

Spinor-Helicity Varieties

Yassine El Maazouz, Anaëlle Pfister and Bernd Sturmfels

Abstract

The spinor-helicity formalism in particle physics gives rise to natural subvarieties in the product of two Grassmannians. These include two-step flag varieties for subspaces of complementary dimension. Taking Hadamard products leads to Mandelstam varieties. We study these varieties through the lens of combinatorics and commutative algebra, and we explore their tropicalization, positive geometry, and scattering correspondence.

1 Introduction

Given two matrices λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ of format $k \times n$, consider the property that the $k \times k$ matrix $\lambda \cdot \tilde{\lambda}^T$ has rank at most r where $0 \leq r \leq k \leq n$. We wish to express this property in terms of the $k \times k$ minors of the matrices λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$. This situation arises in the study of *scattering amplitudes* in quantum field theory [3]. The special case when $k = 2$ and $r = 0$ is known as *spinor-helicity formalism*; for textbook basics see [3, Section 1.8] and [16, Section 2.2]. In physics, it is customary to write $\langle ij \rangle$ for the 2×2 minors of λ and $[ij]$ for the 2×2 minors of $\tilde{\lambda}$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and these minors satisfy the *momentum conservation* relations.

Example 1.1 ($k = 2, n = 5, r = 0$). We consider the two skew-symmetric 5×5 matrices

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \langle 12 \rangle & \langle 13 \rangle & \langle 14 \rangle & \langle 15 \rangle \\ -\langle 12 \rangle & 0 & \langle 23 \rangle & \langle 24 \rangle & \langle 25 \rangle \\ -\langle 13 \rangle & -\langle 23 \rangle & 0 & \langle 34 \rangle & \langle 35 \rangle \\ -\langle 14 \rangle & -\langle 24 \rangle & -\langle 34 \rangle & 0 & \langle 45 \rangle \\ -\langle 15 \rangle & -\langle 25 \rangle & -\langle 35 \rangle & -\langle 45 \rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & [12] & [13] & [14] & [15] \\ -[12] & 0 & [23] & [24] & [25] \\ -[13] & -[23] & 0 & [34] & [35] \\ -[14] & -[24] & -[34] & 0 & [45] \\ -[15] & -[25] & -[35] & -[45] & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These matrices have rank two, meaning that the 4×4 Pfaffians vanish for both matrices:

$$\langle ij \rangle \langle kl \rangle - \langle ik \rangle \langle jl \rangle + \langle il \rangle \langle jk \rangle = [ij][kl] - [ik][jl] + [il][jk] = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq 5. \quad (1)$$

These *quadratic Plücker relations* are known as *Schouten identities* in physics [3, eqn (1.116)]. Momentum conservation [3, eqn (1.117)] stipulates that the product $P \cdot Q^T$ is the zero matrix:

$$\langle i1 \rangle [1j] + \langle i2 \rangle [2j] + \langle i3 \rangle [3j] + \langle i4 \rangle [4j] + \langle i5 \rangle [5j] = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 5. \quad (2)$$

In total, we have a system of $5 + 5 + 25 = 35$ quadratic equations in $\binom{5}{2} + \binom{5}{2} = 20$ unknowns. The equations (1) define a product of two Grassmannians $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \times \text{Gr}(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9 \times \mathbb{P}^9$.

Inside this product, the bilinear equations (2) cut out a variety of dimension 8. This is our spinor-helicity variety, denoted $\text{SH}(2, 5, 0)$. Its bidegree in $\mathbb{P}^9 \times \mathbb{P}^9$ is the cohomology class

$$5s^3t^7 + 10s^4t^6 + 12s^5t^5 + 10s^6t^4 + 5s^7t^3 \in H^*(\mathbb{P}^9 \times \mathbb{P}^9, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (3)$$

The 35 quadrics (1) and (2) generate the prime ideal of $\text{SH}(2, 5, 0)$. This ideal coincides with the ideal of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(3, 6)$, which has codimension 10 and degree 42 in \mathbb{P}^{19} . This identification was observed by Bossinger, Drummond and Glew [7], who used the term *massless scattering ideal* and the notation $I_{5\text{pt}}$ for the ideal of $\text{SH}(2, 5, 0)$. In [7, Section 6.2] they derive the tropicalization of $\text{SH}(2, 5, 0)$ from that of $\text{Gr}(3, 6)$; see [22, Example 4.4.10].

The Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ is the subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$ defined by the Plücker equations. Points in $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ represent k -dimensional linear subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n . See e.g. [23, Chapter 5]. Every point in $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ is the row space of a matrix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times n}$ of rank k . We consider the set

$$\{ (\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}) : \lambda, \tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times n}, \text{rank}(\lambda) = \text{rank}(\tilde{\lambda}) = k, \text{ and } \text{rank}(\lambda \cdot \tilde{\lambda}^T) \leq r \}. \quad (4)$$

We define the *spinor-helicity variety* to be the image of (4) in the product of Grassmannians:

$$\text{SH}(k, n, r) \subset \text{Gr}(k, n) \times \text{Gr}(k, n) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}. \quad (5)$$

In what follows, we study the algebra, combinatorics and geometry of the inclusion (5). Our presentation aims to be accessible to a wide range of readers, not just from mathematics, but also from physics. The prerequisites are at the level of the algebra textbooks [23, 24, 27].

The motivation for this project arose from our desire to understand the spinor-helicity formalism in physics. The variety $\text{SH}(2, n, 0)$ is widely used for scattering amplitudes [3, 16]. Cachazo, Early, Guevara and Mizera [11, Section 5.1] proposed the variety $\text{SH}(k, n, k-2)$ as a model to encode kinematic data for particle scattering. Scattering amplitudes in the CEGM model are computed by integrating over the moduli space $X(k, n)$ of n points in \mathbb{P}^{k-1} . The articles [1, 12, 28] studied the scattering potential on $X(k, n)$. The nonlinear structure of the kinematic data was highlighted in Lam's lectures [21]. We here examine this in detail.

The kinematic data are summarized in the Mandelstam invariants (27). In the $k=2$ case from Example 1.1, the moduli space is $X(2, n) = \mathcal{M}_{0,n}$, and the *Mandelstam invariants* are

$$s_{ij} = \langle ij \rangle [ij]. \quad (6)$$

These quantities play the role of the data in the log-likelihood interpretation of [28]. Thus, from the algebraic statistics perspective, our topic here is the geometry of data space. The fundamental object which underlies this geometry is the spinor-helicity variety $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present quadratic polynomials that form a Gröbner basis for the prime ideal of $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$. The underlying toric degeneration is represented by a poset constructed from two copies of Young's lattice for $\text{Gr}(k, n)$. The special case $r=0$ is understood by identifying $\text{SH}(k, n, 0)$ with the two-step flag variety $\text{Fl}(k, n-k; \mathbb{C}^n)$. In Section 3 we express the momentum conservation equations by a matrix product PQ^T which generalizes (2), and we show that these equations generate the prime ideal of (5). Theorem 3.5 features a Khovanskii basis for the coordinate ring of $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$.

Section 4 investigates the polynomial relations among the the Mandelstam invariants. These relations define the *Mandelstam variety* $M(k, n, r)$ in the kinematic subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$. We study both parametric and implicit representations of this variety. The generators of its prime ideal for the case $k = 2$ are presented in Theorem 4.5. We note in Proposition 4.13 that $M(k, n, k)$ is the Hadamard product (see [5]) of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ with itself.

In Section 5 we turn to positive geometry and tropical geometry. We introduce the positive parts of $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$ and $M(k, n, r)$, we discuss their boundaries, and we compute some associated tropical varieties. For $r = 0$, these structures arise from the flag variety.

In Section 6 we study the scattering correspondence. This is a variety in the product space

$$M(k, n, r) \times X(k, n),$$

where $X(k, n)$ is the moduli space for n points in \mathbb{P}^{k-1} . It parametrizes pairs of Mandelstam invariants and solutions to their scattering equations; see [21, eqn (0.2)]. This mirrors the likelihood correspondence in statistics [19, Definition 1.5]. Building on [21, Section 4.3], we offer a mathematical perspective on results from the physics literature, mostly for $k = 2$.

This article is accompanied by software and data. These materials are made available in the **MathRepo** collection at MPI-MiS via <https://mathrepo.mis.mpg.de/SpinorHelicity>.

2 Two Grassmannians and their Posets

We fix two copies of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, n) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$. The first Grassmannian has Plücker coordinates $\langle i_1 i_2 \dots i_k \rangle$, representing maximal minors of λ . The second one has Plücker coordinates $[i_1 i_2 \dots i_k]$, representing maximal minors of $\tilde{\lambda}$. These expressions are antisymmetric, so we usually assume $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$. For instance, for $k = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 123 \rangle &= -\langle 132 \rangle = -\langle 213 \rangle = \langle 231 \rangle = \langle 312 \rangle = -\langle 321 \rangle \\ \text{and } [123] &= -[132] = -[213] = [231] = [312] = -[321]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Their relations are given by two copies of the Plücker ideal, denoted $J_{k,n}$ and $\tilde{J}_{k,n}$. To describe this ideal, we introduce Young's lattice $Y_{k,n}$. This is the partially ordered set (poset) whose elements are the $\binom{n}{k}$ Plücker coordinates. The order relation in $Y_{k,n}$ is defined by

$$\langle i_1 i_2 \dots i_k \rangle \leq \langle j_1 j_2 \dots j_k \rangle \quad :\iff \quad i_1 \leq j_1 \text{ and } i_2 \leq j_2 \text{ and } \dots \text{ and } i_k \leq j_k.$$

Let $\tilde{Y}_{k,n}$ be a second copy of Young's poset, but now with the order relation reversed:

$$[i_1 i_2 \dots i_k] \leq [j_1 j_2 \dots j_k] \quad :\iff \quad i_1 \geq j_1 \text{ and } i_2 \geq j_2 \text{ and } \dots \text{ and } i_k \geq j_k.$$

The following result on the ideal $J_{k,n}$ of the Grassmannian is well-known (see [27, §3.1]).

Proposition 2.1. *The prime ideal $J_{k,n}$ is generated by the quadratic Plücker relations*

$$\sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^s \cdot \langle i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k-1} j_s \rangle \cdot \langle j_0 j_1 \dots j_{s-1} j_{s+1} \dots j_k \rangle. \quad (8)$$

These quadrics are a Gröbner basis for the reverse lexicographic term order given by any linear extension of $Y_{k,n}$. The initial ideal of $J_{k,n}$ is generated by the incomparable pairs in $Y_{k,n}$.

The key point of this result is that every incomparable pair lifts to a quadric in $J_{k,n}$.

Example 2.2 ($k = 4, n = 8$). The elements $\langle 1278 \rangle$ and $\langle 3456 \rangle$ are incomparable in $Y_{4,8}$. The Plücker relation (8) for the triple $i_1 i_2 i_3 = 127$ and the quintuple $j_0 j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4 = 34568$ is

$$\langle \mathbf{1278} \rangle \langle \mathbf{3456} \rangle + \langle 1267 \rangle \langle 3458 \rangle - \langle 1257 \rangle \langle 3468 \rangle + \langle 1247 \rangle \langle 3568 \rangle - \langle 1237 \rangle \langle 4568 \rangle.$$

The monomials are listed in the term order we have chosen. The initial monomial is the prescribed incomparable pair. Our quadric is not in the reduced Gröbner basis since it has incomparable trailing terms. The corresponding element in the reduced Gröbner basis equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathbf{1278} \rangle \langle \mathbf{3456} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{1256} \rangle \langle \mathbf{3478} \rangle + \langle 1246 \rangle \langle 3578 \rangle - \langle 1245 \rangle \langle 3678 \rangle \\ & - \langle 1236 \rangle \langle 4578 \rangle + \langle 1235 \rangle \langle 4678 \rangle - \langle 1234 \rangle \langle 5678 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

That quadric has the virtue that its initial binomial $\langle 1278 \rangle \langle 3456 \rangle - \langle 1256 \rangle \langle 3478 \rangle$ is consistent with the toric degeneration of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(4, 8)$ given by Young's lattice $Y_{4,8}$. Indeed, for the incomparable pair $\langle 1278 \rangle \langle 3456 \rangle$, the meet is $\langle 3478 \rangle$ and the join is $\langle 1256 \rangle$. Algebraically, this is the Khovanskii basis (or SAGBI basis) structure in [27, Theorem 3.2.9].

Corollary 2.3. *The number of generators for $J_{k,n}$, or of incomparable pairs in $Y_{k,n}$, equals*

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\binom{n}{k} + 1 \right] \binom{n}{k} - \frac{(n+1)(n-k+1)}{k+1} \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \frac{(n-i)^2}{(k-i)^2}. \quad (9)$$

Proof. The first term is the number of all quadratic monomials in the $\binom{n}{k}$ variables $\langle i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k \rangle$. From this we subtract the number of standard monomials, which is the number of semi-standard Young tableaux of shape $k \times 2$ with fillings in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. That number is given by the *hook-content formula* from combinatorics, which we made explicit in (9). \square

We now turn to the spinor-helicity variety. Rephrasing the definition in (4)-(5), this is

$$\text{SH}(k, n, r) = \{ (V, W) \in \text{Gr}(k, n) \times \text{Gr}(k, n) : \dim(V \cap W^\perp) \geq k - r \}, \quad (10)$$

where W^\perp is the space orthogonal to W with respect to the standard inner product on \mathbb{C}^n . In the setting of the Introduction, V and W are the row spaces of λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ respectively.

Remark 2.4 (Involution). There is a canonical involution on $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$, defined by swapping the subspaces V and W . This interchanges the coordinates $\langle i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k \rangle$ and $[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k]$.

Proposition 2.5. *Fix integers k, n, r such that $0 \leq r \leq k$ and $2k \leq r+n$. The spinor-helicity variety $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$ is non-empty and irreducible in $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$. Its dimension equals*

$$\dim(\text{SH}(k, n, r)) = 2k(n-k) - (k-r)^2. \quad (11)$$

If $r = 0$ then it is linearly isomorphic to the two-step flag variety $\text{Fl}(k, n-k; \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Proof. Our hypothesis that $k = \dim(V)$ and $n - k = \dim(W^\perp)$ are at least $k - r$ is necessary for $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$ to be non-empty. We assume $k - r \geq 0$ to rule out trivial cases. The projection of $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$ onto the first factor equals $\text{Gr}(k, n)$, which is irreducible of dimension $k(n - k)$. The fibers are subvarieties in the second factor $\text{Gr}(k, n)$. In local affine coordinates, the fiber over V consists of all $k \times (n - k)$ matrices of rank at most r . This is an irreducible variety of codimension $(k - r)^2$. Hence, each fiber is irreducible of the same dimension $k(n - k) - (k - r)^2$. From this we conclude that $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$ is irreducible of dimension $2k(n - k) - (k - r)^2$.

Fix $r = 0$ and recall that $k \leq n - k$. By passing from W to W^\perp , we can view $\text{SH}(k, n, 0)$ as a subvariety in $\text{Gr}(k, n) \times \text{Gr}(n - k, n)$. Its points are pairs (V, W^\perp) of linear subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n such that $V \subseteq W^\perp$. In other words, its points are two-step flags in \mathbb{C}^n . Hence $\text{SH}(k, n, 0)$ coincides with the flag variety $\text{Fl}(k, n - k; \mathbb{C}^n)$ in its Plücker embedding in $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$. \square

Let $R = \mathbb{C}[\langle i_1 \dots i_k \rangle, [j_1 \dots j_k]]$ be the polynomial ring in the $2\binom{n}{k}$ bracket variables. Let $S = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ be the polynomial ring in the entries of an $(n - k + r) \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{x} = (x_{ij})$. We write $\phi_{k,n,r} : R \rightarrow S$ for the homomorphism which maps $\langle I \rangle = \langle i_1 i_2 \dots i_k \rangle$ to the $k \times k$ minor of \mathbf{x} in the rows $1, 2, \dots, k$ and columns I , and which maps $[J] = [j_1 j_2 \dots j_k]$ to $(-1)^{j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_k}$ times the $(n - k) \times (n - k)$ minor of \mathbf{x} in the rows $r + 1, \dots, n - k + r$ and columns $[n] \setminus J$. Note that all such minors involve the $k - r$ middle rows, which are indexed by $r + 1, \dots, k$.

Remark 2.6 (Parametrization). Let $I_{k,n,r}$ denote the kernel of the ring map $\phi_{k,n,r}$. This kernel is a homogeneous prime ideal in R , and its zero set is the spinor-helicity variety $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$. Hence, the subalgebra $\phi_{k,n,r}(R)$ of $S = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ is isomorphic to the coordinate ring of $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$. Indeed, following (10), the minors $\phi_{k,n,r}(\langle I \rangle)$ are the Plücker coordinates for the space V , while the signed minors $\phi_{k,n,r}([J])$ are the Plücker coordinates for W^\perp . The condition $\dim(V \cap W^\perp) \geq k - r$ is encoded by the overlap in the $k - r$ middle rows of \mathbf{x} .

We will describe a Gröbner basis of quadrics for $I_{k,n,r}$. The initial monomials admit a combinatorial description which extends that for Grassmannians given in Proposition 2.1. We start out with our two copies of Young's lattice, $Y_{k,n}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{k,n}$. We define a new poset $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$ as follows. As a set, $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$ is the disjoint union of $Y_{k,n}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{k,n}$. All order relations in $Y_{k,n}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{k,n}$ remain order relations in $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$. In addition, there are $\binom{2k-2r}{k-r}$ covering relations

$$[12 \dots r i_{r+1} \dots i_k] \leq \langle 12 \dots r j_{r+1} \dots j_k \rangle, \quad (12)$$

one for each ordered set partition $\{r + 1, r + 2, \dots, 2k - r\} = \{i_{r+1}, \dots, i_k\} \sqcup \{j_{r+1}, \dots, j_k\}$. The poset $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$ is the transitive closure of these relations. Note that $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$ is a graded poset, with unique minimal element $[n - k + 1 \dots n - 1 n]$ and unique maximal element $\langle n - k + 1 \dots n - 1 n \rangle$. The Hasse diagram of $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$ is shown in Figure 1 for $k = 2, n = 6, r = 0$.

We are now prepared to state our first theorem on the spinor-helicity variety $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$.

Theorem 2.7. *The prime ideal $I_{k,n,r}$ is minimally generated by quadratic forms. These quadrics are a Gröbner basis for the reverse lexicographic term order given by any linear extension of $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$. The initial ideal of $I_{k,n,r}$ is generated by the incomparable pairs in $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$.*

Proof. We first assume $r = 0$. By Proposition 2.5, our ideal $I_{k,n,0}$ is the ideal of the two-step flag variety $\text{Fl}(k, n - k; \mathbb{C}^n)$. The quadratic Gröbner basis for that ideal is derived from the




Figure 1: The poset $\mathcal{P}_{2,6,0}$ is created from $Y_{2,6}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{2,6}$ by adding six covering relations.

well-known straightening law for flag varieties. We refer to [24, Chapter 14] for a textbook exposition. That exposition emphasizes the case of the complete flag variety. This case applies to our situation as follows. Let \mathcal{P} be the poset on all 2^n subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ that was introduced in [24, Section 14.2]. The restriction of that poset to subsets that have size k or $n - k$ is isomorphic to our poset $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,0}$. The poset isomorphism maps $(n - k)$ -sets to their complements. With this, our assertion for $r = 0$ follows from [24, Theorem 14.6].

We next present the proof for $r \geq 1$. This will generalize the known construction we used for $r = 0$. We consider the skew Young diagram λ/μ where $\lambda = (n - k + r, r)$ and $\mu = (r)$. A filling of λ/μ with entries in $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is assumed to have its rows strictly increasing. Hence there are $\binom{n}{k}^2$ such fillings. A filling is *semi-standard* if the $k - r$ non-trivial columns are weakly increasing. If this is not the case then the filling of λ/μ is called *non-standard*.

With these definitions in place, our poset admits the following alternative description:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k \rangle \geq [j_1 j_2 \cdots j_k] \quad \text{holds in } \mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}, \\
 \iff & \langle i_{r+1-r} \cdots i_{k-r} \rangle \geq [j_{r+1-r} \cdots j_{k-r}] \quad \text{holds in } \mathcal{P}_{k-r,n-r,0}, \\
 \iff & \text{the filling of } \lambda/\mu \text{ with } [n] \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \text{ and } \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \text{ is semi-standard.}
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The proof of our theorem is now analogous to that of [24, Theorem 14.6]. Fix an incomparable pair $\langle I \rangle [J]$ in the poset $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$, and consider the corresponding non-standard skew tableaux

$$\lambda/\mu = \begin{bmatrix} & & & j'_1 & \cdots & j'_l & \cdots & j'_{k-r} & j'_{k-r+1} & \cdots & j'_{n-k} \\ i_1 & \cdots & i_r & i_{r+1} & \cdots & i_{r+l} & \cdots & i_k & & & \end{bmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

The rows are increasing, and $i_{r+l} < j'_l$ is the leftmost violation, and $\{j'_1, \dots, j'_{n-k}\} = [n] \setminus J$.

By summing over all permutations π of $i_1 < \dots < i_{r+l} < j'_l < \dots < j'_{n-k}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\pi} \text{sign}(\pi) \cdot \langle \pi(I) \rangle \cdot [\pi([n] \setminus J)] \in R. \quad (15)$$

This is the analogue to [24, eqn (14.2)]. The image of (15) under $\phi_{k,n,r}$ is an alternating multilinear form in $n - k + r + 1$ column vectors of the matrix \mathbf{x} . But, the matrix has only $n - k + r$ rows, so this is the zero polynomial. Therefore (15) lies in $I_{k,n,r} = \text{kernel}(\phi_{k,n,r})$. Finally, we note that the initial monomial of (15) is the monomial $\langle I \rangle [J]$ we started out with.

To complete the proof, we need to show that the semi-standard monomials in R are linearly independent modulo $I_{k,n,r}$. The argument for this follows that in the proof of [24, Theorem 14.6]. Namely, we consider any monomial in S and we write it as in [24, eqn (14.4)]. There exists a unique semi-standard skew tableau whose image under $\phi_{k,n,r}$ has that initial monomial. Thus, no cancellation is possible, and this finishes the proof of Theorem 2.7. \square

Example 2.8 ($k = 2, n = 6, r = 0$). The ideal $I_{2,6,0}$ is generated by $15 + 15 + 36 = 66$ quadrics which form a Gröbner basis. Their initial monomials are the incomparable pairs in the poset $\mathcal{P}_{2,6,0}$ which is shown in Figure 1. The 15 initial monomials from $J_{2,6}$ are the pairs $\langle ij \rangle \langle kl \rangle$ in $Y_{2,6}$. The 15 initial monomials from $\tilde{J}_{2,6}$ are the pairs $[ij][kl]$ in $\tilde{Y}_{2,6}$. Finally, there are 36 mixed initial monomials $\langle ij \rangle [kl]$, corresponding to bilinear generators of $I_{2,6,0}$.

The poset $\mathcal{P}_{2,5,0}$ arises in Figure 1 from deleting the upper rim $\langle 16 \rangle, \langle 26 \rangle, \langle 36 \rangle, \langle 46 \rangle, \langle 56 \rangle$ and the lower rim $[16], [26], [36], [46], [56]$. Thus $\mathcal{P}_{2,5,0}$ has $\binom{5}{2} + \binom{5}{2} = 20$ elements. It has five incomparable pairs $\langle ij \rangle \langle kl \rangle$, five incomparable pairs $[ij][kl]$, and 25 mixed incomparable pairs $\langle ij \rangle [kl]$. These are the initial monomials of the 35 ideal generators in Example 1.1.

The following result concerns the number of incomparable pairs in the poset $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$.

Lemma 2.9. *The number of mixed incomparable pairs $\langle i_1, \dots, i_k \rangle [j_1, \dots, j_k]$ equals $\binom{n}{k-r-1}^2$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda = (n - k + r, k)$ and $\mu = (r)$. The generating function for semi-standard skew tableaux of shape λ/μ is the *skew Schur polynomial* $s_{\lambda/\mu}$, which can be written as

$$s_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\nu} c_{\mu,\nu}^{\lambda} s_{\nu}.$$

Here $c_{\mu,\nu}^{\lambda}$ are the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. In our special case $\mu = (r)$, Pieri's rule tells us that $c_{\mu,\nu}^{\lambda} = 1$ if ν is obtained from λ by removing r boxes and 0 otherwise. Using the hook-content formula to evaluate each individual $s_{\nu}(1, \dots, 1)$, we can now compute the number of semi-standard skew tableaux of shape λ/μ with fillings in $[n]$. That number equals

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\lambda/\mu}(1, 1, \dots, 1) &= \sum_{\substack{\nu \text{ obtained from } \lambda \\ \text{by removing } r \text{ boxes}}} s_{\nu}(1, 1, \dots, 1) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^r s_{(n-k+\ell, k-\ell)}(1, 1, \dots, 1) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^r \left[\binom{n}{k-\ell}^2 - \binom{n}{k-\ell-1}^2 \right] \\ &= \binom{n}{k}^2 - \binom{n}{k-r-1}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since $\binom{n}{k}^2$ is the number of all tableaux, we see that the number of non-standard skew tableau of shape λ/μ equals $\binom{n}{k-r-1}^2$. The equivalence in (13) now completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 2.9 imply the following result about the spinor-helicity variety.

Corollary 2.10. *The number of minimal generators of $I_{k,n,r}$ equals twice (9) plus $\binom{n}{k-r-1}^2$. These generators are quadratic and we can arrange them to form a reduced Gröbner basis.*

After its dimension, the second-most important invariant of a variety in a projective space is its degree. For a variety in a product of two projective spaces, one considers the bidegree, which is a homogeneous polynomial in two variables s and t . The degree of that polynomial is the codimension of the variety. We saw an example in (3). By definition, the *bidegree* of $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$ is its class in the cohomology ring of ambient product of projective spaces:

$$H^* \left(\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}, \mathbb{Z} \right) = \mathbb{Z}[s, t] / \langle s^{\binom{n}{k}}, t^{\binom{n}{k}} \rangle.$$

We now present a general formula for the cohomology class of the spinor-helicity variety.

Corollary 2.11. *The bidegree of $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$ is equal to*

$$(st)^{\binom{n}{k}-k(n-k)-1} \cdot \sum c(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k) \cdot c(j_1 j_2 \cdots j_k) \cdot s^{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_k-\binom{k+1}{2}} \cdot t^{j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_k-\binom{k+1}{2}}, \quad (17)$$

where we sum over all covering relations in (12), and $c(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k)$ denotes the number of maximal chains from $\langle i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k \rangle$ to the top element $\langle n-k+1 \cdots n-1 n \rangle$ in Young's lattice $Y_{k,n}$.

Proof. The bidegree of $I_{k,n,r}$ equals the bidegree of the initial monomial ideal $\text{in}(I_{k,n,r})$. The latter is generated by the incomparable pairs in $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$. The bidegree is the multidegree of [24, §8.5] for the \mathbb{Z}^2 -grading at hand. It is additive over top-dimensional primary components, and we can use the formula in [24, Theorem 8.44] for its evaluation. The associated primes of $\text{in}(I_{k,n,r})$ correspond to the maximal chains of $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$. Each maximal chain starts out at the bottom of $\tilde{Y}_{k,n}$, it uses precisely one of the covering relations in (12) to transition from $\tilde{Y}_{k,n}$ to $Y_{k,n}$, and it then proceeds to the top of $Y_{k,n}$. Thus there are precisely $c(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k) \cdot c(j_1 j_2 \cdots j_k)$ maximal chains which use the specific covering relation in (12). The associated monomial in s and t records the height at which the transition from $\tilde{Y}_{k,n}$ to $Y_{k,n}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$ takes place. \square

Example 2.12 ($k = 2, n = 6, r = 0$). The bidegree of $I_{2,6,0}$ is a sum of monomials $s^i t^j$ over the maximal chains of the poset $\mathcal{P}_{2,6,0}$ in Figure 1. The degree $i + j = 16$ of each monomial is the codimension of $\text{SH}(2, 6, 0)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{14} \times \mathbb{P}^{14}$. Counting paths in Young's lattice $Y_{2,6}$, we see

$$c(12) = 14, c(13) = 14, c(14) = 9, c(23) = 5, c(24) = 5, c(34) = 2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{The bidegree of } I_{2,6,0} \text{ is the polynomial } & 28s^6t^{10} + 70s^7t^9 + 90s^8s^8 + 70s^9t^7 + 28s^{10}t^6 \\ & = c(12)c(34)s^6t^{10} + c(13)c(24)s^7t^9 + 2c(14)c(23)s^8s^8 + c(24)c(13)s^9t^7 + c(34)c(12)s^{10}t^6. \end{aligned}$$

Hence total number of maximal chains in $\mathcal{P}_{2,6,0}$ equals $28 + 70 + 90 + 70 + 28 = 286$.

Example 2.13 ($k = 3, n = 7, r = 1$). The poset $\mathcal{P}_{3,7,1}$ has 70 elements and 312816 maximal chains. It arises from $Y_{3,7}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{3,7}$ by adding six covering relations, as shown in Figure 2. The ideal $I_{3,7,1}$ has $140 + 140 + 49 = 329$ minimal generators, one for each incomparable pair; by Corollary 2.10. The blue numbers $c(ijk)$ count maximal chains from $\langle ijk \rangle$ to $\langle 567 \rangle$ in $Y_{3,7}$ or maximal chains from $[567]$ to $[ijk]$ in $\tilde{Y}_{3,7}$. By Corollary 2.11, the bidegree of $I_{3,7,1}$ equals $(st)^{22} (462 \cdot 56 s^4 + 462 \cdot 168 s^3 t + (252 \cdot 210 + 210 \cdot 252) s^2 t^2 + 168 \cdot 462 s t^3 + 56 \cdot 462 t^4)$.




Figure 2: The poset $\mathcal{P}_{3,7,1}$ governs the combinatorics of the variety $\text{SH}(3, 7, 1) \subset \mathbb{P}^{34} \times \mathbb{P}^{34}$.

3 Bilinear Relations and Khovanskii Basis

In Section 2 we took a route into the combinatorial commutative algebra of the spinor-helicity variety. This journey continues in this section. We begin by taking a closer look at the bilinear equations in $I_{k,n,r}$. Thereafter, we introduce a toric degeneration of $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$, based on the poset $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$. The resulting Khovanskii basis matches our earlier Gröbner basis.

We now introduce two matrices P and Q whose rows are indexed by $\binom{[n]}{k-r-1}$ and whose columns are indexed by $\binom{[n]}{r+1}$. Here $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\binom{[n]}{s}$ is the set of subsets of size s in $[n]$. The entries of our matrices are given by concatenating row labels and column labels

$$P_{I,J} = \langle IJ \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{I,J} = [IJ] \quad \text{for } I \in \binom{[n]}{k-r-1} \text{ and } J \in \binom{[n]}{r+1}.$$

Here I and J are increasing sequences which we concatenate. We pass to the sorted Plücker coordinates $\langle I \cup J \rangle$ and $[I \cup J]$ from Section 2 by multiplying with $-1, +1$ or 0 , as in (7). In particular, this means that $\langle IJ \rangle = 0$ and $[IJ] = 0$ whenever $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$.

Example 3.1 ($k = 3, n = 5, r = 1$). The matrix P is square of format 10×10 . The rows and columns of P are labeled by 12, 13, 14, 15, 23, 24, 25, 34, 35, 45, in this order. We find

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \langle 1234 \rangle & \langle 1235 \rangle & \langle 1245 \rangle \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \langle 1324 \rangle & \langle 1345 \rangle & 0 & 0 & \langle 1345 \rangle \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \langle 1423 \rangle & 0 & \langle 1425 \rangle & 0 & \langle 1435 \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \langle 1523 \rangle & \langle 1524 \rangle & 0 & \langle 1534 \rangle & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \langle 2314 \rangle & \langle 2315 \rangle & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \langle 2345 \rangle \\ 0 & \langle 2413 \rangle & 0 & \langle 2415 \rangle & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \langle 2435 \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & \langle 2513 \rangle & \langle 2514 \rangle & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \langle 2534 \rangle & 0 & 0 \\ \langle 3412 \rangle & 0 & 0 & \langle 3415 \rangle & 0 & 0 & \langle 3425 \rangle & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \langle 3512 \rangle & 0 & \langle 3514 \rangle & 0 & 0 & \langle 3524 \rangle & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \langle 4512 \rangle & \langle 4513 \rangle & 0 & 0 & \langle 4523 \rangle & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Each entry is now replaced by Plücker coordinates with increasing indices. For instance, we replace $\langle 1324 \rangle$ by $-\langle 1234 \rangle$. The matrix Q is identical to P but with square brackets $[ijkl]$.

Example 3.2 ($k = 2, r = 0$). This is the case of most interest in physics. Here P and Q are the skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrices of Plücker coordinates, shown for $n = 5$ in Example 1.1.

Example 3.3 ($r = k - 1$). The variety $\text{SH}(k, n, k - 1)$ is a hypersurface in $\text{Gr}(k, n) \times \text{Gr}(k, n)$. Here P and Q are the row vectors of length $\binom{n}{k}$ whose entries are the Plücker coordinates. The defining equation of this hypersurface is the inner product of the two Plücker vectors:

$$PQ^T = \sum \langle i_1 i_2 \dots i_k \rangle [i_1 i_2 \dots i_k] = 0.$$

These examples guide us towards our next theorem, which is the main result in Section 3.

Theorem 3.4. *The entries of the matrix PQ^T generate the prime ideal of the spinor-helicity variety $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$ in the coordinate ring of $\text{Gr}(k, n) \times \text{Gr}(k, n)$. In symbols, we have*

$$I_{k,n,r} = J_{n,k} + \tilde{J}_{k,n} + \langle \text{entries of } PQ^T \rangle. \quad (18)$$

Proof. We first show that the entries of PQ^T vanish on the spinor-helicity variety. Fix any point in $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$, represented by a pair of $k \times n$ matrices λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ such that $\lambda \cdot \tilde{\lambda}^T$ has rank $\leq r$. By passing to the $(r+1)$ -st exterior power, we find that $\wedge_{r+1} \lambda \cdot (\wedge_{r+1} \tilde{\lambda})^T$ is the zero matrix of format $\binom{k}{r+1} \times \binom{k}{r+1}$. In other words, the row spaces of the $\binom{k}{r+1} \times \binom{n}{r+1}$ matrices $\wedge_{r+1} \lambda$ and $\wedge_{r+1} \tilde{\lambda}$ are orthogonal to each other. The row vectors of the matrices P and Q are elements in these row spaces. Therefore $P \cdot Q^T = 0$ holds for our point $(\lambda, \tilde{\lambda})$.

The previous paragraph shows that the right hand side of (18) is contained in the left hand side. Both ideals are generated by quadrics, and they contain the Plücker ideals $J_{k,n}$ and $\tilde{J}_{k,n}$. It therefore suffices to show that the entries of PQ^T span the space of all bilinear quadrics in the ideal $I_{k,n,r}$. We know from Lemma 2.9 and Corollary 2.10 that this space has dimension $\binom{n}{k-r-1}^2$. This number coincides with the number of entries in the square matrix PQ^T . It therefore suffices to show that the entries of PQ^T are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

We shall prove this by contradiction. The entry of PQ^T in row I and column J equals

$$f_{IJ} = \sum_{L \in \binom{[n]}{r+1}} \epsilon_{I,L} \epsilon_{J,L} \langle IL \rangle [JL],$$

where $\epsilon_{I,L} = \pm 1$ is the sign of the permutation that sorts the string IL . Suppose that

$$\sum_{I, J \in \binom{[n]}{k-r-1}} \alpha_{I,J} \cdot f_{IJ} = 0 \quad \text{for some scalars } \alpha_{I,J} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

We must show that each $\alpha_{I,J}$ is zero. The previous equation can be rewritten as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{I', J' \in \binom{[n]}{k} \\ |I' \cap J'| \geq r+1}} \left[\sum_{\substack{L \subset I' \cap J' \\ |L|=r+1}} \epsilon_{I' \setminus L, L} \epsilon_{J' \setminus L, L} \alpha_{I' \setminus L, J' \setminus L} \right] \langle I' \rangle [J'] = 0.$$

From this we conclude that, for any two k -subsets I', J' with $|I' \cap J'| \geq r+1$, we have

$$\sum_{L \in \binom{I' \cap J'}{r+1}} \epsilon_{I' \setminus L, L} \epsilon_{J' \setminus L, L} \alpha_{I' \setminus L, J' \setminus L} = 0 \quad \text{for all } I', J' \in \binom{[n]}{k}. \quad (19)$$

Our goal is to show that all α 's are zero. First consider the case $I' = J'$. Here (19) reads

$$\sum_{L \subset I', |L|=r+1} \alpha_{I' \setminus L, I' \setminus L} = 0.$$

We write these equations in the form $Ba = 0$ where $a_I = \alpha_{I,I}$ and B is an $\binom{n}{k} \times \binom{n}{k-r-1}$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. The row indices are subsets $J \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ and the column indices are subsets $I \subset \binom{[n]}{k-r-1}$. The matrix entry $B_{J,I}$ equals 1 when $I \subset J$ and it is 0 otherwise.

It is a known result in combinatorics that the columns of the matrix B are linearly independent. The context is the spectral theory of the *Johnson graph*, which is developed in [17, Chapter 6]. To be precise, the desired identity $\text{rank}(B) = \binom{n}{k-r-1}$ can be found in [17, Theorem 6.3.3]. From this statement we deduce that $\alpha_{I,I} = 0$ for all $I \in \binom{[n]}{k-r-1}$.

For the general case, we fix $I' = I_0 \sqcup K$ and $J' = J_0 \sqcup K$ where $I_0, J_0 \in \binom{[n]}{s}$ are disjoint, with $s \geq 1$, and $K \subset [n] \setminus (I_0 \sqcup J_0)$ has size $k - s$. From (19) we obtain the equations

$$\sum_{\substack{L \subset K \\ |L|=r+1}} \epsilon_{(I_0 \sqcup K) \setminus L, L} \cdot \epsilon_{(J_0 \sqcup K) \setminus L, L} \cdot \alpha_{(I_0 \sqcup K) \setminus L, (J_0 \sqcup K) \setminus L} = 0 \quad \text{for any } K \in \binom{[n] \setminus (I_0 \sqcup J_0)}{k-s}. \quad (20)$$

Suppressing I_0 and J_0 from the indices of α , we rewrite (20) in matrix form $UBVa = 0$, where

- $a_I = \alpha_{I_0 \sqcup I, J_0 \sqcup I}$ for any subset $I \in \binom{[n] \setminus (I_0 \sqcup J_0)}{k-s-r-1}$. To get to (20), we would set $I = K \setminus L$.
- B is a $\binom{n-2s}{k-s} \times \binom{n-2s}{k-s-r-1}$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. The columns of B are indexed by subsets I of size $k-s-r-1$ of $[n] \setminus (I_0 \sqcup J_0)$ and the rows are indexed by subsets K of size $k-s$ subsets of $[n] \setminus (I_0 \sqcup J_0)$. The entries are $B_{K,I} = 1$ if $I \subset K$ and 0 otherwise.
- U and V are diagonal matrices of size $\binom{n-2s}{k-s}$ and $\binom{n-2s}{k-s-r-1}$ respectively, with entries

$$U_{K,K} = (-1)^N \quad \text{and} \quad V_{I,I} = (-1)^M,$$

where N counts the elements in $I_0 \sqcup J_0$ that are larger than elements in K and M counts the elements in $I_0 \sqcup J_0$ that are larger than elements in I . In symbols,

$$N = \sum_{i \in I_0 \sqcup J_0} \sum_{\ell \in K} 1_{i > \ell} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \sum_{i \in I_0 \sqcup J_0} \sum_{\ell \in I} 1_{i > \ell}.$$

Writing $I \subset K$ and $L = K \setminus I$, we find $\epsilon_{(I_0 \sqcup K) \setminus L, L} \cdot \epsilon_{(J_0 \sqcup K) \setminus L, L} = (-1)^{N+M}$ for the sign in (20). Again, by virtue of [17, Theorem 6.3.3], we have $\text{rank}(B) = \binom{n-2s}{k-s-r-1}$ and hence $a_I = \alpha_{I_0 \sqcup I, J_0 \sqcup I} = 0$ for any set $I \subset [n] \setminus (I_0 \sqcup J_0)$ of size $k-s-r-1$. Since this holds for any pair of disjoint index sets $I_0, J_0 \in \binom{[n]}{s}$ where $0 \leq s \leq k-r-1$, we deduce that $\alpha = 0$. \square

We next present a Khovanskii basis [4] for the coordinate ring of the variety $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$. Khovanskii bases used to be called SAGBI bases in earlier works, and our arguments follows those given for Grassmannians in [27, Section 3.1] and for flag varieties in [24, Chapter 14].

We fix the reverse lexicographic term order $>$ on the polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$, where $x_{11} > x_{12} > \cdots > x_{n-k+r, n}$. This is a *diagonal term order*, i.e. for each minor of \mathbf{x} , the initial monomial is the product of the entries on its diagonal. Our coordinate ring is the image of the polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{C}[\langle I \rangle, [J]]$ under the ring homomorphism $\phi = \phi_{k, n, r}$ into S . See Remark 2.6. For each of the $2 \binom{n}{k}$ generators of R , we consider the initial monomial of its image in S . This gives a list of $\binom{n}{k}$ monomials $\text{in}_{>}\phi(\langle I \rangle)$ of degree k and $\binom{n}{k}$ monomials $\text{in}_{>}\phi([J])$ of degree $n - k$. These monomials lie in the initial algebra of our coordinate ring

$$\text{in}_{>}(\phi(S)) = \mathbb{C}[\text{in}_{>}(f) : f \in \phi(S)]. \quad (21)$$

Theorem 3.5. *The $2 \binom{n}{k}$ minors $\phi(\langle I \rangle)$ and $\phi([J])$ form a Khovanskii basis for the coordinate ring $\phi(R)$ of the spinor-helicity variety $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$, i.e. their initial monomials generate (21).*

Proof. Our argument mirrors that of [24, Theorem 14.11]. We use the set-up in the proof of Theorem 2.7. Monomials of bidegree (d_1, d_2) in S are represented by skew tableaux. These are formed by placing d_1 increasing rows of length $n - k$, shifted by r steps to the right, above d_2 increasing rows of length k . This is seen in (14) for $d_1 = d_2 = 1$. Following [24, Lemma 14.13], a monomial in S is the initial monomial of an element in $\phi(R)$ if and only if its representation as a skew tableau, as in [24, eqn (14.4)], is a semi-standard skew tableau. Hence the initial algebra (21) is spanned as a \mathbb{C} -vector space by \mathbf{x} -monomials that correspond to semi-standard skew tableaux. Every such monomial is a product of diagonal monomials $\text{in}_{>\phi}(\langle I \rangle)$ of degree k and diagonal monomials $\text{in}_{>\phi}(\langle J \rangle)$ of degree $n - k$. \square

We now illustrate Theorem 3.5 for the non-trivial instance shown in Figure 2.

Example 3.6 ($k = 3, n = 7, r = 1$). The polynomial ring R is generated by the 35 entries of

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} & x_{15} & x_{16} & x_{17} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & x_{24} & x_{25} & x_{26} & x_{27} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & x_{34} & x_{35} & x_{36} & x_{37} \\ x_{41} & x_{42} & x_{43} & x_{44} & x_{45} & x_{46} & x_{47} \\ x_{51} & x_{52} & x_{53} & x_{54} & x_{55} & x_{56} & x_{57} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

The polynomial ring S is generated by the 70 brackets in Figure 2. The map $\phi : S \rightarrow R$ takes $\langle ijk \rangle$ to the 3×3 -minor of \mathbf{x} with row indices $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and column indices $\{i, j, k\}$. It takes $[ijk]$ to the signed 4×4 -minor with row indices $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and column indices $[7] \setminus \{i, j, k\}$. We consider the image (21) of the map that takes each bracket to the diagonal initial monomial:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{in}_{>\phi} : R \rightarrow S : \quad \langle 123 \rangle &\mapsto x_{11}x_{22}x_{33}, & \langle 124 \rangle &\mapsto x_{11}x_{22}x_{34}, & \dots, & \langle 567 \rangle &\mapsto x_{15}x_{26}x_{37}, \\ & [123] &\mapsto x_{24}x_{35}x_{46}x_{57}, & [124] &\mapsto x_{23}x_{35}x_{46}x_{57}, & \dots, & [567] &\mapsto x_{21}x_{32}x_{43}x_{54}. \end{aligned}$$

The kernel of the monomial map $\text{in}_{>\phi}$ is a toric ideal in S . This is minimally generated by 329 binomial quadrics. First, there are 140 quadratic binomials from Young's poset $Y_{3,7}$:

$$\langle 125 \rangle \langle 134 \rangle - \langle 124 \rangle \langle 135 \rangle, \quad \langle 126 \rangle \langle 134 \rangle - \langle 124 \rangle \langle 136 \rangle, \quad \dots, \quad \langle 367 \rangle \langle 457 \rangle - \langle 357 \rangle \langle 467 \rangle \quad (23)$$

Likewise, among the generators of the toric ideal $\text{kernel}(\text{in}_{>}(\phi))$ are 140 binomials from $\tilde{Y}_{3,7}$:

$$[125][134] - [124][135], \quad [126][134] - [124][136], \quad \dots, \quad [367][457] - [357][467]. \quad (24)$$

Third, and most important, there are 49 mixed binomial quadrics in our toric ideal:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 123 \rangle [123] - \langle 145 \rangle [145], & \quad \langle 124 \rangle [123] + \langle 145 \rangle [135], & \quad \langle 125 \rangle [123] - \langle 145 \rangle [134], \\ \dots & \quad \dots & \quad \dots, & \quad \langle 123 \rangle [236] + \langle 124 \rangle [246], & \quad \langle 123 \rangle [237] + \langle 124 \rangle [247]. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The initial monomials in (23), (24) and (25) are the incomparable pairs in the poset $\mathcal{P}_{3,7,1}$. The toric variety defined by our binomials is a toric degeneration of the spinor-helicity-variety $\text{SH}(3, 7, 1)$. The maximal chains of $\mathcal{P}_{3,7,1}$ form a triangulation of its *Newton-Okounkov body*.

We close this section with the remark that the poset $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,r}$ is a distributive lattice, just like $Y_{k,n}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{k,n}$. The join and meet operations \wedge, \vee as follows: if $\langle I \rangle [J]$ is an incomparable pair, then $\langle I \vee J \rangle$ and $[I \wedge J]$ are obtained by sorting the columns of the skew Young tableaux (14). With these lattice operations, the binomials (25) can be written succinctly as follows:

$$\langle I \rangle \cdot [J] - \langle I \vee J \rangle \cdot [I \wedge J].$$

In other words, the description in [24, Theorem 14.16] extends to the spinor-helicity varieties.

4 Mandelstam Variety

The componentwise multiplication of two vectors is known as the Hadamard product. We consider the Hadamard product of two Plücker vectors. This gives rise to a rational map

$$s : \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}. \quad (26)$$

Generalizing the case $k = 2$ in (6), the coordinates of s are called *Mandelstam invariants*:

$$s_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = \langle i_1 i_2 \dots i_k \rangle [i_1 i_2 \dots i_k]. \quad (27)$$

We define the *Mandelstam variety* $M(k, n, r)$ to be the closure of the image of the spinor-helicity variety $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$ under the Hadamard product map s . Thus, $M(k, n, r)$ is an irreducible variety in $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$. We write $\mathcal{I}(M(k, n, r))$ for the homogeneous prime ideal of this variety. This comprises all polynomial relations among the Mandelstam invariants $s_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The linear span of the Mandelstam variety $M(k, n, r)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$ is the subspace \mathbb{P}^N which is defined by the momentum conservation relations. Its dimension equals*

$$N = \binom{n}{k} - 1 - \binom{n}{k-r-1}.$$

This refers to the momentum conservation relations in the CEGM model [11, eqn (5.6)].

Proof. In our notation, the momentum conservation relations are written as follows:

$$\sum_{J \in \binom{[n]}{r+1}} s_{IJ} = 0 \quad \text{for all } I \in \binom{[n]}{k-r-1}. \quad (28)$$

We claim that these linear forms lie in $\mathcal{I}(M(k, n, r))$ and that they are linearly independent. To see this, recall the matrix PQ^T from Theorem 3.4. The $\binom{n}{k-r-1}$ diagonal entries of PQ^T are $\sum_{J \in \binom{[n]}{r+1}} \langle IJ \rangle [IJ]$, where the index I runs over $\binom{[n]}{k-r-1}$. This sum agrees with (28), which therefore lies in $\mathcal{I}(M(k, n, r))$. The argument with the Johnson matrix in the proof of Theorem 3.4 shows that our $\binom{n}{k-r-1}$ linear forms are linearly independent. The dimension count in Corollary 2.10 implies that they span the space of all linear forms in $\mathcal{I}(M(k, n, r))$. \square

Example 4.2 ($k = 3, n = 6, r = 1$). There are six momentum conservation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{123} + s_{124} + s_{125} + s_{126} + s_{134} + s_{135} + s_{136} + s_{145} + s_{146} + s_{156} &= 0, \\ s_{123} + s_{124} + s_{125} + s_{126} + s_{234} + s_{235} + s_{236} + s_{245} + s_{246} + s_{256} &= 0, \\ s_{123} + s_{134} + s_{135} + s_{136} + s_{234} + s_{235} + s_{236} + s_{345} + s_{346} + s_{356} &= 0, \\ s_{124} + s_{134} + s_{145} + s_{146} + s_{234} + s_{245} + s_{246} + s_{345} + s_{346} + s_{456} &= 0, \\ s_{125} + s_{135} + s_{145} + s_{156} + s_{235} + s_{245} + s_{256} + s_{345} + s_{356} + s_{456} &= 0, \\ s_{126} + s_{136} + s_{146} + s_{156} + s_{236} + s_{246} + s_{256} + s_{346} + s_{356} + s_{456} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

These define a subspace \mathbb{P}^{13} of \mathbb{P}^{19} . The variety $M(3, 6, 1)$ has codimension four in this \mathbb{P}^{13} . A general formula for the dimension of any Mandelstam variety is given in the next result.

Proposition 4.3. *The dimension of the Mandelstam variety equals*

$$\dim(M(k, n, r)) = \dim(\text{SH}(k, n, r)) - n + 1 = 2k(n - k) - (k - r)^2 - n + 1.$$

Proof. The n -dimensional torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ acts on the spinor-helicity variety as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k \rangle &\mapsto t_{i_1} t_{i_2} \cdots t_{i_k} \langle i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k \rangle, \\ [i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k] &\mapsto t_{i_1}^{-1} t_{i_2}^{-1} \cdots t_{i_k}^{-1} [i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The stabilizer is a one-dimensional torus \mathbb{C}^* . The ring of polynomial invariants of the torus action is generated by the Mandelstam invariants (27). Therefore, $M(k, n, r)$ is the image of the quotient map, and its dimension is $n - 1$ less than the dimension of $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$. \square

Propositions 4.1 and 4.3 are illustrated in Table 1. For the given values of k, n and r , we display the dimension of $M(k, n, r)$ and the dimension N of its linear span. Note that N can be quite a bit smaller than the dimension $\binom{n}{k} - 1$ of the ambient Plücker space. For example, $M(3, 8, 0)$ has dimension 14 inside a linear subspace \mathbb{P}^{27} of the Plücker space \mathbb{P}^{55} .

$k, n =$	2, 4	2, 5	2, 6	2, 7	2, 8	3, 6	3, 7	3, 8	3, 9	4, 8	4, 9
$r = 0$	1, 1	4, 4	7, 8	10, 13	13, 19	4, 4	9, 13	14, 27	19, 47	9, 13	16, 41
$r = 1$	4, 4	7, 8	10, 13	13, 19	16, 26	9, 13	14, 27	19, 47	24, 74	16, 41	23, 89
$r = 2$	5, 5	8, 9	11, 14	14, 20	17, 27	12, 18	17, 33	22, 54	27, 82	21, 61	28, 116

Table 1: The dimension of the Mandelstam variety $M(k, n, r)$ and its ambient space \mathbb{P}^N .

We next discuss the Mandelstam variety in the case of primary interest in physics, namely $k = 2$. This lives in $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{2}-1}$. Here (s_{ij}) is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with $s_{11} = \cdots = s_{nn} = 0$.

Proposition 4.4. *The 5×5 minors of (s_{ij}) vanish on the varieties $M(2, n, r)$. For $r = 1$, the sum of all matrix entries is zero. For $r = 0$, each row and each column in (s_{ij}) sums to zero, so we only need the $\frac{1}{2}(\binom{n-1}{5}^2 + \binom{n-1}{5})$ minors involving the last row or last column.*

Proof. The sum constraints are the momentum conservations relations in (28). It suffices to prove the first sentence for $r = 2$, when there are no such relations. Note that $M(2, n, 2)$ is the Hadamard product [5] of $\text{Gr}(2, n)$ with itself. For each matrix s in $M(2, n, 2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{ij} &= \langle i j \rangle [i j] = (\lambda_{1i} \lambda_{2j} - \lambda_{2i} \lambda_{1j}) (\tilde{\lambda}_{1i} \tilde{\lambda}_{2j} - \tilde{\lambda}_{2i} \tilde{\lambda}_{1j}) \\ &= \lambda_{1i} \tilde{\lambda}_{1i} \cdot \lambda_{2j} \tilde{\lambda}_{2j} - \lambda_{1i} \tilde{\lambda}_{2i} \cdot \lambda_{2j} \tilde{\lambda}_{1j} - \lambda_{2i} \tilde{\lambda}_{1i} \cdot \lambda_{1j} \tilde{\lambda}_{2j} + \lambda_{2i} \tilde{\lambda}_{2i} \cdot \lambda_{1j} \tilde{\lambda}_{1j}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

This shows that $s = (s_{ij})$ is a sum of four matrices of rank one, and hence $\text{rank}(s) \leq 4$. \square

Our next theorem states that the equations in Proposition 4.4 generate the prime ideals.

Theorem 4.5. *For $r = 0, 1, 2$, the prime ideal of the Mandelstam variety $M(2, n, r)$ is generated by the 5×5 minors of (s_{ij}) together with the respective linear forms in (28).*

Proof. We first record the dimensions of our three Mandelstam varieties from Proposition 4.3:

$$\dim(\mathbb{M}(2, n, 2)) = 3n - 7, \quad \dim(\mathbb{M}(2, n, 1)) = 3n - 8 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(\mathbb{M}(2, n, 0)) = 3n - 11. \quad (32)$$

Let J denote the ideal generated by the 5×5 minors. This ideal is prime. This was shown for 4×4 minors in [14, Theorem 3.4]. The proof is the same for 5×5 minors. A dimension count shows that $V(J)$ has dimension $3n - 7$, and from this we obtain the first assertion.

Every matrix in $\mathbb{M}(2, n, 2)$ is a product XX^T where X is an $n \times 4$ matrix whose rows lie on the Fermat quadric $\mathcal{V}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2)$. Hence the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{M}(2, n, 2)$ is the n -fold tensor product of the coordinate ring of the Fermat quadric. The latter ring is a normal domain and hence so is the former. All associated primes of the principal ideal generated by $\sum s_{ij}$ have height one in this domain. Using Lemma 4.6 below, we can now conclude that this principal ideal is a prime ideal. This argument shows that $J + \langle \sum s_{ij} \rangle$ is a prime ideal in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[s]$. The variety of this ideal has codimension 1 in $\mathbb{M}(2, n, 2)$. Since this matches the dimension of $\mathbb{M}(2, n, 1)$ in (32), we conclude that $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{M}(2, n, 1)) = J + \langle \sum s_{ij} \rangle$.

We now turn to $r = 0$. Let K be the ideal generated by J and $\sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. From Proposition 4.4 we know that $\mathbb{M}(2, n, 0) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(K)$. We solve the n linear equations for $s_{1n}, s_{2n}, \dots, s_{n-1,n}$. This leaves us with $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} s_{ij} = 0$. Moreover, all 5×5 minors of the $n \times n$ matrix s that involve the index n are sums of 5×5 minors that do not involve n . Using our result for $r = 1$, we see that $\mathbb{C}[s]/K$ is isomorphic to the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{M}(2, n-1, 1)$. This shows that K is prime and $\dim(V(K)) = 3(n-1) - 8 = 3n - 11$. This matches the dimension for $r = 0$ in (32). We thus conclude that K is the prime ideal of $\mathbb{M}(2, n, 0)$. \square

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.5, we still need to establish the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *The equation $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} s_{ij} = 0$ defines a hypersurface that is reduced and irreducible in the variety of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices (s_{ij}) with zero diagonal and rank ≤ 4 .*

Proof. As in [14, Theorem 3.4], we work in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[X]$. Our hypersurface is the variety cut out by the ideal I , which is generated by the quadric $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^4 x_{ik}x_{jk}$ together with the n Fermat quadrics $\sum_{k=1}^4 x_{ik}^2$. These quadrics form a regular sequence in $\mathbb{C}[X]$. Hence $\mathbb{C}[X]/I$ is a complete intersection ring. By examining the Jacobian matrix of these $n+1$ quadrics, we can show that this variety is a complete intersection and that its singular locus has codimension ≥ 2 . Serre's criterion for normality implies that the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[X]/I$ is normal, so it is a product of normal domains. This ring being graded, it has no non-trivial idempotents. Hence it is a normal domain, so I is a prime ideal. \square

We now turn to the general case $k \geq 3$, with r between 0 and $k-2$. The Mandelstam invariants $s_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}$ form a symmetric $n \times \dots \times n$ tensor s , where an entry is zero whenever $\#\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \leq k-1$. Its two-way marginal is the symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with entries

$$s_{ij+\dots+} := \sum_{l_3=1}^n \sum_{l_4=1}^n \cdots \sum_{l_k=1}^n s_{ij l_3 l_4 \dots l_k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n. \quad (33)$$

Proposition 4.7. *For every tensor s in $\mathbb{M}(k, n, r)$, the two-way marginal has rank ≤ 4 .*

Example 4.8 ($k = 3, n = 6$). The symmetric $6 \times 6 \times 6$ tensor (s_{ijk}) has only 20 distinct nonzero entries s_{ijk} for $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6$. Its two-way marginal is the 6×6 matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & s_{123}+s_{124}+s_{125}+s_{126} & s_{123}+s_{134}+s_{135}+s_{136} & s_{124}+s_{134}+s_{145}+s_{146} & s_{125}+s_{135}+s_{145}+s_{156} & s_{126}+s_{136}+s_{146}+s_{156} \\ s_{123}+s_{124}+s_{125}+s_{126} & 0 & s_{123}+s_{234}+s_{235}+s_{236} & s_{124}+s_{234}+s_{245}+s_{246} & s_{125}+s_{235}+s_{245}+s_{256} & s_{126}+s_{236}+s_{246}+s_{256} \\ s_{123}+s_{134}+s_{135}+s_{136} & s_{123}+s_{234}+s_{235}+s_{236} & 0 & s_{134}+s_{234}+s_{345}+s_{346} & s_{135}+s_{235}+s_{345}+s_{356} & s_{136}+s_{236}+s_{346}+s_{356} \\ s_{124}+s_{134}+s_{145}+s_{146} & s_{124}+s_{234}+s_{245}+s_{246} & s_{134}+s_{234}+s_{345}+s_{346} & 0 & s_{145}+s_{245}+s_{345}+s_{456} & s_{146}+s_{246}+s_{346}+s_{456} \\ s_{125}+s_{135}+s_{145}+s_{156} & s_{125}+s_{235}+s_{245}+s_{256} & s_{135}+s_{235}+s_{345}+s_{356} & s_{145}+s_{245}+s_{345}+s_{456} & 0 & s_{156}+s_{256}+s_{356}+s_{456} \\ s_{126}+s_{136}+s_{146}+s_{156} & s_{126}+s_{236}+s_{246}+s_{256} & s_{136}+s_{236}+s_{346}+s_{356} & s_{146}+s_{246}+s_{346}+s_{456} & s_{156}+s_{256}+s_{356}+s_{456} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix has rank four on $M(3, 6, 1)$ and hence also on $M(3, 6, 0)$, but not on $M(3, 6, 2)$. Geometrically, this matrix encodes the map $M(3, 6, 1) \dashrightarrow M(2, 6, 0)$ of Mandelstam varieties.

Proof of Proposition 4.7. Since $M(n, k, r) \subset M(n, k, k-2)$ for all $r < k-2$, it suffices to prove the statement in the case $r = k-2$. For this case, we consider the rational map

$$\text{SH}(k, n, k-2) \dashrightarrow \text{SH}(2, n, 0), (V, W) \mapsto (V \cap W^\perp, V^\perp \cap W). \quad (34)$$

In terms of the parametrization in Remark 2.6, the space $V^\perp \cap W$ is the kernel of the matrix X , while $V \cap W^\perp$ is the span of the $k-r$ middle rows, which are indexed by $r+1, \dots, k$. The Plücker coordinates of the two spaces can be written as bilinear forms in the given Plücker coordinates $\langle i_1 i_2 \dots i_k \rangle$ and $[i_1 i_2 \dots i_k]$ for V and W . The map (34) is equivariant with respect to the involution in Remark 2.4, and it induces a rational map of Mandelstam varieties

$$M(k, n, k-2) \dashrightarrow M(2, n, 0). \quad (35)$$

In terms of coordinates, the map (35) takes the $n \times \dots \times n$ tensor s to its two-way marginal, which is the $n \times n$ matrix with entries (33). That matrix has rank ≤ 4 , by Proposition 4.4. \square

Remark 4.9. The rational map in (34) is a well-defined morphism on the open subset $\text{SH}(k, n, k-2) \setminus \text{SH}(k, n, k-3)$. This open subset is the smooth locus of $\text{SH}(k, n, k-2)$. In general, the singular locus of the spinor-helicity variety $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$ is precisely $\text{SH}(k, n, r-1)$.

The Mandelstam variety $M(k, n, r)$ has a natural parametrization, namely the composition of the Hadamard map with the parametrization given by the map $\phi_{k,n,r}$ in Remark 2.6. The parameters are the entries in the $(n-k+r) \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{x} = (x_{ij})$. The Mandelstam invariant s_I is a polynomial in the entries of \mathbf{x} . Namely, s_I is the product of the $k \times k$ minor indexed by I in the first k rows of \mathbf{x} with the signed $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ minor indexed by $[n] \setminus I$ in the last $n-k$ rows of \mathbf{x} . The corresponding ring map $\phi_{k,n,r} \circ s^*$ has kernel $\mathcal{I}(M(k, n, r))$.

We now replace $\phi_{k,n,r}$ with a birational parametrization for (10), namely by specializing \mathbf{x} as follows. Start rows $r+1, \dots, k$ with a unit matrix. This leaves $(k-r)(n-k+r)$ parameters for $V \cap W^\perp$. Start rows $1, \dots, r$ with $k-r$ zero columns, followed by a unit matrix. This leaves $r(n-k)$ parameters for $V/(V \cap W^\perp)$. Start rows $k+1, \dots, n-k+r$ with $k-r$ zero columns, followed by a unit matrix. This leaves $(n-2k+r)k$ parameters for $W^\perp/(V \cap W^\perp)$.

Corollary 4.10. *The rules above give a birational map $\psi_{k,n,r} : \mathbb{C}^{2k(n-k)-(k-r)^2} \rightarrow \text{SH}(k, n, r)$.*

Proof. We first note that the parameter count above matches the dimension formula in (11):

$$(k-r)(n-k+r) + r(n-k) + (n-2k+r)k = 2k(n-k) - (k-r)^2.$$

To parametrize (10), one first chooses $V \cap W^\perp$, and thereafter $V/(V \cap W^\perp)$ and $W^\perp/(V \cap W^\perp)$. Each block of rows gives a birational parametrization of the respective Grassmannian. \square

By composing $\psi_{k,n,r}$ with the Hadamard map s , we obtain a parametrization of the Mandelstam variety $M(k, n, r)$. Each fiber has dimension $n - 1$, reflecting the torus action in (30). To obtain a finite-to-one parametrization of $M(k, n, r)$, we can now replace $n - 1$ of the matrix entries x_{ij} by 1. The resulting parametric representation of $M(k, n, r)$ can then be used in numerical algebraic geometry. The following proposition serves as an illustration.

Proposition 4.11. *The Mandelstam variety $M(3, 6, 1)$ has dimension 9 and degree 56 in \mathbb{P}^{19} . Its prime ideal is minimally generated by 14 quartics, plus the six linear forms in (29). Inside their subspace \mathbb{P}^{13} , the variety is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, and its Betti diagram equals*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 14 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & 56 & 64 & 21 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

Computational proof. The map $\psi_{3,6,1}$ is given by the following specialization of our matrix:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ 1 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ 0 & 1 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 & a_8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We now remove five parameters by setting $a_1 = a_5 = c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 1$. The Mandelstam invariants are polynomials in the nine remaining unknowns a_i and b_j . This specifies a two-to-one map $\mathbb{C}^9 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{19}$. A computation checks that the Jacobian of the map has full rank. Hence the closure of its image is the 9-dimensional Mandelstam variety $M(3, 6, 1)$. This lies in the \mathbb{P}^{13} defined by (29). We use these relations to eliminate the six variables $s_{123}, s_{124}, s_{134}, s_{234}, s_{235}, s_{236}$. Thereafter, we can view $\mathcal{I}(M(3, 6, 1))$ as an ideal in the remaining 14 variables. Our computations with this ideal were carried out in `Macaulay2` [18].

The ideal contains no quadrics or cubics, but we find 14 linearly independent quartics. Let I be the subideal generated by the 14 quartics. This has codimension 4 and degree 56, and it contains the 21 quintics given by the 5×5 minors of the 6×6 matrix in Example 4.8. We compute the minimal free resolution of I , and find that its Betti diagram equals (36). Thus, I is Cohen-Macaulay, and so it is an intersection of primary ideals of codimension 4.

We now apply `HomotopyContinuation.jl` [10] to the map $\mathbb{C}^9 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{19}$, and we compute the degree of its image. This yields an independent proof that $M(3, 6, 1)$ has degree 56. Since I has degree 56, and since the degree is additive over the primary components, this shows that the ideal I is prime. We conclude that $I = \mathcal{I}(M(3, 6, 1))$, and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 4.12. At present, we do not know the meaning of our 14 quartic generators. The shortest quartic we found has 140 monomials. In reverse lexicographic order, it looks like

$$\begin{aligned} & s_{136}s_{156}s_{235}s_{345} - s_{135}s_{156}s_{236}s_{345} - s_{145}s_{156}s_{236}s_{345} - s_{156}^2s_{236}s_{345} - s_{146}s_{156}s_{245}s_{345} \\ & + s_{135}s_{156}s_{246}s_{345} + s_{145}s_{156}s_{246}s_{345} + s_{156}^2s_{246}s_{345} + s_{136}s_{145}s_{256}s_{345} - s_{135}s_{146}s_{256}s_{345} + \cdots \\ & \cdots \cdots + s_{136}s_{345}s_{456}^2 + s_{156}s_{345}s_{456}^2 - s_{135}s_{346}s_{456}^2 - 2s_{135}s_{356}s_{456}^2 + s_{145}s_{356}s_{456}^2 - s_{135}s_{456}^3. \end{aligned}$$

We close this section by recording a few more general facts about Mandelstam varieties.

Proposition 4.13. *The Mandelstam variety $M(k, n, k)$ is the Hadamard square of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, n)$. This contains all other Mandelstam varieties by the chain of inclusions*

$$M(k, n, 0) \subset M(k, n, 1) \subset M(k, n, 2) \subset \cdots \subset M(k, n, k). \quad (37)$$

There is natural chain of dominant deletion maps, induced by removing columns in λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$:

$$M(k, n, 0) \dashrightarrow M(k, n-1, 1) \dashrightarrow M(k, n-2, 2) \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow M(k, n-k, k). \quad (38)$$

Proof and discussion. The term *Hadamard square* refers to the Hadamard product of a variety with itself. For an introduction to Hadamard products of varieties see the book [5]. We obtain inclusions $\text{SH}(k, n, r) \subset \text{SH}(k, n, r+1)$ by relaxing the rank constraints in (10), and we obtain surjections $\text{SH}(k, n, r) \rightarrow \text{SH}(k, n-1, r+1)$ by deleting the last columns in λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ respectively. These maps are compatible with Hadamard products, so they descend to inclusions $M(k, n, r) \subset M(k, n, r+1)$ and surjections $M(k, n, r) \rightarrow M(k, n-1, r+1)$. It would be interesting to study the fibers of the maps in (38). Their dimensions are $0, 2, 4, \dots, 2k-2$. \square

5 Positivity and Tropicalization

In our last two sections, we set the stage for future research on spinor-helicity varieties, with a view towards tropical geometry, positive geometry, and applications to scattering amplitudes.

Bossinger, Drummond and Glew [7] studied the Gröbner fan and positive geometry of the variety $\text{SH}(2, 5, 0)$ in Example 1.1 which they identified with the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(3, 6)$. We shall examine this in a broader context. The following result explains their identification.

Proposition 5.1. *For any $k \geq 1$, the varieties $\text{SH}(k, 2k+1, 0)$ and $\text{SH}(k+1, 2k+1, 1)$ are isomorphic and their coordinate ring is isomorphic to that of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k+1, 2k+2)$.*

Proof. The isomorphism between $\text{SH}(k, 2k+1, 0)$ and $\text{SH}(k+1, 2k+1, 1)$ arises because (V, W) is in $\text{SH}(k+1, 2k+1, 1)$ if and only if (V^\perp, W^\perp) is in $\text{SH}(k, 2k+1, 0)$. The identification with the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k+1, 2k+2)$ uses the specialized parametrization $\psi_{k, 2k+1, 0}$ in Corollary 4.10. We introduce a new parameter z , to account for $\dim(\text{Gr}(k+1, 2k+2)) = 1 + \dim(\text{SH}(k, 2k+1))$, and we augment \mathbf{x} with one extra column $(0, 0, \dots, 0, z)^T$. The new matrix \mathbf{x} has $k+1$ rows and $2k+2$ columns, and it contains $(k+1)^2$ parameters. Its maximal minors give a birational parametrization of $\text{Gr}(k+1, 2k+2)$ and also of $\text{SH}(k, 2k+1, 0)$. The minors involving the extra column are the Plücker coordinates for V . The others are Plücker coordinates for W^\perp . \square

The *positive Grassmannian* $\text{Gr}_+(k, n)$ is defined by requiring all Plücker coordinates $\langle i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k \rangle$ of the subspace V to be real and positive. We define the *dually positive Grassmannian* $\text{Gr}^+(k, n)$ to be $\text{Gr}_+(n-k, n)$ under the identification between W and W^\perp . Thus $\text{Gr}^+(k, n)$ is an open semialgebraic set isomorphic to $\text{Gr}_+(n-k, n)$. Its is defined by

$$\text{sign}([j_1 j_2 \cdots j_k]) = (-1)^{j_1 + j_2 + \cdots + j_k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq n. \quad (39)$$

The *positive spinor-helicity variety* consists of all positive points in the spinor-helicity variety:

$$\text{SH}_+(k, n, r) := \text{SH}(k, n, r) \cap (\text{Gr}_+(k, n) \times \text{Gr}^+(k, n)) \subset \mathbb{RP}^{\binom{n}{k}-1} \times \mathbb{RP}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}. \quad (40)$$

We finally define the *positive Mandelstam variety* $M_+(k, n, r)$ by the inequalities seen in (39):

$$\text{sign}(s_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}) = (-1)^{j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n. \quad (41)$$

Thus $M_+(k, n, r)$ is a semialgebraic subset of $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$. It contains the image of $\text{SH}_+(k, n, r)$ under the Hadamard product map s in (26). In general, this inclusion is strict. For example, $M_+(2, 4, 2)$ strictly contains the Hadamard product of $\text{Gr}_+(2, 4)$ and $\text{Gr}^+(2, 4)$. To see this, we note that the following expression is positive on the latter set but not on the former set:

$$s_{13}s_{24} + s_{14}s_{23} - s_{12}s_{34} = \langle 13 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle [14][23] + \langle 14 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle [13][24]. \quad (42)$$

We now recycle Example 1.1 and Proposition 4.4 for our running example in this section.

Example 5.2 ($k = 2, n = 5$). For points in $\text{SH}_+(2, 5, r)$, the rank 2 matrices P and Q satisfy

$$\text{sign}(P) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & + & + & + & + \\ - & 0 & + & + & + \\ - & - & 0 & + & + \\ - & - & - & 0 & + \\ - & - & - & - & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sign}(Q) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & - & + & - & + \\ + & 0 & - & + & - \\ - & + & 0 & - & + \\ + & - & + & 0 & - \\ - & + & - & + & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The positive spinor-helicity variety $\text{SH}_+(2, 5, 0)$ is the subset defined by the equations in (2).

The positive Mandelstam variety $M_+(2, 5, 2)$ is a 9-dimensional simplex \mathbb{RP}_+^9 . It consists of symmetric 5×5 matrices $s = (s_{ij})$ with alternating sign pattern, i.e. $\text{sign}(s) = \text{sign}(Q)$. Its subset $M_+(2, 5, 0)$ is defined in this simplex by the equations $\sum_{j=1}^5 s_{ij} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. This is a cyclic 4-polytope with 6 vertices. It has the f-vector $(6, 15, 18, 9)$. The vertices are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & - & + & 0 & 0 \\ - & 0 & 0 & + & 0 \\ + & 0 & 0 & - & 0 \\ 0 & + & - & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & + & - & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & - & + & 0 \\ + & - & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ - & + & - & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & - & 0 & 0 & + \\ - & 0 & 0 & + & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & + & 0 & 0 & - \\ + & 0 & 0 & - & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & - & + \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & + & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ - & + & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ + & - & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & - & + & 0 \\ 0 & - & 0 & 0 & + \\ 0 & + & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & + & - & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & - & + & 0 & 0 \\ - & 0 & 0 & + & 0 \\ + & 0 & 0 & - & 0 \\ 0 & + & - & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Nine of the inequalities $\text{sign}(s_{ij}) = (-1)^{i+j}$ define facets. Only $s_{24} \geq 0$ is not facet-defining.

The second thread in this section is *tropical geometry*. Using notation from the textbook [22], the tropicalizations of our varieties $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$ and $M(k, n, r)$ are the tropical varieties

$$\text{trop}(\text{SH}(k, n, r)) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}} / \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}} \mathbf{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}} / \mathbb{R} \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{trop}(M(k, n, r)) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}} / \mathbb{R} \mathbf{1}. \quad (43)$$

These are balanced polyhedral fans whose dimensions are given by Propositions 2.5 and 4.3. Each such fan is a finite intersection of the tropical hypersurfaces given by a tropical basis. We illustrate these concepts for an example where the underlying variety is a linear space.

Example 5.3 ($k = 2, n = 5$). The tropical linear space $\text{trop}(M(2, 5, 0))$ is a pointed fan of dimension 4 in $\mathbb{R}^{10} / \mathbb{R} \mathbf{1}$. A minimal tropical basis consists of 15 linear forms with four terms:

$$\begin{aligned} & s_{12} + s_{13} + s_{14} + s_{15}, s_{12} + s_{23} + s_{24} + s_{25}, s_{13} + s_{23} + s_{34} + s_{35}, s_{14} + s_{24} + s_{34} + s_{45}, s_{15} + s_{25} + s_{35} + s_{45}, \\ & s_{12} + s_{13} + s_{23} - s_{45}, s_{12} + s_{14} + s_{24} - s_{35}, s_{12} + s_{15} + s_{25} - s_{34}, s_{34} + s_{35} + s_{45} - s_{12}, s_{13} + s_{14} + s_{34} - s_{25}, \\ & s_{13} + s_{15} + s_{35} - s_{24}, s_{24} + s_{25} + s_{45} - s_{13}, s_{14} + s_{15} + s_{45} - s_{23}, s_{23} + s_{25} + s_{35} - s_{14}, s_{23} + s_{24} + s_{34} - s_{15}. \end{aligned}$$

The underlying rank 5 matroid on 10 elements is the exceptional unimodular matroid R_{10} . This matroid is seen in [29, Section 3.3]. Hence $\text{trop}(M(2, 5, 0))$ is the cone over the Bergman complex of R_{10} , which consists of 315 tetrahedra and 45 bipyramids. Its f-vector is $(40, 240, 510, 360)$. The 40 vertices are the 10 coordinate points e_{ij} and the 30 circuits of R_{10} .

Combining our two threads, and using the notion of positivity defined above, leads us to

$$\text{trop}_+(\text{SH}(k, n, r)) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{trop}_+(\text{M}(k, n, r)) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}. \quad (44)$$

These positive tropical varieties are subfans of the respective tropical varieties. They are defined by requiring sign compatibility in the tropical equations. For details see [2, 8, 26].

Example 5.4 ($k = 2, n = 5$). Following Examples 5.2 and 5.3, the positive tropical Mandelstam variety $\text{trop}_+(\text{M}(2, 5, 0))$ is defined by the following system of 15 tropical equations:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{13} \oplus s_{15} &= s_{12} \oplus s_{14}, & s_{24} &= s_{12} \oplus s_{23} \oplus s_{25}, & s_{13} \oplus s_{35} &= s_{23} \oplus s_{34}, & s_{24} &= s_{14} \oplus s_{34} \oplus s_{45}, \\ s_{15} \oplus s_{35} &= s_{25} \oplus s_{45}, & s_{13} \oplus s_{45} &= s_{12} \oplus s_{23}, & s_{24} &= s_{12} \oplus s_{14} \oplus s_{35}, & s_{15} \oplus s_{34} &= s_{12} \oplus s_{25}, \\ s_{12} \oplus s_{35} &= s_{34} \oplus s_{45}, & s_{13} \oplus s_{25} &= s_{14} \oplus s_{34}, & s_{13} \oplus s_{15} \oplus s_{35} &= s_{24}, & s_{24} &= s_{13} \oplus s_{25} \oplus s_{45}, \\ & & s_{15} \oplus s_{23} &= s_{14} \oplus s_{45}, & s_{14} \oplus s_{35} &= s_{23} \oplus s_{25}, & s_{24} &= s_{15} \oplus s_{23} \oplus s_{34}, \end{aligned}$$

Here $x \oplus y := \min(x, y)$. Note the special role of the non-facet variable s_{24} . These 15 equations define the positive Bergman complex, in the sense of Ardila, Klivans and Williams [2].

We find that $\text{trop}_+(\text{M}(2, 5, 0))$ is the cone over a 3-sphere. That sphere is glued from 48 tetrahedra and 18 bipyramids, and its f-vector is $(24, 108, 150, 66)$. Geometrically, this is a subdivision of the boundary of the 4-polytope $\Delta_2 \times \Delta_2$, which is the product of two triangles. This is dual to the cyclic polytope in Example 5.2, and its f-vector is $(9, 18, 15, 6)$. The “fine subdivision” discussed in [2, Corollary 3.5] is the barycentric subdivision of $\text{Bdr}(\Delta_2 \times \Delta_2)$.

The positive and tropical geometry of Grassmannians and flag varieties have been studied intensely in recent years. See [6, 9, 25, 26] for some references and [11, 15, 21] for physics perspectives. Results from this literature apply directly to some spinor-helicity varieties. We know from Proposition 2.5 that $\text{SH}(k, n, 0) = \text{Fl}(k, n - k; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\text{SH}(k, n, k) = \text{Gr}(k, n) \times \text{Gr}(k, n)$. The positive geometry structure on these varieties is established in [20, Section 3.4].

Corollary 5.5. *The positive spinor-helicity variety $\text{SH}_+(k, n, r)$ is a positive geometry for $r = 0$ and $r = k$, with boundary structure and canonical form induced by known results on flag varieties and Grassmannians. The analogous statement holds for (positive) tropicalizations.*

Remark 5.6. A detailed study of $\text{trop}_+(\text{SH}(1, n, 0))$ was carried out by Olarte in [25]. It would be desirable to extend this to $k \geq 2$ using the techniques that were introduced in [6, 9].

Another situation where results can be transferred directly is that seen in Proposition 5.1.

Corollary 5.7. *Modulo a scaling action by \mathbb{R}^+ , the positive Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k+1, 2k+2)$ coincides with the positive spinor-helicity varieties $\text{SH}_+(k, 2k+1, 0)$ and $\text{SH}_+(k+1, 2k+1, 1)$, for all $k \geq 1$. The analogous statement holds for their (positive) tropical varieties.*

Remark 5.8. We obtain detailed textbook descriptions of $\text{trop}(\text{SH}(2, 5, 0))$ from those for $\text{trop}(\text{Gr}(3, 6))$ in [22, Sections 4.4 and 5.4]. This was pointed out in [7, Section 6]. Similarly, $\text{trop}_+(\text{SH}(3, 7, 0))$ arises from $\text{trop}_+(\text{Gr}(4, 8))$. The latter fan was studied in [15, Section 2].

We finally turn to the tropical Mandelstam variety. Recall that $M(k, n, r)$ is the image of $\text{SH}(k, n, r)$ under the Hadamard product map s in (26). The tropicalization of this map,

$$\text{trop}(s) : \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}, \quad (45)$$

computes the sum of two tropical Plücker vectors, modulo global tropical scaling. It follows from [22, Theorem 5.5.1] that the Hadamard product map s commutes with tropicalization. The following tropical constructions are thus obtained directly from their classical analogues.

Corollary 5.9. *The tropical Mandelstam variety is the image of the tropical spinor-helicity variety under the sum map (45). In symbols, for all values of the parameters k, n, r , we have*

$$\text{trop}(M(k, n, r)) = \text{trop}(s)(\text{trop}(\text{SH}(k, n, r))). \quad (46)$$

For the parameter values in Corollaries 5.5 and 5.7, the tropical Mandelstam variety is the image of a tropical Grassmannian or a tropical flag variety under the sum map. The largest tropical Mandelstam variety $M(k, n, k)$ is the Minkowski sum of the Grassmannian with itself:

$$\text{trop}(M(k, n, k)) = \text{trop}(\text{Gr}(k, n)) + \text{trop}(\text{Gr}(k, n)). \quad (47)$$

Example 5.10 ($k = 2, n = 5$). Equation (46) describes a 2-to-1 map from $\text{trop}(\text{Gr}(3, 6))$ onto $\text{trop}(M(2, 5, 0))$. Starting from the census in [22, Example 4.4.10], we can examine this map on every cone of $\text{trop}(\text{Gr}(3, 6))$. This fan has $65 = 20 + 15 + 30$ rays, grouped into types E, F and G. These rays map to the $40 = 10 + 15 + 15$ rays of $\text{trop}(M(2, 5, 0)) = \text{trop}(R_{10})$.

6 The Scattering Correspondence

Scattering amplitudes in the CEGM model [11] are derived from the *scattering potential*

$$L_s = \sum_{I \in \binom{[n]}{k}} s_I \cdot \log(p_I).$$

We assume that $s = (s_I)$ is a fixed point in the Mandelstam variety $M(k, n, r)$ where $r \leq k - 2$. The unknowns $p = (p_I)$ are the Plücker coordinates of the open Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, n)^\circ$, which is defined by $p_I \neq 0$ for all $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$. The momentum conservation relations on $M(k, n, k - 2)$ ensure that the scattering potential is a well-defined on the quotient space

$$X(k, n) = \text{Gr}(k, n)/(\mathbb{C}^*)^n. \quad (48)$$

This is a very affine variety of dimension $(n - k - 1)(k - 1)$; see [1, 21]. It is the moduli space of configurations of n labeled points in linearly general position in the projective space \mathbb{P}^{k-1} .

The scattering potential L_s serves as log-likelihood function in algebraic statistics [28]. In both statistics and physics, one cares about the critical points of L_s . These are defined by

$$\nabla_p L_s = 0. \quad (49)$$

We now let both s and p vary, and we consider all solution pairs (s, p) to the system of equations in (49). The pairs (s, p) satisfying (49) are the points of the *scattering correspondence*

$$C(k, n, r) \subset M(k, n, r) \times X(k, n).$$

The aim of this section is to initiate the mathematical study of this variety. We also consider the *lifted scattering correspondence*, where $M(k, n, r)$ is replaced by the spinor-helicity variety:

$$\tilde{C}(k, n, r) \subset SH(k, n, r) \times X(k, n).$$

Example 6.1 ($k = 3, n = 6$). We represent six points in \mathbb{P}^2 by the columns of a matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & z & w \end{bmatrix} \quad (50)$$

Hence x, y, z, w are coordinates on the moduli space $X(3, 6)$. The scattering potential equals

$$\begin{aligned} L_s = & s_{125} \cdot \log(z) + s_{126} \cdot \log(w) + s_{135} \cdot \log(-x) + s_{136} \cdot \log(-y) + s_{145} \cdot \log(z - x) + s_{146} \cdot \log(w - y) \\ & + s_{156} \cdot \log(wx - yz) + s_{245} \cdot \log(1 - z) + s_{246} \cdot \log(1 - w) + s_{256} \cdot \log(z - w) + s_{345} \cdot \log(x - 1) \\ & + s_{346} \cdot \log(y - 1) + s_{356} \cdot \log(y - x) + s_{456} \cdot \log(wx - yz - w - x + y + z). \end{aligned}$$

The scattering equations are given by the partial derivatives of the scattering potential L_s :

$$\begin{aligned} s_{126} \frac{1}{w} + s_{146} \frac{1}{w-y} + s_{156} \frac{x}{wx-yz} - s_{246} \frac{1}{1-w} - s_{256} \frac{1}{z-w} + s_{456} \frac{x-1}{wx-yz-w-x+y+z} &= 0, \\ s_{135} \frac{1}{x} - s_{145} \frac{1}{z-x} + s_{156} \frac{w}{wx-yz} + s_{345} \frac{1}{x-1} - s_{356} \frac{1}{y-x} + s_{456} \frac{w-1}{wx-yz-w-x+y+z} &= 0, \\ s_{136} \frac{1}{y} - s_{146} \frac{1}{w-y} - s_{156} \frac{z}{wx-yz} + s_{346} \frac{1}{y-1} + s_{356} \frac{1}{y-x} + s_{456} \frac{1-z}{wx-yz-w-x+y+z} &= 0, \\ s_{125} \frac{1}{z} + s_{145} \frac{1}{z-x} - s_{156} \frac{y}{wx-yz} - s_{245} \frac{1}{1-z} + s_{256} \frac{1}{z-w} + s_{456} \frac{1-y}{wx-yz-w-x+y+z} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

If the s_{ijk} are general solutions to the linear equations in (29) then (51) has 26 complex solutions in $X(3, 6)$. We are interested in the case when s lies in $M(3, 6, 1)$, or when we lift to $SH(3, 6, 1)$ by substituting $s_{ijk} = \langle ijk \rangle [ijk]$. We obtain 26-to-1 maps from the two scattering correspondences $C(3, 6, 1)$ or $\tilde{C}(3, 6, 1)$ onto their kinematic spaces $M(3, 6, 1)$ or $SH(3, 6, 1)$.

We next examine the case of primary interest in physics, namely $k = 2$. The Mandelstam variety $M(2, n, r)$ was characterized in Section 4. Here, $X(2, n)$ is the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ of n distinct labeled points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. The scattering potential equals

$$L_s = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} s_{ij} \cdot \log(x_i - x_j).$$

The system of scattering equations $\nabla_x L_s = 0$ can be written explicitly as follows:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{s_{ij}}{x_i - x_j} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (52)$$

Let z be a new unknown and consider the following rational function in z of degree -2 :

$$T(z) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{s_{ij}}{(z - x_i)(z - x_j)}. \quad (53)$$

Proposition 6.2. *The rational function $T(z)$ is identically zero if and only if (52) holds.*

Proof. The residue of $T(z)$ at $z = x_i$ is precisely the left hand side of the equation in (52). The residues at the n poles are all zero if and only if $T(z)$ is the zero function. \square

This following result is known in the particle physics literature due to work of Witten, Roiban-Spradlin-Volovich and Cachazo-He-Yuan [13]. We learned it from the recent lectures by Thomas Lam [21, Section 4.4]. See the discussion of “sectors” in [21, Introduction].

Theorem 6.3. *The lifted scattering correspondence $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(2, n, 0)$ has $n - 3$ irreducible components $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_3, \dots, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{n-2}$. Each of them has the same dimension as $\text{SH}(2, n, 0)$. The irreducible component $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\ell$ parametrizes all 3-step flags $V \subseteq U \subseteq W^\perp$ where (V, W) is a point in $\text{SH}(2, n, 0)$ and U is the row span of an $\ell \times n$ Vandermonde matrix $(x_j^i)_{i=0, \dots, \ell-1; j=1, \dots, n}$. The map from $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\ell$ to $\text{SH}(2, n, 0)$ is finite-to-one: its degree is the Eulerian number $A(n - 3, \ell - 2)$.*

Remark 6.4. The maximum likelihood degree of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ equals $(n - 3)!$. In other words, the equations (52) have $(n - 3)!$ solutions, provided $\sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij} = 0$ for all i . See e.g. [28, Proposition 1]. Theorem 6.3 is a geometric realization of the combinatorial identity

$$(n - 3)! = A(n - 3, 0) + A(n - 3, 1) + \dots + A(n - 3, n - 4). \quad (54)$$

Note that the Eulerian numbers can be defined by $A(2, 0) = A(2, 1) = 1$ and the recursions

$$A(n - 3, \ell - 2) = (\ell - 1) \cdot A(n - 4, \ell - 2) + (n - \ell - 1) \cdot A(n - 4, \ell - 3) \quad \text{for } n \geq 3. \quad (55)$$

Proof of Theorem 6.3. A proof for the first part of the statement concerning the irreducible components of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(2, n, 0)$ was given in [21, Proposition 4.6 and Section 4.5]. The argument for the second part was outlined in [13, Section 1.1]. It is based on the degeneration technique known in physics as *soft limits*. We now present details from the algebraic perspective of [1].

The variety $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\ell$ is the image of the following map into the lifted scattering correspondence:

$$(\mathbb{C}[z]_{\leq \ell-1})^2 \times (\mathbb{C}[z]_{\leq n-\ell-1})^2 \times \mathcal{M}_{0,n} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(2, n, 0), \quad (\tau, \tilde{\tau}, x) \mapsto (\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}, x). \quad (56)$$

Here $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ represents a point in $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$, the column vector τ resp. $\tilde{\tau}$ consists of two polynomials in one variable z of degrees $\ell - 1$ resp. $n - \ell - 1$, the i th column of λ equals $\tau(x_i)$, and the i th column of $\tilde{\lambda}$ is $\tilde{\tau}(x_i) \prod_{j \neq i} (x_i - x_j)^{-1}$. Note that the row spaces V and W of these $2 \times n$ matrices satisfy $V \subseteq U \subseteq W^\perp$, where U is the row space of (x_j^i) .

Write $D(n, \ell)$ for the degree of the finite-to-one map $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\ell \rightarrow \text{SH}(2, n, 0)$, $(\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}, x) \mapsto (\lambda, \tilde{\lambda})$. In words, $D(n, \ell)$ is the number of solutions to the scattering equations in the ℓ th component of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(2, n, 0)$. Direct computations reveal $D(4, 2) = D(5, 2) = D(5, 3) = 1$. We claim that

$$D(n, \ell) = (\ell - 1) \cdot D(n - 1, \ell) + (n - \ell - 1) \cdot D(n - 1, \ell - 1) \quad \text{for } n \geq 3. \quad (57)$$

This claim implies Theorem 6.3, because the recursion in (57) matches the recursion in (55).

It remains to prove (57). This is done using the technique of soft limits. We drive the n th particle to zero, by replacing the Mandelstam coordinate s_{ij} with $\epsilon \cdot s_{ij} = \epsilon \cdot \langle ij \rangle [ij]$.

This degeneration is compatible with the parametrization (56). As ϵ tends to zero, either $\tau(x_n) = 0$ or $\tilde{\tau}(x_n) = 0$ holds in the limit. This yields one equation in one unknowns x_n of degree $\ell - 1$ resp. $n - \ell - 1$. The remaining unknowns x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} satisfy the scattering equations on the components of $\tilde{C}(2, n - 1, 0)$ that are indexed by ℓ and $\ell - 1$ respectively. So, for ϵ near 0, the size of the fibers of $\tilde{C}_\ell \rightarrow \text{SH}(2, n, 0)$ is the right hand side of (57). \square

The irreducible components \tilde{C}_i are referred to as “sectors” in the physics literature. Section 5.1 in [11] starts with the sentence “*In the $k = 2$ case it is well known that solutions of the scattering equations split into $n - 3$ sectors*”. Our proof was written for mathematicians.

Theorem 6.3 was stated for the lifted scattering correspondence $\tilde{C}(2, n, 0)$. From our perspective, it is more natural to focus on the scattering correspondence $C(2, n, 0)$ because the parameters in L_s are the Mandelstam invariants. The scattering correspondence $C(2, n, 0)$ lives over the Mandelstam variety $M(2, n, 0)$, whose prime ideal we presented in Theorem 4.5.

Corollary 6.5. *The scattering correspondence $C(2, n, 0)$ has $\lceil \frac{n-3}{2} \rceil$ irreducible components. The varieties C_ℓ and $C_{n-\ell}$ in Theorem 6.3 are identified by the map $\text{SH}(2, n, 0) \rightarrow M(2, n, 0)$.*

Proof. The Hadamard product map s gives rise to a map from the lifted scattering correspondence in $\text{SH}(2, n, 0) \times \mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ onto the scattering correspondence in $M(2, n, 0) \times \mathcal{M}_{0,n}$. This map is a covering of degree two. The fibers are comprised of solutions for ℓ and for $n - \ell$. These are distinct, unless $\ell = n/2$, where the solution is fixed under this involution. \square

We now turn to the case $k = 3, r = 1$, which was discussed by Cachazo et al. in [11, Section 5.1]. We revisit their results from an algebraic perspective, and we report on the identification of irreducible components with the help of `HomotopyContinuation.jl` [10].

The moduli space $X(3, n)$ is a quotient of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(3, n)$, by (48). Hence there are *two tautological maps* from $\text{SH}(3, n, 1)$ to $X(3, n)$. These rational maps take the pair (V, W) in (10) to the images of V and W in $X(3, n)$ respectively. Furthermore, we consider the Veronese map v from $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ into $X(3, n)$ which take n points in \mathbb{P}^1 to n points on a conic in \mathbb{P}^2 . Algebraically, the map v takes a $2 \times n$ matrix with i th column (u_i, v_i) to the $3 \times n$ matrix with i th column $(u_i^2, u_i v_i, v_i^2)$. Its Plücker coordinates satisfy $\langle ijk \rangle = \langle ij \rangle \langle ik \rangle \langle jk \rangle$.

We now compose the rational map in (34) with the two tautological maps for $k = 2$, followed by the Veronese map v . In this manner, we obtain *two tautological Veronese maps*

$$\text{SH}(3, n, 1) \dashrightarrow \text{SH}(2, n, 0) \dashrightarrow X(2, n) = \mathcal{M}_{0,n} \xrightarrow{v} X(3, n).$$

Explicitly, these two rational maps are $(V, W) \mapsto v(V \cap W^\perp)$ and $(V, W) \mapsto v(V^\perp \cap W)$. We paraphrase the construction in [11, Section 5.1] in terms of the scattering correspondence.

Theorem 6.6. *The lifted scattering correspondence $\tilde{C}(3, n, 1)$ contains four irreducible components which map birationally onto the moduli space $X(3, n)$. These are given by the two tautological maps and the two tautological Veronese maps. In other words, for general kinematic data $(V, W) \in \text{SH}(3, n, 1)$, with Mandelstam invariants $s \in M(3, n, 1)$, the configurations $V, W, v(V \cap W^\perp), v(V^\perp \cap W)$ in $X(3, n)$ are solutions to the scattering equations (49).*

We illustrate this result by solving the equations (51) for a random numerical instance.

Example 6.7 ($k = 3, n = 6$). Let $(V, W) \in \text{SH}(3, 6, 1)$ be the point with parameter matrix

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 7 & 4 & 9 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 & 2 & 8 & 9 \\ 1 & 7 & 9 & 8 & 0 & 5 \\ 6 & 6 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus V is the span of the first three rows and W is the kernel of the last three rows. The Mandelstam invariants are computed by composing the map $\phi_{3,6,1}$ with the Hadamard map:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} s_{123} = 12000 & s_{124} = 6720 & s_{125} = 8272 & s_{126} = -31584 & s_{134} = -37760, \\ s_{135} = 54784 & s_{136} = -35728 & s_{145} = -38080 & s_{146} = 92208 & s_{156} = -30832 \\ s_{234} = -37920 & s_{235} = 68288 & s_{236} = -108016 & s_{245} = -82896 & s_{246} = 82416 \\ s_{256} = 82720 & s_{345} = 46592 & s_{346} = 57664 & s_{356} = -19904 & s_{456} = -88944. \end{array}$$

The scattering equations (51) have 26 solutions $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^4$. Among these, we find:

V	W	$v(V \cap W^\perp)$	$v(V^\perp \cap W)$
$(\frac{8453}{5723}, \frac{6083}{9263}, \frac{3713}{1358}, \frac{3713}{2198})$	$(\frac{6}{11}, \frac{87}{172}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{42}{43})$	$(\frac{5}{21}, \frac{5}{36}, \frac{19}{27}, \frac{38}{69})$	$(\frac{6588}{14911}, \frac{20988}{9139}, -\frac{8601}{125060}, \frac{17437}{138528})$

Substituting these (x, y, z, w) into (50), we obtain four 3×6 matrices P with rational entries. These matrices represent the configurations $V, W, v(V \cap W^\perp), v(V^\perp \cap W)$, where V is the linear span of the first three rows of X and W^\perp is the span of the last three rows of X .

Conjecture 6.8. *The lifted scattering correspondence $\tilde{\text{C}}(3, n, 1)$ decomposes into five irreducible components, i.e. there is only one component whose map onto $X(3, n)$ is not birational. Hence, the scattering correspondence $\text{C}(3, n, 1)$ has three irreducible components. The four birational components become two components modulo the involution in Remark 2.4.*

The passage from the first sentence to the second sentence in Conjecture 6.8 mirrors the passage from Theorem 6.3 to Corollary 6.5. We verified our conjecture in some small cases:

Proposition 6.9. *Conjecture 6.8 is true for $n = 6, 7, 8$.*

Proof. The proof is a computation with `HomotopyContinuation.jl` [10]. Recall from [1] that the degree of the map $\tilde{\text{C}}(3, n, 1) \rightarrow \text{SH}(3, n, 1)$ equals 26, 1272, 188112 for $n = 6, 7, 8$. We ran an irreducible primary decomposition, based on monodromy loops, on the defining equations of the lifted scattering correspondence. We found five irreducible components over $X(3, n)$. For instance, for $n = 7$, the five components have degrees 1, 1, 1, 1 and 1268. \square

Acknowledgements: YEM is supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) SFB-TRR 195 ‘‘Symbolic Tools in Mathematics and their Application’’. AP and BS are supported by the European Union (ERC, UNIVERSE+, 101118787). We are grateful to Simon Telen for helping us with numerical computations for Section 6.

References

- [1] D. Agostini, T. Brysiewicz, C. Fevola, L. Kühne, B. Sturmfels and S. Telen: *Likelihood degenerations*, Adv. Math. **414** (2023) 108863.
- [2] F. Ardila, C. Klivans and L. Williams: *The positive Bergman complex of an oriented matroid*, Eur. J. Comb. **27** (2006) 577–591.
- [3] S. Badger, J. Henn, J. Plefka and S. Zoia: *Scattering Amplitudes in Quantum Field Theory*, Lecture Notes in Physics **1021**, Springer, 2024.
- [4] B. Betti, M. Panizzut and S. Telen: *Solving equations using Khovanskii bases*, Journal of Symbolic Computation (to appear), [arXiv:2306.14871](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.14871).
- [5] C. Bocci and E. Carlini: *Hadamard Products of Projective Varieties*, Birkhäuser, Cham, 2024.
- [6] J. Boretsky: *Positive tropical flags and the positive tropical Dressian*, Sémin. Lothar. Comb. 86B (2022) Article 86.
- [7] L. Bossinger, J. Drummond and R. Glew: *Adjacency for scattering amplitudes from the Gröbner fan*, J. High Energy Phys. 2023, no. 11, 2.
- [8] M. Brandenburg, G. Loho and R. Sinn: *Tropical positivity and determinantal varieties*, Algebr. Comb. **6** (2023) 999–1040.
- [9] M. Brandt, C. Eur and L. Zhang: *Tropical flag varieties*, Adv. Math. **384** (2021) 107695.
- [10] P. Breiding and S. Timme: *HomotopyContinuation.jl: A package for homotopy continuation in Julia*, Mathematical Software – ICMS 2018, 458–465, Springer, 2018.
- [11] F. Cachazo, N. Early, A. Guevara and S. Mizera: *Scattering equations: from projective spaces to tropical Grassmannians*, J. High Energy Phys. (2019), no. 6, 39.
- [12] F. Cachazo and N. Early: *Biadjoint scalars and associahedra from residues of generalized amplitudes*, J. High Energy Phys. (2023), no. 10, 15.
- [13] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Yuan: *Scattering in three dimensions from rational maps*, J. High Energy Phys. (2013) no. 141.
- [14] K. Devriendt, H. Friedman, B. Reinke and B. Sturmfels: *The two lives of the Grassmannian*, [arXiv:2401.03684](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.03684).
- [15] J. Drummond, J. Foster, Ö. Gürdoğan and C. Kalousios: *Algebraic singularities of scattering amplitudes from tropical geometry*, J. High Energy Phys. (2021), no 4, 2.
- [16] H. Elvang and Y. Huang: *Scattering Amplitudes in Gauge Theory and Gravity*, Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [17] C. Godsil and K. Meagher: *Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorems: Algebraic Approaches*, Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [18] D. Grayson and M. Stillman: Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry, available at <https://macaulay2.com>.
- [19] J. Huh and B. Sturmfels: *Likelihood geometry*, in Combinatorial Algebraic Geometry (eds. Aldo Conca et al.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics **2108**, Springer Verlag, (2014) 63–117.
- [20] T. Lam: *An invitation to positive geometries*, [arXiv:2208.05407](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.05407).
- [21] T. Lam: *Moduli spaces in positive geometry*, [arXiv:2405.17332](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.17332).
- [22] D. Maclagan and B. Sturmfels: *Introduction to Tropical Geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol 161, American Mathematical Society, 2015.

- [23] M. Michalek and B. Sturmfels: *Invitation to Nonlinear Algebra*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol 211, American Mathematical Society, 2021.
- [24] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels: *Combinatorial Commutative Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol 227, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [25] J. Olarte: *Positivity for partial tropical flag varieties*, [arXiv:2302.10171](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.10171).
- [26] D. Speyer and L. Williams: *The tropical totally positive Grassmannians*, *J. Algebr. Comb.* **22** (2005) 189–210.
- [27] B. Sturmfels: *Algorithms in Invariant Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1993.
- [28] B. Sturmfels and S. Telen: *Likelihood equations and scattering amplitudes*, *Algebraic Statistics* **12** (2021) 167–186.
- [29] J. Yu and D. Yuster: *Representing tropical linear spaces by circuits*, *Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2007)*, [arXiv:math/0611579](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0611579).

Authors' addresses:

Yassine El Maazouz, RWTH Aachen and MPI MiS Leipzig
Anaëlle Pfister, MPI MiS Leipzig
Bernd Sturmfels, MPI MiS Leipzig

yassine.el-maazouz@berkeley.edu
anaelle.pfister@mis.mpg.de
bernd@mis.mpg.de