = i\pi$. By Lemma 4.25 the morphism $(\text{id}_B \otimes \pi\eta_U)\rho_U$ is a comeasuring too. Therefore $\pi = \tau_\alpha$ for some α from Λ and $\rho = (\text{id}_B \otimes i\varphi_\alpha)(\text{id}_B \otimes \theta\eta_U)\rho_U$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
B \otimes U & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_B \otimes \eta_U} & B \otimes \mathcal{F}U & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_B \otimes \theta} & B \otimes Q_0 \\
\uparrow \text{id}_B \otimes \rho_U & \searrow \text{id}_B \otimes \tau & \downarrow \text{id}_B \otimes \sigma & \searrow \text{id}_B \otimes \tau_\alpha & \downarrow \text{id}_B \otimes \varphi_\alpha \\
A & \xrightarrow{\rho} & B \otimes Q & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_B \otimes i} & B \otimes Q_\alpha
\end{array}$$

Suppose now that there exists another monoid morphism $g: Q_0 \rightarrow Q$ such that

$$\rho = (\text{id}_B \otimes g)(\text{id}_B \otimes \theta\eta_U)\rho_U.$$

Recall that ρ_U is a tensor epimorphism. Hence

$$g\theta\eta_U = i\varphi_\alpha\theta\eta_U.$$

By the universal property of η_U we have

$$g\theta = i\varphi_\alpha\theta.$$

Lemma 4.26 implies that $g = i\varphi_\alpha$. Hence the morphism is unique and $(\text{id}_B \otimes \theta\eta_U)\rho_U$ is indeed an initial object in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)(\rho_U)$. \square

Example 4.27. In the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$ there exist maps $\varphi_U: A \rightarrow B$ and $\psi_U: A \rightarrow U$ such that $\rho_U(a) = (\varphi_U(a), \psi_U(a))$ for every $a \in A$. The map ρ_U is a tensor epimorphism if and only if ψ_U is surjective. By Example 3.8, $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)(\rho_U)$ is not empty only if φ_U is an Ω -magma homomorphism. (Below in Remark 4.28 we show that the converse is true too.) Consider the case when Ω is from Example 3.5 (2) and A and B are ordinary monoids. Suppose that indeed φ_U is a monoid homomorphism. Then $\mathcal{A}^\square(\rho_U)$ is isomorphic to A factored by the congruence generated by the kernel equivalence relation of ψ_U . If we take $\psi_U = \text{id}_A$, then $\mathcal{A}^\square(\rho_U) \cong A$ and $\rho_U^{\mathbf{Comeas}} = (\varphi_U, \text{id}_A)$ is universal among all comeasurings $\rho: A \rightarrow B \times Q$ such that $\rho = (\varphi_U, \psi)$ for some map $\psi: A \rightarrow Q$. (Recall that by Example 3.8 such ρ is a comeasuring if and only if ψ is a monoid homomorphism.)

Remark 4.28. Suppose that there exists a terminal object T in \mathcal{C} and the object $B \otimes T$ is again terminal for every object B . Note that the unique morphisms $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow T$ and $T \otimes T \rightarrow T$ define on T the structure of a monoid as all the corresponding diagrams are trivially commutative since T is a terminal object. Denote by ρ_T the unique comeasuring $A \rightarrow B \otimes T$. Then ρ_T is a terminal object in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)(\rho_U)$.

Note that the above conditions hold for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_k$, but do not hold for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$, since $\{*\}$ is a terminal object in \mathbf{Sets} , but $B \times \{*\}$ is isomorphic to $\{*\}$ if and only if B consists of a single element. However, a terminal object in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)(\rho_U)$ still exists if $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)(\rho_U)$ is not empty. As we have already mentioned in Example 4.27, $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)(\rho_U)$ is not empty only if $\rho_U = (\varphi_U, \psi_U)$ for some map $\psi_U: A \rightarrow U$ and an Ω -magma homomorphism $\varphi_U: A \rightarrow B$. Assume that this is the case. Then $\rho_T: A \rightarrow B \times \{*\}$,

where $\rho_T(a) := (\varphi_U, *)$, is a measuring, which is a terminal object in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, B)(\rho_U)$ for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$.

4.7. Universal coacting bimonoids. Fix an Ω -magma A in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Consider the category $\mathbf{Coact}(A)$ where

- the objects are all coactions $\rho: A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ for all bimonoids B ;
- the morphisms from $\rho_1: A \rightarrow A \otimes B_1$ to $\rho_2: A \rightarrow A \otimes B_2$ are bimonoid homomorphisms $\varphi: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & A \otimes B_1 \\ & \searrow \rho_2 & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \varphi \\ & & A \otimes B_2 \end{array}$$

Let U be a comonoid and let a tensor epimorphism $\rho_U: A \rightarrow A \otimes U$ define on A a structure of a U -comodule. Let us call the bimonoid corresponding to the initial object in $\mathbf{Coact}(A)(\rho_U)$ (if it exists) the U -universal coacting bimonoid on A .

Remark 4.29. Recall that by Proposition 4.20 the category $\mathbf{Coact}(A)(\rho_U)$ with respect to the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Coact}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{ComodStr}(A)$ coincides with the category $\mathbf{Coact}(A)(G\rho_U)$ with respect to the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Coact}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{MorTens}(A, A)$ where G is the forgetful functor $\mathbf{ComodStr}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{MorTens}(A, A)$.

It was noticed by M. Sweedler [26] that the universal measuring coalgebra for $A = B$ is in fact a universal acting bialgebra. Here we apply this idea to lift initial objects to subcategories containing certain comeasurings.

We say that a subcategory \mathcal{D} of $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)$ is *closed under coarsenings* if for every object $\rho: A \rightarrow A \otimes Q_1$ in \mathcal{D} and every monoid homomorphism $\tau: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$, where Q_2 is a monoid in \mathcal{C} , the comeasuring $(\text{id}_A \otimes \tau)\rho: A \rightarrow A \otimes Q_2$ is again an object in \mathcal{D} .

Theorem 4.30. *Let A be an Ω -magma in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Let \mathcal{D} be a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)$ such that there exists an initial object $\rho_0: A \rightarrow A \otimes B_0$ in \mathcal{D} . Denote by $\mathbf{Coact}(A)(\mathcal{D})$ the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Coact}(A)$ consisting of all the objects whose images under the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Coact}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)$ belong to \mathcal{D} . Suppose that \mathcal{D} is closed under coarsenings and $A \xrightarrow{\sim} A \otimes \mathbb{1}$ and $(\rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0})\rho_0$ are objects in \mathcal{D} too. Then the monoid B_0 admits a unique comonoid structure turning ρ_0 into a coaction, which is the initial object in $\mathbf{Coact}(A)(\mathcal{D})$.*

Proof. By our assumptions, $(\rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0})\rho_0$ is an object in \mathcal{D} . Hence there exists a unique monoid homomorphism $\Delta_0: B_0 \rightarrow B_0 \otimes B_0$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & A \otimes B_0 \\ \rho_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0 \\ A \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0}} & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \end{array}$$

Now we define the comultiplication in B_0 to be equal to Δ_0 .

In order to define the counit, we consider the morphism

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} A \otimes \mathbb{1},$$

which is an object in \mathcal{D} by the assumptions of the theorem too. There exists a unique monoid homomorphism $\varepsilon_0: B_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & A \otimes B_0 \\ & \searrow \sim & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \varepsilon_0 \\ & & A \otimes \mathbb{1} \end{array}$$

We define the counit in B_0 to be equal to ε_0 .

Now we have to prove that the comultiplication Δ_0 is coassociative and that ε_0 and Δ_0 indeed satisfy the counit axioms.

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & A \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0}} & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \\ \rho_0 \downarrow & \searrow \rho_0 & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0} & \downarrow \rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0} \\ A \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0}} & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0}} & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \\ & \searrow \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0 & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0} & \downarrow \rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0 \otimes B_0} \\ & & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0}} & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_{B_0} \otimes \Delta_0 & & \downarrow \rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0 \otimes B_0} \\ & & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_{B_0} \otimes \Delta_0} & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \end{array}$$

The right face is commutative since it is the definition of Δ_0 tensored by id_{B_0} . The commutativity of the left, the upper and the rear face follows from the definition of Δ_0 too. The front face is commutative since both compositions are equal to $\rho_0 \otimes \Delta_0$. Therefore the compositions of the lower face being composed with ρ_0 are equal too. Now the universal property of B_0 implies the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_0 & \xrightarrow{\Delta_0} & B_0 \otimes B_0 \\ \downarrow \Delta_0 & & \downarrow \Delta_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0} \\ B_0 \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{B_0} \otimes \Delta_0} & B_0 \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \end{array}$$

and the coassociativity follows.

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & A \otimes B_0 & & \\ \rho_0 \downarrow & \searrow \rho_0 & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0} & \downarrow \rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0} \\ A \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & A \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0} & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \\ & \searrow \sim & \downarrow \sim & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \varepsilon_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0}} & \downarrow \sim \\ & & A \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes B_0 & & \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the upper face and the left face is obvious. The commutativity of the right face follows from the definition of ε_0 and the commutativity of the rear face follows from the definition of Δ_0 . Therefore, the monoid homomorphisms forming the lower face

become equal after their composition with ρ_0 . Now universal property of B_0 implies the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_0 & \xrightarrow{\Delta_0} & B_0 \otimes B_0 \\ & \searrow \sim & \swarrow \varepsilon_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0} \\ & & \mathbb{1} \otimes B_0 \end{array}$$

Hence ε_0 satisfies the left counit axiom.

Analogously, if we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & A \otimes B_0 & & \\ \downarrow \rho_0 & \searrow \sim & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \varepsilon_0 & & \downarrow \rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0} \\ A & & A \otimes \mathbb{1} & & A \otimes B_0 \\ \downarrow \rho_0 & & \downarrow \rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}} & & \downarrow \rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0} \\ A \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A \otimes \mathbb{1} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0} & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0 & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_{B_0} \otimes \varepsilon_0 \\ & & A \otimes B_0 \otimes \mathbb{1} & & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \\ & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ & & A \otimes B_0 \otimes \mathbb{1} & & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 \end{array}$$

we get the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_0 & \xrightarrow{\Delta_0} & B_0 \otimes B_0 \\ & \searrow \sim & \swarrow \text{id}_{B_0} \otimes \varepsilon_0 \\ & & B_0 \otimes \mathbb{1} \end{array}$$

Hence ε_0 satisfies the right counit axiom and B_0 is indeed a bimonoid.

Suppose B is another bimonoid and $\rho: A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ is a coaction that is an object in $\mathbf{Coact}(A)(\mathcal{D})$. Denote by $\varphi: B_0 \rightarrow B$ the unique monoid homomorphism making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & A \otimes B_0 \\ & \searrow \rho & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \varphi \\ & & A \otimes B \end{array}$$

commutative. We claim that φ is a bimonoid homomorphism.

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & A \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \varphi} & A \otimes B \\ \downarrow \rho_0 & \searrow \rho & \downarrow \rho_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_0} & \downarrow \rho & \downarrow \rho \otimes \text{id}_B \\ A \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \varphi} & A \otimes B \\ \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0 & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_0 & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta \\ A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A \otimes B_0 \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi} & A \otimes B \otimes B \end{array}$$

where $\Delta: B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ is the comultiplication in B . The upper face and the rear face are commutative by the definition of φ . The front face is commutative since it coincides with

the upper face (and the rear face) tensored by φ from the right. The left and the right faces are commutative since both ρ and ρ_0 define on A the structure of a right comodule.

Hence, after the composition with ρ_0 , the lower face becomes commutative too and the universal property of B_0 implies the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B \\ \Delta_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ B_0 \otimes B_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes \varphi} & B \otimes B \end{array}$$

Therefore φ preserves the comultiplication.

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & & \\ & \rho & \downarrow \rho_0 & \sim & \\ & & A \otimes B_0 & & \\ & \swarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \varphi & & \searrow \text{id}_A \otimes \varepsilon_0 & \\ A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \varepsilon} & & & A \otimes \mathbb{1} \end{array}$$

where ε is the counit in B .

The large triangle and the right triangle are commutative by the counitality of the comodule structures on A . The left triangle is commutative by the definition of φ . Hence the lower triangle becomes commutative after the composition with ρ_0 . Thus the universal property of B_0 implies the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B \\ \varepsilon_0 \searrow & & \swarrow \varepsilon \\ & \mathbb{1} & \end{array}$$

Therefore φ preserves the counit and is indeed a bimonoid homomorphism. \square

Now we prove sufficient conditions for $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)(\rho_U)$ to satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 4.30.

Lemma 4.31. *Let $\rho_{ij}: A_i \rightarrow A_i \otimes Q_j$ be morphisms for some objects A_i and monoids Q_j in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. Then*

$$((\rho_{11} \otimes \text{id}_{Q_2})\rho_{12}) \tilde{\otimes} ((\rho_{21} \otimes \text{id}_{Q_2})\rho_{22}) = ((\rho_{11} \tilde{\otimes} \rho_{21}) \otimes \text{id}_{Q_2})(\rho_{12} \tilde{\otimes} \rho_{22})$$

where $\tilde{\otimes}$ are the monoidal products in the categories $\mathbf{MorTens}(Q_1 \otimes Q_2)$, $\mathbf{MorTens}(Q_1)$ and $\mathbf{MorTens}(Q_2)$, respectively. (See Section 3.5.)

Proof. Use the properties of the braiding. \square

Lemma 4.32. *If $\rho_1: A \rightarrow A \otimes Q_1$ and $\rho_2: A \rightarrow A \otimes Q_2$ are comeasurings for some Ω -magma A and monoids Q_1 and Q_2 in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} , then $(\rho_1 \otimes \text{id}_{Q_2})\rho_2$ and $A \xrightarrow{\sim} A \otimes \mathbb{1}$ are comeasurings too.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.31 inductively, we get

$$((\rho_1 \otimes \text{id}_{Q_2})\rho_2)^{\tilde{\otimes} m} = (\rho_1^{\tilde{\otimes} m} \otimes \text{id}_{Q_2})\rho_2^{\tilde{\otimes} m}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Therefore, $(\rho_1 \otimes \text{id}_{Q_2})\rho_2$ is a comeasuring.

The coherence theorem for braided monoidal categories implies that $A \xrightarrow{\sim} A \otimes \mathbb{1}$ is a comeasuring too. \square

Lemma 4.33. *Let A be an Ω -magma in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} and let a tensor epimorphism $\rho_U: A \rightarrow A \otimes U$ define on A a structure of a U -comodule for some comonoid U . Then the morphism $A \xrightarrow{\sim} A \otimes \mathbb{1}$ is an object in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)(\rho_U)$. Moreover, if $\rho_1: A \rightarrow A \otimes Q_1$ and $\rho_2: A \rightarrow A \otimes Q_2$ are objects in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)(\rho_U)$, then $(\rho_1 \otimes \text{id}_{Q_2})\rho_2$ is an object in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)(\rho_U)$ too.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.32, $A \xrightarrow{\sim} A \otimes \mathbb{1}$ is a comeasuring. By the definition of a comodule the diagram below is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & A \otimes U \\ & \nearrow \rho_U & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \varepsilon_U \\ A & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A \otimes \mathbb{1} \end{array}$$

(Here ε_U is the counit in U .) Hence $A \xrightarrow{\sim} A \otimes \mathbb{1}$ is indeed an object in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)(\rho_U)$.

By Lemma 4.32, the morphism $(\rho_1 \otimes \text{id}_{Q_2})\rho_2$ is a comeasuring. Recall that from the definition of $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)(\rho_U)$ it follows that there exist such morphisms τ_i that $\rho_i = (\text{id}_A \otimes \tau_i)\rho_U$ where $i = 1, 2$. Denote the comultiplication in U by Δ_U . Then from the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A \otimes U & & \\ & \nearrow \rho_U & \searrow \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_U & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\rho_U} & A \otimes U & \xrightarrow{\rho_U \otimes \text{id}_U} & A \otimes U \otimes U \\ & \searrow \rho_2 & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \tau_2 & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2 \\ & & A \otimes Q_2 & \xrightarrow{\rho_1 \otimes \text{id}_{Q_2}} & A \otimes Q_1 \otimes Q_2 \end{array}$$

it follows that

$$(\rho_1 \otimes \text{id}_{Q_2})\rho_2 = (\text{id}_A \otimes (\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2)\Delta_U)\rho_U$$

and $(\rho_1 \otimes \text{id}_{Q_2})\rho_2$ is indeed an object in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)(\rho_U)$. \square

Theorem 4.34. *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided monoidal category and let $\rho_U: A \rightarrow A \otimes U$ be a tensor epimorphism defining on an Ω -magma A a structure of a U -comodule for a comonoid U such that there exists $\mathcal{A}^\square(\rho_U)$. Then $\mathcal{B}^\square(\rho_U) := \mathcal{A}^\square(\rho_U)$ admits a unique comonoid structure turning $\rho_U^{\mathbf{Coact}} := \rho_U^{\mathbf{Comeas}}$ into a coaction, which is the initial object in $\mathbf{Coact}(A)(\rho_U)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.33 the morphisms $(\rho_U^{\mathbf{Coact}} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}^\square(\rho_U)})\rho_U^{\mathbf{Coact}}$ and $A \xrightarrow{\sim} A \otimes \mathbb{1}$ are objects in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)(\rho_U)$. Now we apply Theorem 4.30 to the case $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)(G\rho_U)$. \square

Remark 4.35. Consider the following diagram consisting of forgetful functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Coact}(A) & \xrightarrow{G_3} & \mathbf{Comeas}(A, A) \\ G_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow G_1 \\ \mathbf{ComodStr}(A) & \xrightarrow{G} & \mathbf{MorTens}(A, A) \end{array}$$

Theorem 4.34 asserts that if for some object ρ_U in $\mathbf{ComodStr}(A)$ we have

$$G\rho_U \in \text{LIO}(\mathbf{MorTens}(A, A))$$

and there exists an initial object $\rho_U^{\mathbf{Comeas}}$ in $\mathbf{Comeas}(A, A)(G\rho_U)$, then there exists an initial object $\rho_U^{\mathbf{Coact}}$ in

$$\mathbf{Coact}(A)(\rho_U) = \mathbf{Coact}(A)(G\rho_U)$$

such that $G_3\rho_U^{\mathbf{Coact}} = \rho_U^{\mathbf{Comeas}}$.

Corollary 4.36. *Suppose that a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} satisfies Properties 1, 3, 4*, 5, 5*, 8, 10 of Section 4.2. Let $\rho_U: A \rightarrow A \otimes U$ be a tensor epimorphism defining on an Ω -magma A a structure of a U -comodule for a comonoid U . Then $\mathcal{B}^\square(\rho_U) := \mathcal{A}^\square(\rho_U)$ admits a unique comonoid structure turning $\rho_U^{\mathbf{Coact}} := \rho_U^{\mathbf{Comeas}}$ into a coaction, which is the initial object in $\mathbf{Coact}(A)(\rho_U)$.*

Proof. Apply Theorems 4.23 and 4.34. □

Remark 4.37. Note that $A \xrightarrow{\sim} A \otimes \mathbb{1}$ is a terminal object in $\mathbf{Coact}(A)(\rho_U)$, since for every bimonoid B there exists the only bimonoid homomorphism $B \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$, namely, the counit ε .

Examples 4.38.

- (1) Let A and B be finite dimensional Ω -algebras over a field \mathbb{k} with bases a_1, \dots, a_m and b_1, \dots, b_n , respectively.

Denote by U the vector space with the formal basis $(u_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}}$. Define the linear map $\rho_U: A \rightarrow B \otimes U$ by

$$\rho_U(a_j) := \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \otimes u_{ij} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

By Example 4.10 (2), ρ_U is a tensor epimorphism.

For every linear map $\rho: A \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ we have $\rho = (\text{id}_A \otimes \tau)\rho_U$ where the linear map $\tau: U \rightarrow Q$ and elements $q_{ij} \in Q$ are defined by $\tau(u_{ij}) := q_{ij}$ and

$$\rho(a_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \otimes q_{ij} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

By Theorem 4.23 there exists the universal comeasuring algebra $\mathcal{A}^\square(\rho_U)$, which is universal among all comeasurings. Here we recover Tambara's algebra $\alpha(B, A)$ [28].

If $A = B$, we can define on U the structure of a coalgebra: $\Delta(u_{ij}) := \sum_{k=1}^m u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}$,

$\varepsilon(u_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$ where δ_{ij} is Kronecker's delta. Then A becomes a U -comodule and $\mathcal{B}^\square(\rho_U) = \mathcal{A}^\square(\rho_U)$ is the universal coacting bialgebra on A ;

- (2) Let $A = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{(k)}$ be an associative \mathbb{Z} -graded unital algebra over some field \mathbb{k} such that $\dim A^{(k)} < +\infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Choose bases $a_i^{(k)}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n_k$, in each component $A^{(k)}$. Let U be a vector space with basis $u_{ij}^{(k)}$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq n_k$. Define the linear map $\rho_U: A \rightarrow B \otimes U$ by

$$\rho_U(a_j^{(k)}) := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{(k)} \otimes u_{ij}^{(k)} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

By Example 4.10 (2), ρ_U is a tensor epimorphism and for every linear map $\rho: A \rightarrow A \otimes Q$ such that

$$\rho(A^{(k)}) \subseteq A^{(k)} \otimes Q \text{ for every } k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

there exists a linear map $\tau: U \rightarrow Q$ such that $\rho = (\text{id}_A \otimes \tau)\rho_U$. Indeed, we define the elements $q_{ij}^{(k)} \in Q$ by

$$\rho(a_j^{(k)}) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \otimes q_{ij}^{(k)} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq n_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then we put $\tau \left(u_{ij}^{(k)} \right) := q_{ij}^{(k)}$. We can again define on U the structure of a coalgebra: $\Delta \left(u_{ij}^{(k)} \right) := \sum_{\ell=1}^m u_{i\ell}^{(k)} \otimes u_{\ell j}^{(k)}$, $\varepsilon \left(u_{ij}^{(k)} \right) = \delta_{ij}$, and A becomes a U -comodule. By Theorem 4.23 there exists the bialgebra $\mathcal{B}^\square(\rho_U)$ which is universal among all bialgebras that coact on A preserving the grading. The bialgebra $\mathcal{B}^\square(\rho_U)$ is Yu. I. Manin's universal coacting bialgebra $\underline{\text{end}}(A)$ [22];

- (3) Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$ and let A be an ordinary monoid. A map $\rho_U: A \rightarrow A \times U$ endows A with a structure of a U -comodule for a comonoid U (recall that comonoids in U are just sets endowed with the diagonal maps) if and only if $\rho_U = (\text{id}_A, \psi_U)$ for some map $\psi_U: A \rightarrow U$. Hence Example 2 implies that the bimonoid $\mathcal{B}^\square(\rho_U)$ is just the monoid A factored by the congruence generated by the kernel equivalence relation of ψ_U . If $\psi_U = \text{id}_A$, then $\mathcal{B}^\square(\rho_U) \cong A$ and $\rho_U^{\mathbf{Coact}}$ is just a diagonal map $A \rightarrow A \times A$, which is universal among all comodule structures on A .

4.8. Universal coacting Hopf monoids. Again fix an Ω -magma A in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Consider the category $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)$ where

- the objects are all coactions $\rho: A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ for all Hopf monoids H ;
- the morphisms from $\rho_1: A \rightarrow A \otimes H_1$ to $\rho_2: A \rightarrow A \otimes H_2$ are Hopf monoid homomorphisms $\varphi: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & A \otimes H_1 \\ & \searrow \rho_2 & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \varphi \\ & & A \otimes H_2 \end{array}$$

Let U be a comonoid and let a tensor epimorphism $\rho_U: A \rightarrow A \otimes U$ define on A a structure of a U -comodule. We call the Hopf monoid corresponding to the initial object in $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)(\rho_U)$ (if it exists) the U -universal coacting Hopf monoid on A .

It turns out that in the case when there exist free Hopf monoids, one can lift initial objects with respect to the forgetful functor $\mathbf{HCoact}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{Coact}(A)$:

Theorem 4.39. *Let A be an Ω -magma in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Let \mathcal{D} be a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Coact}(A)$ such that there exists an initial object in \mathcal{D} . Denote by $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)(\mathcal{D})$ the full subcategory of $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)$ consisting of all the objects whose images under the forgetful functor $\mathbf{HCoact}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{Coact}(A)$ belong to \mathcal{D} . Suppose that the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$ admits a left adjoint functor $H_l: \mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$. Then there exists an initial object in $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)(\mathcal{D})$.*

Proof. Let $\rho_0: A \rightarrow A \otimes B_0$ be an initial object in \mathcal{D} . Denote $H_0 := H_l B_0$ and define the coaction $\tilde{\rho}_0: A \rightarrow A \otimes H_0$ by $\tilde{\rho}_0 := (\text{id}_A \otimes \eta_{B_0})\rho_0$ where $\eta_B: B \rightarrow H_l B$ is the unit of the adjunction. Then for any Hopf monoid H and any coaction $\rho: A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ from $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)(\mathcal{D})$ there exists a unique Hopf monoid homomorphism φ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & A \otimes B_0 \\ & \nearrow \rho_0 & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \eta_{B_0} \\ A & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_0} & A \otimes H_0 \\ & \searrow \rho & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \varphi \\ & & A \otimes H \end{array}$$

Hence $\tilde{\rho}_0$ is the initial object in $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)(\mathcal{D})$. □

Theorem 4.40. *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided monoidal category and let $\rho_U: A \rightarrow A \otimes U$ be a tensor epimorphism defining on an Ω -magma A a structure of a U -comodule for a comonoid U . Suppose that the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$ admits a left adjoint functor $H_l: \mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$ and there exists $\mathcal{B}^\square(\rho_U)$. Then the initial object in $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)(\rho_U)$ indeed exists.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.39 for $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{Coact}(A)(\rho_U)$. \square

Corollary 4.41. *Suppose that a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} satisfies Properties 1–3, 4*, 5, 5*, 8, 10 of Section 4.2. Let $\rho_U: A \rightarrow A \otimes U$ be a tensor epimorphism defining on an Ω -magma A a structure of a U -comodule for a comonoid U in \mathcal{C} . Then the initial object in $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)(\rho_U)$ indeed exists.*

Proof. Apply Theorems 4.6, 4.40 and Corollary 4.36. \square

Remark 4.42. Again, $A \xrightarrow{\sim} A \otimes \mathbb{1}$ is a terminal object in $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)(\rho_U)$, since for every Hopf monoid H there exists the only Hopf monoid homomorphism $H \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$, namely, the counit ε .

Examples 4.43.

- (1) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_k$, A is an Ω -algebra over a field k and U and ρ_U are, respectively, the coalgebra and the linear map from Example 4.38 (1), then the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}^\square(\rho_U)$ is the universal coacting Hopf algebra on A ;
- (2) Let $A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{(n)}$ be an associative \mathbb{Z} -graded unital algebra such that $\dim A^{(n)} < +\infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If U and ρ_U are, respectively, the coalgebra and the linear map from Example 4.38 (2), then $\mathcal{H}^\square(\rho_U)$ is exactly Yu. I. Manin's universal coacting Hopf algebra $\underline{\text{aut}}(A)$ [22];
- (3) Let $\rho: A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ be some Hopf monoid coaction on an Ω -magma A with an absolute value $|\rho|: A \rightarrow A \otimes (\text{supp } \rho)$ in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} satisfying Properties 1–10, 4*, 5* and 5a of Section 4.2. Then by Propositions 2.10 and 2.13 the $\mathcal{H}^\square(|\rho|)$ -coaction $|\rho|^{\mathbf{HCoact}}$ on A is equivalent to ρ and is universal among all coactions equivalent to or coarser than ρ . We call $\mathcal{H}^\square(|\rho|)$ the universal Hopf monoid of ρ and in the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_k$ for a field k this Hopf monoid is exactly the universal Hopf algebra of ρ introduced in [3];
- (4) Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$. Recall that $\mathbf{Bimon}(\mathbf{Sets}) = \mathbf{Mon}$, the category of ordinary monoids, and $\mathbf{Hopf}(\mathbf{Sets}) = \mathbf{Grp}$, the category of groups. (The comultiplication in the objects is the diagonal map.) Recall that the left adjoint functor H_l assigns to each monoid its Grothendieck group (see Example 4.8). As before, denote by η the unit of this adjunction. Let A be an ordinary monoid. By Theorem 4.40, $\mathcal{H}^\square(\rho_U) = H_l(\mathcal{B}^\square(\rho_U))$. Recall that by Example 4.38 (3) the monoid $\mathcal{B}^\square(\rho_U)$ is just the monoid A factored by the congruence generated by the kernel equivalence relation of ψ_U where $\psi_U: A \rightarrow U$ is the map defined by $\rho_U = (\text{id}_A, \psi_U)$. If $\psi_U = \text{id}_A$, then $\mathcal{H}^\square(\rho_U)$ is isomorphic to the Grothendieck group $H_l A$ of A itself and $\rho_U^{\mathbf{HCoact}} = (\text{id}_A, \eta_A)$. This action $\rho_U^{\mathbf{HCoact}}: A \rightarrow A \times H_l A$ is universal among all group comodule structures on A .

Remark 4.44. Consider the following diagram consisting of forgetful functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{HCoact}(A) & & \\
 \downarrow G_4 & & \\
 \mathbf{Coact}(A) & \xrightarrow{G_3} & \mathbf{Comeas}(A, A) \\
 \downarrow G_2 & & \downarrow G_1 \\
 \mathbf{ComodStr}(A) & \xrightarrow{G} & \mathbf{MorTens}(A, A)
 \end{array}$$

Theorem 4.40 asserts that if for some object ρ_U in $\mathbf{ComodStr}(A)$ we have $G\rho_U \in \mathbf{LIO}(\mathbf{MorTens}(A, A))$ and there exists an initial object $\rho_U^{\mathbf{Coact}}$ in $\mathbf{Coact}(A)(\rho_U) = \mathbf{Coact}(A)(G\rho_U)$, then there exists an initial object $\rho_U^{\mathbf{HCoact}}$ in $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)(\rho_U) = \mathbf{HCoact}(A)(G\rho_U)$. In other words, the theorem makes it possible to lift such locally initial objects from $\mathbf{Coact}(A)$ to $\mathbf{HCoact}(A)$.

5. EXISTENCE THEOREMS FOR COSUPPORTS AND UNIVERSAL ACTING BI- AND HOPF MONOIDS

In this section we introduce concepts and list results dual to those of Section 4.

5.1. Conditions on the base category. Consider a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} with a monoidal product \otimes , a braiding $c_{M,N}: M \otimes N \xrightarrow{\sim} N \otimes M$ and natural isomorphisms $a_{L,M,N}: (L \otimes M) \otimes N \xrightarrow{\sim} L \otimes (M \otimes N)$, $l_M: \mathbb{1} \otimes M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ and $r_M: M \otimes \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} M$.

Denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op,op}}$ (here the first “op” means that we consider the opposite category and the second “op” means that we consider the opposite monoidal product) the braided monoidal category that is isomorphic to the opposite category \mathcal{C}^{op} as an ordinary category, with the monoidal product $A \otimes^{\text{op,op}} B := B \otimes A$, the braiding $c_{M,N}^{\text{op,op}} := c_{M,N}$ and the natural isomorphisms $a_{L,M,N}^{\text{op,op}} := a_{N,M,L}$, $l_M^{\text{op,op}} := r_M^{-1}$ and $r_M^{\text{op,op}} := l_M^{-1}$.

Note that $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op,op}}$ satisfies Properties 1–10, 4*, 5* and 5a of Section 4.2 if and only if the original category \mathcal{C} satisfies the following properties:

- (1*) there exist all small colimits in \mathcal{C} ;
- (2*) there exist finite and countable limits in \mathcal{C} ;
- (3*) \mathcal{C} is (ExtrEpi, Mono)-structured;
- (4) \mathcal{C} is wellpowered;
- (4*) \mathcal{C} is cowellpowered;
- (5) for every monomorphism f and every object M both $f \otimes \text{id}_M$ and $\text{id}_M \otimes f$ are monomorphisms too;
- (5*) for every epimorphism f and every object M both $f \otimes \text{id}_M$ and $\text{id}_M \otimes f$ are epimorphisms too;
- (5a*) for every extremal epimorphism f the morphism $f \otimes f$ is an extremal epimorphism too;
- (6*) for every object M the functor $(-) \otimes M$ preserves colimits of extremal quotient objects in \mathcal{C} (see Remark 5.1 below);
- (7*) for every pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ B & \xrightarrow{t} & P \end{array}$$

where f is an arbitrary epimorphism and g is an arbitrary morphism having the same domain A (recall that in this case h is automatically an epimorphism too) the diagram below is a pushout too:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes M & \xrightarrow{g \otimes \text{id}_M} & C \otimes M \\ f \otimes \text{id}_M \downarrow & & \downarrow h \otimes \text{id}_M \\ B \otimes M & \xrightarrow{t \otimes \text{id}_M} & P \otimes M \end{array}$$

(8*) for any nonempty small set Λ and any objects M and A_α , where $\alpha \in \Lambda$, the unique morphism φ making the diagram below commutative, is an epimorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (A_\alpha \otimes M) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \left(\coprod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha \right) \otimes M \\ & \swarrow i_\alpha \quad \searrow i_\alpha \otimes \text{id}_M & \\ & A_\alpha \otimes M & \end{array}$$

(here $i_\alpha: A_\alpha \rightarrow \coprod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha$ and $\tilde{i}_\alpha: A_\alpha \otimes M \rightarrow \coprod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha \otimes M$ are the morphisms from the definition of the coproduct, $\alpha \in \Lambda$);

(9*) for every object M the functor $(-) \otimes M$ preserves all coequalizers;

(10*) the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark 5.1. Properties 1* and 4* imply that there exist colimits of any families of quotient objects, i.e. if $\varphi_\alpha: A \rightarrow B_\alpha$ are epimorphisms for some set Λ and objects A, B_α , where $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then there exists $\text{colim } T$ where $T: \Lambda \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $\Lambda \cup \{0\}$ is the category with the class of objects $\Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and either only the arrows $0 \rightarrow \alpha$ or, in addition, some arrows $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ such that $\varphi_\beta = \varphi_{\alpha\beta} \varphi_\alpha$ for some morphism $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}: B_\alpha \rightarrow B_\beta$ (the resulting colim T will not depend on whether we include $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ or not) and the functor T is defined as follows: $T\alpha = B_\alpha$, $T0 = A$, $T(0 \rightarrow \alpha) = \varphi_\alpha$, $T(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) = \varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$.

In the rest of Section 5 we assume that \mathcal{C} satisfies some of the Properties 1*–10*, 4, 5 and 5a* and we will dualize the results of Section 4 by applying them to the category $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}, \text{op}}$. Below we just list the definitions and the propositions obtained in this way.

Proposition 5.2. (1) Property 3* follows from Properties 1* and 4*;
 (2) Property 9* follows from Properties 1*, 7* and 8*.

Proof. Proposition 5.2 is dual to Proposition 4.2. □

5.2. Examples. As in Section 4.2, the basic examples of a category \mathcal{C} satisfying Properties 1*–10*, 4, 5 and 5a* are **Sets** (with the Cartesian monoidal product), **Sets**^{op} (with the same monoidal product as in **Sets**), **Vect**_k and **Vect**_k^{op} for a field k . We will now introduce further examples: (co)modules over bialgebras, G -sets and graded sets.

5.2.1. Modules over Hopf algebras. Let B be a bialgebra over a field k . Then the forgetful functor ${}_B\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_k$, where ${}_B\mathbf{Mod}$ is the category of left B -modules and the monoidal product coincides with the tensor product \otimes over k , is a functor that creates small limits and colimits as well as limits of subobjects and colimits of quotient objects. Moreover, the free (tensor) algebra $T(M)$ of a B -module M inherits the structure of a B -module, which makes $T(M)$ a B -module algebra. In addition, all monomorphisms and epimorphisms in ${}_B\mathbf{Mod}$ are extremal. Finally, by [1, Proposition 4.1] the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Comon}({}_B\mathbf{Mod}) \rightarrow {}_B\mathbf{Mod}$ admits a right adjoint. Therefore, the category ${}_B\mathbf{Mod}$ satisfies Properties 1–10, 1*–10*, 5a and 5a* of Sections 4.2 and 5.1. When ${}_B\mathbf{Mod}$ is braided, e.g. B is a quasitriangular Hopf algebra, we can apply to $\mathcal{C} = {}_B\mathbf{Mod}$ all the results of the present paper.

5.2.2. Comodules over Hopf algebras. Throughout we use Sweedler's notation, namely $\rho(m) = m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$, $m \in M$ will stand for the linear map $\rho: M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ defining a structure of a right C -comodule on a k -vector space M .

Consider now the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Comod}^B \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_k$ where \mathbf{Comod}^B is the category of right B -comodules, B is a bialgebra over a field k and the monoidal product again coincides with the tensor product \otimes over k . This functor creates finite limits and small colimits as

well as limits of subobjects and colimits of quotient objects. If M_α , $\alpha \in \Lambda$, are right B -comodules, then their product in \mathbf{Comod}^B is the subspace of their Cartesian product $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha$ consisting of all tuples $(m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$, $m_\alpha \in M_\alpha$, such that for each tuple there exists a single finite dimensional subcoalgebra $C \subseteq B$ where $\rho(m_\alpha) \in M_\alpha \otimes C$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Again, the free algebra $T(M)$ of a B -comodule M inherits the structure of a B -comodule, which makes $T(M)$ a B -comodule algebra. In addition, all monomorphisms and epimorphisms in \mathbf{Comod}^B are extremal. Finally, by [1, Proposition 4.1] the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Comon}(\mathbf{Comod}^B) \rightarrow \mathbf{Comod}^B$ admits a right adjoint. We can conclude that \mathbf{Comod}^B satisfies Properties 1–10, 1*–10*, 5a and 5a* of Sections 4.2 and 5.1. When \mathbf{Comod}^B is braided, e.g. B is a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra, we can apply to $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Comod}^B$ all the results of the present paper.

A notable example of this type is the category of differential graded vector spaces. Let \mathbb{k} be a field. Denote by $\mathbf{dgVect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ the category of differential \mathbb{Z} -graded vector spaces (or dg-vector spaces, for short) or, in another terminology, chain complexes in $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$. Objects in $\mathbf{dgVect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ are families $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of vector spaces V_n equipped with linear maps $d: V_n \rightarrow V_{n-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $d^2 = 0$. The maps d are called *differentials*. Every family $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ can be identified with its \mathbb{Z} -graded total space $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$. Moreover d extends to a graded linear map $V \rightarrow V$ of degree (-1) such that $d^2 = 0$. Morphisms in $\mathbf{dgVect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ are grading preserving (= graded of degree 0) linear maps commuting with d . Note that $\mathbf{dgVect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is an abelian category where limits and colimits are computed componentwise.

Let $U = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} U_k$ and $V = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} V_m$ be two dg-vector spaces. Then the monoidal product $W = U \otimes V$ in $\mathbf{dgVect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is defined by $W := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n$ where

$$W_n := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} U_k \otimes V_{n-k}.$$

The differentials $d: W_n \rightarrow W_{n-1}$ are defined by

$$d(u \otimes v) := du \otimes v + (-1)^k u \otimes dv \text{ for } u \in U_k \text{ and } v \in V_m, k, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The monoidal unit in $\mathbf{dgVect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is \mathbb{k} regarded as a chain complex concentrated in degree 0 with zero differential. The category $\mathbf{dgVect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is symmetric where the swap $c: U_k \otimes V_m \xrightarrow{\sim} V_m \otimes U_k$ is defined by $c(u \otimes v) := (-1)^{km} v \otimes u$ for all $u \in U_k$, $v \in V_m$, $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Monoids in $\mathbf{dgVect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ are just unital associative differential graded algebras (or dg-algebras for short).

Theorem 5.3. *Let \mathbb{k} be a field. Then $\mathbf{dgVect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is a symmetric monoidal category satisfying Properties 1–10, 1*–10*, 5a and 5a* of Sections 4.2 and 5.1. Moreover, all monomorphisms and epimorphisms in $\mathbf{dgVect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ are extremal.*

Proof. Consider the Hopf algebra H over \mathbb{k} with basis $c^k v^\ell$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\ell = 0, 1$, $vc = -cv$, $v^2 = 0$. $\Delta v = c \otimes v + v \otimes 1$, $\Delta c = c \otimes c$, $Sc = c^{-1}$, $Sv = -c^{-1}v$. Then $\mathbf{dgVect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ can be identified with \mathbf{Comod}^H where for every dg-vector space $(V_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ the structure of a right H -comodule on $\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} V_m$ is given by $\rho(a) := a \otimes c^{-m} + da \otimes vc^{-m}$ for $a \in V_m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and if V is a right H -comodule, then $V_m := \{a \in V \mid \lambda_m(a_{(1)})a_{(0)} = a\}$, $da := \mu(a_{(1)})a_{(0)}$. Here $\lambda_m, \mu \in H^*$ are defined by $\mu(c^k v^\ell) := \delta_{\ell 1}$, $\lambda_m(c^k v^\ell) := \delta_{k, -m} \delta_{\ell 0}$. (Note that $\mu^2 = 0$ and $\lambda_{m-1}\mu = \mu\lambda_m$.) \square

5.2.3. *G-sets.* Let G be a group. Then the category ${}_G\mathbf{Mod}$ of left G -modules in \mathbf{Sets} , i.e. sets M with a fixed homomorphism $G \rightarrow S(M)$ where $S(M)$ is the symmetric group on M , is a symmetric monoidal category with the Cartesian monoidal product and the ordinary swap $c_{M,N}: M \times N \rightarrow N \times M$ where $c_{M,N}(m, n) := (n, m)$ for all $m \in M$ and $n \in N$. The objects in ${}_G\mathbf{Mod}$ are often called *G-sets*.

Lemma 5.4. *Monomorphisms in ${}_G\mathbf{Mod}$ are injective G -module homomorphisms. Epimorphisms in ${}_G\mathbf{Mod}$ are surjective G -module homomorphisms.*

Proof. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be G -module homomorphism.

Suppose $f(m_1) = f(m_2)$ for some $m_1 \neq m_2$, $m_1, m_2 \in M$. Then $f f_1 = f f_2$ where $f_i: G \rightarrow M$ is defined by $f_i(g) = gm_i$ for all $g \in G$ and G is endowed with the G -action by left shifts, however $f_1 \neq f_2$. Hence f is not a monomorphism.

Note that the image $f(M)$ of f is a G -submodule, i.e. the union of some orbits. Suppose $f(M) \neq N$. Then there exists an orbit $O \subseteq N$ such that $O \cap f(M) = \emptyset$. Let $X := (N \setminus O) \sqcup \{x_1, x_2\}$, where $Gx_i = x_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Define $f_i: N \rightarrow X$ by $f_i(n) = n$ for all $n \in N \setminus O$ and $f_i(O) = x_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $f_1 f = f_2 f$, however $f_1 \neq f_2$. Hence f is not an epimorphism. \square

The forgetful functor ${}_G\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ creates small limits and colimits as well as limits of subobjects and colimits of quotient objects. Again, the free monoid $\langle X \rangle$ for a G -module X inherits the structure of an G -module, which makes $\langle X \rangle$ an G -module monoid. Recall that all comonoids in \mathbf{Sets} are just sets X with the diagonal comultiplication $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$ and the trivial counit $\varepsilon: X \rightarrow \{*\}$. Hence each set is a cofree comonoid on itself and the same is true in the category ${}_G\mathbf{Mod}$ too.

In other words, the following theorem holds:

Theorem 5.5. *Let G be a group. Then ${}_G\mathbf{Mod}$ is a symmetric monoidal category satisfying Properties 1–10, 1*–10*, 5a and 5a* of Sections 4.2 and 5.1. Moreover, all monomorphisms and epimorphisms in ${}_G\mathbf{Mod}$ are extremal.*

5.2.4. *Graded sets.* Let M be a monoid (in \mathbf{Sets}). Then the category \mathbf{Comod}^M of right M -comodules in \mathbf{Sets} is just the category of maps $X \rightarrow M$ (which we will denote by the same symbol deg) for arbitrary sets X endowed with the Cartesian (with respect to \mathbf{Sets}) monoidal product, where $\text{deg}: X \times Y \rightarrow M$ is defined by $\text{deg}(x, y) := \text{deg}(x) \text{deg}(y)$ for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. The category \mathbf{Comod}^M can be interpreted as the category of M -graded sets, i.e. sets X decomposed into disjoint unions $X = \bigsqcup_{m \in M} X^{(m)}$ of subsets $X^{(m)}$ marked by

elements of M , i.e. $\text{deg } x = m$ for all $x \in X^{(m)}$, $m \in M$.

The forgetful functor $\mathbf{Comod}^M \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ is a strict monoidal functor creating equalizers and small colimits as well as limits of subobjects and colimits of quotient objects. Moreover, since morphisms in \mathbf{Comod}^M are just grading preserving maps, all monomorphisms are again injective and all epimorphisms are surjective.

If X_α , $\alpha \in \Lambda$, are M -graded sets, then their product in \mathbf{Comod}^M is the subset of their Cartesian product $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$ consisting of all tuples $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ where $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ and $\text{deg } x_\alpha = \text{deg } x_\beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$. The terminal object in \mathbf{Comod}^M is M itself with the standard grading $M = \bigsqcup_{m \in M} M^{(m)}$ where $M^{(m)} := \{m\}$.

Again, the free monoid $\langle X \rangle$ for an M -graded set X inherits the M -grading, which makes $\langle X \rangle$ an M -graded monoid.

For a graded set X a graded map $X \rightarrow \{*\}$ exists if and only if X is trivially graded, i.e. coincides with its homogeneous component $X^{(e)}$ where $e \in M$ is the identity element. Hence comonoids in \mathbf{Comod}^M are just trivially graded sets X with the diagonal comultiplication $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$ and the trivial counit $\varepsilon: X \rightarrow \{*\}$. Hence for an arbitrary graded set Y its cofree comonoid $\mathcal{G}Y$ in \mathbf{Comod}^M is its neutral component $Y^{(e)}$.

Therefore we get

Theorem 5.6. *Let M be a monoid (in \mathbf{Sets}). Then \mathbf{Comod}^M is a monoidal category satisfying Properties 1–10, 1*–10*, 5a and 5a* of Sections 4.2 and 5.1. If M is commutative,*

then \mathbf{Comod}^M is symmetric with the ordinary swap. Moreover, all monomorphisms and epimorphisms in \mathbf{Comod}^M are extremal.

5.2.5. *Set-theoretic Yetter — Drinfel'd modules.* Let G be a group. Denote by ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ the category of *set-theoretic Yetter — Drinfel'd modules* (or ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -modules for short), i.e. G -graded sets $X = \bigsqcup_{g \in G} X^{(g)}$ that are G -modules and $gX^{(t)} = X^{(gtg^{-1})}$ for all $g, t \in G$. Consider

the Cartesian (with respect to **Sets**) monoidal product in ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$.

The forgetful functor ${}^G\mathcal{YD} \rightarrow \mathbf{Comod}^G$ is a strict monoidal functor creating small limits and colimits as well as limits of subobjects and colimits of quotient objects. The terminal object in ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ is G with the standard grading and the action on itself by conjugation.

Lemma 5.7. *Monomorphisms in ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ are injective ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -module homomorphisms. Epimorphisms in ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ are surjective ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -module homomorphisms.*

Proof. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -module homomorphism.

Suppose $f(m_1) = f(m_2)$ for some $m_1 \neq m_2, m_1, m_2 \in M$. Let

$$g_0 := \deg m_1 = \deg f(m_1) = \deg f(m_2) = \deg m_2.$$

Denote by G_0 the ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -module that coincides with G as a set endowed with the G -action by left shifts and $\deg: G_0 \rightarrow G$ is defined by $\deg g := gg_0g^{-1}$ for all $g \in G_0$. Let $f_i: G_0 \rightarrow M$ be the ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -module homomorphisms defined by $f_i(g) := gm_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $f f_1 = f f_2$, however $f_1(e) \neq f_2(e)$ where $e \in G$ is the identity element. Hence f is not a monomorphism.

Again, the image $f(M)$ of f is a ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -submodule, i.e. the union of some orbits. Suppose $f(M) \neq N$. Then there exists an orbit $O \subseteq N$ such that $O \cap f(M) = \emptyset$. Let O_1 and O_2 be two copies of O . Denote by $\tau_i: O \xrightarrow{\sim} O_i$ the corresponding isomorphisms, $i = 1, 2$. Let $X := (N \setminus O) \sqcup O_1 \sqcup O_2$. Define $f_i: N \rightarrow X$ by $f_i(n) = n$ for all $n \in N \setminus O$ and $f_i(x) = \tau_i(x)$ for all $x \in O, i = 1, 2$. Then $f_1 f = f_2 f$, however $f_1 \neq f_2$. Hence f is not an epimorphism. \square

Again, the free monoid $\langle X \rangle$ of a ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -module X inherits the structure of a ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -module, which makes $\langle X \rangle$ a ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -module algebra. For an arbitrary ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -module X its cofree comonoid $\mathcal{G}X$ in ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ is its neutral component $X^{(e)}$.

The category ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ is braided where the braiding $c_{X,Y}: X \times Y \rightarrow Y \times X$ is defined by $c_{X,Y}(x, y) := ((\deg x)y, x)$. Moreover, ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ is closed monoidal where for $X \neq \emptyset$ the ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -module $[X, Y]$ consists of all maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\deg f(x) = (\deg f)(\deg x)$ for all $x \in X$ and some element $\deg f \in G$ that does not depend on x . The G -module structure on $[X, Y]$ is defined by $(gf)(x) := gf(g^{-1}x)$ for $x \in X$. At the same time, $[\emptyset, Y] = G$, which is the terminal object in ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$.

Therefore we get

Theorem 5.8. *Let G be a group. Then ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ is a braided closed monoidal category satisfying Properties 1–10, 1*–10*, 5a and 5a* of Sections 4.2 and 5.1. Moreover, all monomorphisms and epimorphisms in ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ are extremal.*

Hopf monoids in ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ are trivially graded groups H endowed with a homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$.

An H -module Ω -magma in ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ is an Ω -magma A that is an ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ -module where the G -action is extended to an $H \rtimes_{\varphi} G$ -action by automorphisms, all operations in A are graded and $hA^{(g)} = A^{(g)}$ for all $g \in G$ and $h \in H$.

An H -comodule Ω -magma in ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ is an $G \times H$ -graded Ω -magma $A = \bigsqcup_{\substack{g \in G, \\ h \in H}} A^{(g,h)}$ endowed

with a G -action by automorphisms such that $gA^{(t,h)} = A^{(gtg^{-1}, \varphi(g)h)}$ for all $g, t \in G$ and $h \in H$.

If one considered the inverse braiding on ${}^{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{YD}$, then the operations on A and the H -(co)action would be related in a more complicated way.

5.3. Limits and colimits in $\mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{C})$ and coreflectivity of $\mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$. Let $T: J \rightarrow \mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{C})$ be a functor where J is a category and \mathcal{C} is a monoidal category. Suppose that $C := \operatorname{colim} UT$ is the colimit of UT in \mathcal{C} where $U: \mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the forgetful functor. Then the unique morphisms Δ_C and ε_C making the diagrams below commutative for every object j of J (φ_j is the colimiting cocone) turn C into a comonoid and, therefore, into the colimit of T in $\mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{C})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Tj & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} & C \\ \downarrow \Delta_{Tj} & & \downarrow \Delta_C \\ Tj \otimes Tj & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j \otimes \varphi_j} & C \otimes C \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} Tj & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} & C \\ \searrow \varepsilon_{Tj} & & \swarrow \varepsilon_C \\ & \mathbb{1} & \end{array}$$

In other words, the forgetful functor U creates colimits.

Now consider limits in $\mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{C})$:

Theorem 5.9. *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided monoidal category satisfying Properties 1*, 3*, 4, 5 and 10* of Section 5.1. Let $T: J \rightarrow \mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{C})$ be a functor where J is a category. Suppose there exists $N := \lim UT$ and φ_j is the corresponding limiting cone. (The limit is taken in \mathcal{C} .) Then there exists a comonoid homomorphism $i: P \rightarrow \mathcal{G}N$, which is, in addition, a monomorphism in \mathcal{C} , such that the composition*

$$P \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{G}N \xrightarrow{\gamma_N} N \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} Tj$$

is a limiting cone of T in $\mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{C})$. (Here γ is the counit of the adjunction $U \dashv \mathcal{G}$.)

Proof. Theorem 5.9 is dual to Theorem 4.5. □

Theorem 5.10. *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided monoidal category satisfying Properties 1*–3*, 4, 5 and 10* of Section 5.1. Then the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$ admits a right adjoint functor $H_r: \mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. Theorem 5.10 is dual to Theorem 4.6. □

Remark 5.11. Again, in Theorems 5.9 and 5.10 instead of Property 5 it is sufficient to require just that for every monomorphism φ in \mathcal{C} the morphisms $\varphi \otimes \varphi$ and $\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi$ are monomorphisms too.

Example 5.12. In the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$ the right adjoint functor H_r assigns to each monoid M its group $\mathcal{U}(M)$ of invertible elements.

5.4. Cosupports of morphisms $P \otimes A \rightarrow B$. Here we define cosupports of morphisms and prove their existence.

Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. For given objects A, B in \mathcal{C} denote by $\mathbf{TensMor}(A, B)$ the comma category $((-) \otimes A \downarrow B)$, i.e. the category where

- the objects are morphisms $\psi: P \otimes A \rightarrow B$ for some objects P ;
- the morphisms between $\psi_1: P_1 \otimes A \rightarrow B$ and $\psi_2: P_2 \otimes A \rightarrow B$ are morphisms $\tau: P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_1 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & B \\ \tau \otimes \operatorname{id}_A \downarrow & \nearrow \psi_2 & \\ P_2 \otimes A & & \end{array}$$

For morphisms $\psi: P \otimes A \rightarrow B$ we are going to use the terminology and the notation from Section 2 with respect to $X = \mathbf{TensMor}(A, B)^{\text{op}}$.

If there exists $|\psi|: \tilde{P} \otimes A \rightarrow B$ for some ψ , then we call the object $\text{cosupp } \psi := \tilde{P}$ the *cosupport* of ψ . From the definition of the absolute value it follows that $\text{cosupp } \psi$ is defined up to an isomorphism compatible with $|\rho|$.

Definition 5.13. We say that a morphism $\psi: P \otimes A \rightarrow B$ is a *tensor monomorphism* if $\rho \in \text{LIO}(\mathbf{TensMor}(A, B)^{\text{op}})$, i.e. if for every $f, g: R \rightarrow P$ such that

$$\psi(f \otimes \text{id}_A) = \psi(g \otimes \text{id}_A)$$

we have $f = g$.

Example 5.14. In the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$, where \mathbb{k} is a field, ψ is a tensor monomorphism if and only if the corresponding linear map $P \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, B)$ is injective. In particular,

$$\text{cosupp } \psi = \psi(P \otimes (-)) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, B).$$

Therefore $\text{cosupp } \psi$ coincides with the cosupport defined in [4].

Unfolding the definition from Section 2.2 for $X = \mathbf{TensMor}(A, B)^{\text{op}}$, we see that $\psi_1 \preceq \psi_2$ for morphisms $\psi_1: P_1 \otimes A \rightarrow B$ and $\psi_2: P_2 \otimes A \rightarrow B$ if and only if there exists a morphism $\tau: \text{cosupp } \psi_1 \rightarrow \text{cosupp } \psi_2$ such that $|\psi_1| = |\psi_2|(\tau \otimes \text{id}_A)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{cosupp } \psi_1) \otimes A & \xrightarrow{|\psi_1|} & B \\ \tau \otimes \text{id}_A \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ (\text{cosupp } \psi_2) \otimes A & & \end{array}$$

Theorem 5.15. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category satisfying Properties 1*, 4*–6* and 9*. Then for every objects A, B in \mathcal{C} there exist absolute values of all objects in the category $\mathbf{TensMor}(A, B)^{\text{op}}$. As a consequence, there exist cosupports for all morphisms $\psi: P \otimes A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} .

Proof. Theorem 5.15 is dual to Theorem 4.12. □

Remark 5.16. We will see in [5, Remarks 4.3 (1)] that if \mathcal{C} is monoidal closed, then it is sufficient to require just Property 3* for cosupports and absolute values to exist.

5.5. Cosupports of module structures. Again, it turns out that under certain conditions on the base category the cosupport of a module structure is always a submonoid.

Theorem 5.17. Suppose \mathcal{C} is a monoidal category satisfying Properties 1*, 4*–7*, 9* and 5a* of Section 5.1. Let M be a module over a monoid (A, μ, u) and let $\psi: A \otimes M \rightarrow M$ be the corresponding morphism. Then in \mathcal{C} there exist unique morphisms

$$\mu_0: \text{cosupp } \psi \otimes \text{cosupp } \psi \rightarrow \text{cosupp } \psi \quad \text{and} \quad u_0: \mathbb{1} \rightarrow \text{cosupp } \psi$$

making the diagrams below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \longrightarrow & (\text{cosupp } \psi) \otimes (\text{cosupp } \psi) \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \mu_0 \\ A & \longrightarrow & \text{cosupp } \psi \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{1} & \dashrightarrow & \text{cosupp } \psi \\ \downarrow u & \searrow u_0 & \\ A & \longrightarrow & \text{cosupp } \psi \end{array}$$

Moreover, $(\text{cosupp } \psi, \mu_0, u_0)$ is a monoid and the diagrams below are commutative too:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\text{cosupp } \psi) \otimes (\text{cosupp } \psi) \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\mu_0 \otimes \text{id}_M} & (\text{cosupp } \psi) \otimes M \\
 \text{id}_{\text{cosupp } \psi} \otimes |\psi| \downarrow & & \downarrow |\psi| \\
 (\text{cosupp } \psi) \otimes M & \xrightarrow{|\psi|} & M \\
 \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{1} \otimes M \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow u_0 \otimes \text{id}_M \\
 M & \xleftarrow{|\psi|} & (\text{cosupp } \psi) \otimes M
 \end{array}$$

In other words, M is a $(\text{cosupp } \psi)$ -module and the epimorphism $\text{cosupp } \psi \twoheadrightarrow A$ is a monoid homomorphism.

Proof. Theorem 5.17 is dual to Theorem 4.19. \square

Again, the morphism τ from the definition of the coarser/finer relation is always a monoid homomorphism in the case of module structures.

Proposition 5.18. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category and let $\psi_i: A_i \otimes M \rightarrow M$ define on an object M structures of A_i -modules for monoids (A_i, μ_i, u_i) for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that ψ_2 is a tensor monomorphism and the diagram below is commutative for some morphism $\tau: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_1 \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & M \\
 \tau \otimes \text{id}_M \downarrow & \nearrow \psi_2 & \\
 A_2 \otimes M & &
 \end{array}$$

Then τ is a monoid homomorphism.

Proof. Proposition 5.18 is dual to Proposition 4.20. \square

5.6. Universal measuring comonoids. Fix Ω -magmas A and B in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Consider the category $\mathbf{Meas}(A, B)$ where

- the objects are all measurings $\psi: P \otimes A \rightarrow B$ for all comonoids P ;
- the morphisms from $\psi_1: P_1 \otimes A \rightarrow B$ to $\psi_2: P_2 \otimes A \rightarrow B$ are monoid homomorphisms $\varphi: P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P_1 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & B \\
 \varphi \otimes \text{id}_A \downarrow & \nearrow \psi_2 & \\
 P_2 \otimes A & &
 \end{array}$$

Let $\psi_V: V \otimes A \rightarrow B$ be a tensor monomorphism for some object V . We call the comonoid ${}_{\square}\mathcal{C}(\psi_V)$ corresponding to the initial object

$$\psi_V^{\mathbf{Meas}}: {}_{\square}\mathcal{C}(\psi_V) \otimes A \rightarrow B$$

in $\mathbf{Meas}(A, B)^{\text{op}}(\psi_V)$ (if it exists) the V -universal measuring comonoid from A to B .

In other words, ${}_{\square}\mathcal{C}(\psi_V)$ is a V -universal measuring comonoid if for every measuring $\psi: P \otimes A \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi = \psi_V(\tau \otimes \text{id}_A)$ for some morphism $\tau: P \rightarrow V$ there exists a unique comonoid homomorphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow {}_{\square}\mathcal{C}(\psi_V)$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
P \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B \\
\varphi \otimes \text{id}_A \downarrow & \nearrow \psi_V^{\text{Meas}} & \\
{}_{\square}\mathcal{C}(\psi_V) \otimes A & &
\end{array}$$

Theorem 5.19. *Suppose that a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} satisfies Properties 1*, 3*, 4, 5, 5*, 8*, 10* of Section 5.1. Then there exists an initial object in $\mathbf{Meas}(A, B)^{\text{op}}(\psi_V)$ if $\mathbf{Meas}(A, B)^{\text{op}}(\psi_V)$ is not empty.*

Proof. Theorem 5.19 is dual to Theorem 4.23. \square

Examples 5.20.

- (1) In the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ for a field \mathbb{k} we get the V -universal measuring coalgebra ${}_{\square}\mathcal{C}(\psi_V)$ (see [4, Theorem 3.10]). If we take $V = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, B)$ and define $\psi_V: \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, B) \otimes A \rightarrow B$ by $\psi_V(\theta \otimes a) := \theta(a)$, then $\psi \preceq \psi_V$ for every measuring $\psi: P \otimes A \rightarrow B$. In this case ${}_{\square}\mathcal{C}(\psi_V)$ is the Sweedler universal measuring coalgebra (see [26, Chapter VII]);
- (2) In the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$ a map $\psi_V: V \times A \rightarrow B$ is a tensor monomorphism if and only if $\psi_V(v_1, -) \neq \psi_V(v_2, -)$ for $v_1 \neq v_2$. Therefore, V may be identified with a subset in the set $\mathbf{Sets}(A, B)$ of all maps $A \rightarrow B$. We have $\psi \preceq \psi_V$ for a given $\psi: P \times A \rightarrow B$ if and only if $\psi(P, -) \subseteq V$. Recall that ψ is a measuring if and only if $\psi(p, -)$ is an Ω -magma homomorphism for every $p \in P$. Hence in this case ${}_{\square}\mathcal{C}(\psi_V) = V \cap \text{Hom}_{\Omega}(A, B)$ where $\text{Hom}_{\Omega}(A, B)$ is the set of all Ω -magma homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B$.

Remark 5.21. Suppose that there exists an initial object I in \mathcal{C} and the object $I \otimes A$ is again initial for every object A . (This holds, say, for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$.) Note that the unique morphisms $I \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ and $I \rightarrow I \otimes I$ define on I the structure of a comonoid. (All the corresponding diagrams are commutative, since I is an initial object.) Denote by ψ_I the unique measuring $I \otimes A \rightarrow B$. Then ψ_I , being the initial object in $\mathbf{Meas}(A, B)$, is the terminal object in $\mathbf{Meas}(A, B)^{\text{op}}(\psi_V)$.

5.7. Universal acting bimonoids. Again fix an Ω -magma A in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Consider the category $\mathbf{Act}(A)$ where

- the objects are all actions $\psi: B \otimes A \rightarrow A$ for all bimonoids B ;
- the morphisms from $\psi_1: B_1 \otimes A \rightarrow A$ to $\psi_2: B_2 \otimes A \rightarrow A$ are bimonoid homomorphisms $\varphi: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B_1 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & A \\
\varphi \otimes \text{id}_A \downarrow & \nearrow \psi_2 & \\
B_2 \otimes A & &
\end{array}$$

Now let V be a monoid and let a tensor monomorphism $\psi_V: V \otimes A \rightarrow A$ define on A a structure of a V -module. Let us call the bimonoid corresponding to the initial object in $\mathbf{Act}(A)^{\text{op}}(\psi_V)$ (if it exists) the V -universal acting bimonoid on A .

Theorem 5.22. *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided monoidal category and let $\psi_V: V \otimes A \rightarrow A$ be a tensor monomorphism defining on an Ω -magma A a structure of a V -module for a monoid V such that there exists ${}_{\square}\mathcal{C}(\psi_V)$. Then ${}_{\square}\mathcal{B}(\psi_V) := {}_{\square}\mathcal{C}(\psi_V)$ admits a unique monoid structure turning $\psi_V^{\mathbf{Act}} := \psi_V^{\text{Meas}}$ into an action, which is the initial object in $\mathbf{Act}(A)^{\text{op}}(\psi_V)$.*

Proof. Theorem 5.22 is dual to Theorem 4.34. \square

Corollary 5.23. *Suppose that a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} satisfies Properties 1*, 3*, 4, 5, 5*, 8*, 10* of Section 5.1. Let $\psi_V: V \otimes A \rightarrow A$ be a tensor monomorphism defining on an Ω -magma A a structure of a V -module for a monoid V . Then ${}_{\square}\mathcal{B}(\psi_V) := {}_{\square}\mathcal{C}(\psi_V)$ admits a unique monoid structure turning $\psi_V^{\mathbf{Act}} := \psi_V^{\mathbf{Meas}}$ into an action, which is the initial object in $\mathbf{Act}(A)^{\text{op}}(\psi_V)$.*

Proof. Apply Theorems 5.19 and 5.22. □

In other words, for every action $\psi: B \otimes A \rightarrow A$ such that $\psi = \psi_V(\tau \otimes \text{id}_A)$ for some morphism $\tau: B \rightarrow V$ (recall that by Proposition 5.18 the morphism τ is necessarily a monoid homomorphism) there exists a unique bimonoid homomorphism $\varphi: B \rightarrow {}_{\square}\mathcal{B}(\psi_V)$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A \\ \varphi \otimes \text{id}_A \downarrow & \nearrow \psi_V^{\mathbf{Act}} & \\ {}_{\square}\mathcal{B}(\psi_V) \otimes A & & \end{array}$$

Remark 5.24. Note that $\mathbb{1} \otimes A \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ is a terminal object in $\mathbf{Act}(A)^{\text{op}}(\psi_V)$, since for every bimonoid B there exists the only bimonoid homomorphism $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow B$, namely, the unit u .

Examples 5.25.

- (1) When $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and A is a unital associative algebra, the bimonoid ${}_{\square}\mathcal{B}(A, \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A))$ is exactly the Sweedler universal acting bialgebra on A [26, Chapter VII];
- (2) Example 5.20 (2) shows that in the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$ the monoid V can be identified with the corresponding submonoid in $\mathbf{Sets}(A, A)$, the ordinary monoid of all maps $A \rightarrow A$. Hence ${}_{\square}\mathcal{B}(\psi_V) = V \cap \text{End}_{\Omega}(A)$ where $\text{End}_{\Omega}(A)$ is the ordinary monoid of all Ω -magma endomorphisms of A .

5.8. Universal acting Hopf monoids. Again fix an Ω -magma A in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Consider the category $\mathbf{HAct}(A)$ where

- the objects are all actions $\psi: H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ for all Hopf monoids H ;
- the morphisms from $\psi_1: H_1 \otimes A \rightarrow A$ to $\psi_2: H_2 \otimes A \rightarrow A$ are Hopf monoid homomorphisms $\varphi: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & A \\ \varphi \otimes \text{id}_A \downarrow & \nearrow \psi_2 & \\ H_2 \otimes A & & \end{array}$$

Let V be a monoid and let a tensor monomorphism $\psi_V: V \otimes A \rightarrow A$ define on A a structure of a V -module. Let us call the Hopf monoid ${}_{\square}\mathcal{H}(\psi_V)$ corresponding to the initial object $\psi_V^{\mathbf{HAct}}$ in $\mathbf{HAct}(A)^{\text{op}}(\psi_V)$ (if it exists) the V -universal acting Hopf monoid on A .

Theorem 5.26. *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided monoidal category and let $\psi_V: V \otimes A \rightarrow A$ be a tensor monomorphism defining on an Ω -magma A a structure of a V -module for a monoid V . Suppose that the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$ admits a right adjoint functor $H_r: \mathbf{Hopf}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bimon}(\mathcal{C})$ and there exists ${}_{\square}\mathcal{B}(\psi_V)$. Then the initial object $\psi_V^{\mathbf{HAct}}$ in $\mathbf{HAct}(A)^{\text{op}}(\psi_V)$ indeed exists.*

Proof. Theorem 5.26 is dual to Theorem 4.40. □

Corollary 5.27. *Suppose that a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} satisfies Properties 1*–3*, 4, 5, 5*, 8*, 10* of Section 5.1. Let $\psi_V: V \otimes A \rightarrow A$ be a tensor monomorphism defining on an*

Ω -magma A a structure of a V -module for a monoid V in \mathcal{C} . Then the initial object $\psi_V^{\mathbf{HAct}}$ in $\mathbf{HAct}(A)^{\text{op}}(\psi_V)$ indeed exists.

Proof. Apply Theorems 5.10, 5.26 and Corollary 5.23. \square

Again, if we unfold the definition, we obtain that for every action $\psi: H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ such that $\psi = \psi_V(\tau \otimes \text{id}_A)$ for some morphism $\tau: H \rightarrow V$ (recall that by Proposition 5.18 the morphism τ is necessarily a monoid homomorphism) there exists a unique Hopf monoid homomorphism $\varphi: H \rightarrow {}_{\square}\mathcal{H}(\psi_V)$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A \\ \varphi \otimes \text{id}_A \downarrow & \nearrow \psi_V^{\mathbf{HAct}} & \\ {}_{\square}\mathcal{H}(\psi_V) \otimes A & & \end{array}$$

Remark 5.28. Again, $\mathbb{1} \otimes A \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ is a terminal object in $\mathbf{HAct}(A)^{\text{op}}(\psi_V)$, since for every Hopf monoid H there exists the only Hopf monoid homomorphism $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow H$, namely, the unit u .

Examples 5.29.

- (1) When $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and A is an Ω -algebra, the Hopf monoid ${}_{\square}\mathcal{H}(A, \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A))$ is the universal acting Hopf algebra on A ;
- (2) Let $\psi: H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ be some Hopf monoid action on an Ω -magma A with an absolute value $|\psi|: (\text{cosupp } \psi) \otimes A \rightarrow A$ in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} satisfying Properties 1*–10*, 4, 5 and 5a* of Section 5.1. Then by Propositions 2.10 and 2.13 the ${}_{\square}\mathcal{H}(|\psi|)$ -action $|\psi|^{\mathbf{HAct}}$ on A is equivalent to ψ and is universal among all actions equivalent to or coarser than ψ . We call ${}_{\square}\mathcal{H}(|\psi|)$ the *universal Hopf monoid* of ψ and in the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ for a field \mathbb{k} this Hopf monoid is exactly the universal Hopf algebra of ψ introduced in [3];
- (3) Recall that by Example 5.20 (2) in the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$ the monoid V can be identified with the corresponding submonoid in $\mathbf{Sets}(A, A)$. Hence

$${}_{\square}\mathcal{H}(\psi_V) = \mathcal{U}(V) \cap \text{Aut}_{\Omega}(A) \quad (5.1)$$

where $\mathcal{U}(V)$ is the group of invertible elements of V and $\text{Aut}_{\Omega}(A)$ is the group of all Ω -magma automorphisms of A . Taking $V = \mathbf{Sets}(A, A)$, we obtain that $\text{Aut}_{\Omega}(A)$ -action is universal among all Hopf monoid (i.e. group) actions on A .

Remarks 5.30.

- (1) Note that (5.1) resembles the group-like part of the formula for the universal acting cocommutative Hopf algebra in [3, Theorem 5.3] (recall that in \mathbf{Sets} all comonoids are trivial and therefore cocommutative);
- (2) The existence of universal measuring coalgebras for any pair of Ω -algebras in \mathcal{C} from a given class \mathcal{X} , turns \mathcal{X} into a category over comonoids in \mathcal{C} (see [19] and the forthcoming [17]); in other words \mathcal{X} can be given the structure of a semi-Hopf category in the sense of [8]. If \mathcal{X} contains just one object, we recover the universal acting bimonoid as described in Section 5.7. As we have just shown, the cofree Hopf algebra over this universal acting bimonoid is a universal acting Hopf monoid on the same Ω -algebra. This has been extended in [16], showing that there exist (free and) cofree Hopf categories over a semi-Hopf category, which shows the existence of universal Hopf measurings.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdulwahid, A. H., Iovanov, M. C. Generators for comonoids and universal constructions. *Arch. Math.* **106** (2016), 21–33. [38](#), [39](#)
- [2] Adámek, J., Herrlich, H., Strecker, G. E. Abstract and concrete categories. John Wiley, New York, 1990. Available online at <http://katmat.math.uni-bremen.de/acc/>. [13](#), [15](#)
- [3] Agore, A. L., Gordienko, A. S., Vercruyssen, J. On equivalences of (co)module algebra structures over Hopf algebras, *J. Noncommut. Geometry* **15** (2021), 951–993. [2](#), [25](#), [36](#), [47](#)
- [4] Agore, A. L., Gordienko, A. S., Vercruyssen, J. V -universal Hopf algebras (co)acting on Ω -algebras. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **25**:1 (2023), 2150095-1 - 2150095-40. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [10](#), [43](#), [45](#)
- [5] Agore, A. L., Gordienko, A. S., Vercruyssen, J. Dualities for universal co(acting) Hopf monoids, preprint 2024. [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [43](#)
- [6] Ardizzoni, A., El Kaoutit, L., Menini, C. Categories of comodules and chain complexes of modules. *Int. J. Math.* **23** (2012), Article 1250109. [3](#)
- [7] Banerjee, A., Kour, S. Measurements of Hopf algebroids and morphisms in cyclic (co)homology theories, *Adv. Math.* **442** (2024), 109581. [3](#)
- [8] E. Batista, S. Caenepeel and J. Vercruyssen, Hopf categories, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **19** (2016), 1173–1216. [47](#)
- [9] Bekaert, X., Kowalzig, N., Saracco, P. Universal enveloping algebras of Lie-Rinehart algebras: crossed products, connections, and curvature, preprint 2022 arXiv:2208.00266. [3](#)
- [10] Bhattacharjee, S., Chirvăsitu, A., Goswami, D. Quantum Galois groups of subfactors. *Int. J. Math.* **33** (2022), Article 2250013. [3](#)
- [11] Böhm, G., Brzeziński, T. Cleft extensions of Hopf algebroids, *Appl. Categ. Structures* **14** (2006), 431–469. [3](#)
- [12] Chirvăsitu A. On epimorphisms and monomorphisms of Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra* **323** (2010), 1593–1606. [5](#), [17](#)
- [13] Chirvăsitu, A., Walton, C., Wang, X. On quantum groups associated to a pair of preregular forms. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **13** (2019), 115–159. [3](#)
- [14] Dăscălescu, S., Năstăsescu, C., Raianu, Ş. Hopf algebras: an introduction. New York, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 2001. [5](#), [13](#)
- [15] Elduque, A., Kochetov M. V. Gradings on simple Lie algebras. *AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. **189**, Providence, R.I., Halifax, NS, 2013, 336 pp. [2](#)
- [16] P. Großkopf, J. Vercruyssen, Free and co-free constructions for Hopf categories, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **228** (2024), 107704. [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [47](#)
- [17] P. Großkopf, J. Vercruyssen, The Hopf category of Frobenius algebras, in preparation. [47](#)
- [18] Huang, H., Walton, C., Wicks, E., Won, R. Universal quantum semigroupoids. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **227** (2023), 107–193. [3](#)
- [19] Hyland, M., López Franco, I., Vasilakopoulou, C. Hopf measuring comonoids and enrichment. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **115**:5 (2017), 1118–1148. [3](#), [4](#), [47](#)
- [20] Joyal, A., Street, R. Braided tensor categories, *Adv. Math.* **102** (1993), 20–78. [5](#)
- [21] Mac Lane, S. Categories for the working mathematician. *Graduate texts in Mathematics* **5**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. [5](#), [6](#)
- [22] Manin, Yu. I. Quantum groups and noncommutative geometry, Université de Montreal, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Montreal, QC, 1988. [35](#), [36](#)
- [23] North, P. R., Péroux, M. Coinductive control of inductive data types. arXiv:2303.16793 [math.CT] [3](#), [4](#)
- [24] Patera, J., Zassenhaus, H. On Lie gradings. I, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **112** (1989), 87–159. [9](#)
- [25] Porst, H.-E. The formal theory of Hopf algebras. Part I: Hopf monoids in a monoidal category. *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, **38**:5 (2015), 631–682. [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [13](#), [17](#), [18](#)
- [26] Sweedler, M. E. Hopf Algebras, W. A. Benjamin New York, 1969. [3](#), [11](#), [29](#), [45](#), [46](#)
- [27] Takeuchi, M. Free Hopf algebras generated by coalgebras. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **23** (1971), 561–582. [5](#), [17](#)
- [28] Tambara, D. The coendomorphism bialgebra of an algebra. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Math.* **37** (1990), 425–456. [34](#)
- [29] Vasilakopoulou, C. Enrichment of categories of algebras and modules. arXiv:1205.6450 [math.CT] [3](#), [4](#)

SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, P.O. BOX 1-764,
014700 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

Email address: ana.agore@gmail.com, ana.agore@imar.ro

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, M. V. LOMONOSOV
MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, LENINSKIYE GORY, D.1, MAIN BUILDING, GSP-1, 119991 MOSKVA, RUS-
SIA

Email address: alexey.gordienko@math.msu.ru

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES,
BOULEVARD DU TRIOMPHE, B-1050 BRUXELLES, BELGIUM

Email address: jvercruy@ulb.ac.be