

Canonical quantization of the dark Dirac field and time asymmetry

Andrei Galiautdinov*

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

(Dated: July 2, 2024)

We perform canonical quantization of the single-component, spin-zero field that was introduced by Dirac in 1971 and recently suggested as a candidate for dark matter by Bogomolny. The massive and massless cases are treated separately. Since in the massive case only positive-frequency modes are normalizable and regarded as physical, the mode expansion for the field involves annihilation operators only, making the quantization procedure particularly simple. The corresponding Hamiltonian turns out to be unambiguous, with no need for normal ordering. The positive-energy requirement imposed on the second-quantized system leads to equally acceptable Bose and Fermi choices for particle statistics. This suggests supersymmetric extension of original Dirac's theory in which Bose and Fermi single-component positive-energy Dirac fields are combined into a doublet whose members can transform into each other. Our model includes a Landau-Anderson-Higgs type potential which allows spontaneous selection of the universe with a given bose-to-fermi ratio of the positive-energy Dirac particles. The bosonic sector of proposed extension hints at the possibility of a dark, background Bose-Einstein condensate that endows the universe with its cosmological temporal asymmetry. In the massless case of Dirac's original theory, we explore the possibility of allowing the field expansion to involve both positive- and negative-frequency modes. This leads to the anticommutation relations for creation and annihilation operators associated with the negative energy solutions, resulting in supersymmetric behavior of the single-component field in the ultra-relativistic limit. Finally, we speculate on the possibility for the positive-energy Dirac particles to obey some exotic (such as non-abelian, Clifford) statistics in which the particles are neither created nor destroyed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The so-called new, positive-energy relativistic wave equation [1–4],

$$(\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)q\psi(x; q_1, q_2) = 0, \quad (1)$$

subject to the consistency condition,

$$(\partial^\mu \partial_\mu + m^2)\psi(x; q_1, q_2) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where q is the column, $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)^T$, with $q_3 = -i\partial/\partial q_1$, $q_4 = -i\partial/\partial q_2$, has recently attracted renewed attention [5–10]. Unlike the more familiar equation of 1928 which describes spin-1/2 particles [11], the new equation describes a single-component, spin-0 field, $\psi(x; q_1, q_2)$, which depends not only on spacetime coordinates, but also on two additional parameters, q_1, q_2 , representing two auxiliary quantum mechanical degrees of freedom. The new Dirac field exhibits some unusual properties, including the positivity of the energy of its particles and the lack of a mathematically consistent procedure for the introduction of coupling to the electromagnetic field. This latter property is especially profound as it prevents observation of the new field by standard astronomical methods and points towards its potential importance in gravitational physics as a viable candidate for dark matter [5]. The mathematical theory underlying Dirac's new equation was reviewed in sufficient detail in Refs. [5] and [14], to which the reader is directed. Here we concentrate on canonical quantization of $\psi(x; q_1, q_2)$, which to our knowledge had not been previously performed. [However, the procedure is similar to the one suggested by Sudarshan and Mukunda [12] for the case of the infinite-component Majorana field [13].]

Following notation and conventions adopted in Ref. [10], and considering propagating plane wave solutions in the form,

$$\psi_p(x; q_1, q_2) = u(p; q_1, q_2)e^{-ip_\mu x^\mu}, \quad p_\mu = (p_0, -p_x, -p_y, -p_z), \quad (3)$$

*Electronic address: ag1@uga.edu

Dirac's new equation comprises a system of four equations [1],

$$[(p_0 + p_z)q_3 + (p_x - im)q_1 - p_y q_2]u = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$[(p_0 + p_z)q_4 - (p_x + im)q_2 - p_y q_1]u = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$[(p_0 - p_z)q_1 + (p_x + im)q_3 - p_y q_4]u = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$[(p_0 - p_z)q_2 - (p_x - im)q_4 - p_y q_3]u = 0, \quad (7)$$

three of which are independent, whose solutions are the modes,

$$u(p; q_1, q_2) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{(m + ip_x)q_1^2 - 2ip_y q_1 q_2 + (m - ip_x)q_2^2}{2(p_0 + p_z)} \right\}, \quad (8)$$

with

$$p_0 \equiv \pm \omega_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \equiv +\sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2} > 0, \quad (9)$$

of which only the positive-energy ones are normalizable for $m > 0$. This can be seen by inspecting the simplest wave function corresponding to zero momentum,

$$u = \exp [-(m/p_0)(q_1^2 + q_2^2)], \quad (10)$$

which for $p_0 = -m$ is indeed non-normalizable, since $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_1 dq_2 |u|^2 \rightarrow \infty$. As a result, the general physically acceptable solution of the new Dirac equation for massive case, $m > 0$, can be written as the superposition of positive-frequency modes only,

$$\psi(x; q_1, q_2) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2p_0}} a(p) u(p; q_1, q_2) e^{-i(p_0 t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})}, \quad aq = qa, \quad (11)$$

subject to $p^0 > 0$ and normalization [14],

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_p^*, \psi_{p'}) &\equiv \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} \int dq_1 dq_2 \psi_p^*(x; q_1, q_2) (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2) \psi_{p'}(x; q_1, q_2) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} \psi_p^* \tilde{q} q \psi_{p'} \\ &= - \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} \psi_p^* \tilde{q} \gamma^0 \gamma^0 q \psi_{p'} \\ &= - \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} \psi_p^* \bar{q} \gamma^0 q \psi_{p'} \\ &= 2p^0 \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $a(p)$ are the expansion coefficients to be interpreted as the annihilation operators.

We note in passing, that in the low-energy (non-relativistic) limit, the system of equations (4) through (7) has the form,

$$\left[\left(1 + \frac{p_z}{m}\right) q_3 + \left(\frac{p_x}{m} - i\right) q_1 - \frac{p_y}{m} q_2 \right] u = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$\left[\left(1 + \frac{p_z}{m}\right) q_4 - \left(\frac{p_x}{m} + i\right) q_2 - \frac{p_y}{m} q_1 \right] u = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\left[\left(1 - \frac{p_z}{m}\right) q_1 + \left(\frac{p_x}{m} + i\right) q_3 - \frac{p_y}{m} q_4 \right] u = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\left[\left(1 - \frac{p_z}{m}\right) q_2 - \left(\frac{p_x}{m} - i\right) q_4 - \frac{p_y}{m} q_3 \right] u = 0, \quad (16)$$

with the solution,

$$\psi_p(t, \mathbf{x}; q_1, q_2) = e^{-imt} e^{-i\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}\right)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{p_z}{m}\right)(q_1^2 + q_2^2)} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\left\{\frac{p_x}{m}(q_1^2 - q_2^2) - 2\frac{p_y}{m} q_1 q_2\right\}}, \quad (17)$$

which obeys the corresponding, slightly modified, Schrödinger equation of standard quantum mechanics. On the other hand, in the high-energy (ultrarelativistic) limit corresponding to $m \rightarrow 0$, we have,

$$[(p_0 + p_z)q_3 + p_x q_1 - p_y q_2]u = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$[(p_0 + p_z)q_4 - p_x q_2 - p_y q_1]u = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$[(p_0 - p_z)q_1 + p_x q_3 - p_y q_4]u = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$[(p_0 - p_z)q_2 - p_x q_4 - p_y q_3]u = 0, \quad (21)$$

with the solution (*cf.* [15]),

$$\psi_p(x; q_1, q_2) = e^{-ip_\mu x^\mu} e^{-\frac{i}{2} \frac{p_x(q_1^2 - q_2^2) - 2p_y q_1 q_2}{p_0 + p_z}}, \quad (22)$$

without any real-valued exponential prefactor. This makes ultrarelativistic (massless) wave functions normalizable, at least in principle (though some subtleties involved), which will be explored in Section III.

II. QUANTIZATION PROCEDURE

In flat spacetime the proposed action for the dark Dirac field is [10] (also [16–19] and [12]),

$$S_D = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_D, \quad \mathcal{L}_D = -i \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [\bar{\Psi} \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \Psi) - (\partial_\mu \bar{\Psi}) \gamma^\mu \Psi] - m \bar{\Psi} \Psi \right\}, \quad (23)$$

with

$$\Psi = q\psi, \quad \Psi^\dagger = \psi^\dagger \tilde{q}, \quad \bar{\Psi} = \Psi^\dagger \gamma^0 = \psi^\dagger \tilde{q} \gamma^0 = \psi^\dagger \bar{q}, \quad \bar{q} = \tilde{q} \gamma^0, \quad \tilde{q} = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4), \quad (24)$$

where integration $\int dq_1 dq_2$ is implied and tilde denotes matrix transposition. In the above, the gamma matrices satisfy

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = -2\eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad \eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+, -, -, -), \quad \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad (25)$$

as usual, and are chosen in Dirac's original form [1],

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

Variation of the action with respect to $\bar{\Psi}$ and Ψ , which we regard as the independent dynamical variables of the theory, recovers the new Dirac equation (1) together with its adjoint,

$$\bar{\Psi} (\bar{\partial}_\mu \gamma^\mu + m) = 0. \quad (27)$$

The two canonically conjugate momenta are then,

$$\pi = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_D}{\delta (\partial_0 \Psi)} = -\frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^0 = \frac{i}{2} \psi^\dagger \tilde{q} = \frac{i}{2} (\psi^\dagger q_1, \psi^\dagger q_2, \psi^\dagger q_3, \psi^\dagger q_4), \quad (28)$$

$$\bar{\pi} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_D}{\delta (\partial_0 \bar{\Psi})} = +\frac{i}{2} \gamma^0 \Psi = \frac{i}{2} \gamma^0 q \psi = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} +q_3 \psi \\ +q_4 \psi \\ -q_1 \psi \\ -q_2 \psi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (29)$$

leading to the Hamiltonian density,

$$\mathcal{H}_D = \pi \partial_0 \Psi + (\partial_0 \bar{\Psi}) \bar{\pi} - \mathcal{L}_D = -\frac{i}{2} [\bar{\Psi} \gamma^0 \partial_0 \Psi - (\partial_0 \bar{\Psi}) \gamma^0 \Psi] + i \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [\bar{\Psi} \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \Psi) - (\partial_\mu \bar{\Psi}) \gamma^\mu \Psi] - m \bar{\Psi} \Psi \right\}, \quad (30)$$

which on the mass shell assumes two equivalent forms,

$$\mathcal{H}_D = -\frac{i}{2} [\bar{\Psi} \gamma^0 \partial_0 \Psi - (\partial_0 \bar{\Psi}) \gamma^0 \Psi] \quad (\text{on-shell}), \quad (31)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_D = \frac{i}{2} \left[\bar{\Psi}(\gamma^k \partial_k - m)\Psi - \bar{\Psi}(\partial_0 \gamma^0 + m)\Psi \right] \quad (\text{on-shell}). \quad (32)$$

For the purpose of quantization we regard the field as being in the Heisenberg picture and use the first option, Eq. (31), to simplify the calculation. We then have, for $m > 0$,

$$\Psi(x) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2p_0}} a(p) U(p) e^{-i(p_0 t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})}, \quad \Psi = q\psi, \quad U = qu, \quad (33)$$

$$\bar{\Psi}(x) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2p_0}} a^\dagger(p) \bar{U}(p) e^{i(p_0 t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})}, \quad (34)$$

$$\partial_0 \Psi(x) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2p_0}} (-ip_0) a(p) U(p) e^{-i(p_0 t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})}, \quad (35)$$

$$\partial_0 \bar{\Psi}(x) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2p_0}} (+ip_0) a^\dagger(p) \bar{U}(p) e^{i(p_0 t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})}, \quad (36)$$

whose substitution into Eq. (31) gives,

$$H_D = -\frac{i}{2} \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \left[\bar{\Psi} \gamma^0 \partial_0 \Psi - (\partial_0 \bar{\Psi}) \gamma^0 \Psi \right] \quad (\text{on-shell}) \quad (37)$$

$$= -\frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p} d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{\sqrt{2p_0 2p'_0}} e^{i(p_0 t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})} \bar{U}(p) \gamma^0 U(p') e^{-i(p'_0 t - \mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{x})} (-ip'_0 - ip_0) a^\dagger(p) a(p') \quad (38)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p} d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{\sqrt{2p_0 2p'_0}} \left(- \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(p_0 t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})} \bar{u}(p) \bar{q} \gamma^0 q u(p') e^{-i(p'_0 t - \mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{x})} \right) (p'_0 + p_0) a^\dagger(p) a(p') \quad (39)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p} d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{\sqrt{2p_0 2p'_0}} \left(- \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} \psi_p^* \bar{q} \gamma^0 q \psi_{p'} \right) (p'_0 + p_0) a^\dagger(p) a(p') \quad (40)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \mathbf{p} d^3 \mathbf{p}' \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \frac{2p_0(p'_0 + p_0)}{\sqrt{2p_0 2p'_0}} a^\dagger(p) a(p') \quad (\text{by Eq. (12)}) \quad (41)$$

$$= \int d^3 \mathbf{p} p_0 a^\dagger(p) a(p), \quad (42)$$

with no need for normal ordering.

To arrive at an acceptable particle interpretation we require the Hamiltonian H_D to be positive-definite, which automatically allows two equally acceptable possibilities (*cf.* [12]): either commutation relations,

$$[a(p), a^\dagger(p')] = \delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'), \quad [a(p), a(p')] = 0, \quad [a^\dagger(p), a^\dagger(p')] = 0, \quad (43)$$

or anticommutation relations,

$$\{a(p), a^\dagger(p')\} = \delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'), \quad \{a(p), a(p')\} = 0, \quad \{a^\dagger(p), a^\dagger(p')\} = 0, \quad (44)$$

indicating possible existence of both bosonic and fermionic positive-energy Dirac particles. [Remark: To prevent potential confusion, we point out that our bosonic creation and annihilation operators bear no relation to the ones given in Eq. (8.1) of Dirac's original paper [1]. Dirac's "creation" and "annihilation" operators were a useful auxiliary construct of his single-particle theory. They operated on the internal space of states and were formally used to switch from "position" representation of internal wave functions expressed in terms of q_1 and q_2 , to the Fock representation in terms of another set of variables, $\eta_1 = (q_1 - iq_3)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\eta_2 = (q_2 - iq_4)/\sqrt{2}$, that were associated with two abstract harmonic oscillators. See [5] for elaboration of this point.]

III. INCLUDING NEGATIVE-ENERGY MODES

Here we explore the possibility of incorporating into the theory the negative-frequency modes. We do that by restricting the domain of system's internal variables to a finite region, say, $q_1, q_2 \in [-\ell, \ell]$, taking the limit $m \rightarrow 0$, and imposing (if needed) periodic boundary conditions (or changing the topology of the q -space in some other fashion),

making the negative-frequency modes normalizable. This procedure is partially supported by considering the simplest case: Dirac's simplest solution (10) is formally normalizable for $p_0 < 0$ when the domain is reduced and periodicity conditions are imposed, even for $m > 0$. There is some possibility that we may lose self-adjointness of various "internal" quantum-mechanical operators, and that periodicity conditions may not be consistently imposed, but those complication, we posit, can likely be handled by various self-adjoint extension techniques [20]. For now we proceed formally, ignoring potential mathematical subtleties.

Then, the field has the expansion (*cf.* Eq. (33); here $v = v(p; q_1, q_2)$ denotes negative-frequency modes),

$$\Psi(x) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} \left[a(p) U(p) e^{-i(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}t - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{x})} + b^\dagger(p) V(p) e^{i(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}t - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{x})} \right], \quad V = qv, \quad (45)$$

which, after some algebra, results in

$$\begin{aligned} H_D &= \int d^3\mathbf{p} \omega_{\mathbf{p}} a^\dagger(p) a(p) \\ &- \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p} d^3\mathbf{p}'}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}} 2\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}}} (\omega_{\mathbf{p}} + \omega_{\mathbf{p}'}) \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}t - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{x})} \bar{V}(p) \gamma^0 V(p') e^{i(\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}t - \mathbf{p}'\mathbf{x})} \right) b(p) b^\dagger(p') \\ &- \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p} d^3\mathbf{p}'}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}} 2\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}}} (\omega_{\mathbf{p}} - \omega_{\mathbf{p}'}) \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}t - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{x})} \bar{V}(p) \gamma^0 U(p') e^{-i(\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}t - \mathbf{p}'\mathbf{x})} \right) b(p) a(p') \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p} d^3\mathbf{p}'}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}} 2\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}}} (\omega_{\mathbf{p}} - \omega_{\mathbf{p}'}) \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}t - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{x})} \bar{U}(p) \gamma^0 V(p') e^{i(\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}t - \mathbf{p}'\mathbf{x})} \right) a^\dagger(p) b^\dagger(p'). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

If we assume that the negative-energy modes are "regularized" in the above mentioned sense, then we get,

$$H_D = \int d^3\mathbf{p} \omega_{\mathbf{p}} [a^\dagger(p) a(p) - b(p) b^\dagger(p)]. \quad (47)$$

This leads to several possibilities.

The first one is to impose anticommutation relations on the b -modes,

$$\{b(p), b^\dagger(p')\} = \delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'), \quad \{b(p), b(p')\} = 0, \quad \{b^\dagger(p), b^\dagger(p')\} = 0, \quad (48)$$

making them fermionic, while using bosonic commutation relations (43) for the a -modes, together with the commutation relations between the two,

$$[a(p), b(p')] = 0, \quad [a(p), b^\dagger(p')] = 0, \quad (49)$$

That would lead to a positive-definite Hamiltonian with a positively-divergent term,

$$H_D^{(1)} = \int d^3\mathbf{p} \omega_{\mathbf{p}} [a^\dagger(p) a(p) + b^\dagger(p) b(p)] + \int d^3\mathbf{p} \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \delta(\mathbf{0}). \quad (50)$$

One may speculate about a strange possibility here, where depending on the energy regime (slow vs ultrarelativistic) the b -modes, initially non-normalizable and physically "frozen", get "activated" as the energy tends to infinity. [This property of the new Dirac field in the ultrarelativistic regime may have connection to the proposal expressed in [6] (also see [21]) with regard to the inclusion of fermionic coordinates in the quantum description of the light-cone.] Our system then would exhibit supersymmetric behavior, with supersymmetric operators defined by [22],

$$Q(p) \equiv b^\dagger(p) a(p) + a^\dagger(p) b(p), \quad (51)$$

which commute with the Hamiltonian,

$$[Q(p), H_D^{(1)}(p)] = 0, \quad (52)$$

and satisfy

$$\{Q(p), Q^\dagger(p)\} = \frac{2}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} H_D^{(1)}(p). \quad (53)$$

Other options include either working exclusively within the fermionic sector of the theory in all regimes, or working within the fermionic sector in the ultrarelativistic regime only (in which case the strange scenario would be associated with the initially bosonic a -modes switching their statistics upon the “activation” of the fermionic b -modes).

Finally, there is an option of simply redefining the b -operators, $b \leftrightarrow b^\dagger$, and working exclusively within the bosonic sector, while leaving the Hamiltonian as is. In this case we would get,

$$H_D^{(2)} = \int d^3\mathbf{p} \omega_{\mathbf{p}} [a^\dagger(p) a(p) - b^\dagger(p) b(p)], \quad (54)$$

containing the negative-energy contribution, which may prove useful for dealing with the cosmological constant problem [23, 24].

Unfortunately, with such a great variety of non-rigorously stated possibilities the predictive power of our theory is severely limited, requiring further mathematical investigation into the validity of our conjecture.

IV. EXTENDING ORIGINAL DIRAC'S THEORY

Returning to the $m > 0$ case, the dual statistical nature expressed by relations (43) and (44) raises the question as to which of the two possibilities for statistics obeyed by the positive-energy Dirac particles Nature actually chooses and how. We hypothesize that the choice is made spontaneously by an analogue of the Anderson-Higgs mechanism familiar from the Standard Model. This calls for an extension of Dirac's original single-component equation, by considering a doublet consisting of two dark spin-0 fields with opposite statistics,

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\Psi} = (\bar{\Psi}_1, \bar{\Psi}_2), \quad (55)$$

where Ψ_1 describes bosons and Ψ_2 describes fermions (*cf.*, e. g., [25],[26],[7]). Different directions in this internal doublet space would then correspond to universes with different boson-to-fermion ratios of Dirac particles (possibly leading to cosmological evolutions with varying degrees of temporal asymmetry). In our extension, we choose a Lagrangian that allows for self-interaction and transmutation between the doublet members, for example, in the form,

$$\mathcal{L}_D^{(\text{ext})} = -i \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [\bar{\Psi} \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \Psi) - (\partial_\mu \bar{\Psi}) \gamma^\mu \Psi] - \bar{\Psi} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & g \\ g & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \Psi + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\bar{\Psi} \Psi)^2 \right\}, \quad (56)$$

where g and λ are the coupling constants. Variation of (56) results in the system of equations,

$$(\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m_1) \Psi_1 - g \Psi_2 + \lambda (\bar{\Psi} \Psi) \Psi_1 = 0, \quad (57)$$

$$(\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m_2) \Psi_2 - g \Psi_1 + \lambda (\bar{\Psi} \Psi) \Psi_2 = 0, \quad (58)$$

generalizing (1). A model with the “wrong” sign for either of the masses (or both), say, $m_1 = -M_1 < 0$, $m_2 = +M_2 > 0$, or $m_1 = -M_1 < 0$, $m_2 = -M_2 < 0$, would then allow for some specific direction in the doublet space (together with the corresponding universe) to be spontaneously chosen.

V. DISCUSSION

The interpretation in terms of creation and annihilation operators adopted in Section II that ensures positivity of system's energy may not be the only possibility. Some time ago, Nayak and Wilczek [27, 28] put forward a proposal for a non-abelian cliffordonic statistics based on projective representations of the permutation group [29, 30], in which the corresponding particles were neither created nor destroyed, but, instead, permuted. Originally, Nayak and Wilczek's statistics was intended for a description of quasiparticles in the quantum Hall effect, but was later used in some approaches to spacetime quantization (see, e. g., [31–35]; for recent work in that direction [36]). The main idea behind the latter attempts was to provide a unified description for quantum fields and space-time (the so-called “quantum-field-spacetime unity”) in which the universe was regarded as a collection of fundamental building blocks (let's call them chronons, for lack of a better word) whose permutations underlie the fundamental physical processes going on in nature. [For thermodynamic implications of Clifford statistics see [37]; for the appearance of a spin-orbit coupling not present in the Standard Model see [33, 34].] In the present context, one may also try to investigate the possibility of nonabelian statistics for particles obeying Dirac's new equation (provided careful attention is paid to the critical position expressed in Ref. [38] regarding the subject). This speculation hinges on the curious form, Eq. (8),

of the mode functions of the new Dirac theory, which allows topological modification of the q -manifold and clearly distinguishes the z -direction in the momentum space (especially in the deep, non-relativistic regime, Eq. (17), where the p_z term becomes irrelevant, making the system effectively two-dimensional). It is also supported by the large body of work on anyonic statistics in relation to equations of Dirac-Majorana type (see, e. g., [39–41], and related to our subject Ref. [42]). Needless to say, the quantum-mechanical operators $a(p)$ and $a^\dagger(p)$ employed in such an exploration may end up carrying a very different physical interpretation.

Returning to the commutation relations (43), let us make a brief remark that whenever we encounter bosons we should also check for the possibility of a phase transition. In the present context that naturally directs our attention to cosmology. In the recent work by Cirilo-Lombardo and Sanchez [6, 8] it was demonstrated that entanglement and coherent states applied to quantum description of deSitter space and black holes can lead to asymmetric time evolution, and that Dirac’s new field may play a role in that description. Since (as far as we know) gravity is the only entity with which the dark Dirac field is allowed to interact, the relevant question is: Could it be the case that the time asymmetry present in the macroscopic world [43–50] is the manifestation of the two systems working together to form a spacetime condensate that enforces a preferred set of initial conditions and makes different parts of the universe to “propagate” in the same temporal direction?

-
- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, A positive-energy relativistic wave equation, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 322, 435 (1971).
 - [2] P. A. M. Dirac, A positive-energy relativistic wave equation. II, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 328, 1 (1972).
 - [3] P. A. M. Dirac, *Directions in Physics* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978).
 - [4] P. A. M. Dirac, Pretty mathematics, Int. J. Theor. Phys., 21, 603 (1982).
 - [5] E. Bogomolny, Positive-Energy Dirac Particles and Dark Matter, Universe, 10, 222 (2024); arXiv:2406.01654 [hep-th].
 - [6] D. J. Cirilo-Lombardo and N. G. Sanchez, Coherent states of quantum spacetimes for black holes and de Sitter spacetime, Phys. Rev. D 108, 126001 (2023).
 - [7] D. J. Cirilo-Lombardo and N. G. Sanchez, Quantum-Spacetime Symmetries: A Principle of Minimum Group Representation, Universe, 10, 22 (2024).
 - [8] D. J. Cirilo-Lombardo and N. G. Sanchez, Entanglement and Generalized Berry Geometrical Phases in Quantum Gravity, 10.20944/preprints202406.0410.v1
 - [9] M. Cirelli, A. Strumia, J. Zupan, Dark Matter, arXiv:2406.01705 [hep-ph]
 - [10] A. Galiatdinov, Positive-energy Dirac particles in cosmology, arXiv:2406.08699 [gr-qc]
 - [11] P. A. M. Dirac, The quantum theory of the electron, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 117, 610 (1928).
 - [12] E. C. G. Sudarshan and N. Mukunda, Quantum Theory of the Infinite-Component Majorana Field and the Relation of Spin and Statistics, Phys. Rev. D 1, 571 (1970).
 - [13] E. Majorana, Teoria relativistica di particelle con momento intrinseco arbitrario, Nuovo Cimento, 9, 335 (1932).
 - [14] N. Mukunda, H. van Dam, L. C. Biedenharn, *Relativistic Models of Extended Hadrons Obeying a Mass-Spin Trajectory Constraint*, Lectures in Mathematical Physics at the University of Texas at Austin, edited by A. Böhm and J. D. Dollard (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1982).
 - [15] A. Ousmane Manga, A. Moussa, A. Aboubacar, N. V. Samsonenko, Two-Component Form of the New Dirac Equation, Adv. Studies Theor. Phys., 7(7), 319 (2013).
 - [16] H. Ahner, Gravitation and positive-energy wave equation, Phys. Rev. D 11, 3384 (1975).
 - [17] H. Ahner, Action principle for spin-1/2 wave equation, Phys. Rev. D 13, 250 (1976).
 - [18] J. E. Castillo H., A. H. Salas, A Covariant Relativistic Formalism for the New Dirac Equation, Adv. Studies Theor. Phys., 5(8), 399 (2011).
 - [19] A. Ousmane Manga, N. V. Samsonenko, A. Moussa, Lagrangian Formalism for the New Dirac Equation, Adv. Studies Theor. Phys., 7(3), 141 (2013).
 - [20] D. M. Gitman, I. V. Tutin, B. L. Voronov, *Self-adjoint Extensions in Quantum Mechanics* (Springer, New York, 2012).
 - [21] N. G. Sanchez, New Quantum Structure of Space-Time, Gravit. Cosmol., 25, 91 (2019).
 - [22] M. Kaku, *Quantum Field Theory: A Modern Introduction*, (Oxford University Press, New York, Oxford, 1993).
 - [23] Ya. B. Zel’dovich, The Cosmological Constant and the Theory of Elementary Particles, Sov. Phys. Uspekhi, 11, 381 (1968).
 - [24] S. Weinberg, The cosmological constant problem, Rev. Mod. Phys., 61, 1 (1989).
 - [25] P. A. Horváthy, M. S. Plyushchay, and M. Valenzuela, Bosonized supersymmetry from the Majorana-Dirac-Staunton theory and massive higher-spin fields, Phys. Rev. D 77, 025017 (2008).
 - [26] A. Okniński, On the Mechanism of Fermion-Boson Transformation, Int. J. Theor. Phys., 53, 2662 (2014).
 - [27] C. Nayak and F. Wilczek, 2n-quasihole states realize 2^{n-1} -dimensional spinor braiding statistics in paired quantum Hall states, Nucl. Phys. B479, 529 (1996).
 - [28] F. Wilczek, Projective Statistics and Spinors in Hilbert Space, arXiv:hep-th/9806228
 - [29] G. Karpilovsky, *Projective Representations of Finite Groups* (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1985).
 - [30] P. N. Hoffman and J. F. Humphreys, *Projective Representations of the Symmetric Groups* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1992).
 - [31] J. Baugh, D. Finkelstein, A. Galiatdinov, H. Saller, Clifford algebra as quantum language, J. Math. Phys., 42, 1489 (2001).

- [32] D. R. Finkelstein and A. A. Galiutdinov, Cliffordons, *J. Math. Phys.*, 42, 3299 (2001).
- [33] A. A. Galiutdinov and D. R. Finkelstein, Chronon corrections to the Dirac equation, *J. Math. Phys.*, 43, 4741 (2002).
- [34] A. A. Galiutdinov, Quantum Theory of Elementary Processes, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, 41, 1423 (2002).
- [35] J. Baugh, D. R. Finkelstein, A. Galiutdinov, and M. Shiri-Garakani, Transquantum Dynamics, *Found. Phys.*, 33, 1267 (2003).
- [36] D. W. Marks, Binary Encoded Recursive Generation of Quantum Space-Times, *Adv. Appl. Cliff. Alg.*, 32, 51 (2022).
- [37] A. A. Galiutdinov, Clifford statistics and the temperature limit in the theory of fractional quantum Hall effect, arXiv:hep-th/0201052
- [38] N. Read, Non-Abelian braid statistics versus projective permutation statistics, *J. Math. Phys.*, 44, 558 (2003).
- [39] C. Duval and P. A. Horváthy, Exotic Galilean symmetry in the non-commutative plane and the Hall effect, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 34, 10097 (2001).
- [40] P. A. Horváthy and M. S. Plyushchay, Non-relativistic anyons, exotic Galilean symmetry and noncommutative plane, *JHEP*, 06, 033 (2002).
- [41] P. A. Horváthy and M. S. Plyushchay, Anyon wave equations and the noncommutative plane, *Phys. Lett. B* 595, 547 (2004).
- [42] J.-M. Lévy-Leblond, Nonrelativistic Particles and Wave Equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 6, 286 (1967).
- [43] P. C. W. Davies, *The Physics of Time Asymmetry* (University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1977).
- [44] R. Penrose, Singularities and time-asymmetry, in *General relativity: an Einstein centenary survey*, edited by S. W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, 1979).
- [45] S. W. Hawking, The Arrow of Time in Cosmology, *Phys. Rev. D* 32, 2489 (1985).
- [46] M. Gell-Mann, J. B. Hartle, Time Symmetry and Asymmetry in Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Cosmology, in *Physical Origins of Time Asymmetry*, ed. by J. Halliwell, J. Perez-Mercader, and W. Zurek (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994).
- [47] H. D. Zeh, Open questions regarding the arrow of time, in *The Arrows of Time* (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011).
- [48] G. F. R. Ellis, The arrow of time and the nature of spacetime, *Stud. Hist. Phil. Sci.*, B44, 242 (2013).
- [49] A. Vilenkin, Arrows of time and the beginning of the universe, *Phys. Rev. D* 88, 043516 (2013).
- [50] M. Cortes and L. Smolin, Reversing the irreversible: From limit cycles to emergent time symmetry, *Phys. Rev. D* 97, 026004 (2018).