

THE $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -WEIGHT SYSTEM AT $C = 3/8$ FOR GRAPHS

DANIIL FOMICHEV AND MAKSIM KAREV

ABSTRACT. We construct a 4-invariant that extends a specialization of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system at $c = \frac{3}{8}$, and satisfies a simple deletion-contraction relation.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation and history of the problem	1
1.2. Graph drawing conventions	2
1.3. Acknowledgements	2
2. $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system	2
2.1. Weight systems and 4-invariants	2
2.2. The Chmutov-Varchenko relations	3
3. Definition and principal properties of the function φ	4
3.1. Definition of the function φ	4
3.2. The deletion-contraction identity for φ	5
3.3. φ as a 4-invariant	6
3.4. The graph 6T-relations for φ	8
4. Further discussion	8
4.1. Alternative formulae for φ	8
4.2. An extremal value of φ for the fixed number of vertices	9
References	10

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation and history of the problem. Weight systems are functions defined on chord diagrams that naturally emerge in the study of finite type invariants of knots (see [19] and [13]).

Metrized Lie (super)algebras serve as one of the primary sources for weight systems (see, for example, [13, 1, 3]). According to the theorem by S. Chmutov and S. Lando ([5]), the values of weight systems associated with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$ are determined by the intersection graphs of chord diagrams. The graph-theoretic counterparts of weight systems are called 4-invariants (see [16]), and every 4-invariant corresponds to a weight system through the intersection graph map. The map from the space of 4-invariants to the set of weight systems is non-surjective. For instance, it is not even clear, if a weight system with values depending on intersection graphs only, can always be extended to a 4-invariant. For the case of $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$, an explicit 4-invariant extending it is known. A long standing conjecture of S. Lando asserts:

Conjecture. There exists a unique 4-invariant that extends the value of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system.

The current state of research on the existence of a 4-invariant extending the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system can be found in [11] and references therein. We mention the following results: the unique 4-invariant that extends the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system and is defined non-trivially on all the graphs with up to eight vertices exists [14]. Some coefficients of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system are related to the numerical invariants of the corresponding intersection graphs [3, 15, 2]. Also, there are studies discussing the values of a possible extensions on families of graphs [21, 20].

While we do not resolve the Lando conjecture, we presents an explicit construction of a 4-invariant extending a specialization of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system at $c = \frac{3}{8}$. Unfortunately, the question of uniqueness of such an extension is still outside our reach.


The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 provides essential information about the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system and 4-invariants. We outline the defining relations for the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system and introduce a set of relations on a function defined over graphs to facilitate an extension. Section 3 details the definition of the function φ a deletion-contraction relation it satisfies, and proofs establishing that this function is a 4-invariant extending the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system at $c = \frac{3}{8}$. Section 4 contains two more formulas for the function φ and discusses an upper bound on its value.

The key outcomes of this paper include theorem 3.7 confirming that φ is 4-invariant, and theorem 3.9 establishing that φ extends the specialization of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system.

1.2. Graph drawing conventions. In this paper, we consider simple graphs only. The set of isomorphism classes of simple graphs on n vertices is denoted \mathbf{G}_n , and $\mathbf{G} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{G}_n$.

We follow a particular convention for visually depicting graphs. Not individually shown, certain vertices may be grouped into sets typically represented by lowercase Latin letters. If a vertex v is visibly connected to a node labeled with a letter a , the graph has edges connecting vertex v to all vertices within subset a of vertices of the graph. All the edges that connect an explicitly shown vertex v to other vertices of the graph are either shown explicitly or made part of a group of edges shown by the connection of v to a node. It is important to note that subsets of vertices adjacent to different explicitly shown vertices are not required to have empty intersections in this context, but sometimes we will explicitly require certain relations between the sets of vertices. When presenting equations involving multiple graphs, it is understood that all non-depicted vertices and edges, as well as the grouping of not explicitly shown vertices into sets, are consistent across the graphs in question.

For example, if we only care about the fragment in a neighborhood of the red vertex of the graph depicted below, provided with the following decomposition of the vertices into individual sets, we abbreviate it as follows.



On the last picture the colors do not carry any meaningful information and are added for better comprehension only.

The edges of the graph are shown in black. Following [10], we adopt a specific notation for the values of functions defined on \mathbf{G} . Given a function on \mathbf{G} , we can extend it by linearity to the vector space $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{G}$, denoting the resulting function, by the same symbol, by abuse of notation. We also allow some of the edges of the graph to be colored red, meaning by it the formal linear combination:

$$\color{red}{\bullet} = \bullet - \color{red}{\bullet}.$$

When multiple edges are colored red, it denotes the alternating sum over all possible ways of resolving the red edges, whether as standard edges or no edges, respectively. A red edge connecting an explicitly shown vertex to a node means that all the edges connecting the vertex to the elements of the set, represented by the node, are red.

1.3. Acknowledgements. The authors thank M.E. Kazarian and J. Mostovoy for the valuable comments on the first version of this text, and E. Krasilnikov for the verification of the correctness of the construction of the invariant for all graphs up to 8 vertices.

2. $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -WEIGHT SYSTEM

This section reviews the necessary definitions and discusses, in brief, the known results about the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system.

2.1. Weight systems and 4-invariants.

Definition 2.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a *chord diagram* D of order n is a set of pairwise distinct $2n$ points positioned on an oriented circle, along with a specific complete pairing of these points. The diagram is considered up to an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism of the circle. We denote the set of all chord diagrams of order n by \mathbf{A}_n , and $\mathbf{A} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{A}_n$.

In visual representations of chord diagrams, paired points are conventionally connected by a chord, hence the object's name. In this paper, it is assumed that all circles depicted in the figures are oriented counterclockwise.

The V.A. Vassiliev's theory of finite-type knot invariants [18, 1, 3] motivates the study of the space of functions defined on the set of chord diagrams that satisfy specific relations, known as the 4T-relations.


Definition 2.2. Let M be an abelian group. A function $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow M$ is called a *weight system* if the following relations hold:

$$f\left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) - f\left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) + f\left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) - f\left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) = 0.$$

Henceforth, the following convention is used. The diagrams may include additional chords with endpoints on the dashed arcs. However, only the chords with endpoints on the solid parts of the circles are explicitly depicted. All other chords not visible in the images are consistent across all four chord diagrams.

Remark. In the theory of non-framed knot invariants, it is also necessary to impose so-called 1T-relations. When 1T-relations are not assumed, the corresponding functions are referred to as "framed weight systems." In this paper, we do not address 1T-relations and simply refer to "framed weight systems" as "weight systems" for conciseness.

Construction 2.3. Define the product of two chord diagrams as follows: break the supporting circles of two chord diagrams in the point different from chords endpoints and then glue them together respecting the orientation.



Different choices of the break points, in general, lead to different resulting diagrams. However, the result of the evaluation of a weight system on such a product does not depend on this choice (e.g. see [3] for details). For chord diagrams $D_1, D_2 \in \mathbf{A}$ and a weight system f , by $f(D_1 D_2)$ we denote the result of the evaluation of f on any diagram glued from D_1 and D_2 .

As it was mentioned in [6, 7, 8], every chord diagram D gives rise to the corresponding *intersection graph* Γ_D .

Construction 2.4. The intersection graph Γ_D of a chord diagram D is formed as follows: the set of vertices of $V(\Gamma_D)$ is the set of chords of D . Two vertices are connected by an edge if the corresponding chords of the chord diagrams intersect (or, equivalently, the corresponding chords endpoints interlace).

An example of the intersection graph of a chord diagram is shown below.



Definition 2.5. For an abelian group M , a function $g: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow M$ is called a *4-invariant* if the following graph 4T-relations hold:

$$g \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \textcircled{a} \text{---} \\ | \\ \text{---} \textcircled{b} \text{---} \end{array} \right) = g \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \textcircled{a \Delta b} \text{---} \\ | \\ \text{---} \textcircled{b} \text{---} \end{array} \right).$$

Here we use the graph drawing conventions introduced in subsection 1.2.

Remark. Our graph drawing conventions allow to formulate the graph 4T-relations in a very compact form. We can also state it in a more detailed form, which is closer to the original form of [16]:

$$g \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \textcircled{a} \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \textcircled{c} \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{---} \textcircled{b} \text{---} \end{array} \right) = g \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \textcircled{c} \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \textcircled{a} \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{---} \textcircled{b} \text{---} \end{array} \right).$$

However, in this case we have to state explicitly, that the vertices subsets denoted by a, b, c have pairwise empty intersection.

Given a 4-invariant $g: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow M$, we can define a weight system f by the rule: for $D \in \mathbf{A}$, $f(D) = g(\Gamma_D)$. Notice that this construction works due to the fact that application of the intersection graph map to diagrams involved in 4T-relation produces a graph 4T-relation.

This paper deals with weight systems and 4-invariants with values in \mathbb{C} and $\mathbb{C}[t]$ only. For these cases, both the spaces of weight systems and 4-invariants have a natural bialgebra structure but we are not going to use it in this paper. For the details see, e.g., [3]. We refer to [11] as a state-of-the-art review of weight systems and 4-invariants.

2.2. The Chmutov-Varchenko relations. According to [12, 1], every metrized Lie algebra gives rise to a weight system with values in the center of the corresponding universal enveloping algebra. For a particular case of this general construction related to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, S. Chmutov and A. Varchenko [4] have proposed a recursive procedure for computation of the value of the corresponding weight system without any reference to the Lie algebra. The center of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathbb{C}[c]$ of polynomials in one variable, where c is the Casimir element defined by the choice of the metric. Here we choose the metric to be the Killing form of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ ¹.

Definition 2.6. The $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system $w: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[c]$ is uniquely defined by the following axioms:

- (1) *Normalization.* The value of w on the chord diagram with one chord equals c .
- (2) *Multiplicativity.* For two chord diagrams $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbf{A}$, we have $w(D_1 D_2) = w(D_1)w(D_2)$, where $w(D_1 D_2)$ denotes the result of evaluation on any diagram glued from D_1 and D_2 (see Construction 2.3).
- (3) *Leaf deletion.* If a chord diagram D has a leaf, i.e. the chord that intersects one another chord only, denoting the result of the deletion of the leaf by D' , we have $w(D) = (c - \frac{1}{2}) w(D')$.

¹Any other choice of the metric on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ leads to the rescaling of the coefficients of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight systems. See [3] for the details.

(4) *6T-relations*. The following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \left(\text{Diagram 1} \right) - w_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \left(\text{Diagram 2} \right) - w_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \left(\text{Diagram 3} \right) + w_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \left(\text{Diagram 4} \right) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2} w_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \left(\text{Diagram 5} \right) - \frac{1}{2} w_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \left(\text{Diagram 6} \right); \\ w_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \left(\text{Diagram 7} \right) - w_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \left(\text{Diagram 8} \right) - w_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \left(\text{Diagram 9} \right) + w_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \left(\text{Diagram 10} \right) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2} w_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \left(\text{Diagram 11} \right) - \frac{1}{2} w_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \left(\text{Diagram 12} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The above-listed properties are known as *Chmutov-Varchenko relations* for the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system. Notably, the *4T-relations* are not directly required, but are implied by the defining axioms.

Motivated by the theorem of S. Chmutov and S. Lando [5], we introduce the following definition, related to the previous one by translating all the identities involving the chord diagrams to the intersection graphs language.

Definition 2.7 (The graph Chmutov-Varchenko relations). We say that a function $g: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the graph Chmutov-Varchenko relations at $p \in \mathbb{C}$ if the following conditions hold:

- (1) *Normalization*. The value of g on the graph with only one vertex and no edges equals p .
- (2) *Multiplicativity*. For any two graphs $G_1, G_2 \in \mathbf{G}$, the value of g on their disjoint union is: $g(G_1 \sqcup G_2) = g(G_1)g(G_2)$.
- (3) *Leaf deletion*. If G is a graph with a leaf, i.e. a vertex of degree 1, and G' is the result of removing this vertex together with the edge adjacent to it, then $g(G) = (p - \frac{1}{2})g(G')$.
- (4) *Graph 6T-relations*. The following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} g \left(\text{Diagram 1} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} g \left(\text{Diagram 2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} g \left(\text{Diagram 3} \right); \\ g \left(\text{Diagram 4} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} g \left(\text{Diagram 5} \right) - \frac{1}{2} g \left(\text{Diagram 6} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. A reader may find it not straightforward to relate the *6T-relations* from the definition of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system to the graph *6T-relations* we introduce here. To make this task easier, we give another form of graph *6T-relations* assuming that the vertices sets x, y, z, t, u, v, w have pairwise empty intersections:

$$\begin{aligned} g \left(\text{Diagram 1} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} g \left(\text{Diagram 2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} g \left(\text{Diagram 3} \right); \\ g \left(\text{Diagram 4} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} g \left(\text{Diagram 5} \right) - \frac{1}{2} g \left(\text{Diagram 6} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Compared to definition 2.6, for the graph Chmutov-Varchenko relations we do not assume $t = \emptyset$ in the first relation and $y = \emptyset$ in the second.

As the graph Chmutov-Varchenko relations take their origin from translating the Chmutov-Varchenko relations for chord diagrams to graphs via the intersection graph map and generalize them: every function that satisfies the graph Chmutov-Varchenko relations defines an extension of the specialization of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -weight system at the point $c = p$.

3. DEFINITION AND PRINCIPAL PROPERTIES OF THE FUNCTION φ

This section discusses the main protagonist of this paper. We introduce the function $\varphi: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and prove that it satisfies the graph Chmutov-Varchenko relations (see definition 2.7) at $\frac{3}{8}$.

3.1. Definition of the function φ . For a graph $G \in \mathbf{G}$, we denote the set of its vertices by $V(G)$ and the set of its edges by $E(G)$. For a subset $E' \subseteq E(G)$, we denote by $G|_{E'}$ the graph obtained from G by removing all the edges that do not belong to E' . By $\chi_3(G)$ we mean the number of proper colorings of the vertices of G in three colors.

Definition 3.1 (Function φ). For $G \in \mathbf{G}$, we set

$$\varphi(G) = 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{E' \subseteq E(G)} (-2)^{|E'|} \chi_3(G|_{E'}).$$

Here are the values of φ on some simple graphs:

- For the discrete graph N_n on n vertices, we have $\varphi(N_n) = \left(\frac{3}{8}\right)^n$.
- $\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) = -\frac{3}{8^2}$.
- $\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) = \frac{3}{8^3}$.
- $\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \triangle \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) = \frac{15}{8^3}$.

Note that if we compute the value of φ on a graph G with the subset R of edges colored red (following the conventions from subsection 1.2), we obtain:

$$\varphi(G) = 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{R \subseteq E' \subseteq E(G)} (-2)^{|E'|} \chi_3(G|_{E'}).$$

Here the notion of proper vertex colorings of the graph does not depend on colors of edges connecting the vertices. The formula reduces to a single term and becomes especially simple when we evaluate the function on the graph with red edges only.

The remaining part of this section is dedicated to the proof of the fact that φ satisfies the graph Chmutov-Varchenko relations at $\frac{3}{8}$. Two out of four properties are straightforward.

Proposition 3.2. The function φ is multiplicative and is equal to $\frac{3}{8}$ on the graph with one vertex and no edges.

Proof. The value of φ on the graph with one vertex and no edges is trivially computed by definition. Multiplicativity follows from the fact that for a disjoint union $G = G_1 \sqcup G_2$, the set of subsets of edges of G is canonically isomorphic to the direct product of the sets of subsets of edges of G_1 and G_2 . Furthermore, the number of vertices and the number of edges of a graph are both additive under the disjoint union, while the number of proper 3-colorings is multiplicative. The assertion follows. \square

3.2. The deletion-contraction identity for φ . The function φ takes its origin in the number of proper vertex colorings of the graph in three colors. Unsurprisingly, a version of the deletion-contraction relation allowing one to compute its value recursively can be stated.

Theorem 3.3. The following deletion-contraction relation holds:

$$(1) \quad \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) = -\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \varphi \left(\bullet \text{---} u \triangle v \text{---} \bullet \right).$$

Proof. Recall the definition of φ :

$$\varphi(G) = 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{E' \subseteq E(G)} (-2)^{|E'|} \chi_3(G|_{E'})$$

To specify every term of the sum separately, we can write down the graph under consideration as a linear combination of graphs in which some of the edges are colored red:

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) = \sum_{\substack{u' \subset u \\ v' \subset v}} \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) + \sum_{\substack{u' \subset u \\ v' \subset v}} \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \end{array} \right).$$

We use Tutte's deletion-contraction relation for the chromatic polynomial (see e.g. [9] and also [17] for the history of its discovery) applied to the edge between two explicitly shown vertices to compute the contribution from the first term on the right-hand side of the equation. The deletion term and the second term of the right-hand side of the equation produce together

$$- \sum_{\substack{u' \subset u \\ v' \subset v}} \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \end{array} \right),$$

as the deletion term is provided with the factor of (-2) , since after the deletion the number of edges decreases by one.

For the contraction term we have the following: consider a vertex $a \in u' \cap v'$. Notice, that the results of the contraction of the terms corresponding to the pairs of subsets (u', v') , $(u' \setminus \{a\}, v')$, and $(u', v' \setminus \{a\})$ all produce the same graphs, but the number of edges in the graph that corresponds to (u', v') is one greater than for the other two pairs of subsets, so it is counted with an additional factor of -2 . It means that we can only have a non-vanishing contribution from the terms with $u' \subset u \setminus v$ and $v' \subset v \setminus u$, or, equivalently, only the subsets $w = u' \cup v' \subset u \triangle v$

contribute non-trivially to the contraction term. After the contraction of the edge, the resulting graph has one edge and one vertex less, which leads to the overall factor of $\frac{-2}{8} = -\frac{1}{4}$. The Theorem follows by summing up all the contributions and rewriting the graphs with red edges as linear combinations of graphs with black edges. \square

Although, it is not difficult to prove the following fact directly from the definition of φ , as a corollary of the deletion-contraction we have:

Proposition 3.4. The invariant φ satisfies the leaf deletion property of 2.7 for $p = \frac{3}{8}$.

Proof. Apply the deletion-contraction relation (1) to the leaf. The deletion term develops an isolated vertex that, by the multiplicativity property, can be replaced by the factor $\frac{3}{8}$. The resulting graph coincides with the contraction term. Thus the total contribution equals $-\frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{4} = -\frac{1}{8} = \frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{2}$. The assertion follows. \square

Lemma 3.5. The following identity holds:

$$(2) \quad \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{graph with red edges } (u,v,w) \end{array} \right) = -\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{graph with black edges } (u,v,w) \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{graph with black edges } (w, u\Delta v) \end{array} \right).$$

Proof. Expressing the graphs with red edges in terms of graphs with black edges by the convention of subsection 1.2 and applying the deletion-contraction relation (1) to the resulting graphs, we derive the equality. \square

3.3. φ as a 4-invariant. This subsection discusses the proof of the 4-invariance of φ . In fact, [10] contains a proof of the 4-invariance of a function that differs from φ by a controllable factor, but we find it more instructive to provide an alternative proof here. We start with the following lemma:

Lemma 3.6 (Triangle identity). The following identity holds:

$$(3) \quad \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with vertices } x, y, z \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{graphs } x\Delta y, y\Delta z \end{array} \right).$$

Proof. We prove this identity by the induction on the size of y . It is enough to consider the case when vertices from y are connected to the explicitly shown vertices of the triangle on the left-hand side of the equation by red edges. The induction base $|y| = 0$:

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with vertices } x, z \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{graphs } x, z \end{array} \right)$$

follows from the definition of φ : in any proper vertex 3-coloring, the color of the topmost vertex of the graph in the left-hand part of the equality is uniquely determined by the colors of the adjacent vertices, so there is a bijection between the sets of proper 3-colorings of the graphs on the left-hand side and the right-hand side. The factor $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{(-2)^2}{8}$ arises because the graph on the left has two edges and one vertex more than the one on the right.

By induction hypothesis, we suppose, that for all graphs with $|y| < n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the weighted sum of proper 3-colorings coincide on the left and the right-hand side of the equation. Take a graph with $|y| = n - 1$ and a vertex $s \notin y$ connected to the topmost vertex of the triangle. On all the pictures below the vertex s is shown explicitly in the middle of the triangle. It will be convenient for us to treat the case in which all the edges that connect a to the vertices of the triangle are red. Consider the following cases:

- If s is connected to the topmost vertex of the triangle only, we have to check that

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with vertices } x, y, z \text{ and } s \end{array} \right) = \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with vertices } x, y, z \text{ and } s \end{array} \right) - \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with vertices } x, y, z \text{ and } s \end{array} \right)$$

equals

$$\frac{1}{2} \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{graphs } x\Delta y, y\Delta z \text{ and } s \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{graphs } x\Delta y, y\Delta z \text{ and } s \end{array} \right),$$

so one of the ways to prove this equality is to show that

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with vertices } x, y, z \text{ and } s \end{array} \right) = \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with vertices } x, y, z \text{ and } s \end{array} \right)$$

or

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) = \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) + \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) + \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right).$$

Consider the contribution of possible colorings of the vertex s to the value of φ on both sides of the equation. On the left-hand side, only the coloring in which the color of s differs from the color of the topmost vertex can contribute non-trivially. On the right-hand side, due to the factor of $(-2)^{|E'|}$ in the definition of φ , the colorings in which s is colored to the color of the topmost vertex cancel out. The remaining possible colorings of the right-hand side can be put to a bijection to the possible colorings of the left-hand side, so the equality holds.

- If s is connected to the topmost and only one of the bottom vertices of the triangle, we may use the identity (2) to reduce the considerations to the previous case:

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) = -2\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x\Delta w) \quad (z) \end{array} \right).$$

The left-hand part of the indicated equality should be equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z\Delta w) \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{8}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z\Delta w) \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{8}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z\Delta w) \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{4}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z\Delta w) \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Here, in order to obtain the second line from the first, we have applied the deletion-contraction relation (1) to the first and the fourth, and to the second and the third summands. The right-hand part equals:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) + \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{4}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y\Delta w) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) \\ &= -\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) - \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{4}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z\Delta w) \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where, again, the relation (1) is used. The contributions are indeed equal. The symmetric case when s is connected to the topmost and to the rightmost vertices of the triangle is treated similarly.

- If s is connected to all three vertices of the triangle, the weighted sum of the proper 3-colorings on the left-hand side is 0. Expressing the graph with red edges as a linear combination of graphs with black edges we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) &= \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) - \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) - \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) - \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) + \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) + \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right) - \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (x) \quad (z) \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding contribution to the right-hand part of the equality (3), up to the overall factor $\frac{1}{2}$ should be equal

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) - \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) - \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) - \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) + \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) + \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right) - \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} (x\Delta y) \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ (y\Delta z) \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and vanishes identically as well.

The claim follows. \square

Notice, that the graph Chmutov-Varchenko relations (2.7) imply the triangle identity (3), as it is the difference of the second and the first graph 6T-relations. It turns out, that the triangle identity implies the 4T-relations (2.5):

Theorem 3.7. The function φ is a 4-invariant.

Proof. Indeed:

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ a \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ b \end{array} \right) = 2\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \\ / \quad \backslash \\ \circ a \quad \circ b \end{array} \right) = 2\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ a \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ \\ / \quad \backslash \\ \circ b \quad \circ \end{array} \right) = \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ a \triangle b \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ a \end{array} \right).$$

□

3.4. The graph 6T-relations for φ .

Proposition 3.8. The function φ satisfies the graph 6T-relations of definition 2.7.

Proof. As it was proven in the previous subsection, the function φ satisfies the triangle identity (3), and hence the difference of 6T-relation holds:

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ x \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ y \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ z \end{array} \right) - \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ x \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ y \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ z \end{array} \right) = \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ y \\ / \quad \backslash \\ \circ x \quad \circ z \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ x \triangle y \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ y \triangle z \end{array} \right) = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ y \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ x \triangle z \end{array} \right).$$

So, it remains to prove, that the sum of the graph 6T-relations holds for φ . On the left-hand side, due to the relation (2), and the graph 4T-relations of definition 2.2, we have:

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ x \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ y \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ z \end{array} \right) + \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ x \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ y \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ z \end{array} \right) = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ y \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ x \triangle z \end{array} \right) = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ y \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ x \triangle y \triangle z \end{array} \right).$$

While on the left-hand side of the sum we get:

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ y \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ x \triangle y \triangle z \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ x \triangle y \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ y \triangle z \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ x \triangle y \\ | \\ \text{---} \circ y \triangle z \end{array} \right).$$

Due to the deletion-contraction relation (1), these expressions are equal.

□

Combining together propositions 3.2, 3.4, 3.8, and theorem 3.7 we get:

Theorem 3.9. The 4-invariant φ satisfies the graph Chmutov-Varchenko relations at $\frac{3}{8}$, and thus extends the value of $w_{s(2)}$ at $c = \frac{3}{8}$ from the set of graphs realizable as intersection graphs of chord diagrams, to the whole set \mathbf{G} .

4. FURTHER DISCUSSION

4.1. Alternative formulae for φ . Here, we discuss an alternative formula for φ , that potentially allow to perform computation of φ faster.

Proposition 4.1. For a graph $G \in \mathbf{G}$ with the set of vertices $V(G)$ and the set of edges $E(G)$, we have

$$\varphi(G) = 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{\substack{U \subset V(G) \\ G|_U \text{ is Eulerian}}} (-1)^{|E(U, V(G) \setminus U)|} 2^{|U|}.$$

Here $G|_U$ is the full subgraph of G induced by the subset of vertices U . A graph is Eulerian if all vertex degrees are even. The symbol $E(U, V(G) \setminus U)$ denotes the set of edges that connect vertices of U to vertices of its complement.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(G) &= 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{E' \subset E(G)} (-2)^{|E'|} \chi_3(G|_{E'}) = \\
&= 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{E' \subset E(G)} (-2)^{|E'|} \sum_{V(G)=V_1 \sqcup V_2 \sqcup V_3} \mathbf{1}(V_1 \sqcup V_2 \sqcup V_3 \text{ is a coloring of } G|_{E'}) = \\
&= 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{V(G)=V_1 \sqcup V_2 \sqcup V_3} \sum_{E' \subset E(G)} (-2)^{|E'|} \mathbf{1}(V_1 \sqcup V_2 \sqcup V_3 \text{ is a coloring of } G|_{E'}) = \\
&= 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{V(G)=V_1 \sqcup V_2 \sqcup V_3} \sum_{E' \subset E(V_1, V_2) \cup E(V_2, V_3) \cup E(V_3, V_1)} (-2)^{|E'|} = \\
&= 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{V(G)=V_1 \sqcup V_2 \sqcup V_3} \left(\sum_{E' \subset E(V_1, V_2)} (-2)^{|E'|} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{E' \subset E(V_2, V_3)} (-2)^{|E'|} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{E' \subset E(V_3, V_1)} (-2)^{|E'|} \right) = \\
&= 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{V(G)=V_1 \sqcup V_2 \sqcup V_3} (-1)^{|E(V_1, V_2)| + |E(V_2, V_3)| + |E(V_3, V_1)|} = \\
&= 2^{-3|V(G)|} (-1)^{|E(G)|} \sum_{V(G)=V_1 \sqcup V_2 \sqcup V_3} (-1)^{|E(V_1, V_1)| + |E(V_2, V_2)| + |E(V_3, V_3)|} = \\
&= 2^{-3|V(G)|} (-1)^{|E(G)|} \sum_{V(G)=V_1 \sqcup V_2} (-1)^{|E(V_1, V_1)|} \sum_{V_2=V'_2 \sqcup V''_2} (-1)^{|E(V'_2, V'_2)| + |E(V''_2, V''_2)|} = \\
&= 2^{-3|V(G)|} (-1)^{|E(G)|} \sum_{V(G)=V_1 \sqcup V_2} (-1)^{|E(V_1, V_1)| + |E(V_2, V_2)|} \sum_{V_2=V'_2 \sqcup V''_2} (-1)^{|E(V'_2, V'_2)|} = \\
&= 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{V(G)=V_1 \sqcup V_2} (-1)^{|E(V_1, V_2)|} \sum_{V_2=V'_2 \sqcup V''_2} (-1)^{|E(V'_2, V''_2)|}.
\end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof, we will show that $\sum_{V(G)=V_1 \sqcup V_2} (-1)^{|E(V_1, V_2)|} = 2^{|V(G)|} \mathbf{1}(G \text{ is Eulerian})$.

Let G be Eulerian: each connected component admits an Eulerian cycle. For each $V(G) = V_1 \sqcup V_2$, each component therefore contributes an even number of edges to $|E(V_1, V_2)|$, hence the sum simplifies to $\sum_{V(G)=V_1 \sqcup V_2} 1 = 2^{|V(G)|}$.

Let G be non-Eulerian: there exists a vertex v such that $\deg v$ is odd. For each $V(G) = V_1 \sqcup V_2$, we consider $V(G) = V'_1 \sqcup V'_2$, where $V'_i = V_i \triangle \{v\}$. It is evident that $(-1)^{|E(V_1, V_2)|} + (-1)^{|E(V'_1, V'_2)|} = 0$. Since this correspondence is bijective, the sum evaluates to zero. \square

Another expression comes from an explicit formula for the number of proper vertex 3-colorings.

Proposition 4.2. For a graph $G \in \mathbf{G}$ with the set of vertices $V(G)$ and the set of edges $E(G)$ we have

$$\varphi(G) = 2^{-3|V(G)|} (-1)^{|E(G)|} \sum_{E' \subset E(G)} (-2)^{|E'|} 3^{c(G|_{E'})},$$

where $c(G|_{E'})$ is the number of the connected components of the graph obtained from G by removing all the edges that do not belong to E' .

Proof. Recall the alternative formula for the number of proper 3-colorings of the vertices of the graph that follows from the inclusion-exclusion argument (see e.g. [9]):

$$\chi_3(G) = \sum_{E' \subset E(G)} (-1)^{|E'|} 3^{c(G|_{E'})}$$

and plug it into the definition of φ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(G) &= 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{E' \subset E(G)} (-2)^{|E'|} \sum_{E'' \subset E'} (-1)^{|E''|} 3^{c(G|_{E''})} \\
&= 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{E'' \subset E(G)} 2^{|E''|} 3^{c(G|_{E''})} \sum_{E'' \subset E' \subset E(G)} (-2)^{|E'| - |E''|},
\end{aligned}$$

where we change the order of summation: first sum over all possible choices of $E'' \subset E(G)$, and then over all the intermediate subsets $E'' \subset E' \subset E$. The last sum clearly equals $(-1)^{|E(G)| - |E''|}$. The assertion follows. \square

4.2. An extremal value of φ for the fixed number of vertices. We finish the paper with a proof of the following proposition motivated by an observation of M. Kazarian and M. Shapiro:

Proposition 4.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For a graph $G \in \mathbf{G}_n$, we have $\varphi(G) \neq 0$ and

$$|\varphi(G)| \leq \left(\frac{3}{8}\right)^n.$$

The bound is exact.

Proof. The claim follows easily from the formula from proposition 4.1 with the sum over vertex subsets inducing Eulerian subgraphs. Namely

$$|\varphi(G)| \leq 2^{-3|V(G)|} \sum_{U \subset V(G)} 2^{|U|} = \left(\frac{3}{8}\right)^{|V(G)|}.$$

The upper bound is achieved on discrete graphs. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Dror Bar-Natan. ‘On the Vassiliev knot invariants’. In: *Topology* 34 (1995), pp. 423–472.
- [2] Dror Bar-Natan and Huan T. Vo. ‘Proof of a conjecture of Kulakova et al. related to the \mathfrak{sl}_2 weight system’. In: *European Journal of Combinatorics* 45 (2015), pp. 65–70.
- [3] S. Chmutov, S. Duzhin and J. Mostovoy. *Introduction to Vassiliev knot invariants*. 2012.
- [4] S. V. Chmutov and A. N. Varchenko. ‘Remarks on the Vassiliev knot invariants coming from \mathfrak{sl}_2 ’. In: *Topology* 36.1 (1997), pp. 153–178.
- [5] Sergei Chmutov and Sergei Lando. ‘Mutant knots and intersection graphs’. In: *Algebraic & Geometric Topology* 7.3 (2007), pp. 1579–1598.
- [6] SV Chmutov, SV Duzhin and SK Lando. ‘Vassiliev knot invariants I. Introduction’. In: *Advances in Soviet Mathematics* 21 (1994), pp. 117–126.
- [7] SV Chmutov, SV Duzhin and SK Lando. ‘Vassiliev knot invariants II. Intersection graph conjecture for trees’. In: *Advances in Soviet Mathematics* 21 (1994), pp. 127–134.
- [8] SV Chmutov, SV Duzhin and SK Lando. ‘Vassiliev knot invariants III. Forest algebra and weighted graphs’. In: *Advances in Soviet Mathematics* 21 (1994), pp. 135–145.
- [9] Feng Ming Dong, Khee Meng Koh and Kok-Lai Teo. *Chromatic polynomials and chromaticity of graphs*. World Scientific, 2005.
- [10] Maksim Karev. ‘On the primitive subspace of Lando framed graph bialgebra’. In: *Communications in Mathematics* 31.3 (2024).
- [11] Maxim Kazaryan and Sergei Lando. *Weight systems and invariants of graphs and embedded graphs*. 2023. arXiv: 2302.12153 [math.CO].
- [12] Maxim Kontsevich. ‘Vassiliev’s knot invariants’. In: *Advances in Soviet Mathematics* 16.2 (1993), pp. 137–150.
- [13] Maxim Kontsevich. ‘Vassiliev’s knot invariants’. In: *Adv. in Sov. Math* 16.2 (1993), pp. 137–150.
- [14] Evgeny Krasilnikov. ‘An Extension of the \mathfrak{sl}_2 Weight System to Graphs with $n \leq 8$ Vertices’. In: *Arnold Mathematical Journal* 7 (2021), pp. 609–618.
- [15] E. Kulakova et al. ‘On a weight system conjecturally related to \mathfrak{sl}_2 ’. In: *European Journal of Combinatorics* 41 (2014), pp. 266–277.
- [16] Sergei K Lando. ‘On a Hopf algebra in graph theory’. In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 80.1 (2000), pp. 104–121.
- [17] WT Tutte. ‘Graph-polynomials’. In: *Advances in Applied Mathematics* 32.1-2 (2004), pp. 5–9.
- [18] V. Vassiliev. ‘Cohomology of knot spaces’. In: *Advances in Soviet Mathematics* 1 (1990), pp. 23–70.
- [19] VA Vassiliev. ‘Cohomology of knot spaces’. In: (1990).
- [20] П. А. Филиппова. ‘Значения \mathfrak{sl}_2 -весаевой системы на семействе графов, не являющихся графами пересечений хордовых диаграмм’. In: *Матем. сб.* 213.2 (2022), pp. 115–148.
- [21] П. А. Филиппова. ‘Значения весаевой системы, отвечающей алгебре Ли \mathfrak{sl}_2 , на полных двудольных графах’. In: *Функц. анализ и его прил.* 54.3 (2020), pp. 73–93.

D.F.: SAINT-PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, 199034, 7/9 UNIVERSITETSKAYA EMB., SAINT-PETERSBURG, RUSSIA
Email address: fomichev.d.s@yandex.ru

M.K.: GUANGDONG TECHNION-ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 515603, 241 DAXUE LU, SHANTOU CITY, GUANGDONG PROVINCE, P.R. CHINA
Email address: maksim.karev@gtiit.edu.cn