

Radiative QED corrections to the lepton anomaly from four-loop vacuum polarization insertions with three identical leptons

O.P. Solovtsova*

*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,
Joliot-Curie, 6, 141980, DUBNA, Moscow region, Russia and
Sukhoi State Technical University of Gomel,
246029 Prospect Octiabria, 48, Gomel, Republic of Belarus*

V.I. Lashkevich†

*Sukhoi State Technical University of Gomel,
246029 Prospect Octiabria, 48, Gomel, Republic of Belarus*

L.P. Kaptari‡

*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,
Joliot-Curie, 6, 141980, DUBNA, Moscow region, Russia*

Abstract

Analytical expressions for the tenth order electromagnetic corrections to the lepton ($L = e, \mu$ and τ) anomaly a_L are derived explicitly for a class of Feynman diagrams with insertions of the vacuum polarization operator consisting of four closed lepton loops. We consider a particular case when one loop is formed by the lepton L of the same kind as the one under consideration, the other three loops being formed by leptons $\ell \neq L$. The method is based on the consecutive application of dispersion relations for the polarization operator and the Mellin–Barnes transform for the propagators of massive particles. The result is expressed in terms of the mass ratio $r = m_\ell/m_L$. We investigate the behaviour of the exact analytical expressions as $r \rightarrow 0$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$ and compare them with the corresponding asymptotic expansions known in the literature.

*Electronic address: olsol@theor.jinr.ru ; solovtsova@gstu.gomel.by

†Electronic address: lashkevich@gstu.gomel.by

‡Electronic address: kaptari@theor.jinr.ru

I. INTRODUCTION

As is well established, the shift of the gyromagnetic factor g_L of the lepton L from the value $g_L = 2$ predicted by the Dirac theory [1] is entirely governed by the effects of self-interactions of leptons. In the literature, this shift is referred to as the anomalous magnetic moment $a_L \equiv (g_L - 2)/2$. In spite of the extraordinary smallness of this anomaly, its study is of great importance since the impressive accurate measurements of a_L for electrons [2, 3] and muons [4, 5] impose corresponding requirements on the accuracy of theoretical calculations. A detailed review of the contributions of different mechanisms to a_L can be found in e.g., Refs. [7, 8], whereas extensive discussions of the discrepancy between the predictions of the Standard Model (SM) and experimental data are reported in Refs. [9–16]. So far, the available highly accurate numerical calculations [16–19] of radiative corrections to a_L up to the eighth order were essentially based on either Monte Carlo integration [16] or the well-known PSLQ algorithm [20]. Although the PSLQ methods can assure up to, e.g., 1100 digits of precision [17], numerical evaluation of the corresponding diagrams requires a huge amount of computer time and, in addition, detailed analysis of the contribution of individual diagrams is hindered in such approaches.

Therefore, it is of great interest to be able to separate at least a subclass of Feynman diagrams that can give results in the form of analytically closed expressions and consequently can be used to check the accuracy of numerical algorithms and investigate the contribution of particular diagrams to corrections from the full set of diagrams of the a order. These are the so-called "bubble" -like diagrams consisting solely of insertions of the photon vacuum polarization operators of the desired order with the corresponding number of closed lepton loops. In Ref. [21], the "bubble" -like diagrams were considered for the muon anomaly within the integral Mellin-Barnes representation, which allows one to obtain analytical expressions for a_L as expansions on the ratio $r = m_\ell/m_L$, where m_L is the mass of the external lepton L and m_ℓ is the mass of the internal lepton ℓ of the polarization operator. The approach was generalized for all kinds of leptons $L = e, \mu$ and τ in the whole interval of the mass ratio $0 < r < \infty$ in Ref. [22], where it was argued that corrections of any order in a_L are fully determined by diagrams with the exchange of only one but massive photon. By applying the Mellin-Barnes transform to these diagrams, the general expression for a_L can be reduced to one-dimensional integrals in the complex plane of two Mellin momenta. The

Mellin-Barnes technique is quite popular and widely used in the literature in multi-loop calculations in relativistic quantum field theories, cf. Refs [23–25]. For the first time this approach was implemented in Ref. [26] as a tool for evaluating massive Feynman integrals. Further developments of the approach for analytical calculations of a_L can be found in Refs. [21, 22, 27].

The present paper is a generalization of the approach reported in Ref. [21, 22], but this time to derive analytical expressions for corrections of the α^5 order (where α is the fine structure constant) from diagrams with four internal lepton loops, one of which is formed by a lepton L of the same type as the external one and the other three identical loops consisting of leptons ℓ different from L , $\ell \neq L$. Earlier, in Ref. [28], we presented exact analytical expressions for four-loop diagrams with four identical internal loops, whereas in Ref. [29] diagrams with two leptons of type L and two leptons of type ℓ were considered in detail. Obviously, calculations by exact analytical formulae allow one to easily determine not only the contributions of different diagrams with any desired accuracy but also to find simpler and controllable expressions as expansions of the exact formulae at $r \ll 1$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$. Note that since $m_e \ll m_\mu \ll m_\tau$, actually the limits $r \ll 1$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$ correspond to real physical ratios of all the possible combinations of the known leptons $L = e, \mu$ and τ . The particular case $r \rightarrow 0$ for corrections from four-loop diagrams to the muon anomaly were reported previously in Refs. [21, 30, 31].

II. BASIC FORMULAE

General expressions for radiative corrections from bubble-type diagrams with $n = p + j$ closed lepton loops, where p denotes the number of loops consisting of leptons L of the same type as the external one and j denotes the number of loops formed by leptons ℓ different from L , were considered in detail in Refs. [21, 22]. Explicitly, the corrections $a_L(p, j)$ read as

$$a_L(p, j) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi i} F_{(p,j)} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} dz \left(\frac{4m_\ell^2}{m_L^2} \right)^{-z} \Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^p \Omega_p(z) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^j R_j(z), \quad (1)$$

where $F_{(p,j)} = (-1)^{p+j+1} C_{p+j}^p$, and C_{p+j}^p are the familiar binomial coefficients; the variable c is an arbitrary number from the interval $a < \text{Re } z < b$ where the integrand (1) is an analytical function. The Mellin momenta $\Omega_p(z)$ and $R_j(z)$ are determined by the polarization operators

$\Pi^{(L)}$ and $\Pi^{(\ell)}$ according to

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^p \Omega_p(z) = \int_0^1 dx x^{2z}(1-x)^{1-z} \left[\Pi^{(L)} \left(-\frac{x^2}{1-x} m_L^2 \right) \right]^p, \quad (2)$$

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^j R_j(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{y} \left(\frac{4m_\ell^2}{y} \right)^z \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left[\Pi^{(\ell)}(y) \right]^j. \quad (3)$$

The explicit expressions for $\Pi^{(L,\ell)}$ in Eqs. (2) and (3) are well known in the literature, q.v. Ref. [32]

$$\text{Re} \Pi^{(L,\ell)}(y) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right) \left[\frac{8}{9} - \frac{\delta^2}{3} + \delta \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta^2}{6} \right) \ln \frac{|1-\delta|}{1+\delta} \right], \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \Pi^{(L,\ell)}(y) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right) \delta \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \delta^2 \right) \theta(y - 4m_{(L,\ell)}^2), \quad (5)$$

where $\delta = \sqrt{1 - 4m_{(L,\ell)}^2/y}$. Notice that due to the Euclidean character of the operator $\Pi^{(L)}$ in Eq. (2) and due to the presence of the θ -function in Eq. (5), the polarization operator $\Pi^{(L)} \left(-\frac{x^2}{1-x} m_L^2 \right)$ is purely real and does not depend on the lepton masses, i.e.,

$$\Pi^{(L)} \left(-\frac{x^2}{1-x} m_L^2 \right) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left[\frac{5}{9} + \frac{4}{3x} - \frac{4}{3x^2} + \left(-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{x^2} - \frac{4}{3x^3} \right) \ln(1-x) \right]. \quad (6)$$

Furthermore, by a simple change of variables $y = \frac{4m_\ell^2}{\xi}$ in Eq. (3), it is straightforward to show that $R_j(z)$ is also independent of the lepton masses. Consequently, the only dependence of a_L in Eq. (1) on masses enters through the ratio

$$r = \frac{m_\ell}{m_L}. \quad (7)$$

Correspondingly, in the literature it is commonly accepted to classify the contributions to a_L from different Feynman diagrams by this ratio, emphasizing separately terms completely independent of masses, the so-called universal contribution A_1 at $r = 1$ and the terms $A_2(r)$ and $A_3(r_1, r_2)$ at $r \neq 1$ (for details, see Ref. [8]):

$$a_L = A_1 \left(\frac{m_L}{m_L} \right) + A_2 \left(\frac{m_\ell}{m_L} \right) + A_3 \left(\frac{m_{\ell_1}}{m_L}, \frac{m_{\ell_2}}{m_L} \right). \quad (8)$$

At the same time, each term in Eq. (8) can be represented as Taylor expansions over the fine structure constant α as

$$A_1(m_L/m_L) = A_1^{(2)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^1 + A_1^{(4)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 + A_1^{(6)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^3 + \dots, \quad (9)$$

$$A_2(r) = A_2^{(4)}(r) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 + A_2^{(6)}(r) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^3 + A_2^{(8)}(r) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^4 + A_2^{(10)}(r) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^5 + \dots, \quad (10)$$

$$A_3(r_1, r_2) = A_3^{(6)}(r_1, r_2) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^3 + A_3^{(8)}(r_1, r_2) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^4 + A_3^{(10)}(r_1, r_2) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^5 + \dots, \quad (11)$$

where $r_1 = m_{\ell_1}/m_L$, $r_2 = m_{\ell_2}/m_L$, with $m_{\ell_{1,2}}$ as masses of two internal leptons $\ell_{1,2}$ different from L . The leading order contribution to a_L was obtained, for the first time, by J.S. Schwinger [33], $a_L = \alpha/2\pi$, which, in our notation, corresponds to $A_1^{(2)} = 1/2$. The universal coefficients A_1 were further studied analytically in a series of publications (see, e.g. Refs. [7, 34–36]) for n up to $n = 13$. It is worth mentioning that $A_1^{(2n)}$ decrease for $n < 7$ and, starting from $n = 7$, increase factorially [35, 36]).




Figure 1: The considered four-loop Feynman diagram contributing to the tenth order radiative corrections to the anomalous magnetic moment a_L . One loop is formed by leptons L of the same type as the external one and the other three consist on leptons $\ell \neq L$.

Recall that the present paper is a continuation of our previous investigations of contributions to the mass dependent coefficients $A_2^{(10)}(r)$ entering into Eq. (10) of four-loop diagrams with one lepton of the same type as the external one, and the other three leptons $\ell \neq L$. The corresponding diagram is depicted in Fig. 1. In what follows, for the sake of checking the consistency of the obtained general analytical results for $A_2^{(10)}(r)$, we compare them in the limit $A_2^{(10)}(r \rightarrow 1)$ with the analytical expressions for $A_1^{(10)}$ well-known in the literature, see e.g. Refs. [34, 37]:

$$A_1^{(10)} = -\frac{3689383}{656100} - \frac{21928 \pi^4}{1403325} - \frac{128 \zeta(3)}{675} + \frac{64 \zeta(5)}{9} = 4.7090571603... \times 10^{-4}. \quad (12)$$

III. ANALYTIC CALCULATIONS

The diagram in Fig. 1 implies that $p = 1$, $j = 3$ and, consequently the corresponding factor $F_{(p,j)} = -4$. Then Eq. (1) for the coefficients $A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell}(r)$ reads as

$$A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell}(r) = -\frac{4}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} (4r^2)^{-z} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-z) \Omega_1(z) R_3(z) dz, \quad (13)$$

where, for better visualization, we introduced the superscripts of $A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell}$ which directly indicate the type of the considered diagram.

Straightforward calculations of the Mellin momenta $\Omega_1(z)$ and $R_3(z)$ by Eqs. (2)-(5) provide

$$\Omega_1(z) = \frac{2^{2z}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left\{ \frac{(1-2z)(1+2z)(36+54z-29z^2-34z^3+5z^4+4z^5)}{9(1-z)z(1+z)(z+2)} - 2\pi(-z^2+z+1) \cot(\pi z) \right\} \Gamma(-2-z) \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}+z\right), \quad (14)$$

$$R_3(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{864} \frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(\frac{11}{2}+z)} \left[\frac{(z-1)Y(z)}{z(1+z)(2+z)} - (1+z)(35+21z+3z^2)(27\pi^2-162\psi^{(1)}(z)) \right], \quad (15)$$

where $\psi^{(1)}(z)$ denotes the polygamma function of the first order and, for brevity, we introduced the notation $Y(z) = -3492 + 5256z + 31831z^2 + 41045z^3 + 22650z^4 + 5632z^5 + 512z^6$. Below, along with the variable r , we widely use the variable $t = r^2$ that facilitates comparisons of our results with the corresponding expressions well-known in the literature. Then in terms of t the coefficients (13) read as

$$A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell}(t) = -\frac{4}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} t^{-z} \mathcal{F}(z) dz, \quad (16)$$

where the integrand $\mathcal{F}(z)$ is

$$\mathcal{F}(z) = \left[\frac{(1-2z)(1+2z)(36+54z-29z^2-34z^3+5z^4+4z^5)}{9(1-z)(1+z)z(z+2)} - 2\pi(1+z-z^2) \cot(\pi z) \right] \times \left[\frac{(-1+z)Y(z)}{27z(1+z)(2+z)} - (1+z)(35+21z+3z^2)(\pi^2-6\psi^{(1)}(z)) \right] \frac{\pi^2}{Z(z) \sin^2(\pi z)}, \quad (17)$$

with $Z(z)$ as the polynomial

$$Z(z) = z(2+z)(-1+2z)(1+2z)(3+2z)(5+2z)(7+2z)(9+2z). \quad (18)$$

From Eqs. (17) and (18) one immediately infers that the integrand $\mathcal{F}(z)$ in (16) is a singular function in the complex plane of the variable z with numerous poles of different orders originating from the denominator of $\mathcal{F}(z)$ and singularities due to $\cot(\pi z)$, $\psi^{(1)}(z)$, $1/\sin^2(\pi z)$ and zeros of $Z(z)$. Then the integral (16) can be calculated by the Cauchy residue theorem by closing the integration contour consecutively in the right ($t > 1$) and left ($t < 1$) semiplanes of the variable z .

A. The left semiplane: $t < 1$

In the left semiplane, the integrand $\mathcal{F}(z)$ possesses poles at $z = -1/2, -3/2, -5/2, -7/2, -9/2, 0, -1, -2, -3, -4, \dots, -n, \dots$. The residues at negative half-integer values $z = -1/2, -3/2, -5/2, -7/2$ and $-9/2$ are calculated directly as residues in poles of the first order. As for the negative integers $z = -n$, the corresponding poles are of much higher orders (up to the sixth) and calculations of the residues "by hand" are highly complicated and cumbersome. The high order residues are usually calculated by means of symbol manipulation packages such as the "Wolfram Mathematica" or "Maple" program systems with built-in libraries allowing analytical symbolic calculations. The result for the integrals (16)–(18) is

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell\ell}(t < 1) &= P_0(t) + P_1(t) \ln(t) + P_2(t) \ln^2(t) + P_3(t) \ln^3(t) + P_4(t) \ln^4(t) + t^{3/2} \times \\
&\left(\frac{82}{45} + \frac{44t}{7} - \frac{52762t^2}{30375} - \frac{139001992t^3}{343814625} - \pi^2 g_1(t) \right) \left[\text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{t}}{1 + \sqrt{t}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(-\frac{1 - \sqrt{t}}{1 + \sqrt{t}} \right) \right] \\
&- g_3(t) \text{Li}_2(1 - t) + \frac{472}{10395} (2 + \pi^2) \left[\text{Li}_2(1 - t) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right] + \left[\frac{230}{81} + \frac{7088t}{1225} + \frac{20714t^2}{675} \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{28\pi^2}{9} \left(\frac{1}{3} + t^2 \right) \right] \text{Li}_3(t) - \left(\frac{3716}{2835} + \frac{944}{10395t} - \frac{16t}{7} - \frac{4t^2}{9} \right) \text{Li}_4(t) - \frac{40}{3} (1 + t^2) \\
&\times \text{Li}_5(t) + g_4(t) \Phi \left(t, 3, \frac{1}{2} \right) + g_1(t) t^2 \Phi \left(t, 4, \frac{1}{2} \right) + g_2(t) \Phi \left(t, 5, \frac{1}{2} \right) + \Sigma_1(t), \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Li}_n(t)$ denote the polylogarithm functions of the order n and $\Phi(t, n, \alpha)$ is the Lerch transcendent function: $\Phi(t, n, \alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k / (k + \alpha)^n$. In particular, at $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\alpha = 1$ the following relations hold:

$$\sqrt{t} \Phi(t, n, 1/2) = 2^{n-1} [\text{Li}_n(\sqrt{t}) - \text{Li}_n(-\sqrt{t})], \quad \text{Li}_n(t) = t \Phi(t, n, 1).$$

The polynomial coefficients $P_n(t)$ in front of the n -th power of logarithms $\ln^n(t)$ ($n = 0..4$), include the dependence on t , polylogarithms Li_n , the Lerch transcendent function $\Phi(t, n, 1)$ and the Riman ζ -function ($\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-z}$, where, e.g., $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$, $\zeta(4) = \pi^4/90$, etc.).

These coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned}
P_0(t) = & -\frac{196219921}{25259850} - \frac{137710949t}{5613300} + \frac{47812833977t^2}{1375258500} + \frac{198811157t^3}{152806500} + \frac{287301521t^4}{1375258500} \\
& + \pi^2 \left(-\frac{25134061}{26943840} + \frac{3975131357t}{1833678000} - \frac{532838t^2}{155925} - \frac{295639t^3}{498960} - \frac{108041t^4}{997920} \right) \\
& - \pi^2 t^{3/2} \left[\frac{41}{90} + \frac{11t}{7} - \frac{26381t^2}{60750} - \frac{34750498t^3}{343814625} + \frac{1}{12} \pi^2 g_1(t) \right] \\
& - \left[\frac{476}{81} + \frac{3364t^2}{225} - \frac{16}{9} \pi^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} + t^2 \right) \right] \zeta(3) - \frac{2}{27} \pi^4 \left(\frac{131}{630} - \frac{4t}{7} + \frac{187t^2}{150} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1(t) = & \frac{19410659}{5613300} - \frac{175598723t}{11226600} - \frac{23321950727t^2}{2750517000} + \frac{689996977t^3}{916839000} + \frac{287301521t^4}{2750517000} \\
& + \pi^2 \left(-\frac{2456747}{5987520} + \frac{17246717t}{17463600} - \frac{9060551t^2}{4989600} - \frac{1889t^3}{6160} - \frac{108041t^4}{1995840} \right) + \frac{32}{15} t^2 \zeta(3) \\
& + \frac{53}{135} \pi^4 \left(\frac{1}{3} + t^2 \right) + \frac{g_4(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \left[\text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{t}}{1+\sqrt{t}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(-\frac{1-\sqrt{t}}{1+\sqrt{t}} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \right] \\
& - \left[\frac{41}{81} - \frac{3544t}{3675} - \frac{233t^2}{45} + \frac{2\pi^2}{9} \left(\frac{1}{3} + t^2 \right) \right] \text{Li}_2(1-t) \\
& - \left[\frac{115}{81} + \frac{3544t}{1225} + \frac{10357t^2}{675} - \frac{14\pi^2}{9} \left(\frac{1}{3} + t^2 \right) \right] \text{Li}_2(t) \\
& + \left(\frac{2456}{2835} + \frac{944}{10395t} + \frac{16t}{105} - \frac{44t^2}{45} \right) \text{Li}_3(t) + \frac{32}{3} \left(\frac{2}{3} + t^2 \right) \text{Li}_4(t) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} g_4(t) \Phi \left(t, 2, \frac{1}{2} \right) - g_1(t) t^2 \Phi \left(t, 3, \frac{1}{2} \right) - g_2(t) \Phi \left(t, 4, \frac{1}{2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2(t) = & -\frac{18137003}{7484400} - \frac{2840603t}{1663200} + \frac{573063097t^2}{104781600} - \frac{17015189t^3}{62868960} - \frac{2618141t^4}{34927200} \\
& - \pi^2 \left(\frac{229949}{6531840} + \frac{236}{93555t} - \frac{9617t}{40320} + \frac{2051t^2}{3240} + \frac{275t^3}{10368} + \frac{19t^4}{6912} \right) - \frac{g_1(t)}{3} t^{3/2} \\
& \times \left[\text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{t}}{1+\sqrt{t}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(-\frac{1-\sqrt{t}}{1+\sqrt{t}} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \right] - \left(\frac{1826}{8505} + \frac{944}{31185t} + \frac{16t}{35} - \frac{76t^2}{135} \right) \\
& \times \text{Li}_2(t) + \left(\frac{598}{8505} + \frac{472}{31185t} + \frac{136t}{315} - \frac{2t^2}{5} \right) \text{Li}_2(1-t) - 4 \left(\frac{4}{9} + t^2 \right) \text{Li}_3(t) \\
& + \frac{1}{3} g_1(t) t^2 \Phi \left(t, 2, \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} g_2(t) \Phi \left(t, 3, \frac{1}{2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3(t) &= -\frac{1868939}{5987520} - \frac{265571t}{1496880} - \frac{1365941t^2}{14968800} - \frac{769337t^3}{4490640} - \frac{151931t^4}{5987520} + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \left(\frac{1}{3} + t^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{g_2(t)}{12\sqrt{t}} \left[\operatorname{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{t}}{1+\sqrt{t}} \right) - \operatorname{Li}_2 \left(-\frac{1-\sqrt{t}}{1+\sqrt{t}} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \right] + \frac{7}{9} \left(\frac{1}{3} + t^2 \right) \operatorname{Li}_2(t) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{1}{3} + t^2 \right) \operatorname{Li}_2(1-t) - \frac{1}{8} g_2(t) \Phi \left(t, 2, \frac{1}{2} \right), \\
P_4(t) &= -\frac{37}{1536} + \frac{49t}{6912} - \frac{313t^2}{2160} - \frac{275t^3}{20736} - \frac{19t^4}{13824},
\end{aligned}$$

where for brevity the following notation is introduced:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1(t) &= \frac{41}{180} + \frac{99t}{140} - \frac{713t^2}{3780} - \frac{5381t^3}{124740}, \\
g_2(t) &= \frac{37}{128} + \frac{101t}{384} + \frac{451t^2}{192} + \frac{31t^3}{64} + \frac{59t^4}{384} + \frac{19t^5}{1152}, \\
g_3(t) &= \frac{2593}{729} + \frac{20019064t}{3472875} - \frac{63253t^2}{10125} - \pi^2 \left(\frac{598}{2835} + \frac{136t}{105} - \frac{6t^2}{5} \right), \\
g_4(t) &= \frac{22927}{21600} - \frac{451t^2}{48} - \frac{155t^3}{72} - \frac{15281t^4}{21600} - \frac{61351t^5}{793800} + \frac{\pi^2}{6} g_2(t), \\
g_5(t) &= \frac{64}{675} + \frac{101t}{96} + \frac{2255t^2}{216} + \frac{8029t^3}{3600} + \frac{190511t^4}{264600} + \frac{2231911t^5}{28576800} + \frac{\pi^2}{6} g_2(t), \\
g_6(t) &= \frac{164}{81} + \frac{814t}{125} - \frac{18418216t^2}{10418625} - \frac{114927398t^3}{281302875} + \frac{\pi^2}{3} g_1(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the functions $g_5(t)$ and $g_6(t)$ are also present in the expressions for $A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell\ell}(t)$ in the right semiplane of the variable z , see below. Eventually, the sum $\Sigma_1(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1(t) &= \frac{8}{3} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left\{ [Q_1(-n) + Q_2(-n) \ln(t) - Q_3(-n) \ln^2(t)] \psi^{(1)}(n) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [Q_2(-n) - 2Q_3(-n) \ln(t)] \psi^{(2)}(n) - Q_3(-n) \psi^{(3)}(n) \right\} t^n, \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi^{(k)}(n)$ denotes the polygamma function of the order k of integer arguments n ; $Q_{i=1,2,3}$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1(n) &= 6751269000 + 40950554400n + 19613108340n^2 - 383309558856n^3 \\
&\quad - 826774862139n^4 + 924941026044n^5 + 5538290450480n^6 + 6540701065768n^7 \\
&\quad - 2601992148019n^8 - 13947133685004n^9 - 12413008740734n^{10} + 1058973953952n^{11} \\
&\quad + 10775553931592n^{12} + 9161255067136n^{13} + 2818170076544n^{14} - 844629934848n^{15} \\
&\quad - 1166425861376n^{16} - 492797758464n^{17} - 92025138688n^{18} + 4832247808n^{19} \\
&\quad + 7132129280n^{20} + 1858043904n^{21} + 253444096n^{22} + 18677760n^{23} \\
&\quad + 589824n^{24} / [(n^2 - 1)Z^3(n)],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2(n) &= 2381400 + 9315810n - 9561069n^2 - 82903809n^3 - 100877126n^4 + 40692827n^5 \\
&\quad + 164693675n^6 + 103792048n^7 - 10480368n^8 - 38257440n^9 - 14786656n^{10} \\
&\quad + 88768n^{11} + 1590016n^{12} + 483584n^{13} + 62208n^{14} + 3072n^{15} / [(n^2 - 1)Z^2(n)], \\
Q_3(n) &= 9(n^2 - n - 1)(3n^2 + 21n + 35)/Z(n).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the resulting analytical expression (19) for $A_L^{L\ell\ell}(t < 1)$ turns out to be quite lengthy containing a number of the above mentioned special functions and high order polynomials of the variable $t = r^2$.

B. The right semiplane: $t > 1$

In the same manner, we calculate and sum up all the residues in the right semiplane of z . The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell}(t > 1) &= D_0(t) + D_1(t) \ln(t) + D_2(t) \ln^2(t) + g_6(t)t^{3/2} \left[\text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{t} - 1}{\sqrt{t} + 1} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \text{Li}_2 \left(-\frac{\sqrt{t} - 1}{\sqrt{t} + 1} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \right] + \left[\frac{658010786}{281302875} + \frac{29015464t}{3472875} - \frac{76078t^2}{10125} + \pi^2 \left(\frac{598}{8505} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{472}{31185t} + \frac{136t}{315} - \frac{2t^2}{5} \right) \right] \text{Li}_2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{t} \right) + \frac{4g_5(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \left[\text{Li}_3 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right) - \text{Li}_3 \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{1486136}{297675} + \frac{7088t}{1225} + \frac{22064t^2}{675} + \frac{4}{3}\pi^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} + t^2 \right) \right] \text{Li}_3 \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) - \left(\frac{1552}{945} + \frac{512t}{105} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{128t^2}{45} \right) \text{Li}_4 \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) + \Sigma_2(t), \tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_0(t) = \frac{8741299421}{723350250} + \frac{40331092741t}{1125211500} + \frac{153650032027t^2}{28130287500} + \frac{95289439723t^3}{10126903500} \\ + \frac{1622471149t^4}{1125211500} + \pi^2 \left(\frac{63836886989}{297055836000} + \frac{4838}{93555t} - \frac{101990719t}{611226000} + \frac{20342647t^2}{14033250} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{4507981t^3}{13471920} + \frac{151931t^4}{2993760} \right) - \frac{\pi^4}{45} \left(\frac{299}{567} + \frac{236}{2079t} + \frac{68t}{21} - 3t^2 \right),$$

$$D_1(t) = \frac{5884987}{1148175} + \frac{18673392163t}{2250423000} + \frac{38398840507t^2}{11252115000} + \frac{32532326467t^3}{6751269000} + \frac{1622471149t^4}{2250423000} \\ + \pi^2 \left(\frac{1868939}{5987520} + \frac{265571t}{1496880} + \frac{1839029t^2}{14968800} + \frac{769337t^3}{4490640} + \frac{151931t^4}{5987520} \right) \\ + \frac{g_5(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \left[\text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}-1}{\sqrt{t}+1} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(-\frac{\sqrt{t}-1}{\sqrt{t}+1} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{4} - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right] \\ - \left[\frac{743068}{893025} + \frac{3544t}{3675} + \frac{248t^2}{45} + \frac{2}{9}\pi^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} + t^2 \right) \right] \left[\text{Li}_2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{t} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right] + \left[\frac{743068}{297675} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3544t}{1225} + \frac{11032t^2}{675} + \frac{2}{3}\pi^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} + t^2 \right) \right] \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) - \left(\frac{776}{945} + \frac{256t}{105} - \frac{64t^2}{45} \right) \text{Li}_3 \left(\frac{1}{t} \right),$$

$$D_2(t) = \frac{482938648}{281302875} + \frac{5591300261t}{1000188000} + \frac{355194901t^2}{142884000} + \frac{2558299t^3}{3429216} + \frac{2231911t^4}{28576800} \\ + \pi^2 \left(\frac{181439}{2177280} + \frac{236}{31185t} + \frac{24397t}{120960} + \frac{97t^2}{1080} + \frac{275t^3}{10368} + \frac{19t^4}{6912} \right) \\ + \left(-\frac{388}{2835} - \frac{128t}{315} + \frac{32t^2}{135} \right) \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{t} \right),$$

and

$$\Sigma_2(t) = \frac{8}{3} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ [Q_1(n) + Q_2(n) \ln(t) - Q_3(n) \ln^2(t)] \psi^{(1)}(n) \right. \\ \left. - [Q_2(n) - 2Q_3(n) \ln(t)] \psi^{(2)}(n) - Q_3(n) \psi^{(3)}(n) \right\} \frac{1}{t^n}. \quad (22)$$

In Eq. (22) the notation for the polynomials $Q_i(n)$ is the same as used for $\Sigma_1(t)$, q.v. Eq. (20).

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The above expressions (19) - (22) determine explicitly the coefficients $A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell}(t)$ and allow one to perform numerical calculations with any predetermined accuracy. Moreover, the accuracy of calculations is only limited by our knowledge of the experimentally measured




Figure 2: Behaviour of the coefficient $A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell}(r)$ as a function of the lepton mass ratio $r = m_\ell/m_L$. The open circles indicate on physical values of $A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell}(r)$ for the real existing leptons. The star denotes the universal value at $r = 1$.

fundamental constants, viz. the fine structure constant α and the lepton masses m_ℓ and m_L . ex Figure 2 represents the numerical calculations of $A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell}(r)$ by Eqs. (19) - (22) (solid line) as a function of the ratio $r = m_\ell/m_L$ ¹ in a large interval $0 < r < \infty$. For real existing leptons, the coefficients $A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell}(r)$ are additionally emphasized by open circles and labeled explicitly. The universal value at $r = 1$ is represented by a star. It can be seen that the main contribution to $A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell}(r)$ comes from the diagrams with three lightest leptons (electrons). With increasing mass of the loop leptons, the coefficient $A_2^{(10),L\ell\ell}(r)$ drops down sharply by about 18 orders of magnitudes, drops down, i.e., for heavier leptons in the loop, $\ell = \mu$ and $\ell = \tau$, the coefficients $A_2^{(10),e\mu\mu}$, $A_2^{(10),\mu\tau\tau}$ and $A_2^{(10),e\tau\tau}$, for which $r \gg 1$, become extremely small. However, in view of the high accuracy of measurements, these coefficient are rather important in estimations of the theoretical predictions and reliability of the approach. Notice that for all the possible combinations of leptons in the interval $r < 1$, the maximum value is $r_{max} = m_\mu/m_\tau \simeq 5.9510^{-2} \ll 1$, whereas in the interval $r > 1$ the minimum possible value of r is $r_{min} = m_\tau/m_\mu \simeq 16.8 \gg 1$ (see Ref. [38]). This indicates that in reality the physical values of r are either in the intervals $r < 0.06 \ll 1$ or $r > 16 \gg 1$. This circumstance serves as a hint that the complicated expressions, Eqs. (19)-(20) at $r < 1$ and

¹ For more transparent treatments of the results, we again use the variable r instead of $t = r^2$




Figure 3: Comparison of the exact results with the asymptotic expansions. Left panel: the solid curve depicts the calculations by Eq. (19), the dotted curve represents the calculations by asymptotic expansion at $r < 1$ keeping terms up to $\mathcal{O}(r^5)$ that correspond to expansion reported in Ref. [21], the dot-dashed curve is the results of calculations by Eq. (23) keeping terms up to $\mathcal{O}(r^8)$. Right panel: the solid curve is the result of calculations by exact formula Eq. (21), the dashed curve – asymptotic calculations at $r > 1$ with terms up to $\mathcal{O}(1/r^8)$

Eqs. (21)-(22) at $r > 1$ can be replaced by simpler Taylor expansions as $r \rightarrow 0$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$, respectively. Then, in the Taylor series one can keep as many terms as necessary to assure the desired accuracy in numerical calculations.

The corresponding expansion of Eqs. (19)-(20) at small $t = r^2 \ll 1$ up to terms $\sim \mathcal{O}(t^4)$, reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2,asympt.}^{(10),Llll}(t) \underset{t \ll 1}{=} & \frac{64244}{6561} + \frac{8}{405}\pi^4 - \frac{2593}{2187}\pi^2 - \frac{476}{81}\zeta(3) + \left(-\frac{119}{243} + \frac{4}{81}\pi^2\right)\ln^3(t) \\
& - \left(\frac{61}{243} - \frac{2}{81}\pi^2\right)\ln^2(t) - \left(\frac{7627}{1458} + \frac{52}{81}\pi^2 - \frac{16}{135}\pi^4\right)\ln(t) - \left[\frac{17525}{729} - \frac{76}{243}\pi^2 - \frac{32}{135}\pi^4\right. \\
& \left. + \left(\frac{230}{81} - \frac{8}{27}\pi^2\right)\ln^2(t) + \left(\frac{454}{27} - \frac{16}{9}\pi^2\right)\ln(t)\right]t + \left[\frac{675818203}{13668750} - \frac{592}{2025}\pi^4 - \frac{62}{243}\pi^2\right. \\
& \left. + \frac{16}{9}\pi^2\zeta(3) - \frac{3364}{225}\zeta(3) + \frac{2}{45}\ln^4(t) - \left(\frac{2459}{2025} - \frac{4}{27}\pi^2\right)\ln^3(t) + \left(\frac{19268}{3375} - \frac{26}{81}\pi^2\right)\ln^2(t)\right. \\
& \left. + \left(-\frac{8929444}{455625} - \frac{98}{81}\pi^2 + \frac{16}{45}\pi^4 + \frac{32}{15}\zeta(3)\right)\ln(t)\right]t^2 + \left[\frac{15420978231787}{1837845450000} - \frac{125}{243}\pi^2\right. \\
& \left. + \frac{88}{4725}\pi^4 - \frac{23192}{11025}\zeta(3) - \frac{22}{945}\ln^4(t) - \frac{5798}{33075}\ln^3(t) + \frac{978421}{6945750}\ln^2(t) - \left(\frac{14067530059}{4375822500}\right.\right. \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{4}{81}\pi^2 + \frac{352}{315}\zeta(3)\right)\ln(t)\right]t^3 - \frac{41}{540}\pi^4 t^{3/2} - \frac{33}{140}\pi^4 t^{5/2} + \frac{713}{11340}\pi^4 t^{7/2} + \mathcal{O}(t^4). \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

Expression (23) is in full agreement with the expansions reported before in Refs. [31] and

[21], which were derived with accuracies $\sim \mathcal{O}(t^{1/2})$ and $\sim \mathcal{O}(t^{5/2})$, respectively.

Further, from Eqs. (21)- (22) it follows that at large t one has

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2,asympt.}^{(10),L\ell\ell}(t) \underset{t \gg 1}{=} & \left[\frac{6007320707}{328706428050} - \frac{89}{675675}\pi^4 - \frac{8651128}{2029052025}\zeta(3) \right. \\
& + \left. \left(\frac{87709}{7297290} - \frac{356}{45045}\zeta(3) \right) \ln t \right] \frac{1}{t^2} + \left[\frac{31956039083}{61632455259375} - \frac{8}{81081}\pi^4 - \frac{4}{3375} \ln^2 t \right. \\
& + \left. \frac{24687032}{6087156075}\zeta(3) + \left(\frac{15610069}{1368241875} - \frac{160}{27027}\zeta(3) \right) \ln t \right] \frac{1}{t^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t^4}\right). \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

As mentioned, the asymptotic expansions (23)-(24) entirely cover all the physical values of the variable r relevant to the existing leptons. In Fig. 3 we present the comparison of our exact results with the expansions as $r \rightarrow 0$, left panel, and $r \rightarrow \infty$, right panel. It is seen that the approximate calculations visually coincide with the exact result for $(0 < r < 0.1)$ and $(2 < r < \infty)$. This implies that the approximate expressions (23) and (24) can be quite safely employed not only for qualitative estimations but also for quantitative analysis of the coefficients $A_2^{(10)L\ell\ell}(r)$ for any lepton $L = e, \mu$ or τ with insertions of any type e, μ and τ of leptons. The reliability of the asymptotic expansions and the limits of their applicability can be inferred if one defines the relative deviation $\varepsilon_L(r)$ from the exact results as

$$\varepsilon_L(r) = \frac{|A_{2,asympt.}^{(10),L\ell\ell}(r) - A_{2,exact}^{(10),L\ell\ell}(r)|}{A_{2,exact}^{(10),L\ell\ell}(r)}.$$

Then for, e.g., the muon anomaly, the maximum contribution comes from the four-loop vacuum polarization operator with insertions of three electrons. In this case ($L = \mu$ and $\ell = e$), the electron-muon mass ratio is $r_e = 0.00483633169(11)$ (see Ref. [38]) and calculations by Eq. (19) provide $A_{2,exact}^{(10),\mu eee}(r_e) = 2.2033273165555885939\dots$, whereas calculations by the approximate formula (23) keeping terms up to $\mathcal{O}(r^5)$ (this approximation corresponds to the one reported in Ref. [21]) result in $A_{2,asympt}^{(10),\mu eee}(\sim \mathcal{O}(r^5)) = 2.20332731662$. In terms of the relative errors $\varepsilon_\mu(r_e)$ this corresponds to $\varepsilon_\mu(r_e)(\sim \mathcal{O}(r^5)) \approx 2.8 \times 10^{-11}$. The relative errors rapidly decrease if in Eq. (23) one keeps terms up to $\mathcal{O}(r^8)$. In this case $A_{2,asympt}^{(10),\mu eee}(\sim \mathcal{O}(r^8)) = 2.20332731655558856$ and, and consequently, $\varepsilon_\mu(r_e)(\sim \mathcal{O}(r^8)) \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-17}$. Hence, in calculations of the electron corrections to the muon anomaly it is quite sufficient to restrict oneself to terms $\sim \mathcal{O}(r^5)$ which assure accuracies higher than the experimental errors Δr related to the measured [38] ratio of electron to muon masses $\Delta r \sim 10^{-10}$. To estimate how far from $r \rightarrow 0$ one can apply the approximate formula, Eq. (23), we compare the exact results with the expansions $\sim \mathcal{O}(r^5)$ and $\sim \mathcal{O}(r^8)$ at $r = 0.1$. We obtained that

keeping terms $\sim \mathcal{O}(r^5)$, Eq. (23) assures only three significant digits while keeping terms $\sim \mathcal{O}(r^8)$, the approximate formula provides much more accurate results, namely up to seven significant digits in the interval ($0 < r < 0.1$). This accuracy is above the experimental measurements in this interval. An analogous situation occurs also in the region $r > 2$.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper we have presented, for the first time, exact analytical expressions for the tenth order corrections to the anomalous magnetic moments of leptons e , μ and τ induced by the Feynman diagrams with insertions of the vacuum polarization operator with four closed lepton loops. We considered the particular case when one loop is formed by the lepton L of the same kind as the external one and the other three loops consist of leptons $\ell \neq L$. The approach essentially relies on the dispersion relations and the Mellin-Barnes transform for the propagators of massive photons. This method allows one to derive explicitly the corresponding tenth order corrections a_L as functions of the ratio $r = m_\ell/m_L$ of the mass of the internal ℓ to the mass of the external L leptons in the whole interval ($0 < r < \infty$). We presented the results of numerical calculations of $a_L(r)$ and analysed the contribution of different types of insertions of the vacuum polarization operator. It is argued that for every type of leptons the main contribution to $a_L(r)$ is provided by insertions of the polarization operator with three lightest leptons, i.e. for $r < 1$. The resulting expressions turn out to be extremely complicated and cumbersome. However, since in reality for physically existing leptons one has either $r \ll 1$, or $r \gg 1$, it is appropriate to replace the exact expressions by their asymptotic expansions, which are much simpler and more convenient for numerical calculations. The corresponding expansions at $r \ll 1$ and $r \gg 1$ were derived and the limits of their applicability were investigated. We argued that the asymptotic expansions work quite well in the intervals ($0 < r < 0.1$) and ($2 < r < \infty$).

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by a grant under the Belarus-JINR scientific collaboration.

-
- [1] Dirac P. A. M. The quantum theory of the electron, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*, 1927, vol. 117, pp. 610-624.
 - [2] Parker R. H., Chenghui Y., Zhong W., Estey B., Muller H. Measurement of the fine-structure constant as a test of the Standard Model. *Science*, 2018, vol. 360, no. 6385, pp. 191-195.
 - [3] Morel L., Yao Z., Clad P., Guellati-Khelifa S. Determination of the fine-structure constant with an accuracy of 81 parts per trillion, *Nature*, 2020, vol. 588, pp. 61-65.
 - [4] Abi B., Albahri T., Al-Kilani S., Allspach D., Alonzi L. P. [et al.]. (Muon $g-2$ Coll.) Measurement of the Positive Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment to 0.46 ppm, *Physical Review Letter*, 2021, vol. 126, art. 141801, 11 p.
 - [5] Aguillard D. P. *et al.* (Muon $g-2$ Collaboration), Measurement of the positive muon anomalous magnetic moment to 0.20 ppm, *Physical Review Letter*, 2013, vol. 131, art. 161802.
 - [6] Melnikov K., Vainshtein A. Theory of the muon anomalous magnetic moment, *Springer Tracts in Modern Physics*, 2006, vol. 216, pp. 1-176.
 - [7] Jegerlehner F. The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, *Springer Tracts in Modern Physics*, 2017, pp. 1-693.
 - [8] Aoyama T., Asmussen N., Benayoun M., Bijnens J., Blum T., Bruno M. [et al.] The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon in the Standard Model, *Physics Reports*, 2020, vol. 887, pp. 1-166.
 - [9] Ignatov F. V. *et al.* Measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ cross section from threshold to 1.2 GeV with the CMD-3 detector, *E-Print:arXiv:2302.08834 [hep-ex]*, 2023, 52 p.
 - [10] Ignatov F. V. *et al.* Measurement of the pion formfactor with CMD-3 detector and its implication to the hadronic contribution to muon $(g - 2)$, *E-Print: arXiv:2309.12910 [hep-ex]*, 2023, 6 p.
 - [11] Davier M., Fodor Z., Gerardin A., Lellouch L., Malaescu B., Stokes F.M. *et al.* Hadronic vacuum polarization: comparing lattice QCD and data-driven results in systematically improvable

- ways, *Proceedings of Science Department*, CERN, 1211 Geneva, 2023, 38 p.
- [12] Davier M., Hoecker A., Lutz A. M., Malaescu B., Zhang Z. Tensions in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$ measurements: the new landscape of data-driven hadronic vacuum polarization predictions for the muon $g_\mu - 2$, *E-Print: arXiv:2312.02053 [hep-ph]*, 2023, 15 p.
- [13] Greynat D., de Rafael E. Hadronic Vacuum Polarization Contribution to $g_\mu - 2$ as a function of the external lepton mass, *E-Print:2311.11597 [hep-ph]*, 2023, 13 p.
- [14] Masjuan P., Roig P. Data-driven approximations to the Hadronic Light-by-Light scattering contribution to the muon ($g-2$), *E-Print: arXiv:2401.05666 [hep-ph]*, 2024, 5 p.
- [15] Bryzgalov V., Zenin O. A comment on the impact of CMD-3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ cross section measurement on the SM $g_\mu - 2$ value NRC “Kurchatov Institute” – IHEP, Protvino, Russia, *E-Print: arXiv:2401.07204 [hep-ph]*, 2024, 24 p.
- [16] Volkov S. Calculation of the total 10-th order QED contribution to the lepton magnetic moments, *E-Print: arXiv:2404.00649 [hep-ph]*.
- [17] Laporta S. High-precision calculation of the 4-loop contribution to the electron $g - 2$ in QED, *Physics Letters B*, 2017, vol. 772, pp. 232-238.
- [18] Laporta S. High-precision calculation of the 4-loop QED contribution to the slope of the Dirac form factor, *Physics Letters B*, 2020, vol. 800, pp. 135-137.
- [19] Volkov S. Calculation of lepton magnetic moments in quantum electrodynamics: A justification of the flexible divergence elimination method, *Physical Review D*, 2024, vol. 109, art. 03007, 8 p.
- [20] Bailey D. H. , Broadhurst, D.J. Parallel integer relation detection: Techniques and applications, *Math. Comput.*, (2001) 70, 1719, doi 10.1090/S0025-5718-00-01278-3, arXiv math/9905048,
- [21] Aguilar J. P., de Rafael E., Greynat D. Muon anomaly from lepton vacuum polarization and the Mellin-Barnes representation, *Physical Review D*, 2008, vol. 77, art. 093010, 27 p.
- [22] Solovtsova O. P., Lashkevich V. I., Kaptari L. P. Lepton anomaly from QED diagrams with vacuum polarization insertions within the Mellin– Barnes representation, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, 2023, vol. 138, no.3, art. 212, 20 p.
- [23] Dubovyk I., Gluza J, Somogyi G., Mellin-Barnes Integrals: A Primer on Particle Physics Applications, *Lect. Notes Phys.*, 2022, vol. 1008, 208 p.
- [24] Smirnov V. A., Analytic tools for Feynman integrals, *Springer Tracts in Modern Physics*, 2012, vol. 250, 296 p.

- [25] A. V. Kotikov, S. Teber, Multi-loop techniques for massless Feynman diagram calculations, *Phys. Part. Nucl.* **50**, no.1, 1 (2019) [arXiv:1805.05109 [hep-th]].
- [26] Boos E. E., Davydychev A. I. A Method of evaluating massive Feynman integrals, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 1991, vol. 89, pp. 1052-1063.
- [27] Friot S., Greynat D., de Rafael E. Asymptotics of Feynman diagrams and the Mellin-Barnes representation, *Physics Letters B*, 2005, vol. 628, pp. 73-84.
- [28] Solovtsova O., Lashkevich V., Kaptari L. Contributions of QED diagrams with vacuum polarization insertions to the lepton anomaly within the Mellin–Barnes representation, *Phys. Part. Nucl.*, 2024, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 725-730.
- [29] Solovtsova O. P., Lashkevich V. I., Kaptari L. P. Analytical calculations of the tenth order QED radiative corrections to lepton anomalies within the Mellin–Barnes representation, *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics*, 2024, vol. 51, art. 055001, 20 p.
- [30] Kataev A. L. Renormalization group and the five-loop QED asymptotic contributions to the muon anomaly, *Physics Letters B*, 1992, vol. 284, pp. 401-409.
- [31] Laporta S. Analytical and numerical contributions of some tenth-order graphs containing vacuum polarization insertions to the muon ($g - 2$) in QED, *Physics Letters B*, 1994, vol. 328, pp. 522-527.
- [32] Lautrup B. E., de Rafael E. Calculation of the sixth-order contribution from the fourth-order vacuum polarization to the difference of the anomalous magnetic moments of muon and electron, *Physical Review*, 1968, vol. 174, pp. 1835-1842.
- [33] Schwinger J. S. Quantum electrodynamics. III: The electromagnetic properties of the electron: radiative corrections to scattering. *Physical Review*, 1949, vol. 76, pp. 790-817.
- [34] Laursen M. L., Samuel M. A. The n -bubble diagram contribution to $g - 2$ of the electron mathematical structure of the analytical expression, *Physics Letters B*, 1980, vol. 91B, pp. 249 - 252 ; Laursen M. L., Samuel M. A. The n -bubble diagram contribution to $g - 2$. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 1981, vol. 22, pp. 1114-1126.
- [35] Lautrup B. E. On high order estimates in QED, *Physical Letter B*, 1977, vol. 69, pp. 109-111.
- [36] Lashkevich V. I., Solovtsova O. P., Teryaev O. V. On high order contributions to the anomalous magnetic moments of leptons due to the vacuum polarization by lepton loops. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, *Physics and Mathematics series*, 2022, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 412-423.

- [37] Sidorov A. V., Lashkevich V. I., Solovtsova O. P., On the contribution of muon loops to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, *Nonlin. Phenom. Complex Syst.* , 2018, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 395-401.
- [38] Tiesinga E., Mohr P. J., Newell D. B., Taylor B. N. CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2018, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 2021, vol. 93, art. 025010.