

# ON MUTUALLY $\mu$ -INTERSECTING QUASI-HERMITIAN VARIETIES WITH SOME APPLICATIONS

ANGELA AGUGLIA, LUCA GIUZZI, AND VIOLA SICONOLFI

ABSTRACT. Mutually intersecting varieties of a finite Desarguesian projective space are varieties with the same size, such that the intersection of two of them contains the same number of points.

Here, we show the existence of a new family of mutually intersecting varieties by using certain quasi-Hermitian varieties of  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$ , where  $q$  is any prime power. With the help of these quasi-Hermitian varieties we provide a new construction of 5-dimensional MDS codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  as well as an infinite family of simple orthogonal arrays  $OA(q^{2n-1}, q^{2n-2}, q, 2)$  of index  $\mu = q^{2n-3}$ .

Algebraic Variety; MDS code; Orthogonal Array; Collineation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [10] and [11] Fuji-Hara and Miyamoto study sets of mutually  $M$ -intersecting varieties where  $M$  is a set of non-negative integers. These are varieties  $\mathcal{V}(f_1), \dots, \mathcal{V}(f_s)$  in  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$  or  $\text{AG}(n, q^2)$  satisfying the following two properties:

- $|\mathcal{V}(f_i)| = k$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, s$ ;
- $|\mathcal{V}(f_i) \cap \mathcal{V}(f_j)| \in M$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, s$  and  $i \neq j$ .

In the case of  $M = \{\mu\}$  we abuse the notation and just talk about  $\mu$ -intersecting varieties (instead of the more proper form  $\{\mu\}$ -intersecting). Having a family of  $\mu$ -intersecting varieties is directly related to many combinatorial constructions, e.g. combinatorial designs, orthogonal arrays and maximum distance separable codes.

In [2] the authors use the  $\mu$ -intersection property of some Hermitian varieties to construct a family of orthogonal arrays. A similar approach can be found in [5], where an orthogonal array is obtained by using the  $\mu$ -intersection property of some quasi-Hermitian varieties in  $\text{AG}(4, q^2)$  with  $q$  even. The varieties of [5] are the affine points of some BM quasi-Hermitian variety whose definition we briefly recall here (for more details see Section 2).

First of all, a non-singular Hermitian variety  $H(n, q^2)$  in  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$  is the set of absolute points of a Hermitian polarity of  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$ . Many properties of a non-singular Hermitian variety  $H(n, q^2)$  in  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$  are known. In particular, its size is  $(q^{n+1} + (-1)^n)(q^n - (-1)^n)/(q^2 - 1)$ , and its intersection numbers with the hyperplanes of  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$  are equal to  $(q^n + (-1)^{n-1})(q^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1})/(q^2 - 1)$ , in case the hyperplane is a non-tangent hyperplane to  $H(n, q^2)$ , and equal to  $1 + q^2(q^{n-1} + (-1)^n)(q^{n-2} - (-1)^n)/(q^2 - 1)$  in case the hyperplane is a tangent hyperplane to  $H(n, q^2)$ ; see [19] for detail.

A *quasi-Hermitian variety* of  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$  is a set of points in the finite projective space which has the same size and the same intersection numbers with hyperplanes as the (projectively unique) non-degenerate Hermitian variety constructed in the same space. In particular, quasi-Hermitian varieties are 2-character sets; see [13].

Obviously, a Hermitian variety  $H(n, q^2)$  can be viewed as a trivial quasi-Hermitian variety; so we call  $H(n, q^2)$  the *classical quasi-Hermitian variety* of  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$ .

This notion is a natural generalization of that of unital in  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ . Recall that unitals are sets of  $q^3 + 1$  points in the plane that meet every line in 1 or  $q + 1$  points. Unitals in projective planes have been widely investigated; see e.g. the monography [9].

In 1976, F. Buekenhout introduced a general construction for unitals in finite translation planes of square order [8]; later R. Metz [15] showed how to use this construction in order to obtain non-classical unitals in  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$  that is unitals which are not projectively equivalent to Hermitian curve. These unitals are called Buekenhout-Metz (BM) unitals. In [1] a large family of quasi-Hermitian varieties of  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$  indexed by pairs of elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  is constructed. These quasi-Hermitian varieties, called *BM quasi-Hermitian varieties*, turn out to be a somehow natural generalization of BM-unitals to higher dimension and also sport similar equivalence classes; see [4].

In the present paper we consider a  $\mu$ -intersecting family of BM quasi-Hermitian varieties and present some applications to codes and orthogonal arrays. In Section 2 we recall some basics on the construction of BM quasi-Hermitian varieties and fix the notation we shall use in the rest of the paper; in particular, in subsection 2.1 we recall the construction of BM quasi-Hermitian varieties, while in subsection 2.2 we mention some basics about coding theory; subsection 2.3 is dedicated to orthogonal arrays.

Our main geometric result is presented in Section 3, where a family of BM quasi-Hermitian varieties mutually intersecting in  $q^{2n-2}$  affine points in  $\text{AG}(n, q^2)$  is presented. In Section 4, for the special case in which  $n = 3$  (but the construction holds in general), we use these varieties to obtain a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear code over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  with  $q^5$  words, length  $q$  and minimum distance  $q - 4$  which turns out to be equivalent to a subcode of the classical Reed-Solomon code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Finally, in Section 5 we apply the construction of Section 3 to obtain an orthogonal array with parameters  $OA(q^{2n-1}, q^{2n-2}, q, 2)$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. On BM quasi-Hermitian varieties.** Let  $q$  be a prime power (either odd or even, unless explicitly mentioned). We consider the field extension  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} : \mathbb{F}_q$  and define the (relative) trace and norm as

$$\text{Tr}(x) := x + x^q, \quad N(x) := x^{q+1} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}.$$

Put also  $T_0 := \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \mid \text{Tr}(x) = 0\}$ .

We shall work in the projective space  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$ , a point of  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$  will be described by a class of vectors in homogeneous coordinates  $[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)]$ . We fix as hyperplane at infinity, the hyperplane  $\Sigma_\infty$  of  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$  with equation  $x_0 = 0$ , i.e.

$$\Sigma_\infty := \{(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\} \subset \text{PG}(n, q^2).$$

Let  $\text{AG}(n, q^2)$  the affine space given by  $\text{PG}(n, q^2) \setminus \Sigma_\infty$ . Under this assumption, the points of  $\text{AG}(n, q^2)$  correspond to classes  $[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)] \in \text{PG}(n, q^2)$ ,  $x_0 \neq 0$  and can be represented by the vector coordinates  $(x_1/x_0, \dots, x_n/x_0) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ .

We shall use interchangeably affine and projective equations, as the case warrants.

Take  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ . Define  $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$  as the projective variety of equation

$$\mathcal{B}_{a,b} : X_n^q X_0^q - X_n X_0^{2q-1} + a^q (X_1^{2q} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{2q}) - a (X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2) X_0^{2q-2} = (b^q - b)(X_1^{q+1} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{q+1}) X_0^{q-1}. \quad (1)$$

and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \Sigma_\infty$  as the Hermitian cone

$$\mathcal{F} := \{(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1^{q+1} + \dots + x_n^{q+1}\}$$

We can now define  $\mathcal{M}_{a,b}$  as

$$\mathcal{M}_{a,b} := (\mathcal{B}_{a,b} \setminus \Sigma_\infty) \cup \mathcal{F}.$$

We observe that  $\mathcal{M}_{a,b}$  is not be described explicitly in terms of equations but rather in terms of the gluing of the affine part of the variety  $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$  of degree  $2q$  with some points at infinity. In any case, as any set of points in  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$  can be regarded as a (suitable) algebraic variety, this should not engender confusion.

If  $a = 0$  then  $\mathcal{M}_{a,b}$  is the point-set of a classical quasi-Hermitian variety. In the case in which  $a \neq 0$  then, from [1, Section 3] and [3, Section 3] we know that  $\mathcal{M}_{ab}$  is a non-classical quasi-Hermitian variety if either of the following conditions holds:

- (QH1)  $n$  and  $q$  are odd and  $4a^{q+1} + (b^q - b)^2 \neq 0$ ;
- (QH2)  $n$  is even,  $q$  is odd and  $4a^{q+1} + (b^q - b)^2$  is a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ;
- (QH3)  $n$  and  $q$  are even and  $\text{Tr}(\frac{a^{q+1}}{(b^q+b)^2}) = 0$ ;
- (QH4)  $n$  is odd and  $q$  is even.

This quasi-Hermitian variety is called a *BM quasi-Hermitian variety*.

**2.2. Coding theory.** In this paper we adopt the book by F. MacWilliams and N.Sloane [14] as a standard reference for coding theory. We recall that a code  $C$  of length  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and minimum (Hamming) distance  $d$  is just a set of  $N$  vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  which pairwise differ in at least  $d$  components. A code  $C$  is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear if it is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , clearly in this case  $N = q^k$  where  $k = \dim(C)$ .

In this paper we shall consider a code whose words are in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ , but which is only  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear. In particular we shall adopt the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$  be a code which is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear. We shall say that  $C$  is *equivalent* to a code  $C' \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  if there is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear isometric mapping  $\varphi : C \rightarrow C'$ .

It is known (Singleton bound) that  $N \leq q^{n-d+1}$ , it is of particular interest the study of situations when the equality holds. Codes with this property are called *maximum distance separable* codes or MDS for short and they have a relevant role in the theory of error correcting codes. If a code is MDS the above inequality can be read as  $d \leq n - k + 1$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  be the ring of all polynomials in the indeterminate  $t$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We write  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]_{\deg \leq k-1}$  for the vector space of all polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  in the unknown  $t$  and degree at most  $k-1$ . Clearly  $\dim(\mathbb{F}_q[t]_{\deg \leq k-1}) = k$ .

A well known family of linear MDS codes is given by Reed-Solomon codes (see [18, 20] notation:  $RS_q(n, k)$ ), they can be defined as follows:

**Definition 2.2.** The Reed-Solomon code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is

$$RS_q(n, k) = \{(f(\omega_1), \dots, f(\omega_n)) : f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]_{\deg \leq k-1}\}.$$

where  $n \leq q - 1$  and  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  are distinct elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ . If we take all values of  $\omega_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , then we have a code of length  $q$  which is called an *extended Reed-Solomon code* and denoted by  $RS_q(q, k)$ .

Extended Reed-Solomon codes can be further extended by adding an extra component to every codeword (the component corresponding to evaluating the polynomials “at infinity”). Codes thus obtained are called *doubly extended Reed-Solomon*. We shall also denote them by the symbol  $RS_q(q + 1, k)$ .

It is straightforward to see that the parameters of  $RS_q(n, k)$  are  $[n, k, n - k + 1]$ .

A generalized (extended/doubly extended) Reed-Solomon code  $GRS_q(n, k)$  can be obtained from a Reed-Solomon code by multiplying each entry in every codeword by some fixed non-zero elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In particular

**Definition 2.3.** A generalized Reed-Solomon code is

$$GRS_q(n, k) = \{(\alpha_1 f(\omega_1), \dots, \alpha_n f(\omega_n)) : f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]_{\deg \leq k-1}\}.$$

where  $n \leq q + 1$ ,  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  are distinct elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ .

It is a long-standing conjecture that when  $k > 3$ , every  $q$ -ary MDS code of length  $q + 1$  and dimension  $k$  must be a generalized doubly extended Reed-Solomon code. In several cases (e.g. when  $q$  is prime or when  $k$  is small) the conjecture has been settled; see [7].

**2.3. Orthogonal arrays.** An orthogonal array of strength  $t$  is a matrix  $OA(N, k, v, t)$  of type  $N \times k$  with the following properties:

- the entries are in a set  $S$  of cardinality  $v$ ,  $v$  is called the number of ‘levels’;
- every  $t$ -uple of elements in  $S$  appears exactly  $k/v^t$  times in each subarray of type  $k \times t$ . The number  $k/v^t$  is called the *index* of the orthogonal array.

Orthogonal arrays are versatile objects that since their introduction (see[16, 17]), have been used for a wide range of applications: from statistics to cryptography, we mention in particular a recent work on orthogonal arrays and drones [6]. We refer to [21] for further details.

In what follows we depict a well-known geometric method to build an orthogonal array. We start with a set of  $k$  homogenous forms  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  in  $n + 1$  unknowns over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , we denote by  $V(f_i)$  the variety associated to  $f_i$ . We then consider a set  $\mathscr{W} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  with the crucial property that  $|V(f_i) \cap V(f_j) \cap \mathscr{W}|$  does not depend on  $i$  and  $j$ , we denote by  $N = |\mathscr{W}|$ . This allows the definition of a  $N \times k$  array :

$$A(f_1, \dots, f_k) = \{(f_1(x) \dots f_k(x)) : x \in \mathscr{W}\}.$$

which turns out to be an orthogonal array. This method have been used for Hermitian varieties in [2] and for quasi-Hermitian varieties in [5] in the particular case of even characteristic and  $n = 3$ . In Section 5 of the present paper we extend the latter result removing the constraint on  $n$  and on the characteristic.

### 3. $\mu$ -INTERSECTING QUASI-HERMITIAN VARIETIES

As before, let  $\Sigma_\infty = \{(0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) | x_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\}$  be the hyperplane at infinity of  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$ . Denote by  $G$  the subgroup of  $\text{PGL}(n+1, q^2)$  consisting of all collineations

$$(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)M$$

admitting a (normalized) matrix representative of the form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_{n-1} & \alpha_n \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \beta_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & \beta_2 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & \beta_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

with  $\alpha_s, \beta_j \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . The group  $G$  has order  $q^{2(2n-1)}$ . It stabilises the hyperplane  $\Sigma_\infty$ , fixes the point  $P_\infty(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$  and acts transitively on  $\text{AG}(n, q^2)$ .

From now on, we will always assume the following to hold:  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$  and one of the conditions (QH1)...(QH4) holds.

Consider the affine equation of  $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$ :

$$X_n^q - X_n + a^q(X_1^{2q} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{2q}) - a(X_1^2 + \dots + X_{n-1}^2) = (b^q - b)(X_1^{q+1} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{q+1}) \quad (3)$$

The subgroup  $\Psi$  of  $G$  preserving  $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$  consists of all collineations whose matrices satisfy the condition

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_n^q - \alpha_n + a^q(\alpha_1^{2q} + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}^{2q}) - a(\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}^2) = (b^q - b)(\alpha_1^{q+1} + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}^{q+1}) \\ \beta_1 = (b - b^q)\alpha_1^q - 2a\alpha_1 \\ \beta_2 = (b - b^q)\alpha_2^q - 2a\alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{n-1} = (b - b^q)\alpha_{n-1}^q - 2a\alpha_{n-1} \end{cases}.$$

Thus,  $\Psi$  contains  $q^{(2n-1)}$  collineations and acts on the affine points of  $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$  as a sharply transitive permutation group.

The stabiliser in  $G$  of the origin  $O(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$  fixes the line  $OP_\infty$  point-wise, while is transitive on the points of each other line passing through  $P_\infty$ . Furthermore, the centre of  $G$  comprises all collineations induced by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \alpha_n \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

with  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . The subset of  $G$  consisting of all collineations induced by (4) with  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}_q$  is a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  that acts semiregularly on the affine points of  $\text{AG}(n, q^2)$  and preserves each line parallel to  $X_n = 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{a_1 = 0, \dots, a_q\}$  be a transversal of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , viewed as an additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Observe that if  $\varepsilon$  is a primitive element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  can be taken as

$$\mathcal{C} = \varepsilon\mathbb{F}_q = \{\varepsilon w \mid w \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

Let also  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the subset of  $G$  whose collineations are induced by matrices of the form

$$M' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-1} & \alpha_n \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  are taken arbitrarily, while  $\alpha_n$  is the unique solution in  $\mathcal{C}$  of the equation

$$\alpha_n^q - \alpha_n + a^q(\alpha_1^{2q} + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}^{2q}) - a(\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}^2) = (b^q - b)(\alpha_1^{q+1} + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}^{q+1}) \quad (6)$$

The set  $\mathcal{R}$  has cardinality  $q^{2n-2}$  and can be used to construct a set of BM quasi-Hermitian varieties pairwise intersecting in  $q^{2n-2}$  affine points as follows.

Denote by

$$F(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_0^q X_n^q - X_n X_0^{2q-1} + a^q(X_1^{2q} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{2q}) + \\ - a(X_1^2 + \dots + X_{n-1}^2) X_0^{2q-2} - (b^q - b)(X_1^{q+1} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{q+1}) X_0^{q-1}$$

the homogenous form associated to the variety  $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *The set of forms  $\{F^g | g \in \mathcal{R}\}$  is associated to a set  $\{\mathcal{V}(F^g) | g \in \mathcal{R}\}$  of  $q^{2n-2}$  varieties in  $\text{PG}(n, q^2)$ , mutually intersecting in  $q^{2n-2}$  affine points.*

*Proof.* First observe that  $\mathcal{V}(F^{g_1}) \neq \mathcal{V}(F^{g_2})$  if  $g_1 \neq g_2$ . It is now sufficient to show that the number of solutions in  $\mathcal{A} := \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{n+1} : x_0 = 1\}$ , to the system

$$\begin{cases} F(X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = 0 \\ F^g(X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

is  $q^{2n-2}$  for any  $g \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \{id\}$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have to solve this system:

$$\begin{cases} X_n^q - X_n + a^q(X_1^{2q} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{2q}) - a(X_1^2 + \dots + X_{n-1}^2) + \\ \quad - (b^q - b)(X_1^{q+1} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{q+1}) = 0 \\ X_n^q - X_n + a^q(X_1^{2q} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{2q}) - a(X_1^2 + \dots + X_{n-1}^2) + \\ \quad - (b^q - b)(X_1^{q+1} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{q+1}) + [2a^q\alpha_1^q - (b^q - b)\alpha_1]X_1^q + \dots + \\ \quad [2a^q\alpha_{n-1}^q - (b^q - b)\alpha_{n-1}]X_{n-1}^q - [2a\alpha_1 + (b^q - b)\alpha_1^q]X_1 + \\ \quad \dots - [2a\alpha_{n-1} + (b^q - b)\alpha_{n-1}^q]X_{n-1} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Subtracting the first equation from the second we get

$$(s_1 X_1 + \dots + s_{n-1} X_{n-1})^q - (s_1 X_1 + \dots + s_{n-1} X_{n-1}) = 0, \quad (9)$$

where  $s_i = 2a\alpha_i + (b^q - b)\alpha_i^q$ ,  $\forall i = 1 \dots n-1$ . Since  $g$  is not the identity,  $s_i \neq 0$ ; hence, Equation (9) is equivalent to the union of  $q$  linear equations in  $X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Thus, there are  $q^{2n-3}$  tuples  $(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$  satisfying (9). For each such a tuple, (8) has  $q$  solutions in  $X_n$  that provide a coset of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Therefore, the system (7) has  $q^{2n-2}$  solutions in  $\mathcal{A}$  and the result follows.  $\square$

The following lemma will be used in the forthcoming sections.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{W} := \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_0 = 1, x_n \in \mathcal{C}\}$ . If  $(1, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $(1, x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$  are two distinct elements of  $\mathcal{W}$  then there is a  $g \in \mathcal{R}$  such that*

$$F^g(1, x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq F^g(1, x'_1, \dots, x'_n).$$

*Proof.* Assume the contrary, that is that

$$F^g(1, x_1, \dots, x_n) = F^g(1, x'_1, \dots, x'_n) \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{R} \quad (10)$$

for  $(1, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $(1, x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$  two distinct  $(n+1)$ -tuples in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Equality (10) implies  $q^{2n-2}$  equations in the  $2n$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n$ . We write (10) explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_n^q - x_n + a^q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{2q} + 2\alpha_i^q x_i^q \right) - a \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + 2\alpha_i x_i \right) \\ & \quad - (b - b^q) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^{q+1} + \alpha_i x_i^q + \alpha_i^q x_i \right) = \\ & = x_n'^q - x_n' + a^q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i'^{2q} + 2\alpha_i^q x_i'^q \right) - a \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i'^2 + 2\alpha_i x_i' \right) - \\ & \quad (b - b^q) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i'^{q+1} + \alpha_i x_i'^q + \alpha_i^q x_i' \right) \end{aligned}$$

Or equivalently:

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_n - x_n')^q - (x_n - x_n') + a^q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2 - x_i'^2)^q \right) - a \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2 - (x_i')^2) \right) \\ & \quad - (b - b^q) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^{q+1} - x_i'^{q+1} \right) = \\ & = a^q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n 2\alpha_i^q (x_i - x_i')^q \right) - a \left( \sum_{i=1}^n 2\alpha_i (x_i - x_i') \right) + (b - b^q) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (x_i - x_i')^q + \alpha_i^q (x_i - x_i') \right) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for every possible value of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . We notice the left hand side does not depend on the  $\alpha_i$  and it is 0 for  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$ , we deduce that

$$a^q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n 2\alpha_i^q (x_i - x_i')^q \right) - a \left( \sum_{i=1}^n 2\alpha_i (x_i - x_i') \right) + (b - b^q) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (x_i - x_i')^q + \alpha_i^q (x_i - x_i') \right) = 0$$

where the last equality stands for every possible value of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ . In particular one can fix  $\alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_{n-1} = 0$  and obtain:

$$B^q - B = 0, \text{ where } B = (\alpha_1^q (b - b^q) - 2\alpha_1 a)(x_1 - x_1')$$

this means that  $B \in \mathbb{F}_q$  for every possible value of  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ ; note that this is only possible if  $x_1 = x_1'$ . The same reasoning holds in general, thus we conclude that  $x_i = x_i'$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . We deduce that the first equation from (11) reads:

$$(x_n - x_n')^q - (x_n - x_n') = 0,$$

implying  $x_n - x'_n \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , because  $x_n, x'_n \in \mathcal{C}$  we deduce that  $x_n = x'_n$ . We have obtained  $(1, x_1, \dots, x_n) = (1, x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$ , a contradiction that concludes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. A CONSTRUCTION OF $q$ -ARY MDS CODES

Let  $G_1(x), \dots, G_N(x)$  be  $N$  multivariate polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The evaluation code  $C := C(G_1, \dots, G_N; \mathcal{W})$  defined by  $G_1, \dots, G_N$  over a set  $\mathcal{W}$  is the image of the map

$$ev_{G_1, \dots, G_N} : \begin{cases} \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^N \\ x \rightarrow (G_1(x), \dots, G_N(x)). \end{cases}$$

Assume that  $C$  has  $q^t$  codewords and Hamming distance  $d$ . By the Singleton bound,  $q^t \leq q^{N-d+1}$  and codes attaining the bound are MDS codes. If  $C$  attains the Singleton Bound then the restrictions of all codewords to any given  $t = N - d + 1$  places must all be different, namely in any  $t$  positions all possible vectors occur exactly once. This means that a necessary condition for  $C$  to be MDS is that any  $t$  of the varieties  $V(G_i)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  meet in exactly one point in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Here  $V(G)$  is the algebraic variety associated to the form  $G$ .

Set  $\mathcal{W} := \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \times \mathcal{C}$  where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a transversal of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  viewed as an additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . The size of  $\mathcal{W}$  is  $q^5$ . Consider the following subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^2$  such that for each  $(\omega_1^i, \omega_2^i) \in \Omega$  with  $i \geq 5$ , the following condition holds

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 \omega_1^1 \omega_2^1 (\omega_1^1)^q (\omega_2^1)^q \\ 1 \omega_1^2 \omega_2^2 (\omega_1^2)^q (\omega_2^2)^q \\ 1 \omega_1^3 \omega_2^3 (\omega_1^3)^q (\omega_2^3)^q \\ 1 \omega_1^4 \omega_2^4 (\omega_1^4)^q (\omega_2^4)^q \\ 1 \omega_1^5 \omega_2^5 (\omega_1^5)^q (\omega_2^5)^q \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \quad (12)$$

Condition (12) reads

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 \omega_1^1 + (\omega_1^1)^q \omega_2^1 + (\omega_2^1)^q \omega_1^1 - (\omega_1^1)^q \omega_2^1 - (\omega_2^1)^q \omega_1^1 \\ 1 \omega_1^2 + (\omega_1^2)^q \omega_2^2 + (\omega_2^2)^q \omega_1^2 - (\omega_1^2)^q \omega_2^2 - (\omega_2^2)^q \omega_1^2 \\ 1 \omega_1^3 + (\omega_1^3)^q \omega_2^3 + (\omega_2^3)^q \omega_1^3 - (\omega_1^3)^q \omega_2^3 - (\omega_2^3)^q \omega_1^3 \\ 1 \omega_1^4 + (\omega_1^4)^q \omega_2^4 + (\omega_2^4)^q \omega_1^4 - (\omega_1^4)^q \omega_2^4 - (\omega_2^4)^q \omega_1^4 \\ 1 \omega_1^5 + (\omega_1^5)^q \omega_2^5 + (\omega_2^5)^q \omega_1^5 - (\omega_1^5)^q \omega_2^5 - (\omega_2^5)^q \omega_1^5 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \quad (13)$$

Let us assume that  $q$  is odd, fix a basis  $(1, \epsilon)$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  regarded as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , with  $\epsilon$  a primitive element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  such that  $\epsilon^q + \epsilon = 0$ . Write  $\omega_i^j = \omega_{i,0}^j + \omega_{i,1}^j \epsilon$  for all  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 1, \dots, 5$ . Then (13) becomes

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 \omega_{1,0}^1 \omega_{2,0}^1 \omega_{1,1}^1 \omega_{2,1}^1 \\ 1 \omega_{1,0}^2 \omega_{2,0}^2 \omega_{1,1}^2 \omega_{2,1}^2 \\ 1 \omega_{1,0}^3 \omega_{2,0}^3 \omega_{1,1}^3 \omega_{2,1}^3 \\ 1 \omega_{1,0}^4 \omega_{2,0}^4 \omega_{1,1}^4 \omega_{2,1}^4 \\ 1 \omega_{1,0}^5 \omega_{2,0}^5 \omega_{1,1}^5 \omega_{2,1}^5 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \quad (14)$$

for any choice of five elements in  $\Omega$ . Observe that all entries in (14) are over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ; also Condition (14) states that the possible rows of that matrix are the coordinates of an arc in  $\text{AG}(4, q)$ . Consequently,  $S = |\Omega| \leq q$  for  $q$  odd.

Assume now that  $q$  is even. It is always possible to fix a basis  $(1, \epsilon)$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  by taking  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $\epsilon^q = 1 + \epsilon$ . Then we notice that Condition (12) implies

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1\omega_1^1 + (\omega_1^1)^q\omega_2^1 + (\omega_2^1)^q\epsilon^q\omega_1^1 + \epsilon(\omega_1^1)^q\epsilon^q\omega_2^1 + \epsilon(\omega_2^1)^q \\ 1\omega_1^2 + (\omega_1^2)^q\omega_2^2 + (\omega_2^2)^q\epsilon^q\omega_1^2 + \epsilon(\omega_1^2)^q\epsilon^q\omega_2^2 + \epsilon(\omega_2^2)^q \\ 1\omega_1^3 + (\omega_1^3)^q\omega_2^3 + (\omega_2^3)^q\epsilon^q\omega_1^3 + \epsilon(\omega_1^3)^q\epsilon^q\omega_2^3 + \epsilon(\omega_2^3)^q \\ 1\omega_1^4 + (\omega_1^4)^q\omega_2^4 + (\omega_2^4)^q\epsilon^q\omega_1^4 + \epsilon(\omega_1^4)^q\epsilon^q\omega_2^4 + \epsilon(\omega_2^4)^q \\ 1\omega_1^5 + (\omega_1^5)^q\omega_2^5 + (\omega_2^5)^q\epsilon^q\omega_1^5 + \epsilon(\omega_1^5)^q\epsilon^q\omega_2^5 + \epsilon(\omega_2^5)^q \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \quad (15)$$

by substituting  $\omega_i^j = \omega_{i,0}^j + \omega_{i,1}^j\epsilon$  for all  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 1, \dots, 5$  we see that the first line of (15) reads

$$[1, \omega_{1,1}^1, \omega_{2,1}^1, \omega_{1,0}^1, \omega_{2,0}^1]$$

so (15) up to a permutation of columns gives (13). One obtains again a bijection between the pairs in omega and the arcs in  $AG(4, q)$ , we conclude that  $S = |\Omega| \leq q$  for  $q$  even.

As the only  $(q+1)$ -arcs of  $PG(4, q)$  are equivalent to the twisted curve (see [7]), we can put

$$\Omega := \{(t + \epsilon t^2, t^3 + \epsilon t^4) : t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

Now, consider the following forms in 4 indeterminates associated to a BM-quasi Hermitian varieties of  $PG(3, q^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= X_0^q X_3^q - X_3 X_0^{2q-1} + a^q (X_1^{2q} + X_2^{2q}) - a X_0^{2q-2} (X_1^2 + X_2^2) + \\ &- (b^q - b) X_0^{q-1} (X_1^{q+1} + X_2^{q+1}) + [2a^q (\omega_1^i)^q - (b^q - b) \omega_1^i] X_0^q X_1^q + [2a^q (\omega_2^i)^q - (b^q - b) \omega_2^i] X_0^q X_2^q + \\ &- [2a\omega_1^i + (b^q - b)(\omega_1^i)^q] X_0^{2q-1} X_1 - [2a\omega_2^i + (b^q - b)(\omega_2^i)^q] X_0^{2q-1} X_2 \end{aligned}$$

as  $\omega_j^i \in \Omega$  for  $j = 1, 2$  and  $i = 1, \dots, q$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *The code*

$$C(F_1, \dots, F_s; \mathcal{W}) := \{(F_1(1, x, y, z), F_2(1, x, y, z), \dots, F_S(1, x, y, z)) \mid (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{W}\}$$

is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear  $[S, 5, S-4]$ -MDS code over  $T_0$ .

*Proof.* First we observe that by Lemma 3.2,  $|C| = q^5$ . Next, we are going to show that  $C$  is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear that is, a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^S$ . Take  $(x_1, y_1, z_1)$ , and  $(x_2, y_2, z_2) \in \mathcal{W}$ .

For any  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$  set

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\omega_1, \omega_2} &= X_0^q X_3^q - X_3 X_0^{2q-1} + a^q (X_1^{2q} + X_2^{2q}) - a X_0^{2q-2} (X_1^2 + X_2^2) + \\ &- (b^q - b) X_0^{q-1} (X_1^{q+1} + X_2^{q+1}) + [2a^q (\omega_1^i)^q - (b^q - b) \omega_1^i] X_0^q X_1^q + [2a^q (\omega_2^i)^q - (b^q - b) \omega_2^i] X_0^q X_2^q + \\ &- [2a\omega_1^i + (b^q - b)(\omega_1^i)^q] X_0^{2q-1} X_1 - [2a\omega_2^i + (b^q - b)(\omega_2^i)^q] X_0^{2q-1} X_2 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $F_{\omega_1, \omega_2}(1, x_1, y_1, z_1) + F_{\omega_1, \omega_2}(1, x_2, y_2, z_2) = F_{\omega_1, \omega_2}(1, x_3, y_3, z_3)$  where  $x_3 = x_1 + x_2$ ,  $y_3 = y_1 + y_2$  and  $z_3 = z_1 + z_2 + 2a(x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) + (b^q - b)(x_1^q x_2 + y_1^q y_2) + s$  with  $s \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $z_3 \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Furthermore for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$

$$\lambda F_{\omega_1, \omega_2}(1, x_1, y_1, z_1) = F_{\omega_1, \omega_2}(1, x, y, z)$$

where  $x = \lambda x_1$ ,  $y = \lambda y_1$  and  $z$  is the unique element in  $\mathcal{C}$  which is a root of the equation  $Z^q - Z = d$  where

$$d = \lambda z_1^q - \lambda z_1 + a^q (\lambda - \lambda^2) (x_1^{2q} + y_1^{2q}) - a (\lambda - \lambda^2) (x_1^2 + y_1^2) + (b - b^q) ((\lambda - \lambda^2) (x_1^{q+1} + y_1^{q+1}))$$

Since  $C$  is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear, from  $|C| = q^5$  it follows that the dimension of  $C$ , regarded as a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space, is 5.

Now, we compute its minimum distance, which is  $S - n$  where

$$n = \max_{c \in C \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} |\{i : c_i = 0\}|$$

Because of the Singleton Bound  $n \geq 4$ . We are going to show that  $n = 4$  studying the following system:

$$\begin{cases} A + \alpha_1^q B + \alpha_2^q C + \alpha_1 D + \alpha_2 E = 0 \\ A + \beta_1^q B + \beta_2^q C + \beta_1 D + \beta_2 E = 0 \\ A + \gamma_1^q B + \gamma_2^q C + \gamma_1 D + \gamma_2 E = 0 \\ A + \delta_1^q B + \delta_2^q C + \delta_1 D + \delta_2 E = 0 \\ A + \eta_1^q B + \eta_2^q C + \eta_1 D + \eta_2 E = 0 \end{cases}$$

for  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i, \eta_i \in \Omega$  and

$$\begin{aligned} A &= X_3^q - X_3 + a^q(X_1^{2q} + X_2^{2q}) - a(X_1^2 + X_2^2) - (b^q - b)(X_1^{q+1} + X_2^{q+1}) \\ B &= 2a^q X_1^q - (b^q - b)X_1 \\ C &= 2a^q X_2^q - (b^q - b)X_2 \\ D &= (b - b^q)X_1^q - 2aX_1 \\ E &= (b - b^q)X_2^q - 2aX_2 \end{aligned}$$

Condition (12) implies that the previous system has only one solution  $(A, B, C, D, E) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ . Under our hypothesis, we obtain  $X_1 = X_2 = 0$  and  $X_3^q - X_3 = 0$  which have only one solution in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Therefore the following system in  $X_1, X_2, X_3$

$$\begin{cases} F_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(1, X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0 \\ F_{\beta_1, \beta_2}(1, X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0 \\ F_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1, X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0 \\ F_{\delta_1, \delta_2}(1, X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0 \\ F_{\eta_1, \eta_2}(1, X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has only one solution in  $\mathcal{W}$ , which is  $(X_1, X_2, X_3) = (0, 0, 0)$ . This implies that a codeword which has at least five zero coordinates is the zero vector, hence  $n = 4$  and the minimum distance of  $C$  is  $S - 4$ .  $\square$

It is now convenient to reduce the code  $C$  to a code  $C'$  which is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  instead of  $T_0$ . Write  $\varepsilon^q + \varepsilon = a_0$ , so, for any  $X \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$   $\text{Tr}(x_0 + \varepsilon x_1) = 2x_0 + a_0 x_1$ . So  $T_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} | \text{Tr}(x) = 0\} = \{(a_0 - 2\varepsilon)x_0 | x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$  (observe that this expression makes sense also in the case  $a_0 = 0$ ). Put  $\theta = (a_0 - 2\varepsilon)$ .

If  $q$  is even, then  $T_0 = \mathbb{F}_q$  and all codewords are in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . In particular  $(\theta)^{-1}C$  is a code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . If  $q$  is odd and we divide each entry of each word in  $C$  by  $\theta$  and we obtain a code  $C' = (\theta^{-1})C$  (which is obviously equivalent to  $C$ ) defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *The code  $C' := (\theta^{-1})C(F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{q-1}; \mathcal{W})$  is equivalent to an extended Reed-Solomon code. In particular, it can be further extended to a  $[S + 1, 5, S - 3]$ -code.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1,  $C'$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear  $[S, 5, S - 4]$  code defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Recall that the polynomials  $F_s(1, X_1, X_2, X_3) = F_{\alpha, \beta}(1, X_1, X_2, X_3)$  are defined in terms of coefficients  $\alpha, \beta$  where  $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \varepsilon \alpha_1$  and  $\beta = \beta_0 + \varepsilon \beta_1$  and  $[1, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1]$  are the coordinates of a point on the standard rational normal curve in  $\text{PG}(4, q)$ . Thus, we can take

$$(1, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1) = \begin{cases} (1, \omega^s, \omega^{2s}, \omega^{3s}, \omega^{4s}) & \text{if } 0 < s < q \\ (1, 0, 0, 0, 0) & \text{if } s = 0 \end{cases}$$

for  $\omega$  a fixed primitive element of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . So, define the map  $\psi : \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  as the function

$$\psi(i) := \begin{cases} \omega^i & \text{if } i \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \end{cases}$$

and put, for any  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,

$$\tilde{F}_{X_1, X_2, X_3}(t) := F_{\psi^{-1}(t)}(1, X_1, X_2, X_3).$$

Now, regard the coefficients  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  as a set of parameters in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , by writing  $X_1 = x_0 + \varepsilon x_1$ ,  $X_3 = z_0 + \varepsilon z_1$  with  $x_i, y_i, z_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

We observe that  $X_3^q - X_3$  gives  $-\theta z_1$  and does not depend on  $z_0$ . Thus, instead of  $\tilde{F}_{x_0 + \varepsilon x_1, y_0 + \varepsilon y_1, z_0 + \varepsilon z_1}(t)$  we can write  $\tilde{F}_{x_0 + \varepsilon x_1, y_0 + \varepsilon y_1, \varepsilon z_1}(t)$  and we take into account all of the polynomials.

Now, for each value of  $(x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z_1) \in \mathbb{F}_q^5$ , we can consider the polynomials

$$\hat{F}_{x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z_1}(t) := \theta^{-1} \tilde{F}_{x_0 + \varepsilon x_1, y_0 + \varepsilon y_1, \varepsilon z_1}(t).$$

The map  $\Psi : (x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z_1) \rightarrow \hat{F}_{x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z_1}(t)$  is injective. Indeed, suppose that there are two vectors  $(x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z_1)$  and  $(x'_0, x'_1, y'_0, y'_1, z'_1)$  providing the same polynomial in  $t$ . This is the same as to say that there are two points  $P = (1, x_0 + \varepsilon x_1, y_0 + \varepsilon y_1, \varepsilon z_1)$  and  $P' = (1, x'_0 + \varepsilon x'_1, y'_0 + \varepsilon y'_1, \varepsilon z'_1)$  such that  $P \neq P'$  and for all  $g \in \mathcal{R}$  we have  $F^g(P) = F^g(P')$ . However, this goes against Lemma 3.2.

Next, observe that the polynomial  $\hat{F}(t)$ , by construction, has degree at most 4 in  $t$ . Also, since  $\tilde{F}_{X_1, X_2, X_3}(t) \in T_0$ , we have  $\hat{F}(t) \in \mathbb{F}_q$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ; so  $\hat{F}(t) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ .

The vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]_{\deg \leq 4}$  of the polynomials of degree at most 4 in one indeterminate over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  has dimension 5. Since the image of  $\Psi$  has size  $q^5$ , the map  $\Psi$  is also surjective and the evaluation over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of any polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]_{\deg \leq 4}$  appears as a codeword in  $C'$ . It follows that, up to a permutation of columns,  $C = \{(f(0), f(\omega^1), \dots, f(\omega^{q-1})) : f \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]_{\deg \leq 4}\}$ , where  $\omega$  is a primitive element of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . This proves that  $C'$  is equivalent to an extended Reed-Solomon code of parameters  $[q, 5, q-4]$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.3.* The doubly extended Reed-Solomon code of parameters  $[q+1, 5, q-3]$  containing  $C'$  is obtained by  $C'$  adding to each codeword the component  $F_{q+1}(1, x, y, z)$  where  $F_{q+1}(X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3) = (b^q - b)X_2^q X_0 + 2aX_2 X_0^q$ .

## 5. ORTHOGONAL ARRAYS

In Section 2.3 we introduced orthogonal arrays and described a method to build one starting from homogenous forms. In this section we apply the aforementioned method to obtain an orthogonal array from a family of quasi-Hermitian varieties. We keep all previous notations introduced in Section 3. The proof of the following theorem relies on Lemma 3.2.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Given  $p$  a prime and  $q$  any power of  $p$ , the matrix  $\mathcal{A} = A(F^g, g \in \mathcal{R}; \mathcal{W})$ , where*

$$\mathcal{W} = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{n+1} : x_0 = 1, x_n \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

*is a simple OA( $q^{2n-1}, q^{2n-2}, q, 2$ ) of index  $\mu = q^{2n-3}$ .*

*Proof.* In order to prove the claim we start by studying the system

$$\begin{cases} F(X_0, \dots, X_n) = \gamma; \\ F^g(X_0, \dots, X_n) = \delta. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where  $g \in \mathcal{R} \setminus Id$  and  $\gamma, \delta \in T_0$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{W}$  we can write (16) as

$$\begin{cases} X_n^q - X_n + a^q(X_1^{2q} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{2q}) - a(X_1^2 + \dots + X_{n-1}^2) \\ \quad - (b^q - b)(X_1^{q+1} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{q+1}) = \gamma; \\ \\ X_n^q - X_n + a^q(X_1^{2q} + \dots + X_{n-1}^{2q} + 2\alpha_1^q X_1^q + \dots + 2\alpha_{n-1}^q X_{n-1}^q) \\ \quad - a(X_1^2 + \dots + X_{n-1}^2 + 2\alpha_1 X_1 + \dots + 2\alpha_{n-1} X_{n-1}) \\ \quad - (b^q - b) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i^{q+1} + \alpha_i X_i^q + \alpha_i^q X_i \right) = \delta. \end{cases}$$

subtracting the first equation from the second one :

$$\begin{cases} X_n^q - X_n + a^q \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i^{2q} \right) - a \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i^2 \right) - (b^q - b) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i^{q+1} \right) = \gamma; \\ \\ 2a^q \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^q X_i^q \right) - 2a \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i X_i \right) - (b - b^q) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i X_i^q + \alpha_i^q X_i \right) = \delta - \gamma. \end{cases}$$

Let  $A := -2a \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i X_i \right) - (b - b^q) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^q X_i \right)$ , we notice that the second equation of the system above is of the kind

$$A^q - A = \delta - \gamma \quad (17)$$

from [12, Theorem 1.19] this equation has  $q$  solutions if  $Tr(\delta - \gamma) = 0$ , the latter is always true because  $\delta, \gamma \in T_0$ . We conclude that there are  $q$  possible values of  $A$  that satisfy (17), therefore there are  $q^{2n-3}$   $(n-1)$ -tuples  $(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{n-1}$  that solve the system. Recalling that  $X_n$  ranges in  $\mathcal{C}$  we deduce that the number of solutions of (16) is  $q^{2n-3}$ .

That the array is simple, i.e. does not contain repeated rows, now follows from Lemma 3.2. □

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All of the authors thank the Italian National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures and their Applications (GNSAGA-INdAM) for its support to their research. The work of A. Aguglia and V. Siconolfi has also been partially funded by the European Union under the Italian National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP) of NextGenerationEU, partnership on ‘‘Telecommunications of the Future’’ (PE00000001 - program ‘‘RESTART’’, CUP: D93C22000910001).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] **A. Aguglia, A. Cossidente, G. Korchmáros**, On quasi-Hermitian Varieties, *J. Combin. Des.* **20** (2012) 433–447.
- [2] **A. Aguglia, L. Giuzzi**, Orthogonal array from Hermitian varieties *Innov. Incidence Geom.*, **5**, (2007),

- [3] ———, Intersection sets, three-character multisets and associated codes *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, **83**,(2017),
- [4] ———, On the equivalence of certain quasi-Hermitian varieties *J. Combin. Des.* **31**:2 (2023), 124-138.
- [5] **A. Aguglia, L. Giuzzi, A. Montinaro, V. Siconolfi**, On quasi-Hermitian varieties in even characteristic and related orthogonal arrays *ArXiv*, (2023).
- [6] **E. Atencio, F. Plaza-Muñoz, F. Muñoz-La rivera, J.A. Lozano-Galant**, Calibration of UAV flight parameters for pavement pothole detection using orthogonal arrays, *Automation in Construction* **143** 104545 (2022).
- [7] **Ball, S., Lavrauw, M.** *Arcs in finite projective spaces* EMS Surveys in Math, Sci. 6 (1), 133-172 (2020).
- [8] **F. Buekenhout**, Existence of unitals in finite translation planes of order  $q^2$  with a kernel of order  $q$ , *Geom. Dedicata* **5** (1976), 189–194.
- [9] G.L. Ebert, S. Barwick, *Unitals in Projective Planes*, Springer Monograph in Mathematics, New-York (2008).
- [10] **R. Fuji-Hara and N. Miyamoto**, Mutually M-intersecting varieties, *Congr. Numer.* **126** (1997), 125–130.
- [11] ———, Mutually M-intersecting Hermitian Varieties, *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, **5** (1999), 285–293.
- [12] **J. P. W. Hirschfeld**, Projective Geometries Over Finite Fields, *Oxford University Press, Oxford*, 1998.
- [13] **R. Calderbank and W. Kantor**, The geometry of two-weight codes, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **18**:2 (1986), 97-122.
- [14] **F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane**, The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes, *North-Holland*, 1981.
- [15] **R. Metz**, On a class of unitals, *Geometriae Dedicata* **8** (1): 125–126 (1979)
- [16] **C. R. Rao**, On Hypercubes of strength  $d$  and a system of confounding in factorial experiments, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* **38** (1946), 67–68.
- [17] ———, Factorial experiments derivable from combinatorial arrangements of arrays, *J. Roy. Stat. Soc. (Suppl.)* **9** (1947), 128-139.
- [18] **I.S. Reed, G. Solomon** Polynomial codes over certain finite fields, *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.*, **8** (1960), 300-304.
- [19] **B. Segre**, Forme e geometrie hermitiane, con particolare riguardo al caso finito, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **70** (1965) 1–201.
- [20] **G. Seroussi, R. M. Roth**, On MDS extensions of generalized Reed- Solomon codes, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, **32**:3 (1986), 349-354.
- [21] **A. S. Hedayat, N. J. A. Sloane and J. Stufken**, Orthogonal arrays: theory and applications, *Springer Verlag* (1999).

DIPARTIMENTO DI MECCANICA, MATEMATICA E MANAGEMENT, POLITECNICO DI BARI (IT)  
 Email address: [angela.aguglia@poliba.it](mailto:angela.aguglia@poliba.it)

DICATAM, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI BRESCIA (BS)  
 Email address: [luca.giuzzi@unibs.it](mailto:luca.giuzzi@unibs.it)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MECCANICA, MATEMATICA E MANAGEMENT, POLITECNICO DI BARI (IT)  
 Email address: [viola.siconolfi@poliba.it](mailto:viola.siconolfi@poliba.it)