

PI-Whisper: An Adaptive and Incremental ASR Framework for Diverse and Evolving Speaker Characteristics

Amir Nassereldine*

University at Buffalo
amirnass@buffalo.edu

Dancheng Liu*

University at Buffalo
dliu37@buffalo.edu

Chenhui Xu

University at Buffalo
cxu26@buffalo.edu

Jinjun Xiong

University at Buffalo
jinjun@buffalo.edu

Abstract

As edge-based automatic speech recognition (ASR) technologies become increasingly prevalent for the development of intelligent and personalized assistants, three important challenges must be addressed for these resource-constrained ASR models, i.e., adaptivity, incrementality, and inclusivity. We propose a novel ASR framework, PI-Whisper, in this work and show how it can improve an ASR’s recognition capabilities adaptively by identifying different speakers’ characteristics in real-time, how such an adaption can be performed incrementally without repetitive retraining, and how it can improve the equity and fairness for diverse speaker groups. More impressively, our proposed PI-Whisper framework attains all of these nice properties while still achieving state-of-the-art accuracy with up to 13.7% reduction of the word error rate (WER) with linear scalability with respect to computing resources.

1 Introduction

The world has seen significant advancement in artificial intelligence (AI) in the past few years, especially with the arrival of transformer-based foundational models (Vaswani et al., 2023). AI-powered intelligent and personalized assistants are becoming more and more of a reality than ever. Since speech is one of the major communication modalities for human interactions with the environment, automatic speech recognition (ASR) is gaining growing attention from the research community (Radford et al., 2022; Bain et al., 2023), especially its deployment onto edge devices when privacy is of great concern (Ahmed et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2022; Qin et al., 2024).

The ASR technologies have also experienced a tremendous transformation since the introduction of deep neural networks (DNNs). The recent transformer-based ASR models, such as

Wav2Vec2 (Baevski et al., 2020), Conformer (Gulati et al., 2020), and Whisper (Radford et al., 2022), have surpassed traditional models like Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) and Gaussian Mixture Models (GMMs) (Povey et al., 2011; Stuttle, 2003; McAuliffe et al., 2017) by achieving lower word error rates (WERs) and supporting multiple languages simultaneously. However, the sizes of these transformer-based ASR models are significantly large with many parameters, which require a huge amount of data and computation power to train. Their inference also requires a significant amount of memory to store the large model parameters. These resource requirements are detrimental to the ASR models’ deployment onto resource-constrained edge devices. To address these issues, people turn to the smaller versions of the ASR models, such as Whisper-tiny (Radford et al., 2022). But compared to large models, the smaller ASR models are less expressive and often struggle to provide accurate results for speakers of diverse backgrounds.

In order for an intelligent assistant to truly deliver a personalized experience through ASR, however, three more important considerations must be addressed. **(1) The adaptivity of ASR to different speakers’ characteristics as different users may have different ways of speaking the same languages.** For example, the characteristics of English speakers can be classified into various categories, including accents influenced by cultural or regional factors (such as African American, British, or Australian accents), age-related speech differences (such as the speech patterns of pre-schoolers compared to adults), and gender-specific speech differences (such as male versus female voices). Ideally, ASR should be adaptive to different speakers’ characteristics to achieve higher accuracy, and this is especially true for edge-based ASR whose model sizes are constrained by the limited edge resources, as their expressivity limits their general-

*Equal Contribution

Framework	Deployment	Speaker Diversity	Incremental Learning
Whisper large ¹ (Radford et al., 2022)	Cloud Only	✗	–
Whisper tiny (Radford et al., 2022)	Edge	✗	–
MAML (Winata et al., 2020)	Edge	Accent	✗
Accented Conformer (Prabhu et al., 2023)	Edge	Accent	✗
PI-Whisper (ours)	Edge	Accent+Gender+...	✓

Table 1: Representative ASR works suffer from the inability to either target speakers from diverse backgrounds or to add more characteristics of the speakers (unless retraining with the whole dataset each time). Compared to them, PI-Whisper can seamlessly integrate any number of characteristics with incremental learning ability.

ization capability. **(2) The incrementality of the ASR model to accommodate the ever-evolving interactions among different speakers.** For example, an intelligent assistant with an ASR system trained to understand general American English accents may later encounter situations where it needs to interact with speakers of various accents (e.g., Australian English). However, it is still unclear how to efficiently add new capabilities incrementally to an existing deployed ASR so that it can not only support existing speakers but also support the new group of speakers, without retraining the model. When the downstream task’s distribution shifts, retraining ASR models from scratch is rarely feasible due to the quadratic cost with respect to the total training data size. And **(3) the inclusivity of ASR to provide equal and fair experience for speakers with different characteristics.** In other words, how can we ensure the ASR model does not favor certain groups over others?

To the best of our knowledge, no existing edge-based ASR work can meet all the above needs yet, as summarized in Table 1. Therefore, we propose a novel ASR framework, called PI-Whisper, in this work to tackle these three challenges. Our framework is built on an existing ASR backbone, such as Whisper (Radford et al., 2022) or WhisperX (Bain et al., 2023), but augments it with LoRA profile libraries and an optional classifier. The LoRA profile library is a set of LoRA profiles organized by grouped speaker characteristics. During fine-tuning toward downstream tasks, these LoRA profiles are trained separately from ASR, and they are added and updated incrementally, providing a unique opportunity for the framework to adaptively customize its capabilities based on speakers’ characteristics. During inference, the dynamic merging

¹Whisper-large is diverse to speaker characteristics because of its strong generalization towards all speech, but it does not have additional features that explicitly support speaker characteristics that other works do.

of LoRA profiles before loading them onto the base ASR model can provide a fine-grained ASR service based on the speaker’s unique characteristics. When the speaker’s characteristics are unknown during inference, our classifier will provide an automated identification of speakers’ characteristics so that PI-Whisper can optimally choose the right set of LoRA profiles for ASR customization. Though each technique by itself may not be new, their combination to address the unique edge-ASR challenges is novel in our view.

Our framework is inspired in part by the LoRA composition or merging techniques as used in image generation tasks (Huang et al., 2023). However, to the best of our knowledge, our work is the first one to apply such a technique to the ASR domain, which is more of a classification task (as compared to the image generation task). We discuss the details of the proposed PI-Whisper framework and our extensive experimentation. We show that the proposed PI-Whisper framework can not only achieve state-of-the-art ASR accuracy compared to existing works but also induce a minimal memory overhead for inference. This is especially appealing for ASR’s deployment onto resource-constrained edge devices. So long as the chosen backbone ASR can fit into an edge device, our framework requires minimum additional resources to provide the new capabilities of both adaptivity and incrementality to ASR. More importantly, our framework achieves the highest fairness scores for speakers from diverse groups (such as different ages, genders, and accents).

2 Background and Related Works

2.1 ASR: Automatic Speech Recognition

ASR is a technology that translates human speeches into a textual form for further downstream processing. Over the years, significant advancements have been made in this field, driven by both academia

and industry. Compared to early statistical models such as Kaldi (Povey et al., 2011; Stuttle, 2003; McAuliffe et al., 2017), DNN-based ASR models, such as Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) (Liu et al., 2021), Long Short-Term Memory Networks (LSTMs) (Zeyer et al., 2017), and more recently, transformer-based models, have demonstrated remarkable improvements in recognition accuracy (Gulati et al., 2020; Baevski et al., 2020; Radford et al., 2022; Bain et al., 2023). Through large-scale pre-training with weak supervision, state-of-the-art models like Whisper (Radford et al., 2022) have achieved robust and superior accuracy on most ASR tasks.

Nonetheless, one of the foremost practical challenges in ASR today is the balance between model accuracy and deployment feasibility. Arguably, transformer-based models all follow the scaling law (Kaplan et al., 2020), which states that the performance of a model is positively correlated to its model size. This phenomenon also holds true for ASR models, i.e., the larger the model is, the higher the accuracy is. This is due to the model’s capacity to capture complex linguistic patterns and variations. Previous works have also observed that larger models of the Whisper family (Radford et al., 2022) on two benchmarks (Panayotov et al., 2015; Heikinheimo, 2023) achieve higher quality transcriptions with lower word error rates (WER).

2.2 ASR with Diverse Speech Characteristics

Research has also focused on improving the robustness of ASR that accepts additional speakers’ characteristics as part of the input, typically the accent characteristics. On the one hand, there is research related to classifying speech into different accents, from the early works such as Faria (2006) to machine learning approaches such as Rizwan et al. (2016), and to the recent deep learning approaches such as Purwar et al. (2022) and Song et al. (2023). Overall, the advances in those models and algorithms have shown that accent classification is an achievable task with high accuracy. However, how those classification results could better guide the ASR model on the actual transcription task remains unclear. On the other hand, some works try to add accent information to the training stage of the model, but those works are less practical when the target population is not known *a priori* (Rao and Sak, 2017; Winata et al., 2020; Jain et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2023). For example, when those models face a new accent, they need to be retrained for

best quality, which involves the utilization of all previously known accents’ data.

A recent work tries to address this issue of expensive retraining by developing an accent-robust model without using new accent data for training (Prabhu et al., 2023). While their approach provides some benefits when the new accents indeed have no training data, the improvement is very marginal (relatively 5% compared to the previous state-of-the-art model (Das et al., 2021), as reported in the paper). Also, such an assumption of the lack of data is often not valid, and their model still needs to be retrained from scratch if they were to adapt to the new accents.

Compared to existing works, our proposed framework has two advantages. First, it is based on a linear-time non-intrusive approach. The total training time scales linearly with the training data, and we do not need to change the original model’s weights. Second, it seamlessly integrates the potential multiple characteristics of the speaker. When the speaker has more information available besides his accent, our framework utilizes every available characteristic (the profiles), whereas existing works fail to integrate multiple characteristics. In Section 5, we will also show that incorporating additional characteristics yields a noticeable improvement in the transcription quality.

2.3 Low Rank Approximation

The low-rank approximation (LoRA) by Hu et al. (2021) is a useful and nowadays prevalent technique for fine-tuning transformer models. Instead of updating the pre-trained model, LoRA constructs and updates a low-rank approximation of the weight updates with two matrices (A and B). Compared to directly fine-tuning the model, the LoRA update is more stable and uses much less trainable parameters during the fine-tuning stage. In recent works, variations of LoRA are proposed, including Xu et al. (2024); Dettmers et al. (2023); Babakniya et al. (2023). In this paper, since our focus is not on *which* LoRA implementation is best for ASR, we simply use the original implementation from Hu et al. (2021).

2.4 LoRA Composition and Merging

The origin of the LoRA merging technique is rooted in the area of diffusion models (Ho et al., 2020). The composition (or sometimes also called merging) of multiple LoRA profiles is an emerging technique that is widely used in image generation

tasks. In many works such as Huang et al. (2023) and Zhong et al. (2024), LoRA composition has been proven to be an excellent tool that retains all features of the target population with multiple attributes. However, up until now, such an approach was only proven to work with image generation tasks and very recently LLMs (Huang et al., 2024). To the best of our knowledge, no previous work has explored the effectiveness of LoRA composition in ASR, which is more of a classification than a generation task. We are the first to show that such a non-intrusive approach also works for ASR.

3 Problem Formulation

We focus on developing an ASR inference framework toward a non-static downstream distribution. First of all, we consider a framework M that contains some pre-trained ASR model on some generic distribution G which consists of many audio and transcription pairs. We formalize G as $G = \{(a_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^{N_G}$, where a_i is the audio sample and t_i is its corresponding transcription. The size of the pre-training dataset is N_G .

Since the key to improving downstream ASR tasks rests on the characteristics of the speaker, we formally define characteristics as follows. We note that a speaker may have multiple characteristics. For example, an Irish male speaker would possess both gender (male) and accent (Iris) characteristics. Formally, we define \mathbf{C} to be the set of speaker characteristics groups, such as $\mathbf{C} = \{\text{Accent, Gender}\}$. We can formalize it further as $\mathbf{C} = \{\mathbf{C}^k\}_{k=1}^{|\mathbf{C}|}$, where $|\mathbf{C}|$ gives the size of set \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}^k represents the k -th group of speaker characteristics, such as Accent or Gender. For each group of characteristics, we have multiple possibilities, so $\mathbf{C}^k = \{c_i^{k,j}\}_{j=1}^{|\mathbf{C}^k|}$. For example, if we denote \mathbf{C}^1 as the Accent group, for some dataset. we could have three accents in that group, i.e., $\mathbf{C}^1 = \{\text{Irish, American, Canadian}\}$. In other words, $c_i^{1,1}$ would denote the Irish accent.

Based on the definitions above, we construct the definition of the fine-tuning dataset D for the downstream task. $D = \{(a_i, t_i, \{c_i^{k,j}\})\}_{i=1}^{N_D}$, where $N_D = |D|$. Similar to the pre-training dataset, each data point has an audio a_i and its transcription t_i . In addition, for each data point, we also have the speaker’s characteristics as a set collection in $\{c_i^{k,j}\}$, where k indexes into the type of characteristic, and j points to the exact characteristic. When the fine-tuning dataset is a non-static dataset

that keeps expanding with new data points, we can capture this non-static property by the time-varying nature of the set size of $N_D(T)$. Moreover, $N_D(T)$ is a monotonically increasing function of time T , i.e., the length of the fine-tuning non-static dataset D has the following property: $N_D(T) \leq N_D(T') \iff T \leq T'$.

Due to the dataset expansion, \mathbf{C} and each \mathbf{C}^k may also expand. Referring back to the example, after the dataset expands for some time, it is possible for $\mathbf{C} = \{\text{Accent, Gender, Age}\}$, and $\mathbf{C}^1 = \{\text{Irish, American, Canadian, Australian}\}$.

To maximize the accuracy of M on the downstream task, we use whatever dataset at hand (D with T =current) to fine-tune M . When the dataset expands and we feel the need to adjust M again, we will use the expanded dataset with a new T' .

During the inference stage, we consider two different settings. First, similar to other models, M only gets the audio a_i without the speaker’s characteristics, which we will refer to as “Inferred Characteristics” below. Under this setting, our objective will be $\min WER[M(a_i), t_i]$. For the proposed PI-Whisper, it involves both inferring the speaker’s characteristics and transcribing. In addition to this setting, we consider the case where we know the speaker’s identity a priori, which we will refer to as “Known Characteristics” below. We argue that this setting is also achievable under certain scenarios, particularly in most private settings where the ASR model is more of an assistant tool over a service. Under this setting, the objective function takes the speaker’s characteristics as input, and it will be $\min WER[M(a_i, \{c_i^{k,j}\}), t_i]$.

4 PI-Whisper Design

In this section, we introduce **PI-Whisper** that addresses the aforementioned limitations. By dissecting the fine-tuning from the model and dissecting characteristics from the input, our proposed framework achieves linear scalability with training data and seamlessly supports any number of speaker characteristics. In particular, previous works need to use the entire D at each update to M , but PI-Whisper only uses the new data after the last update. We will first introduce the overall architecture, then dive into the details of individual components of the framework during training, and finally describe the inference pipeline.




Figure 1: Inference Workflow of PI-Whisper

4.1 PI-Whisper in a Nutshell

As shown in Fig. 1, the proposed framework consists of three extra components added to the Whisper model. The first component is used for speaker characteristic identification. It is a CNN-like structure that consists of characteristic encoding blocks and many classifier heads. The speaker characteristic identification component takes a small sample of the audio input and identifies the speaker’s characteristics such as age, accent, and gender. Based on the classified characteristics from the first component, the second PI-Whisper component will dynamically identify and retrieve the corresponding LoRA profile associated with each characteristic from the multiple LoRA profile libraries. Finally, in the last component, PI-Whisper concatenates the associated profiles and forms a distinctive merged LoRA profile that accurately represents the speech patterns of the target speaker. Benefiting from the merged LoRA profiles, the ASR model can achieve maximized transcription quality.

4.2 The Training Pipeline

4.2.1 Data Processing

Since PI-Whisper is a framework that builds upon Whisper, we consider the same data processor as the one used by the original work. Through a discrete Fourier transform (DFT), the 30-second input audio is transformed into a log spectrogram with 80 features, in the shape of $[80, 3000]$. We take a small subset of the transformed audio, e.g., the first 3 seconds of the input in the shape of $[80, 300]$ as the input to the encoder and classifier. Such a decision is made because the speaker’s characteristics are very evident through even very few words (Rizwan et al., 2016), and we find that our clas-

sifier gives very high accuracy in classifying the characteristics, which will be shown in Section 5.

4.2.2 Characteristic Classifier

As discussed in Section 2, we find that there exist many methods to classify input speech samples into different characteristics. In the proposed framework, we choose to use a very simple VGG-style CNN network as the backbone architecture of the classifier (Simonyan and Zisserman, 2015). The proposed classifier has two parts, with a 10-layer CNN module as the feature encoder that is shared among different C^k , and a classifier head for each of C^k . The CNN module consists of 5 CNN blocks, each with two convolutional layers followed by a batch normalization and a pooling layer. The classifier head consists of three dense layers, mapping the latent features into $|C^k|$. All layers in the classifier use ReLU as the activation function and cross-entropy as the loss function.

4.2.3 LoRA Profile Library

Each type of characteristic, C^k , has a corresponding LoRA profile library that could be dynamically loaded into the memory and the framework. Within each library, each characteristic $c^{k,j}$ will have a corresponding LoRA profile. For ease of discussion, for the rest of the paper, we will use the notation of characteristics as the notation for LoRA profiles.

During training, when receiving a training sample $(a_i, t_i, \{c^{k,j}\})$, the framework will use the (a_i, t_i) pair as the training data for each of $c_i^{k,j} \in \{c^{k,j}\}$. That is, suppose a training sample is from a speaker that has the following characteristics: {Age: Teens, Accent: Irish, Gender: Female}, then her audio and transcription pair will be used to train the Teens LoRA profile in the Age library, the Irish

profile in the Accent library, and the Female profile in the Gender library.

4.3 The Inference Pipeline

During inference, depending on the problem setting of accessing the speaker’s characteristics, the pipeline will be slightly different. Specifically, since the Inferred Characteristics setting does not have the speaker’s characteristics, we need to rely on the classifier to infer the profiles that we need to pick from the libraries. Meanwhile, in the other setting, the ground truth of the speaker’s characteristics is known beforehand, which means that we could directly use the ground truth as the label.

Upon knowing the characteristics, the next step is to choose the appropriate LoRA profiles from the libraries. Self-evidently, we just choose the corresponding LoRA profiles based on the characteristics in the same way as defined by the training stage in Section 4.2.3.

Afterward, we would have one profile from each of the $|\mathcal{C}|$ libraries, and we need to merge (or composite) them into one unified LoRA profile that could be used for the downstream task. Through experiments, we have found that concatenating the LoRA profiles according to Equation 1 works the best in terms of transcription quality.

$$W'(x) = W(x) + \left[\bigoplus_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{C}|} w_k B_k \cdot \bigoplus_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{C}|} w_k A_k \right](x) \quad (1)$$

In the equation, $W'(x)$ is the output from a layer with LoRA adaptation, $W(x)$ is the output from a layer calculated with weights from the base model, w_j is the hyperparameter that controls the strength of the LoRA profile, where we follow the convention and set to be $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|}$, and $B_k A_k$ is the LoRA decomposition. The \bigoplus is the concatenation symbol, and the two decomposition matrices of LoRA are concatenated respectively before multiplication.

4.4 Overhead Parameter Calculation

To calculate the highest possible overhead P_{total} of the PI-Whisper components, we use Equation 2 where P_{enc}^1 is the size of the CNN encoder, P_h is the size of the classification head, $|\mathcal{C}|$ is the number of LoRA profile libraries, P_{pro} is the size of each LoRA profile, and the summation counts all profiles in all libraries.

¹It would be $P_{\text{enc}} * |\mathcal{C}|$ if each encoder is separated. In this paper, we consider the encoder to be shared across all classifier heads.

$$P_{\text{total}} = P_{\text{enc}} + P_h * K + P_{\text{pro}} * \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{C}|} |\mathcal{C}^k| \quad (2)$$

This equation captures both settings regardless of whether the characteristics of the individual are known. In the Known Characteristics setting, there is no need for the classifier and hence the P_{enc} and P_h can be set to zero. We will elaborate in Section 5 on the concrete parameter overhead and inference delay of the proposed framework.

5 Experiments and Results

5.1 Experiment Setup

Datasets: For the experiments, we consider two datasets, the L2-Arctic dataset (v5 release) (Zhao et al., 2018) and a subset of the CommonVoice dataset (v17) (Ardila et al., 2020). We use the same subset of the CommonVoice dataset as Prabhu et al. (2023) for easy comparison. The two datasets include audio samples and their corresponding speakers’ characteristics such as gender and accents. Table 2 summarizes the groups of speaker characteristics and the number of unique profiles under each characteristic group for both datasets. More details with the full list can be found in Appendix A. For any train-val-test split, we consider a 50:20:30 split for both CommonVoice and L2-Arctic.

Dataset	Speaker Characteristics		
	Gender	Accent	Age
L2-Arctic	2	6	–
CommonVoice	2	5	9

Table 2: Number of grouped speaker characteristics.

Models: We use the SGD optimizer to train the speaker characteristics classifier for 10 epochs with a learning rate of 1e-4 and a batch size of 256. For the base ASR model, we use Whisper-tiny from Radford et al. (2022), which has 37.7 million parameters that are small enough to fit on most edge devices. When fine-tuning the model with LoRA, we perform a hyperparameter grid search over the learning rate using values of {1e-3, 1e-4, 5e-4, 1e-5, 5e-5}. We leave all other hyperparameters as default and run the fine-tuning for 3 epochs using the Huggingface trainer (Wolf et al., 2020). The LoRA profiles are fine-tuned over the query and key projections of both the encoder and decoder of the Whisper model. We choose the best-tuned LoRA based on its performance on the validation dataset. Throughout the experiments, we choose

word error rate (WER) as the metric to calculate the accuracy of the transcription.




Figure 2: Comparison between PI-Whisper (in orange) and other baseline models on the CommonVoice dataset. All baseline results (in blue) are obtained from Prabhu et al. (2023). Ours (Ac) is the PI-Whisper framework with the accent profile library only, while Ours (All) is the PI-Whisper framework with all three profile libraries, namely accent, gender, and age.

5.2 Comparative Results for PI-Whisper

The experiments are conducted on a Raspberry Pi 5, though the same setups can also run on any platform. In this section, we compare PI-Whisper under Inferred Characteristics setting with other existing ASR models using WER as the metric. While the Whisper model family is currently the most robust model, other models, especially the Conformer model family as reported in (Gulati et al., 2020), could be fine-tuned towards downstream tasks and achieve better performance. Thus, we compare our work with CA (Prabhu et al., 2023), the most recent Conformer variation available. Since we are not able to reproduce their code on our platform, we report the numbers directly from their paper as well as their baselines, i.e., Trans (Dong et al., 2018), Conf (Gulati et al., 2020), I-vector (Chen et al., 2015), MAL (Zhang et al., 2021), and DAT (Das et al., 2021). We summarize the comparison results on the CommonVoice subset (same dataset as used in Prabhu et al. (2023)) in Figure 2. As we can see from the figure, PI-Whisper achieves new SOTA WER results, and the reduction is 3.98% compared to the existing best results as represented by CA.

5.3 Ablation Study

Next, we show that using multiple LoRA profiles indeed brings benefits to the ASR model’s performance. The results are summarized in Table 3, and it is easy to conclude that PI-Whisper is an effective ASR framework optimized for handling diverse speaker characteristics.

Baseline	WER	L2 Arctic	Common Voice
	Base Model	24.51	13.03
One for All	20.23	10.27	
PI-Whisper (Known Characteristics)	Accent (Ac)	17.67	10.26
	Gender (Ge)	19.52	9.87
	Age (Ag)	–	10.45
	Ac + Ge	17.45	9.83
	Ac + Ag	–	9.83
	Ge + Ag	–	9.51
	Ac + Ge + Ag	–	9.24
PI-Whisper (Inferred Characteristics)	Accent (Ac)	17.65	11.04
	Gender (Ge)	19.54	9.92
	Age (Ag)	–	10.73
	Ac + Ge	17.57	9.65
	Ac + Ag	–	9.88
	Ge + Ag	–	9.63
	Ac + Ge + Ag	–	9.62

Table 3: PI-Whisper performance compared to the un-tuned model (Base Model) and one LoRA fine-tuned on the whole dataset (One for All).

First, while the Whisper-tiny model does gain substantial improvement after fine-tuning with a single LoRA profile (One for All), PI-Whisper can improve from that with more fine-grained LoRA profile libraries. For the L2-Arctic dataset, merging accent and gender profiles gives up to 2.78% (13.7% relative) improvement in WER compared to the model with a single LoRA, and the improvement is 1.03% (10.0% relative) for CommonVoice.

Second, merging LoRA profiles is *always* beneficial for ASR. The more profiles we have, the better ASR accuracy we can get with PI-Whisper.

Lastly, it is interesting to note that PI-Whisper achieves comparable WER results for the known (given) speaker characteristics and the inferred speaker characteristics (through our classifiers). We attribute such comparable WER results to the high accuracy of our trained speaker characteristics identification classifiers. We show such results in Table 4. As we can see from the table, the classifier performs especially well for relatively easy speaker characteristics like gender.

Accuracy	L2-Arctic	CommonVoice
Accent	98	85
Gender	99	98
Age	–	79

Table 4: Accuracy of speaker characteristics classifiers.

5.4 Overhead Analysis

In this section, we will show the runtime performance of PI-Whisper compared to the baselines. Since we choose to use concatenation as the LoRA composition method, we report the usage over multiple LoRA profiles. We use a Raspberry Pi 5 as the hardware platform, and each setting is run 10 times. In Figure 3, we show the inference time across the two settings. From the results, we draw some noteworthy observations:

- The inference time scales linearly with the number of profiles for both settings (after using at least 1 LoRA profile).
- The overhead of using LoRA as the fine-tuning method (as opposed to no fine-tuning) is acceptable, which is around 0.18 seconds (6.9%) per sample.
- The overhead of using a classifier for the Anonymous setting is acceptable, which is around 0.056 (2.0%) seconds per sample.




Figure 3: Time required (in seconds) for PI-Whisper’s one audio sample. When the number of LoRA profiles is 0, Whisper is the base model without fine-tuning.

The marginal overhead in inference delay from LoRA and CNN classifiers is reasonable because the main bottleneck for inference is the attention layers in the transformer architecture (Ermis et al., 2022). Regarding parameter sizes, the highest overhead is around 21.8% for L2-Arctic and 37.4% for CommonVoice. In the Identified setting, the highest RAM overhead is 12.5% for L2-Arctic and 25.0% for CommonVoice. However, if the user is willing to sacrifice some inference speed by loading the classifier and LoRA profiles separately, or even dynamically load LoRA profiles into the RAM, the RAM overhead could be much smaller.

5.5 Fairness Analysis

Last but not least, since PI-Whisper can adapt to different speakers’ characteristics, we expect it to perform equally well for different speaker groups

Profiles	Model	SPD	DIR
Accent	Base Model	0.5028	5.9696
	One for All	0.2843	4.4919
	PI-Whisper (Ac)	0.2620	4.0154
	PI-Whisper (All)	0.2065	3.6375
Gender	Base Model	0.0408	1.3647
	One for All	0.0245	1.2677
	PI-Whisper (Ge)	0.0254	1.2746
	PI-Whisper (All)	0.0222	1.2545
Age	Base Model	0.0833	1.9292
	One for All	0.0588	1.7959
	PI-Whisper (Ag)	0.0578	1.6988
	PI-Whisper (All)	0.0442	1.6266

Table 5: Fairness comparison between using PI-Whisper LoRA profiles and using an untuned model (Base Model) or one LoRA fine-tuned on the whole dataset (One for All). Evaluation is done with accent, gender, and age characteristics on CommonVoice.

without significantly biasing toward certain diversity groups. To validate such a hypothesis, we run a set of empirical experiments on the CommonVoice dataset and measure the effect of using multiple LoRA profiles. We adopt two fairness metrics, the statistical parity difference (*SPD*) and disparate impact ratio (*DIR*) (Raj et al., 2023), while considering the use of our WER metric as shown in Equation (3) and (4). SPD is defined as the difference in WER between the best and the worst speaker groups; while DIR is defined as the ratio between the best and worst speaker groups.

$$SPD = \max |WER_i - WER_j| \forall i, j \in C^k \quad (3)$$

$$DIR = \max \left| \frac{WER_i}{WER_j} \right| \forall i, j \in C^k \quad (4)$$

We report the results in Table 5. We first observe that, compared to the base model and the fine-tuned model with the traditional LoRA approach, our solution with a LoRA profile library for a specific speaker group characteristic can achieve higher fairness scores. The fairness scores get even better when more than one LoRA profile are considered, which is consistent with our expectations.

6 Conclusion

In conclusion, we propose a novel ASR framework, PI-Whisper. Compared to existing ASR frameworks, PI-Whisper has advantages in deployment feasibility, adherence to speaker diversity, and the ability to incrementally adjust to new speaker characteristics. By leveraging multiple LoRA profile

libraries and dynamically selecting and merging the LoRA profiles based on the characteristics of the speaker, PI-Whisper provides better performance for downstream ASR tasks in terms of transcription accuracy and fairness.

7 Limitations

We recognize several limitations of our work. Some of the limitations are on areas of less importance and we choose not to experiment and elaborate, and some of them are due to resource limitations.

1. We do not discuss the classifier’s potential quadratic retraining cost. Since the classifier is a CNN structure, the retraining/adaptation cost can be linear with continual learning and incremental learning. There is a rich literature on this subject, such as (Wang et al., 2024), but that requires additional work over what we present in the paper.
2. We do not discuss the weights for merging the LoRA profiles. In the paper, we assume it is $\frac{1}{K}$ and use the same number throughout the experiments. However, there exist more advanced methods of selecting the merging weights, such as Wu et al. (2024).
3. This work is not about optimizing the classifier for different characteristics. We just choose a reasonable network that has decent performance. We believe that the accuracy of the classifier could be higher, but we choose not to work on that in this paper.
4. We choose to use a fixed seed (42) for everything and only run the experiments once. We acknowledge that a better way is to run the experiments multiple times and report the average and variance, but due to resource constraints (GPU), we are not able to conduct experiments with multiple trials.
5. We conduct experiments with one model and two datasets. It would be good if we could conduct more experiments on other models and datasets, but we did not do so due to resource constraints, similar to the reason above.

References

- Shimaa Ahmed, Amrita Roy Chowdhury, Kassem Fawaz, and Parmesh Ramanathan. 2020. [Preech: A system for Privacy-Preserving speech transcription](#). In *29th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 20)*, pages 2703–2720. USENIX Association.
- Rosana Ardila, Megan Branson, Kelly Davis, Michael Kohler, Josh Meyer, Michael Henretty, Reuben Morais, Lindsay Saunders, Francis Tyers, and Gregor Weber. 2020. [Common voice: A massively-multilingual speech corpus](#). In *Proceedings of the Twelfth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pages 4218–4222, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Sara Babakniya, Ahmed Roushdy Elkordy, Yahya H. Ezzeldin, Qingfeng Liu, Kee-Bong Song, Mostafa El-Khamy, and Salman Avestimehr. 2023. [Slora: Federated parameter efficient fine-tuning of language models](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2308.06522.
- Alexei Baeviski, Henry Zhou, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Michael Auli. 2020. [wav2vec 2.0: a framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations](#). In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NIPS ’20*, Red Hook, NY, USA. Curran Associates Inc.
- Max Bain, Jaesung Huh, Tengda Han, and Andrew Zisserman. 2023. [WhisperX: Time-Accurate Speech Transcription of Long-Form Audio](#). In *Proc. INTER-SPEECH 2023*, pages 4489–4493.
- Mingming Chen, Zhanlei Yang, Jizhong Liang, Yanpeng Li, and Wenju Liu. 2015. [Improving deep neural networks based multi-accent mandarin speech recognition using i-vectors and accent-specific top layer](#). In *Interspeech*.
- Nilaksh Das, Sravan Bodapati, Monica Sunkara, Sundararajan Srinivasan, and Duen Horng Chau. 2021. [Best of both worlds: Robust accented speech recognition with adversarial transfer learning](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2103.05834.
- Tim Dettmers, Artidoro Pagnoni, Ari Holtzman, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2023. [QLoRA: Efficient finetuning of quantized LLMs](#). In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Linhao Dong, Shuang Xu, and Bo Xu. 2018. [Speech-transformer: A no-recurrence sequence-to-sequence model for speech recognition](#). In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 5884–5888.
- Beyza Ermis, Giovanni Zappella, Martin Wistuba, Aditya Rawal, and Cedric Archambeau. 2022. [Memory efficient continual learning with transformers](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Arlo Faria. 2006. [Accent classification for speech recognition](#). In *Machine Learning for Multimodal Interaction*, pages 285–293, Berlin, Heidelberg. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

- Anmol Gulati, James Qin, Chung-Cheng Chiu, Niki Parmar, Yu Zhang, Jiahui Yu, Wei Han, Shibo Wang, Zhengdong Zhang, Yonghui Wu, and Ruoming Pang. 2020. [Conformer: Convolution-augmented Transformer for Speech Recognition](#). In *Proc. Interspeech 2020*, pages 5036–5040.
- Hannes Heikinheimo. 2023. [Analyzing open ai’s whisper asr accuracy: Word error rates across languages and model sizes](#).
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. [Denoising diffusion probabilistic models](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2006.11239.
- Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. 2021. [Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*.
- Chengsong Huang, Qian Liu, Bill Yuchen Lin, Tianyu Pang, Chao Du, and Min Lin. 2024. [Lorahub: Efficient cross-task generalization via dynamic lora composition](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2307.13269.
- Ziqi Huang, Kelvin C.K. Chan, Yuming Jiang, and Ziwei Liu. 2023. [Collaborative diffusion for multi-modal face generation and editing](#). In *2023 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 6080–6090.
- Abhinav Jain, Minali Upreti, and Preethi Jyothi. 2018. [Improved Accented Speech Recognition Using Accent Embeddings and Multi-task Learning](#). In *Proc. Interspeech 2018*, pages 2454–2458.
- Jared Kaplan, Sam McCandlish, Tom Henighan, Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Chess, Rewon Child, Scott Gray, Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, and Dario Amodei. 2020. [Scaling laws for neural language models](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2001.08361.
- Chunxi Liu, Frank Zhang, Duc Le, Suyoun Kim, Yatharth Saraf, and Geoffrey Zweig. 2021. [Improving rnn transducer based asr with auxiliary tasks](#). In *2021 IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT)*, pages 172–179.
- Zhe Liu, Ke Li, Shreyan Bakshi, and Fuchun Peng. 2022. [Private language model adaptation for speech recognition](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2110.10026.
- Michael McAuliffe, Michaela Socolof, Sarah Mihuc, Michael Wagner, and Morgan Sonderegger. 2017. [Montreal Forced Aligner: Trainable Text-Speech Alignment Using Kaldi](#). In *Proc. Interspeech 2017*, pages 498–502.
- Vassil Panayotov, Guoguo Chen, Daniel Povey, and Sanjeev Khudanpur. 2015. [Librispeech: An asr corpus based on public domain audio books](#). In *2015 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 5206–5210.
- Daniel Povey, Arnab Ghoshal, Gilles Boulianne, Lukavs Burget, Ondrej Glembek, Nagendra Kumar Goel, Mirko Hannemann, Petr Motlíček, Yanmin Qian, Petr Schwarz, Jan Silovský, Georg Stemmer, and Karel Veselý. 2011. [The kaldi speech recognition toolkit](#).
- Darshan Deepak Prabhu, Preethi Jyothi, Sriram Ganapathy, and Vinit Unni. 2023. [Accented speech recognition with accent-specific codebooks](#). In *The 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*.
- Archana Purwar, Hardik Sharma, Yukti Sharma, Himanshu Gupta, and Amanpreet Kaur. 2022. [Accent classification using machine learning and deep learning models](#). In *2022 1st International Conference on Informatics (ICI)*, pages 13–18.
- Ruiyang Qin, Dancheng Liu, Zheyu Yan, Zhaoxuan Tan, Zixuan Pan, Zhengge Jia, Meng Jiang, Ahmed Abbasi, Jinjun Xiong, and Yiyu Shi. 2024. [Empirical guidelines for deploying llms onto resource-constrained edge devices](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2406.03777.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brockman, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever. 2022. [Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2212.04356.
- Chahat Raj, Anjishnu Mukherjee, and Ziwei Zhu. 2023. [True and fair: Robust and unbiased fake news detection via interpretable machine learning](#). In *Proceedings of the 2023 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society*, AIES ’23, page 962–963, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Kanishka Rao and Haşim Sak. 2017. [Multi-accent speech recognition with hierarchical grapheme based models](#). In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 4815–4819.
- Muhammad Rizwan, Babafemi O. Odelowo, and David V. Anderson. 2016. [Word based dialect classification using extreme learning machines](#). In *2016 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, pages 2625–2629.
- Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. 2015. [Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition](#). In *ICLR*.
- Tianyu Song, Linh Thi Hoai Nguyen, and Ton Viet Ta. 2023. [Mpsa-densenet: A novel deep learning model for english accent classification](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2306.08798.
- Matthew N. Stuttle. 2003. [A gaussian mixture model spectral representation for speech recognition](#).
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2023. [Attention is all you need](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:1706.03762.

Liyuan Wang, Xingxing Zhang, Hang Su, and Jun Zhu. 2024. [A comprehensive survey of continual learning: Theory, method and application](#). *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, pages 1–20.

Genta Indra Winata, Samuel Cahyawijaya, Zihan Liu, Zhaojiang Lin, Andrea Madotto, Peng Xu, and Pascale Fung. 2020. Learning fast adaptation on cross-accented speech recognition.

Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Perric Cistac, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander M. Rush. 2020. [Transformers: State-of-the-Art Natural Language Processing](#). pages 38–45. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Xun Wu, Shaohan Huang, and Furu Wei. 2024. [Mixture of loRA experts](#). In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*.

Yuhui Xu, Lingxi Xie, Xiaotao Gu, Xin Chen, Heng Chang, Hengheng Zhang, Zhengsu Chen, XI-AOPENG ZHANG, and Qi Tian. 2024. [QA-loRA: Quantization-aware low-rank adaptation of large language models](#). In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*.

Albert Zeyer, Patrick Doetsch, Paul Voigtlaender, Ralf Schlüter, and Hermann Ney. 2017. [A comprehensive study of deep bidirectional lstm rnns for acoustic modeling in speech recognition](#). In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 2462–2466.

Jicheng Zhang, Yizhou Peng, Pham Van Tung, Haihua Xu, Hao Huang, and Eng Siong Chng. 2021. [E2e-based multi-task learning approach to joint speech and accent recognition](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2106.08211.

Guanlong Zhao, Sinem Sonsaat, Alif Silpachai, Ivana Lucic, Evgeny Chukharev-Hudilainen, John Levis, and Ricardo Gutierrez-Osuna. 2018. [L2-ARCTIC: A Non-native English Speech Corpus](#). In *Proc. Interspeech 2018*, pages 2783–2787.

Ming Zhong, Yelong Shen, Shuohang Wang, Yadong Lu, Yizhu Jiao, Siru Ouyang, Donghan Yu, Jiawei Han, and Weizhu Chen. 2024. [Multi-lora composition for image generation](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2402.16843.

Wei Zhou, Haotian Wu, Jingjing Xu, Mohammad Zeineldeen, Christoph Lüscher, Ralf Schlüter, and Hermann Ney. 2023. [Enhancing and adversarial: Improve asr with speaker labels](#). In *ICASSP 2023 - 2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 1–5.

A Appendix

A.1 Dataset Specifications

In this section we include the Different profiles included in the CommonVoice and Arctic Datasets we use.

Gender	Accent
Male	Arabic Chinese
Female	Hindi Korean
	Spanish Vietnamese

Table 6: Characteristics of Arctic Dataset

Gender	Accent	Age
Male	Australian	Teens Twenties
Female	English	Thirties Forties
	Canadian	Fifties Sixties
	Scottish	Seventies Eighties
	United States	Nineties

Table 7: Characteristics of CommonVoice Dataset

A.2 Parameter Size of Each Module

Here, we report the detailed number of parameters of Equation 2, in million parameters. Under our experiment setting, $P_{enc} = 1.18$, $P_h = 1.16$, $P_{pro} = 0.59$. In addition, the base model is 37.7.