## **ISOMORPHISMS OF QUANTUM SPHERES**

FRANCESCO D'ANDREA

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "R. Caccioppoli" Università di Napoli Federico II Complesso MSA, Via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy

ABSTRACT. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q \in [0, 1[$ , the Vaksman-Soibelman quantum sphere  $S_q^{2n+1}$  is described by an associative algebra  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})$  deforming the algebra of polynomial functions on the 2n + 1 dimensional unit sphere. Its C\*-enveloping algebra is known to be independent of the deformation parameter q. In contrast to what happens in the C\*-algebraic setting, we show here that, for all q, q' in the above range,  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})$  only if q = q'.

Odd-dimensional quantum spheres appeared for the first time in [7], as quantum homogeneous spaces of the quantum unitary groups, and generalize the 3-dimensional quantum sphere  $S_q^3$ , the quantum space underlying Woronowocz's quantum SU(2) group. Over the years they have became one of the most studied examples of compact quantum spaces, as proved by the number of citations of Vaksman-Soibelman's paper.

Let us begin by defining the notations and terminology: in this paper,  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers (including 0), empty sums are zero, by an *algebra* we mean a unital associative \*-algebra over the field of complex numbers, by an *ideal* we mean a two-sided \*-ideal, by *homomorphism* we mean a unital \*-homomorphism.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q \ge 0$ . We define  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})$  as the universal algebra generated by the elements  $\{z_i, z_i^*\}_{i=0}^n$  with relations:

$$z_j z_i = q z_i z_j \qquad \qquad \forall \ 0 \leq i < j \leq n \ , \qquad (1)$$

$$z_{i}^{*}z_{j} = qz_{j}z_{i}^{*} \qquad \forall \ 0 \leq i \neq j \leq n , \qquad (2)$$

$$z_{i}^{*}z_{i} = z_{i}z_{i}^{*} + (1 - q^{2})\sum_{j=i+1}^{n} z_{j}z_{j}^{*} \qquad \forall \ 0 \leq i \leq n ,$$
(3)

$$z_0 z_0^* + z_1 z_1^* + \ldots + z_n z_n^* = 1.$$
(4)

Concretely,  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})$  is the quotient of the free algebra  $\mathbb{C}\langle \{z_i, z_i^*\}_{i=0}^n \rangle$  by the ideal defined by the above relations. As the notation suggests, the \*-involution is the map defined on generators by  $z_i \mapsto z_i^*$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 16T20; Secondary: 20G42.

When q = 1, (1-3) tell us that the generators commute with each other, and we can think of  $\mathcal{A}(S_1^{2n+1})$  as the algebra of polynomial functions on the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , the set of solutions of the equation (4). For n = 0,  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^1)$  is independent of q and isomorphic to the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(S^1)$  of polynomial functions on the unit circle. We can then focus on  $n \ge 1$ .

Even if the definition is valid for every non-negative number q, it is wellknown that  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}(S_{q^{-1}}^{2n+1})$  for all q > 0 (the reader can work out the explicit isomorphism as an exercise). For every  $q \neq 1$  (and  $n \geq 1$ ), the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})$  is non-commutative, hence not isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}(S_1^{2n+1})$ . This leaves us with the family of algebras with  $n \geq 1$  and parameter  $0 \leq q < 1$ . The question is whether two algebras in this family can be isomorphic.

The difficulty of constructing an isomorphism between two such algebras suggests that the answer to this question is negative. On the other hand, we know that the C\*-enveloping algebra  $C(S_q^{2n+1})$  is independent of q, for all  $0 \leq q < 1$ . This was originally proved by Sheu in [6] using groupoid C\*-algebras. Later on, Hong and Szymański in [3] gave an independent proof using graph C\*-algebras. For a proof using graph C\*-algebras one can see also the survey [1], while the connection between the graph and the groupoid pictures is discussed in [2].

In this short article we show that:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $n \ge 1$ ,  $q, q' \in [0, 1[$  and  $q \ne q'$ . Then, the algebras  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})$  and  $\mathcal{A}(S_{q'}^{2n+1})$  are not isomorphic.

Surprisingly, there is no proof of this result in the literature. Krähmer proved a similar result for Podleś spheres in [4], but his proof cannot be directly applied to odd dimensional quantum spheres. The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of this theorem.

From this point on, we will make the following assumptions:

$$\mathfrak{n} \ge 1, \quad \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q'} \in [0, 1[\,,$$

and whenever we write  $q \neq 0$ , we mean 0 < q < 1.

We need some preliminary results on the algebra of the quantum SU(2) group, which we denote by  $\mathcal{A}(SU_q(2))$ . This algebra is generated by four elements  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\alpha^*$ ,  $\beta^*$  with relations

$$\begin{split} \beta \alpha &= q \alpha \beta, \qquad \beta^* \alpha = q \alpha \beta^*, \qquad [\beta, \beta^*] = 0 \\ \alpha \alpha^* + \beta \beta^* &= \alpha^* \alpha + q^2 \beta \beta^* = 1. \end{split}$$

Note that these are the same relations of  $\mathcal{A}(S^3_q)$ , except for different notations. But it is convenient to introduce different notations to distinguish its generators from those of  $\mathcal{A}(S^{2n+1}_q)$  for a general  $n \ge 1$ .

A surjective \*-homomorphism  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1}) \to \mathcal{A}(SU_q(2))$  is defined on generators by

$$z_0 \mapsto \alpha, \qquad z_1 \mapsto \beta, \qquad z_i \mapsto 0 \ \forall \ i \geqslant 2.$$

For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ , let us define

$$e_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}} := \begin{cases} \alpha^{\mathbf{j}} \beta^{\mathbf{k}}(\beta^*)^{\mathbf{l}} & \text{if } \mathbf{j} \ge 0, \\ \beta^{\mathbf{k}}(\beta^*)^{\mathbf{l}}(\alpha^*)^{-\mathbf{j}} & \text{if } \mathbf{j} < 0. \end{cases}$$
(5)

If  $q \neq 0$ , the family  $(e_{j,k,l})_{j \in \mathbb{Z},k,l \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a basis of the complex vector space  $\mathcal{A}(SU_q(2))$  (see e.g. [5, Prop. 4.4]).

**Remark 2.** Note that the elements (5) are not linearly independent for q = 0, since in this case it follows from the defining relations that  $\beta\beta^*$  is idempotent, and so  $\beta^k(\beta^*)^k = (\beta\beta^*)^k = \beta\beta^*$  for all  $k \ge 1$ . Compare (5) with the generating family in [1, Prop. 3.3], which we conjecture to be a basis for every value of q. For the purposes of this paper, however, we need to work with the family (5).

We now prove a series of results that involve the basis (5) and are valid if  $q \neq 0$ . All the claims from here to Remark 6 are only valid for  $q \neq 0$ .

**Definition 3.** For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $V_m := \operatorname{Span} \{ e_{j,k,l} : k+l \ge m \}$ .

We have a descending filtration

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathsf{SU}_{\mathsf{q}}(2)) = \mathsf{V}_0 \supseteq \mathsf{V}_1 \supseteq \mathsf{V}_2 \supseteq \dots$$

and clearly

$$\bigcap_{m \ge 0} V_m = 0.$$
 (6)

**Lemma 4.** For all  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , if  $j \ge k$ :

$$\alpha^j(\alpha^*)^k = \alpha^{j-k} \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} (1-q^{-2p}\beta\beta^*),$$

and if j < k:

$$\alpha^{j}(\alpha^{*})^{k} = (\alpha^{*})^{k-j} \prod_{p=k-j}^{k-1} (1-q^{-2p}\beta\beta^{*}).$$

*Proof.* We start by writing

$$\alpha^{j}(\alpha^{*})^{k} = \alpha^{j-1}(1 - \beta\beta^{*})(\alpha^{*})^{k-1} = \alpha^{j-1}(\alpha^{*})^{k-1}(1 - q^{-2(k-1)}\beta\beta^{*})$$

and then use induction on k to prove the desired result.

**Proposition 5.** *For all*  $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$ *, one has* 

- (i)  $(V_m)^* = V_m$ ,
- (ii)  $V_m \cdot V_{m'} \subseteq V_{m+m'}$ .

*Proof.* (i) From the defining relations of  $SU_q(2)$  we see that, for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has

$$(e_{j,k,l})^* = e_{-j,l,k}.$$
 (7)

Thus  $(e_{j,k,l})^* \in V_m$  whenever  $k + l \ge m$ , i.e.  $(V_m)^* \subseteq V_m$ , and then  $V_m = ((V_m)^*)^* \subseteq V_m^*$ .

(ii) It is enough to show that  $e_{j,k,l} \cdot e_{j',k',l'} \in V_{m+m'}$  for all  $j,j' \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k, l, k', l' \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k + l \ge m$  and  $k' + l' \ge m'$ . If either j or j' is zero, or they are both non-zero and with the same sign, the thesis immediately follows from the equality

$$e_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}e_{\mathbf{j}',\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{l}'} = q^{(\dots)}e_{\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{j}',\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{l}+\mathbf{l}'},$$

where the power of q in front is irrelevant. Next, let j > 0 and j' < 0 (the case j < 0 and j' > 0 is similar and we omit it). Using Lemma 4 we get

$$e_{j,k,l}e_{j',k',l'} = q^{(...)}\alpha^{j}(\alpha^{*})^{-j'}e_{0,k+k',l+l'} = q^{(...)}\underbrace{e_{j+j',k+k',l+l'}}_{\in V_{m+m'}}\prod_{p=\max\{0,-j-j'\}}(1-q^{-2p}\beta\beta^{*}),$$

where again we ignore the powers of q in front coming from the commutation relations. Since  $V_{m+m'}\beta\beta^* \subseteq V_{m+m'}$ , we get the thesis.

**Remark 6.** It follows from Prop. 5 that, for each  $m \leq m'$ ,  $V_{m'}$  is an ideal in  $V_m$ . And in particular they are all ideals in  $\mathcal{A}(SU_q(2))$ .

If A is an algebra, we call *commutator ideal* the ideal generated by all commutators, i.e. the intersection of all ideals containing the set [A, A].

**Lemma 7.** Let  $A_1, A_2$  be algebras and denote by  $J_1$  (resp.  $J_2$ ) the commutator ideal of  $A_1$  (resp.  $A_2$ ). If  $\varphi : A_1 \to A_2$  is a homomorphism, then  $\varphi(J_1) \subseteq J_2$  and there is an induced homomorphism

$$[\phi]: A_1/J_1 \to A_2/J_2$$

between quotient algebras defined by  $[\phi](a + J_1) := \phi(a) + J_2$  for all  $a \in A_1$ . Moreover, if  $\phi$  is surjective, then  $[\phi]$  is surjective as well.

*Proof.* For the first claim, it is enough to observe that  $\varphi([a, b]) = [\varphi(a), \varphi(b)]$  for all  $a, b \in A_1$ . The inclusion  $\varphi(J_1) \subseteq J_2$  implies that the induced map  $[\varphi]$ 

is well-defined, and it is clearly a homomorphism. Assume that  $\varphi$  is surjective and let  $c \in A_2$ . Then  $c = \varphi(a)$  for some  $a \in A_1$  and  $c + J_2 = [\varphi](a + J_1)$ , proving surjectivity of  $[\varphi]$ .

**Lemma 8.** Let  $0 \leq q < 1$ . The commutator ideal  $I_n$  of  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})$  is generated by the elements  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  and their adjonts. The quotient algebra  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})/I_n$  is generated by the unitary  $[z_0]$  and is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}(S^1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I'_n$  be the ideal generated by  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  and their adjonts. From (2) it follows that, for all  $i \neq j$ , the element

$$z_j z_i^* = (1 - q)^{-1} [z_j, z_i^*]$$

belongs to  $I_n$ , which means that  $z_i^* z_j = z_j z_i^* + [z_i^*, z_j] \in I_n$  as well. From (3) we see that

$$x_{i} := \sum_{j \ge i} z_{j} z_{j}^{*} = (1 - q^{2})^{-1} [z_{i-1}^{*}, z_{i-1}]$$

belongs to  $I_n$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . So,  $z_i z_i^* = x_i - x_{i+1} \in I_n$  for all  $1 \le i < n$ , and  $z_n z_n^* = x_n \in I_n$  as well. From (4), for all  $i \ne 0$ ,

$$z_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} z_{j} z_{j}^{*} z_{i}$$

belongs to  $I_n$ , since  $z_i^* z_i \in I_n$  for all  $j \neq i$  and  $z_i z_i^* \in I_n$ . Thus,

$$I'_n \subseteq I_n$$
.

We now study the quotient map  $\pi : \mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1}) \to \mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})/I'_n$ . From the defining relations it follows that  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})/I'_n$  is commutative, hence all the commutators are contained in the kernel of  $\pi$ , that is

$$I_{\mathfrak{n}} \subseteq \ker(\pi) = I'_{\mathfrak{n}}.$$

Thus,  $I_n = I'_n$ , and this proves the first claim of the lemma.

We now pass to the second claim. The quotient algebra is commutative and generated by  $[z_0]$  and  $[z_0]^* = [z_0^*]$ , with relation

$$[z_0][z_0]^* = [z_0 z_0^*] = [z_0 z_0^* + x_1] = [1].$$

Thus, there is a homomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{A}(S^1) \to \mathcal{A}(S^{2n+1}_q)/I_n$  that maps the unitary generator u of the first algebra (the identity function on  $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ ) to  $[z_0]$ . We must show that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

For every  $\lambda \in U(1)$  we have a character  $\chi_{\lambda}$  of  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})$  defined by  $\chi_{\lambda}(z_0) = \lambda$  and  $\chi_{\lambda}(z_i) = 0$  for i > 0. This induces a homomorphism  $[\chi_{\lambda}] : \mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})/I_n \to \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $a = \sum_k a_k u^k \in \mathcal{A}(S^1)$ , with  $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$  and only finitely many coefficients different from zero. Assume that  $\phi(a) = 0$ . Then, a is in the kernel of  $f_{\lambda} := [\chi_{\lambda}] \circ \phi$ . But  $f_{\lambda}(a) = \sum_k a_k \lambda^k = 0$  for all

 $\lambda \in U(1)$  implies that the polynomial  $P(t) := \sum_k a_k t^k \in \mathbb{C}[t]$  has infinitely many zeroes, hence P(t) = 0. This means  $a_k = 0$  for all k, so that a = 0 and  $\phi$  is injective.

The image of  $\phi$  contains the generator  $[z_0]$  of  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})/I_n$ , hence  $\phi$  is also surjective.

**Corollary 9.** Let  $q \neq 0$ . Then, the commutator ideal of  $\mathcal{A}(SU_q(2))$  is  $I_1 = V_1$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 8 for n = 1, after a change of notations, tells us that the commutator ideal of  $\mathcal{A}(SU_q(2))$  is generated by  $\beta$  and  $\beta^*$ . Since  $\beta = e_{0,1,0}$  and  $\beta^* = e_{0,0,1}$  belong to  $V_1$ , one has  $I_1 \subseteq V_1$ . On the other hand, for all j, k, l with  $k + l \ge 1$ , one has  $e_{j,k,l} = q^{(...)}e_{j,k-1,l}\beta$  if  $k \ge 1$  or  $e_{j,k,l} = q^{(...)}e_{j,k,l-1}\beta^*$  if  $j \ge 1$  (where the power of q is irrelevant). In either case,  $e_{j,k,l} \in I_1$ , hence  $V_1 \subseteq I_1$ .

**Lemma 10.** Let u denote the unitary generator of  $\mathcal{A}(S^1)$ . Then, every unitary element of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(S^1)$  is of the form  $\lambda u^j$  for some  $\lambda \in U(1)$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, every unitary generator of  $\mathcal{A}(S^1)$  has either the form  $\lambda u$  or  $\lambda u^*$ , for some  $\lambda \in U(1)$ .

*Proof.* This is a rephrasing of a known property of Laurent polynomials. Let  $a = \sum_{k} a_{k} u^{k}$  be a non-zero element in  $\mathcal{A}(S^{1})$ , with  $a_{k} \in \mathbb{C}$  for all k and only finitely many non-zero coefficients. Call  $i_{0} := \min\{i : a_{i} \neq 0\}$  and  $i_{1} := \max\{i : a_{i} \neq 0\}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^{*} &= \left(\sum_{k=i_{0}}^{i_{1}} \mathfrak{a}_{k}\mathfrak{u}^{k}\right) \left(\sum_{k=-i_{1}}^{-i_{0}} \mathfrak{a}_{-k}^{*}\mathfrak{u}^{k}\right) \\ &= \mathfrak{a}_{i_{0}}\mathfrak{a}_{i_{1}}^{*}\mathfrak{u}^{i_{0}-i_{1}} + \sum_{k=i_{0}-i_{1}+1}^{i_{1}-i_{0}}(\ldots)\mathfrak{u}^{k}. \end{split}$$

If  $aa^* = 1$ , since  $a_{i_0}a^*_{i_1} \neq 0$  by construction, from the previous equality we deduce that  $i_0 = i_1$ . Hence  $a = a_{i_0}u^{i_0}$ . This proves the first claim. The second claim is obvious.

**Lemma 11.** Let  $0 \leq q < 1$ , 0 < q' < 1 and let  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1}) \to \mathcal{A}(SU_{q'}(2))$  be a surjective homomorphism. Then, either

$$\varphi(z_0) = \lambda \alpha + x \tag{8a}$$

or

$$\varphi(z_0) = \lambda \alpha^* + x \tag{8b}$$

for some  $\lambda \in U(1)$  and  $x \in V_1$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $J_1$  the commutator ideal of  $A_1 := \mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})$  and by  $J_2$  the commutator ideal of  $A_2 := \mathcal{A}(SU_{q'}(2))$ . Recall that  $A_1/J_1 \cong \mathcal{A}(S^1)$ 

is generated by the unitary  $[z_0]$  and  $A_2/J_2 \cong \mathcal{A}(S^1)$  is generated by the unitary  $u := [\alpha]$  (cf. Lemma 8). The induced map  $[\varphi] : A_1/J_1 \rightarrow A_2/J_2$  is surjective (cf. Lemma 7), which means that  $[\varphi(z_0)]$  is a unitary generator of  $A_2/J_2$ . From Lemma 10 it follows that either  $[\varphi(z_0)] = \lambda u = \lambda[\alpha]$  or  $[\varphi(z_0)] = \lambda u^* = \lambda[\alpha^*]$ , for some  $\lambda \in U(1)$ . Hence, either  $\varphi(z_0) = \lambda \alpha + x$  or  $\varphi(z_0) = \lambda \alpha^* + x$  for some  $\lambda \in U(1)$  and  $x \in J_2$ . But  $J_2 = V_1$  (cf. Corollary 9), hence the thesis.

**Lemma 12.** Let  $0 \leq q < 1$  and 0 < q' < 1. If q is not a positive power of q', then any homomorphism  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1}) \to \mathcal{A}(SU_{q'}(2))$  vanishes on the commutator ideal  $I_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1}) \to \mathcal{A}(SU_{q'}(2))$  be a homomorphism. Fix  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and let  $\varphi(z_i) =: y$ . For  $\varphi(z_0)$  we have the two cases in Lemma 11. Since  $z_i$  is in the commutator ideal (Lemma 8), y must be in the commutator ideal (Lemma 7), which means  $y \in V_1$ . Under the hypotheses of the Lemma, we shall prove by induction on  $m \geq 1$  that

$$y \in V_{\mathfrak{m}} \implies y \in V_{\mathfrak{m}+1}.$$
 (9)

Thus,  $y \in \bigcap_{m \ge 0} V_m$ , and from (6) it follows that y = 0. Since  $\varphi(z_i) = 0$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  generate  $I_n$ , we get the desired result that  $\varphi(I_n) = 0$ .

Now we prove the implication (9). Assume that  $y \in V_m$  (inductive hypothesis), i.e. that

$$y = \sum_{k+l \ge m} y_{j,k,l} e_{j,k,l}$$
(10)

for some  $y_{j,k,l} \in \mathbb{C}$ . We distinguish two cases.

(Case I) Assume that  $\varphi$  satisfies (8a). Since  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism,

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \varphi(z_{i}z_{0} - qz_{0}z_{i}) = \varphi(z_{n})\varphi(z_{0}) - q\varphi(z_{0})\varphi(z_{n}) \\ &= \lambda(y\alpha - q\alpha y) + \underbrace{yx - qxy}_{\in V_{m+1}}. \end{split}$$

Now,  $x \in V_1$  and  $y \in V_m$  imply that the underbraced element belongs to  $V_{m+1}$  and, since the sum is zero, it must be also

$$y\alpha - q\alpha y \in V_{m+1}.$$

We compute the q-commutator (recall that q can be 0, but  $q' \neq 0$ ):

$$y\alpha - q\alpha y = \sum_{\substack{j \ge 0 \\ k+l \ge m}} (q'^{k+l} - q) y_{j,k,l} e_{j+1,k,l}$$

$$+\sum_{\substack{j<0\\k+l\geqslant m}}y_{j,k,l}e_{0,k,l}\Big((\alpha^*)^{-j}\alpha-qq'^{-k-l}\alpha(\alpha^*)^{-j}\Big).$$

By induction on j one proves that  $\alpha(\alpha^*)^{-j}$  and  $(\alpha^*)^{-j}\alpha$  are both equal to  $(\alpha^*)^{-j-1}$  modulo  $V_2$ , so

$$\begin{split} y\alpha - q\alpha y &= \sum_{\substack{j \ge 0 \\ k+l \ge m}} (q'^{k+l} - q) y_{j,k,l} e_{j+1,k,l} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{j < 0 \\ k+l \ge m}} (1 - qq'^{-k-l}) y_{j,k,l} e_{j+1,k,l} \mod V_{m+2} \end{split}$$

This belongs to  $V_{m+1}$  if and only if the components (j,k,l) with k+l=m vanish, that means

$$(q'^m - q)y_{j,k,l} = 0 \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}, k+l = m.$$

Since q is not a power of q', we get  $y_{j,k,l} = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and k + l = m. Thus, (10) becomes

$$y = \sum_{k+l \ge m+1} y_{j,k,l} e_{j,k,l},$$

which proves that  $y \in V_{m+1}$ .

(Case II) Assume  $\varphi$  satisfies (8b). We start again from

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \varphi(z_{i}z_{0} - qz_{0}z_{i}) = \varphi(z_{n})\varphi(z_{0}) - q\varphi(z_{0})\varphi(z_{n}) \\ &= \lambda(y\alpha^{*} - q\alpha^{*}y) + \underbrace{yx - qxy}_{\in V_{m+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which now implies

$$y\alpha^* - q\alpha^* y \in V_{m+1}.$$

We compute the q-commutator:

$$\begin{split} y\alpha^* - q\alpha^* y &= \sum_{\substack{j \leqslant 0 \\ k+l \geqslant m}} (1 - qq'^{k+1}) y_{j,k,l} e_{j-1,k,l} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{j > 0 \\ k+l \geqslant m}} y_{j,k,l} \Big( q'^{-k-l} \alpha^j \alpha^* - q\alpha^* \alpha^j \Big) e_{0,k,l}. \end{split}$$

By induction on j one proves that  $\alpha^j\alpha^*$  and  $\alpha^*\alpha^j$  are both equal to  $\alpha^{j-1}$  modulo  $V_2$ , hence

$$y\alpha^* - q\alpha^* y = \sum_{\substack{j \leqslant 0 \\ k+l \geqslant m}} (1 - qq'^{k+l}) y_{j,k,l} e_{j-1,k,l}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{j>0\\k+l\geqslant m}} (\mathfrak{q}'^{-k-l}-\mathfrak{q}) y_{j,k,l} e_{j-1,k,l} \mod V_{m+2}.$$

This belongs to  $V_{m+1}$  if and only if the components (j, k, l) with k + l = m vanish, that means

$$(q'^{-m} - q)y_{j,k,l} = 0 \ \forall \ j \in \mathbb{Z}, k+l = m$$

Since  $q'^{-m} > 1$  and q < 1, one has  $q'^{-m} - q \neq 0$ . Hence, it must be  $y_{j,k,l} = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and k + l = m, which means  $y \in V_{m+1}$ .

We are now ready to prove the main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Since the statement is symmetric under the exchange of q with q', it is enough to consider the following two cases: 0 = q < q' < 1 and 0 < q' < q < 1. In both cases, q' is not zero, and q is not a positive power of q': in the first case the statement is obvious, and in the second case it follows from  $q'^m \leq q' < q$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . By contradiction, assume that there exists an isomorphism  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{q'}^{2n+1})$ . Composed with the quotient map  $\mathcal{A}(S_{q'}^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(SU_{q'}(2))$  this gives a surjective homomorphism

$$\varphi: \mathcal{A}(\mathsf{S}^{2n+1}_{\mathsf{q}}) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathsf{SU}_{\mathsf{q}'}(2)).$$

It follows from Lemma 12 that  $\phi$  vanishes on the commutator ideal  $I_n$ , hence it induces a surjective homomorphism

$$\varphi: \mathcal{A}(\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{q}}^{2n+1})/\mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{n}} \to \mathcal{A}(\mathsf{SU}_{\mathsf{q}'}(2)).$$

But this is impossible, since  $\mathcal{A}(S_q^{2n+1})/I_n \cong \mathcal{A}(S^1)$  is commutative, while  $\mathcal{A}(SU_{q'}(2))$  is not.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Author is a member of INdAM-GNSAGA (Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica "F. Severi") – Unità di Napoli and of INFN – Sezione di Napoli.

## DECLARATIONS

Ethical Approval. Not applicable.

**Funding.** This work is partially supported by the University of Naples Federico II under the grant FRA 2022 *GALAQ: Geometric and ALgebraic Aspects of Quantization*.

Availability of data and materials. Not applicable.

## References

- [1] F. D'Andrea, *Quantum spheres as graph C\*-algebras: a review* (2023), preprint arXiv:2312.16481 [math.OA].
- [2] F. D'Andrea, *The graph groupoid of a quantum sphere* (2024), in preparation.
- [3] J.H. Hong and W. Szymański, Quantum spheres and projective spaces as graph algebras, Commun. Math. Phys. 232 (2002), 157–188. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-002-0732-1
- [4] U. Krähmer, On the non-standard Podleś spheres, in: C\*-algebras and Elliptic Theory II. Trends in Mathematics. Birkhäuser 2008. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8604-7\_7
- [5] A. Klimyk and K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum groups and their representations*, Springer 2012. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-60896-4
- [6] A.J.L. Sheu, Quantum spheres as groupoid C\*-algebras, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 48 (1997), 503–510. https://doi.org/10.1093/qmath/48.4.503
- [7] L.L. Vaksman and Y.S. Soibelman, *The algebra of functions on quantum* SU(n + 1) group and odd-dimensional quantum spheres, Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991) 1023–1042; translation from Algebra i Analiz 2 (1990), 101–120. http://mi.mathnet.ru/aa208