

Some Cases of the Erdős-Lovász Tihany Conjecture for Claw-free Graphs

Sean Longbrake*, Juvaria Tariq†

July 8, 2024

Abstract

The Erdős-Lovász Tihany Conjecture states that any G with chromatic number $\chi(G) = s + t - 1 > \omega(G)$, with $s, t \geq 2$ can be split into two vertex-disjoint subgraphs of chromatic number s, t respectively. We prove this conjecture for pairs (s, t) if $t \leq s + 2$, whenever G has a K_s , and for pairs (s, t) if $t \leq 4s - 3$, whenever G contains a K_s and is claw-free. We also prove the Erdős-Lovász Tihany Conjecture for the pair $(3, 10)$ for claw-free graphs.

1 Introduction

Throughout this work, G is always a simple graph. We let K_ℓ denote the complete graph on ℓ vertices. We let $K_{s,t}$ denote the complete bipartite graph with one part of size s and one of size t . We let $\chi(G)$ be the least number of colors needed to color the vertices of a graph G such that no edge is monochromatic. We let $\omega(G)$ be the largest ℓ such that $K_\ell \subset G$. For a set $U \subseteq V(G)$, we let $G[U]$ be the subgraph induced by U . We say G is *claw-free* if there is no set W such that $G[W] \cong K_{1,3}$. For other definitions, see the standard reference [Wes01].

We offer now a brief history of the Erdős-Lovász Tihany conjecture, with some particularly relevant results highlighted. We direct the reader to [Son22] for more details.

The Erdős-Lovász Tihany Conjecture states:

*Dept. of Mathematics, Emory University, sean.longbrake@emory.edu

†Dept. of Mathematics, Emory University jtariq@emory.edu

Conjecture 1.1 (Erdős-Lovász Tihany [Erd68]) *For $t \geq s \geq 2$, for any graph G with chromatic number $\chi(G) = s + t - 1 > \omega(G)$ there exists a vertex partition $S \sqcup T = V(G)$ such that $\chi(G[S]) \geq s$ and $\chi(G[T]) \geq t$.*

While this conjecture is quite old and has received much attention over the last fifty years, the exact result is known only for the following pairs: $(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 3), (3, 4), (3, 5)$ [BJ69, Moz87, Sti87a, Sti87b].

A particular interesting case is for claw-free graphs. The most general result is the following by Chudnovsky, Fradkin, and Plumettaz [CFP13].

Theorem 1.2 *Let G be a claw-free graph with $\chi(G) > \omega(G)$. Then, there exists a clique K with $|V(K)| \leq 5$ such that $\chi(G - K) > \chi(G) - |V(K)|$.*

In generality, Kostochka and Stiebitz proved the conjecture under the condition G is a line graph [KS08]. This was extended to the following by Balogh, Kostochka, Prince, and Stiebitz [BKPS09]:

Theorem 1.3 *Any quasi-line graph G with chromatic number $\chi(G) = s + t - 1 > \omega(G)$ can be split into two disjoint subgraphs of chromatic number s, t respectively. Furthermore, if $\alpha(G) = 2$ and $\chi(G) = s + t - 1 > \omega(G)$, G can be split into two vertex-disjoint subgraphs of chromatic number s, t respectively.*

This work was extended by Song [Son19] to the following.

Theorem 1.4 *If $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ and G has no hole of length between 4 and $2\alpha(G) - 1$ and $\chi(G) = s + t - 1 > \omega(G)$, G can be split into two vertex-disjoint subgraphs of chromatic number s, t respectively.*

As noted by Erdős and Lovasz if $s = 2$, the Erdős-Lovász Tihany conjecture is equivalent to the following:

Conjecture 1.5 (Double-Critical Graph Conjecture [Erd68]) *If G is a graph such that removing every edge reduces the chromatic number by two, then G is a complete graph.*

This variant has received much attention over the years. In particular, Huang and Yu [HY16] proved:

Theorem 1.6 *If G is a claw-free graph of chromatic number six, such that removing every edge reduces the chromatic number by two, then G is a complete graph*

Building on this work and work by Kawarabayashi, Pedersen, and Toft [KPT10], Rolek and Song [RS17] were able to prove the following:

Theorem 1.7 *If G is a claw-free graph of chromatic number less than or equal to eight, such that removing every edge reduces the chromatic number by two, then G is a complete graph.*

In light of this work, we make the following definition

Definition 1.8 *For $\ell \geq 2$, we say a graph G is K_ℓ -critical if it satisfies the following three conditions:*

- (i) G has a K_ℓ as a subgraph.
- (ii) G is critical, i.e. removing any vertex reduces the chromatic number of G by one.
- (iii) Removing the vertex set of any K_ℓ reduces the chromatic number of G by ℓ .

The first two conditions are to remove some trivial examples from the family, such as taking the disjoint union of a K_ℓ -critical graph with chromatic number k with a K_ℓ -free graph of chromatic number $k - \ell$, or taking a K_ℓ -free graph. Note that K_2 -critical graphs are double-critical graphs.

Note that if G is a counterexample to the Erdős-Lovász Tihany Conjecture for a pair (s, t) and contains a K_s as a subgraph, then G contains a K_s -critical subgraph of the same chromatic number. Indeed, if $\chi(G - S) > s + t - 1 - s$ for any copy S of K_s , we have found a partition satisfying the Erdős-Lovász Tihany Conjecture. As $\chi(G - S) \geq \chi(G) - |V(S)|$, for all subgraphs S , we see that if G is a counterexample, then $\chi(G - S) = \chi(G) - s$ for all copies S of K_s . In particular, we will prove that any graph with the property that removing any K_s reduces the chromatic number by s contains a K_s -critical graph as an induced subgraph.

In light of this, we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.9 *If G is K_ℓ -critical for some $\ell \geq 2$, then G is a complete graph.*

Note that while a proof of this conjecture would imply Erdős-Lovász Tihany for graphs containing a K_s as a subgraph, the other direction does not hold.

In [Ped08], Pedersen offered a similar definition that requires edges to lie on a K_ℓ . In this setting, he proved Conjecture 1.9 for $\chi(G) \leq 6$ and $\ell = 3$. In our work, we drop this requirement that edges lie on a K_ℓ and are able to reprove this result, as seen in Corollary 2.4.

In this language, our main results are the following:

Theorem 1.10 *If G is a K_ℓ -critical graph with $\chi(G) \leq 2\ell + 1$, then G is a complete graph.*

Theorem 1.11 *If G is a K_ℓ -critical claw-free graph with $\chi(G) \leq 5\ell - 4$, then G is a complete graph.*

Throughout, we call a graph G *triangle-critical* if it is K_3 -critical. In this case, we can extend the result one step further.

Theorem 1.12 *If G is a triangle-critical claw-free graph with $\chi(G) = 12$, then G is a complete graph.*

We begin with some preliminary lemmas in Section 2. Then we will prove Theorem 1.10 in Section 3. Section 4 will cover the proof of Theorem 1.11. Finally, the proof of Theorem 1.12 will be in section 5. We will conclude the paper with an open problem.

2 Preliminary Lemmas

We define for a set $S \subset V(G)$, $N(S) = \bigcap_{v \in S} N(v)$. For any subgraph $H \subset G$, we define $N(H) = N(V(H))$. We call this set the common neighborhood of H . We define $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$. For a subgraph H , let the *degree* of H , $d(H) = |N(H)|$. Furthermore, for any subgraph $F \subseteq G$, $N_F(H)$ is defined to be $N(H) \cap V(F)$.

Lemma 2.1 *Every graph G containing a K_ℓ that has the property such that $\chi(G - L) = \chi(G) - |L|$ for every copy L of K_ℓ contains a K_ℓ -critical subgraph G' of the same chromatic number of G .*

Proof: Let $G_0 = G$. Given G_i , let G_{i+1} be formed from G_i by removing some vertex $x \in V(G_i)$ such that $\chi(G_i - x) = \chi(G_i)$. The process stops if no such x remains in G_i , and set G' to that graph.

We claim that at every stage of the process, every copy L of K_ℓ has the property that $\chi(G_i - L) = \chi(G_i) - \ell$. In particular, this says that at no stage do we remove a vertex x that lies on a K_ℓ . Note that the following holds for all copies L of K_ℓ in G_i .

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(G - L) &\geq \chi(G_i - L) \geq \chi(G_i) - |L| \\ \chi(G) - \ell &\geq \chi(G_i - L) \geq \chi(G_i) - \ell \\ \chi(G_i) - \ell &\geq \chi(G_i - L) \geq \chi(G_i) - \ell \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in particular every G_i still has the property that removing a K_ℓ reduces the chromatic number by ℓ . Note that by definition, G' is a critical graph. By our earlier arguments, it still has a K_ℓ and in particular, is thus K_ℓ -critical. \square

The following two lemmas are equivalent to Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.7 of Stiebitz [Sti87b]. We include proofs for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.2 *Let G be a K_ℓ -critical graph with $\chi(G) = k$. Then, $d(v) \geq k - 1$ for all $v \in V(G)$ and for any $L \subseteq G$, with L a copy of K_ℓ , $d(L) \geq k - \ell$. In particular in any $(k - \ell)$ -coloring ϕ of $G - L$, for all $i \in [k - \ell]$, $\phi^{-1}(i) \cap N(L) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof: Since G is critical, for all v in $V(G)$, $\chi(G - v) = k - 1$. Fix a coloring of $G - v$ in $k - 1$ colors. If v does not have a neighbor in every color class, then we can color v with the color not used in $N(v)$. This would give a $(k - 1)$ -coloring of G , contradicting that $\chi(G) = k$. Thus, v sees a neighbor in every color class, and so has degree at least $k - 1$.

Let L be a K_ℓ in G and suppose on the contrary that there is a $(k - \ell)$ -coloring ϕ of $G - L$ where for some $i \in [k - \ell]$, $N(L) \cap \phi^{-1}(i) = \emptyset$. Fix this i .

Let $V(L) = \{v_{k-\ell+1}, v_{k-\ell+2}, \dots, v_k\}$. Let $\psi : V(L) \rightarrow [k - \ell + 1, k] : \psi(v_j) = j$. For each vertex $w \in \phi^{-1}(i)$, there is at least one vertex v_{j_w} among $V(L)$ such that w is not adjacent to v_{j_w} . Let $f : \phi^{-1}(i) \rightarrow [k - \ell + 1, k]$ such that $f(w) = j_w$.

Define $\phi' : V(G) \rightarrow [k] - \{i\}$, a coloring of G as follows

$$\phi'(v) = \begin{cases} \psi(v) & v \in V(L) \\ f(v) & v \in \phi^{-1}(i) \\ \phi(v) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that ϕ' forms a $(k - 1)$ -coloring of G , a contradiction to G having chromatic number k . \square

Lemma 2.3 *Let G be a K_ℓ -critical graph with chromatic number k . If G contains $K_{k-\ell+1}$, then $G \cong K_k$.*

Proof: We will prove this by induction. Note that if G contains K_k the result follows by criticality. Suppose $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ and G has a K_{k-i} as a subgraph. Then, if we can show that G contains K_{k-i+1} , the result would follow. Now, by definition, G has a K_ℓ , so we may assume $k - i \geq \ell$. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-i}\}$ be the vertices

of a K_{k-i} . Suppose G has no K_{k-i+1} . Note that $G[\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell\}] \cong K_\ell$, and let $L = G[\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell\}]$. Also, $\{x_{\ell+1}, \dots, x_{k-i}\} \subseteq N(L)$, but since $|N(L)| \geq k - \ell$ by Lemma 2.2, we have $|N(L) - X| \geq k - \ell - (k - i - \ell) \geq i$.

Since G has no K_{k-i+1} , for every vertex y in $N(L) - X$ there exists an $x \in V(X) - V(L)$ such that xy is not an edge, so without loss of generality fix $y_1 \in N(L) - X$ such that y_1 is not adjacent to $x_{\ell+1}$. Then, $G[\{y_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell\}] \cong K_\ell = L_1$, so $|N(L_1)| \geq k - \ell$. Note that $x_{\ell+1}$ is not among the common neighbors of L_1 , so

$$\begin{aligned} |N(L_1) - X| &\geq |N(L_1)| - |X - \{x_2, \dots, x_\ell, x_{\ell+1}\}| \\ &\geq k - \ell - (k - i - \ell) \\ &\geq i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is a y_2 in $N(L_1) - X$.

Continuing, if $j \leq i$, we have $L_j = G[\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_\ell\}] = L_j$ is a copy of K_ℓ , and we note that $|N(L_j) - X| \geq i + 1 - j$. Then, for all $j \leq i$, there is a $y_{j+1} \in N(L_j) - X$. At the end, we have found a K_ℓ , $G[\{y_1, \dots, y_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_\ell\}] = L_{i+1}$. Let $X' = X - L_{i+1}$. Note that $|V(X')| = k - i - (\ell - i - 1) = k - \ell + 1$. Thus, we have found a K_ℓ , namely L_{i+1} , which is vertex-disjoint from a clique X' of size $k - \ell + 1$, a contradiction to G being K_ℓ -critical. Thus, G has a K_{k-i+1} . \square

Note the following immediate corollary:

Corollary 2.4 *If G is a K_ℓ -critical graph with $\chi(G) \leq 2\ell$, G is a complete graph.*

Proof: Let G be a K_ℓ -critical graph with $\chi(G) \leq 2\ell$. Note that G has a K_ℓ . If $\chi(G) = \ell$, the result is clear. Assume then $\chi(G) > \ell$. In particular, by Lemma 2.2, we have that the K_ℓ is contained in a $K_{\ell+1}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.3, G is a complete graph. \square

This result is a weaker version of Theorem 1.10. We will improve it in the next section.

Following [BKPS09, Tof95, NL82], given a graph G with k -coloring $\phi : V(G) \rightarrow [k]$ and a permutation $\pi : [k] \rightarrow [k]$ and a vertex $x \in V(G)$, we let N_1 to be the set of vertices adjacent to x with color $\pi(\phi(x))$, N_2 the set of vertices adjacent to some vertex in N_1 with color $\pi^2(\phi(x))$, N_3 the set of vertices adjacent to some vertex in N_2 with color $\pi^3(\phi(x))$, and so on. We call $N(x, \phi, \pi) = \{x\} \cup N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots$ a *generalized Kempe chain* from x with respect to ϕ and π . Note that changing the color $\phi(y)$ for every $y \in N(x, \phi, \pi)$ to $\pi(\phi(y))$ defines a new k -coloring of G .

Lemma 2.5 *Let G be a K_ℓ -critical graph and L be a copy of K_ℓ in G . Let $\chi(G) = k$ and ϕ be a $(k - \ell)$ -coloring of $G - L$. Then for any nonempty repeat-free sequence j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t in $[k - \ell]$, and $x, y \in V(L)$, there is a path on $t + 2$ vertices starting at x and ending at y with the $i + 1$ th vertex v being in $G - L$ with $\phi(v) = j_i$.*

Proof: Let G' be the graph on $V(G)$ with edges $E(G) - \{xy\}$. Let ϕ' be a $(k - 1)$ -coloring of G' extending ϕ and giving unique colors to every vertex of L besides x, y , with $\phi(x) = \phi(y) = k - 1$. Let π be the cyclic permutation defined by $(k - 1, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t)$. If $N(x, \phi, \pi)$ does not contain y , then reassigning the colors by applying π to the chain (as described above) gives a coloring of G' where x, y have distinct colors. Thus, this would extend to a $k - 1$ coloring of G by adding back the edge xy , a contradiction. Therefore, y must be on this generalized Kempe chain. Since only y, x have color $k - 1$, it follows that $G[N(x, \phi, \pi)]$ must contain a path from x to y of order $t + 2$ satisfying our conditions. \square

Lemma 2.6 *Let G be a K_ℓ -critical graph with chromatic number k which is not K_k . Then there exists a copy S of $K_{\ell+1}$, such that for every vertex $x \in V(S)$, there is copy L of K_ℓ , satisfying $L \not\subseteq N[x]$.*

Proof: Let L' be a K_ℓ . We will construct S by induction via the following claim.

Claim: *For any subgraph S contained in a copy L of K_ℓ , there exists x such that $x \in N(S)$ and there is a copy T of K_ℓ , with $T \not\subseteq N[x]$. Moreover, if $|S| \leq \ell - 1$, we can pick x such that $S \cup \{x\}$ is contained in a K_ℓ .*

Note by Lemma 2.2, that $|N(L)| \geq k - \ell$. Since $G \not\cong K_k$, we have the existence of a pair $x, y \in N(L) \subseteq N(S)$ such that $x \not\sim y$, as otherwise $G[L \cup N(L)] \cong K_k$. Now, y along with $\ell - 1$ vertices of L forms a K_ℓ not in $N[x]$ as xy is not an edge. If $|S| < \ell$, then we have that $\{x\} \cup S$ with some vertices from $L - S$ forms a K_ℓ . Thus, x is the desired vertex to fulfill the claim.

For our base case, note that $\emptyset \subseteq L'$ satisfies the conditions of the claim. Suppose we have an S satisfying the conditions of claim with $|S| \leq \ell$. Then, by repeatedly applying the above claim, we have that there is an x such that there is a T a copy of K_ℓ , with $T \not\subseteq N[x]$, and $S \cup \{x\}$ satisfies the claim if $|S| \leq \ell - 1$ and prove Lemma 2.6 if $|S| = \ell$. \square

Lemma 2.7 *Let G be a K_ℓ -critical graph with $\chi(G) = k$ and x a vertex in G such that there is a copy L of K_ℓ with $L \not\subseteq N[x]$. Then, $N(L) \not\subseteq N(x)$. In particular, as $x \notin N(L)$, this implies $N(L) \not\subseteq N[x]$.*

Proof: Suppose otherwise and remove L from G . Observe that if $x \in V(L)$, then $L \subseteq N[x]$, so $x \in V(G - L)$. Furthermore, $G - L$ is $(k - \ell)$ -colorable. Fix a $(k - \ell)$ -coloring ϕ , and note that by Lemma 2.2, there is a vertex $y \in N(L)$ such that $\phi(y) = \phi(x)$. As $N(L) \subseteq N(x)$, we have a monochromatic edge, contradicting ϕ being a coloring. So $N(L) \not\subseteq N(x)$. \square

Lemma 2.8 *Let G be a K_ℓ -critical graph and x a vertex in G such that there is a copy L_0 of K_ℓ with $L_0 \not\subseteq N[x]$. Then, $\chi(G[N(x)]) \leq k - \ell - 1$.*

Proof: We will need the following claim.

Claim: *Let L_i be a K_ℓ intersecting $N(x)$ in $1 \leq s < \ell$ vertices with $x \notin V(L_i)$. Then there exists a copy L_{i+1} of K_ℓ that intersects $N(x)$ in $s - 1$ places with $x \notin V(L_{i+1})$.*

By Lemma 2.7, there exists a $z \in N(L_i) - N(x)$. Since $V(L_i) \cap N(x) \neq \emptyset$, there is some vertex $w \in V(L_i) \cap N(x)$. Let $L_{i+1} = G[V(L_i) \cup \{z\} - \{w\}]$.

With this claim, we see there is some copy L_j of K_ℓ not containing x that intersects $N(x)$ in zero places. Remove L_j from the graph. We have that the remainder is $(k - \ell)$ -colorable, so $N(x) \cup \{x\}$ is $(k - \ell)$ -colorable. Thus, $N(x)$ is $(k - \ell - 1)$ -colorable, as x is adjacent to every vertex within. \square

Lemma 2.9 *Let G be a K_ℓ -critical graph with chromatic number k which is not K_k , with $\ell \geq 2$. Then, every vertex which lies on a K_ℓ has degree at least $k + 2\ell - 3$. In particular, for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, if H is a copy of K_i is contained in some K_ℓ in G , then $d(H) \geq k - \ell + 3(\ell - i)$.*

Proof: Let x_1 be a vertex in G that lies on a K_ℓ . Take the L copy of K_ℓ containing x_1 such that over all copies S of K_ℓ containing x_1 , $|N(L)| \leq |N(S)|$. Let $V(L) = \{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\}$. By Lemma 2.2, the number of common neighbors of $V(L)$ is at least $k - \ell$. Since G is not a K_k , there is at least one nonedge between two vertices u, v in $N(L)$.

Let L_i denote the K_ℓ formed by taking $G[\{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, u, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_\ell\}]$, for $i \in [2, \ell]$. Each such L_i has at least as many neighbors as L , but does not have v as a neighbor. Thus, $N(L_i) - (\{x_i\} \cup N(L)) \neq \emptyset$. Let z_i be in $N(L_i) - (\{x_i\} \cup N(L))$. Since $z_i \notin N(L)$, but $z_i \in N(\{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_\ell\})$, we know that $z_i \notin N(x_i)$. Thus by Lemma 2.7, we have that $L'_i = G[\{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_\ell\}]$ satisfies $N(L'_i) \not\subseteq N[x_i]$. Let z'_i be a vertex in $N(L'_i) - N[x_i]$. Note in particular, $z'_i \notin N(L)$.

Note that $z_i \neq z_j$ for $i \neq j$, as then z_i would be in $N(L)$. Furthermore, we have that $z'_i \neq z_j$, as z'_i is not adjacent to x_i , yet z_j is adjacent to x_i . Similarly, $z'_i \neq z'_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Thus, $d(x_1) \geq |V(L) - \{x_1\}| + d(L) + |\{z_2, z'_2, \dots, z_\ell, z'_\ell\}| \geq \ell - 1 + k - \ell + 2(\ell - 1) \geq k + 2\ell - 3$. Via the previous argument, any K_i contained in a K_ℓ has at least $k - \ell + 3(\ell - i)$ many common neighbors. \square

Lemma 2.10 *Let G be a K_ℓ -critical graph. Let x be any vertex of G and v be a vertex lying on a copy L_0 of K_ℓ which contains a vertex outside $N[x]$. Then v has at least ℓ neighbors outside of $N[x]$.*

Proof: We will use the following claim.

Claim: *Let L_i be a K_ℓ containing a vertex v but not x such that $|(L_i - \{v\}) \cap N(x)| = s$ with $1 \leq s < \ell - 1$. Then there exists L_{i+1} such that $|(L_{i+1} - \{v\}) \cap N(x)| = s - 1$, $x \notin V(L_{i+1})$, $L_{i+1} \cong K_\ell$, and v still lies on L_{i+1} .*

By Lemma 2.7, there exists a $z \in N(L_i) - N[x]$. Since $V(L_i) \cap N(x) - \{v\} \neq \emptyset$, there is some vertex $w \in V(L_i) \cap N(x) - \{v\}$. Let $L_{i+1} = G[V(L_i) \cup \{z\} - \{w\}]$.

By this claim, there is some copy L_j of K_ℓ such that $(L_j - \{v\}) \cap N[x] = \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.7, there is a $z \in N(L_j) - N[x]$. Thus, there are at least ℓ vertices in $N(v) - N[x]$, namely $V(L_j) - \{v\}$ and z . \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.10

Note that Theorem 1.10 follows from below and Corollary 2.4.

Theorem 3.1 *Let G be a K_ℓ -critical graph with chromatic number $2\ell + 1$ with $\ell \geq 2$. Then, $G \cong K_{2\ell+1}$.*

Proof: Assume otherwise, and let G be such a graph. Fix a copy X of K_ℓ , and let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell\} = V(X)$ inside G , and fix a $(\ell + 1)$ -coloring of $G - X$, $\phi : V(G - X) \rightarrow [\ell + 1]$. Let a_1 be one of the at least $\ell + 1$ common neighbors of X . For $i < \ell$, having defined a_1, \dots, a_i , let a_{i+1} be a common neighbor of $\{a_1, \dots, a_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_\ell\}$ which is not among x_1, \dots, x_i . As by Lemma 2.2 the common neighborhood has size $\ell + 1$, we have that there is such a choice.

Now, for $i \geq \ell$, having defined $a_{i-\ell+1}, a_{i-\ell+2}, \dots, a_i$, we define a_{i+1} as any common neighbor of these vertices among $V(G - X)$ yet to appear on our sequence. We stop when no choices remain.

Note that this sequence $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$ is uniquely $(\ell + 1)$ -colorable by construction, i.e. if ϕ and ϕ' are two $(\ell + 1)$ -colorings of $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$, there is a permutation $\pi : [\ell + 1] \rightarrow [\ell + 1]$ such that $\pi \circ \phi = \phi'$. Since the sequence induces a subgraph of $V(G - X)$, it is $(\ell + 1)$ -colorable, and as it can be seen as a sequence of $K_{\ell+1}$'s intersecting in K_ℓ 's, there is a unique up to relabeling way to do it: coloring a_i with $i \pmod{\ell + 1}$, making it uniquely $(\ell + 1)$ -colorable. Let $L = G[\{a_{p-\ell+1}, a_{p-\ell+2}, \dots, a_p\}]$ be the last K_ℓ on the sequence. Lemma 2.3 implies that G is $K_{\ell+2}$ -free, hence $d_X(L) \leq 1$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.2, $d_{G-X}(L) \geq \ell$. Given that L is a K_ℓ , in any $(\ell + 1)$ -coloring of $G - X$, $N_{G-X}(L)$ is monochromatic. As L is the last K_ℓ , $N_{G-X}(L) \supseteq \{a_{b_1}, a_{b_2}, \dots, a_{b_\ell}\}$ lies in the sequence. Thus, $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell \equiv p + 1 \pmod{\ell + 1}$. So, letting b_1 be smallest among $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell\}$, we have that $p + 1 - \ell(\ell + 1) \geq b_1 \geq 1$, therefore $p \geq \ell(\ell + 1)$.

Note that since $p \geq \ell(\ell + 1)$, L is distinct from a_1, a_2, \dots, a_ℓ . By our earlier observation, there is a j such that $N_{G-X}(L) \subseteq \phi^{-1}(j)$. Let $A = \phi^{-1}(j) \cap \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-\ell}\}$. Now, by unique colorability, any $(\ell + 1)$ -coloring of $G - L$ colors A with one color. As L has at most one common neighbor among X , we have that the neighborhood of L sees at most two color classes of the coloring of $G - L$, and thus misses at least one. But this contradicts Lemma 2.2, thus $G \cong K_{2\ell+1}$. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.11

We will now show the following. We include this proof to highlight the alternative method using Ramsey numbers and their lower bound constructions.

Theorem 4.1 *If G is triangle-critical, has chromatic number eight, and is claw-free, then $G \cong K_8$.*

Proof: Let G be a triangle-critical claw-free graph with chromatic number eight. Then, $d(T) \geq 5$ for all triangles T by Lemma 2.2.

Fix any triangle T . We will now show that $G[N(T)] \cong C_5$ for T . If G is not K_8 , then the neighborhood of T is K_3 -free by Lemma 2.3. Furthermore, since G is claw-free, the independence number of $G[N(T)]$ is at most two. Suppose on the contrary that $d(T) \geq 6$. Then since $R(3, 3) = 6$, $N(T)$ contains either a triangle or

an independent set of size three, a contradiction. Thus, $d(T) = 5$. Consequently, from the uniqueness of the lower bound Ramsey construction, $G[N(T)] \cong C_5$.

Let T_1 be a triangle in G , with $V(T_1) = \{x, y, z\}$. Let $N(T_1) = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, which forms a cycle (a, b, c, d, e) . Now, take the triangle T_a induced by $\{x, y, a\}$, the common neighborhood of this triangle certainly contains the vertices z, b , and e . As before, $G[N(T_a)] \cong C_5$, so there must be vertices, which we will call suggestively a_1, e_1 lying in the common neighborhood such that (z, b, a_1, e_1, e) is a cycle. Note that $a \not\sim c, d$, therefore $a_1, e_1 \neq c, d$.

Now, let us examine the triangle T_b induced by $\{x, y, b\}$. $N(T_b)$ includes a, a_1, z, c . We already know that $c \sim z \sim a \sim a_1$, so there must be a $b_1 \in N(T_b)$ such that $c \sim b_1 \sim a_1$. As $b_1 \sim b$, we have that $b_1 \neq e_1$ since $e_1 \not\sim b$. Similarly, $b_1 \neq d, e$.

Let us now look at the triangle T_c induced by $\{x, y, c\}$. We note that $\{b, d, b_1, z\} \subseteq N(T_c)$. There must be a fifth vertex c_1 such that $c_1 \sim b_1, d$ but $c_1 \not\sim b, z$. Thus, $c_1 \neq a_1, b_1, a, e$, yet it may be true that $c_1 = e_1$. We will discount this possibility later.

Consider now the triangle T_d induced by $\{x, y, d\}$. We note that $\{c, e, c_1, z\} \subseteq N(T_d)$. There must be a fifth vertex d_1 such that $d_1 \sim c_1, e$ but $d_1 \not\sim z, c$. Note that for $G[N(T_d)] \cong C_5$, we must have that $c_1 \not\sim e$. Therefore, $c_1 \neq e_1$. Since $d_1 \not\sim c$, we have that $d_1 \neq b_1, b$.

Let us look at the triangle T_e induced by $\{x, y, e\}$. We note that $\{a, d, z, d_1, e_1\} \subseteq N(T_e)$. If $e_1 = d_1$, then a subset of $N(T_e)$ would induce a C_4 , so $e_1 \neq d_1$. Thus, in particular $d_1 \not\sim a$, so $d_1 \neq a_1$. Therefore all five a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1 are distinct and distinct from $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$.

Now, let us take the triangle T_{a_1} induced by $\{x, y, a_1\}$. We note that $N(T_{a_1})$ contains the vertices a, b, b_1, e_1 and a vertex w such that (e_1, a, b, b_1, w) is a C_5 . Then, we look at the triangle T_{b_1} induced by $\{x, y, b_1\}$, $N(T_{b_1})$ contains b, c, a_1, c_1, w . If $w = c_1$, $G[N(T_{b_1})]$ would contain a C_4 , so we have that $w \neq c_1$ and $w \sim c_1$. Via similar arguments examining $N(\{x, y, c_1\})$, $N(\{x, y, d_1\})$, $N(\{x, y, e_1\})$, we have that $N(\{x, y, w\}) = \{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1\}$. Note in particular that w is distinct from all five of these vertices. By triangle-criticality, $G - \{a, b, a_1\}$ has chromatic number five. Fix a coloring. Note that x, y must have distinct colors from $\{w, z, c, d, e, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1\}$, so we must color the rest with three colors. $\{b_1, c_1, c\}$ must all receive three distinct colors, say respectively 1, 2, 3. $\{b_1, c_1, w\}$ is a triangle, so w must receive color 3. $\{c, c_1, d\}$ is a triangle so d receives color 1. $\{d, c_1, d_1\}$ is a triangle, so d_1 receives color 3. Yet $d_1 \sim w$, a contradiction.

Thus, $G \cong K_8$. □

Furthermore, we will prove the following statement:

Theorem 4.2 (Restatement of Theorem 1.11) *Let $\ell \geq 2$. Let G be K_ℓ -critical claw-free graph with chromatic number $k \leq 5\ell - 4$. Then $G \cong K_k$.*

Proof: Suppose on the contrary, $G \not\cong K_k$.

Then, by Lemma 2.6, there is a copy S of K_ℓ such that for every $x \in V(S)$, there is some copy L of K_ℓ such that $L \not\subseteq N[x]$.

Moreover, for any $x \in V(S)$, Lemma 2.8 implies $\chi(G[N(x)]) \leq k - \ell - 1$, and hence by claw-freeness $d(x) \leq 2(k - \ell - 1)$.

Let u, v be a nonadjacent pair inside $N(S)$. Note that G is a K_k if no such pair exists. Then for every $x \in V(S)$, by Lemma 2.9, $d(u, x) \geq k - \ell + 3(\ell - 2) \geq k + 2\ell - 6$.

Take $y \in V(S)$, let $S' = G[V(S) - \{y\} \cup \{v\}]$. Then, S' is not in the neighborhood of u and does not contain u , but contains every $x \in S - \{y\}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.10, every $x \in V(S) - \{y\}$ has at least ℓ neighbors outside $N[u]$. Thus, since x is adjacent to u , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &\geq d(x, u) + |N(x) - N[u]| + |\{u\}| \\ &\geq k + 2\ell - 6 + \ell + 1 \\ &\geq k + 3\ell - 5. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the upper bound on $d(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k + 3\ell - 5 &\leq 2(k - \ell - 1) \\ k + 3\ell - 5 &\leq 2k - 2\ell - 2 \\ 5\ell - 3 &\leq k. \end{aligned}$$

Yet, by assumption, we have that $k \leq 5\ell - 4$, a contradiction. So $G \cong K_k$. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1.12

We will now prove the following statement:

Theorem 5.1 (Restatement of Theorem 1.12) *Let G be a triangle-critical, claw-free graph of chromatic number twelve. Then $G \cong K_{12}$*

Proof: Assume on the contrary that $G \not\cong K_{12}$. Let a be a vertex of G that lies on a triangle L such that there is a triangle L' in $G - \{a\}$ not fully contained in $N(a)$. By Lemma 2.6, such a vertex exists. Let b, d be a nonedge in $N(L)$. Since $G \not\cong K_{12}$, such a nonedge exists. Note that there is a triangle containing a , which does not lie inside $N(b)$ and does not contain b . Recall that by claw-freeness and Lemma 2.8, $d(a), d(b) \leq 2(12 - 3 - 1) \leq 16$.

If every triangle containing ab has degree at least ten, then, following the proof of Lemma 2.9, we have that $d(a, b) \geq 13$. As by Lemma 2.10, $|N(a) - N[b]| \geq 3$, we have that

$$d(a) = d(a, b) + |N(a) - N[b]| + |\{b\}| \geq 17,$$

a contradiction. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, there is a $c \in N(a, b)$ such that $d(a, b, c) = 9$. Let $T = G[\{a, b, c\}]$. Now, by Lemma 2.9, we have that $d(a, b), d(a, c), d(b, c) \geq 12$. Thus, there are six vertices, x, x', y, y', z, z' such that $x, x' \in N(a, b) - N[c]$, $y, y' \in N(a, c) - N[b]$, and $z, z' \in N(b, c) - N[a]$.

Now, we have that for each vertex among $\{a, b, c\}$ there is a triangle not containing it such that misses its neighborhood, so by Lemma 2.8 and claw-freeness, $d(a), d(b), d(c) \leq 16$.

Let us examine the triangle $S = G[\{a, b, x\}]$. Since $x \notin N[c]$, S has a neighbor outside $N[c]$ by Lemma 2.7; without loss of generality, we may assume it is x' . Also by Lemma 2.7, a, x, x' has a common neighbor outside the $N[c]$, let us call it a' . Suppose $a' \sim b$. Then, $d(a, b) \geq 13$. But, then by Lemma 2.10, $d(a) \geq 17$, a contradiction. So $a' \not\sim b$. Similar logic gives a vertex b' that is adjacent to x, x', b but not a, c .

Now, let us examine $S' = G[\{a, c, y\}]$. Since $y \notin N[b]$, S' has a neighbor outside $N[b]$ by Lemma 2.7; without loss of generality, we may assume it is y' . Furthermore, a, y, y' has a common neighbor outside $N[b]$, let us call it a'' . As above $a'' \not\sim c$. If $a'' \neq a'$, then $d(a) \geq 17$, a contradiction. Thus, $a'' = a'$.

Following this logic to its natural conclusion, we have found that $x \sim x', y \sim y'$, and $z \sim z'$, and the existence of three vertices a', b', c' such that $a' \sim a, x, x', y, y'$; $a' \not\sim b, c$; $b' \sim b, x, x', z, z'$; $b' \not\sim a, c$; $c' \sim c, y, y', z, z'$; and $c' \not\sim a, b$.

Note that the edge aa' lies on a triangle, so $d(a, a') \geq 12$. In particular, $N(a, a')$ contains x, x', y, y' and eight vertices among $N(T)$. Similar logic holds for bb' and cc' . In particular $N_{N(T)}(a', b', c') \geq 6$. Fix $w \in N_{N(T)}(a', b', c')$. If $a' \not\sim b'$, then $G[\{w, a', b', c'\}]$, would be a claw, a contradiction. So $a' \sim b'$, and similar logic shows $T' := G[\{a', b', c'\}]$ satisfies $T' \cong K_3$, as shown in Figure 1.

Now, $G - T$ is 9-chromatic by triangle-criticality. Fix one such 9-coloring ϕ . Under ϕ , $N(T)$ receives all nine colors by Lemma 2.2. Since $d_{N(T)}(a') \geq 8$, we have that a' has exactly one non-neighbor in $N(T)$. Suppose inside $N(T)$, a', b' share a




Figure 1: Some edges in $G[\{x, x', y, y', z, z'\} \cup T \cup T']$

common non-neighbor. Let v be the non-neighbor of a' in $N(T)$, and so under ϕ , $\phi(v) = \phi(a')$. Under the assumption a', b' are both nonadjacent to v , we have that $\phi(v) = \phi(b')$. But then, $\phi(b') = \phi(a')$, contradicting that ϕ is proper coloring.

This gives us a complete description of the connectivity between $N(T)$ and T' , as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Structure of $N(T)$

Let us now examine the edge ax . As it lies on a triangle $d(ax) \geq 12$. Since ax has at most three neighbors among $\{x', y, y', z, z'\}$ and exactly two neighbors, a', b

among $\{b, c, a', b', c'\}$, we have that ax have at least seven common neighbors among $N(T)$. Similarly, $d_{N(T)}(x'), d_{N(T)}(y), d_{N(T)}(y'), d_{N(T)}(z), d_{N(T)}(z') \geq 7$.

Note that under ϕ , six colors appear among x, x', y, y', z, z' . Indeed, suppose that two of them received the same color, say without loss of generality $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$. Letting w be the vertex in $N(T)$ receiving color $\phi(x)$, then $G[\{a, x, y, w\}]$ would be a claw, a contradiction.

We seek to show that, under ϕ , every vertex in $\{x, x', y, y', z, z', a', b', c'\}$ receives a distinct color. Suppose on the contrary that one vertex among x, x', y, y', z, z' under the coloring ϕ shares a color with one of $\{a', b', c'\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume it is z and a' . Let v_i be the vertex in $N(T)$ such that $\phi(v_i) = \phi(z) = \phi(a')$. Now, as $z, a' \not\sim v_i$, we have that $d_{N(T)}(z, a') \geq 7$. If $d_{N(T)}(v_i) \geq 2$, then $N_{N(T)}(v_i, a', z) \neq \emptyset$, and so G would contain a claw, a contradiction. Thus, $d_{N(T)}(v_i) \leq 1$. Now, $d(a, b, v_i) \geq 9$, so $|N(a) - N(c)| \geq d(a, b, v_i) - d_{N(T)}(v_i) \geq 8$. But, then $d(a) = d(a, c) + |N(a) - N(c)| \geq 12 + 8 > 16$, a contradiction. Thus, under ϕ , every vertex in $\{x, x', y, y', z, z', a', b', c'\}$ receives a distinct color.

Without loss of generality, assume $\phi(a') = 1, \phi(b') = 2, \phi(c') = 3, \phi(x) = 4, \phi(x') = 5, \phi(y) = 6, \phi(y') = 7, \phi(z) = 8, \phi(z') = 9$. For every $i \in [9]$, let $v_i \in N(T)$ be the unique vertex colored i under ϕ .

Claim: For all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and all $j \in \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$, $v_i v_j$ is an edge.

For simplicity, let us first examine $i = 1, j = 4$. By Lemma 2.5, there is a path of order four from b to c where the second vertex receives color 1 and the third vertex receives color 4. Yet b is adjacent to exactly one vertex of color 1, v_1 , and c is adjacent to exactly one vertex of color 4, v_4 . So $v_1 v_4$ is an edge. Similar arguments complete this claim.

Our final claim before our contradiction is that $d(T') = 9$. Now, as T is a triangle that does not lie completely in any of their neighborhoods, by Lemma 2.8 and claw-free, we have that $d(a'), d(b'), d(c') \leq 16$. Suppose on the contrary that $d(T') \geq 10$. Now, a' is adjacent to at least seven vertices that are not in $N(T')$, namely a, v_2, v_3, b', c' , and then at least two of x, x', y, y' , as c' cannot be adjacent to both of x, x' and b' cannot be adjacent to both of y, y' , as then either $d(c') \geq 17$ or $d(b') \geq 17$ respectively. Indeed, if c' were adjacent to both x, x' , then c' would be adjacent to eight vertices in $N(T)$, c, x, x', y, y', z, z' and a', b' . Yet then, $d(a') \geq 17$, so $d(T') = 9$.

We will now show that $\chi(G - T') \geq 10$, contradicting triangle-criticality. Suppose there is a 9-coloring of $G - T'$, call it ψ . Then, by Lemma 2.2, all nine colors must appear in the $N(T')$. As there are only nine vertices, every vertex must get a distinct color. Suppose without loss of generality that $\psi(v_4) = 4, \psi(v_5) = 5, \psi(v_6) =$




Figure 3: Key Structure of $N(a) \cup N(b) \cup N(c)$

6, $\psi(v_7) = 7, \psi(v_8) = 8, \psi(v_9) = 9$. Then, as each of $\{a, b, c\}$ is adjacent to all six of these vertices, and form a triangle, we may assume $\psi(a) = 1, \psi(b) = 2$, and $\psi(c) = 3$. Thus, all nine colors appear in the neighborhood of v_1 , and so ψ cannot be a proper coloring.

Therefore, $G \cong K_{12}$.

□

6 Concluding Remarks

We note that if G is a counterexample for the Erdős-Lovász Tihany conjecture for a pair (s, t) with $s = 3$, then it must have a K_3 . In particular, Stiebitz [Lemma 3.6, [Sti87b]] showed it must have a K_4 . Thus, our main results reprove Erdős-Lovász Tihany for $(3, 3), (3, 4), (3, 5)$ and prove it for claw-free graphs for $(3, t)$ with $t \in \{6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$. In particular, this leads to the open question:

Question 6.1 *Does any counterexample G to the Erdős-Lovász Tihany Conjecture for a pair (s, t) with $s, t \geq 4$ require K_s to be a subgraph of G ?*

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Alexander Clifton for valuable discussions regarding the presentation and content of this work.

References

- [BJ69] William G. Brown and Heinz A. Jung. On odd circuits in chromatic graphs. *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 20(1–2):129–134, March 1969.
- [BKPS09] József Balogh, Alexandr V. Kostochka, Noah Prince, and Michael Stiebitz. The Erdős–Lovász Tihany conjecture for quasi-line graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 309(12):3985–3991, 2009.
- [CFP13] Maria Chudnovsky, Alexandra Fradkin, and Matthieu Plumettaz. On the Erdős–Lovász Tihany conjecture for claw-free graphs. (arXiv:1309.1020), September 2013.
- [Erd68] Paul Erdős. Problems. Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966). page 361–362, 1968.
- [HY16] Hao Huang and Alexander Yu. A note on the double-critical graph conjecture. (arXiv:1604.05262), April 2016.
- [KPT10] Ken-ichi Kawarabayashi, Anders Sune Pedersen, and Bjarne Toft. Double-critical graphs and complete minors. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, page R87–R87, June 2010.
- [KS08] Alexandr V. Kostochka and Michael Stiebitz. Partitions and edge colourings of multigraphs. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, page N25–N25, July 2008.
- [Moz87] Nikolai Nikolaiovich Mozhan. On doubly critical graphs with the chromatic number five. *Metody Diskretnogo Analiza*, 73(46):50–59, 1987.
- [NL82] Víctor Neumann-Lara. The dichromatic number of a digraph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 33(3):265–270, 1982.
- [Ped08] Anders Sune Pedersen. A remark on triangle-critical graphs. (arXiv:0802.3529), February 2008.

- [RS17] Martin Rolek and Zi-Xia Song. Double-critical graph conjecture for claw-free graphs. 340:1633–1638, July 2017.
- [Son19] Zi-Xia Song. Erdős–Lovász Tihany conjecture for graphs with forbidden holes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 342(9):2632–2635, 2019.
- [Son22] Zi-Xia Song. The Erdős–Lovász Tihany conjecture—a survey. *Advances in Mathematics*, 51:259–274, 2022. https://sciences.ucf.edu/math/zxsong/wp-content/uploads/sites/13/2022/02/Survey_V1.pdf.
- [Sti87a] Michael Stiebitz. K_5 is the only double-critical 5-chromatic graph. *Discrete mathematics*, 64(1):91–93, 1987.
- [Sti87b] Michael Stiebitz. On k -critical n -chromatic graphs. *Combinatorics (Eger, 1987)*, 52:509–514, 1987.
- [Tof95] Bjarne Toft. Colouring stable sets and perfect graphs. In *Handbook of combinatorics*, volume 1, page 233. 1995.
- [Wes01] Douglas Brent West. *Introduction to graph theory*, volume 2. Prentice hall Upper Saddle River, 2001.