

Classification of prime modules of quantum affine algebras corresponding to 2-column tableaux

NICK EARLY AND JIAN-RONG LI

Dedicated to Professor Vyjayanthi Chari on the occasion of her 65th birthday

ABSTRACT. Finite dimensional simple modules of quantum affine algebras of type A correspond to semistandard Young tableaux of rectangular shapes. In this paper, we classify all prime modules corresponding to 2-column semistandard Young tableaux. Moreover, we give a conjectural sufficient condition for a module corresponding to a tableau with more than two columns to be prime.

1. INTRODUCTION

A quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a Hopf algebra that is a q -deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of an affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, see [9]. Chari and Pressley classified finite dimensional simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules [8, 9]: every finite dimensional simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module corresponds to an I -tuple of polynomials $(p_i(u))_{i \in I}$ called Drinfeld polynomials, where I is the set of vertices of the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} , $p_i(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ and each $p_i(u)$ has constant term 1. Each I -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials $(p_i(u))_{i \in I}$ corresponds to a dominant monomial in formal variables $Y_{i,a}$, $i \in I$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, where dominant means the exponents appearing in the monomial are all non-negative. The finite dimensional simple module corresponding to a dominant monomial M is denoted by $L(M)$.

A simple module $L(M)$ is called prime if $L(M)$ cannot be decompose as the tensor product of two non-trivial simple modules. That is, $L(M) \not\cong L(M') \otimes L(M'')$ for any non-trivial modules $L(M')$, $L(M'')$. Prime modules of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ have been classified by Chari and Pressley [12]. They proved that all prime modules of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ are Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules. Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules are simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules which correspond to dominant monomials of the form $Y_{i,s} Y_{i,s+2} \cdots Y_{i,s+2r}$ (when \mathfrak{g} is of simply-laced type), where $i \in I$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In general, it is a difficult problem to classify prime modules of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Prime modules have been studied intensively in the literature, see for example, [2, 7, 12, 15, 20, 26].

We denote by $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ the Grassmannian of k -planes in \mathbb{C}^n and $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$ its homogeneous coordinate ring. It was shown by Scott [32] that the ring $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$ has a cluster algebra structure. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$ is called a Grassmannian cluster algebra.

Hernandez and Leclerc [20, Section 13] proved that the Grothendieck ring $K_0(\mathcal{C}_\ell)$ of certain subcategory \mathcal{C}_ℓ (see Section 2.2) of the category of finite dimensional $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_k)$ -modules is isomorphic to a certain quotient $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n, \sim)]$ (certain frozen variables are sent to 1, see Section 2.3) of the Grassmannian cluster algebra $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$, where $n = k + \ell + 1$. Denote by $\text{SSYT}(k, [n])$ the set of semistandard Young tableaux of rectangular shapes with k rows and with entries in $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. It was shown in [5] that there is a one to one correspondence between the elements in the dual canonical basis of $K_0(\mathcal{C}_\ell)$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n, \sim)]$) and semistandard Young tableaux in $\text{SSYT}(k, [n], \sim)$ (we also call an equivalence class in $\text{SSYT}(k, [n], \sim)$ a tableau), where $\text{SSYT}(k, [n], \sim)$ is a certain quotient of $\text{SSYT}(k, [n])$, see Section 2.3. We say that a tableau is prime if the corresponding module is prime. Therefore, classification of prime modules in \mathcal{C}_ℓ is equivalent to classification of prime tableaux in $\text{SSYT}(k, [n], \sim)$.

Recently, cluster variables of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$ corresponding to 2-column tableaux have been studied in [1, Section 4] and [24]. The set of cluster variables corresponding to 2-column tableaux is a subset of the set of prime elements in the dual canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$ corresponding to 2-column tableaux.

In this paper, we classify all prime modules corresponding to 2-column semistandard Young tableaux. Let $L(M)$ be a simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_k)$ -module such that the corresponding tableau T_M is of 2-column. We prove that $L(M)$ is prime if and only if there are one-column tableaux T_1, T_2 such that $T_M = T_1 \cup T_2$, and T_1, T_2 are noncrossing and not weakly separated, see Theorem 3.7. We also count the number of prime modules corresponding to 2-column tableaux: for $k \leq n/2$, the number of 2-column prime tableaux is $a_{k, n, 2} - b_{k, n}$, where $a_{k, n, m} = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{n-i+j}{k+m-i-j+1}$, $b_{k, n} = \binom{n}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k j \binom{n}{k-j, 2j, n-k-j}$, and $\binom{n}{a, b, c} = \frac{n!}{a!b!c!}$, see Proposition 3.8.

Moreover, we give a conjectural sufficient condition for a simple module corresponding to a tableau with more than 2 columns to be prime. Let $T \in \text{SSYT}(k, [n])$ and let (S_1, \dots, S_m) be the unique unordered m -tuple (S_1, \dots, S_m) of one-column tableaux which are pairwise noncrossing such that $T = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_m$. We conjecture that if for every $i \neq j$, S_i, S_j are not weakly separated, then T is prime, see Conjecture 5.1.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall results of quantum affine algebras, Hernandez–Leclerc’s category \mathcal{C}_ℓ , and Grassmannian cluster algebras. In Section 3, we classify all prime modules corresponding to 2-column semistandard Young tableaux. In Section 4, we list prime modules corresponding to 2-column prime tableaux for $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(4, 8)]$ and $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(5, 10)]$. In Section 5, we give a conjectural sufficient condition for a module corresponding to a tableau with more than two columns to be prime.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Fedor Petrov for his help of proving Proposition 3.8. JRL is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P-34602, Grant DOI: 10.55776/P34602, and PAT 9039323, Grant-DOI 10.55776/PAT9039323. This research received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European

Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 725110), Novel structures in scattering amplitudes.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall results of quantum affine algebras [9, 17], Hernandez-Leclerc's category \mathcal{C}_ℓ [20], and Grassmannian cluster algebras [32, 5].

2.1. Quantum affine algebras. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra and I the set of vertices of the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} . The quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a Hopf algebra that is a q -deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ [13, 21]. In this paper, we take \mathfrak{g} to be of type A , i.e., $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and take q to be a non-zero complex number which is not a root of unity.

Denote by \mathcal{P} the free abelian group generated by formal variables $Y_{i,a}^{\pm 1}$, $i \in I$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, and denote by \mathcal{P}^+ the submonoid of \mathcal{P} generated by $Y_{i,a}$, $i \in I$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Let \mathcal{C} denote the monoidal category of finite-dimensional representations of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Any finite dimensional simple object in \mathcal{C} is a highest l -weight module with a highest l -weight $M \in \mathcal{P}^+$, denoted by $L(M)$ (see [10]). The elements in \mathcal{P}^+ are called dominant monomials.

Frenkel and Reshetikhin [17] introduced the q -character map which is an injective ring morphism χ_q from the Grothendieck ring of \mathcal{C} to $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{Z}[Y_{i,a}^{\pm 1}]_{i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^*}$. For a $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module V , $\chi_q(V)$ encodes the decomposition of V into common generalized eigenspaces for the action of a large commutative subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ (the loop-Cartan subalgebra). These generalized eigenspaces are called l -weight spaces and generalized eigenvalues are called l -weights. One can identify l -weights with monomials in \mathcal{P} [17]. Then the q -character of a $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module V is given by (see [17])

$$\chi_q(V) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{P}} \dim(V_m) m \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P},$$

where V_m is the l -weight space with l -weight m .

For $i \in I$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the modules

$$X_{i,a}^{(k)} := L(Y_{i,a} Y_{i,aq^2} \cdots Y_{i,aq^{2k-2}})$$

are called Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules. The modules $X_{i,a}^{(1)} = L(Y_{i,a})$ are called fundamental modules.

2.2. Hernandez-Leclerc's category \mathcal{C}_ℓ . We recall the definition of Hernandez-Leclerc's category \mathcal{C}_ℓ [20] in the case when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_k$.

For integers $a \leq b$, we denote $[a, b] = \{i : a \leq i \leq b\}$ and $[a] = \{i : 1 \leq i \leq a\}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_k$ over \mathbb{C} and let \mathcal{C} be the category of finite-dimensional $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules. In [20], Hernandez and Leclerc introduced a full subcategory $\mathcal{C}_\ell = \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of \mathcal{C} for every $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $I = [k-1]$ be the set of vertices of the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} . We fix $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and denote $Y_{i,s} = Y_{i,aq^s}$,

$i \in I$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, denote by \mathcal{P}_ℓ the subgroup of \mathcal{P} generated by $Y_{i, \xi(i)-2r}^{\pm 1}$, $i \in I$, $r \in [0, \ell]$, where $\xi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a height function defined by $\xi(i) = i - 2$. Denote by \mathcal{P}_ℓ^+ the submonoid of \mathcal{P}^+ generated by $Y_{i, \xi(i)-2r}$, $i \in I$, $r \in [0, \ell]$. An object V in \mathcal{C}_ℓ is a finite-dimensional $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module which satisfies the condition: for every composition factor S of V , the highest l -weight of S is a monomial in \mathcal{P}_ℓ^+ , [20]. Simple modules in \mathcal{C}_ℓ are of the form $L(M)$ (see [9], [20]), where $M \in \mathcal{P}_\ell^+$.

For every $\ell \geq 0$, Hernandez and Leclerc constructed a cluster algebra for \mathcal{C}_ℓ of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ [20]. The cluster algebra for \mathcal{C}_ℓ of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_k)$ is isomorphic to the cluster algebra for a certain quotient $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n, \sim)]$ (see Section 2.3) of the Grassmannian cluster algebra $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$ [5, 20, 32], $n = k + \ell + 1$.

2.3. Grassmannian cluster algebras and semistandard Young tableaux. For $k \leq n$, the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ is the set of k -dimensional subspaces in an n -dimensional vector space. In this paper, we denote by $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ (the affine cone over) the Grassmannian of k -dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n , and denote by $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$ its coordinate ring. This algebra is generated by Plücker coordinates

$$p_{i_1, \dots, i_k}, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n.$$

It was shown by Scott [32] that the ring $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$ has a cluster algebra structure. Define $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n, \sim)]$ to be the quotient of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$ by the ideal generated by $P_{i, \dots, i+k-1} - 1$, $i \in [n - k + 1]$. In [5], it is shown that the elements in the dual canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n, \sim)]$ are in bijection with semistandard Young tableaux in $\text{SSYT}(k, [n], \sim)$.

A semistandard Young tableau is a Young tableau with weakly increasing rows and strictly increasing columns. For $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we denote by $\text{SSYT}(k, [n])$ the set of rectangular semistandard Young tableaux with k rows and with entries in $[n]$ (with arbitrarily many columns). The empty tableau is denoted by $\mathbb{1}$.

For $S, T \in \text{SSYT}(k, [n])$, let $S \cup T$ be the row-increasing tableau whose i th row is the union of the i th rows of S and T (as multisets), [5]. It is shown in Section 3 in [5] that $S \cup T$ is semistandard for any pair of semistandard tableaux S, T .

We call S a factor of T , and write $S \subset T$, if the i th row of S is contained in that of T (as multisets), for $i \in [k]$. In this case, we define $\frac{T}{S} = S^{-1}T = TS^{-1}$ to be the row-increasing tableau whose i th row is obtained by removing that of S from that of T (as multisets), for $i \in [k]$.

A tableau $T \in \text{SSYT}(k, [n])$ is trivial if each entry of T is one less than the entry below it. For any $T \in \text{SSYT}(k, [n])$, we denote by $T_{\text{red}} \subset T$ the semistandard tableau obtained by removing a maximal trivial factor from T . For a trivial T , one has $T_{\text{red}} = \mathbb{1}$.

Let “ \sim ” be the equivalence relation on $S, T \in \text{SSYT}(k, [n])$ defined by: $S \sim T$ if and only if $S_{\text{red}} = T_{\text{red}}$. We denote by $\text{SSYT}(k, [n], \sim)$ the set of \sim -equivalence classes.

The elements in the dual canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n, \sim)]$ are in bijection with simple modules in the category \mathcal{C}_ℓ of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_k)$ in Section 2.3, see [20, 5].

A one-column tableau is called a fundamental tableau if its content is $[i, i+k] \setminus \{r\}$ for $r \in \{i+1, \dots, i+k-1\}$. Any tableau in $\text{SSYT}(k, [n])$ is \sim -equivalent to a unique semistandard tableau whose columns are fundamental tableaux, see Lemma 3.13 in [5]. A semistandard tableau whose columns are fundamental tableaux is called a small gap tableau.

We now recall the explicit formula of $\text{ch}(T)$ in the dual canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n, \sim)]$ in [5, Theorem 5.8]. For that we need to first define $w_T \in S_m$, $P_{u;T'}$, $u \in S_m$, for every $T \in \text{SSYT}(k, [n])$, where T' is the unique small gap tableau which is \sim -equivalent to T , and m is the number of columns of T' .

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_m)$ be the entries in the first row of T' , and let r_1, \dots, r_m be the elements such that the a th column of T' has content $[i_a, i_a+n] \setminus \{r_a\}$. Let $\mathbf{j} = (j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_m)$ be the elements r_1, \dots, r_m written in weakly increasing order.

For a semistandard tableau T , denote $P_T = P_{T_1} \cdots P_{T_m}$, where T_1, \dots, T_m are columns of T . For $u \in S_m$, we define $P_{u;T'} \in \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$ as follows. Provided $j_a \in [i_{u(a)}, i_{u(a)} + k]$ for all $a \in [m]$, define the tableau $\alpha(u; T')$ to be the semistandard tableau whose columns have content $[i_{u(a)}, i_{u(a)} + k] \setminus \{j_a\}$ for $a \in [m]$, and define $P_{u;T'} = P_{\alpha(u;T')} \in \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$ to be the corresponding standard monomial. On the other hand, if $j_a \notin [i_{u(a)}, i_{u(a)} + k]$ for some a , then the tableau $\alpha(u; T')$ is *undefined* and $P_{u;T'} = 0$.

There is a unique $u \in S_m$ which is of maximal length with the property that the sets

$$\{[i_{u(a)}, i_{u(a)} + k] \setminus \{j_a\}\}_{a \in [m]}$$

describe the columns of T' . This u is denoted by $u = w_T$.

By [5, Theorem 5.8], the element $\text{ch}(T)$ in the dual canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n, \sim)]$ is given by

$$\text{ch}(T) = \sum_{u \in S_m} (-1)^{\ell(uw_T)} p_{uw_0, w_T w_0}(1) P_{u;T'}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $p_{u,v}(q)$ is a Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial [22].

2.4. Relation between dominant monomials and tableaux. In Section 2.2, we recalled Hernandez and Leclerc's category \mathcal{C}_ℓ . It is shown in Theorem 3.17 in [5] that in the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_k$, the monoid \mathcal{P}_ℓ^+ (we take the height function to be $\xi(i) = i - 2$, $i \in [k-1]$) of dominant monomials is isomorphic to the monoid of semistandard Young tableaux $\text{SSYT}(k, [n], \sim)$, $n = k + \ell + 1$. The correspondence of dominant monomials and tableaux is induced by the following map sending variables $Y_{i,s}$ to fundamental tableaux:

$$Y_{i,s} \mapsto T_{i,s}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $T_{i,s}$ is a one-column tableau consisting of entries $\frac{i-s}{2}, \frac{i-s}{2} + 1, \dots, \frac{i-s}{2} + k - i - 1, \frac{i-s}{2} + k - i + 1, \dots, \frac{i-s}{2} + k$. We denote the monomial corresponding to a tableau T by M_T and denote the tableau corresponding to a monomial M by T_M . Note that by the definition

of \mathcal{C}_ℓ and the choice of the height function $\xi(i) = i - 2$, $i \in [k - 1]$, the indices of $Y_{i,s}$ in the highest l -weight monomials of simple modules in \mathcal{C}_ℓ satisfy $i - s \pmod{2} = 0$.

When computing the monomial corresponding to a given tableau, we first decompose the tableau into a union of fundamental tableaux. Then we send each fundamental tableau to the corresponding $Y_{i,s}$. For example, the tableaux $[[1, 2, 4, 6], [3, 5, 7, 8]]$ (each list is a column of the tableau), $[[1, 3, 5, 7], [2, 4, 6, 8]]$ correspond to the modules

$$L(Y_{2,-6}Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-3}Y_{2,0}), \quad L(Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-5}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-2}Y_{3,1}),$$

respectively.

Recall that a simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module $L(M)$ is called prime if it is not isomorphic to $L(M') \otimes L(M'')$ for any non-trivial modules $L(M')$, $L(M'')$ [12]. A simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module $L(M)$ is called real if $L(M) \otimes L(M)$ is still simple [23]. We say that a tableau T is prime (resp. real) if the corresponding $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_k)$ -module $L(M_T)$ is prime (resp. real). The problem of classification of prime $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_k)$ -modules in the category \mathcal{C}_ℓ ($\ell \geq 0$) is equivalent to the problem of classification of prime tableaux in $\text{SSYT}(k, [n], \sim)$, $n = k + \ell + 1$, [5].

2.5. Weakly separated property and noncrossing property. For two k -element subsets $I, J \subset [n]$, denote $I < J$ if $\max(I) < \min(J)$.

Definition 2.1 ([25]). A pair of k -element subsets I, J is said to be weakly separated if one of the following holds:

- $I \setminus J = I_1 \sqcup I_2$, $I_1 < J \setminus I < I_2$,
- $J \setminus I = J_1 \sqcup J_2$, $J_1 < I \setminus J < J_2$.

Definition 2.2 ([33]). A pair $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_k\}$, $J = \{j_1 < \dots < j_k\}$ of k -subsets of $[n]$ is said to be noncrossing if for each $1 \leq a < b \leq k$, either the pair $\{i_a, i_{a+1}, \dots, i_b\}$, $\{j_a, j_{a+1}, \dots, j_b\}$ is weakly separated, or $\{i_{a+1}, \dots, i_{b-1}\} \neq \{j_{a+1}, \dots, j_{b-1}\}$.

3. EXPLICIT DESCRIPTION OF 2-COLUMN PRIME TABLEAUX

In this section, we prove that a 2-column tableau is prime if and only if it is the union of two one-column tableaux which are noncrossing and not weakly separated. We also compute the number of 2-column prime tableaux in $\text{SSYT}(k, [n])$.

3.1. Semistandard Young tableaux and noncrossing tuples. We will prove that there is a one to one correspondence between semistandard Young tableaux and noncrossing tuples. This result could be seen as a tableaux analog of Theorem 1.2 in [14]. First we consider the case of $k = 2$.

Lemma 3.1. *For every tableau $T \in \text{SSYT}(2, [n])$, there is a unique unordered m -tuple (S_1, \dots, S_m) of one-column tableaux which are pairwise noncrossing such that $T = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_m$.*

Proof. First note that for 2-row one column tableaux $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{bmatrix}$, they are noncrossing if and only if they are weakly separated. If $b = a + 1$, then $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ is frozen and it is weakly separated with any 2-row one-column tableau. Let T be a 2-row one column tableau and let T' be the tableau obtained from T by removing all factors of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ a+1 \end{bmatrix}$. Denote these frozen factors by T_1'', \dots, T_t'' . By Theorem 1.1 in [5], T' corresponds to a simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module $L(M_T) = L(M_{T'})$. By Sections 4.8, 4.9, 4.11 in [8], every prime $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module is a Kirillov-Reshetikhin module and every simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module is decomposed as a tensor product of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules (note that evaluation modules of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ are Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules). Therefore

$$\chi_q(L(M_T)) = \chi_q(L(M_1)) \cdots \chi_q(L(M_r)) \quad (3.1)$$

for some Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules $L(M_1), \dots, L(M_r)$. Every Kirillov-Reshetikhin module corresponds to a one-column tableau, see Section 3.3 in [5]. Let T_{M_1}, \dots, T_{M_r} be the one-column tableaux corresponding to $L(M_1), \dots, L(M_r)$ respectively. By Equation (3.1), we have that for any i, j , $L(M_i) \otimes L(M_j)$ is simple. Hence by Theorem 1.1 in [25], T_{M_i} and T_{M_j} are weakly separated. Therefore $T = T_{M_1} \cup \dots \cup T_{M_r} \cup T_1'' \cup \dots \cup T_t''$ and any two one-column tableaux in the \cup -product are weakly separated. \square

Example 3.2. Let $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$. The factors of T of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ a+1 \end{bmatrix}$ are $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$. Removing these factors, we obtain $T' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 5 & 6 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$. The corresponding $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module is

$$L(M_T) = L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{1,-3}^2Y_{1,-5}^4Y_{1,-7}^3Y_{1,-9}^2Y_{1,-11}^2Y_{1,-13}),$$

see Section 2.4. By taking all maximal strings of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules, we have that

$$\chi_q(L(M_T)) = \chi_q(L(M_1))\chi_q(L(M_2))\chi_q(L(M_3))\chi_q(L(M_4)),$$

where

$$M_1 = Y_{1,-1}Y_{1,-3} \cdots Y_{1,-13}, \quad M_2 = Y_{1,-3}Y_{1,-5} \cdots Y_{1,-11}, \quad M_3 = Y_{1,-5}, \quad M_4 = Y_{1,-5}Y_{1,-7}.$$

The corresponding one-column tableaux are $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$, respectively. Therefore the unordered 6-tuple of pairwise noncrossing one-column tableaux corresponding to T is

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Lemma 3.3. *For every tableau $T \in \text{SSYT}(k, [n])$, there is a unique unordered m -tuple (S_1, \dots, S_m) of one-column tableaux which are pairwise noncrossing such that $T = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_m$.*

Proof. We prove by induction on k . The result is clearly true in the case of $k = 1$. The case of $k = 2$ is proved in Lemma 3.1.

Suppose that $k \geq 3$ and the result is true for $\text{SSYT}(k', [n])$ for any $k' \leq k - 1$. Let $T \in \text{SSYT}(k, [n])$. Let T' be the sub-tableau of T consisting of the first $k - 1$ rows of T . By induction hypothesis, there is a unique unordered m -tuple $S' = (S'_1, \dots, S'_m)$ of one-column tableaux which are pairwise noncrossing such that $T' = S'_1 \cup \dots \cup S'_m$.

Let T'' be the sub-tableau of T consisting of the last $k - 1$ rows of T . By induction hypothesis, there is a unique unordered m -tuple $S'' = (S''_1, \dots, S''_m)$ of one-column tableaux which are pairwise noncrossing such that $T'' = S''_1 \cup \dots \cup S''_m$.

Let T''' be the sub-tableau of T consisting of the middle $k - 2$ rows of T . By induction hypothesis, there is a unique unordered m -tuple $S''' = (S'''_1, \dots, S'''_m)$ of one-column tableaux which are pairwise noncrossing such that $T''' = S'''_1 \cup \dots \cup S'''_m$.

By Definition 2.2, for any tuple of noncrossing tableaux, if we remove the first or the last entries of all tableaux in the tuple, the resulting tuple is still noncrossing. Therefore the tuple S''' is obtained from S' by removing the first entries, and the tuple S''' is also obtained from S'' by removing the last entries. We can choose some ordering of S', S'', S''' such that for each $j \in [m]$, $S'''_j = S'_j \cap S''_j$. Let $S_j = S'_j \cup S''_j$, $j \in [m]$. Then $T = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_m$ and S_1, \dots, S_m are pairwise noncrossing. \square

Example 3.4. Let $T = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & 6 \\ \hline 7 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$. The unique noncrossing 2-tuple of one-column tableaux

corresponding to T is $(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array})$.

3.2. 2-column prime tableaux.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that T_1, T_2 are 1-column tableaux and they are noncrossing and not weakly separated. Then for any pair of 1-column tableaux S_1, S_2 such that $S_1 \cup S_2 = T_1 \cup T_2$, we have that S_1, S_2 are not weakly separated.*

Proof. Suppose that T_1, T_2 are 1-column tableaux and they are noncrossing and not weakly separated. By Lemma 3.3, for every pair of 1-column tableaux S_1, S_2 such that $S_1 \cup S_2 = T_1 \cup T_2$, either $\{S_1, S_2\} = \{T_1, T_2\}$ or S_1, S_2 are crossing. If $\{S_1, S_2\} = \{T_1, T_2\}$, then S_1, S_2 are not weakly separated.

If $\{S_1, S_2\} \neq \{T_1, T_2\}$, then S_1, S_2 are crossing. If there are $1 \leq a < b \leq k$ such that the sub-tableau of S_1 consisting of the a th to b th rows of S_1 and the sub-tableau of S_2 consisting of the a th to b th rows of S_2 are not weakly separated, then S_1, S_2 are not weakly separated.

Now suppose that for any $1 \leq a < b \leq k$, the sub-tableau of S_1 consisting of the a th to b th rows of S_1 and the sub-tableau of S_2 consisting of the a th to b th rows of S_2 are weakly separated. This contradicts the fact that S_1, S_2 are crossing. \square

Example 3.6. Let $T_1 = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}, T_2 = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$. We have that T_1, T_2 are noncrossing and not weakly

separated. All pairs of 1-column tableaux S_1, S_2 such that $S_1 \cup S_2 = T_1 \cup T_2$ are

$$\left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array} \right).$$

All of these pairs are not weakly separated.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $L(M)$ be a simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_k)$ -module such that T_M is a 2-column tableau. Then $L(M)$ is prime if and only if there are one-column tableaux T_1, T_2 such that $T_M = T_1 \cup T_2$, and T_1, T_2 are noncrossing and not weakly separated.*

Proof. By [25, Theorem 1.1] and [31, Proposition 3], two quantum Plücker coordinates in the quantum Grassmannian cluster algebra are quasi-commute if and only if the corresponding k -subsets are weakly separated. This implies that for any k -element subsets J, J' of $[n]$, the $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_k)$ -module $L(M_J) \otimes L(M_{J'})$ is simple if and only if J, J' are weakly separated.

By Lemma 3.3, there is a unique pair T_1, T_2 of one-column tableaux T_1, T_2 such that T_1, T_2 are noncrossing and $T_M = T_1 \cup T_2$.

Suppose that T_1, T_2 are weakly separated. Then $L(M_{T_1}) \otimes L(M_{T_2})$ is simple. It follows that $\chi_q(L(M)) = \chi_q(L(M_{T_1}))\chi_q(L(M_{T_2}))$. Therefore $L(M)$ is not prime.

Now suppose that T_1, T_2 are not weakly separated. By Lemma 3.5, for any pair T'_1, T'_2 of 1-column tableaux such that $T_1 \cup T_2 = T'_1 \cup T'_2$, we have that T'_1, T'_2 are not weakly separated. Therefore $\text{ch}(T_M) \neq \text{ch}(T'_1)\text{ch}(T'_2)$, $\chi_q(L(M)) \neq \chi_q(L(M_{T'_1}))\chi_q(L(M_{T'_2}))$, for any pair of 1-column tableaux T'_1, T'_2 such that $T_M = T'_1 \cup T'_2$. Therefore $L(M)$ and T_M are prime. \square

Denote $\binom{n}{a,b,c} = \frac{n!}{a!b!c!}$ and $I\Delta J = (I \setminus J) \cup (J \setminus I)$ for two sets I, J .

Proposition 3.8. *For $k \leq n/2$, the number of 2-column prime tableaux is $a_{k,n,2} - b_{k,n}$, where $a_{k,n,m} = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{n-i+j}{k+m-i-j+1}$ and $b_{k,n} = \binom{n}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k j \binom{n}{k-j, 2j, n-k-j}$.*

Proof. The number of semistandard Young tableaux of rectangular shape with k rows and with entries in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and with m columns is $a_{k,n,m}$, see [34].

Assume that $k \leq n/2$. If $I = J$, then I, J are weakly separated and there are $\binom{n}{k}$ choices of $I = J$. Now assume that $I \neq J$. Denote $|I - J| = |J - I| = j$. Since $|I \cap J| = k - j$, $|I \Delta J| = 2j$, there are $\binom{n}{k-j, 2j, n-k-j}$ ways to fix the sets $I \cap J$ and $I \Delta J$.

Since either $I \setminus J$ or $J \setminus I$ should be a segment of s consecutive elements of the $2j$ elements in $I \Delta J$, there are $2j$ choices of $I - J$. Since the pair (I, J) is unordered, there are $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k 2j \binom{n}{k-j, 2j, n-k-j}$ choices of weakly separated pairs (I, J) (unordered) in the case of $I \neq J$. It follows that the number of unordered weakly separated pairs among all Plücker coordinates is $b_{k,n}$.

Therefore the number of 2-column prime tableaux is $a_{k,n,2} - b_{k,n}$. \square

Remark 3.9. It is conjectured in [1] that for $k \leq n/2$, there are

$$\sum_{r=3}^k \left(\frac{2r}{3} \cdot p_1(r) + 2r \cdot p_2(r) + 4r \cdot p_3(r) \right) \cdot \binom{n}{2r} \binom{n-2r}{k-r}$$

2-column cluster variables in $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(k, n)]$, where $p_i(r)$ is the number of partitions $r = r_1 + r_2 + r_3$ such that $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $|\{r_1, r_2, r_3\}| = i$. The number $a_{k,n,2} - b_{k,n}$ in Proposition 3.8 includes prime tableaux which are not cluster variables.

4. 2-COLUMN PRIME TABLEAUX FOR $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(4, 8)]$ AND $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(5, 10)]$

In this section, we list prime tableaux for $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(4, 8)]$ and $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(5, 10)]$.

4.1. Promotion of tableaux. Promotion is an operator on the set of semistandard Young tableaux defined in terms of “jeu de taquin” sliding moves [28, 29, 30]. Gansner [18] proved that promotion can also be described using Bender-Knuth involutions [4]. In this paper, we only need the promotion operator on $\text{SSYT}(k, [n])$.

The i th ($i \in [n]$) Bender-Knuth involution $\text{BK}_i : \text{SSYT}(k, [n]) \rightarrow \text{SSYT}(k, [n])$, is defined by the following procedure: for i and $i + 1$ which are not in the same column, we replace i by $i + 1$ and replace $i + 1$ by i , and then reorder $i, i + 1$ in each row such that the resulting tableau is semistandard. The promotion $\text{pr}(T)$ of T is defined by

$$\text{pr}(T) = \text{BK}_1 \circ \dots \circ \text{BK}_{n-1}(T),$$

see also Definition A.3 in [19].

4.2. 2-column prime tableaux for $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(4, 8)]$. There are totally 122 prime tableaux for $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(4, 8)]$. Two of them are non-real:

1	2	1	3
3	4	2	5
5	6	4	7
7	8	6	8

They corresponds to the following prime non-real modules respectively:

$$L(Y_{3,1}Y_{2,-2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{1,-5}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}), L(Y_{2,0}Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-3}Y_{2,-6}).$$

Up to promotion, there are 15 real prime tableaux:

1 1	1 1	1 1	1 2	1 2	1 2	1 3	1 3	1 4	1 2	1 2	1 3	1 3	1 1	1 2
2 4	2 4	2 4	2 4	2 4	2 4	2 4	2 4	2 6	2 4	2 5	2 4	2 5	2 3	2 5
3 6	3 6	3 7	3 6	3 6	3 7	3 6	3 7	3 7	3 7	3 7	3 7	3 7	4 5	4 7
5 7	5 8	5 8	5 7	5 8	5 8	5 8	5 8	5 8	6 8	6 8	6 8	6 8	6 7	6 8

They corresponds to the following prime real modules respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} &L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}), L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}), L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{2,-6}), \\ &L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}), L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}), L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{2,-6}), L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}), \\ &L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{2,-6}), L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,-5}), L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{2,-6}), \\ &L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-1}Y_{3,-3}Y_{2,-6}), L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{1,-3}Y_{2,-4}Y_{2,-6}), L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-3}Y_{2,-6}), \\ &L(Y_{2,0}Y_{3,1}Y_{1,-3}Y_{2,-2}Y_{1,-5}), L(Y_{2,0}Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-1}Y_{3,-3}Y_{2,-6}). \end{aligned}$$

4.3. 2-column prime tableaux for $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(5, 10)]$. There are totally 197 prime non-real tableaux for $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(5, 10)]$. Up to promotion, there are 21 prime non-real tableaux:

1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1
2 3	2 3	2 3	2 3	2 3	2 3	2 3	2 3	2 4	2 4	2 4
4 5	4 5	4 5	4 5	4 5	4 6	5 6	3 6	3 6	3 6	3 6
6 7	6 7	6 7	6 8	7 8	7 8	7 8	5 8	5 8	5 9	5 9
8 9	8 10	9 10	9 10	9 10	9 10	9 10	7 9	7 10	7 10	7 10

1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 2	1 2	1 2
2 4	2 4	2 4	2 4	2 5	2 5	3 4	3 5	3 4	3 4	3 4
3 6	3 7	3 7	5 6	3 7	4 7	5 6	4 7	5 6	5 6	5 7
5 9	5 9	6 9	7 8	6 9	6 9	7 8	6 9	7 8	7 9	6 9
8 10	8 10	8 10	9 10	8 10	8 10	9 10	8 10	9 10	8 10	8 10

They corresponds to the following prime non-real modules respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} &L(Y_{3,1}Y_{4,2}Y_{2,-2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{1,-5}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}), L(Y_{3,1}Y_{4,2}Y_{2,-2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{1,-5}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}Y_{1,-9}), \\ &L(Y_{3,1}Y_{4,2}Y_{2,-2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{1,-5}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}Y_{1,-7}Y_{1,-9}), L(Y_{3,1}Y_{4,2}Y_{2,-2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{1,-5}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-6}Y_{1,-9}), \\ &L(Y_{3,1}Y_{4,2}Y_{2,-2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-6}Y_{1,-9}), L(Y_{3,1}Y_{4,2}Y_{2,-2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{3,-3}Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-6}Y_{1,-9}), \\ &L(Y_{3,1}Y_{4,2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{3,-3}Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-6}Y_{1,-9}), L(Y_{2,0}Y_{4,2}Y_{1,-3}Y_{4,0}Y_{3,-3}Y_{2,-6}), \\ &L(Y_{2,0}Y_{4,2}Y_{1,-3}Y_{4,0}Y_{3,-3}Y_{2,-6}Y_{1,-9}), L(Y_{2,0}Y_{4,2}Y_{1,-3}Y_{4,0}Y_{3,-3}Y_{2,-6}Y_{2,-8}), \\ &L(Y_{2,0}Y_{4,2}Y_{1,-3}Y_{4,0}Y_{1,-5}Y_{3,-3}Y_{2,-6}Y_{2,-8}), L(Y_{2,0}Y_{4,2}Y_{1,-3}Y_{4,0}Y_{1,-5}Y_{3,-3}Y_{3,-5}Y_{2,-8}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(Y_{2,0}Y_{4,2}Y_{2,-2}Y_{4,0}Y_{1,-5}Y_{3,-3}Y_{3,-5}Y_{2,-8}), \quad L(Y_{3,1}Y_{4,2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{4,0}Y_{2,-4}Y_{3,-3}Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-6}Y_{1,-9}), \\
& L(Y_{2,0}Y_{4,2}Y_{2,-2}Y_{4,0}Y_{1,-5}Y_{4,-2}Y_{3,-5}Y_{2,-8}), \quad L(Y_{3,1}Y_{4,2}Y_{2,-2}Y_{4,0}Y_{1,-5}Y_{4,-2}Y_{3,-5}Y_{2,-8}), \\
& L(Y_{4,2}^2Y_{3,-1}Y_{4,0}Y_{2,-4}Y_{3,-3}Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-6}Y_{1,-9}), \quad L(Y_{4,2}^2Y_{2,-2}Y_{4,0}Y_{1,-5}Y_{4,-2}Y_{3,-5}Y_{2,-8}), \\
& L(Y_{4,2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{4,0}Y_{2,-4}Y_{3,-3}Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-6}Y_{1,-9}), \quad L(Y_{4,2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{4,0}Y_{2,-4}Y_{3,-3}Y_{2,-6}Y_{2,-8}), \\
& L(Y_{4,2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{4,0}Y_{1,-5}Y_{3,-3}Y_{3,-5}Y_{2,-8}).
\end{aligned}$$

There are totally 3260 prime real tableaux for $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(5, 10)]$. Up to promotion, there are 326 prime real tableaux. Ten of these tableaux are:

1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	5	3	5	3	5	3	5	3	5	3	5	3	5	3	5	3	5	3	5
4	7	4	7	4	7	4	8	4	8	4	9	4	7	4	7	4	7	4	8
6	8	6	9	6	10	6	9	6	10	6	8	6	9	6	10	6	9	6	9

They correspond to the following real prime modules respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}), \quad L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}), \quad L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}Y_{1,-9}), \\
& L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{2,-6}), \quad L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{2,-6}Y_{1,-9}), \\
& L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{3,1}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{2,-6}Y_{2,-8}), \quad L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{4,2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}), \quad L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{4,2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}), \\
& L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{4,2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{1,-7}Y_{1,-9}), \quad L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{4,2}Y_{3,-1}Y_{2,-4}Y_{2,-6}).
\end{aligned}$$

5. PRIME MODULES CORRESPONDING TO TABLEAUX WITH TWO OR MORE COLUMNS

In this section, we give a necessary condition for a tableau to be prime. We also give a conjecture that every pairwise noncrossing but not weakly separated collection of 1-column semistandard tableaux give a prime tableau.

Conjecture 5.1. *Let $T \in \text{SSYT}(k, [n])$ and let (S_1, \dots, S_m) be the unique unordered m -tuple (S_1, \dots, S_m) of one-column tableaux which are pairwise noncrossing such that $T = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_m$. If for every $i \neq j$, S_i, S_j are not weakly separated, then T is prime.*

Conjecture 5.1 gives an explicit description of the highest l -weights of a very large family of prime $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_k)$ -modules.

Note that the condition in Conjecture 5.1 is a sufficient condition but not a necessary condition. For example, in the case of $\text{Gr}(3, 8)$, the eight tableaux in (5.1) are prime, see [6, 27]. But they do not satisfy the condition in Conjecture 5.1. For example, the unique 3-tuple of pairwise non-crossing tableaux corresponding to the first tableau is

$$\left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array} \right).$$

The first two 1-column tableaux are weakly separated.

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 6 & 5 & 4 \\ \hline 7 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array},
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & 3 & 7 \\ \hline 6 & 6 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array},
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & 6 & 5 \\ \hline 5 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array},
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 5 & 4 & 4 \\ \hline 6 & 8 & 7 \\ \hline \end{array},
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 3 & 7 \\ \hline 6 & 5 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array},
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 6 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array},
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 3 \\ \hline 7 & 6 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array},
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & 7 & 6 \\ \hline 5 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

In the case of $r = 2$, Conjecture 5.1 is proved in Section 3.

Example 5.2. In the case of $\text{Gr}(3, 9)$, there are 3 pairwise noncrossing and not weakly separated 3-tuples:

$$\left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 9 \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 5 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline 9 \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 4 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 9 \\ \hline \end{array} \right).$$

They correspond to 3 prime tableaux in $\text{SSYT}(3, [9])$:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 7 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline \end{array},
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & 8 \\ \hline 6 & 7 & 9 \\ \hline \end{array},
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & 6 & 7 \\ \hline 5 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The corresponding prime non-real modules are

$$\begin{aligned}
 &L(Y_{2,0}Y_{2,-2}^2Y_{1,-5}Y_{2,-4}^2Y_{1,-7}^2Y_{2,-6}Y_{1,-9}^2Y_{1,-11}), \\
 &L(Y_{2,0}Y_{1,-3}Y_{2,-2}^2Y_{1,-5}^2Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-8}Y_{2,-10}), \\
 &L(Y_{1,-1}Y_{1,-3}Y_{2,-4}^2Y_{2,-6}^2Y_{1,-9}Y_{2,-8}Y_{1,-11}).
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Baur, D. Bogdanic, A. Garcia Elsener, J.-R. Li, *Rigid indecomposable modules in Grassmannian cluster categories*, arXiv:2011.09227.
- [2] M. Brito, V. Chari, and A. Moura, *Demazure modules of level two and prime representations of quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}* , Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu, **17** (2018), no. 1, 75–105.
- [3] M. Brito and V. Chari, *Higher order Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules, Imaginary modules and Monoidal Categorification for $U_q(A_n^{(1)})$* , arXiv:2207.11731.
- [4] E. A. Bender and D. E. Knuth, *Enumeration of plane partitions*, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A **13** (1972), 40–54.
- [5] W. Chang, B. Duan, C. Fraser, J.-R. Li. Quantum affine algebras and Grassmannians, Math. Z. **296** (2020), 1539–1583.
- [6] M.-W. Cheung, P.-P. Dechant, Y.-H. He, E. Heyes, E. Hirst, J.-R. Li, *Clustering Cluster Algebras with Clusters*, arXiv:2212.09771.
- [7] V. Chari, A. Moura, and C. Young, *Prime representations from a homological perspective*, Math. Z. **274**, 613–645 (2013).
- [8] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **142** (1991), 261–283.
- [9] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. xvi+651 pp.

- [10] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras and their representations*, in Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), **16** of CMS Conf. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [11] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Minimal affinizations of representations of quantum groups: the simply-laced case*, Journal of Algebra **184** (1996), 1–30.
- [12] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *Factorization of representations of quantum affine algebras*, Modular interfaces, (Riverside CA 1995), AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., **4** (1997), 33–40.
- [13] V. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and of quantum affine algebras*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **296** (1987), no.1, 13–17.
- [14] N. Early, *Planarity in generalized scattering amplitudes: PK polytope, generalized root systems and worldsheet associahedra*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.07142 (2021).
- [15] N. Early and J.-R. Li, *Tropical geometry, quantum affine algebras, and scattering amplitudes*, arXiv:2303.05618.
- [16] E. Frenkel and E. Mukhin, *Combinatorics of q -characters of finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **216**, 23–57 (2001).
- [17] E. Frenkel and N. Reshetikhin, *The q -characters of representations of quantum affine algebras and deformations of W -algebras*, Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics (Raleigh, NC, 1998), pp. 163–205. Contemp. Math., vol. **248**. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1999).
- [18] E. R. Gansner, *On the equality of two plane partition correspondences*, Discrete Math. **30** (1980), 121–132.
- [19] S. Hopkins, *Cyclic sieving for plane partitions and symmetry*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **16** (2020), Paper No. 130, 40.
- [20] D. Hernandez and B. Leclerc, *Cluster algebras and quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J., **154**(2) (2010), 265–341.
- [21] M. Jimbo, *A q -difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys., **10** (1985), no. 1, 63–69.
- [22] D. Kazhdan, and G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter Groups and Hecke Algebras*, Inventiones Mathematicae, **53**, 165–184 (1979).
- [23] B. Leclerc, *Imaginary vectors in the dual canonical basis of $U_q(n)$* , Transform Groups **8** (2003), no. 1, 95–104.
- [24] I. Le and E. Yıldırım, *Cluster categorification of rank 2 webs*, arXiv:2402.14501.
- [25] B. Leclerc and A. Zelevinsky, *Quasicommuting families of quantum Plücker coordinates*, In Kirillov’s seminar on representation theory, volume **181** of Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, pages 85–108. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [26] A. Moura, C. Silva, *On the primality of totally ordered q -factorization graphs*, arXiv:2204.10439, 2022.
- [27] L. Ren, M. Spradlin, A. Volovich, *Symbol alphabets from tensor diagrams*, J. High Energ. Phys. **2021**, 79 (2021).
- [28] M. P. Schützenberger, *Quelques remarques sur une construction de Schensted*, Math. Scand. **12** (1963), 117–128.
- [29] M. P. Schützenberger, *Promotion des morphismes d’ensembles ordonnés*, Discrete Math. **2** (1972), 73–94.
- [30] M. P. Schützenberger, *La correspondance de Robinson*, in Combinatoire et représentation du groupe symétrique, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **579**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977, 59–113.

- [31] J. Scott, *Quasi-commuting families of quantum minors*, Journal of Algebra, **290** (1), 204–220, 2005.
- [32] J. Scott, *Grassmannians and cluster algebras*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, **92**(3) (2006), 345–380.
- [33] F. Santos, C. Stump, and V. Welker, *Noncrossing sets and a Grassmann associahedron*, In Forum of Mathematics, Sigma (Vol. **5**), Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [34] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. 2, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **62**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

NICK EARLY, MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCIENCES, LEIPZIG, GERMANY.
Email address: nick.early@mis.mpg.de

JIAN-RONG LI, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA.
Email address: lijr07@gmail.com