

SaTor: Satellite Routing in Tor to Reduce Latency

Haozhi Li*
Beijing Institute of Technology
Beijing, China
lihaozhi@bit.edu.cn

Tariq Elahi
The University of Edinburgh
Edinburgh, Scotland
t.elahi@ed.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

High latency is a critical limitation within the Tor network. A key factor exacerbating Tor latency is the creation of lengthy circuits that span across geographically distant regions, causing significant transmission delays. To address this issue, a common strategy involves modifying Tor’s circuit building process to reduce the likelihood of selecting lengthy circuits. However, this strategy compromises the randomness of Tor’s routing, thereby increasing the risk of deanonymization. Improving Tor’s latency performance while minimizing security degradation presents a critical challenge.

This paper proposes SaTor, a latency-improving scheme for Tor using satellite routing technology. SaTor proposes equipping a targeted subset of Tor relays with satellite network access, utilizing long-distance satellite transmission to accelerate slow circuits, without biasing the existing path selection process. Our SaTor performance evaluation, using a simulator we developed coupled with real-world measurements, demonstrates that over the long-term, SaTor offers an expected speed-up of roughly 40 ms for over 70% of circuits under common conditions. This improvement necessitates outfitting the top $\approx 30 - 40\%$ relays with satellite access. Our research uncovers a viable way to overcome Tor’s latency bottleneck, serving as a practical reference for its future enhancement.

1 INTRODUCTION

Tor is a popular tool for anonymous communication, protecting millions [5] of users against surveillance[24]. Currently, the Tor network is supported by thousands of volunteer relays. The popularity of Tor, a boon for anonymity, places considerable demand on network performance. In fact, improving the network quality of service (QoS) to improve user experience has emerged as an important research topic within Tor community[10–13, 18, 30, 69].

Latency is a key indicator of network performance, measuring the time taken for traffic traveling from one endpoint to another. Latency has a direct impact on user experience, especially for interactive applications such as web browsing and online calling[14, 64]. Unfortunately, Tor usually experiences higher latency than the regular Internet[4, 22, 25]. One significant contributing factor is that Tor traffic is often routed over distant relays that are distributed across geographical areas. Fig. 1 illustrates a scenario where a Tor client located in Canada accessing a server in the United States.

*Haozhi Li conducted this work during his visit at The University of Edinburgh.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

YYYY(X), 1–15

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).
<https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>




Figure 1: Lengthy circuits suffer from poor latency performance. Satellite routing can speed up these circuits.

Using Tor’s default path selection algorithm, the client might construct a fast circuit with all three hops within the US, yet it is also likely to choose a slow route stretching across the globe. This path selection process, while essential for preserving anonymity, extends the data’s journey, resulting in higher latency.[10, 30, 32, 67].

Prior work on reducing Tor’s latency looks at avoiding slow circuits, either by preferring relays that are geographically close or have historically demonstrated favorable latency performance [10, 13, 18, 32, 57, 59, 67, 69]. However, these methods may make path selection predictable to adversaries [31, 36, 48, 63, 68]. An alternative recent approach, ShorTor [30], incorporates extra *via relays* into the conventional three-hop circuits, allowing the newly configured circuits to be faster than the standard ones. While ShorTor reduces Tor latency without altering its default path selection algorithm, it collaterally increases network workload, as more relays are recruited per circuit. Currently, it remains an open challenge to reduce Tor’s latency without substantially compromising security or increasing network overhead.

As a rapid-developing and deployed technology, satellite communication has the potential to achieve lower latency than terrestrial links when the endpoints are far apart[28, 29]. Take two endpoints communicating with each other either via terrestrial Internet or a satellite orbiting in low-earth orbit (LEO, 550 kilometers) above the earth’s surface (Fig. 1). In theory, satellite traffic can travel at the speed of light in a vacuum via spot-beams, while terrestrial traffic may reach at most two-thirds of the speed of light in an optical fiber[33]. Disregarding the latency due to node processing and congestion, the satellite path would be faster than the terrestrial one when endpoint distances exceed around one thousand kilometers. This advantage of satellite routing illuminates a promising direction to overcome Tor’s latency challenge: by leveraging satellite transmissions between distant relays while adhering to Tor’s default path selection algorithm, it is possible to reduce Tor’s




Figure 2: Experimental topology to measure real-world satellite transmission speed.

latency without adding extra hops in circuits or biasing path selection that could leak information to adversaries. This paper presents an exploratory study on the satellite-enhanced Tor network (SaTor for short), aiming to answer:

Q1: How much latency could be reduced in the Tor network after introducing satellite routing technology?

This question requires estimating the latencies of satellite transmission between each pair of Tor relays. Two strategies are commonly used to analyze satellite transmission latency: real-world measurement and programmatic simulation. Real-world measurements, which involve conducting actual transmission experiments among hosts distributed across different geographical locations, ensure credible latency results [37, 44, 49, 52]. However, measuring satellite latencies across all Tor links is impractical, as it would require equipping all relays with satellite accessibility. Real-world measurements also lack flexibility, as they only capture latency within the current configuration of satellite constellations. Considering the fast evolution of satellite routing technology, it is also necessary to investigate the future achievable latency of SaTor, providing a forward-looking reference for Tor’s improvement.

In contrast, programmatic simulation can achieve flexible latency evaluation across the entire Tor network. Simulation programs replicate the behavior of satellite constellations using publicly available knowledge and calculate latency across endpoints based on computational models [38, 41, 42]. State-of-the-art simulators support tunable configurations to evaluate various architectures and routing strategies of satellite networks. However, ensuring simulation credibility is a major challenge. In particular, existing simulators typically estimate latency as the ratio between physical path length and signal transmission speed, with the speed of light commonly used to approximate satellite signal. This approximation, using the upper limit of signal speed, only produces the best-case latency and fails to capture the variability in satellite transmission.

For flexible yet credible evaluation of SaTor, we conduct simulations augmented with real-world measurements. We first measure the speed of satellite signals through baseline transmissions and use this speed as a basis to simulate the satellite links that are beyond our direct measurement capability. Fig. 2 shows the measurement setup, where traffic originating from the client is sent to a nearby ground station via a satellite, then forwarded to the Point-of-Presence (PoP) before reaching the server. The length of satellite link is estimated using satellite altitude and client-groundstation distance, while its latency is exclusively extracted using ping and traceroute tools. Then the speed of satellite is calculated as the ratio of link length and link latency. We conduct measurements over

several days across five locations globally, capturing both spatial and temporal variability in satellite transmission.

Using the satellite speed we measured, we simulate SaTor’s latency over a period of 4 hours. We also measured the terrestrial latencies between Tor relays using Ting [20]. The simulation is conducted under various configurations, covering both current and future upcoming features in satellite networks. The results indicate that during the period, under the most typical configurations, approximately 20% of hops—and over 70% of circuits—benefit from a latency reduction when using satellite routing. The average reduction across circuits is roughly 40 milliseconds. For hops where the satellite path is slower, SaTor can keep using terrestrial transmission, avoiding any latency degradation compared to the existing Tor. Moreover, we confirm that providing satellite access to the top $\approx 30\%$ -40% of relays, those most frequently selected, is sufficient to realize most of SaTor’s potential on latency reduction.

Simulation indicates SaTor’s potential to reduce Tor latency. Indeed, due to sub-optimal routing and under-developed inter-satellite links, current satellite technology has not yet reached its full potential. However, its rapid advancement necessitates a forward thinking for the Tor community about how to properly leverage its accelerating capabilities. We therefore raise the following question:

Q2: How may the Tor network integrate satellite links?

SaTor proposes to equip a subset of Tor relays with satellite connectivity (in addition to the existing terrestrial connection) through commercial satellite service. This setup is currently feasible, considering that several well-developed satellite constellations are serving millions of subscribers, such as SpaceX’s Starlink [3] and Eutelsat’s OneWeb[2]. Starlink, the largest constellation, has launched over 5,500 satellites by November 2023, serving over two million customers[1, 3, 66]. We further discover that Starlink service encompasses the majority of Tor relays, with 7,397 out of 7,793 situated in countries where Starlink is accessible. A possible obstacle of SaTor’s deployment is that a large fraction of relays are on cloud-hosting platforms, and would require cloud providers to provide satellite access. Fortunately, major cloud providers are embracing satellite service[50, 53]. This may facilitate SaTor deployment since satellite installation and management will be outsourced to the providers. These observations validate SaTor’s practicality.

SaTor maintains Tor’s default path selection and opportunistically employs satellite links when these links would be faster than terrestrial links at certain hops in a Tor circuit. After a circuit is selected, SaTor estimates the satellite and terrestrial latency for each hop based on the geographical locations of the relays and available information on transmission speeds. Then, each hop selects between satellite and terrestrial links to optimize the latency of an entire circuit. A security issue with this design is traffic correlation, where multiple hops simultaneously use satellite, thus a few dominant satellite service providers could observe multiple segments of circuits and deanonymize the endpoints. To mitigate this issue, SaTor defensively orchestrates link selection to avoid using satellite simultaneously in entry and exit hops. We also find that for most Tor circuits, a single hop via satellite transmission is sufficient to achieve optimal latency. The detailed design and security of SaTor are discussed in the main body of the paper.

Our contributions are summarized as follows:

- We propose SaTor, a novel scheme to reduce Tor latency through satellite networking technology (Section 4). We assess the current development of satellite networks, showcasing the feasibility for their integration with Tor.
- We develop SaTor-simulator (for brevity simulator), a tool to estimate the satellite transmission latencies in Tor (Sections 3). This simulator features configurable settings, allowing for simulating various network connectivity and routing strategies within satellite constellations.
- We conduct real-world latency measurements for Starlink, the largest satellite constellation (Section 5). Through these measurements, we acquire practical latency characteristics of satellite transmission, facilitating a more realistic assessment of SaTor’s performance.

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 The Tor Project

2.1.1 Onion Routing. As a key technique in Tor, onion routing encapsulates message in multiple layers of encryption, and transmits it through a “circuit” containing a series of relays[24, 54]. Each relay decrypts a single layer to uncover the next message destination. This technique maintains the anonymity between communication endpoints, as each intermediary knows only the identity of the immediately preceding and following nodes.

A typical Tor circuit contains three relays, each of which is selected from a large number of volunteer-operated hosts. The first relay, known as the *entry guard*, is chosen from a list of trustworthy and stable nodes, serving as the entry point for the client’s data to the Tor network. The last relay, known as the *exit relay*, is responsible for connecting the Tor network to the public Internet. The *middle relay* acts as an intermediary, connecting the entry guard to the exit to further obscure the source of the traffic.

2.1.2 Latency Improvement. Tor’s latency encompasses *relay latency* and *transmission latency*. Relay latency involves the time consumed by traffic processing and congestion within relays, while transmission latency arises as traffic transits between relays. Currently, Tor maintains minimal hardware and bandwidth requirements for relays and uses a bandwidth-weighted algorithm for load balancing. However, these measures primarily reduce relay latency, while this paper focuses on ameliorating transmission latency.

Transmission latency is closely tied to the routes of circuits. By default, Tor selects circuit routes based on relay bandwidth and certain security constraints, but does not consider latency, as outlined in the Tor Project specifications [23]. This often results in slow circuits, especially over distant geographical areas or in heavily congested network. A common solution involves modifying Tor’s path selection algorithm to reduce the likelihood of choosing distant or congested relays in a single circuit[10, 12, 59, 69].

To enhance path selection flexibility and achieve accurate circuit performance analysis, some studies present link-based selection methods [13, 18, 32, 57]. Rather than selecting paths on a relay-by-relay basis, these approaches evaluate the overall performance of each circuit using active probing or machine learning techniques, and then allocate different weights to the candidate circuits according to their assessed performance to guide the selection process.

These approaches often involve adjustable parameters to fine-tune the selection outcomes for optimized results.

A few strategies try to reduce Tor latency by altering the way data is routed while maintaining the default path selection algorithm. *Conflux* splits the traffic across multiple semi-disjoint circuits to avoid the high latency of congested paths[11]. This is based on the fact that due to the heterogeneity of relay bandwidths, some relays are heavily used while others are less traveled. *ShorTor* is another strategy, which utilizes multi-hop overlay routing, typically used in content delivery networks, to optimize the route of Tor traffic for latency improvement[30]. This method adds extra *via relays* into standard Tor circuits, so that the newly-built circuit is faster than the original one. According to formal analyses and experimental evaluation, *ShorTor* is able to effectively reduce Tor latency without significantly compromising its security.

2.1.3 Challenges. Improving Tor’s performance is a challenging task, as it often conflicts with security requirement. It has been demonstrated that prioritization-based path selection algorithms introduce a degree of predictability into which circuits the users are likely to use. This predictability can be exploited by adversaries to narrow down the anonymity set of users, facilitating more targeted attacks for de-anonymization[15, 16, 35]. Specifically, algorithms favoring geographically short circuits might exacerbate the node-placement attack, wherein adversaries strategically position malicious nodes close to users to increase their chances of being selected. [63, 68]. This growing predictability is also beneficial to passive attackers, who can identify users by monitoring the latency or speed of a circuit, as they now only need to observe fewer circuits[31, 48, 51, 55]. For an anonymity network like Tor, which relies on a large and diverse user base to maintain anonymity, any reduction in the randomness of user behavior could potentially heighten the risk of deanonymization.

Recently, *ShorTor* offers a method to improve Tor’s latency without modifying its path selection process[30]. However, *ShorTor* necessitates some relays to manage traffic that would not ordinarily be routed through them, introducing additional load on the network. Furthermore, the benefits of *ShorTor* are largely concentrated on a minority of circuits with the highest latencies, meaning that its advantages are limited to a relatively small fraction of users. *Conflux* divides traffic across multiple sub-circuits, utilizing less-used relays to distribute network load more evenly[11]. While this approach effectively reduces congestion-based latency, its influence on path transmission latency remains marginal. Moreover, this method could heighten vulnerability to end-to-end correlation attacks, as adversaries capable of monitoring any one of sub-circuits have a chance of deanonymizing users.

Recognizing the inherent conflict between security and performance in anonymity networks, this paper focuses on investigating advanced techniques that could yield a breakthrough. This section will proceed to introduce satellite routing technology which could be a game-changer to Tor’s challenge.

2.2 Satellite Communication

Utilizing orbiting satellites to relay signals, satellite communication technology provides a way for achieving low-latency data transmission between long-distance endpoints. This technology




Figure 3: Overview of Satellite Communications

has advanced notably in recent years, with an emphasis on deploying vast constellations of small, low Earth orbit (LEO) satellites to provide global, high-speed internet connectivity.

2.2.1 Routing Traffic via Satellite. The principle of satellite data routing is illustrated in Fig. 3. As shown by the solid black line, user data is transmitted from a home dish to a nearby satellite and relayed down to a ground station, forming a “bent-pipe” link structure. The data is then routed via a Point of Presence (PoP) to destination server. For the reverse path, the server’s data is sent via the PoP to the ground station, and then to the user via satellite connection. This routing strategy, namely *single bent-pipe routing*, is currently adopted by major satellite constellations.

Satellite routing strategies are rapidly evolving. According to Starlink’s filings, inter-satellite links (ISLs), which are laser-based connections between satellites, are being incorporated into their satellites[46, 60]. With ISLs, traffic can be relayed through multiple satellites before being transmitted to a ground station nearby the destination. This ISL-enabled routing maintains a large portion of transmission route in the vacuum of space, achieving lower latency than the single bent-pipe routing. This paper will investigate the performance of both routing strategies with respect to Tor.

2.2.2 LEO Satellite Constellation. Low Earth orbit satellites, situated at an altitude ranging from 500 to 2,000 kilometers, enable much lower communication latency compared to traditional geostationary satellites[61]. However, the coverage of a single LEO satellite is limited, so large satellite groups must be arranged in a constellation for reliable and performant global coverage.

SpaceX’s Starlink is currently the largest LEO constellation. As of the end of November 2023, SpaceX had sent 5,536 satellites into orbits approximately 550 kilometers from Earth, offering services to over two million people[1, 3]. SpaceX intends to have 12,000 satellites in the Starlink constellation, with the potential for up to 34,000 in the future. Eutelsat’s OneWeb is another large constellation which has launched 544 satellites orbiting at an altitude of 1,200 kilometers[2, 35]. This constellation plans to have 648 satellites in total. Other constellations such as Kuiper, Yinhe, and Telesat also hold ambitious launch plan in place. The rapid advancement of commercial LEO constellations heralds a bright future for satellite-based Internet, offering potential solutions to the enduring challenges faced by contemporary network applications.

2.2.3 Satellite Routing Latency. To date, satellite operators mainly focus on providing Internet accessibility to isolated areas, yet does not fully realize satellite’s potential for latency improvement. They primarily leverage single bent-pipe routing, where traffic travels

through terrestrial network for the most part, and the bent-pipe hop via satellite is too short to see latency reduction[37, 44].

Fortunately, more rapid satellite routing is imminent. SpaceX has repeatedly announced that activating inter satellite transmission into the Starlink network is an important task of its developmental strategy[9, 21, 65]. This development is further corroborated by Starlink’s connectivity in isolated areas like maritime vessels and Antarctic stations, which are typically distant from ground stations, indicating a strong likelihood of ISL utilization. Moreover, a recent study has confirmed through real-world measurements that a segment of the Starlink network is actually utilizing ISL transmission [49]. All evidence suggests that the large-scale activation of ISLs in Starlink is a forthcoming reality, which could significantly unlock the acceleration potential of satellite routing.

Meanwhile, SpaceX is continuously deploying new ground stations and PoPs[49]. A higher density of ground stations can optimize routing paths for more endpoints, reducing scenarios where traffic must detour to a faraway ground station before traveling to its destination via terrestrial network. The rapid advancement of satellite routing necessitates a study on not only how SaTor performs currently but also its potential future performance, serving as a forward-looking reference for the development of Tor.

3 SATOR LATENCY SIMULATOR

This section introduces the simulator that we developed to evaluate SaTor’s latency under flexible configurations.

3.1 Satellite Routing Strategy

To determine the latency of a Tor circuit, it’s necessary to estimate and then sum up the latency of each its individual hop. Estimating the satellite routing latency between two nodes requires an examination of possible routing strategies that may be adopted by satellite operator. This involves how the traffic is routed from the source through a sequence of satellites and ground stations to reach the destination. A graph model is used for evaluation, with each graph node representing an endpoint and each edge indicating a link.

3.1.1 Nodes in Satellite Routing Graph. There are mainly four types of nodes involved in a satellite routing process:

- **User** refers to the sending and receiving party of satellite communication. In the Tor network, this could be a client, intermediate relay and destination server.
- **Satellite** circles the Earth at a fixed altitude. With current technology, satellites essentially act as a “mirror”, reflecting or forwarding signals without processing or caching.
- **Ground Station**, equipped with antennas and electronic transmitters, directly communicates with satellites for tracking, controlling and data relaying.
- **Point of Presence (PoP)** acts as a gateway between satellite and terrestrial networks. All traffic from satellite must pass through a ground station, and then a PoP before entering the terrestrial Internet.

3.1.2 Edges in Satellite Routing Graph. There are mainly six types of edges between the four types of nodes:




Figure 4: Routing graph between relays, where dash and solid line are for space and ground link, respectively. Black line indicates valid links while light grey means invalid ones. Different routing strategies are modeled by switching link availability.

- **Inter-User Link (IUL)**, as shown by link 1 in Fig. 4(a), is the connection between users through terrestrial Internet, representing traditional network transmission.
- **User-Satellite Link (USL)**, which is the link 2 in the figure, transmits data from the sender to a nearby satellite. This link communicates using radio frequency (RF) beams through the Earth’s atmosphere.
- **Inter-Satellite Link (ISL)**, as shown by link 3, is the laser-based connection between satellites that can transmit at the speed of light in a vacuum. This link is an imminent feature in certain satellite constellations such as SpaceX’s Starlink, demonstrated by the evidence in Section 2.
- **Groundstation-Satellite Link (GSL)**, which is link 4, exchanges traffic between satellite and ground station using the RF beams, similar to USLs.
- **Groundstation-PoP link (GPL)**, which is link 5, transmits traffic from ground stations to PoPs before entering the terrestrial Internet. Each ground station is often paired with a nearby PoP, and the GPL latency $\approx 5\text{ms}$ globally[49].
- **User-PoP Link (UPL)**, as shown by link 6, exchanges traffic between PoPs and users. This link goes through the terrestrial Internet, and its speed depends on the quality of the local network service.

Theoretically, an inter-groundstation link could exist, allowing traffic to be forwarded from one ground station to another, as illustrated by link 7 in Fig. 4(a). However, this route is less likely to be utilized in practical routing, as it tends to extend the traffic’s journey, making it a less efficient option in most scenarios.

3.1.3 Possible Routing Strategies. By varying the availability of links, routing graphs with different connectivity can be constructed, corresponding to a range of routing strategies. Fig. 4(b) illustrates traditional terrestrial routing, where neither relay has access to satellite network, thus only IUL is available. Fig. 4(c) depicts the scenario where USL, GSL, GPL and UPL are available. Under this setting, traffic from the source relay could first travel to the satellite-1 and down to a nearby ground station, forming a “bent-pipe” structure. The ground station forwards the traffic to PoP, and then to destination through terrestrial network. This single bent-pipe routing is currently adopted in most satellite transmissions.

Assuming the availability of ISLs, routing graph would be like Fig. 4(d), where traffic originating from the source are relayed across multiple satellites before reaching a ground station near the destination. This “ISL-enabled” routing keeps traffic in space for most of its journey, thus effectively utilizing the acceleration potential

of satellite transmission. By utilizing a shortest-path algorithm on different routing graphs, SaTor simulator can estimate latency for circuits across various routing strategies.

3.2 Dataset Sourcing

Latency simulation of SaTor requires the geographical positions of satellites, ground stations, PoPs, and Tor relays. Satellite movements are described by two-line-element (TLE) files, which is a standard format for recording the trajectory of earth-orbiting objects. The North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) regularly releases the TLE files of LEO constellations, providing information to track satellite positions[39]. The information of ground stations and PoPs is available on websites managed by astronomy enthusiasts[6, 8]. While these sites are unofficial, their accuracy is deemed satisfactory for scientific research[38, 41, 42]. The information of relays is obtained from Tor’s consensus file, with GeoIP service retrieving relays’ coordinates based on their IPs.

3.3 Simulator Workflow

As illustrated in Fig. 5, SaTor latency simulator contains four major procedures, detailed below.

1. Tor Circuit Collection. This process gathers a given number of Tor circuits for latency simulation, while each circuit contains the IP addresses and fingerprints of three relays. In particular, we use the Stem library [45] to send out signals to an instrumented Tor client, continuously instructing it to construct new circuits. The Tor client is instrumented so that once a circuit path is selected and saved, the relevant function will immediately return without actually constructing the circuit connection. In this way, the simulator can quickly gather a large number of circuits based on up-to-date consensus file, ensuring no impact on the Tor network’s burden. Moreover, it fully leverages the most recent algorithms in the Tor system, offering an advantage over classical but outdated circuit generation tools like TorPS[34] and COGS[27].

2. Web Data Collection. This process obtains the TLE files of satellite constellations, as well as the coordinates of ground stations and PoPs. The TLE files are acquired from NORAD website[39], while the coordinates of ground stations and PoPs are taken from the KML file downloaded from Google Maps[8].

3. Routing Graph Generation. A routing graph is built for each hop in circuits to estimate latency. In Fig. 5, each graph node represents a *communication entity* such as a relay, satellite, ground station or PoP. Each graph edge represents a data connection, and its weight corresponds to the *latency* between the two connected




Figure 5: Structure and workflow of SaTor latency simulator.




Figure 6: One possible Tor circuit using the SaTor design.

entities. This latency can be calculated by dividing the geographical distance between entities with the signal transmission speed approximated through real-world measurements. The details of these measurements will be presented in section 5.

In practice, each communication link is subject to multiple restrictions. For instance, a satellite can establish ISLs with up to four other satellites within a maximum link distance of 5,400 kilometers[7, 19]. A ground station can send signals to satellites within a maximum GSL distance of 1,100 kilometers[29], but the number of satellites it can simultaneously connect with is limited by the number of antennas it has. Our simulator provides flexible configuration options to simulate under various link restrictions.

4. Latency Estimation. Using the routing graph, it is straightforward to estimate the latency between two relays by calculating the distance of each reachable path connecting them. The simulator is designed to output only the top- K shortest paths utilizing an efficient algorithm[70]. Then the estimated latency of satellite routing can be obtained by averaging these K paths. The simulator is developed to assess SaTor performance and help to determine whether to route each hop through satellite or terrestrial networks.

4 SATOR DESIGN

This section details the design for integrating satellite routing technology with the Tor network.

4.1 Design Overview

As shown in Fig. 6, Tor client accesses a server via a circuit comprising three relays that are selected using Tor’s default algorithm. At each circuit hop, the communication peers may route data through either terrestrial or satellite network, depending on service availability, estimated performance, and security conditions, etc. The goal is to optimize circuit latency with minor security degradation.




Figure 7: Design of SaTor using hop-by-hop routing strategy.




Figure 8: Design of SaTor using source-routed strategy.

To integrate satellite into Tor, a subset of relays must be equipped with necessary hardware and subscribe to satellite services. Importantly, relays should subscribe to a priority plan that provides a public IP address, enabling other hosts to establish connections with them. Providing all relays with satellite accessibility could be a long-term project, especially since some relays might be reluctant to incur the associated costs. Fortunately, our evaluation confirms reasonable latency improvements in a substantial portion of circuits, if only a relatively small number of the most heavily-used relays can connect to satellites. These relays have contributed significantly to the Tor’s bandwidth, showing a strong commitment to the community. Given this, there is reason to believe that they will be positive to adopting new technologies that have the potential to enhance the network’s performance.

Another challenge in deploying SaTor is that many Tor relays are hosted on cloud platforms, requiring cloud providers to offer satellite access. Fortunately, major cloud providers are moving in that direction. Cloud providers like Amazon, Google, and Microsoft are forging partnerships with satellite companies, aiming to enhance their service performance through satellite technology[50, 53]. This trend may facilitate SaTor deployment since satellite installation and management will be outsourced to the providers.

4.2 Routing Method Determination

SaTor determines the circuit routing methods, i.e., whether to use terrestrial or satellite routing for each hop, using either a *hop-by-hop* strategy or a *source-routed* strategy.

4.2.1 Hop-by-hop Strategy. In the hop-by-hop strategy, as shown in Fig. 7, each intermediate relay determines the optimal method for forwarding traffic. The client first constructs a circuit based

on the consensus file of network status. Each relay in the circuit decrypts the data cell to determine the address of the next relay. If a relay is equipped with satellite routing service, it then has the option to choose between the conventional terrestrial interface or the satellite interface for traffic forwarding.

The hop-by-hop strategy necessitates only minimal modifications to the existing Tor system. The Tor client operates as it typically does without any changes, and each Tor relay is simply required to implement additional logic for determining the optimal routing method and directing traffic to the corresponding interface. A limitation of this strategy is that it cannot manage transmission security at the circuit level. For example, to preserve anonymity, a circuit should avoid using satellite services from the same company simultaneously at the entry and exit hops, otherwise the company could easily correlate the traffic and deanonymize the user. However, since client and relays can not synchronize their routing decisions, many of them might independently choose satellite routing, putting the entire circuit at risk.

4.2.2 Source-routed Strategy. In the source-routed strategy, the Tor client has the responsibility to determine the routing method for each intermediate relay, thereby optimizing the circuit’s performance and security on an overall basis. As depicted in Fig. 8, once a circuit is selected, the client estimates for each hop if using a satellite would be advantageous for latency. To make this estimation, the client needs information about each relay’s geographical location and satellite service availability, which can be included in the consensus file. Once the client determines the routing method of each circuit hop, it establishes connection to each relay to build a multi-hop circuit as usual, and then encrypts both the IP address and routing method of the next relay multiple times into each cell. When a relay receives a cell, it decrypts one layer of encryption to find out the details of where the next relay is and how to route data there, i.e., through satellite or terrestrial network.

In order to complete the process described, the Tor’s cell format needs to be altered to include a new 1-bit field that indicates the routing method, for example, a bit of 0 for traditional terrestrial routing and 1 for satellite. The program running in Tor relays also needs to be modified to include extra logic for parsing the new cell format and sending the traffic to the appropriate interface. Moreover, the consensus file needs to document the accessibility to satellite service of each Tor relay. These modifications is relatively minor and do not alter Tor’s fundamental functions.

4.2.3 Latency Estimation. To select optimal routing method, SaTor should estimate the latency of both terrestrial and satellite links. Feasible estimation approaches could involve heuristic approach, simulator-based approach, and active measurement approach.

The heuristic approach employs a simple distance-based rule: for hops exceeding x kilometers, a satellite route is presumed faster than a terrestrial one; for shorter distances, terrestrial links are preferred. This method requires only basic calculations of the geographical length of hops, making it time-efficient and avoiding complex alterations to the existing Tor system. Additionally, it ensures reasonable accuracy in most scenarios, as evidenced by our evaluation in Section 5, showing a significant correlation between hop distance and the effectiveness of satellite acceleration.

The simulative approach estimates latency through programmatic simulation, employing software to mimic the movement of satellite constellations and identify potential routes between communication peers for latency calculation. We implement such a simulator with Python, and find that a regular laptop can finish the simulation of a three-hop circuit within 70 milliseconds, indicating an acceptable timing overhead. Given the periodic nature of satellite movements, it’s not necessary for the simulator to execute a full simulation each time. However, this approach necessitates the integration of a simulation module into the Tor system, along with regular updates of satellite constellation information.

To further improve estimation accuracy, SaTor could adopt an active measurement approach, measuring latency by sending probe packets prior to actual data transmission. To minimize network overhead, these measurements could be conducted periodically, in response to changes in network conditions. There is no significant gap to implement active measurement mechanism in Tor, as it has already been applied in various research projects[13, 18, 30]. A drawback of this approach would be additional burden and delay introduced by latency measurement task.

4.3 Security Discussion

SaTor applies new technology in data forwarding phase between relays, which could potentially introduce security concerns. This section analyzes SaTor’s resilience against common threats to Tor.

4.3.1 End-to-end Correlation Attack. To date, satellite services are dominated by a few companies. This may expose SaTor to end-to-end correlation attacks, where one or two colluding companies can deanonymize users by observing both the entry and exit hops of a circuit. Nonetheless, we believe that SaTor has reasonable resistance against this type of attack. Our simulations show that $\approx 25\%$ relays equipped with satellite service can achieve over 90% of SaTor’s latency benefit, and most often, SaTor requires only one satellite hop in circuits to achieve optimal performance, with the remaining hops using terrestrial links. Furthermore, SaTor proposes a source-routed strategy that allows clients to control the number of satellite hops in their circuits, avoiding satellite use at both entry and exit points. Therefore, it is challenging for satellite companies to conduct end-to-end correlation on SaTor.

In fact, SaTor’s AS-shifting capability by dual-homing may even bolster defenses against end-to-end correlation. Top Internet service providers observing numerous relays is an existing issue in Tor, e.g., over 13% of relays are hosted in AS24940. AS-aware path selection, where clients carefully orchestrate circuit paths to prevent the same AS operator from observing both the entry and exit hops, is an important topic in Tor[10, 17, 26, 56, 62]. SaTor enables certain relays to switch between terrestrial and satellite networks when circuits are at risk of being observed by the same network operator, which could facilitate the defense against traffic correlation. Future work is needed to investigate the trade-off between SaTor’s latency benefits and its correlation defense capabilities.

4.3.2 Website Fingerprinting Attack. Attackers can infer the websites users are browsing by analyzing traffic at entry hops. This type of attack leverages the fact that traffic from different websites often displays distinguishable features, such as packet size, inter-packet

delays, and burst patterns. Given that satellite transmission might exhibit distinct traffic features compared to terrestrial transmission, it is necessary to reassess SaTor’s susceptibility to website fingerprinting attacks. Encouragingly, a recent study indicates that Tor over Starlink is approximately as susceptible to website fingerprinting as it is over fiber link connections[58]. This study gathered traffic datasets through both terrestrial and Starlink connections to Tor, finding that popular website fingerprinting attacks had similar accuracy over both datasets. It is also confirmed that typical fingerprint defense techniques are equally effective over Starlink traffic as they are over terrestrial traffic. These findings mean that SaTor does not make Tor more susceptible to fingerprinting attacks.

4.3.3 Malicious Relays. Dishonest relays may lie about their satellite accessibility or geographical location, misleading SaTor to make wrong selection between satellite and terrestrial routing. Also, they may intentionally refrain from using satellite routing as instructed. However, none of the above misbehaviors by relays can compromise SaTor’s security. At worst they can only reduce SaTor’s performance to that of terrestrial Tor. This is because SaTor retains the default Tor path selection algorithm and only opportunistically leverages satellite transmission on certain hops within the default circuit. Malicious relays cannot interfere with the path selection process, and relays equipped with satellite service do not gain any priority in path selection. These designs minimize potential security risks associated with latency reduction.

To identify malicious nodes that misreport or do not use their satellite link as instructed, SaTor could measure actual circuit latency (at the client) and compare it with the simulated value. A discrepancy between the simulated and actual performance, exceeding a predefined threshold over an extended period, may indicate the presence of relays not utilizing satellite routing as instructed. To measure actual circuit latency, SaTor could utilize existing tools such as Torperf[43], Onionperf[40], and Stem[45]. The simulated latencies could be evaluated using the proposed SaTor simulator.

5 EVALUATION

5.1 Methodology

5.1.1 Goals and Approach. The evaluation aims to access SaTor’s latency under various connectivity setups of satellite networks, encompassing both current and imminent future conditions. It is expected that SaTor could accelerate a large proportion of circuits, even if only a limited number of relays can access satellites.

SaTor’s latency is evaluated using our simulator, combined with real-world measurements of satellite and terrestrial transmissions. Satellite measurements were conducted over Starlink in five locations: Edinburgh (UK), London (UK), Wroughton (UK), Victoria (West Coast Canada), and Waterloo (Eastern Canada). Using the Ping tool, we measured the round trip time between our home dish and a ground station. We then calculated the physical length of the traffic journey, originating from the home dish, via a LEO satellite, to the ground station. By dividing the latency by the physical length, estimated through the geographical coordinates of the dish and ground station, we approximated the real-world speed of satellite signals. Notably, from users’ perspective, all Starlink ground stations have the IP 100.64.0.1 [52], making it difficult to determine the

actual ground station the traffic reaches. Thus, we assume traffic transits via the closest ground station, resulting in a slowest-case estimate of Starlink transmission speed and conservative estimate of SaTor performance.

To estimate terrestrial transmission speed, we used Ting[20] to measure latencies between Tor nodes across various distances. With both satellite and terrestrial speeds, we can estimate SaTor’s latency along routes beyond our direct measurement capabilities. We simulate the latencies of numerous SaTor circuits every 2 minutes starting from 20:00 PM on April 12, 2024. The simulation spans a 4-hour duration and contains 120 time points. At each time point, the simulator determines the 10 shortest routes by latency from all potential satellite routes between two relays and uses their average as the representative satellite latency for that hop, thus capturing the conditions where traffic is transmitted through sub-optimal routes. The 4-hour duration encompasses the typical orbital period of LEO satellites, which is approximately 100 minutes[28], ensuring coverage of satellite routing periodicity characteristics.

We simulate two satellite routing strategies: *single bent-pipe* and *ISL-enabled*. The single bent-pipe strategy permits only one bent-pipe link where the traffic is sent up to a satellite and directly down to a visible and within-range ground station. Then the traffic is transferred to the terrestrial Internet for the remainder of routing, as shown in Fig. 4(c). The ISL-enabled strategy, as shown in Fig. 4(d), additionally activates inter-satellite links, relaying traffic between satellite in space for most of the journey and thus maximizing satellite acceleration. The ISLs are established following the “Grid+” topology, where each satellite has 4 ISLs with its neighbors: 2 in the same orbit and 2 in adjacent orbits[19, 42].

We simulate two connection scenarios between satellites and ground stations: *all-visible* and *closest-only*. In the all-visible scenario, each satellite and ground station can connect to all ground stations or satellites respectively within the maximum link distance: 5,016 kilometers for ISLs and 1,123 kilometers for GSLs, as used by Hypatia simulator[38]. In the closest-only scenario, each satellite or ground station can connect only to the nearest ground station or satellite, which reflects Starlink’s naive routing method to deal with situations where a home dish can see only satellites that are not within range of any ground station. In that case the naive method is to route the traffic over ISL to the closest ground station, which may be a sub-optimal route to the destination.

5.1.2 Datasets. The data required for evaluation includes the orbital details of the satellite constellation, the geographic positions of ground stations, and the paths of Tor circuits. Our satellite analyses are conducted on SpaceX’s Starlink, currently the most expansive and rapidly expanding constellation. However, the evaluation can easily be applied to other constellations with available orbital data. Starlink’s orbital information can be obtained from *Two-Line Element* (TLE) files from the CelesTrak database and ground station data from enthusiast sources, all retrieved in April 2024.

We collected two datasets containing 20,000 Tor circuits each. The first dataset, namely *Snapshot Dataset*, constructs circuits using a single consensus file at 00:00 AM on April 13, 2024. The second, *Time-Spanning Dataset*, generates circuits from 8 consensus files covering the past two years, with each consensus used to generate

2,500 circuits. This setup facilitates a comprehensive evaluation, capturing both the scale and the temporal dynamics of Tor.

We collected all circuits using an instrumented Tor client that, in a loop, selects paths for circuits without establishing actual connections. Each circuit contains four nodes: a client and three relays. To simulate the geographical diversity of users, we assign eight clients located in Berlin (Germany), Moscow (Russia), Los Angeles (USA), Sydney (Australia), Tehran (Iran), Jakarta (Indonesia), Tokyo (Japan), Rio de Janeiro (Brazil), and each selects 2,500 paths from that location as the origin. Given that at all times Tor’s path selection relies on the same consensus and algorithm worldwide, our datasets are adequately representative for real-world conditions. Overall, both datasets each include $\approx 35,000$ unique hops. The snapshot dataset features 6,027 relays, while the time-spanning includes 6,095. There are 4,064 relays that appear in both datasets.

5.1.3 Tools and Environment. The datasets were collected using Tor 0.4.8.4 on Ubuntu 20.04. Ting is used to measure the latencies between Tor relays. Simulation and data processing were conducted using Python in Windows 11.

5.2 Starlink Measurement

Table 1 shows the measurement results over Starlink. The three measurements in the UK span 9 days and, along with the Victoria location, are all part of a time-shared satellite experimental testbed. Edinburgh and London ran continuously without interruption, collecting over 670 thousand data points, while Wroughton experienced frequent pauses due to testbed job scheduling, producing 40 thousand points. The two measurements from Canada spanned a shorter duration due to time constrained access to equipment. In Victoria, direct pings to ground stations was blocked, so we used traceroute to measure the terminal-to-PoP latency instead. We then subtracted 6 ms of average groundstation-to-PoP latency in Canada, as measured in [49], to estimate the terminal-to-ground station latency. Direct pings to the ground station from the Waterloo location were possible (like the UK measurements).

The UK and Victoria measurements show similar characteristics, with a median latency of around 30 ms and a standard deviation of approximately 12 ms. In contrast, the Waterloo measurement shows significantly higher and more variable latency, with a median of 40 and a standard deviation of 22 ms. All measurements exhibit anomalously large latencies, likely due to transient link-level errors in the Starlink service. Using the physical link length in each measurement and the median latency as a representative value, we estimate the median transmission speed of satellite signals, which varies from 54,000 to 81,000 kilometers per second. This speed is much slower than the speed of light in a vacuum, due to additional processing, congestion and reconfiguration delays in the satellite network.

5.3 SaTor Latency Performance

This subsection evaluates SaTor’s latency using the snapshot dataset. Results from the timespanning dataset, which are similar, are presented in the appendix.

5.3.1 Availability of Satellite Transmission. A key metric to evaluate SaTor is the availability of satellite service. However, as satellite

constellations move, there may be times when no satellites are within transmission range of some relays or no route can be found between two hops using satellite networks.




Figure 9: Availability of satellite transmission across hops.

Fig. 9(a) shows that in *closest-only* scenario, 50.4% hops cannot employ satellite transmission all the time. However, in *all-visible* scenario where a node connects to all its reachable neighbors, 95.9% of hops can use satellite service continuously. For ISL-enabled routing in Fig. 9(b), all hops have access to satellite transmission at all times in both connection scenarios. Note that the *closest-only* scenario models a setting such as a dish located in a remote part of the world with poor Starlink coverage and no ISL connectivity.

The results show that either, or both, not restricting to closest-only connections or enabling ISLs can improve the availability of satellite connectivity. In fact, the worst-case situation, where no ISL is available and traffic can only go to the closest neighbor, is unlikely to happen in real Starlink network. Studies have reported stable Starlink connectivity even in remote areas, indicating that ISL [49] is enabled for those cases. Starlink also equips multiple antenna interfaces in its satellites and ground stations, allowing for multiple connections to reachable objects [60]. Thus, the Starlink service can currently offer stable availability for use with SaTor. In the following evaluation, we focus on all-visible scenarios, with single bent-pipe routing representing the current mainstream technology and ISL-enabled as an upcoming improvement in the future.


5.3.2 Latency Reduction. We now evaluate the latency reduction that satellite networks can offer in Tor. For each circuit, we simulate both its terrestrial and satellite latencies every 2 minutes over a 4-hour period. We then average the latencies across all time points to represent the circuit’s overall latency performance. Each circuit is also divided into its three hops for per-hop analysis to obtain more detailed findings. In this analysis, we assume that all relays are dual-homed with access to both terrestrial and satellite connectivity, allowing the choice of either option. We analyze two dual-homing scenarios: *optimal dual-homing*, where at any given time a hop may select the faster option between terrestrial and satellite routing, and *fixed single-homing*, where a hop is fixed to either terrestrial or satellite routing for the entire simulation period.

Optimal Dual-homing. Fig. 10 illustrates the overall latency reduction observed in SaTor. The evaluation is conducted at both hop and circuit level, examining the number of hops/circuits that experience latency reduction, as well as the average reduction value across these hops/circuits. Fig. 10(a) and 10(b) show the average latency reduction across hops and the number of reduced hops,

Table 1: Starlink Measurement

Loc.	Span (days)	Data Points	Mean ¹	Std.	Min.	Median	Max.	Physical Length (km)	Median Speed (km/s)
Edinburgh (UK)	9	679,907	33.27	12.88	3.94	30.30	793	2,450	81,175
London (UK)	9	677,427	29.65	10.86	2.50	27.30	415	2,210	80,882
Wroughton (UK)	9	40,824	30.41	11.86	16.90	27.70	857	2,200	80,136
Victoria (W-Canada)	2	8,262	32.81	12.82	10.24	31.06	240	2,208	71,367
Waterloo (E-Canada)	1	59,109	45.89	22.40	17.00	40.70	766	2,218	54,938

¹ All the latency data is RTT in milliseconds

**Figure 10: SaTor's latency reduction performance at hop and circuit level, using *optimal dual-homing*.**

respectively, with the x-axis meaning the percentile of satellite latency as the basis for the simulation. The x-axis shows the percentile break-down of latency reduction and $x = 50\%$ is the median. Note that the x latency percentile P_x is the average across the five locations, i.e., $P_x = (p_x^{loc1} + p_x^{loc2} + p_x^{loc3} + p_x^{loc4} + p_x^{loc5})/5$. For instance, for the green line at $x = 0$, the simulation uses the minimum latency measured (i.e. the fastest satellite speed), under ISL-enabled routing and all-visible connections, and around 14,000 (40%) hops see an average latency reduction ≈ 25 ms. In the following evaluation we focus on the median results as representative. Fig. 10(c) and 10(d) show circuit-level results with the same setup.

At hop level, all-visible single bent-pipe routing presents the highest average latency reduction, but only benefits $\approx 17\%$ of hops. All-visible ISL-enabled routing (the best-case configuration in the satellite networks) accelerates the largest number of hops, achieving the second-best average latency reduction. In contrast, the two closest-only scenarios only realize limited efficacy. The circuit level evaluation demonstrates similar trends, where the two all-visible scenarios accelerate over 75% circuits by ≈ 50 ms. Even in the worst-case (closest-visible ISL-disabled) estimation, SaTor still accelerates over 50% of circuits in the dataset by ≈ 25 ms. It is found that compared to closest-only scenario, all-visible routing has much better efficacy in latency reduction, as it maintains more available paths for optimal traffic routing. ISLs can accelerate a larger number of hops, as they enable satellite transmission on hops that contain relays far from ground stations.

We next consider the scenario that, based on some historical measurements, a hop is fixed to either satellite or terrestrial connection for the entire simulation period.

Fixed Single-homing. For each hop, if the average historical latency of the satellite is lower, it is fixed to using satellite, otherwise it is fixed to using terrestrial connection. Under this situation, SaTor's latency performance is illustrated in Fig. 11. The two all-visible scenarios exhibit significantly better performance than the two closest-only ones, no matter at the hop or circuit level. Fig. 11(a)

and 11(b) indicate that in the all-visible scenario, both ISL-enabled and single bent-pipe routing achieve a latency reduction of 25 to 50 ms, with ISL-enabled routing accelerating slightly more hops. On the other hand, the two closest-only scenarios only show a reduction of ≤ 25 ms across fewer than 7% of hops. At the circuit-level in Fig. 11(c) and 11(d), the two all-visible setups in general achieve ≈ 50 ms latency reduction across 75% of circuits.

Compared to optimal dual-homing, fixed single-homing exhibits higher average latency reduction across fewer hops. This difference indicates the existence of many "shaky hops" in optimal dual-homing that alternate between satellite and terrestrial links over time. However, at the circuit level, fixed single-homing realizes approximately the same level of acceleration performance, implying that these shaky hops do not gain significant acceleration benefits from satellite routing, and therefore, contribute little to circuit-level latency reduction. This finding indicates that SaTor can achieve most of its acceleration potential by fixing certain hops to a specific connection type, without the need to continuously collect up-to-date information, greatly simplifying SaTor's practical implementation and deployment.

5.3.3 Latency Reduction with Hop Distance. We now examine the relation between SaTor's acceleration effectiveness and hop distance, aiming to confirm whether simple distance-based heuristics can reliably dictate the optimal routing choice. We selected the results simulated under the median measured latency as representative.

Fig. 12 shows the percentage of hops across different distance ranges which are fixed at satellite link in the fixed single-homed routing. Fig. 12(a) demonstrates that with single bent-pipe routing, no hops shorter than 2,000 kilometers select the satellite link, while 1.1% of hops between 2,000 and 4,000 kilometers always use their satellite link (exclusively under the all-visible scenario). In general, as the distance increases, a higher percentage of hops are fixed to the satellite link as the faster transmission medium. This trend becomes particularly significant in all-visible scenarios, where for




Figure 11: SaTor’s latency reduction performance at hop and circuit level, using fixed single-homing.

hops longer than 8,000 kilometers, the satellite link has over 80% likelihood to be faster. Therefore, if Starlink operates under all-visible setup, SaTor could select and fix links’ connection type based on simple distance rules. However, this approach is unsuitable in closest-only scenarios, which may require the historical latency data of hops as an additional reference.




Figure 12: Satellite hop percentage across different distance.

5.3.4 *Circuit Satellite Number of Hops.* At the circuit level, a key question is the optimal number of hops that should utilize satellites. Fig. 13 shows the prevalence of different numbers of satellite hops in circuits, under fixed single-homing scenario. The results are still based on the median measured latency. In single bent-pipe closest-only routing, as shown in Fig. 13(a), 76.7% of circuits do not contain any satellite hops, aligning with the observation in Fig. 11(d) that only a quarter of circuits exhibit latency reduction. For the other three setups with notable latency reduction, around 50% of circuits only need one satellite hops. The two all-visible scenarios show the highest usage of satellite, with approximately 15% of circuits requiring 2 satellite hops for optimal latency, which is still a relatively small fraction. This observation bodes well for SaTor’s security, suggesting that users will not need to frequently use satellite service (and be observable to the provider) for entire circuits, thus reducing the vulnerability to deanonymization attacks.

5.3.5 *Required Number of Satellite-Accessible Relay.* This section evaluates the correlation between the quantity of satellite-accessible relays and the proportion of hops or circuits experiencing latency reduction. If even a small subset of relays with satellite service can achieve significant acceleration effectiveness, this will be beneficial from a practical deployment perspective.

Fig. 14 shows the number of relays required to realize varying percentages of SaTor’s acceleration capability, both at the hop and




Figure 13: The number of satellite hops in circuits.




Figure 14: Number of relays needed to achieve varying percentages of acceleration capability.

circuit level. The x-axis represents the number of relays equipped with satellite access, while the y-axis shows the percentage of hops or circuits that experience acceleration. Note that in this analysis and figures satellite access for relays is distributed based on their popularity, i.e., relays most frequently chosen in circuits appear to the left on the x-axis. Additionally, the percentage value is relative to the total number of hops or circuits that can experience acceleration, excluding those that are inherently unable to benefit from satellite routing. Still, the results are based on the median measured latency as representative.

The figure illustrates that in the two all-visible scenarios, $\approx 3,000$ relays with satellite accessibility are needed to unlock all acceleration potential, accounting for about 40% of the total 7,793 Tor relays. In contrast, in closest-only setups, only $\approx 1,000$ such relays are required, which is 10% of all Tor relays. This gap is mainly because, in closest-only scenarios, the maximum achievable latency reduction is much lower than in all-visible scenarios, thus requiring fewer relays. The above findings suggest that only a fraction of relays

would need to deploy satellite connection to see latency benefits, facilitating SaTor’s practical feasibility.

5.3.6 Summary. SaTor, operating under various configurations of satellite networks, shows varying degrees of latency reduction. Using fixed single-homing, a strategy relatively easy to deploy in practice, SaTor in the best-case configuration can reduce the latency of 50% to 80% of circuits by 25 to 60 ms. Even in the worst-case, where the traffic can only go to the closest neighbor and ISLs are unavailable, it still shows a 25 ms reduction across 25% circuits. SaTor’s effectiveness is related to hop distance; as the distance increases, an increasing number of hops tend to adopt satellite links as the faster option. For most circuits, SaTor only requires a single satellite hop to attain optimal latency, signifying a positive outcome for security. Finally, SaTor demonstrates good efficiency, requiring only a subset of relays with satellite connectivity to realize its acceleration potential.

5.4 Evaluation on Timespanning Dataset

We also extensively evaluate SaTor using the time-spanning dataset. We provide the key takeaways here with detailed evaluation presented in Appendix B. SaTor has similar, and often greater, effectiveness in the time-spanning dataset than the snapshot one. Using all-visible optimal dual-homed routing, SaTor provides an average of ≈ 40 ms improvement for roughly 40% hops, which are nearly double the number in snapshot dataset. From a circuit perspective, over 40% of circuits see an average latency reduction of ≈ 60 ms. This outcome is primarily due to the greater number of long-distance hops in this dataset compared to the snapshot dataset, offering more potential for acceleration.

5.5 SaTor in the Real World

Based on data published in Tor consensus and Starlink’s official website, we found that around 95% of Tor relays are in regions where Starlink service is currently available. Furthermore, each of these relays maintains accessibility to at least one satellite during the 4-hour simulation period. Hence, the current Starlink network guarantees prompt access for most relays at any time, confirming SaTor’s feasibility for real-world deployment. More details of this evaluation are presented in Appendix A.

6 LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Practical Implementation. This paper proposes the idea of applying satellite routing to enhance Tor latency. As a preliminary study, our focus is on the conceptual design of SaTor, substantiating its practicality and effectiveness with available data via programmatic simulation. We have not implemented SaTor within actual Tor network, due to our inability to access multiple relays located around the world. However, SaTor’s basic functionalities, such as latency estimation based on distance and dual-homing with satellite and terrestrial interfaces, require only simple techniques which are considered viable endeavors for future research.

Simulative Evaluation. We simulate SaTor latency by identifying the shortest paths within configurable graphs of satellite routing. By modifying graph connectivity, we replicate various routing strategies under diverse conditions of link availability. Indeed, a more precise method to assess satellite transmission would involve

more large-scale measurements, utilizing multiple satellite accessible servers distributed globally, a task that has been undertaken by various existing studies[37, 44, 47, 49]. However, this strategy cannot be directly applied in SaTor evaluation, as it is impractical to measure Tor’s transmission at all relays. We conduct simulation refined with real-world measurements in global locations, which could present reasonable credibility on the overall latency features of satellite-enhanced Tor.

Nevertheless, the simulative approach facilitates a comprehensive and forward-looking evaluation of SaTor. For example, current satellite operators primarily implement just a single bent-pipe routing of satellite, with a large portion of transmission handled via terrestrial links, failing to realize the full potential of satellite acceleration. Fortunately, considering the rapid advancement in satellite communication technology such as Inter-Satellite links, which are currently implemented but awaiting global activation, the performance of satellite networks ought to improve continually, eventually realizing their full superiority in long-distance transmission. The configurable simulator can estimate a wide range of routing strategies, serving as a valuable reference for Tor’s future enhancement plan. An ongoing task is to continuously monitor advancements in satellite industry and dynamically evaluate its potential for integration with Tor.

Latency Estimation. Most of our latency estimations are based on the latency-distance relationship derived from real-world measurements. A limitation is the measurement of satellite latency is that it may overlook weather variations. However, evidence indicates that Starlink’s bent-pipe latency is approximately uniform worldwide[49], supporting the credibility of the observed trends. In fact, a substantial body of theoretical and empirical research has highlighted the satellite’s superiority for long transmissions[28, 29, 44, 49]. These evidences bolster our confidence in SaTor’s effectiveness.

7 CONCLUSION

High latency remains an essential challenge for Tor. A large portion of Tor’s latency stems from routing traffic through circuits that traverse extended geographical distances. Traditional latency reducing strategies for Tor often bias shorter circuits, which may compromise the randomness of traffic paths and degrade transmission security. This paper explores the application of satellite routing technology in Tor for latency reduction. We propose outfitting a subset of Tor relays with satellite connections to reduce latency. Our evaluation shows that on a long-term average, SaTor in general accelerates over 70% circuits by roughly 40 milliseconds. We also verify that only a fraction of relays (around 30% to 40%) with satellite access are enough to produce significant acceleration in Tor. This study offers a first perspective on utilizing satellite Internet technology to address Tor’s challenges, serving as a reference for future developments and improvements.

REFERENCES

- [1] 2023. Jonathan’s Space Report. <https://planet4589.org/>. Online.
- [2] 2023. OneWeb. <https://oneweb.net/>. Online.
- [3] 2023. Starlink. <https://www.starlink.com/>. Online.
- [4] 2023. Tor Metrics: OnionPerf Latencies. <https://metrics.torproject.org/onionperf-latencies.html> Online.

- [5] 2023. Tor Metrics: Users. <https://metrics.torproject.org/userstats-relay-country.html> Online.
- [6] 2024. Space-Track. <https://www.space-track.org/> Online.
- [7] 2024. SpaceX reveals Starlink laser capacity. <https://advanced-television.com/2024/02/02/spacex-reveals-starlink-laser-capacity/> Online.
- [8] 2024. Starlink Ground Station Locations: An Overview. <https://starlinkinsider.com/starlink-gateway-locations> Online.
- [9] Advanced Television. 2023. Starlink's Laser Craft Update. <https://advanced-television.com/2023/09/28/starlinks-laser-craft-update/>. Online.
- [10] Masoud Akhooondi, Curtis Yu, and Harsha V Madhyastha. 2012. LASTor: A low-latency AS-aware Tor client. In *2012 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*. IEEE, 476–490.
- [11] Mashael AlSabah, Kevin Bauer, Tariq Elahi, and Ian Goldberg. 2013. The path less travelled: Overcoming Tor's bottlenecks with traffic splitting. In *Privacy Enhancing Technologies: 13th International Symposium, PETS 2013, Bloomington, IN, USA, July 10-12, 2013. Proceedings 13*. Springer, 143–163.
- [12] Mashael AlSabah and Ian Goldberg. 2016. Performance and security improvements for Tor: A survey. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 49, 2 (2016), 1–36.
- [13] Robert Annessi and Martin Schmiedecker. 2016. Navigator: Finding faster paths to anonymity. In *2016 IEEE European Symposium on Security and Privacy (EuroS&P)*. IEEE, 214–226.
- [14] Ioannis Arapakis, Xiao Bai, and B Barla Cambazoglu. 2014. Impact of response latency on user behavior in Web search. In *Proceedings of the international ACM SIGIR conference on Research & development in information retrieval*. 103–112.
- [15] Michael Backes, Aniket Kate, Sebastian Meiser, and Esfandiar Mohammadi. 2014. (Nothing else) MATor(s): Monitoring the Anonymity of Tor's Path Selection. In *Proceedings of the 21th ACM conference on Computer and Communications Security (CCS 2014)*.
- [16] Michael Backes, Sebastian Meiser, and Marcin Slowik. 2016. Your Choice MATor(s): Large-scale Quantitative Anonymity Assessment of Tor Path Selection Algorithms against Structural Attacks. *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies* 2016, 2 (April 2016).
- [17] Arnon Barton and Matthew Wright. 2016. Denasa: Destination-naive awareness in anonymous communications. *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies* (2016).
- [18] Arnon Barton, Matthew Wright, Jiang Ming, and Mohsen Imani. 2018. Towards predicting efficient and anonymous Tor circuits. In *27th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 18)*. 429–444.
- [19] Debopam Bhattacharjee and Ankit Singla. 2019. Network topology design at 27,000 km/hour. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Emerging Networking Experiments And Technologies*. 341–354.
- [20] Frank Cangialosi, Dave Levin, and Neil Spring. 2015. Ting: Measuring and exploiting latencies between all Tor nodes. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Internet Measurement Conference*. 289–302.
- [21] Quan Chen, Giovanni Giambene, Lei Yang, Chengguang Fan, and Xiaoqian Chen. 2021. Analysis of inter-satellite link paths for LEO mega-constellation networks. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology* 70, 3 (2021), 2743–2755.
- [22] Prithula Dhungel, Moritz Steiner, Ivinko Rimac, Volker Hilt, and Keith W. Ross. 2010. Waiting for anonymity: Understanding delays in the Tor overlay. In *2010 IEEE Tenth International Conference on Peer-to-Peer Computing (P2P)*. IEEE, 1–4.
- [23] Roger Dingleline and Nick Mathewson. 2023. Tor Specifications. <https://spec.torproject.org/index.html>
- [24] Roger Dingleline, Nick Mathewson, Paul F Syverson, et al. 2004. Tor: The second-generation onion router. In *USENIX security symposium*, Vol. 4. 303–320.
- [25] Roger Dingleline and Steven J Murdoch. 2009. Performance Improvements on Tor or, Why Tor is slow and what we're going to do about it. *Online: http://www.torproject.org/press/presskit/2009-03-11-performance.pdf* (2009), 68.
- [26] Matthew Edman and Paul Syverson. 2009. AS-awareness in Tor path selection. In *Proceedings of the 16th ACM conference on Computer and communications security*. 380–389.
- [27] Tariq Elahi, Kevin Bauer, Mashael AlSabah, Roger Dingleline, and Ian Goldberg. 2012. Changing the guards: A framework for understanding and improving entry guard selection in Tor. In *Proceedings of the 2012 ACM Workshop on Privacy in the Electronic Society*. 43–54.
- [28] Mark Handley. 2018. Delay is not an option: Low latency routing in space. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM Workshop on Hot Topics in Networks*. 85–91.
- [29] Mark Handley. 2019. Using ground relays for low-latency wide-area routing in megaconstellations. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Workshop on Hot Topics in Networks*. 125–132.
- [30] Kyle Hogan, Sacha Servan-Schreiber, Zachary Newman, Ben Weintraub, Cristina Nita-Rotaru, and Srinivas Devadas. 2022. ShorTor: Improving Tor Network Latency via Multi-hop Overlay Routing. In *2022 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP)*. IEEE, 1933–1952.
- [31] Amir Houmansadr and Nikita Borisov. 2011. SWIRL: A Scalable Watermark to Detect Correlated Network Flows. In *Proceedings of the Network and Distributed System Security Symposium*. Citeseer.
- [32] Mohsen Imani, Mehrdad Amirabadi, and Matthew Wright. 2019. Modified relay selection and circuit selection for faster Tor. *IET Communications* 13, 17 (2019), 2723–2734.
- [33] Corning Incorporated. 2002. SMF-28TM optical fiber product information.
- [34] Aaron Johnson, Chris Wacek, Rob Jansen, Micah Sherr, and Paul Syverson. 2013. Users Get Routed: Traffic Correlation on Tor by Realistic Adversaries. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*.
- [35] Joshua Juen, Aaron Johnson, Anupam Das, Nikita Borisov, and Matthew Caesar. 2015. Defending Tor from Network Adversaries: A Case Study of Network Path Prediction. *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies* 2015, 2 (2015), 1–17.
- [36] Ishan Karunanayake, Nadeem Ahmed, Robert Malaney, Rafiqul Islam, and Sanjay K Jha. 2021. De-anonymisation attacks on Tor: A Survey. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials* 23, 4 (2021), 2324–2350.
- [37] Mohamed M Kassem, Aravindh Raman, Diego Perino, and Nishanth Sastry. 2022. A browser-side view of Starlink connectivity. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM Internet Measurement Conference*. 151–158.
- [38] Simon Kassing, Debopam Bhattacharjee, André Baptista Águas, Jens Eirik Saethre, and Ankit Singla. 2020. Exploring the "Internet from space" with Hypatia. In *Proceedings of the ACM Internet Measurement conference*. 214–229.
- [39] T.S. Kelso. 2024. CelesTrak: Current NORAD Two-Line Element Sets. <https://www.celestrak.com/NORAD/elements/>
- [40] Georg Koppen. 2020. OnionPerf. <https://gitlab.torproject.org/tpo/network-health/metrics/onionperf>
- [41] Zeqi Lai, Hewu Li, Yangtao Deng, Qian Wu, Jun Liu, Yuanjie Li, Jihao Li, Lixin Liu, Weisen Liu, and Jianping Wu. 2023. {StarryNet}: Empowering researchers to evaluate futuristic integrated space and terrestrial networks. In *20th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 23)*. 1309–1324.
- [42] Zeqi Lai, Hewu Li, and Jihao Li. 2020. Starperf: Characterizing network performance for emerging mega-constellations. In *2020 IEEE 28th International Conference on Network Protocols (ICNP)*. IEEE, 1–11.
- [43] Karsten Loesing. 2023. Torperf. <https://gitlab.torproject.org/tpo/network-health/metrics/torperf>
- [44] Sami Ma, Yi Ching Chou, Haoyuan Zhao, Long Chen, Xiaoqian Ma, and Jiangchuan Liu. 2023. Network characteristics of LEO satellite constellations: A Starlink-based measurement from end users. In *IEEE INFOCOM 2023-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*. IEEE, 1–10.
- [45] Michael Maltsev. 2023. Stem. <https://github.com/torproject/stem>
- [46] Michael Kan. 2021. SpaceX Launches Upgraded Starlink Satellites Featuring Laser Links. <https://uk.pcmag.com/networking/135644/spacex-launches-upgraded-starlink-satellites-featuring-laser-links>. Online.
- [47] François Michel, Martino Trevisan, Danilo Giordano, and Olivier Bonaventure. 2022. A first look at Starlink performance. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM Internet Measurement Conference*. 130–136.
- [48] Prateek Mittal, Ahmed Khurshid, Joshua Juen, Matthew Caesar, and Nikita Borisov. 2011. Stealthy traffic analysis of low-latency anonymous communication using throughput fingerprinting. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM conference on Computer and Communications Security*. 215–226.
- [49] Nitinder Mohan, Andrew Ferguson, Hendrik Cech, Prakita Rayyan Renatin, Rohan Bose, Mahesh Marina, and Jörg Ott. 2024. A Multifaceted Look at Starlink Performance. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2024 (WWW '24)*.
- [50] Doug Mooney. 2021. The Space Cloud: Satellite Strategies for AWS, Google and Microsoft. <https://www.datacenterfrontier.com/cloud/article/11428161/the-space-cloud-satellite-strategies-for-aws-google-and-microsoft/>
- [51] Milad Nasr, Alireza Bahramali, and Amir Houmansadr. 2018. Deepcorr: Strong flow correlation attacks on tor using deep learning. In *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*. 1962–1976.
- [52] Jianping Pan, Jinwei Zhao, and Lin Cai. 2023. Measuring a Low-Earth-Orbit Satellite Network. arXiv:2307.06863 [cs.NI]
- [53] Aaron Raj. 2023. How satellites are becoming a game changer for cloud service providers. <https://techwireasia.com/03/2023/heres-how-satellites-are-enhancing-connectivity-for-cloud-service-providers/>
- [54] Michael G Reed, Paul F Syverson, and David M Goldschlag. 1998. Anonymous connections and onion routing. *IEEE Journal on Selected areas in Communications* 16, 4 (1998), 482–494.
- [55] Florentin Rochet and Olivier Pereira. 2018. Dropping on the Edge: Flexibility and Traffic Confirmation in Onion Routing Protocols. *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technology* 2018, 2 (2018), 27–46.
- [56] Florentin Rochet, Ryan Wails, Aaron Johnson, Prateek Mittal, and Olivier Pereira. 2020. CLAPS: Client-location-aware path selection in Tor. In *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*. 17–34.
- [57] Micah Sherr, Matt Blaze, and Boon Thau Loo. 2009. Scalable link-based relay selection for anonymous routing. In *Privacy Enhancing Technologies: 9th International Symposium, PETS 2009, Seattle, WA, USA, August 5-7, 2009. Proceedings 9*. Springer, 73–93.
- [58] Prabhjot Singh, Diogo Barradas, Tariq Elahi, and Noura Limam. 2024. Connecting the Dots in the Sky: Website Fingerprinting in Low Earth Orbit Satellite Internet. Network and Distributed System Security Symposium (NDSS).

[59] Robin Snader and Nikita Borisov. 2008. A Tune-up for Tor: Improving Security and Performance in the Tor Network.. In *Proceedings of the Network and Distributed System Security Symposium*, Vol. 8. 127.

[60] SpaceX FCC update. 2018. SPACEX NON-GEOSTATIONARY SATELLITE SYSTEM. https://licensing.fcc.gov/myibfs/download.do?attachment_key=1569860. Online.

[61] Yongtao Su, Yaoqi Liu, Yiqing Zhou, Jinhong Yuan, Huan Cao, and Jinglin Shi. 2019. Broadband LEO satellite communications: Architectures and key technologies. *IEEE Wireless Communications* 26, 2 (2019), 55–61.

[62] Yixin Sun, Anne Edmundson, Nick Feamster, Mung Chiang, and Prateek Mittal. 2017. Counter-RAPTOR: Safeguarding Tor against active routing attacks. In *2017 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP)*. IEEE, 977–992.

[63] Qingfeng Tan, Xuebin Wang, Wei Shi, Jian Tang, and Zhihong Tian. 2022. An anonymity vulnerability in Tor. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking* 30, 6 (2022), 2574–2587.

[64] Nolan J Taylor, Alan R Dennis, and Jeff W Cummings. 2013. Situation normality and the shape of search: The effects of time delays and information presentation on search behavior. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* 64, 5 (2013), 909–928.

[65] Elon Musk Twitter. 2023. Starlink Inter-Satellite Links. <https://twitter.com/elonmusk/status/1535394359373443073?lang=en>. Online.

[66] Union of Concerned Scientists. 2023. UCS Satellite Database. <https://www.ucsusa.org/resources/satellite-database>. Online.

[67] Chris Wacek, Henry Tan, Kevin S Bauer, and Micah Sherr. 2013. An Empirical Evaluation of Relay Selection in Tor.. In *Proceedings of the Network and Distributed System Security Symposium*.

[68] Gerry Wan, Aaron Johnson, Ryan Wails, Sameer Wagh, and Prateek Mittal. 2019. Guard placement attacks on path selection algorithms for Tor. *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies* 2019, 4 (2019).

[69] Tao Wang, Kevin Bauer, Clara Forero, and Ian Goldberg. 2012. Congestion-aware path selection for Tor. In *Financial Cryptography and Data Security: 16th International Conference, FC 2012, Kralendijk, Bonaire, February 27–March 2, 2012, Revised Selected Papers 16*. Springer, 98–113.

[70] Jin Y Yen. 1971. Finding the k shortest loopless paths in a network. *management Science* 17, 11 (1971), 712–716.

A SATOR IN THE REAL WORLD




Figure 15: Starlink availability to the region of Tor relays, and satellite connectivity within the 4-hour period.

According to SpaceX’s official website, Starlink is now available in over 65 countries. Fig. 15(a) shows Starlink accessibility status for all Tor relays. Out of 7,793 relays in total, 7,397 are located in countries where Starlink is currently available. Of the remaining 396 relays, 94 are in countries where Starlink service is expected to become available soon, whereas 302 relays are in areas with no clear schedule for Starlink’s introduction. This result confirms that the vast majority of Tor relays are positioned in areas where Starlink service is already operational or is anticipated to be launched shortly, substantiating the current practicality of SaTor.

For practical feasibility, SaTor must also ensure consistent and reliable access to satellite routing services, so that Tor users can experience satellite acceleration whenever needed. This necessitates a sufficient number of relays capable of connecting to the satellite

network at any given time. Theoretically, a ground node can establish a connection with a satellite if the distance between them does not exceed a predefined threshold. For satellites orbiting in LEO at an altitude of 550 kilometers, this threshold is approximately 1,123 kilometers. We then examine the satellite accessibility from each Tor relay over the four-hour simulation period.

Fig. 15(b) illustrates the average and minimum number of satellites accessible to Tor relays. The data confirms that each relay maintains access to at least one satellite throughout the observation period. On average, during the period, most relays can access between 1 to 45 satellites, and 806 relays have the capability to connect with over 45 satellites. At a minimum, 3,751 relays consistently maintain access to between 1 and 15 satellites, while 2 relays have the capability to connect with at least 45 satellites at all times. The data provided demonstrates that the current development of Starlink network can guarantee prompt access for most Tor relays at any time, confirming the practicality of SaTor design.

B ASSESS ON TIMESPANNING DATASET

Tor is dynamic with relays joining and leaving regularly. We evaluate SaTor using a time-spanning dataset that reflects Tor’s state over the past two years. The latency is calculated using the latency-distance relationship measured in real-world scenarios.

B.1 Distance Features in Timespanning Dataset

Fig. 16 shows the CDF of hop and circuit distances in both snapshot and time spanning datasets. The figure demonstrates that on average both the hops and circuits in the snapshot dataset are shorter compared to those in the time-spanning dataset. In the snapshot dataset, approximately half of the hops are less than 1,000 kilometers, but in the time-spanning dataset, the median hop length is around 2,000 kilometers. According to theoretical calculations, transmissions exceeding one thousand kilometers are likely to see latency benefits from satellite routing. While real-world routing tends to be more complex than those in theoretical best-case models, the distance properties of the two datasets suggest significant potential for latency improvement using satellite. Moreover, compared to the snapshot one, the time-spanning dataset features hops and circuits that are typically of greater distance, implying an enhanced potential for satellite acceleration.




Figure 16: Hop and circuit distance CDF in the two datasets.




Figure 17: SaTor’s latency reduction at hop and circuit level, using *optimal dual-homing* on the *timespanning* dataset.




Figure 18: SaTor’s latency reduction at hop and circuit level, using *fixed single-homing* on the *timespanning* dataset.

B.2 Optimal Dual-homing Routing

Fig. 17 shows the optimal dual-homing performance in timespanning dataset. It is shown that using all-visible routing, SaTor effectively accelerate around 40% hops by an average of 25 milliseconds, approximately. From the circuit level, the all-visible routing reduces the latency across 75% circuits by 50 ~ 75 milliseconds. Notably, the number of circuits benefiting from latency reduction maintains consistent even as the percentile of measured latency grows, implying strong robustness of SaTor’s acceleration effectiveness. However, the closest-only routing only offers limited efficacy, which is similar to the observation in the snapshot dataset.

B.3 Fixed Single-homing Routing

Fig. 18 illustrates the fixed single-homing scenarios. A notable finding is that compared to the optimal dual-homing, the performance of closest-only ISL-enabled routing suffers from significant degradation, where the number of hops that can benefit from latency reduction drops from 5,000 to around 2,000. However, the average latency reduction rises, meaning the fixed single-homing routing can offer more concentrated latency reduction. The circuit level results are similar to the optimal dual-homing, where around 75% of circuits see an average latency reduction of around 70 milliseconds.