

On some mixtures of the Kies distribution

Tsvetelin Zaeovski^{1,2*} and Nikolay Kyurkchiev^{1,3}

^{1*}Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. Georgi Bonchev Str., Block 8, Sofia, 1113, Bulgaria.

²Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski", 5, James Bourchier Blvd., Sofia, 1164, Bulgaria.

³Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Plovdiv Paisii Hilendarski, 236, Bulgaria Blvd., Plovdiv, 4027, Bulgaria.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): t_s_zaeovski@math.bas.bg,
t_s_zaeovski@abv.bg;

Contributing authors: nkyurk@math.bas.bg;

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to explore some mixtures of Kies distributions – discrete and continuous. The last ones are also known as compound distributions. Some conditions for convergence are established. We study the probabilistic properties of these mixtures. Special attention is taken to the so-called Hausdorff saturation. Several particular cases are considered – bimodal and multimodal distributions, and mixtures based on binomial, geometric, exponential, gamma, and beta distributions. Some numerical experiments for real-life tasks are provided.

Keywords: Probability mixtures, Compound distributions, Kies distribution, Exponential distribution, Weibull distribution, Hausdorff saturation

1 Introduction

Mixing of probability distributions is a powerful tool for enlarging their flexibility and implementability. This idea can be applied to the stochastic processes as well as to the random variables. The applications of such kind distributions can be found in many real-life areas, such as sociology [Maiboroda et al. \(2022\)](#), food industry [Naderi and M.J.Nooghabi \(2024\)](#), information and communication technologies [Shafiq et al. \(2022\)](#); [Yeleyko and Yarova \(2022\)](#); [Li et al. \(2023\)](#); [Zhang et al. \(2023\)](#), engineering

Hashempour (2022), nanotechnologies Wang et al. (2024), biology Liu et al. (2023), meteorology Silveira et al. (2023), medicine and genetics Hui et al. (2022); Wang (2023), finance D’Amico et al. (2023), economy and energy industry dos Santos et al. (2024); Blasques et al. (2024); Yan et al. (2022), etc.

We add a new study devoted to mixtures on the Kies distribution, originally defined in Kies (1958). It appears as a fractional-linear transformation $t = \frac{y}{y+1} \Leftrightarrow y = \frac{t}{1-t}$ applied to the Weibull distribution, which implies its wide applicability. An important feature of the Kies distribution is its finite domain – the interval $(0, 1)$. If one uses the transformation $t = \frac{by+a}{y+1} \Leftrightarrow y = \frac{t-a}{b-t}$, $a < b$, instead of $t = \frac{y}{y+1}$, then the distribution will be stated on the interval (a, b) – see for example Kumar and Dharmaja (2014); Sanku et al. (2019); Zaeviski and Kyurkchiev (2022). Several extensions of this distribution are available in the science literature. In Afify et al. (2022); Al-Babtain et al. (2020); Kumar and Dharmaja (2017a,b) the authors propose a power transformation to define new families. Some composite distributions are constructed in Zaeviski and Kyurkchiev (2023) – see also Alsubie (2021); Sobhi (2021). The distributional properties of the minimum and maximum of several Kies distributions are discussed in Zaeviski and Kyurkchiev (2024a). Some trigonometric transformations are applied in Zaeviski and Kyurkchiev (2024b).

In the present paper, we build new probability distributions mixing a Kies-style family and assuming that its parameters are random variables. We specify the resulting distribution via its cumulative function defining it as the average of the original ones. We establish some necessary conditions which keep the main characteristics of the initial Kies family. The probabilistic properties of the resulting distributions are obtained. Several special cases are examined in detail – discrete mixtures (bi- and multi-modal, binomial, geometric) as well as mixtures based on the continuous distributions – exponential, gamma, and beta. An interesting example that arises is the fact that the standard uniform distribution can be viewed as an exponential Kies mixture.

Another important task we discuss is the so-called *saturation*, defined as the Hausdorff distance between the cumulative distribution function and a Γ -shaped curve that connects its endpoints. This definition can be easily extended for distributions stated at a left-finite domain. The so-established term can be viewed as a measure of the speed of occurrence or as an indicator for a critical point. We derive a semi-closed form formula for the saturation in the general case and apply it to the particular mixtures mentioned above. In addition, this formula turns to explicit for the exponential mixtures. For some additional studies devoted to the Hausdorff saturation, we refer to Vasileva (2023); Zaeviski and Kyurkchiev (2023, 2024a,b).

We apply the derived results to statistical samples generated from two real-life areas – the financial industry and the social sphere. The first example is about the calm and volatile periods for the S&P 500 index, the second one is about the unemployment insurance issues. These statistical data exhibit quite different behavior. The density of the first one seems to have an infinitely large initial value, whereas the density of the second one has zero endpoints and one peak. Different kinds of parameters of the Kies distribution and the resulting mixtures can approximate both behaviors. We calibrate the mentioned above mixtures (bimodal, multimodal, binomial, geometric, exponential, gamma, and beta). The derived results are discussed in detail – we have

to mention that the exponential distribution and its gamma extension produce very good results.

The paper is structured as follows. We present the base we use later in Section 2. The Kies mixtures are defined and examined in Section 3. The Hausdorff saturation is investigated in Section 4. Some particular examples are considered in Section 5. The applications of the Kies mixtures are discussed in 6.

2 Preliminaries

We shall use the following notations: a large letter for the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of a distribution, the over-lined letter for the complementary cumulative distribution function (CCDF), the corresponding small letter for the probability density function (PDF), and the letter ψ for the moment generating function (MGF). Thus if $F(t)$ is the CDF, then $\overline{F}(t)$, $f(t)$, and $\psi(t)$ are the corresponding CCDF, PDF, and MGF, respectively.

The Kies distribution on the domain $(0, 1)$ has CDF, CCDF, and PDF:

$$H(t) = 1 - \exp\left(-\lambda\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \quad (1)$$

$$\overline{H}(t) = \exp\left(-\lambda\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \quad (2)$$

$$h(t) = \lambda\beta\frac{t^{\beta-1}}{(1-t)^{\beta+1}}\exp\left(-\lambda\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right). \quad (3)$$

The shape of the probability density function is obtained in proposition 2.1 from [Zaevski and Kyurkchiev \(2023\)](#):

Proposition 2.1. *The value of the PDF at the right endpoint of the domain is zero, $h(1) = 0$. Let the function $\alpha(t)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ be defined as*

$$\alpha(t) := \lambda\beta\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta - (2t + \beta - 1). \quad (4)$$

The following statements for PDF (3) w.r.t. the position of the power β w.r.t. 1 hold.

1. If $\beta > 1$, then PDF (3) is zero in the left domain's endpoint, $h(0) = 0$. Function (4) has a unique root for $t \in (0, 1)$, we denote it by t_2 . The PDF increases for $t \in (0, t_2)$ having a maximum for $t = t_2$ and decreases for $t \in (t_2, 1)$.
2. If $\beta = 1$, then the left limit of the PDF is $h(0) = \lambda$. If $\lambda \geq 2$, then the PDF is a decreasing from λ to 0 function. Otherwise, if $\lambda < 2$, then we need the value $t_2 = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}$ - note that $t_2 \in (0, 1)$. The PDF starts from the value λ for $t = 0$, increases to a maximum for $t = t_2$, and decreases to zero.
3. If $\beta < 1$, then $h(0) = \infty$. The derivative of function (4) is

$$\alpha'(t) = \lambda\beta^2 \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{(1-t)^{\beta+1}} - 2. \quad (5)$$

Let \bar{t} be defined as $\bar{t} := \frac{1-\beta}{2}$. The PDF is a decreasing function when $\alpha'(\bar{t}) \geq 0$.

Suppose that $\alpha'(\bar{t}) < 0$. In this case, derivative (5) has two roots in the interval $(0, 1)$ – we denote them by \bar{t}_1 and \bar{t}_2 . If $\alpha(\bar{t}_2) \geq 0$, then the PDF decreases in the whole distribution domain. Otherwise, if $\alpha(\bar{t}_2) < 0$, then function (4) has two roots in the interval $(0, 1)$ too – we notate them by t_1 and t_2 . The PDF starts from infinity, decreases in the interval $(0, t_1)$ having a local minimum for $t = t_1$, increases for $t \in (t_1, t_2)$ having a local maximum for $t = t_2$, and decreases to zero for $t \in (t_2, 1)$.

Generally said, Proposition 2.1 shows that the PDF may exhibit several forms. In all cases, the right endpoint is zero. Also, it may start from infinity and decrease to zero or have one local minimum and another local maximum. Second, it may start from a finite point and decrease to zero or first to increase to a peak and then to decrease to zero. And finally, the PDF may start from zero, increase to a maximum, and then decrease.

3 Kies mixtures

We need the following lemma to define the Kies mixtures.

Lemma 3.1. *Let λ and β be positive random variables on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $H(t; \lambda, \beta)$ be the CDFs of a Kies distributed family. Then the function $F(\cdot)$, defined as*

$$F(t) = \mathbb{E}[H(t; \lambda, \beta)], \quad (6)$$

is continuous and increases from zero to one.

Proof. Continuity follows from the dominated convergence theorem since $0 \leq H(t; \lambda, \beta) \leq 1$ for all sample events. As a consequence $F(0) = 0$ and $F(1) = 1$. Function (6) is increasing since all functions $H(t; \lambda, \beta)$ increase. \square

Definition 3.2. *Let us impose the following conditions on the random variables λ and β :*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\beta}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \left(\frac{\beta+1}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} \right] < \infty \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda] < \infty \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda\beta] < \infty. \quad (9)$$

The mixture distribution is defined by its CDF, $F(\cdot)$, through formula (6).

Remark 3.3. The importance of these conditions will be seen later when we discuss some particular mixtures. Note that they are sufficient but not necessary and thus one may impose other requirements which lead to similar results.

We need the following lemma before establishing the result for the mixture PDF.

Lemma 3.4. Let a and b be positive constants. The function

$$g(x) = x^{b+1}e^{-ax^b} \quad (10)$$

achieves its maximum at the positive real half-line for $x = \left(\frac{b+1}{ab}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}$ and it is

$$\left(\frac{b+1}{eab}\right)^{\frac{b+1}{b}}.$$

Proof. The proof follows the presentation of the derivative of function (10)

$$g'(x) = x^b e^{-ax^b} (b + 1 - abx^b).$$

□

Corollary 3.5. Let $t \in (0, 1]$. We have for the mixture CCDF and PDF, $\bar{F}(t)$ and $f(t)$ respectively:

$$\bar{F}(t) = \mathbb{E}[\bar{H}(t; \lambda, \beta)] \quad (11)$$

$$f(t) = \mathbb{E}[h(t; \lambda, \beta)]. \quad (12)$$

Proof. The equality $\bar{F}(t) = \mathbb{E}[\bar{H}(t; \lambda, \beta)]$ is obvious. Next, we prove the statement for the PDF. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[H(t + \epsilon; \lambda, \beta)] - \mathbb{E}[H(t; \lambda, \beta)]}{\epsilon} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{H(t + \epsilon; \lambda, \beta) - H(t; \lambda, \beta)}{\epsilon} \right] \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[h(\tau(\epsilon); \lambda, \beta)] \end{aligned}$$

for some $\tau(\epsilon) \in (t, t + \epsilon)$ due to the mean value theorem. Using Lemma 3.4 for $a = \lambda t^\beta$, $b = \beta$, and $x = \frac{1}{1-t}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[|h(t; \lambda, \beta)|] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\lambda \beta \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{(1-t)^{\beta+1}} \exp \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^\beta \right) \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\lambda \beta t^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{\beta+1}{e \lambda \beta t^\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\beta \exp \left(-\frac{\beta+1}{\beta} \right)}{t^2 \lambda^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \left(\frac{\beta+1}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Hence $\mathbb{E}[|h(t; \lambda, \beta)|] < \infty$ in a neighborhood of every point from the interval $t \in (0, 1)$ due to condition (7). We can apply now the dominated convergence theorem to obtain the desired result for the PDF in formulas (12). \square

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that the random variable β is deterministic. The CCDF of a Kies mixture can be derived as the MGF of the random variable λ taken at the point $-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta$*

$$\bar{F}(t) = \psi_\lambda \left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta \right).$$

As a consequence, the PDF turns to

$$f(t) = \beta \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{(1-t)^{\beta+1}} \psi'_\lambda \left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta \right).$$

Proof. The corollary follows formulas (2) and (11). \square

Obviously the shape of the mixture PDF is closely related to the PDFs of the Kies family as well as to the random variables λ and β . Nonetheless, we can prove the following proposition which characterizes the endpoints of the PDF.

Proposition 3.7. *The right PDF endpoint is $f(1) = 0$. The left endpoint can be derived via the following alternatives. Note that we shall use the symbol \mathbb{E} for the expectation w.r.t. the measure \mathbb{P} .*

1. *If $\mathbb{P}(\beta > 1) = 1$, then $f(0) = 0$.*
2. *If $\mathbb{P}(\beta = 1) > 0$ and $\mathbb{P}(\beta < 1) = 0$, then $f(0) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta = 1) \mathbb{E}[\lambda]$. The probability measure \mathbb{Q} is equivalent to \mathbb{P} with Radon-Nikodym derivative*

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \frac{\lambda}{\mathbb{E}[\lambda]}.$$

Note that the measure \mathbb{Q} really exists since the random variable λ is positive and $\mathbb{E}[\lambda] < \infty$ due to condition (8).

3. *If $\mathbb{P}(\beta < 1) > 0$, then $f(0) = \infty$.*

Proof. The value $f(1) = 0$ can be derived via inequality (13) and the dominated convergence theorem. Let us turn to the left endpoint. We can rewrite formula (12) as

$$f(t) = \mathbb{E}[h(t; \lambda, \beta) I_{\beta < 1}] + \mathbb{E}[h(t; \lambda, \beta) I_{\beta = 1}] + \mathbb{E}[h(t; \lambda, \beta) I_{\beta > 1}]. \quad (14)$$

Suppose first that $\mathbb{P}(\beta > 1) = 1$. Let us define the measure \mathbb{L} for a fixed t via the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\frac{d\mathbb{L}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \frac{h(t; \lambda, \beta)}{\mathbb{E}[h(t; \lambda, \beta)]}.$$

Note that for every $t \in (0, 1]$ the expectation $\mathbb{E}[h(t; \lambda, \beta)]$ is finite due to inequality (13). Hence the sum of the first and second expectations from formula (14) can be obtained as

$$\mathbb{E}[h(t; \lambda, \beta) I_{\beta \leq 1}] = \mathbb{E}[h(t; \lambda, \beta)] \mathbb{L}(\beta \leq 1) = 0,$$

because the measures \mathbb{L} and \mathbb{P} are equivalent and $\mathbb{P}(\beta \leq 1) = 0$. Inequality (9) allows us to take the limit $t \rightarrow 0$ in the third expectation from formula (14) and using the first statement of proposition 2.1 to derive $f(0) = 0$.

Suppose now that $\mathbb{P}(\beta = 1) > 0$ and $\mathbb{P}(\beta < 1) = 0$. Analogously as above we can derive that the values of the first and third expectations from formula (14) are zero. We derive the desired by result changing the measure from \mathbb{P} to \mathbb{Q} in the second expectation.

It left to consider the case $\mathbb{P}(\beta < 1) > 0$. The third statement of proposition 2.1 shows that $h(t; \lambda(\omega), \beta(\omega))$ tends to infinity for $t \rightarrow 0$ for every sample event ω such that $\beta(\omega) < 1$. Let $t \in (0, 1]$ and M be a positive constant. We define the set $\Omega_{t, M}$ as

$$\Omega_{t, M} = \{\omega \in \Omega : (\beta < 1) \& (h(t; \lambda, \beta) > M \forall u < t)\}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[h(t; \lambda, \beta) I_{\beta < 1}] \geq M \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{t, M}). \quad (15)$$

Having in mind that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Omega_{t, M} = \{\omega : \beta < 1\}$, we see that (15) leads to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[h(t; \lambda, \beta) I_{\beta < 1}] \geq M \mathbb{P}(\beta < 1). \quad (16)$$

We conclude that the third expectation of formula (14) tends to infinity because inequality (16) holds for all constants M and $\mathbb{P}(\beta < 1) > 0$. This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 3.8. *We can define the mixed Kies distribution jointly with the pair (λ, β) on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Let the measure $\mu(dx_1, dx_2)$ on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$ be associated with the random variables (λ, β) and ξ to stand for the mixture Kies random variable. We define the triple (ξ, λ, β) via the joint distribution*

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi \in A, \lambda \in B_1, \beta \in B_2) = \int_{t \in A} \int_{x \in B} h(t, x_1, x_2) \mu(dx_1, dx_2) dt$$

for arbitrary subsets A and B of the sets $(0, 1)$ and $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$. We can easily check that formula (6) holds:

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= \mathbb{P}(\xi < t) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{x \in \{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+\}} h(t, x_1, x_2) \mu(dx_1, dx_2) dt \\ &= \int_{x \in \{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+\}} \left(\int_0^t h(t, x_1, x_2) dt \right) \mu(dx_1, dx_2) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[H(t; \lambda, \beta)]. \end{aligned}$$

We have used above Fubini's theorem to interchange the order of integration. Note that the defined in that way random variable ξ is independent of the pair (λ, β) only when λ and β are constants.

4 Saturation in the Hausdorff sense

The Hausdorff distance can be defined in the sense of [Sendov \(1990\)](#):

Definition 4.1. Let us consider the max-norm in \mathbb{R}^2 : if A and B are the points $A = (t_A, x_A)$ and $B = (t_B, x_B)$, then $\|A - B\| := \max\{|t_A - t_B|, |x_A - x_B|\}$. The Hausdorff distance $d(g, h)$ between two curves g and h in \mathbb{R}^2 is

$$d(g, h) := \max \left\{ \sup_{A \in g} \inf_{B \in h} \|A - B\|, \sup_{B \in h} \inf_{A \in g} \|A - B\| \right\}.$$

The Hausdorff distance can be viewed as the highest optimal path between the curves. Next we define the *saturation* of a distribution:

Definition 4.2. Let $F(\cdot)$ be the CDF of a distribution stated on the interval $(0, 1)$. Its saturation is the Hausdorff distance between the completed graph of $F(\cdot)$ and the curve consisting of two lines – one vertical between the points $(0, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ and another horizontal between the points $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 1)$.

The following corollary holds for the saturation.

Corollary 4.3. The saturation d of a mixed-Kies random variable is the unique solution of the equation

$$F(d) + d = 1. \tag{17}$$

Proof. Equation (17) is true due to Definitions 4.1 and 4.2. Its root is unique because $l(t) = F(t) + t - 1$ is an increasing continuous function with endpoints $l(0) = -1 < 0$ and $l(1) = 1 > 0$. \square

The next two theorems provide a semi-closed form formula for the saturation.
Theorem 4.4. *Let the positive random variable τ be such that*

$$\lambda = \tau \left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau}]} - 1 \right)^\beta. \quad (18)$$

Then the saturation of a min-Kies distribution can be derived as

$$d = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau}]. \quad (19)$$

Note that $0 < \mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau}] < 1$.

Proof. Suppose that formula (18) holds. Using equations (1) and (6) and having in mind $0 < \mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau}] < 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - F(\mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau}]) &= \mathbb{E}[1 - H(\mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau}]; \lambda, \beta)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{-\lambda \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau}]}{1 - \mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau}]}\right)^\beta\right\}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{-\tau \left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau}]} - 1\right)^\beta \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau}]}{1 - \mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau}]}\right)^\beta\right\}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau}]. \end{aligned}$$

We have to use Corollary 4.3 to finish the proof. □

Theorem 4.5. *The random variable τ from Theorem 4.4 that satisfies condition (18) exists and it is unique.*

Proof. Suppose that there exist two random variables τ_1 and τ_2 satisfying equation (18). Hence,

$$\tau_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau_1}]} - 1 \right)^\beta = \tau_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau_2}]} - 1 \right)^\beta,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau_1}]}{1 - \mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau_1}]} \frac{1 - \mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau_2}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau_2}]}. \quad (20)$$

We can see that the right-hand-side of equation (20) is a determinist constant. Therefore the ratio $\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}$ can be presented as

$$\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} = c^\beta \quad (21)$$

for some constant c . Combining equations (20) and (21) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
c &= \frac{\mathbb{E} [e^{-c^\beta \tau_2}]}{1 - \mathbb{E} [e^{-c^\beta \tau_2}]} \frac{1 - \mathbb{E} [e^{-\tau_2}]}{\mathbb{E} [e^{-\tau_2}]} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{E} [e^{-c^\beta \tau_2}]} - 1 \right) \frac{1 - \mathbb{E} [e^{-\tau_2}]}{\mathbb{E} [e^{-\tau_2}]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The right-hand-side of equation (22) is a decreasing function w.r.t. variable c . Hence, if we consider (22) as an equation w.r.t. c , then it has at most one solution. We can easily check that this root is $c = 1$, which means $\tau_1 = \tau_2$.

We turn to the existence task. Let the function $\gamma(x)$ be defined as

$$\gamma(x) = x \left[\frac{1}{\mathbb{E} [e^{-\lambda x^\beta}] } - 1 \right]. \tag{23}$$

Note that this function increases from zero to infinity in the interval $x \in [0, \infty)$. Hence, the equation $\gamma(x) = 1$ has a unique solution – we denote it by \bar{x} . We shall show that the random variable

$$\tau = \lambda \bar{x}^\beta \tag{24}$$

satisfies equation (18). Using the equality $\gamma(\bar{x}) = 1$, we derive

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{\tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = \frac{1}{\bar{x}} = \frac{1 - \mathbb{E} [e^{-\lambda \bar{x}^\beta}]}{\mathbb{E} [e^{-\lambda \bar{x}^\beta}]} = \frac{1 - \mathbb{E} [e^{-\tau}]}{\mathbb{E} [e^{-\tau}]},$$

which is equivalent to equation (18). This finishes the proof. \square

The following theorem is an immediate corollary of Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 and gives an approach for deriving the saturation of the mixed-Kies distributions.

Theorem 4.6. *Let \bar{x} be the solution of the equation $\gamma(x) = 1$, where the function $\gamma(x)$ is defined by formula (23). Note that this root is unique in the interval $x \in [0, \infty)$. Then the saturation can be obtained via formula (19), where τ is defined by equation (24). Combining equations $\gamma(x) = 1$, (19), and (24), we see that the saturation can be derived also as*

$$d = \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{x} + 1}. \tag{25}$$

Based on Theorem 4.6, we can establish the following algorithm for deriving the saturation.

5 Some examples

We can devise the set of all mixtures introduced by Definition 3.2 into two main classes – discrete and continuous. We shall consider separately several examples of both kinds.

We can obtain the saturation through three steps.

1. We derive \bar{x} as the solution of $\gamma(x) = 1$, where the function $\gamma(x)$ is given by formula (23).
 2. We obtain τ via equation (24).
 3. We derive the saturation through formula (19) or equivalently through (25).
-

5.1 Discrete mixtures

Suppose that we have $n \leq \infty$ original Kies-distributions – if $n = \infty$ we want the set of these distributions to be countable. Note that conditions (7)-(9) are satisfied when $n < \infty$. The possible values of the random variables λ and β shall be denoted by λ_i and β_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The probabilities of these values to happen are denoted by $p_i > 0$. We can write PDF, CDF, and CCDF in the used above terms as

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i h(t; \lambda_i, \beta_i)$$

$$F(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i H(t; \lambda_i, \beta_i)$$

$$\bar{F}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \bar{H}(t; \lambda_i, \beta_i),$$

where the functions $h(t; \lambda_i, \beta_i)$, $H(t; \lambda_i, \beta_i)$, and $\bar{H}(t; \lambda_i, \beta_i)$ are given by equations (1)-(3). Proposition 3.7 leads to the following results.

Corollary 5.1. *Let the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be divided into the subsets A_1 , A_2 , and A_3 such that (i) if $i \in A_1$, then $\beta_i < 1$; (ii) if $i \in A_2$, then $\beta_i = 1$; (iii) if $i \in A_3$, then $\beta_i > 1$. The following statements hold:*

1. If $A_1 \equiv A_2 \equiv \emptyset$, then $f(0) = 0$.
2. If $A_1 \equiv \emptyset$ but $A_2 \neq \emptyset$, then $f(t) = \sum_{i \in A_2} p_i \lambda_i$.
3. If $A_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $f(0) = \infty$.

5.1.1 Bimodal distribution

Let the parameter β be deterministic and larger than one, say $\beta = 2$, whereas the parameter λ achieves two values, say $\lambda \in \{0.1, 2\}$, with probabilities $p_1 = p_2 = 0.5$ or $p_1 = 0.25$ and $p_2 = 0.75$. Proposition 2.1 shows that the PDFs of the original Kies distributions are zero in the domain's endpoints and have a unique maximum. The mixture distribution exhibits bi-modality – all PDFs can be seen in Figure 2a. Of course, some parameter values may lead to a unimodal distributions – for example, the random variable $\lambda \in \{1, 2\}$, together with the same values for the rest parameters, leads to a such PDF, see Figure 2b.

Another example for bi-modality can be seen at Figure 2c – the assumed parameters are $\lambda \in \{2, 0.5\}$ and $\beta \in \{2, 1\}$. The probabilities are again $p_1 = p_2 = 0.5$ or $p_1 = 0.25$ and $p_2 = 0.75$. The main difference with the previous examples is that one of the β -values is one and another is larger than one. Corollary 5.1, the second statement, explains why the left endpoint of the PDF is larger than zero but finite. Something more, its value is $p_2\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{8}$ for both possibilities for p_1 and p_2 , respectively.

Finally, we present a bimodal distribution with infinite left endpoint – see Figure 2d. The chosen parameters are $\lambda \in \{2, 0.5\}$ and $\beta \in \{0.2, 2\}$; the probabilities are the same as above. The third statement of Corollary 5.1 confirms that the mixture’s left endpoints are the infinity since $\beta_1 < 1$.

Let us discuss the Hausdorff saturation defined in Section 4. We shall follow Algorithm 4. We first have to derive the solution of equation $\gamma(x) = 1$, which now turns to

$$x \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j e^{-\lambda_j x^{\beta_j}}} - 1 \right) = 1. \quad (26)$$

Estimating $n = 2$, $\beta = 2$, $p_1 = p_2 = 0.5$, $\lambda_1 = 0.1$, and $\lambda_2 = 2$, we derive $\bar{x} = 1.0338$. Hence, the values of $\tau = \lambda \bar{x}^\beta$ are $\tau_1 = 0.1069$ and $\tau_2 = 2.1374$ and the saturation is $d = 0.5083$. We can easily check that equation (17) holds.

For the second case ($p_1 = 0.25$, $p_2 = 0.75$), we derive $\bar{x} = 0.7986$, $\tau_1 = 0.0638$, $\tau_2 = 1.2755$, and $d = 0.4440$. The CDF together with the saturation can be viewed in Figure 4a. The saturation is indicated by a red circle. Note that the red lines form a square with vertices $(0, 1 - d)$, $(d, 1 - d)$, $(d, 1)$, and $(0, 1)$.

5.1.2 Multimodal distributions

We present a multimodal Kies mixture based on four original Kies distributions in Figure 2e. The used parameters are $\lambda \in \{0.1, 0.5, 5, 10\}$ and $\beta = 2$. The probabilities are assumed to be equal, i.e. $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = 0.25$. The first statement of Corollary 5.1 shows that the mixture left endpoint is zero since $\beta > 1$.

Applying Algorithm 4 and having in mind equation (26), we derive $\bar{x} = 0.7700$ and $\tau \in \{0.0593, 0.2965, 2.9646, 5.9291\}$. Thus the saturation is $d = 0.4350$.

5.1.3 Binomial underlying distribution

Let the random variable $\lambda - 1$ be binomial distributed with parameters (n, p) and β be a constant. Note that λ is positive. We have for the probabilities p_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$:

$$p_i = \mathbb{P}(\lambda = i) = \binom{n}{i-1} p^{i-1} (1-p)^{n+1-i}.$$

We turn to deriving the CCDF. We cannot use directly Corollary 3.6 because we know the distribution of the random variable $\lambda - 1$, not λ . However, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{F}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i \overline{H}(t; \lambda_i, \beta) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i \exp\left(-i \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \\
&= \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \sum_{i=0}^n p_i \exp\left(-i \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We can recognize in the sum above the MGF of the binomial distribution applied to the term $-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta$. Hence, if we denote this function by $\psi(x)$,

$$\psi(x) = (1 - p + pe^x)^n,$$

then we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{F}(t) &= \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \psi\left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \\
&= \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \left(1 - p + p \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right)\right)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Having in mind that the derivative of the function $\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta$ is $\frac{1}{(1-t)^2}$ we obtain for the binomial Kies mixture:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(t) &= \beta \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{(1-t)^{\beta+1}} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \left(1 - p + p \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right)\right)^n \\
&\quad + np\beta \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{(1-t)^{\beta+1}} \exp\left(-2\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \left(1 - p + p \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right)\right)^{n-1} \\
&= \beta \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{(1-t)^{\beta+1}} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \left(1 - p + p \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right)\right)^{n-1} \times \\
&\quad \times \left(1 - p + p(n+1) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We present at Figure 2f the PDFs of the Kies mixtures when $\beta = 2$, $n \in \{10, 50\}$, and $p \in \{0.5, 0.25\}$.

We use again Algorithm 4 to obtain the Hausdorff saturation d . Remind that $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, 11$ when $n = 10$. In the case $p = 0.25$, we derive for the values of p_i , \overline{x} , and τ :

$$\begin{aligned}
p &\in \{0.0563, 0.1877, 0.2816, 0.2503, 0.1460, 0.0584, 0.0162, 0.0031, 0.0004, \\
&2.8610 \times 10^{-5}, 9.5367 \times 10^{-7}\} \\
\bar{x} &= 0.5632 \\
\tau &\in \{0.3172, 0.6344, 0.9515, 1.2687, 1.5859, 1.9031, 2.2203, 2.5374, \\
&2.8546, 3.1718, 3.4890\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain the saturation as $d = 0.3603$ via formula (25). Alternatively, we can view the expectation in formula (23) as the MGF, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{-\lambda x^\beta} \right] = e^{-x^2} \left(1 - p + p e^{-x^2} \right)^n$$

Remind that λ is one plus a binomial distributed random variable. Thus, the equation $\gamma(x) = 1$ turns to

$$x \left[\frac{e^{x^2}}{\left(1 - p + p e^{-x^2} \right)^n} - 1 \right] = 1.$$

Following the same approach we derive for the saturation: $\{\bar{x} = 0.4510, d = 0.3108\}$ when $\{\beta = 2, n = 10, p = 0.5\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 0.3279, d = 0.2470\}$ when $\{\beta = 2, n = 50, p = 0.25\}$; and $\{\bar{x} = 0.2506, d = 0.2004\}$ when $\{\beta = 2, n = 50, p = 0.5\}$. The CDF together with the Hausdorff saturation are presented in Figure 4b.

5.1.4 Geometric underlying distribution

We investigate now a Kies mixture based on a geometric distribution with parameter p on the support $\{1, 2, \dots\}$ for the random variable λ . This is a mixture between an infinite number of original Kies distributions. Note that conditions (7)-(8) are satisfied because $\mathbb{E} \left[\lambda^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right] < 1$. The parameter β is again assumed to be deterministic. The probabilities p_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ are

$$p_i = \mathbb{P}(\lambda = i) = p(1-p)^{i-1}.$$

We can obtain the mixture CCDF through Corollary 3.6 as the MGF of the geometric distributions $\psi(x)$ taken at the point $-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta$. Having in mind that this function is

$$\psi(x) = \frac{p e^x}{1 - (1-p) e^x}, \quad (27)$$

we conclude

$$\bar{F}(t) = \frac{p}{\exp\left(\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) + p - 1}.$$

Differentiating we derive the PDF as

$$f(t) = \frac{p\beta \exp\left(\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) t^{\beta-1}}{\left(\exp\left(\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) + p - 1\right)^2 (1-t)^{\beta+1}}.$$

We present in Figure 3a the PDFs of the obtained mixtures considering the parameter p in the set $\{0.25, 0.5, 0.75\}$. We assume also that $\beta = 2$. The saturations can be derived using Algorithm 4. We again view the expectation in function $\gamma(\cdot)$, given by (23), as MGF (27) taken at the point $-x^\beta$. Thus, equation $\gamma(x) = 1$ turns to

$$x\left(e^{x^\beta} - 1\right) = p.$$

Solving this equation we derive for its root \bar{x} and the related saturation: $\{\bar{x} = 0.5931, d = 0.3723\}$ when $\{\beta = 2, p = 0.25\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 0.7245, d = 0.4201\}$ when $\{\beta = 2, p = 0.5\}$; and $\{\bar{x} = 0.8097, d = 0.4474\}$ when $\{\beta = 2, p = 0.75\}$. We present the CDF in the case $\{\beta = 2, p = 0.25\}$ in Figure 4c – there can be seen the saturation as a red point too.

5.2 Continuous distributions

We consider now several mixtures based on the assumption that the parameter λ follows some continuous distribution with support on the positive real half-line. The random variable β is assumed to be deterministic.

5.2.1 Exponential distribution

Let $\beta > 1$ and the random variable λ be exponentially distributed with intensity θ . Thus its PDF is $p(x) = \theta e^{-\theta x}$. The MGF is defined for $x < \theta$ and it is

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\theta}{\theta - x}. \quad (28)$$

Applying Corollary 3.6 we see that the CCDF of the Kies mixture can be obtain after the substitution $x = -\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta$. Note that the condition $x < \theta$ is satisfied. Hence,

$$\bar{F}(t) = \frac{\theta(1-t)^\beta}{\theta(1-t)^\beta + t^\beta}.$$

Differentiating, we derive the PDF of the exponential mixture

$$f(t) = \frac{\theta\beta t^{\beta-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1}}{\left(\theta(1-t)^\beta + t^\beta\right)^2}.$$

Several PDFs can be seen in Figure 3b – the intensity parameter θ is among $\{0.5, 1, 2, 5\}$. We find the saturation using Algorithm 4 having in mind that if β is a fixed constant, then the expectation given by formula (23) function $\gamma(\cdot)$ is MGF (28) evaluated at the point $-x^\beta$. In the case of the exponential distribution, the equation $\gamma(x) = 1$ can be solved explicitly, and it leads to

$$\bar{x} = \theta^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} \Leftrightarrow d = \frac{\theta^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}}{\theta^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} + 1}.$$

Thus, we derive $\{\bar{x} = 0.7937, d = 0.4425\}$ when $\{\beta = 2, \theta = 0.5\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 1, d = 0.5\}$ when $\{\beta = 2, \theta = 1\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 1.2599, d = 0.5575\}$ when $\{\beta = 2, \theta = 2\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 1.7100, d = 0.6310\}$ when $\{\beta = 2, \theta = 5\}$. The CDF, together with the saturation, in the case $\{\beta = 2, \theta = 1\}$ can be seen in Figure 4d.

Let us check conditions (7)-(9). Obviously, requirements (8) and (9) hold. We need to consider the expectation $\mathbb{E} \left[\lambda^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right]$ for condition (7). It can be written as

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\lambda^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right] = \int_0^{\infty} x^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \theta e^{-\theta x} dx = \theta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \int_0^{\infty} y^{(1-\frac{1}{\beta})-1} e^{-y} dy. \quad (29)$$

The last integral converges only when $\beta > 1$ – the limit is the gamma function $\Gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \right)$. On the opposite, if $\beta \leq 1$, integral (29) diverges, and hence condition (7) is not satisfied.

Let us consider now the case $\beta = 1$. Function (6) again defines a distribution. Its CCDF can be derived once again through the MGF and thus it and the PDF turn to

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}(t) &= \frac{\theta(1-t)}{\theta(1-t)+t} \\ f(t) &= \frac{\theta}{(\theta(1-t)+t)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

We can see that Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 3.7 do not hold. For example, $\mathbb{E}[h(1, \lambda, \beta)] = 0$, but $f(1) = \theta$. We can formulate also the following result

Proposition 5.2. *The uniform distribution on the interval (0, 1) can be viewed as a Kies mixture with $\beta = 1$ and exponentially distributed λ with intensity one.*

Proof. We recognize the CCDF and PDF of the uniform distribution in formulas (30) when $\theta = 1$. \square

5.2.2 Gamma distribution

Suppose now that the random variable λ is gamma distributed with shape and rate parameters α and θ , respectively. Note that this distribution generalizes the exponential one. The gamma PDF can be written as

$$p(x) = \frac{\theta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\theta x}.$$

Let β be again a constant. We shall check first when conditions (7)-(9) are satisfied. Obviously, we need to consider the expectation $\mathbb{E} \left[\lambda^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right]$. We have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\lambda^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right] = \frac{\theta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-\frac{1}{\beta}-1} e^{-\theta x} dx = \theta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \int_0^\infty y^{\alpha-\frac{1}{\beta}-1} e^{-y} dy.$$

The integral above converges only when $\beta > \frac{1}{\alpha}$ – its value is $\Gamma\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)$. We have for the gamma MGF

$$\psi(x) = \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta - x} \right)^\alpha \quad (31)$$

for $x < \theta$. Applying again Corollary 3.6, we obtain for the mixture CCDF

$$\bar{F}(t) = \psi \left(- \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^\beta \right) = \left(\frac{\theta(1-t)^\beta}{\theta(1-t)^\beta + t^\beta} \right)^\alpha.$$

Differentiating, we derive the PDF as

$$f(t) = \frac{\alpha \theta^\alpha \beta t^{\beta-1} (1-t)^{\alpha\beta-1}}{\left(\theta(1-t)^\beta + t^\beta \right)^{\alpha+1}}. \quad (32)$$

We present some PDFs in Figure 3c for $\alpha = 2$ and $\theta \in \{0.5, 1, 2, 5\}$. We again use Algorithm 4 to derive the saturation. The equation $\gamma(x) = 1$ now can be written as

$$x \left((\theta + x^\beta)^\alpha - \theta^\alpha \right) = \theta^\alpha,$$

because the expectation in (23) is MGF (31) evaluated at the point $-\lambda x^\beta$. Hence, $\{\bar{x} = 0.5731, d = 0.3643\}$ when $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 2, \theta = 0.5\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 0.7332, d = 0.4230\}$ when $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 2, \theta = 1\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 0.9361, d = 0.4835\}$ when $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 2, \theta = 2\}$; and $\{\bar{x} = 1.2894, d = 0.5632\}$ when $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 2, \theta = 5\}$.

Suppose now that $\beta = 1$. Formula (32) leads to $f(0) = \frac{\alpha}{\theta}$ in which we recognize the expectation of the gamma distribution, $\mathbb{E}[\lambda]$. This is in accordance with the second statement of Proposition 3.7. Note that $\mathbb{Q}(\beta = 1) = 1$. The PDFs for the same values of α and θ can be viewed in Figure 3d. Their initial values are $\frac{\alpha}{\theta}$, particularly 4, 2, 0.5, and 0.4. The saturations can be derived in the same way as above – they are $\{\bar{x} = 0.4196, d = 0.2956\}$ when $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 1, \theta = 0.5\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 0.6180, d = 0.3820\}$ when $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 1, \theta = 1\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 0.9032, d = 0.4746\}$ when $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 1, \theta = 2\}$; and $\{\bar{x} = 1.4760, d = 0.5961\}$ when $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 1, \theta = 5\}$.

Finally, we present some PDFs of the third kind w.r.t. the initial value assuming that $\beta = 0.7$ – see Figure 3e. Note that $f(0) = \infty$ due to the third statement of Proposition 3.7. The saturations can be obtained analogously – $\{\bar{x} = 0.3512, d = 0.2599\}$ when $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 0.7, \theta = 0.5\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 0.5615, d = 0.3596\}$ when $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 0.7, \theta = 1\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 0.8855, d = 0.4696\}$ when $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 0.7, \theta = 2\}$; and $\{\bar{x} = 1.5885, d = 0.6137\}$ when $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 0.7, \theta = 5\}$. The CDF in the case $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 0.7, \theta = 0.5\}$ together with the related saturation can be seen in figure 4e.

5.2.3 Beta distribution

Let us assume now that the random variable λ is beta distributed with parameters α and θ , i.e. its PDF and MGF are

$$p(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\theta-1}}{B(\alpha, \theta)}$$

$$\psi(x) = {}_1F_1(\alpha, \alpha + \theta, x),$$

where ${}_1F_1(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function of the first kind and the beta function is defined as the ratio

$$B(\alpha, \theta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\theta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \theta)}.$$

We shall check when condition (7) is satisfied. We have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\lambda^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right] = \int_0^{\infty} x^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \frac{x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} dx = \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \int_0^{\infty} x^{\alpha-\frac{1}{\beta}-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1} dx. \quad (33)$$

The integral above converges when $\beta > \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Applying Corollary 3.6, we obtain the CCDF of the Kies-beta-mixture

$$\bar{F}(t) = \psi \left(- \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^{\beta} \right) = {}_1F_1 \left(\alpha, \alpha + \theta, - \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^{\beta} \right). \quad (34)$$

Differentiating in equation (34) and using the formula for the confluent hypergeometric function's derivative – see for example [Gradshteyn and Ryzhik \(2014\)](#), page 1023, formula 9.213 – we derive for the PDF of the mixture

$$f(t) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha + \theta} {}_1F_1 \left(\alpha + 1, \alpha + \theta + 1, - \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^{\beta} \right) \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{(1-t)^{\beta+1}}. \quad (35)$$

Let us check the behavior of PDF (35) when $t \rightarrow 1$ or equivalently $-\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\beta} \rightarrow -\infty$. Having in mind that ${}_1F_1(\alpha + 1, \alpha + \theta + 1, -x)$ tends asymptotically to $\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \theta + 1)}{\Gamma(\theta)} x^{-(\alpha+1)}$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$ – see [Abramowitz and Stegun \(1968\)](#), page 508, formula 13.5.1 – we derive

$$f(1) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha + \theta} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \theta + 1)}{\Gamma(\theta)} \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^{-\beta(\alpha+1)} \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{(1-t)^{\beta+1}}$$

$$= \alpha\beta \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \theta)}{\Gamma(\theta)} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} (1-t)^{\alpha\beta-1}.$$

We conclude that $f(1) = \infty$ when $\beta < \frac{1}{\alpha}$; $f(1) = \alpha\beta \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta)}$ when $\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha}$; and $f(1) = 0$ when $\beta > \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Thus, the right endpoint is zero if condition (7) is satisfied due to equation (33). Having in mind that the value of the confluent hypergeometric function in the zero is one, we find a confirmation of Proposition 3.7 in formula (35). Note that if $\beta = 1$, then $f(0) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\theta}$, which is the expectation of the beta distribution. Some PDFs can be seen in Figure 3f – the considered parameters are $\alpha = 3$, $\theta = 1$, and $\beta \in \{0.5, 1, 2\}$. Note that the condition $\beta > \frac{1}{\alpha}$ holds. We derive the saturations through Algorithm 4. Now, the equation $\gamma(x) = 1$ can be written as

$$x \left[\frac{1}{{}_1F_1(\alpha, \alpha + \theta, -x^\beta)} - 1 \right] = 1.$$

The derived values are $\{\bar{x} = 0.9577, d = 0.4892\}$ when $\{\alpha = 3, \beta = 0.5, \theta = 1\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 0.9697, d = 0.4923\}$ when $\{\alpha = 3, \beta = 1, \theta = 1\}$; $\{\bar{x} = 0.9806, d = 0.4951\}$ when $\{\alpha = 3, \beta = 2, \theta = 1\}$. The CDF and the saturation for the last triple are presented in Figure 4f.

6 An application

We shall check now the benefits, which the proposed mixture gives, using two empirical samples – one arising from the financial markets and another for the unemployment insurance issues. These data are considered in Zaeovski and Kyurkchiev (2023, 2024a) too. We use a modification of the classical least square errors approach. First, note that we need to scale the empirical data because the considered distributions are stated at the domain $(0, 1)$. Let the total number of observations be denoted by N . We devise the domain into m sub-intervals and denote by N_i the number of observations that fall in the i -th one, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We derive the empirical PDF values as $l_i^{\text{emp}} = \frac{mN_i}{N}$. We assign these values to the centers of the sub-intervals. We denote by $l_i^{\text{th}}(\gamma)$ the theoretical PDF values at these points for a Kies mixture with parameter's set γ . We define our cost function as

$$L(\gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \ln(l_i^{\text{emp}} + \epsilon) - \ln(l_i^{\text{th}}(\gamma) + \epsilon) \right|. \quad (36)$$

We want to obtain the parameters γ which minimize function (36). We introduce the logarithmic correction because some Kies distributions tend to infinity in the left endpoint. The constant ϵ is necessary because some empirical values can be equal to zero which will lead to the minus infinity for the logarithm. We set this constant to $\epsilon = 0.01$.

We shall compare several mixtures – original Kies (A1), bimodal (A2), multimodal (A3), binomial (A4), geometric (A5), exponential (A6), gamma (A7), and beta (A8). We assume for the last five mixtures that the random variable λ does not exhibit the corresponding distribution, but its linear transformation. This increases significantly the applicability of the proposed models. If the original random variable is denoted by ξ , then the MGF of the resulting one can be derived as $\psi_{a\xi+b}(x) = e^{bx}\psi_\xi(ax)$. The PDF of the random variable $\lambda = a\xi + b$ can be obtained via Corollary 3.6 – see also

Section 5. All resulting PDFs are reported in Appendix A. Note that if $b > 0$, then condition (7) is satisfied because the term $(at + b)$ in the integral

$$\mathbb{E} = \left[\lambda^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (at + b)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} f(t) dt$$

does not influence the possible singularity of $f(t)$ in the zero. The case $b = 0$ is considered in Section 5.2.

6.1 S&P500 index

The statistical sample consists of a total of 10 717 daily observations for the S&P500 index in the period between January 2, 1980, and July 01, 2022. We look for the market shocks defined as the dates at which the index falls with more than two percent. More precisely, we are interested in the length of the periods between two shocks measured in working days – the calm periods. Their number is 357 and they vary between 1 and 950. We divide them by 1 000 to fit the distribution’s domain. For more details see [Zaevski and Kyurkchiev \(2023\)](#) – there can be found also the original Kies calibration. The interval $(0, 1)$ is devised into $m = 50$ sub-intervals for the current test. All mixture estimations we prepare are reported in the first part of Table 1. The corresponding densities are presented in Figure 5a. The inner figure is for the distribution’s core – the interval $(0.03, 0.2)$. We can see that although the statistical data suggests that the initial density value is infinity, some of the estimated distributions do not exhibit this feature. In terms of Proposition 3.7 and Corollary 5.1 this means that the variable β , random or not, is larger than one. This is the case for the three-modal estimation as well as for the binomial, geometric, exponential, and gamma mixtures.

6.2 Unemployment insurance issues

The second example is related to the monthly observations of the unemployment insurance issues for the period between 1971 and 2018 – a total of 574 observations in the range $[49\ 263, 308\ 352]$. The data can be found at <https://data.worlddatanygovns8zxewg> or in [Vasileva and Kyurkchiev \(2023\)](#), pp. 162-164. Some statistical experiments based on the same data are provided also in [Ahmad et al. \(2021\)](#); [He et al. \(2020\)](#); [Zaevski and Kyurkchiev \(2024a\)](#); [Zhenwu et al. \(2021\)](#). We need first to process the statistical sample to make it convenient for our investigation. In [Zaevski and Kyurkchiev \(2024a\)](#) the same statistical sample is divided by 50 000 and thus the new data is in the interval $[0.9853, 6.1670]$. The results of this article strongly indicate that the estimated Kies style distributions are supported in intervals close to $(1, 9)$. This motivates us to transform the original data S to

$$S_{\text{new}} = \frac{S - \min(S)}{1.5(\max(S) - \min(S))}.$$

This way we can compare the current results with those presented in [Zaevski and Kyurkchiev \(2024a\)](#). We devise now the interval $(0, 1)$ into $m = 20$ sub-intervals. The derived estimates are reported in the second part of Table 1 and the corresponding PDFs can be seen in Figure 5b. We can observe that the best fit produces the

multimodal distribution – this is true for the first statistical sample too. This is not surprising since these distributions are very flexible to fit different curves. On the other hand, they are prone to over-fitting and thus they should only be used when there is a strong evidence that the considered statistical sample is indeed multimodal. Also, the fit which produces the exponential mixture is remarkable given that the exponential distribution is driven by only one parameter. Of course, the gamma approximation is better since the gamma distribution generalizes the exponential one.

Acknowledgments

The first author is financed by the European Union-NextGenerationEU, through the National Recovery and Resilience Plan of the Republic of Bulgaria, project No BG-RRP-2.004-0008.

The second author is financed by the European Union-NextGenerationEU, through the National Recovery and Resilience Plan of the Republic of Bulgaria, project No BG-RRP-2.004-0001-C01.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

A PDFs of the linear transformed distributions

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{\text{bin}}(t) &= \beta \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{(1-t)^{\beta+1}} \exp\left(-b\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \left(1-p+p \exp\left(-a\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right)\right)^{n-1} \times \\
 &\quad \times \left[b - bp + p(na+b) \exp\left(-a\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \right] \\
 f_{\text{geo}}(t) &= p\beta t^{\beta-1} \frac{(b+a) \exp\left((a-b)\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) - b(1-p) \exp\left(-b\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right)}{\left(\exp\left(a\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) + p - 1\right)^2 (1-t)^{\beta+1}} \\
 f_{\text{exp}}(t) &= \frac{\theta\beta t^{\beta-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1} \exp\left(-b\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right)}{\left(\theta(1-t)^\beta + at^\beta\right)^2} \left[b\left(\theta + a\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) + a \right] \\
 f_\gamma(t) &= \frac{\theta^\alpha \beta t^{\beta-1} (1-t)^{\alpha\beta-1} \exp\left(-b\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right)}{\left(\theta(1-t)^\beta + at^\beta\right)^{\alpha+1}} \left[b\left(\theta + a\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) + a\alpha \right] \\
 f_\beta(t) &= \beta \exp\left(-b\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{(1-t)^{\beta+1}} \\
 &\quad \times \left[b {}_1F_1\left(\alpha, \alpha + \theta, -a\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\alpha a}{\alpha + \theta} {}_1F_1\left(\alpha + 1, \alpha + \theta + 1, -a\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\beta\right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

References


- Abramowitz, M. and I. Stegun (1968). *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, Volume 55. US Government printing office.
- Affify, A., A. Gemeay, N. Alfaer, G. Cordeiro, and E. Hafez (2022). Power-modified Kies-exponential distribution: Properties, classical and Bayesian inference with an application to engineering data. *Entropy* 24(7), 883.
- Ahmad, Z., E. Mahmoudi, R. Roozegar, M. Alizadeh, and A. Afify (2021). A new exponential-X family: modeling extreme value data in the finance sector. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* 2021, 1–14.
- Al-Babtain, A., M. Shakhathreh, M. Nassar, and A. Afify (2020). A new modified Kies family: Properties, estimation under complete and type-II censored samples, and engineering applications. *Mathematics* 8(8), 1345.
- Alsubie, A. (2021). Properties and applications of the modified Kies-Lomax distribution with estimation methods. *Journal of Mathematics* 2021, 1–18.

- Blasques, F., J. van Brummelen, P. Gorgi, and S. Koopman (2024). Maximum likelihood estimation for non-stationary location models with mixture of normal distributions. *Journal of Econometrics* 238(1), 105575.
- D’Amico, G., R. De Blasis, and F. Petroni (2023). The mixture transition distribution approach to networks: Evidence from stock markets. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 632, 129335.
- dos Santos, F., K. do Nascimento, J. da Silva Jale, S. Xavier, and T. Ferreira (2024). Brazilian wind energy generation potential using mixtures of Weibull distributions. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 189, 113990.
- Gradshteyn, I. and I. Ryzhik (2014). *Table of integrals, series, and products*. Academic press.
- Hashempour, M. (2022). A weighted Topp-Leone G family of distributions: properties, applications for modelling reliability data and different method of estimation. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 51(5), 1420–1441.
- He, W., Z. Ahmad, A. Afify, and H. Goual (2020). The arcsine exponentiated-X family: validation and insurance application. *Complexity* 2020, 1–18.
- Hui, Z., P. Li, D. Follmann, and J. Qin (2022). A mixture distribution approach for assessing genetic impact from twin study. *Statistics in Medicine* 41(14), 2513–2522.
- Kies, J. (1958). The strength of glass performance. In *Naval Research Lab Report*, Volume 5093. Washington, D.C.
- Kumar, C. S. and S. Dharmaja (2014). On some properties of Kies distribution. *Metron* 72(1), 97–122.
- Kumar, C. S. and S. Dharmaja (2017a). The exponentiated reduced Kies distribution: Properties and applications. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 46(17), 8778–8790.
- Kumar, C. S. and S. Dharmaja (2017b). On modified Kies distribution and its applications. *Journal of Statistical Research* 51(1), 41–60.
- Li, S., Y. Liu, Y. Sun, and Y. Cai (2023). Deep learning-based channel estimation using gaussian mixture distribution and expectation maximum algorithm. *Physical Communication* 58, 102018.
- Liu, Y., D. Xie, D. Edwards, and S. Yu (2023). Mixture copulas with discrete margins and their application to imbalanced data. *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society* 52(4), 878–900.
- Maiboroda, R., V. Miroshnychenko, and O. Sugakova (2022). Jackknife for nonlinear estimating equations. *Modern Stochastics: Theory and Applications* 9(4), 377–399.
- Naderi, M. and M.J.Nooghabi (2024). Clustering asymmetrical data with outliers: Parsimonious mixtures of contaminated mean-mixture of normal distributions. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 437, 115433.
- Sanku, D., M. Nassarn, and D. Kumar (2019). Moments and estimation of reduced Kies distribution based on progressive type-II right censored order statistics. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 48(1), 332–350.
- Sendov, B. (1990). *Hausdorff approximations*, Volume 50. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Shafiq, A., A. Çolak, C. Swarup, T. Sindhu, and S. Lone (2022). Reliability analysis based on mixture of lindley distributions with artificial neural network. *Advanced*


- Theory and Simulations* 5(8), 2200100.
- Silveira, F., F. Gomes-Silva, C. de Brito, J. Jale, F. de Gusmão, S. Xavier-Junior, and J. Rocha (2023). Modelling wind speed with a univariate probability distribution depending on two baseline functions. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 52(3), 808—827.
- Sobhi, M. A. (2021). The modified Kies–Fréchet distribution: properties, inference and application. *AIMS Math* 6, 4691–4714.
- Vasileva, M. (2023). On Topp-Leone-G power series: Saturation in the Hausdorff sense and applications. *Mathematics* 11(22), 4620.
- Vasileva, M. and N. Kyurkchiev (2023). *Insurance Mathematics*. Plovdiv University Press. in Bulgarian.
- Wang, H. (2023). Tolerance limits for mixture-of-normal distributions with application to COVID-19 data. *WIREs Computational Statistics* 15(6), e1611.
- Wang, Y., Z. Meng, Z. Zhang, M. Xia, L. Xia, and W. Li (2024). A regularization algorithm of dynamic light scattering for estimating the particle size distribution of dual-substance mixture in water. *Particuology* 89, 246–257.
- Yan, A., J. Guo, and D. Wang (2022). Robust stochastic configuration networks for industrial data modelling with Student’s-t mixture distribution. *Information Sciences* 607, 493–505.
- Yeleyko, Y. and O. Yarova (2022). Mixture of distributions based on the Markov chain. *Cybernetics and Systems Analysis* 58(5), 754–757.
- Zaevski, T. and N. Kyurkchiev (2022). Some notes on the four-parameters Kies distribution. *Comptes rendus de l’Académie bulgare des Sciences* 75(10), 1403–1409.
- Zaevski, T. and N. Kyurkchiev (2023). On some composite Kies families: distributional properties and saturation in Hausdorff sense. *Modern Stochastics: Theory and Applications* 10(3), 287–312.
- Zaevski, T. and N. Kyurkchiev (2024a). On min- and max-Kies families: distributional properties and saturation in Hausdorff sense. *Modern Stochastics: Theory and Applications* 11(1), 1–24.
- Zaevski, T. and N. Kyurkchiev (2024b). On the Hausdorff saturation of some trigonometric-Kies families. *Palestine Journal of Mathematics accepted paper*, –.
- Zhang, Y., Y. Dong, and R. Feng (2023). Bayes-informed mixture distribution for the evd estimation and dynamic reliability analysis. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 197, 110352.
- Zhenwu, Y., Z. Ahmad, Z. Almaspoor, and S. Khosa (2021). On the genesis of the Marshall-Olkin family of distributions via the T-X family approach: Statistical modeling. *CMC-COMPUTERS MATERIALS & CONTINUA* 67(1), 753–760.

B Figures and tables


Fig. 1 Mixture-Kies PDFs




(a) $\lambda \in \{0.1, 2\}, \beta = 2$




(b) $\lambda \in \{1, 2\}, \beta = 2$




(c) $\lambda \in \{0.1, 2\}, \beta = 2$



(d) $\lambda \in \{0.1, 2\}, \beta = 2$




(e) $\lambda \in \{0.1, 2\}, \beta = 2$




(f) Binomial mixture


Fig. 2 Mixture-Kies PDFs




(a) Geometric mixture




(b) Exponential mixture




(c) Gamma mixture, $\beta = 2$



(d) Gamma mixture, $\beta = 1$




(e) Gamma mixture, $\beta = 0.7$




(f) Beta mixture


Fig. 3 Mixture-Kies CDFs with saturation




(a) Bimodal mixture




(b) Binomial mixture




(c) Geometrical mixture



(d) Exponential mixture, $\beta = 1$




(e) Gamma mixture




(f) Beta mixture

Fig. 4 Estimations



(a) S&P 500 index



(b) Unemployment Insurance Issues

Table 1 Numerical Estimations

S&P 500	original	bimodal	multimodal	model	binomial	geometric	exponential	gamma	beta
	(A1)	(A2)	(A3)		(A4)	(A5)	(A6)	(A7)	(A8)
λ_1	15.7857	40.6027	735.5371	β	1.4975	1.1969	1.2479	3.2018	0.6653
λ_2	—	109.2334	920.2421	a	560.3198	20.8690	74.2504	732.5631	6.4268
λ_3	—	—	576.0060	b	33.0044	0.0000	14.1627	185.3902	7.2682
β_1	0.7120	0.8796	1.3843	p	0.0017	0.1323	—	—	—
β_2	—	2.4893	3.9519	n	981	—	—	—	—
β_3	—	—	2.2741	θ	—	—	0.4193	1.6238×10^{-5}	4.0301×10^{-5}
p_1	1	0.9165	0.7175	α	—	—	—	0.2529	7.3923
p_2	—	0.0835	0.0494	—	—	—	—	—	—
p_3	—	—	0.2331	—	—	—	—	—	—
error	25.3497	22.8935	21.6932		23.0744	24.7371	25.1232	22.4339	24.5851
UII	(A1)	(A2)	(A3)		(A4)	(A5)	(A6)	(A7)	(A8)
λ_1	7.0550	27.4484	0.0245	β	1.6951	2.2257	2.2161	1.9603	1.2819
λ_2	—	5.0320	14.9210	a	3.8136	1.8680	4.7886	6.9343	3.9552
λ_3	—	—	16.9510	b	2.8160	0.9654	2.0540	0.3263	4.9723
β_1	1.1895	1.9935	16.3815	p	0.0334	0.0322	—	—	—
β_2	—	1.3558	1.6827	n	95	—	—	—	—
β_3	—	—	6.0798	θ	—	—	0.0869	0.6134	0.0003
p_1	1	0.6464	0.0048	α	—	—	—	2.1985	0.0011
p_2	—	0.3536	0.9584	—	—	—	—	—	—
p_3	—	—	0.0369	—	—	—	—	—	—
error	7.1362	6.1300	2.8820		5.6375	5.8140	5.7291	5.4682	6.6078