Optical ionization effects in kHz laser wakefield acceleration with few-cycle pulses

J. Monzac,^{1,*} S. Smartsev,¹ J. Huijts,¹ L. Rovige,¹ I.A. Andriyash,¹ A. Vernier,¹

V. Tomkus,² V. Girdauskas,^{2,3} G. Raciukaitis,² M. Mackevičiūtė,² V. Stankevic,²

A. Cavagna,¹ J. Kaur,¹ A. Kalouguine,¹ R. Lopez-Martens,¹ and J. Faure¹

¹LOA, CNRS, École Polytechnique, ENSTA Paris,

Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

²Center for Physical Sciences and Technology, Savanoriu Ave. 231, LT-02300, Vilnius, Lithuania

³Vytautas Magnus University, K.Donelaicio St. 58. LT-44248, Kaunas, Lithuania

(Dated: June 26, 2024)

We present significant advances in Laser Wakefield Acceleration (LWFA) operating at a 1 kHz repetition rate, employing a sub-TW, few-femtosecond laser and a continuously flowing hydrogen gas target. We conducted the first comprehensive study assessing how the nature of the gas within the target influences accelerator performance. This work confirms and elucidates the superior performance of hydrogen in kHz LWFA. Our system generates quasi-monoenergetic electron bunches with energies up to 10 MeV, bunch charges of 2 pC, and angular divergences of 15 mrad. Notably, our novel scheme relying on differential pumping enables continuous operation at kHz repetition rates, contrasting with previous systems that operated in burst mode to achieve similar beam properties. Particle-in-cell simulations explain hydrogen's superior performances: the ionization effects in nitrogen and helium distort the laser pulse, negatively impacting accelerator performance. These effects are strongly mitigated in hydrogen plasma, thereby enhancing beam quality. This analysis represents a significant step forward in optimizing and understanding kHz LWFA. It underscores the critical role of hydrogen and the imperative need to develop hydrogen-compatible target systems capable of managing high repetition rates, as exemplified by our differential pumping system. These advances lay the groundwork for further developments in high-repetition-rate LWFA technology.

I. INTRODUCTION

Laser wakefield acceleration (LWFA) is an alternative method for accelerating electrons to relativistic velocities [1]. In LWFA, a high-intensity laser pulse generates an accelerating structure, the so-called wakefield, in a plasma. This allows to surpass the breakdown limit that exists in conventional radiofrequency (RF) cavities: the accelerating fields in the plasma typically reach amplitudes four orders of magnitude higher than those in RF cavities. Thus, acceleration occurs over very short distances, paving the way for compact accelerators.

Currently, LWFA driven by 100 TW to PW scale laser systems can accelerate electrons to energies ranging from 100 MeV [2] to several GeV over a few centimeters [3-5]. These high-energy LWFA demonstrated electron acceleration up to $8 - 10 \,\text{GeV}$ [6, 7] using techniques such as laser-guiding or nano-particle injection. While pushing the energy frontier is important for high-energy physics, current research is also focusing on improving the accelerated beam quality and stability of these devices to enable their use in various applications. Indeed, electron beams from LWFAs are being considered as drivers for femtosecond X-ray beams, either via betatron radiation [8], Compton scattering [9, 10], undulator radiation [11], or free electron laser radiation [12, 13]. Such femtosecond X-ray beams could enable time-resolved (pumpprobe) experiments based on e.g., X-ray diffraction or

spectroscopy.

Research on stability improvement have been done for example in [14], where a continuous 24 h experiment was conducted, demonstrating high quality statistics with 10^5 consecutive electron beams generated at 1 Hz. Additionally, ongoing efforts are focused on developing high-repetition-rate LWFAs (100 Hz-kHz), which hold significant potential for improving stability, including the implementation of feedback loops within the accelerator system.

Until recently, kHz laser systems were restricted to a few mJ pulses, but laser systems with higher energy outputs have recently become available thanks to progress in optical parametric amplification [15]. Still, the few-mJ systems remain compelling due to their compact design and turnkey operation, which could make them relevant for societal applications.

In order to reach relativistic intensities for driving LWFA, the mJ laser pulses require sharp focusing and strong temporal compression, down to nearly a single optical cycle, i.e. a few femtoseconds [16–19]. LWFAs driven by few-cycle pulses can produce electron bunches in the MeV range with pC charge and potentially femtosecond durations.

Femtosecond electrons bunches in the 5 - 10 MeV range are relevant for various applications, including timeresolved electron diffraction [20, 21], high-dose rate radiobiology [22–24], and the generation of ultrashort positron beams [25]. For these applications, it is desirable for the accelerator to operate at kHz repetition rates. This poses technical challenges for the gas targets where plasma is formed and acceleration occurs, as it is difficult to main-

^{*} josephine.monzac@ensta-paris.fr

tain a reasonable vacuum under these conditions.

In [26], Rovige *et al.* demonstrated the continuous and stable operation of a kHz LWFA for 5 hours using a freeflowing nitrogen jet. The continuous gas flow in the jet ensures a stable gas density profile even at a kHz repetition rate. Electrons had typical energies of a few MeV and stable beams were achieved thanks to shock injection in an asymmetrically shocked gas jet [27]. Recently, Salehi *et al.* managed to increase the electron energy up to 15 MeV by using a H₂ plasma and kHz, few-cycle laser pulses [28]. However, they were limited to burst mode operation, as continuous operation led to a deterioration in accelerator performance, likely attributable to the rising background pressure inside the vacuum chamber. Even though the use of lighter gases is more demanding for the pumping system, this work shows that the use of H_2 is beneficial in kHz LWFAs driven by few-cycle pulses.

To gain more insight into the influence of the nature of the gas on the properties of the accelerated beams, we have performed a comprehensive study of the impact of the gas used to create the plasma, in the specific frame of few-cycle LWFA. In addition, we demonstrate an operational 1-kHz accelerator working with a continuous flow of H₂, by maintaining a good vacuum in the chamber through a differential pumping scheme. This allowed us to systematically study the influence of three different gases on the accelerated electron beams: nitrogen N₂, helium He and hydrogen H₂.

The paper is organized as follows: in Sec. II, we recall the various known effects of the gas ionization on the laser pulse. Sec. III describes the differential pumping system and the experimental apparatus. In Sec. IV, we present the results of the gas comparison study, with additional emphasis on exploring the characteristics of electron beams accelerated with the H₂ plasma. In Sec. V, the injection and acceleration process is investigated through particle-incell simulations, and we discuss our interpretations in Sec. VI. before delivering our conclusions in Sec. VII.

II. IONIZATION EFFECTS ON THE LASER PULSE

In term of energy balance, the ionization process can usually be neglected in a LWFA, even for few-mJ pulses. In the particular case of our experiment, the absolute energy loss can be estimated as follows: the energy needed to ionize N₂ up to the fifth level in a cylinder with a 10 m radius and 200 m length filled with gas with density $n_N = 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ is $E_{ion} = 0.05 \text{ mJ}$, which is only 1.8% of the total energy of the laser pulse $E_{las} = 2.7 \text{ mJ}$. The main detrimental effects of ionization on the laser pulse come from the spatio-temporal distortions that are imprinted on the laser field. Indeed, the ionization rate varies in space and time. Figure 1 shows the laser intensity temporal profile I(t) for a Gaussian laser pulse with duration $\tau = 4$ fs at FWHM, and peak intensity



Figure 1. The laser intensity profile I(t) at focus (solid red line) and away from focus at $z = -3z_R$ (red dashed line). The horizontal lines correspond to the barrier suppression intensity I_{bs} (ionization threshold) for the electrons of the different gases. $I_{bs} = 4 \times 10^9 \frac{E_i^4 [eV]}{z^{*2}}$ [29, 30].

 $I = 2 \times 10^{18} \,\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ at focus, as in our experiment. The dashed lines depict the barrier suppression intensity I_{bs} for each ionization level of the three gases [29, 30]. H₂ is fully ionized when $I = 1.4 \times 10^{14} \,\mathrm{W \cdot cm^{-2}}$, between 7 and 8 fs before the peak intensity. Besides, the 2^{nd} ionization level of He and the 4^{th} and 5^{th} levels of N₂ are ionized when the laser reaches an intensity $I \simeq 10^{16} \,\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$, two orders of magnitude higher than for H_2 . This implies that the ionization front is at 5-6 fs, significantly closer to the intensity peak so that ionization of these levels will have a larger impact on the laser pulse distortion. This effect is even more pronounced when considering the laser before focus. The red dashed line in Figure 1 shows the laser intensity profile at $z = -3z_R$ (which is only $\sim 180 \,\mathrm{m}$ in our experiment), where its intensity is decreased by a factor of 10. Consequently, the ionization front now appears 4 fs before the peak intensity. This suggests even stronger ionization effects that detrimentally impact the spatio-temporal profile of the pulse outside the focal region as soon as the laser encounters significant gas density.

The first known effect of ionization is spectral/temporal distortion: an ultrafast variation of the refractive index η results in variations of the instantaneous frequency of

the laser pulse [31, 32]:

$$\Delta \omega = -\frac{\omega_0}{c} \int_0^L \frac{\partial \eta(\mathbf{r}, t, z)}{\partial t} dz \tag{1}$$

where ω_0 is the central frequency, **r** the transverse coordinate and z the propagation axis. The refraction index of the plasma is written $\eta = \left(1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega_0^2}\right)^{1/2}$ where the plasma frequency is $\omega_p^2 = e^2 n_e/m_e \epsilon_0$ with n_e the electronic density of the plasma, e and m_e the electron charge and mass respectively. This ionization-induced nonlinear phenomenon results in a frequency blue shift [33, 34].

Second, in the transverse plane, the incoming laser pulse intensity decreases along the radial axis. Therefore, close to the propagation axis, the gas is uniformly ionized whereas it is less ionized on the edges of the beam. The electronic density is therefore non-uniform across the laser beam cross-section, which causes the plasma to act as a defocusing lens [35]. Then, the laser peak intensity at the focus is reduced, which could negatively impact the properties of the accelerated electron beam.

In practice, both blue-shifting and ionization induced defocusing combine to create spatio-temporal distortions of the laser pulse [36]. The laser pulse is typically eroded around the ionization front where these effects are strongest and the ionization front moves into the pulse envelope. While this can often be ignored for > 30 fs pulses with Joule energy, these effects become particularly critical when dealing with few-mJ few-cycle laser pulses: as the ionization front moves into the laser pulse temporal envelope, ionization induced distortion becomes increasingly important. Consequently, H₂ should greatly minimize these effects because it has a single ionization level two orders of magnitude lower than the other available gases, and should therefore be the preferred choice for LWFA driven by few-mJ, few-cycle laser pulses.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

A. Differential pumping for using light gases in continuous operation

Even though H_2 appears ideal to mitigate ionization effects, until now, kHz LWFA experiments with continuous operation have only been performed using N₂ plasma [16, 17, 19, 26]. The use of H₂ has been restricted to burst mode operation [18, 28] because of pumping issues. Regarding the vacuum conditions, using N₂ is more convenient because each molecule provides 10 electrons, while atomic He or molecular H₂ provides only 2 electrons. Therefore, in order to reach the same electron plasma density with He or H₂, the backing pressure needs to be increased by a factor of about 5, which typically corresponds to 130 – 150 bar instead of 20 – 30 bar for N₂ [27]. Moreover, heavy gases are easier to pump than light gases: the compression ratio of the turbo-molecular



Figure 2. 3D diagram of the differential pumping system. The jet is inside the chamber and two apertures let the laser pass through the chamber, above the gas jet.

pump goes as $K \propto \exp(\sqrt{M})$ with M the molar mass of the gas, and the pumping speed goes as $S \propto -\ln(K)$ [37, 38], so the lighter the gas, the slower the pumping rate of the turbomolecular pump. This has been the bottleneck for using continuous flows of He or H_2 in LWFA. To circumvent this issue, we have designed a differential pumping system enabling the use of light gases at high pressure with continuous flow. The differential pumping system is depicted in Figure 2. It consists of a small vacuum chamber positioned around the gas jet, with two holes to allow the propagation of the laser and the electrons through the chamber. A large dry screw pump with a maximum pumping speed of $650 \,\mathrm{m^3/h}$ removes the gas directly from this small chamber just above the jet. This system makes it possible to keep the pressure in the main chamber below 10^{-3} mbar while having a continuous flow of He or H_2 from the nozzle with a backing pressure of up to 150 bar.

B. Laser wakefield accelerator

Laser: The laser wakefield accelerator is driven by the Salle Noire laser facility at the Laboratoire d'Optique Applique. It provides 10 mJ, 25 fs FWHM laser pulses at kilohertz repetition rate, with a central wavelength $\lambda_0 \sim 800$ nm. The laser pulses are post-compressed in a hollow-core fiber filled with helium, which expands the spectrum on a range from 650 nm to 900 nm FWHM through self-phase modulation. The amount of dispersion in the pulse is controlled through a pair of motorized fused silica wedges, permitting to fine-tune pulse compression and measure the temporal intensity profile using the d-scan technique [39]. In the end, the laser delivers 4 fs pulses at FWHM with 2.7 mJ on-target energy at



Figure 3. Experimental results. (a) Variations in electron beam profile as the gas species changes for different values of the electron density. Each image presents an average of 1 to 50 shots, and the color scale is then normalized to its maximum level. (b) Spectra of the electron beam for N₂, He and H₂ with $n_e = 1.15 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The thickness of the lines corresponds to the standard deviation from the mean value estimated over 10 acquisitions for N₂ and He, and 600 acquisitions for H₂. The error bars (in red) correspond to the resolution of the spectrometer at 1.5, 4 and 7 MeV.

1 kHz repetition rate [40, 41]. The pulses are focused by an off-axis parabola down to a 5 m spot at FWHM, reaching a peak intensity in vacuum $I = 1.8 \times 10^{18} \,\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$. The Rayleigh length of the laser beam is $z_r = 62 \,\mathrm{m}$. The laser is focused at a distance of 150 m from the exit of a continuously flowing supersonic-shocked gas jet with a 180 m exit diameter [42, 43]. The peak plasma density ranges within $n_e = 5 \times 10^{19} - 1.5 \times 10^{20} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. The hydrodynamic shock within the supersonic gas jet creates a downward density gradient, which facilitates and stabilizes the injection of electrons into the wakefield [26, 27].

Gas jet: The gas jet used is a supersonic-shocked nozzle, as described in [26, 27], with a throat diameter 60 m and an opening diameter 180 m. Density characterization is performed with N_2 gas, as in [27]: the gas column is illuminated from the side by white light and imaged on a quadriwave lateral shearing interferometer (SID4-HR, Phasics, [44, 45]). The electron density for a plasma made of N_2 is then obtained by assuming that each molecule is ionized up to the fifth level. In order to find the values for H₂ and He we assume complete ionization (see Figure 1). In addition, we used a 1D isentropic expansion model for compressible gas flows to extrapolate the molecular density in He and H_2 . The molecular density in the flow n_{mol} , can be expressed according to the Mach number M and its initial pressure and temperature, P_0 , T_0 in the reservoir [46].

$$n_{mol} = \frac{P_0}{k_B T_0} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}}$$
(2)

Here, the coefficient $\gamma = \frac{C_p}{C_v}$ is the specific heat ratio of the gas, and k_B the Boltzmann constant. For our gas jet, where $M \gtrsim 3$, with $P_0 = 40$ bar, we obtain at the exit of the nozzle $n_{mol} = 3.18 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for N₂, $n_{mol} = 3.65 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for He and $n_{mol} = 3.19 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for H₂. Thereafter and throughout this paper, the given electron density corresponds to the density at the plateau of the profile 150 m above the gas jet. The shock has been characterized in previous work [26, 27] and is typically identified by a 20 - 25% density bump compared to the plateau density.

Electron beam diagnostics: The electron beam charge, spatial profile and pointing are measured using a calibrated YAG screen imaged onto a high dynamic range CCD camera. The beam charge measurement has been cross-checked with an integrating charge transformer device [47, 48]. Aluminum foils in front of the YAG screen block electrons with energies below 100 keV. The electron energy spectrum is measured onto the same YAG screen by inserting a motorized magnetic spectrometer into the beam. This spectrometer uses two different sets of permanent magnetic dipoles, with magnetic fields of 0.12 T and 0.4 T over a 20 mm length respectively, allowing different sensitivities on the electron spectrum.

Data acquisition: Each image presented hereafter was obtained by averaging over 1 to 50 laser shots, depending on the signal level. For each measurement point (i.e. a given value of experimental parameters such as the density, the laser pulse duration, etc...), a series of 10 to 500 acquisitions is taken, depending on the statistics that we require. Statistics over electron beam parameters are then obtained by averaging over an acquisitions series, and the uncertainties represent the RMS deviation from the mean value.

IV. RESULTS

A. Gas comparison

We examined three different gases: nitrogen, helium and hydrogen. For each gas, a scan across plasma density n_e was performed. For each measurement pair (gas, n_e), the beam charge and energy were optimized by adjusting the position of the gas jet with respect to the laser focal position, and by tuning the chirp of the laser pulse. During the optimization process, longitudinal position adjustments were made within a range of $\pm 0.6z_r$, rendering them negligible. Regarding the laser chirp, the optimized values ranged from $+3 \text{ fs}^2$ to $+10 \text{ fs}^2$ but could not be correlated to any specific trend and were therefore also deemed insignificant. Note that the fact that a positive chirp yield optimized electron beams was observed in our previous work [16] and can be explained by the necessity to pre-compensate the plasma negative dispersion in order to obtain the best compression within the plasma.

The angular distributions of the electron beams are presented in Figure 3a. The smallest beam divergence is reached in H₂ with an electronic density $n_e = 1.15 \times$ $10^{20} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. It is nearly circular with a divergence of $\Delta \theta = 15.2 \ (\pm 7.0 \,\mathrm{mrad} \,\mathrm{RMS})$ at FWHM in the laser polarization direction and $\Delta \theta = 13.0 \ (\pm 3.5 \,\mathrm{mrad} \,\mathrm{RMS})$ FWHM in the perpendicular direction. For the same electronic density, the electron beam from N_2 has a divergence $\Delta \theta = 49 \ (\pm 7.3 \,\mathrm{mrad} \,\mathrm{RMS})$ FWHM (averaged in the two directions), which is 3.4 times bigger than in H_2 . In He, for this particular density, the beam is even larger with a divergence $\Delta \theta = 120 \ (\pm 6 \text{ mrad RMS})$ FWHM. However, for higher density, the beam size in He goes down to $\Delta \theta = 42.5 \ (\pm 4.4 \text{ mrad RMS})$ FWHM while the beam size in N₂ increases to $\Delta \theta = 76 \ (\pm 14.9 \,\mathrm{mrad})$ RMS) FWHM. This density could not be reached in H_2 as this would require backing pressures exceeding the limit of our pressure controller (150 bar). The charge of the beam ranges from 1 pC to 3 pC for beams accelerated in He and H₂ at densities higher than $9 \times 10^{19} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$, but stays around $\sim 1 \,\mathrm{pC}$ or less in N₂.

Figure 3b, represents the spectrum (averaged over 10 acquisitions for N₂ and He, over 600 acquisitions for H₂) of the electrons accelerated in the three gases with electronic density $n_e = 1.15 \times 10^{20} \,\mathrm{cm^{-3}}$. The thickness of the plot lines corresponds to the RMS fluctuations of the data. The red line corresponds to the resolution of the spectrometer. The electron beam accelerated with a H₂ plasma clearly stands out with a peak energy $E_{peak} = 7 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ and the tail of the distribution extend-



Figure 4. (a) FWHM beam divergence averaged on both the x and y axes, the smaller the value, the better the beam. Each data point represents an average over at least 10 acquisitions. The vertical error bars indicate the standard deviation from the mean value. (b) Merit function $F(n_e, gas) = Q \times E_{peak}/\Delta\theta$, the higher the value, the better the beam. The vertical error bars are determined using the uncertainty propagation formula applied to the RMS values for each input parameter in F.

ing to 10 - 12 MeV. The width of the peak is limited by the resolution of the spectrometer. For the same density, electron beams from N₂ show a peak at 2.5 MeV, and He shows two peaks at lower energy. We thus observe a threefold energy gain switching from a N₂ or He plasma to a H₂ plasma.

In order to compare more systematically the three gases, we implemented the following merit function: $F(n_e, gas) = \frac{Q \times E_{peak}}{\Delta \theta}$, $\Delta \theta$ being the mean of the FWHM of the beam along x and y. This function promotes high charge beams with a high energy peak and a low beam divergence. Figure 4a shows that the beam profile is smaller for electrons accelerated in H₂ plasma. For low densities, the beam in He is smaller than in N₂, but for higher densities their size becomes similar. Now looking at the merit function, once again H₂ stands out with a merit function higher by more than an order of magni-



Figure 5. (a) Experimental results in a H₂ plasma with $n_e = 6.6 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. (i) Electron beam profile. Each beam profile is obtained with 10 shots. (ii) Spectrum. The spectrum is the result of averaging 100 shots. The thickness of the line corresponds to the RMS fluctuations of the spectrum. (b) Characteristics of the electron beam measured continuously over 300 shots (randomly sampled over 7 minutes) at density $n_e = 8 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. (i) Measured charge, each point is averaged over 50 shots. (ii) Measured electron spectra, each spectrum is averaged over 500 shots. (iii) Measured beam divergence, each profile is a slice of 3 pixels around the measured centroid of the beam averaged over 50 shots.

tude. And again He yields better results than N_2 for low densities.

B. Exploring the stability of electron beams in ${\rm H}_2$ plasma

Considering the clear advantage of H₂ plasmas, we explored the properties of electron beams generated using a H₂ plasma, focusing on obtaining high-quality and highstability beams. Figure 5a. shows the experimental results in a H₂ plasma with $n_e = 6.6 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The top part represents 10 acquisitions of the electron beam profile, each image is averaged over 10 shots. The electron beams have a charge per shot $Q = 970 \,\mathrm{fC} \,(\pm 30 \,\mathrm{fC RMS})$. which means that the relative RMS fluctuations of the charge shot to shot are as low as 3%. The beam pointing has a RMS stability of 2 mrad, which means that the electron beam profile has excellent stability properties considering its acceleration through LWFA. The lower part of Figure 5a shows the spectrum of the electrons averaged over 10 acquisition (each of 10 laser shots), and the thickness of the line corresponds to the RMS fluctuations of the spectrum. The spectrum is quasi-monoenergetic with a peak energy of $4.7 \,\mathrm{MeV} \ (\pm 0.1 \,\mathrm{MeV} \ \mathrm{RMS})$, and with an energy spread of $1.45 \,\mathrm{MeV} \ (\pm 0.14 \,\mathrm{MeV} \,\mathrm{RMS})$. Figure 5b shows the stability study of the beam generated using a H₂ plasma with a density $n_e = 8 \times 10^{19} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. We recorded 300 randomly sampled acquisitions over 7 minutes, while the accelerator operated at 1 kHz with

no active stabilization. For each acquisition, the charge, spectrum, and spatial profile of the electron beam were recorded. The results show an averaged charge Q = 340 fC with RMS relative fluctuations below 6%, an averaged peak energy $E_{peak} = 2.7$ MeV with a RMS stability as low as 0.7%, and shot-to-shot beam pointing stability of only 2 mrad RMS.

V. SIMULATIONS

To understand the difference in performance of the accelerator when using different gases, we performed Particle-In-Cell (PIC) simulations for the three different gases while keeping the same laser parameters and final electron plasma density. The modeling is done with the spectral quasi-3D code FBPIC [49], using a cylindrical simulation domain with the grid size $\Delta z = 20.6 \,\mathrm{nm}$, $\Delta r = 2\Delta z$, three azimuthal Fourier modes, and 32 macro-particles of initially neutral gas per cell. The laser duration is 4.2 fs FWHM, with 2.7 mJ energy and a focal spot size of 4.5 m FWHM, corresponding to the experimental parameters. The gas target is initially neutral and consists of N, He, or H atoms, with number densities adjusted so that the final electron density is the same for all three cases. The density profile is taken from a previous measurement [26] where a similar gas jet was used. The peak electron density is set to reach $n_e = 2 \times 10^{20} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ after ionization. Special care was taken to ensure that ionization was properly modeled as



Figure 6. PIC simulations results. (a) Beam profile and (b) spectra of the simulated beams obtained in N₂, He and H₂ plasma with $n_e = 1.5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $E_{las} = 2.7 \text{ mJ}$ and $\tau = 4.2 \text{ fs}$. (c) Spatial spectrum of the laser pulse after propagation at z = 75 m. The images are saturated on purpose to highlight the blue shift and defocusing. (d) Laser normalized amplitude a_0 along the propagation in the plasma z. The gray area represents the density profile of the gas used for the simulations. The density downramp is located at $z \simeq 30 - 40 \text{ m}$.

we expect the ionization process to be a key element to explain the experimental results: (i) all initial macroparticles are initially neutral and ionization relies on the ADK tunnel ionization model [29, 30], (ii) the laser is initialized at $z = -180 \,\mathrm{m}$ in the simulation so that the propagation of the laser pulse from the entrance of the gas jet is correctly accounted for (see 6d for the representation of the z-axis). Figure 6 shows the result of the PIC simulation and displays the beam profiles (6a.)and the spectra (6b) of the accelerated electron beams in the three gases. As expected, a higher charge and a better-defined spatial profile is reached in the case of a H_2 plasma compared to the He or N_2 case. Moreover, in H_2 , electrons achieve higher energies, with a peak at 8 MeV while the peak energy with He and N_2 is of 5 MeV. The simulations are in good agreement with the trends that are observed in experiments, with better results obtained with a H_2 plasma in terms of charge, energy and divergence.

These PIC simulations are now used to gain additional insight into the influence of ionization on the acceleration mechanism. The impact of propagation in a ionizing gas can be seen in Figure 6c. The electric field of the laser pulse is depicted as a function of the spatial frequency and radial position for the three gases at the time t = 0.862 ps, corresponding to propagation within the gas jet at z = 75 m. At this point, the laser pulse has already crossed the density downramp of the jet. Compared to the H₂ plasma, the laser pulse is significantly more distorted and shifted towards higher frequencies in He and N_2 plasmas. This blueshift can be directly attributed to gas ionization [33, 34]. Note that ionization-induced defocusing is also visible in the figures for He and N_2 as a transverse broadening of the laser pulse distribution, while it remains minimal for H_2 .

Figure 6d represents the normalized amplitude of the laser pulse, or normalized vector potential $a_0 = eE/m_ec$, during propagation throughout the gas jet for the three gases under study, along with the theoretical a_0 for propagation in vacuum. In H₂, a_0 reaches 1.8, while in He and N₂, it reaches 1.5 and 1.4, respectively. Notably, He and N₂ exhibit a very similar behavior. Consequently, self-focusing is more effective in H₂ than in He or N₂, as shown by the higher a_0 value. This suggests that the laser pulse experiences greater distortion in He and N₂ compared to H₂, which reduces the self-focusing effect in these gases.

Finally, Figure 7 illustrates the dynamics of electron injection for the different gases at time t = 0.862 ps. The wakefield within the electronic density (shown in green) is driven by the laser pulse here depicted in orange. Notably, the wakefield exhibits a larger amplitude in the H₂ plasma compared to He or N₂ plasma. Longitudinal momentum profiles of the injected electrons are plotted in brown as a function of longitudinal position. In the H₂ plasma, electrons are injected around 10 m behind the laser pulse within the plasma density downramp. In contrast, in the He and N₂ plasmas, electron injection occurs much later, at 30 to 50 m behind the laser pulse, and accelerated electrons reach lower longitudinal momenta than for the H_2 case.



Figure 7. PIC simulation results at simulation time t = 0.862 ps. Images showing the laser pulse (orange), the plasma wakefield (electronic density in green) and the injected electrons longitudinal momentum $u_z = p_z/m_e c$ (brown) in longitudinal phase space. Each line correspond to the results in one gas, from top to bottom: H₂, He and N₂.

VI. DISCUSSION

The simulation results confirm that ionization effects induce strong distortions in the laser pulse, manifesting as a blueshift in the spectral domain and ionizationinduced defocusing in the spatial domain. These effects degrade the wavefront of the laser pulse during propagation, diminishing its ability to self-focus. Notably, in a H_2 plasma where ionization effects are relatively weak, the laser reaches higher intensity at focus, leading to the generation of a wake with a larger amplitude.

In a stronger wake, electrons are trapped more readily [50, 51]. Consequently, in the H₂ plasma, where ionization effects are relatively weak, the stronger wake facilitates rapid trapping of electrons.

In contrast, in the He and N_2 plasmas, the wake amplitude is weaker because the laser intensity itself is weaker. Therefore, electrons are trapped further away from the laser pulse, at a location where the wakefield slows down. We recall the evolution of the wake phase velocity in a non-uniform plasma [52]:

$$v_{\phi} = v_g \frac{1}{1 + (z - v_g t) \frac{1}{k_p} \frac{\partial k_p}{\partial z}}$$
(3)

With $k_p(z) = \sqrt{4\pi r_e n_e(z)}$ the local plasma wave number, r_e is the classical electron radius, and $(z - v_g t)$ is the the laser co-moving coordinate. When the distance from the laser pulse $(z_{las} - v_g t)$ increases, the plasma wave slows down, which facilitates the trapping of electrons.

The trapped electrons are injected in the wake with an initial $\gamma \simeq \gamma_{\phi} = \left(1 - v_{\phi}^2/c^2\right)^{-1/2}$. The closer to the laser the electrons are injected, the higher γ_{ϕ} . In addition, before entering the decelerating phase, the electrons travel the dephasing length L_{deph} that can be approximated to $L_{deph} \simeq \gamma_{\phi}^2 \lambda_p$, where λ_p is the plasma wavelength. Thus, the L_{deph} grows quadratically with the initial momentum of the electrons $\gamma \sim \gamma_{\phi}$, which itself depend on the location of injection. In consequence, because injection occurs earlier in H₂, the dephasing length is bigger and electrons can reach higher energies.

In addition, the maximum energy gain is not only proportional to L_{deph} but is also proportional to the amplitude of electric field of the plasma wake:

$$\Delta E \propto e E_{LWFA} L_{deph} \tag{4}$$

In H_2 plasma, the wake has a greater amplitude, so the accelerating field is higher, and the dephasing length is longer as well, as described above. This explains why the electrons accelerated in the H_2 plasma reach higher energies in the experiments. On the contrary, in He and N_2 , the laser pulse is distorted because of ionization effects, thus self-focusing is weaker and the wake has a smaller amplitude. Electron injection occurs in the buckets further away from the laser where the wakefield is slow enough to trap plasma electrons. Thus, accelerated electrons experience less intense accelerating fields for a shorter accelerating length, explaining the lower energies. Indeed, PIC simulations (see figure electron longitudinal phase space in 7) confirm that the electrons injected far from the laser pulse dephase and undergo a decelerating field. In this case, the electrons might cross defocusing regions of the wake, which could also explain why they display a much larger divergence than the beams accelerated in the H_2 plasma.

VII. CONCLUSION

These results represent a clear experimental observation of the influence of the gas species on the electron beam quality and its spectrum in a kHz laser wakefield accelerator. Hydrogen clearly stands out from the other gases with higher energies (a 3-fold increase of the peak energy in H₂ compared to N₂), higher charge and smaller divergence (down to 13 mrad). Moreover, electrons accelerated in a H₂ plasma display excellent beam pointing and spectrum stability, with a shot-to-shot beam pointing stability down to 2 mrad RMS, and a peak energy stable at 0.7% RMS shot-to-shot. Electrons accelerated in He demonstrate results closer to those accelerated in nitrogen N₂ than in hydrogen H₂, primarily due to similar ionization levels occuring at 10^{16} W · cm². The implementation of the differential pumping system was a key enabler for this study, as it allowed us to conduct our experiments using light gases such as H₂ to produce kHz electron beams in a continuous mode operation. PIC simulation results reproduce all of the experimental observation and confirm that ionization effects distort the laser pulse significantly in He and N₂, which is found to be the cause of the loss of performance of the accelerator for these two gases.

Our results demonstrate that, despite the challenges posed by its pumping system and safety considerations, H_2 consistently outperforms other gases in generating high-quality electron beams due to its unique and low ionization level. These findings underscore the critical role of gas selection in laser-plasma acceleration, particularly when using few-cycle, few mJ laser pulses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement JRA PRISE no. 871124 Laserlab-Europe and IFAST under Grant Agreement No 101004730. This project was also funded by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche under Contract No. ANR-20-CE92-0043-01, and benefited from the support of Institut Pierre Lamoure via the chair Acclration laser-plasma haute cadence.

Financial support from the Rgion Ile-de-France (under Contract No. SESAME-2012-ATTOLITE) and the Extreme Light Infrastructure-Hungary Non-Profit Ltd (under Contract No. NLO3.6LOA) is gratefully acknowledged. We also acknowledge Laserlab-Europe, Grant No. H2020 EC-GA 654148 and the Lithuanian Research Council under Grant agreement No. S-MIP-17-79.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

- T. Tajima and J. M. Dawson, Laser Electron Accelerator, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 267 (1979).
- [2] J. Faure, Y. Glinec, A. Pukhov, S. Kiselev, S. Gordienko, E. Lefebvre, J.-P. Rousseau, F. Burgy, and V. Malka, A laserplasma accelerator producing monoenergetic electron beams, Nature 431, 541 (2004).
- [3] H. T. Kim, V. B. Pathak, K. Hong Pae, A. Lifschitz, F. Sylla, J. H. Shin, C. Hojbota, S. K. Lee, J. H. Sung, H. W. Lee, E. Guillaume, C. Thaury, K. Nakajima, J. Vieira, L. O. Silva, V. Malka, and C. H. Nam, Stable multi-GeV electron accelerator driven by waveformcontrolled PW laser pulses, Sci Rep 7, 10203 (2017).
- [4] K. Oubrerie, A. Leblanc, O. Kononenko, R. Lahaye, I. A. Andriyash, J. Gautier, J.-P. Goddet, L. Martelli, A. Tafzi, K. T. Phuoc, S. Smartsev, and C. Thaury, Controlled acceleration of GeV electron beams in an alloptical plasma waveguide, Light: Science and Applications 11, 180 (2022).
- [5] B. Miao, J. Shrock, L. Feder, R. Hollinger, J. Morrison, R. Nedbailo, A. Picksley, H. Song, S. Wang, J. Rocca, and H. Milchberg, Multi-GeV Electron Bunches from an All-Optical Laser Wakefield Accelerator, Phys. Rev. X 12, 031038 (2022).
- [6] A. Gonsalves, K. Nakamura, J. Daniels, C. Benedetti, C. Pieronek, T. de Raadt, S. Steinke, J. Bin, S. Bulanov, J. van Tilborg, C. Geddes, C. Schroeder, C. Tth, E. Esarey, K. Swanson, L. Fan-Chiang, G. Bagdasarov, N. Bobrova, V. Gasilov, G. Korn, P. Sasorov, and W. Leemans, Petawatt Laser Guiding and Electron Beam Acceleration to 8 GeV in a Laser-Heated Capillary Discharge Waveguide, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 084801 (2019).

- [7] C. Aniculaesei, T. Ha, S. Yoffe, L. Labun, S. Milton, E. McCary, M. M. Spinks, H. J. Quevedo, O. Z. Labun, R. Sain, A. Hannasch, R. Zgadzaj, I. Pagano, J. A. Franco-Altamirano, M. L. Ringuette, E. Gaul, S. V. Luedtke, G. Tiwari, B. Ersfeld, E. Brunetti, H. Ruhl, T. Ditmire, S. Bruce, M. E. Donovan, M. C. Downer, D. A. Jaroszynski, and B. M. Hegelich, The acceleration of a high-charge electron bunch to 10 GeV in a 10-cm nanoparticle-assisted wakefield accelerator, Matter and Radiation at Extremes 9, 014001 (2023).
- [8] A. Rousse, K. T. Phuoc, R. Shah, A. Pukhov, E. Lefebvre, V. Malka, S. Kiselev, F. Burgy, J.-P. Rousseau, D. Umstadter, and D. Hulin, Production of a keV X-Ray Beam from Synchrotron Radiation in Relativistic Laser-Plasma Interaction, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 135005 (2004).
- [9] K. Ta Phuoc, S. Corde, C. Thaury, V. Malka, A. Tafzi, J. P. Goddet, R. C. Shah, S. Sebban, and A. Rousse, All-optical Compton gamma-ray source, Nature Photon 6, 308 (2012).
- [10] S. Chen, N. D. Powers, I. Ghebregziabher, C. M. Maharjan, C. Liu, G. Golovin, S. Banerjee, J. Zhang, N. Cunningham, A. Moorti, S. Clarke, S. Pozzi, and D. P. Umstadter, MeV-Energy X Rays from Inverse Compton Scattering with Laser-Wakefield Accelerated Electrons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 155003 (2013).
- [11] M. Fuchs, R. Weingartner, A. Popp, Z. Major, S. Becker, J. Osterhoff, I. Cortrie, B. Zeitler, R. Hrlein, G. D. Tsakiris, U. Schramm, T. P. Rowlands-Rees, S. M. Hooker, D. Habs, F. Krausz, S. Karsch, and F. Grner, Laser-driven soft-X-ray undulator source, Nature Phys 5, 826 (2009).

- [12] W. Wang, K. Feng, L. Ke, C. Yu, Y. Xu, R. Qi, Y. Chen, Z. Qin, Z. Zhang, M. Fang, J. Liu, K. Jiang, H. Wang, C. Wang, X. Yang, F. Wu, Y. Leng, J. Liu, R. Li, and Z. Xu, Free-electron lasing at 27 nanometres based on a laser wakefield accelerator, Nature 595, 516 (2021).
- [13] M. Khojoyan, F. Briquez, M. Labat, A. Loulergue, O. Marcouill, F. Marteau, G. Sharma, and M. E. Couprie, Transport studies of LPA electron beam towards the FEL amplification at COXINEL, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 2nd European Advanced Accelerator Concepts Workshop -EAAC 2015, 829, 260 (2016).
- [14] A. R. Maier, N. M. Delbos, T. Eichner, L. Hbner, S. Jalas, L. Jeppe, S. W. Jolly, M. Kirchen, V. Leroux, P. Messner, M. Schnepp, M. Trunk, P. A. Walker, C. Werle, and P. Winkler, Decoding Sources of Energy Variability in a Laser-Plasma Accelerator, Phys. Rev. X 10, 031039 (2020).
- [15] R. Budrinas, T. Stanislauskas, J. Adamonis, A. Aleknaviius, G. Veitas, D. Gadonas, S. Balickas, A. Michailovas, and A. Varanaviius, 53 W average power CEP-stabilized OPCPA system delivering 5.5 TW few cycle pulses at 1 kHz repetition rate, Opt. Express, OE 25, 5797 (2017).
- [16] D. Gunot, D. Gustas, A. Vernier, B. Beaurepaire, F. Bhle, M. Bocoum, M. Lozano, A. Jullien, R. Lopez-Martens, A. Lifschitz, and J. Faure, Relativistic electron beams driven by kHz single-cycle light pulses, Nature Photon 11, 293 (2017).
- [17] D. Gustas, D. Gunot, A. Vernier, S. Dutt, F. Bhle, R. Lopez-Martens, A. Lifschitz, and J. Faure, Highcharge relativistic electron bunches from a kHz laserplasma accelerator, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 21, 013401 (2018).
- [18] F. Salehi, A. J. Goers, G. A. Hine, L. Feder, D. Kuk, B. Miao, D. Woodbury, K. Y. Kim, and H. M. Milchberg, MeV electron acceleration at 1 kHz with <10 mJ laser pulses, Opt. Lett., OL 42, 215 (2017).
- [19] J. Faure, D. Gustas, D. Gunot, A. Vernier, F. Bhle, M. Ouill, S. Haessler, R. Lopez-Martens, and A. Lifschitz, A review of recent progress on laser-plasma acceleration at kHz repetition rate, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 61, 014012 (2018).
- [20] Z.-H. He, A. G. R. Thomas, B. Beaurepaire, J. A. Nees, B. Hou, V. Malka, K. Krushelnick, and J. Faure, Electron diffraction using ultrafast electron bunches from a laser-wakefield accelerator at kHz repetition rate, Applied Physics Letters **102**, 064104 (2013).
- [21] J. Faure, B. van der Geer, B. Beaurepaire, G. Gall, A. Vernier, and A. Lifschitz, Concept of a laser-plasmabased electron source for sub-10-fs electron diffraction, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 19, 021302 (2016).
- [22] O. Rigaud, N. O. Fortunel, P. Vaigot, E. Cadio, M. T. Martin, O. Lundh, J. Faure, C. Rechatin, V. Malka, and Y. A. Gauduel, Exploring ultrashort high-energy electron-induced damage in human carcinoma cells, Cell Death Dis 1, e73 (2010).
- [23] O. Lundh, C. Rechatin, J. Faure, A. Ben-Ismal, J. Lim, C. De Wagter, W. De Neve, and V. Malka, Comparison of measured with calculated dose distribution from a 120-MeV electron beam from a laser-plasma accelerator, Medical Physics **39**, 3501 (2012).
- [24] M. Cavallone, L. Rovige, J. Huijts, . Bayart, R. Delorme, A. Vernier, P. G. Jorge, R. Moeckli, E. Deutsch, J. Faure,

and A. Flacco, Dosimetric characterisation and application to radiation biology of a kHz laser-driven electron beam, Appl. Phys. B 127, 57 (2021).

- [25] C. Gahn, G. D. Tsakiris, G. Pretzler, K. J. Witte, P. Thirolf, D. Habs, C. Delfin, and C.-G. Wahlstrm, Generation of MeV electrons and positrons with femtosecond pulses from a table-top laser system, Physics of Plasmas 9, 987 (2002).
- [26] L. Rovige, J. Huijts, I. Andriyash, A. Vernier, V. Tomkus, V. Girdauskas, G. Raciukaitis, J. Dudutis, V. Stankevic, P. Gecys, M. Ouille, Z. Cheng, R. Lopez-Martens, and J. Faure, Demonstration of stable long-term operation of a kilohertz laser-plasma accelerator, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 23, 093401 (2020).
- [27] L. Rovige, J. Huijts, A. Vernier, I. Andriyash, F. Sylla, V. Tomkus, V. Girdauskas, G. Raciukaitis, J. Dudutis, V. Stankevic, P. Gecys, and J. Faure, Symmetric and asymmetric shocked gas jets for laser-plasma experiments, Review of Scientific Instruments **92**, 083302 (2021).
- [28] F. Salehi, M. Le, L. Railing, M. Kolesik, and H. Milchberg, Laser-Accelerated, Low-Divergence 15-MeV Quasimonoenergetic Electron Bunches at 1 kHz, Phys. Rev. X 11, 021055 (2021).
- [29] M. V. Ammosov, N. B. Delone, and V. P. Krainov, Tunnel ionization of complex atoms and of atomic ions in an alternating electromagnetic field, Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics 64, 1191 (1986).
- [30] S. Augst, D. Strickland, D. D. Meyerhofer, S. L. Chin, and J. H. Eberly, Tunneling ionization of noble gases in a high-intensity laser field, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 2212 (1989).
- [31] E. Yablonovitch, Self-phase modulation and short-pulse generation from laser-breakdown plasmas., Phys. Rev. A 10 (1974).
- [32] S. C. Wilks, J. M. Dawson, and W. B. Mori, Frequency Up-Conversion of Electromagnetic Radiation with Use of an Overdense Plasma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 337 (1988).
- [33] W. M. Wood, G. Focht, and M. C. Downer, Tight focusing and blue shifting of millijoule femtosecond pulses from a conical axicon amplifier, Opt. Lett., OL 13, 984 (1988).
- [34] W. M. Wood, C. W. Siders, and M. C. Downer, Measurement of femtosecond ionization dynamics of atmospheric density gases by spectral blueshifting, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3523 (1991).
- [35] S. C. Rae, Ionization-induced defocusing of intense laser pulses in high-pressure gases, Optics Communications 97, 25 (1993).
- [36] B. Beaurepaire, D. Gunot, A. Vernier, F. Bhle, M. Perrier, A. Jullien, R. Lopez-Martens, A. Lifschitz, and J. Faure, Limitations in ionization-induced compression of femtosecond laser pulses due to spatio-temporal couplings, Opt. Express, OE 24, 9693 (2016).
- [37] W. Gaede, Die Diffusion der Gase durch Quecksilberdampf bei niederen Drucken und die Diffusionsluftpumpe, Annalen der Physik **351**, 357 (1915).
- [38] W. Becker, The turbomolecular pump, its design, operation and theory; calculation of the pumping speed for various gases and their dependence on the forepump, Vacuum 16, 625 (1966).
- [39] M. Miranda, C. L. Arnold, T. Fordell, F. Silva, B. Alonso, R. Weigand, A. LHuillier, and H. Crespo, Characterization of broadband few-cycle laser pulses with the d-scan

technique, Opt. Express, OE 20, 18732 (2012).

- [40] F. Bhle, M. Kretschmar, A. Jullien, M. Kovacs, M. Miranda, R. Romero, H. Crespo, U. Morgner, P. Simon, R. Lopez-Martens, and T. Nagy, Compression of CEPstable multi-mJ laser pulses down to 4 fs in long hollow fibers, Laser Phys. Lett. 11, 095401 (2014).
- [41] M. Ouill, A. Vernier, F. Bhle, M. Bocoum, A. Jullien, M. Lozano, J.-P. Rousseau, Z. Cheng, D. Gustas, A. Blumenstein, P. Simon, S. Haessler, J. Faure, T. Nagy, and R. Lopez-Martens, Relativistic-intensity near-singlecycle light waveforms at kHz repetition rate, Light Sci Appl 9, 47 (2020).
- [42] V. Tomkus, V. Girdauskas, J. Dudutis, P. Geys, V. Stankevi, and G. Raiukaitis, High-density gas capillary nozzles manufactured by hybrid 3D laser machining technique from fused silica, Opt. Express, OE 26, 27965 (2018).
- [43] A. Marcinkeviius, S. Juodkazis, M. Watanabe, M. Miwa, S. Matsuo, H. Misawa, and J. Nishii, Femtosecond laser-assisted three-dimensional microfabrication in silica, Opt. Lett., OL 26, 277 (2001).
- [44] J. Primot and L. Sogno, Achromatic three-wave (or more) lateral shearing interferometer, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, JOSAA 12, 2679 (1995).
- [45] J. Primot and N. Gurineau, Extended Hartmann test based on the pseudoguiding property of a Hartmann

mask completed by a phase chessboard, Appl. Opt., AO **39**, 5715 (2000).

- [46] R. D. Zucker and O. Biblarz, Fundamentals of Gas Dynamics, 2nd ed. (John Wiley & Sons Inc, Hoboken, NJ, 2002).
- [47] K. Unser, Design and preliminary tests of a beam intensity monitor for LEP, in *Proceedings of the 1989 IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference, . 'Accelerator Science* and Technology (1989) pp. 71–73 vol.1.
- [48] S.-i. Meigo, H. Nakashima, and H. Takada, Measurement of profile and intensity of proton beam by an integrating current transformer and a segmented parallel-plate ion chamber for the AGS-spallation target experiment (ASTE), Tech. Rep. (Japan, 2001).
- [49] R. Lehe, M. Kirchen, I. A. Andriyash, B. B. Godfrey, and J.-L. Vay, A spectral, quasi-cylindrical and dispersionfree Particle-In-Cell algorithm, Computer Physics Communications 203, 66 (2016).
- [50] E. Esarey and M. Pilloff, Trapping and acceleration in nonlinear plasma waves, Physics of Plasmas 2, 1432 (1995).
- [51] J. Faure, Plasma Injection Schemes for LaserPlasma Accelerators, CERN Yellow Reports 1, 143 (2016).
- [52] A. V. Brantov, T. Z. Esirkepov, M. Kando, H. Kotaki, V. Y. Bychenkov, and S. V. Bulanov, Controlled electron injection into the wake wave using plasma density inhomogeneity, Physics of Plasmas 15, 073111 (2008).