

# Large deviations for 2D Stochastic Chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes System

Yunfeng Chen<sup>a</sup>, Xuhui Peng<sup>b,d</sup>, Jianliang Zhai<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China*

<sup>b</sup>*MOE-LCSM, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, P.R. China;*

<sup>c</sup>*School of Mathematical Sciences and Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China;*

<sup>d</sup>*Key Laboratory of Applied Statistics and Data Science, Hunan Normal University, College of Hunan Province, Changsha 410081, P.R.China*

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we establish a large deviation principle for 2D stochastic Chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes equation perturbed by a small multiplicative noise. The main difficulties come from the lack of a suitable compact embedding into the space occupied by the solutions and the inherent complexity of equation. Finite dimensional projection arguments and introducing suitable stopping times play important roles.

*Keywords:* Stochastic Chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes equations, strong solutions, large deviation principle, weak convergence method.

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded convex domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\mathcal{O}$ . The purpose of this paper is to establish a Freidlin-Wentzell type large deviation principle (LDP) for the solutions of the coupled 2D stochastic Chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system on  $\mathcal{O}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} dn^\varepsilon + u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla n^\varepsilon dt &= \delta \Delta n^\varepsilon dt - \nabla \cdot (\chi(c^\varepsilon) n^\varepsilon \nabla c^\varepsilon) dt, \\ dc^\varepsilon + u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla c^\varepsilon dt &= \mu \Delta c^\varepsilon dt - k(c^\varepsilon) n^\varepsilon dt, \\ du^\varepsilon + (u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u^\varepsilon dt + \nabla P^\varepsilon dt &= \nu \Delta u^\varepsilon dt - n^\varepsilon \nabla \phi dt + \varepsilon \sigma(u^\varepsilon) dW_t, \\ \nabla \cdot u^\varepsilon &= 0, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathcal{O}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

as the small parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$  converges to 0, with the boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial n^\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial c^\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ and } u^\varepsilon = 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial\mathcal{O} \text{ and } t > 0, \tag{1.2}$$

and the initial conditions

$$n^\varepsilon(0, x) = n_0(x), \quad c^\varepsilon(0, x) = c_0(x), \quad u^\varepsilon(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{O}. \tag{1.3}$$

---

*Email addresses:* cyf01@mail.ustc.edu.cn (Yunfeng Chen), xhpeng@hunnu.edu.cn (Xuhui Peng), zhajl@ustc.edu.cn (Jianliang Zhai)

Here  $v$  stands for the inward normal on the boundary  $\partial O$ .

The deterministic version ( $\varepsilon = 0$ ) of equation (1.1), proposed by Tuval *et al.* [25], has attracted significant attention from mathematicians in recent years, as evidenced by a multitude of studies (e.g., [2, 4, 9, 13, 15, 17, 18, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 35]). The model describes the behavior of aerobic bacterial populations in sessile water droplets [6, 25], capturing three primary phenomena: the chemotactic movement of bacteria toward oxygen, the impact of dense bacteria on the fluid motion due to gravity, and the convective transport of both cells and oxygen. Further biological context is elaborated in [19, 25]. Here, cell density and oxygen concentration are expressed as  $n^\varepsilon = n^\varepsilon(x, t)$  and  $c^\varepsilon = c^\varepsilon(x, t)$ , respectively, with fluid velocity and pressure denoted as  $u^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon(x, t)$  and  $P^\varepsilon = P^\varepsilon(x, t)$ . The diffusion coefficients for cells, chemicals, and fluid are represented by the positive constants  $\delta, \mu$  and  $\nu$ , respectively. The spatiotemporal variable  $\phi = \phi(x)$  defines the gravitational potential, while  $\chi(c^\varepsilon)$  and  $k(c^\varepsilon)$  represent the chemotactic sensitivity and oxygen consumption rate, assumed to be sufficiently differentiable functions. The process  $\{W_t, t \geq 0\}$  is a cylindrical Wiener process, signifying external stochastic influences.

Together with Zhang [33], the third author recently showed the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions in both the analytical sense and the probabilistic sense to equation (1.1). Notably, the strong analytical solutions identified in [33] belong to the space  $L^\infty([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times L^\infty([0, T], W^{1,q}(\mathcal{O})) \times L^\infty([0, T], D(A^\alpha))$ , which does not constitute a Polish space. There seems few results on LDP for stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) taking values in non-Polish spaces, and such issues are challenging due to the lack of space separability. In this paper, we demonstrate that these solutions are situated within the Polish space  $C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], D(A^\alpha))$  (see Proposition 2.2), and we will prove the LDP of equation (1.1) in this space.

To formulate the LDP, we adopt the weak convergence methodology pioneered by Budhiraja, Dupuis, and Maroulas [1]. Matoussi, Sabbagh, and Zhang [20] provided an advanced condition for satisfying the Budhiraja-Dupuis-Maroulas criteria, offering enhanced efficacy for the analysis of large deviations in stochastic evolution equations; see e.g., [10, 31]. In this paper we will use this method.

The primary challenge is to demonstrate the strong convergence in the space  $C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], D(A^\alpha))$  of solutions to the so-called skeleton equations through the weak convergence of controls  $h_n$  in  $L^2([0, T], U)$  (see Condition 2.1 (a) in the proof of Theorem 2.1). There are two classical methods for achieving such convergence. One is to secure a space with compact embedding; see e.g., [1, 11, 32]. Another is to resort to a time approximation strategy as explored in [5, 8]. Nonetheless, for our problem, finding an appropriate compact embedding seems to be difficult, and the time approximation method may course a large amount of computation. Drawing inspiration from [3] and [16], we address this challenge by applying finite-dimensional projection arguments (see Section 4). Additionally, introducing suitable stopping times play an important role to overcome the inherent complexity of equations; see e.g., (5.4) and (5.12).

Finally, it is worth noting that Zhang and Liu [34] have recently established the global solvability of three-dimensional stochastic Chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes systems with Lévy processes. Additionally, Hausenblas *et al.* [12] have demonstrated the existence of a unique probabilistic strong solution for two-dimensional stochastic Chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes systems with additional noise in the  $c$ -equation.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the necessary notations and preliminaries, present the hypotheses, and state the main result. The existence and uniqueness

of solutions to the associated skeleton equations are proven in Section 3. Finally, we verify two conditions to establish LDP in Section 4 and Section 5, respectively.

## 2. Framework and Statement of the Main Results

First of all, let us briefly recall some essential definitions and topological spaces that will be used throughout this paper.

Let  $L^q(\mathcal{O})$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  denotes the  $L^q$  space with respect to the Lebesgue measure with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^q}$ .  $W^{k,q}(\mathcal{O})$  denotes the Sobolev space of functions whose distributional derivatives of order up to  $k$  belong to  $L^q$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{k,q}$ . Let  $A$  be the realization of the Stokes operator  $-\mathcal{P}\Delta$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the Helmholtz projection from  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$  into the space  $H = \{\varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) : \nabla \cdot \varphi = 0, \varphi(x)|_{x \in \partial\mathcal{O}} = 0\}$ . Let  $A^\alpha$  be the fractional powers of the nonnegative operator  $A$  for all  $\alpha > 0$  with domain  $D(A^\alpha) = \{\varphi \in H : \|\varphi\|_\alpha := \|\varphi\|_{D(A^\alpha)} = \|A^\alpha \varphi\|_{L^2} < \infty\}$ . In the sequel,  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$  will denote respectively the Neumann heat semigroup and the Stokes semigroup with Dirichlet boundary condition. Throughout this article,  $C$  denotes a generic constant that may change from line to line.

In this paper, we study (1.1) with the following condition on the parameters and functions:

- (H.1)** (a)  $\chi \in C^2([0, \infty))$ ,  $\chi > 0$  in  $[0, \infty)$ ,  
 (b)  $k \in C^2([0, \infty))$ ,  $k(0) = 0$ ,  $k > 0$  in  $(0, \infty)$ ,  
 (c)  $\phi \in C^2(\bar{\mathcal{O}})$ ,
- (H.2)**  $(\frac{k(c)}{\chi(c)})' > 0$ ,  $(\frac{k(c)}{\chi(c)})'' \leq 0$ ,  $(\chi(c) \cdot k(c))' \geq 0$  on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Let  $U$  be a separable real Hilbert space and  $\{W_t, t \geq 0\}$  a  $U$ -cylindrical Wiener process on a given complete, filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ , representing the driving external random force.

Let  $\mathcal{L}^2(U, D(A^\beta))$  denote the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators  $g$  from  $U$  into  $D(A^\beta)$ , and its norm is denoted by  $\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}$ . For a mapping  $\sigma : D(A^\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(U, D(A^\beta))$ , we introduce the following assumptions: there exists a positive constant  $K$  such that

- (H.3)** for all  $u_1, u_2, u \in H$ ,

$$\|\sigma(u_1) - \sigma(u_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2}^2 \leq K \|u_1 - u_2\|_H^2, \text{ and } \|\sigma(u)\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2}^2 \leq K(1 + \|u\|_H^2),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_0^2 = \mathcal{L}^2(U, H)$ ,

- (H.4)** for all  $u_1, u_2, u \in D(A^\alpha)$ ,

$$\|\sigma(u_1) - \sigma(u_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 \leq K \|u_1 - u_2\|_\alpha^2, \text{ and } \|\sigma(u)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 \leq K(1 + \|u\|_\alpha^2),$$

- (H.5)** for all  $u_1, u_2, u \in D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$ ,

$$\|\sigma(u_1) - \sigma(u_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}^2}^2 \leq K \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^2, \text{ and } \|\sigma(u)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}^2}^2 \leq K(1 + \|u\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^2).$$

Set  $u^\varepsilon(t) = u^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$ ,  $n^\varepsilon(t) = n^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$ , and  $c^\varepsilon(t) = c^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$ . Let  $q > 2$ .

**Definition 2.1** We say that  $(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$  is a mild solution of system (1.1) if  $(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$  is a progressively measurable stochastic processes with values in  $C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}}) \times W^{1,q}(\mathcal{O}) \times D(A^\alpha)$ , which satisfies,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} n^\varepsilon(t) &= e^{t\delta\Delta}n_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\delta\Delta} \left\{ u^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla n^\varepsilon(s) \right\} ds - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\delta\Delta} \left\{ \nabla \cdot \left( \chi(c^\varepsilon(s))n^\varepsilon(s)\nabla c^\varepsilon(s) \right) \right\} ds, \\ c^\varepsilon(t) &= e^{t\mu\Delta}c_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mu\Delta} \left\{ u^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla c^\varepsilon(s) \right\} ds - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mu\Delta} \left\{ k(c^\varepsilon(s))n^\varepsilon(s) \right\} ds, \\ u^\varepsilon(t) &= e^{-t\nu A}u_0 - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ (u^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla)u^\varepsilon(s) \right\} ds - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ n^\varepsilon(s)\nabla\phi \right\} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \varepsilon\sigma(u^\varepsilon(s))dW_s. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

We point out that  $(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$  is equivalent to a variational solution of the system in the Gelfand triple  $W^{1,2}(\mathcal{O}) \subset L^2(\mathcal{O}) \subset W^{1,2}(\mathcal{O})^*$ , that is,  $(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$  satisfies,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} n^\varepsilon(t) + \int_0^t u^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla n^\varepsilon(s) ds &= n_0 + \delta \int_0^t \Delta n^\varepsilon(s) ds - \int_0^t \nabla \cdot \left( \chi(c^\varepsilon(s))n^\varepsilon(s)\nabla c^\varepsilon(s) \right) ds, \\ c^\varepsilon(t) + \int_0^t u^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla c^\varepsilon(s) ds &= c_0 + \mu \int_0^t \Delta c^\varepsilon(s) ds - \int_0^t k(c^\varepsilon(s))n^\varepsilon(s) ds, \\ u^\varepsilon(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{P} \left\{ (u^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla)u^\varepsilon(s) \right\} ds &= u_0 - \nu \int_0^t Au^\varepsilon(s) ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{P} \left\{ n^\varepsilon(s)\nabla\phi \right\} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \varepsilon\sigma(u^\varepsilon(s))dW_s. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

For more details of the above statement, we refer to Remark 2.1 in [33].

By Theorem 2.1 in [33], we have

**Proposition 2.1** Assume

$$\begin{aligned} n_0 &\in C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}}), \quad n_0 > 0 \text{ in } \bar{\mathcal{O}}, \\ c_0 &\in W^{1,q}(\mathcal{O}), \text{ for some } q > 2, \quad c_0 > 0 \text{ in } \bar{\mathcal{O}}, \\ u_0 &\in D(A^\alpha), \text{ for some } \alpha \in (1/2, 1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

and the assumptions **(H.1)**-**(H.5)** hold. Then there exists a unique mild/variational solution  $(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$  to the system (1.1).

Moreover,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s., for any  $T > 0$ ,

$$(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) \in L^\infty([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times L^\infty([0, T], W^{1,q}(\mathcal{O})) \times L^\infty([0, T], D(A^\alpha)). \quad (2.4)$$

Prior to presenting the main result of this paper, we first prove the following result.

**Proposition 2.2** Assume that requirements of Proposition 2.1 are met. Then, the solution  $(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$  of (1.1) enjoys the regularity properties:

$$(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) \in C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], D(A^\alpha)).$$

**Proof.** We will use the following facts:

(F1)  $\{e^{t\Delta}\}_{t>0}$  is strongly continuous in  $C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})$  due to the maximum principle (see Theorem 8.1.1 in [14]).

(F2)  $e^{-tA}$  is strong continuous in  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ .

(F3) For  $q > 2$  and  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , the continuous imbeddings  $W^{1,q}(\mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})$  and  $D(A^\alpha) \hookrightarrow C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})$  hold, respectively;

(F4) Let  $B$  denote the operator  $-\Delta + 1$  in  $L^q(\mathcal{O})$  ( $q > 2$ ) equipped with the Neumann boundary condition. Then, for  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{2})$ , we have the continuous imbedding  $D(B^\beta) \hookrightarrow C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})$ .

For convenience of expression, we will denote  $(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$  as  $(n, c, u)$  in the following proof. By Definition 2.1, for any  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} n(t) &= e^{(t-s)\delta\Delta}n(s) - \int_s^t e^{(t-l)\delta\Delta} \left\{ u(l) \cdot \nabla n(l) \right\} dl - \int_s^t e^{(t-l)\delta\Delta} \left\{ \nabla \cdot \left( \chi(c(l))n(l)\nabla c(l) \right) \right\} dl, \\ c(t) &= e^{(t-s)\mu\Delta}c(s) - \int_s^t e^{(t-l)\mu\Delta} \left\{ u(l) \cdot \nabla c(l) \right\} dl - \int_s^t e^{(t-l)\mu\Delta} \left\{ k(c(l))n(l) \right\} dl, \\ u(t) &= e^{-(t-s)\nu A}u(s) - \int_s^t e^{-(t-l)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ (u(l) \cdot \nabla)u(l) \right\} dl - \int_s^t e^{-(t-l)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ n(l)\nabla\phi \right\} dl \\ &\quad + \int_s^t e^{-(t-l)\nu A} \sigma(u(l)) dW_l. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the exposition, we assume  $\delta = \mu = \nu = 1$ ,  $\chi(c) = 1$ , and  $k(c) = c$ . The general case could be established by Condition (H.1)(a)(b) and  $\|c\|_\infty \leq C\|c\|_{1,q}$ .

Using that  $\nabla \cdot (nu) = u \cdot \nabla n$  due to the fact that  $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ , we can thus obtain, for any  $t \in [s, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|n(t) - n(s)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \|e^{(t-s)\Delta}n(s) - n(s)\|_{L^\infty} + C \int_s^t \|B^\beta e^{-(t-l)(B-1)} \nabla \cdot (n\nabla c)(l)\|_{L^q} dl \\ &\quad + C \int_s^t \|B^\beta e^{-(t-l)(B-1)} (\nabla \cdot (un))(l)\|_{L^q} dl \\ &\leq \|e^{(t-s)\Delta}n(s) - n(s)\|_{L^\infty} + C \int_s^t (t-l)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \|(n\nabla c)(l)\|_{L^q} dl + C \int_s^t (t-l)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \|(un)(l)\|_{L^q} dl \\ &\leq \|e^{(t-s)\Delta}n(s) - n(s)\|_{L^\infty} + C(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \sup_{s \leq l \leq t} (\|n(l)\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \|c(l)\|_{1,q} + \|u(l)\|_\alpha \cdot \|n(l)\|_{L^\infty}). \quad (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

We have used the following property for semigroup  $e^{-tB}$ ; see Lemma 1.3(iv) in [27]:

$$\|B^\beta e^{-t(B-1)} \nabla \cdot w\|_{L^q} \leq C(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta}) \|w\|_{L^q}, \quad \text{for } w \in L^q(\mathcal{O}). \quad (2.6)$$

By combining equations (2.5) and (2.4), we can observe that,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow s} \|n(t) - n(s)\|_{L^\infty} = 0,$$

which implies that  $n \in C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}}))$ .

Proceeding similarly as (2.5), for  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  and  $t \in [s, T]$ ,

$$\|c(t) - c(s)\|_{L^\infty}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|e^{(t-s)\Delta}c(s) - c(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \int_s^t \|B^\gamma e^{-(t-l)(B-1)} \{u(l) \cdot \nabla c(l) + c(l)n(l)\}\|_{L^q} dl \\
&\leq \|e^{(t-s)\Delta}c(s) - c(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \int_s^t (t-l)^{-\gamma} \|u(l) \cdot \nabla c(l) + c(l)n(l)\|_{L^q} dl \\
&\leq \|e^{(t-s)\Delta}c(s) - c(s)\|_{L^\infty} + (t-s)^{1-\gamma} \sup_{s \leq l \leq t} (\|u(l)\|_\alpha \cdot \|c(l)\|_{1,q} + \|c(l)\|_{1,q} \cdot \|n(l)\|_{L^\infty}). \quad (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by combining equations (2.4) and (2.7), we conclude that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow s} \|c(t) - c(s)\|_{L^\infty} = 0,$$

this implies that  $c \in C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}}))$ .

For  $u(t)$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|A^\alpha u(t) - A^\alpha u(s)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \|e^{-(t-s)A}A^\alpha u(s) - A^\alpha u(s)\|_{L^2} + \int_s^t \|e^{-(t-l)A}A^\alpha \mathcal{P}\{(u(l) \cdot \nabla)u(l)\}\|_{L^2} dl \\
&\quad + \int_s^t \|e^{-(t-l)A}A^\alpha \mathcal{P}\{n(l)\nabla\phi\}\|_{L^2} dl + \left\| \int_s^t e^{-(t-l)A}A^\alpha \sigma(u(l))dW_l \right\|_{L^2} \\
&:= \|e^{-(t-s)A}A^\alpha u(s) - A^\alpha u(s)\|_{L^2} + I_1(t, s) + I_2(t, s) + I_3(t, s). \quad (2.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Noticing the inequality:

$$\|(u \cdot \nabla)u\|_{L^2} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \leq C\|A^\alpha u\|_2^2. \quad (2.9)$$

We can conclude that:

$$I_1(t, s) \leq C \int_s^t (t-l)^{-\alpha} \|A^\alpha u(l)\|_2^2 dl \leq C(t-s)^{1-\alpha} \sup_{s \leq l \leq t} \|u(l)\|_\alpha^2. \quad (2.10)$$

Regarding  $I_2$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2(t, s) &\leq \int_s^t (t-l)^{-\alpha} \|n(l)\nabla\phi\|_{L^2} dl \leq \sup_{s \leq l \leq t} \|n(l)\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty} (t-s)^{1-\alpha} \\
&\leq C \sup_{s \leq l \leq t} \|n(l)\|_{L^\infty} (t-s)^{1-\alpha}. \quad (2.11)
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $I_3$ , according to assumption (H.4) and (2.4), we can easily obtain

$$\int_s^T \|A^\alpha \sigma(u(l))\|_{L_0^2}^2 dl \leq C \int_s^T \|A^\alpha u(l)\|_{L^2}^2 dl < \infty, \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s..}$$

Then it is well-known that  $\int_s^t e^{-(t-l)A}A^\alpha \sigma(u(l))dW_l$  has a continuous modification in  $H$ ; see, e.g., [7, Theorem 6.10(page 160)]. Hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow s} I_3(t) = I_3(s) = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

By combining (2.8)–(2.12),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow s} \|A^\alpha u(t) - A^\alpha u(s)\|_{L^2} = 0,$$

implying that  $u \in C([0, T], D(A^\alpha))$ . The proof of Lemma 3.1 is complete.  $\square$

The purpose of this paper is to establish a large deviation principle for the solution of (1.1), denoted as  $(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$  on  $C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], D(A^\alpha))$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Before presenting the main result, we introduce the definition of LDP. Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \rho)$  be a Polish space. A lower-semicontinuous function  $I : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a rate function if the level set  $\{\varphi \in \mathcal{E} : I(\varphi) \leq M\}$  is a compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}$  for all  $M \geq 0$ .

**Definition 2.2** *A family of  $\mathcal{E}$ -valued random variables  $\{X^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  is said to satisfy the LDP on  $\mathcal{E}$  with rate function  $I$  if for each Borel subset  $B$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ ,*

$$-\inf_{\varphi \in \mathring{B}} I(\varphi) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \log \mathbb{P}(X^\varepsilon \in B) \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \log \mathbb{P}(X^\varepsilon \in B) \leq -\inf_{\varphi \in \bar{B}} I(\varphi),$$

where  $\mathring{B}$  and  $\bar{B}$  are the interior and the closure of  $B$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , respectively.

We also need to introduce the so-called skeleton equation, which is used to define the rate function in our main result, for any  $h \in L^2([0, T], U)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} dn^h + u^h \cdot \nabla n^h dt &= \delta \Delta n^h dt - \nabla \cdot (\chi(c^h) n^h \nabla c^h) dt, \\ dc^h + u^h \cdot \nabla c^h dt &= \mu \Delta c^h dt - k(c^h) n^h dt, \\ du^h + (u^h \cdot \nabla) u^h dt + \nabla P^h dt &= \nu \Delta u^h dt - n^h \nabla \phi dt + \sigma(u^h) h dt, \\ \nabla \cdot u^h &= 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{O}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial n^h}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial c^h}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ and } u^h = 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial \mathcal{O} \text{ and } t > 0, \tag{2.14}$$

and the initial conditions

$$n^h(0, x) = n_0(x), \quad c^h(0, x) = c_0(x), \quad u^h(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{O}. \tag{2.15}$$

Here  $L^2([0, T], U)$  denotes the set of square integrable  $U$ -valued functions on  $[0, T]$  endowed with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^2([0, T], U)}^2 = \int_0^T \|\varphi(t)\|_U^2 dt.$$

We state the following result, whose proof is provided in Section 3.

**Proposition 2.3** *Assume that requirements of Proposition 2.1 are met. Then, for any  $h \in L^2([0, T], U)$ , there exists a unique mild/variational solution  $(n^h, c^h, u^h) \in C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], D(A^\alpha))$  to the system (2.13) with the boundary-initial condition (2.14) and (2.15). That is,  $(n^h, c^h, u^h)$  satisfies:*

$$n^h(t) = e^{t\delta\Delta} n_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\delta\Delta} \left\{ u^h(s) \cdot \nabla n^h(s) \right\} ds - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\delta\Delta} \left\{ \nabla \cdot \left( \chi(c^h(s)) n^h(s) \nabla c^h(s) \right) \right\} ds,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c^h(t) &= e^{t\mu\Delta}c_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mu\Delta} \left\{ u^h(s) \cdot \nabla c^h(s) \right\} ds - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mu\Delta} \left\{ k(c^h(s))n^h(s) \right\} ds, \\
u^h(t) &= e^{-t\nu A}u_0 - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ (u^h(s) \cdot \nabla) u^h(s) \right\} ds - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ n^h(s) \nabla \phi \right\} ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \sigma(u^h(s)) h(s) ds. \tag{2.16}
\end{aligned}$$

We now formulate the main result.

**Theorem 2.1** *Assume that requirements of Proposition 2.1 are met. Then as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the solution to the system (1.1)  $\{(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)\}_{\varepsilon>0}$  satisfies LDP on  $C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], D(A^\alpha))$  with the rate function  $I$ , given by*

$$I(\varphi) = \inf_{h \in L^2([0, T], U)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|h(s)\|_U^2 ds : \varphi = (n^h, c^h, u^h) \right\},$$

where  $(n^h, c^h, u^h)$  is the solution to the skeleton equation (2.13). Here, we use the convention that the infimum of an empty set is  $\infty$ .

**Proof.** Proposition 2.3 implies that there exists a measurable mapping

$$\mathcal{G}^0 : L^2([0, T], U) \rightarrow C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], D(A^\alpha)),$$

such that  $\mathcal{G}^0(h)$  is the solution to (2.13) for any  $h \in L^2([0, T], U)$ .

By Yamada-Watanabe's Theorem (cf. [21]) and Propositions 2.1, 2.2, there exists a measurable map

$$\mathcal{G}^\varepsilon : C([0, T], U) \rightarrow C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], D(A^\alpha)),$$

such that  $\mathcal{G}^\varepsilon(W_\cdot)$  is the solution to (1.1) for any  $U$ -valued Brownian motion  $W_\cdot$ .

For any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$S^N := \left\{ \varphi \in L^2([0, T], U) : \|\varphi\|_{L^2([0, T], U)}^2 \leq N \right\}.$$

$S^N$  endowed with the weak topology of the space  $L^2([0, T], U)$  is a Polish space(see e.g.[1]). We also define

$$\mathcal{P}^N := \left\{ \Phi : \Phi \text{ is } U\text{-valued } \mathcal{F}_t\text{-predictable process such that } \Phi(\omega) \in S^N, \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \right\}.$$

Using [20, Theorem 3.1], in order to prove Theorem 2.1, we only need to verify the following condition:

**Condition 2.1** (a) *For every  $N < \infty$ ,  $\{h^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S^N$  that converges weakly to some element  $h$  in  $L^2([0, T], U)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\mathcal{G}^0(h^i), \mathcal{G}^0(h)) = 0.$$

(b) *For every  $N < \infty$ , any family  $\{h^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset \mathcal{P}_N$  and any  $\delta > 0$*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\rho(Y^\varepsilon, Z^\varepsilon) > \delta) = 0.$$

Here  $Y^\varepsilon = \mathcal{G}^\varepsilon(W_\cdot + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\cdot h^\varepsilon(s) ds)$ ,  $Z^\varepsilon = \mathcal{G}^0(h^\varepsilon)$  and  $\rho$  is the metric of  $C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], D(A^\alpha))$ .



We will check (a) and (b) in Section 4 and 5, respectively.  $\square$

We end this section by introducing the following generalized Gronwall-Bellman inequality, which plays an important role in Sections 4 and 5. The proof is similar to that of [23] and [30], and we omit it here.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_3 < 1$  and consider the time interval  $I = [0, T)$ , where  $T \leq \infty$ . Suppose  $a(t)$  is a nonnegative function that is locally integrable on  $I$ , and  $b(t), g_1(t), g_2(t)$ , and  $g_3(t)$  are nonnegative, nondecreasing continuous functions defined on  $I$  and bounded by a positive constant  $M$ . If  $f(t)$  is nonnegative and locally integrable on  $I$ , and satisfies*

$$f(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_0^t f(s) ds + \sum_{\xi=1}^3 g_\xi(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_\xi-1} f(s) ds, \quad (2.17)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,l \geq 0 \\ i+j+k+l=n}} \frac{n!(b(t))^i (g_1(t)\Gamma(\alpha_1))^j (g_2(t)\Gamma(\alpha_2))^k (g_3(t)\Gamma(\alpha_3))^l}{i!j!k!l!\Gamma(i+j\alpha_1+k\alpha_2+l\alpha_3)} \\ \times \int_0^t (t-s)^{i+j\alpha_1+k\alpha_2+l\alpha_3-1} a(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Gamma function. Moreover, if  $a(t)$  is nondecreasing on  $I$ . Then

$$f(t) \leq a(t) E_{\alpha_1}(g(t)\Gamma(\alpha_1)t^{\alpha_1}) E_{\alpha_2}(g(t)\Gamma(\alpha_2)t^{\alpha_2}) E_{\alpha_3}(g(t)\Gamma(\alpha_3)t^{\alpha_3}) e^{\frac{b(t)t}{\alpha_1}}. \quad (2.19)$$

Here, the Mittag-Leffler function  $E_\rho(z)$  is defined by  $E_\rho(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\rho k + 1)}$  for  $z > 0$ , and  $E_\rho(z) < \infty$  when  $\rho > 0$ .

### 3. Proof of Proposition 2.3

In this section, our aim is to prove the following proposition regarding the existence, uniqueness of solutions for the skeleton equations (2.13) and provide a priori estimates for them. This proposition implies Proposition 2.3. The a priori estimates are devoted to obtain (a) in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Proposition 3.1** *Assume that requirements of Proposition 2.1 are met. Then, for any  $h \in S^N$ , there exists a unique mild/variational solution  $(n^h, c^h, u^h) \in C([0, T], C^0(\bar{O})) \times C([0, T], C^0(\bar{O})) \times C([0, T], D(A^\alpha))$  to the system (2.13) with the boundary-initial condition (2.14) and (2.15), and there exists a constant  $C$  depending on  $N, T, \|n_0\|_{L^\infty}, \|c_0\|_{1,q}, \|u_0\|_\alpha$  such that*

$$\sup_{h \in S^N} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|n^h(t)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|c^h(t)\|_{1,q}^2 + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|A^\alpha u^h(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \leq C. \quad (3.1)$$

The proof of proposition 3.1 is a generalization of [33, Theorem 2.1] and Proposition 2.2. The main difference lies in the absence of a diffusion term, but the presence of an additional drift term given by  $\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \sigma(u^h(s)) h(s) ds$ , requiring appropriate estimates. To simplify the exposition, in this section, we abbreviate  $(n^h, c^h, u^h)$  as  $(n, c, u)$  and assume  $\delta = \mu = \nu = 1$ ,  $\chi(c) = 1$ , and  $k(c) = c$ .

### 3.1. Existence of Local Solutions

Introduce the following spaces

$$\Upsilon_t^n := L^\infty([0, t], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})), \quad \Upsilon_t^c := L^\infty([0, t], W^{1,q}(\mathcal{O})), \quad \Upsilon_t^u := L^\infty([0, t], D(A^\alpha))$$

with the corresponding norms given by

$$\|n\|_{\Upsilon_t^n} = \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|n(s)\|_{L^\infty}, \quad \|c\|_{\Upsilon_t^c} = \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|c(s)\|_{1,q}, \quad \|u\|_{\Upsilon_t^u} = \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|u(s)\|_\alpha.$$

**Proposition 3.2** *There exist  $\kappa_{max} \in (0, \infty]$  and a unique local solution  $(n, c, u) \in C([0, \kappa_{max}), C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, \kappa_{max}), C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, \kappa_{max}), D(A^\alpha))$  of system (2.13) on the interval  $[0, \kappa_{max})$  such that, if  $\kappa_{max} < \infty$ , then*

$$\|n\|_{\Upsilon_t^n} + \|c\|_{\Upsilon_t^c} + \|u\|_{\Upsilon_t^u} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \uparrow \kappa_{max}. \quad (3.2)$$

**Proof.** We will modify the coefficients in system (2.13) in order to apply a cut-off argument. Fix a function  $\theta \in C^2([0, \infty), [0, 1])$  such that

- (1)  $\theta(r) = 1, r \in [0, 1]$ ,
- (2)  $\theta(r) = 0, r > 2$ ,
- (3)  $\sup_{r \in [0, \infty)} |\theta'(r)| \leq C < \infty$ .

Set  $\theta_m(\cdot) = \theta(\frac{\cdot}{m})$ . For every  $m \geq 1$ , consider the following system of PDEs:

$$\begin{aligned} dn + \theta_m(\|u\|_{\Upsilon_t^u}) \theta_m(\|n\|_{\Upsilon_t^n}) u \cdot \nabla n dt &= \delta \Delta n dt - \theta_m(\|n\|_{\Upsilon_t^n}) \theta_m(\|c\|_{\Upsilon_t^c}) \nabla \cdot (\chi(c) n \nabla c) dt, \\ dc + \theta_m(\|u\|_{\Upsilon_t^u}) \theta_m(\|c\|_{\Upsilon_t^c}) u \cdot \nabla c dt &= \mu \Delta c dt - \theta_m(\|c\|_{\Upsilon_t^c}) \theta_m(\|n\|_{\Upsilon_t^n}) k(c) n dt, \\ du + \theta_m(\|u\|_{\Upsilon_t^u}) (u \cdot \nabla) u dt + \nabla P dt &= \nu \Delta u dt - \theta_m(\|n\|_{\Upsilon_t^n}) n \nabla \phi dt + \sigma(u) h dt, \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathcal{O}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

We consider the Banach space:

$$S_T = \{(n, c, u) \in L^\infty([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}}) \times W^{1,q}(\mathcal{O}) \times D(A^\alpha)) : n(0) = n_0, c(0) = c_0, u(0) = u_0\}$$

with the corresponding norms given by

$$\|(n, c, u)\|_{S_T}^2 := \|n\|_{\Upsilon_T^n}^2 + \|c\|_{\Upsilon_T^c}^2 + \|u\|_{\Upsilon_T^u}^2.$$

We introduce a mapping  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3)$  on  $S_T$  by defining

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(n, c, u)(t) := & e^{t\Delta} n_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \left\{ \theta_m(\|n\|_{\Upsilon_s^n}) \theta_m(\|c\|_{\Upsilon_s^c}) \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c) \right. \\ & \left. + \theta_m(\|u\|_{\Upsilon_s^u}) \theta_m(\|n\|_{\Upsilon_s^n}) \nabla \cdot (un) \right\} (s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi_2(n, c, u)(t) := e^{t\Delta} c_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \left\{ \theta_m(\|n\|_{\Upsilon_s^n}) \theta_m(\|c\|_{\Upsilon_s^c}) n c \right.$$

$$+ \theta_m(\|u\|_{\Upsilon_s^u})\theta_m(\|c\|_{\Upsilon_s^c})u \cdot \nabla c\}(s)ds,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_3(n, c, u)(t) &:= e^{-tA}u_0 - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A}\theta_m(\|u\|_{\Upsilon_s^u})\mathcal{P}\{(u(s) \cdot \nabla)u(s)\}ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A}\theta_m(\|n\|_{\Upsilon_s^n})\mathcal{P}\{n(s)\nabla\phi\}ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A}\mathcal{P}\{\sigma(u(s))h(s)\}ds. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the following result from (3.5) and (3.6) in [33], for  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ ,

$$\|\Phi_1(n, c, u)\|_{\Upsilon_T^n} \leq \|n_0\|_{L^\infty} + Cm^2T^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|\Phi_2(n, c, u)\|_{\Upsilon_T^c} \leq \|c_0\|_{1,q} + Cm^2T^{1-\gamma}. \quad (3.5)$$

For  $\Phi_3$ , by using assumption (H.4) and the Hölder inequality, and applying similar arguments as in proving (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) in [33], we can therefore yield, for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|A^\alpha\Phi_3(n, c, u)(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|e^{-tA}A^\alpha u_0\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|e^{-(t-s)A}A^\alpha\theta_m(\|u\|_{\Upsilon_s^u})\mathcal{P}\{(u(s) \cdot \nabla)u(s)\}\|_{L^2}ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|e^{-(t-s)A}A^\alpha\theta_m(\|n\|_{\Upsilon_s^n})\mathcal{P}\{n(s)\nabla\phi\}\|_{L^2}ds + \int_0^t \|e^{-(t-s)A}A^\alpha\mathcal{P}\{\sigma(u(s))h(s)\}\|_{L^2}ds \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_\alpha + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha}\theta_m(\|u\|_{\Upsilon_s^u})\|A^\alpha u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha}\theta_m(\|n\|_{\Upsilon_s^n})\|n(s)\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}ds + \int_0^t \|A^\alpha\sigma(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2}\|h(s)\|_U ds \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_\alpha + Cm^2t^{1-\alpha} + Cmt^{1-\alpha} + C_Nt(1+m). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

We have used (2.9) and the following property for semigroup  $e^{-tA}$ :

$$\|e^{-tA}A^\alpha w\|_{L^2} \leq t^{-\alpha}\|w\|_{L^2}, \quad \text{for } w \in L^2. \quad (3.7)$$

Now, (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) together show that  $\Phi$  maps  $S_T$  into itself.

Next we will prove that if  $T > 0$  is small enough, then  $\Phi$  can be made a contraction on  $S_T$ .

Let  $(n_1, c_1, u_1), (n_2, c_2, u_2) \in S_T$ . Also similar as the discussion of proving (3.17) and (3.18) in [33], for  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Phi_1(n_1, c_1, u_1) - \Phi_1(n_2, c_2, u_2)\|_{\Upsilon_T^n} \\ &\leq Cm \left( \|c_1 - c_2\|_{\Upsilon_T^c} + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\Upsilon_T^u} + \|n_1 - n_2\|_{\Upsilon_T^n} \right) T^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\|\Phi_2(n_1, c_1, u_1) - \Phi_2(n_2, c_2, u_2)\|_{\Upsilon_T^c}$$

$$\leq Cm \left( \|c_1 - c_2\|_{\Upsilon_T^c} + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\Upsilon_T^u} + \|n_1 - n_2\|_{\Upsilon_T^n} \right) T^{1-\gamma}. \quad (3.9)$$

In order to estimate  $\|\Phi_3(n_1, c_1, u_1) - \Phi_3(n_2, c_2, u_2)\|_{\Upsilon_T^u}$ , we use (H.4), (3.7) and similarly discuss as proving (3.22) and (3.20) in [33], for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_3(n_1, c_1, u_1)(t) - \Phi_3(n_2, c_2, u_2)(t)\|_\alpha \\ & \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\theta_m(\|u_1\|_{\Upsilon_s^u})(u_1 \cdot \nabla)u_1 - \theta_m(\|u_2\|_{\Upsilon_s^u})(u_2 \cdot \nabla)u_2\|_{L^2} ds \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\theta_m(\|n_1\|_{\Upsilon_s^n})n_1 \nabla \phi - \theta_m(\|n_2\|_{\Upsilon_s^n})n_2 \nabla \phi\|_{L^2} ds \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t \|(A^\alpha \sigma(u_1) - A^\alpha \sigma(u_2))h(s)\|_{L^2} ds \\ & \leq Cm \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\Upsilon_t^u} t^{1-\alpha} + C \|n_1 - n_2\|_{\Upsilon_T^n} \cdot t^{1-\alpha} + C \int_0^t \|\sigma(u_1) - \sigma(u_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2} \|h(s)\|_U dt \\ & \leq C_N (t + mt^{1-\alpha}) \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\Upsilon_t^u} + C \|n_1 - n_2\|_{\Upsilon_T^n} \cdot t^{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By virtue of (3.8) (3.9) and (3.10), one can find constants  $\rho, C_{N,m,T} > 0$  such that

$$\|\Phi(n_1, c_1, u_1) - \Phi(n_2, c_2, u_2)\|_{S_T}^2 \leq C_{N,m,T} T^\rho \|(n_1, c_1, u_1) - (n_2, c_2, u_2)\|_{S_T}^2, \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} C_{N,m,T} T^\rho = 0.$$

Finally using classical arguments and similar arguments as proving Proposition 2.2, we can obtain Proposition 3.2.  $\square$

### 3.2. Global existence

According to Proposition 3.2, we possess a unique local-in-time solution for (2.13) that has been extended up to a maximal time  $\kappa_{max} \leq \infty$ . In order to prove its global existence, we just need to establish the following estimate

$$\|n\|_{\Upsilon_{T \wedge \kappa_{max}}^n} \vee \|c\|_{\Upsilon_{T \wedge \kappa_{max}}^c} \vee \|u\|_{\Upsilon_{T \wedge \kappa_{max}}^u} \leq C_{N,T, \|n_0\|_{L^\infty}, \|c_0\|_{1,q}, \|u_0\|_\alpha}, \quad \text{for any } T \in (0, \infty), \quad (3.12)$$

which allows for an application of (3.2) to rule out the case  $\kappa_{max} < \infty$ . It should be noted that (3.1) holds once (3.12) has been proven. In this subsection, the constant  $C, C_T, \dots$  may depend on  $\|n_0\|_{L^\infty}, \|c_0\|_{1,q}, \|u_0\|_\alpha$ , and we will omit it for simplicity. To get (3.12), we shall first recall the following results from Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 4.5 in [26].

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $p > 1, r \in [1, \frac{p}{p-1}]$  and  $0 < T < \kappa_{max}$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_T$  and  $C_p$  such that*

$$\int_0^T \|n(t)\|_{L^p}^r dt \leq C_T \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n(t, x)|^2}{n(t, x)} dx dt + 1 \right)^{\frac{(p-1)r}{p}}, \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} n^p(t, x) dx \leq \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} n^p(0, x) dx + 1 \right) e^{C_p \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla c(s, x)|^4 dx ds}, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.14)$$

We start with an estimate of the  $L^2$  norm of  $u$  and  $\nabla u$ .

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $h \in S^N$  and  $0 < T < \kappa_{max}$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_{N,T}$  such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C_{N,T} \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n(t,x)|^2}{n(t,x)} dx dt + 1 \right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (3.15)$$

Moreover,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |u(t,x)|^4 dx dt \leq C_{N,T} \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n(t,x)|^2}{n(t,x)} dx dt + 1 \right)^{\theta}. \quad (3.16)$$

**Proof.** Considering equation (2.12) and employing integration by parts, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds - \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= -2 \int_0^t \langle u(s), \mathcal{P}\{n(s)\nabla\phi\} \rangle_{L^2} ds + 2 \int_0^t \langle u(s), \sigma(u(s))h(s) \rangle_{L^2} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Based on Lemma 3.1 and employing similar arguments as those used to prove Lemma 4.3 in [26], for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ & \leq C_T \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n(s,x)|^2}{n(s,x)} dx ds + 1 \right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} + C \int_0^t \langle u(s), \sigma(u(s))h(s) \rangle_{L^2} ds. \\ & \leq C_T \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n(s,x)|^2}{n(s,x)} dx ds + 1 \right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} + C \int_0^t (1 + \|h(s)\|_{L^2}^2)(1 + \|u(s)\|_{L^2}^2) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

We apply the Hölder inequality and Assumption (H.3) to the second inequality. To complete the proof (3.15), we take the supremum on both sides of the inequality and apply the Gronwall inequality and the fact that  $h \in S^N$ .

The assertion (3.16) now follows from (3.15) and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, see e.g., (3.39) in [33].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1** *Let  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $h \in S^N$  and  $0 < T < \kappa_{max}$ . The following statements hold:*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n(t,x)|^2}{n(t,x)} dx dt + 1 \leq C_{N,T}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla c(t,x)|^4 dx dt \leq C \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n(t,x)|^2}{n(t,x)} dx dt + 1 \right)^{\theta}. \quad (3.20)$$

**Proof.** From the proof of Corollary 4.4 in [26], we know that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n(t,x)|^2}{n(t,x)} dx dt + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} g(c(t,x)) |D^2 \rho(c(t,x))|^2 dx dt \leq C_1 + C_2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |u(t,x)|^4 dx dt,$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla c(t, x)|^4 dx dt \leq C_3 \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} g(c(t, x)) |D^2 \rho(c(t, x))|^2 dx dt,$$

where  $g(c) = \frac{k(c)}{\chi(c)}$ ,  $\rho(c) = \int_0^c \frac{d\sigma}{g(\sigma)}$ .

Both (3.19) and (3.20) now follows from Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

We are now in the position to prove (3.12).

**Proof.** Considering (3.14), (3.19) and (3.20), we have for any  $p > 1$ :

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} n^p(t, x) dx \leq C_{p, N, T} < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T \wedge \kappa_{max}). \quad (3.21)$$

Hence, by (3.17), the Hölder inequality and the Assumption (H.3), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds - \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= -2 \int_0^t \langle u(s), n(s) \nabla \phi \rangle_{L^2} ds + 2 \int_0^t \langle u(s), \sigma(u(s)) h(s) \rangle_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq C \left( \int_0^t \|n(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty}^2 ds + \int_0^t (1 + \|h(s)\|_U^2) (1 + \|u(s)\|_{L^2}^2) ds \right), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T \wedge \kappa_{max}), \end{aligned}$$

which, by Gronwall's inequality, implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \kappa_{max}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^{T \wedge \kappa_{max}} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \left( \int_0^{T \wedge \kappa_{max}} \|n(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty}^2 ds + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T 1 + \|h(s)\|_U^2 ds \right) e^{\int_0^T 1 + \|h(s)\|_U^2 ds} \\ &\leq C_{N, T}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Next, we apply operator  $\mathcal{P}$  to both sides of (2.13) and multiply the resulting identity by  $Au$ . By using similar arguments as in the proofs of (4.16) and (4.17) in [26], we can show that, for all  $t \in (0, T \wedge \kappa_{max})$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|Au(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq C + C \int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)\|_{L^2}^4 ds + \int_0^t \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s), A^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma(u(s)) h(s) \rangle_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq C + C \int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)\|_{L^2}^4 ds + \int_0^t (1 + \|h(s)\|_U^2) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

By using Gronwall's inequality and the fact that  $\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)\|_{L^2}$  is equivalent to  $\|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}$ , it follows from (3.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \kappa_{max}} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^{T \wedge \kappa_{max}} \|\Delta u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq C e^{C \int_0^{T \wedge \kappa_{max}} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \int_0^{T \wedge \kappa_{max}} 1 + \|h(s)\|_U^2 ds} \leq C_{N, T}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Using the variation of constants formula and applying the same estimate as (3.52),(3.53), and (3.54) in [33], for every  $t \in [0, T \wedge \kappa_{max})$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^\alpha u(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|A^\alpha e^{-tA} u_0\|_{L^2} + \left\| \int_0^t A^\alpha e^{-(t-s)A} \mathcal{P}\{n(s)\nabla\phi\} ds \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^t A^\alpha e^{-(t-s)A} \mathcal{P}\{(u(s) \cdot \nabla)u(s)\} ds \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \int_0^t A^\alpha e^{-(t-s)A} \mathcal{P}\{\sigma(u(s))h(s)\} ds \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|A^\alpha u_0\|_{L^2} + Ct^{1-\alpha} + C_{N,T} + C \int_0^t (1 + \|u(s)\|_\alpha) (1 + \|h(s)\|_U^2) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

where the assumption (H.4) and Hölder's inequality are utilized. By taking the supremum on both sides of the inequality and applying the Gronwall inequality and the fact that  $h \in S^N$ , we derive

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \kappa_{max}} \|A^\alpha u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq (\|A^\alpha u_0\|_{L^2} + C_{N,T}) e^{C \int_0^{T \wedge \kappa_{max}} 1 + \|h(s)\|_U^2 ds} \leq C_{N,T}. \quad (3.25)$$

Using the above inequality, we can employ essentially the same technique to estimate  $\|\nabla c(t)\|_{L^q}$  and  $\|n(t)\|_{L^\infty}$  as in equations (3.61)-(3.66) in [33].

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \kappa_{max}} \|\nabla c(t)\|_{L^q} \leq C_{N,T}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \kappa_{max}} \|n(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_{N,T}. \quad (3.27)$$

From (3.25) in conjunction with (3.26) and (3.27) we get (3.12).  $\square$

#### 4. Verification of (a) in Condition 2.1

This section is devoted to verifying part (a) in Condition 2.1 in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

For  $N < \infty$ , let  $h^i, i \in \mathbb{N}, h \in S^N$  such that  $h^i \rightarrow h$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Denote  $(n^i, c^i, u^i) := \mathcal{G}^0(h^i)$  and  $(n, c, u) := \mathcal{G}^0(h)$  respectively. By the definition of  $\mathcal{G}^0$ , we know that  $(n, c, u)$  satisfies (2.13) and  $(n^i, c^i, u^i)$  satisfies (2.13) with  $h$  replaced by  $h^i$ . In this view, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} n^i(t) - n(t) &= - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\delta\Delta} \left\{ u^i(s) \cdot \nabla n^i(s) - u(s) \cdot \nabla n(s) \right\} ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\delta\Delta} \left\{ \nabla \cdot \left( \chi(c^i(s)) n^i(s) \nabla c^i(s) - \chi(c(s)) n(s) \nabla c(s) \right) \right\} ds, \\ c^i(t) - c(t) &= - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mu\Delta} \left\{ u^i(s) \cdot \nabla c^i(s) - u(s) \cdot \nabla c(s) \right\} ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mu\Delta} \left\{ k(c^i(s)) n^i(s) - k(c(s)) n(s) \right\} ds, \\ u^i(t) - u(t) &= - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ (u^i(s) \cdot \nabla) u^i(s) - (u(s) \cdot \nabla) u(s) \right\} ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ n^i(s) \nabla \phi - n(s) \nabla \phi \right\} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} (\sigma(u^i(s))h^i(s) - \sigma(u(s))h(s)) ds. \quad (4.1)$$

Similar to the proofs of (2.5) and (2.7), for  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|n^i(t) - n(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C_{N,T} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} (\|n^i(s) - n(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \|c^i(s) - c(s)\|_{1,q} + \|u^i(s) - u(s)\|_\alpha) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|c^i(t) - c(t)\|_{1,q} \\ & \leq C_{N,T} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\gamma} (\|n^i(s) - n(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \|c^i(s) - c(s)\|_{1,q} + \|u^i(s) - u(s)\|_\alpha) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

We now estimate  $\|u^i(t) - u(t)\|_\alpha$ . By applying  $A^\alpha$  to both side of the third equation in (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} A^\alpha u^i(t) - A^\alpha u(t) &= \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} A^\alpha \mathcal{P} \left\{ (u^i(s) \cdot \nabla) u^i(s) - (u(s) \cdot \nabla) u(s) \right\} ds \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} A^\alpha \mathcal{P} \left\{ n^i(s) \nabla \phi - n(s) \nabla \phi \right\} ds \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} A^\alpha (\sigma(u^i(s)) - \sigma(u(s))) h^i(s) ds. \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} A^\alpha \sigma(u(s)) (h^i(s) - h(s)) ds. \\ &:= I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t) + I_4(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

For  $I_1$ , we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|(u^i(s) \cdot \nabla) u^i(s) - (u(s) \cdot \nabla) u(s)\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} (\|(u^i(s) \cdot \nabla)(u^i(s) - u(s))\|_{L^2} + \|(u^i(s) \cdot \nabla - u(s) \cdot \nabla)u(s)\|_{L^2}) ds \\ &\leq C_{N,T} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|u^i(s) - u(s)\|_\alpha ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Given  $\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty} < C$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|n^i(s) \nabla \phi - n(s) \nabla \phi\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|n^i(s) - n(s)\|_{L^\infty} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

To estimate  $I_3, I_4$  in (4.4), we observe that  $I_3(t), I_4(t)$  satisfy the PDEs:

$$dI_3(t) = -AI_3(t)dt + A^\alpha (\sigma(u^i(t)) - \sigma(u(t))) h^i(t)dt,$$



$$dI_4(t) = -AI_4(t)dt + A^\alpha \sigma(u(t)) (h^i(t) - h(t)) dt, \quad (4.7)$$

respectively. For the first equation in (4.7), by integration by parts, the Hölder inequality and (H.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} I_3(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds &= 2 \int_0^t \langle A^\alpha (\sigma(u^i(s)) - \sigma(u(s))) h^i(s), I_3(s) \rangle_{L^2} ds. \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^t \|A^\alpha \sigma(u^i(s)) - A^\alpha \sigma(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2} \|h^i(s)\|_U \|I_3(s)\|_{L^2} ds. \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|u^i(s) - u(s)\|_\alpha^2 + \|h^i(s)\|_U^2 \|I_3(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

By using the Gronwall inequality, we can derive for  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|I_3(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_{N,T} \int_0^t \|u^i(\tau) - u(\tau)\|_\alpha^2 d\tau. \quad (4.8)$$

For the second equation in (4.7), by integration by parts,

$$\|I_4(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} I_4(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds = 2 \int_0^t \langle A^\alpha \sigma(u(s)) (h^i(s) - h(s)), I_4(s) \rangle_{L^2} ds. \quad (4.9)$$

By assumption (H.4),  $h^i, h \in S^N$ , and the Gronwall inequality, we can get

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|I_4(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_{N,T}. \quad (4.10)$$

To estimate further for the right side of (4.9), we will use the Galerkin approximations. Let  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset L^2(\mathcal{O})$  to be a orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ , and let  $V_m$  denote the  $m$ -dimensional subspace of  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ , spanned by  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ . Defined  $\mathcal{P}_m : L^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow V_m$  by

$$\mathcal{P}_m g := \sum_{i=1}^m \langle g, e_i \rangle_{L^2} e_i.$$

Then define  $\beta_m^i(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{P}_m A^\alpha \sigma(u(s)) (h^i(s) - h(s)) ds$ , and let  $\beta_m^i = (\beta_m^i(t), t \in [0, T])$ . Through (3.1) and assumption (H.3), we can obtain the uniform bound of  $\{\beta_m^i(t)\}_{i \geq 1}$  in  $C([0, T], V_m)$ :

$$\sup_{i \geq 1} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\beta_m^i(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \left( \int_0^T 1 + \|u(s)\|_\alpha^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sup_{i \geq 1} \int_0^T \|h^i(s) - h(s)\|_U^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_{N,T}. \quad (4.11)$$

Next, we prove the equi-continuous property of  $\{\beta_m^i\}_{i \geq 1}$  in  $C([0, T], V_m)$ . For any  $t, s \in [0, T]$  with  $s < t$  and  $e \in L^2(\mathcal{O})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \beta_m^i(t) - \beta_m^i(s), e \rangle_{L^2}| &= \left| \int_s^t \langle A^\alpha \sigma(u(l)) (h^i(l) - h(l)), \mathcal{P}_m e \rangle_{L^2} dl \right| \\ &\leq \int_s^t \|A^\alpha \sigma(u(l))\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2} \|h^i(l) - h(l)\|_U \|\mathcal{P}_m e\|_{L^2} dl \\ &\leq C \int_s^t (1 + \|u(l)\|_\alpha) \|h^i(l) - h(l)\|_U dl \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \left( \int_s^t (1 + \|u(l)\|_\alpha)^2 dl \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_s^t \|h^i(l) - h(l)\|_U^2 dl \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \\
&\leq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}} C (t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{l \in (s,t)} (\|u(l)\|_\alpha + 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

This gives the equi-continuous property of  $\{\beta_m^i\}_{i \geq 1}$  in  $C([0, T], V_m)$ . Consequently, according to the Arzela-Ascoli theorem,

$$\{\beta_m^i\}_{i \geq 1} \text{ is precompact in } C([0, T], V_m). \tag{4.13}$$

Next, we will prove that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\beta_m^i(t)\|_{L^2} = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

Let  $(A^\alpha \sigma(u(l)))^*$  be the adjoint operator of  $A^\alpha \sigma(u(l))$ . For any  $e \in L^2(\mathcal{O})$ , we have

$$\int_0^T \|(A^\alpha \sigma(u(l)))^* \mathcal{P}_m e\|_U^2 dl \leq \int_0^T \|\sigma(u(l))\|_{L_\alpha^2}^2 \|\mathcal{P}_m e\|_{L^2}^2 dl \leq K \int_0^T 1 + \|u(l)\|_\alpha^2 dl < \infty.$$

Hence  $(A^\alpha \sigma(u(\cdot)))^* \mathcal{P}_m e \in L^2([0, T], U)$ . Combining the weakly convergence of  $h^i$  to  $h$  in  $L^2([0, T], U)$ , we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle \beta_m^i(t), e \rangle_{L^2} &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \langle A^\alpha \sigma(u(l))(h^i(l) - h(l)), \mathcal{P}_m e \rangle_{L^2} dl \\
&= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \langle (h^i(l) - h(l)), (A^\alpha \sigma(u(l)))^* \mathcal{P}_m e \rangle_U dl \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Hence, by (4.13) and (4.15),

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\beta_m^i(t)\|_{L^2} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\beta_m^i(t)\|_{V_m} = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

The proof of (4.14) is complete. We remark that the above equality use the fact that  $V_m$  is a finite dimensional space. We also point out that the above equality holds for the full sequence.

By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{P}_m A^\alpha \sigma(u(s)) (h^i(s) - h(s)), I_4(s) \rangle_{L^2} ds \right| \\
&= \left| \langle \beta_m^i(t), I_4(t) \rangle_{L^2} - \int_0^t \langle \beta_m^i(s), \mathcal{P}_m dI_4(s) \rangle_{L^2} \right| \\
&= \left| \langle \beta_m^i(t), I_4(t) \rangle_{L^2} - \int_0^t \langle \beta_m^i(s), -\mathcal{P}_m A I_4(s) ds + \mathcal{P}_m A^\alpha \sigma(u(s)) (h^i(s) - h(s)) ds \rangle_{L^2} \right| \\
&\leq \|\beta_m^i(t)\|_{L^2} \|I_4(t)\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\beta_m^i(s)\|_{L^2} (\|\mathcal{P}_m A I_4(s)\|_{L^2} + \|A^\alpha \sigma(u(s)) (h^i(s) - h(s))\|_{L^2}) ds \\
&\leq \|\beta_m^i(t)\|_{L^2} \|I_4(t)\|_{L^2} + C_m \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\beta_m^i(t)\|_{L^2} \int_0^t (\|I_4(s)\|_{L^2} + (1 + \|u(s)\|_\alpha) \|h^i(s) - h(s)\|_U) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C_{m,N,T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\beta_m^i(t)\|_{L^2}. \quad (4.17)$$

Here we have used (4.10), (3.1), and  $h^i, h \in S^N$ . Then, we can estimate  $I_4$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_4(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq 2 \left\| \int_0^t \langle A^\alpha \mathcal{P}_m \sigma(u(s)) (h^i(s) - h(s)), I_4(s) \rangle_{L^2} ds \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + 2 \left\| \int_0^t \langle (1 - \mathcal{P}_m) A^\alpha \sigma(u(s)) (h^i(s) - h(s)), I_4(s) \rangle_{L^2} ds \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C_{m,N,T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\beta_m^i(t)\|_{L^2} + C_{N,T} \left( \int_0^T \sup_{k \in U: \|k\|_U \leq 1} \|(1 - \mathcal{P}_m) A^\alpha \sigma(u(s)) k\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Finally, by combining equations (4.2), (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), (4.6), (4.8), and (4.18), and applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(n^i - n, c^i - c, u^i - u)\|_{S_T} \\ &\leq C_{m,N,T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\beta_m^i(t)\|_{L^2} + C_{N,T} \left( \int_0^T \sup_{k \in U: \|k\|_U \leq 1} \|(1 - \mathcal{P}_m) A^\alpha \sigma(u(s)) k\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Notice that, by the definition of the Hilbert-Schmidt operator and

$$\int_0^T \sup_{k \in U: \|k\|_U \leq 1} \|(1 - \mathcal{P}_m) A^\alpha \sigma(u(s)) k\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq \int_0^T \|\sigma(u(l))\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dl \leq K \int_0^T 1 + \|u(l)\|_\alpha^2 dl < \infty,$$

we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \sup_{k \in U: \|k\|_U \leq 1} \|(1 - \mathcal{P}_m) A^\alpha \sigma(u(s)) k\|_{L^2}^2 ds = 0. \quad (4.20)$$

Now first letting  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and then letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.19), by (4.14) and (4.20), we yield

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|(n^i - n, c^i - c, u^i - u)\|_{S_T} = 0.$$

The proof of the verification of (a) is complete.  $\square$

## 5. Verification of (b) in Condition 2.1

This section is dedicated to verifying part (b) in Condition 2.1 in the proof of Theorem 2.1. To do so, we first give some preparations.

Recall  $\mathcal{G}^\varepsilon$  in the proof of Theorem 2.1. For any  $N > 0$  and  $\{h^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset \mathcal{P}^N$ , we can use the Yamada-Watanabe Theorem and the Girsanov transformation to determine that  $(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) := \mathcal{G}^\varepsilon(W + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\cdot h^\varepsilon(s) ds) \in C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], D(A^\alpha))$  satisfies

$$(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) \in L^\infty([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times L^\infty([0, T], W^{1,q}(\mathcal{O})) \times L^\infty([0, T], D(A^\alpha)), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad (5.1)$$

and it is the unique mild solution of

$$dn^\varepsilon + u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla n^\varepsilon dt = \delta \Delta n^\varepsilon dt - \nabla \cdot (\chi(c^\varepsilon) n^\varepsilon \nabla c^\varepsilon) dt,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
dc^\varepsilon + u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla c^\varepsilon dt &= \mu \Delta c^\varepsilon dt - k(c^\varepsilon) n^\varepsilon dt, \\
du^\varepsilon + (u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u^\varepsilon dt + \nabla P^\varepsilon dt &= \nu \Delta u^\varepsilon dt - n^\varepsilon \nabla \phi dt + \varepsilon \sigma(u^\varepsilon) dW_t + \sigma(u^\varepsilon) h^\varepsilon dt, \\
\nabla \cdot u^\varepsilon &= 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{O},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

that is,  $(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
n^\varepsilon(t) &= e^{t\delta\Delta} n_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\delta\Delta} \left\{ u^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla n^\varepsilon(s) \right\} ds - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\delta\Delta} \left\{ \nabla \cdot \left( \chi(c^\varepsilon(s)) n^\varepsilon(s) \nabla c^\varepsilon(s) \right) \right\} ds, \\
c^\varepsilon(t) &= e^{t\mu\Delta} c_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mu\Delta} \left\{ u^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla c^\varepsilon(s) \right\} ds - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mu\Delta} \left\{ k(c^\varepsilon(s)) n^\varepsilon(s) \right\} ds, \\
u^\varepsilon(t) &= e^{-t\nu A} u_0 - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ (u^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla) u^\varepsilon(s) \right\} ds - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ n^\varepsilon(s) \nabla \phi \right\} ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \varepsilon \sigma(u^\varepsilon(s)) dW_s + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ \sigma(u^\varepsilon(s)) h^\varepsilon(s) \right\} ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

$\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.

For  $M > 0$ , define

$$\tau_M^\varepsilon = \inf \{ t \geq 0, \|n^\varepsilon\|_{\Upsilon_t^r} + \|c^\varepsilon\|_{\Upsilon_t^r} + \|u^\varepsilon\|_{\Upsilon_t^r} \geq M \} \wedge T. \tag{5.4}$$

Let  $\tau^\varepsilon = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \tau_M^\varepsilon$ . (5.1) implies that  $\tau^\varepsilon = T$ . The following three estimates to  $(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$  can be similarly proved as Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.1 in this paper, together with Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.1 in [33].

**Lemma 5.1** *Let  $p > 1$ ,  $h^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}^N$ , and  $r \in [1, \frac{p}{p-1}]$ . Then there exist constants  $C_T$  and  $C_p$  such that*

$$\int_0^T \|n^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p}^r dt \leq C_T \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2}{n^\varepsilon(t, x)} dx dt + 1 \right)^{\frac{(p-1)r}{p}}, \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} (n^\varepsilon)^p(t, x) dx \leq \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} (n^\varepsilon)^p(0, x) dx + 1 \right) e^{C_p \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla c^\varepsilon(s, x)|^4 dx ds}, \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{5.6}$$

Here,  $C_T$  and  $C_p$  are independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 5.2** *Let  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $h^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}^N$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_{N,T}$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that, for all  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right] \\
&\leq C_{N,T} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2}{n^\varepsilon(t, x)} dx dt + 1 \right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |u^\varepsilon(t, x)|^4 dx dt \right] \leq C_{N,T} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2}{n^\varepsilon(t, x)} dx dt + 1 \right)^\theta \right]. \tag{5.8}$$

**Lemma 5.3** *Let  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $h^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}^N$ . The following statements hold:*

$$\sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2}{n^\varepsilon(t, x)} dx dt + 1 \right] \leq C_{N, T}, \quad (5.9)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla c^\varepsilon(t, x)|^4 dx dt \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2}{n^\varepsilon(t, x)} dx dt + 1 \right)^\theta \right]. \quad (5.10)$$

Here,  $C_{N, T}$  and  $C$  are independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

It is easy to see that by (5.5)-(5.10), we can find some constant  $C_{N, T}$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2}{n^\varepsilon(t, x)} dx dt \right] \vee \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla c^\varepsilon(t, x)|^4 dx dt \right] \\ & \vee \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla u^\varepsilon(s, x)|^2 dx ds \right] \vee \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \right] \leq C_{N, T}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

For  $R > 0$ , define the stopping time  $\tau^{\varepsilon, R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{\varepsilon, R} = \inf \{ t > 0; & \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{|\nabla n^\varepsilon(s, x)|^2}{n^\varepsilon(s, x)} dx ds > R, \text{ or } \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla c^\varepsilon(s, x)|^4 dx ds > R, \\ & \text{or } \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla u^\varepsilon(s, x)|^2 dx ds > R, \text{ or } \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2} > R \}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

The proof of the following proposition is similar as Proposition 3.1 in [33], so we omit it here.

**Proposition 5.1** *For  $R > 0$  and  $T > 0$ , there exists some constant  $C_{R, T, N} > 0$ , which is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau^{\varepsilon, R}} \|n^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau^{\varepsilon, R}} \|\nabla c^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^q}^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau^{\varepsilon, R}} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_\alpha^2 \right] \leq C_{R, T, N}. \quad (5.13)$$

Set  $\tau_M^{\varepsilon, R} = \tau^{\varepsilon, R} \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon$ . By (5.11) and (5.13), we get

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_M^{\varepsilon, R} < T) \leq \mathbb{P}(\tau^{\varepsilon, R} < T) + \mathbb{P}(\tau_M^\varepsilon < T; \tau^{\varepsilon, R} \geq T) \leq \frac{C_{N, T}}{R} + \frac{C_{R, T, N}}{M^2}.$$

Now, letting  $M \rightarrow \infty$  and then  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} \mathbb{P}(\tau_M^{\varepsilon, R} < T) = 0. \quad (5.14)$$

With these preparations, we are now in the position to prove (b) in Condition 2.1 in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Verification of (b).** Take  $\mathcal{E} = C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})) \times C([0, T], D(A^\alpha))$ . Let  $(n^0, c^0, u^0) = \mathcal{G}^0(h^\varepsilon)$ , that is,  $(n^0, c^0, u^0)$  satisfy (2.16) with  $h$  replaced by  $h^\varepsilon$ .

Recall the definition

$$\|(n, c, u)\|_{S_T}^2 := \|n\|_{\Upsilon_T^n}^2 + \|c\|_{\Upsilon_T^c}^2 + \|u\|_{\Upsilon_T^u}^2.$$

The Sobolev imbedding  $W^{1,q}(\mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})$  shows that  $\|(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) - (n^0, c^0, u^0)\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 \leq \|(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) - (n^0, c^0, u^0)\|_{S_T}^2$ . Thus, to verify (b) in Condition 2.1 in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we only need to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\|(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) - (n^0, c^0, u^0)\|_{S_T} > \delta) = 0.$$

From the equations satisfied by  $(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$  and  $(n^0, c^0, u^0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} n^\varepsilon(t) - n^0(t) &= - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\delta\Delta} \left\{ u^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla n^\varepsilon(s) - u^0(s) \cdot \nabla n^0(s) \right\} ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\delta\Delta} \left\{ \nabla \cdot \left( \chi(c^\varepsilon(s)) n^\varepsilon(s) \nabla c^\varepsilon(s) - \chi(c^0(s)) n^0(s) \nabla c^0(s) \right) \right\} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c^\varepsilon(t) - c^0(t) &= - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mu\Delta} \left\{ u^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla c^\varepsilon(s) - u^0(s) \cdot \nabla c^0(s) \right\} ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mu\Delta} \left\{ k(c^\varepsilon(s)) n^\varepsilon(s) - k(c^0(s)) n^0(s) \right\} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u^\varepsilon(t) - u^0(t) &= - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ (u^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla) u^\varepsilon(s) - (u^0(s) \cdot \nabla) u^0(s) \right\} ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \mathcal{P} \left\{ n^\varepsilon(s) \nabla \phi - n^0(s) \nabla \phi \right\} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \left( \sigma(u^\varepsilon(s)) h^\varepsilon(s) - \sigma(u^0(s)) h^\varepsilon(s) \right) ds \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \sigma(u^\varepsilon(s)) dW_s. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

Similar to the proof of (4.2) and (4.3), for  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|n^\varepsilon(t) - n^0(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} (\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_\alpha \|n^\varepsilon(s) - n^0(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \|n^0(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|u^\varepsilon(s) - u^0(s)\|_\alpha \\ &\quad + \|n^\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|c^\varepsilon(s) - c^0(s)\|_{1,q} + \|c^0(s)\|_{1,q} \|n^\varepsilon(s) - n^0(s)\|_{L^\infty}) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|c^\varepsilon(t) - c^0(t)\|_{1,q} &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\gamma} (\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_\alpha \|c^\varepsilon(s) - c^0(s)\|_{1,q} + \|c^0(s)\|_{1,q} \|u^\varepsilon(s) - u^0(s)\|_\alpha \\ &\quad + \|c^\varepsilon(s)\|_{1,q} \|n^\varepsilon(s) - n^0(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \|n^0(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|c^\varepsilon(s) - c^0(s)\|_{1,q}) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

Applying  $A^\alpha$  to both side of (5.17), and using similar arguments as proving (4.5), (4.6) and (4.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|A^\alpha u^\varepsilon(t) - A^\alpha u^0(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} (\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_\alpha + \|u^0(s)\|_\alpha) \|u^\varepsilon(s) - u^0(s)\|_\alpha + \|n^\varepsilon(s) - n^0(s)\|_{L^\infty}) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left( C_{N,T} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s) - u^0(s)\|_\alpha^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\varepsilon \int_0^t A^\alpha e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \sigma(u^\varepsilon(s)) dW_s\|_{L^2}. \quad (5.20)$$

Letting  $M_t^\varepsilon = \int_0^t A^\alpha e^{-(t-s)\nu A} \sigma(u^\varepsilon(s)) dW_s$ , combining (5.15) -(5.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) - (n^0, c^0, u^0) \|_{S_t}^2 \\ \leq & C_T \left( \int_0^t \|n^\varepsilon(s) - n^0(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left( (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_\alpha^2 + (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \|c^0(s)\|_{1,q}^2 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + (t-s)^{-\gamma} \|c^\varepsilon(s)\|_{1,q}^2 + (t-s)^{-\alpha} \right) ds \right. \\ & + \int_0^t \|c^\varepsilon(s) - c^0(s)\|_{1,q}^2 \left( (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \|n^\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + (t-s)^{-\gamma} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_\alpha^2 + (t-s)^{-\gamma} \|n^0(s)\|_\alpha^2 \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s) - u^0(s)\|_\alpha^2 \left( (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \|n^0(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + (t-s)^{-\gamma} \|c^0(s)\|_{1,q}^2 \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s) - u^0(s)\|_\alpha^2 \left( (t-s)^{-\alpha} (\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_\alpha^2 + \|u^0(s)\|_\alpha^2) + C_{N,T} \right) ds \\ & \left. + \varepsilon \|M_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2. \right. \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

By the definition of  $\tau_M^{\varepsilon,R}$  and (3.1), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon,R}} \| (n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) - (n^0, c^0, u^0) \|_{S_t}^2 \\ \leq & C_{T,N,M} \left( \int_0^{T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon,R}} \|n^\varepsilon(s) - n^0(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left( (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} + (t-s)^{-\gamma} + (t-s)^{-\alpha} \right) ds \right. \\ & + \int_0^{T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon,R}} \|c^\varepsilon(s) - c^0(s)\|_{1,q}^2 \left( (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} + (t-s)^{-\gamma} \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^{T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon,R}} \|u^\varepsilon(s) - u^0(s)\|_\alpha^2 \left( (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} + (t-s)^{-\gamma} + (t-s)^{-\alpha} + 1 \right) ds \\ & \left. + \varepsilon \sup_{t \in [0, T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon,R}]} \|M_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2. \right. \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation, and using Lemma 2.1 inequality, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon,R}} \| (n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) - (n^0, c^0, u^0) \|_{S_t}^2 \right) \leq C_{T,M,N} \varepsilon \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon,R}]} \|M_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \right), \quad (5.22)$$

Now, we estimate  $M_t^\varepsilon$ , notice that  $M_t^\varepsilon$  satisfies the SPDE

$$dM_t^\varepsilon = -AM_t^\varepsilon + A^\alpha \sigma(u^\varepsilon(t)) dW_t.$$

Using Itô's Formula and the BDG inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon,R}]} \|M_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + 2\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^{T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon,R}} \|M_t^\varepsilon\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 dt \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^{T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon, R}} \|A^\alpha \sigma(u^\varepsilon(t))\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2}^2 dt \right) + 2 \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon, R}]} \left| \int_0^t \langle M_t^\varepsilon, A^\alpha \sigma(u_\varepsilon(s)) dW_s \rangle \right| \right) \\
&\leq C \left( T \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon, R}} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_\alpha^2 \right) + T \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon, R}]} \|M_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

here we have used Assumption (H.4). Hence, by (5.13),

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon, R}]} \|M_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \leq C \left( T \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon, R}} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_\alpha^2 \right) + T \right) \leq C_{T, M, R, N}. \quad (5.23)$$

Combine (5.22) and (5.23), we get, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(\|(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) - (n^0, c^0, u^0)\|_{S_T} > \delta) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau_M^{\varepsilon, R}} \|(n^\varepsilon, c^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) - (n^0, c^0, u^0)\|_{S_t} > \delta; \tau_M^{\varepsilon, R} \geq T \right) + \mathbb{P}(\tau_M^{\varepsilon, R} < T) \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon C_{T, M, N, R}}{\delta^2} + \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} \mathbb{P}(\tau_M^{\varepsilon, R} < T), \quad (5.24)
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to 0, by letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $M \rightarrow \infty$  and then  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , and using (5.14).

This completes the proof of the verification of (b).  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by National Key R&D program of China (No. 2022YFA1006001), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 12071123, 12131019, 12371151, 11721101) and the Science and Technology Innovation Program of Hunan Province (No. 2022RC1189). Jian-liang Zhai's research is also supported by the School Start-up Fund (USTC) KY0010000036 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. WK3470000016).

## References

- [1] A. Budhiraja, P. Dupuis, V. Maroulas, Large deviations for infinite dimensional stochastic dynamical systems. *Ann. Probab.* 36 (2008) 1390-1420.
- [2] X. Cao, Global classical solutions in chemotaxis(-Navier)-Stokes system with rotational flux term. *J. Differ. Equ.* 261 (12) (2016) 6883-6914.
- [3] T. Caraballo, Z. Chen, D. Yang, Stochastic 3D globally modified Navier-Stokes equations: weak attractors, invariant measures and large deviations. *Appl. Math. Optim.* 88 (2023) 74.
- [4] M. Chae, K. Kang, J. Lee, Global existence and temporal decay in Keller-Segel models coupled to fluid equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 39 (7) (2014) 1205-1235.
- [5] I. Chueshov, A. Millet, Stochastic 2D hydrodynamical type systems: well posedness and large deviations. *Appl. Math. Optim.* 61 (2010) 379-420.
- [6] C. Dombrowski, L. Cisneros, S. Chatkaew, R.E. Goldstein, J.O. Kessler, Self-concentration and large scale coherence in bacterial dynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93 (2004) 098103.
- [7] G. Da Prato. J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Cambridge University Press (1992).



- [8] Z. Dong, R. Zhang, 3D tamed Navier-Stokes equations driven by multiplicative Lévy noise: existence, uniqueness and large deviations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 492 (2020) 124404.
- [9] R. Duan, A. Lorz, P. Markowich, Global solutions to the coupled chemotaxis-fluid equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 35 (2010) 1635-1673.
- [10] Z. Dong, J.L. Wu, R.R. Zhang, T.S. Zhang, Large deviation principles for first-order scalar conservation laws with stochastic forcing. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 30 (2020) 324-367.
- [11] B. Fehrman, B. Gess, Non-equilibrium large deviations and parabolic-hyperbolic PDE with irregular drift. *Invent. Math.* 234 (2023) 573-636.
- [12] E. Hausenblas, B. J. Moghomye, P. A. Razafimandimby, On the existence and uniqueness of solution to a stochastic Chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes model. *Stoch. Process. Their Appl.* 170 (2024) 104274
- [13] S. Ishida, Global existence and boundedness for Chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes systems with position-dependent sensitivity in 2D bounded domains. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 35 (8) (2015) 3463-3482.
- [14] J. Jost, *Partial differential equations*. Springer New York (2012).
- [15] H. Kozono, M. Miura, Y. Sugiyama, Existence and uniqueness theorem on mild solutions to the Keller-Segel system coupled with the Navier-Stokes fluid. *J. Funct. Anal.* 270 (5) (2016) 1663-1683.
- [16] W. Liu, Large deviations for stochastic evolution equations with small multiplicative noise. *Appl. Math. Optim.* 61 (2010) 27.
- [17] Y. Li, Y.X. Li, Global boundedness of solutions for the Chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system in  $R^2$ . *J. Differ. Equ.* 261 (11) (2016) 6570-6613.
- [18] J. Liu, A. Lorz, A coupled chemotaxis-fluid model: global existence. *Ann. I.H. Poincaré-AN* 28 (2011) 643-652.
- [19] A. Lorz, Coupled chemotaxis fluid model. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 20 (2010) 987-1004.
- [20] A. Matoussi, W. Sabbagh and T. Zhang. Large deviation principles of obstacle problems for quasilinear stochastic PDEs. *Appl. Math. Optim.* 83 (2021) 849-879.
- [21] M. Röckner, B. Schmuland, X.C. Zhang, Yamada-Watanabe theorem for stochastic evolution equations in infinite dimension, *Condens. Matter Phys.* 11 (2(54)) (2008) 247-259.
- [22] H. Sohr, *The Navier-Stokes equations: an elementary functional analytic approach*. Springer Science & Business Media (2012).
- [23] Q. Wu, A new type of the Gronwall-Bellman inequality and its application to fractional stochastic differential equations. *Cogent Mathematics* 4:1, 1279781, (2017).
- [24] Y. Tao, M. Winkler, Locally bounded global solutions in a three-dimensional Chemotaxis-Stokes system with nonlinear diffusion. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 30 (1) (2013) 157-178.
- [25] I. Tuval, L. Cisneros, C. Dombrowski, C.W. Wolgemuth, J.O. Kessler, R.E. Goldstein, Bacterial swimming and oxygen transport near contact lines. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102 (2005) 2277-2282.
- [26] M. Winkler, Global large-data solutions in a Chemotaxis-(Navier-)Stokes system modeling cellular swimming in fluid drops. *Comm. Part. Diff. Eqs.* 37 (2012) 319-351.
- [27] M. Winkler, Aggregation vs. global diffusive behavior in the higher-dimensional Keller-Segel model. *J. Differ. Equ.* 248 (2010) 2889-2905.
- [28] M. Winkler, Global weak solutions in a three-dimensional Chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 33 (5) (2016) 1329-1352.
- [29] M. Winkler, Large-data global generalized solutions in a chemotaxis system with tensor-valued sensitivities. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 47 (4) (2015) 3092-3115.
- [30] H. P. Ye, J. M. Gao, Y. S. Ding, A generalized Gronwall inequality and its application to a fractional differential equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 328(2) (2007) 1075-1081.
- [31] W. Wu, J.L. Zhai, Large deviations for stochastic porous media equation on general measure spaces. *J. Differ. Equ.* 269(11) (2020) 10002-10036.
- [32] R. Wang, J.L. Zhai, T.S. Zhang, A moderate deviation principle for 2-D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Differ. Equ.* 258 (2015), no.10, 3363-3390.
- [33] J.L. Zhai, T.S. Zhang, 2D stochastic Chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 138 (9) (2020) 307-355.
- [34] L. Zhang, B. Liu, Global martingale weak solutions for the three-dimensional stochastic chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system with Lévy processes. *J. Funct. Anal.* 286(7) (2024) 110337
- [35] Q. Zhang, X. Zheng, Global well-posedness for the two-dimensional incompressible Chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 46 (4) (2014) 3078-3105.