

On simple matroids with a unique minimal tropical basis

Winfried Hochstättler
FernUniversität in Hagen

Abstract

In this note we characterize tropical bases as sets of circuits that by orthogonality determine the set of cocircuits of a simple matroid. Furthermore, we show that any circuit, which itself is closed, must be contained in any tropical basis. This yields a characterization of simple matroids which have a unique minimal tropical basis, giving a solution for a problem posted in [TF].

1 Introduction

In tropical geometry a set of defining tropical linear forms for a tropical linear space is called a tropical basis. In our setting these linear forms correspond to the circuits of a simple matroid. Since we will give a purely combinatorial definition of tropical bases of simple matroids we will not further bother with the connections to tropical geometry and refer the interested reader to [Na, Yu, TF].

In a recent post in the matroid union blog [TF], Tara Fife asked for a characterization of those simple matroids which have a unique minimal tropical basis. In this note we will show that any circuit which is closed must be contained in any minimal tropical basis. Furthermore, if a tropical basis contains a circuit which is not closed, then it is not minimal. Moreover, we characterize tropical bases as those sets of circuits which, purely by orthogonality, define the set of cocircuits of the simple matroid. This, together with the above, yields a characterization of simple matroids with a unique minimal tropical basis.

Additionally, we prove a strict generalization of the result from [Na] that binary simple matroids have unique minimal tropical bases.

We assume familiarity with matroid theory, the standard reference is [Ox].

2 Tropical bases

Let M be a simple matroid M and let cl denote its closure operator. A subset $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ its set of circuits is a *tropical basis of M* , if for every set $X \neq \text{cl}(X)$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that $|C \setminus X| = 1$. A tropical basis \mathcal{C}' of M is *minimal* if for all $C \in \mathcal{C}'$ the family $\mathcal{C}' \setminus C$ is no longer a tropical basis.

Let \mathcal{D} denote a family of sets on a ground set E and $A \subseteq E$. Then A is orthogonal to \mathcal{D} denoted by $A \perp \mathcal{D}$ if and only if

$$\forall D \in \mathcal{D} : |A \cap D| \neq 1.$$

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Then \mathcal{C}' is a tropical basis of M if and only if*

$$\bar{X} \perp \mathcal{C}' \iff \text{cl}(X) = X,$$

where $\bar{X} = E \setminus X$ denotes the complement of X .

Proof. Let \mathcal{C}' be a tropical basis. Assume X is closed. Then even for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$

$$|C \setminus X| = |C \cap \bar{X}| \neq 1.$$

Thus $\bar{X} \perp \mathcal{C}'$. Now assume X is not closed. Since \mathcal{C}' is a tropical basis there exists some $C \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that $|C \setminus X| = |C \cap \bar{X}| = 1$. Hence, \bar{X} is not orthogonal to \mathcal{C}' .

Now assume \mathcal{C}' is not a tropical basis. Then there exists a set X which is not closed but for all $C \in \mathcal{C}'$ we have

$$|C \setminus X| = |C \cap \bar{X}| \neq 1.$$

Hence, X is not closed but $\bar{X} \perp \mathcal{C}$.

Note that $\text{cl}(X) = X$ implies that X is orthogonal to any set of circuits. \square

Proposition 2. *Let $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\text{cl}(C) = C$, then C is contained in every tropical basis \mathcal{C}' .*

Proof. Let $e \in C$ and $X = C \setminus \{e\}$. The $X \neq \text{cl}(X) \ni e$. Hence there exists $C' \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $f \in C'$ such that $C' \setminus X = \{f\}$. Now $f \in \text{cl}(X) \subseteq \text{cl}(C)$ and $\text{cl}(C) = C$ implies $f = e$ and $C' = C$. \square

3 A sufficient condition for the uniqueness of a minimal tropical basis

Definition 1. *Let M be a simple matroid on a finite set E and $D \subseteq E$. Then D is a double circuit if for all $e \in D : \text{rank}(D) = |D| - 2 = \text{rank}(D \setminus \{e\})$.*

Proposition 3. *If D is a double circuit in M , then it has a unique partition $D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$ such that the set of circuits contained in D is exactly*

$$\{D \setminus D_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

Proof. The dual of D is a line. Then the complement of each of its points is a cocircuit in the dual Hence we find the D_i 's as parallel classes of points of these points. \square

We call k the degree of the double circuit.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a simple matroid such that for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$ either $\text{cl}(C) = C$ or there exist $f \in \text{cl}(C)$ such that $C \cup \{f\}$ is a double circuit of degree 3. Then*

$$\{C \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text{cl}(C) = C\}$$

is the unique minimal tropical basis of M .

Proof. By Proposition 2 $\{C \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text{cl}(C) = C\}$ is contained in any tropical basis. Thus, it suffices to show that it is a tropical basis itself. Let $X \subseteq E$ such that $X \neq \text{cl}(X)$. Hence there exists a circuit $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $C \setminus X = \{d\}$. Choose such a circuit with as few elements as possible. Assume $\text{cl}(C) \neq C$. Then there exists $f \in \text{cl}(C) \setminus C$ such that $C \cup \{f\} = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \{f\}$ is a double circuit of degree 3. Since M is simple we have $\min\{|D_1|, |D_2|\} \geq 2$. We may assume $d \in D_2$. Then, $D_1 \cup \{f\}$ is a circuit contradicting the choice of C . \square

Corollary 1 ([Na] Theorem 4). *Binary simple matroids have a unique minimal tropical basis.*

Proof. Binary matroids have only double circuits of degree 2 or 3. If $f \in \text{cl}(C)$ for a circuit C , then $C \cup \{f\}$ is a double circuit of degree 3. \square

Corollary 2. *Let N arise from $U_{2,n}$ by replacing each element by a pair of parallel elements and let M denote the dual of N . Then M has a unique tropical bases.*

Proof. The circuits of M are exactly the complements of the points of N and hence consist of all elements except one pair of elements parallel in N . Clearly, they are all closed. \square

Since M from the last theorem is non-binary for $n \geq 4$ Theorem 1 is a proper generalization of [Na] Theorem 4. We are not aware, though, of a non-binary matroid which satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1 and has a circuit which is not closed.

4 A necessary condition for the uniqueness of a minimal tropical basis and a characterization

Theorem 2. *If a simple matroid M has a minimal tropical basis which contains a circuit C such that $\text{cl}(C) \neq C$, then this minimal tropical basis is not unique.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C}' be a minimal tropical basis of M and $C \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that there exists $f \in \text{cl}(C) \setminus C$. Then $C \cup f$ is a double circuit. Let $D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k \cup \{f\}$, $k \geq 2$ be its partition. Define

$$\mathcal{C}'' := (\mathcal{C}' \setminus C) \cup \{(C \cup \{f\}) \setminus D_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

We claim that \mathcal{C}'' is a tropical basis and hence it contains a minimal tropical basis \mathcal{C}''' different from \mathcal{C}' .

To see that, consider a set X for which C is the only element in \mathcal{C}' such that $C \setminus X = \{d\}$. We may assume that $d \in D_1$. But then

$$((C \setminus D_1) \cup \{f\}) \setminus X = \{f\}.$$

Hence \mathcal{C}'' is a tropical basis. \square

This yields the following characterization of simple matroids having a unique minimal tropical basis.

Theorem 3. *A simple matroid M has a unique tropical basis if and only if*

$$\bar{X} \perp \{C \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text{cl}(C) = C\} \iff \text{cl}(X) = X.$$

Proof. This follows from Proposition 1 and Theorem 1. \square

Hence M has a unique minimal tropical basis if the minimal non-empty sets which are orthogonal to all closed circuits form the family of cocircuits of M .

Note, that in P_7 (see [Ox] Page 646), which does not satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2, any set which is not closed meets one of the three point lines in exactly 2 points. Hence its complement is not orthogonal to the set of three point lines. This shows that P_7 has a unique minimal tropical basis by Theorem 3, as was already observed by [Na].

References

- [TF] Tara Fife. Intro to Tropical bases Blogpost in *The matroid union* <http://matroidunion.org/?p=5403>
- [Na] Yasuhito Nakajima. Minimal tropical bases for Bergman fans of matroids *Tsukuba J. Math.*, 47(1):19–28, 2023.
- [Ox] JAMES OXLEY. *Matroid Theory* 2nd Edition. Oxford University Press, 2011.
- [Yu] Josephine Yu and Debbie S. Yuster. Representing tropical linear spaces by circuits in: *Proceedings of FPSAC.*, 2007.