

Tight bounds for rainbow partial F -tiling in edge-colored complete hypergraphs

Jinghua Deng^{*1}, Jianfeng Hou^{†1}, Xizhi Liu^{‡2}, and Caihong Yang^{§1}

¹Center for Discrete Mathematics, Fuzhou University, Fujian, 350003, China

²Mathematics Institute and DIMAP, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

June 24, 2024

Abstract

For an r -graph F and integers n, t satisfying $t \leq n/v(F)$, let $\text{ar}(n, tF)$ denote the minimum integer N such that every edge-coloring of K_n^r using N colors contains a rainbow copy of tF , where tF is the r -graphs consisting of t vertex-disjoint copies of F . The case $t = 1$ is the classical anti-Ramsey problem proposed by Erdős–Simonovits–Sós [ESS75]. When F is a single edge, this becomes the rainbow matching problem introduced by Schiermeyer [Sch04] and Özkahya–Young [HY13].

We conduct a systematic study of $\text{ar}(n, tF)$ for the case where t is much smaller than $\text{ex}(n, F)/n^{r-1}$. Our first main result provides a reduction of $\text{ar}(n, tF)$ to $\text{ar}(n, 2F)$ when F is bounded and smooth, two properties satisfied by most previously studied hypergraphs. Complementing the first result, the second main result, which utilizes gaps between Turán numbers, determines $\text{ar}(n, tF)$ for relatively smaller t . Together, these two results determine $\text{ar}(n, tF)$ for a large class of hypergraphs. Additionally, the latter result has the advantage of being applicable to hypergraphs with unknown Turán densities, such as the famous tetrahedron K_4^3 .

Keywords: anti-Ramsey problem, Turán problem, hypergraphs, boundedness, smoothness, stability.

1 Introduction

Given an integer $r \geq 2$, an r -uniform hypergraph (henceforth r -graph) \mathcal{H} is a collection of r -subsets of some finite set V . We identify a hypergraph \mathcal{H} with its edge set and use $V(\mathcal{H})$ to denote its vertex set. The size of $V(\mathcal{H})$ is denoted by $v(\mathcal{H})$. The n -vertex complete r -graph is denoted by K_n^r . The superscript r will be omitted in the case where $r = 2$.

Given an r -graph F and an integer $t \geq 1$, let tF denote the r -graph consisting of t vertex-disjoint copies of F . We say that an edge-colored tF is **rainbow** if no pair of edges in

^{*}Email: Jinghua_deng@163.com

[†]Research was supported by National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2023YFA1010202), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12071077) and the Central Guidance on Local Science and Technology Development Fund of Fujian Province (Grant No. 2023L3003). Email: jfhou@fzu.edu.cn

[‡]Research was supported by ERC Advanced Grant 101020255. Email: xizhi.liu.ac@gmail.com

[§]Email: chyang.fzu@gmail.com

tF share the same color. Motivated by the classical **anti-Ramsey problem** proposed by Erdős–Simonovits–Sós [ESS75], as well as theorems of Schiermeyer [Sch04] and Özkahya–Young [HY13] on rainbow matchings, we aim to initiate a systematic study of the following problem in this work.

Problem 1.1. *Given an r -graph F and positive integers n, t satisfying $t \leq n/v(F)$, determine the minimum value N , denoted by $\text{ar}(n, tF)$, such that every surjective edge-coloring $\chi: K_n^r \rightarrow [N]$ contains a rainbow copy of tF .*

Before surveying related results, let us introduce some definitions related to Turán problems. Given a family \mathcal{F} of r -graphs, we say an r -graph \mathcal{H} is \mathcal{F} -free if it does not contain any member of \mathcal{F} as a subgraph. The **Turán number** $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} is the maximum number of edges in an \mathcal{F} -free r -graph on n vertices. The **Turán density** of \mathcal{F} is defined as $\pi(\mathcal{F}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F}) / \binom{n}{r}$. A family \mathcal{F} of r -graphs is called **nondegenerate** if $\pi(\mathcal{F}) > 0$, and **degenerate** otherwise. Determining $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$, even asymptotically, is a central topic in Extremal Combinatorics. For most hypergraphs and degenerate graphs, we do not know the asymptotic behavior of $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$. Some notoriously hard problems in this area include the Tetradron Conjecture, proposed by Turán [Tur41] over 80 years ago, which asserts that $\pi(K_4^3) = 5/9$, and the Even Cycle Problem, proposed by Erdős [Erd64a, BS74], which asks for the exponent of $\text{ex}(n, C_{2k})$. Here, we refer the reader to surveys [Kee11, FS13] for further results on Turán problems.

The case $t = 1$ in Problem 1.1 corresponds to the classical anti-Ramsey problem introduced by Erdős–Simonovits–Sós in [ESS75]. They established the following general relation between the anti-Ramsey number and the Turán number of an r -graph F :

$$\text{ex}(n, F_-) + 2 \leq \text{ar}(n, F) \leq \text{ex}(n, F_-) + o(n^r).$$

Here, F_- denotes the family of r -graphs obtained from F by removing exactly one edge. Determining the precise form of the error term in the upper bound is a central topic in anti-Ramsey theory. For complete graphs, Erdős–Simonovits–Sós proved that for fixed $\ell \geq 2$ and sufficiently large n ,

$$\text{ar}(n, K_{\ell+1}) = \text{ex}(n, K_\ell) + 2.$$

The restriction on n was later removed by Montellano-Ballesteros and Neumann-Lara in [MBNL02]. Numerous results on graph anti-Ramsey problems have been obtained by various researchers over the past five decades. For related results, we refer the reader to the survey by Fujita–Magnant–Ozeki [FCK10]. On the other hand, the understanding of hypergraph anti-Ramsey problems is mostly limited to very sparse and special hypergraphs such as linear paths, linear cycles, and linear trees (see e.g. [GLS20, TLY22, LS23a]). Results on nondegenerate hypergraphs, which extends the Erdős–Simonovits–Sós Theorem on complete graphs, were obtained only very recently [LS23b, LTY24].

For $t \geq 2$, there are only a few results on Problem 1.1. A theorem of Jiang–Pikhurko [JP09] on doubly edge-critical graphs determines $\text{ar}(n, 2F)$ when F is an edge-critical graph and n is large, where edge-critical means there exists an edge whose removal will decrease the chromatic number. The theorem of Jiang–Pikhurko was extended to hypergraphs very recently [LS23b, LTY24], and hence, $\text{ar}(n, 2F)$ is also known for a certain class of hypergraphs. Schiermeyer [Sch04] and Özkahya–Young [HY13] initiated the study of Problem 1.1 for $F = K_r^r$ with $r = 2$ and $r \geq 3$, respectively. The case $F = K_3$ was studied very recently by Wu–Zhang–Li–Xiao in [WZLX23]. It appears that K_2 is the only example, even for large n , for which Problem 1.1 has been completely solved [Sch04, FKSS09, CLT09, HY12].

In this work, we conduct a systematic study of Problem 1.1 for general r -graphs F , with a focus on the case where n is sufficiently large and $2 \leq t \ll \text{ex}(n, F)/n^{r-1}$. Our first main result is a reduction theorem (Theorem 1.3), which, for a large class of hypergraphs F , reduces the problem of determining $\text{ar}(n, tF)$ to determining $\text{ar}(n, 2F)$ when $t \ll \text{ex}(n, F)/n^{r-1}$. The second main result (Theorem 1.5) utilizes the gap between Turán numbers $\text{ex}(n, F)$ and $\text{ex}(n, \{F\} \cup F \oplus F)$ (defined in Section 1.2) to determine $\text{ar}(n, tF)$ for relatively small t . This theorem, combined with the first main result, determines $\text{ar}(n, tF)$ for numerous hypergraphs (see Table 1) when n is large and $2 \leq t \ll \text{ex}(n, F)/n^{r-1}$. In addition, the second main theorem also determines $\text{ar}(n, tF)$ for numerous hypergraphs with unknown Turán densities (see Table 2) when n is large and $2 \leq t \ll \sqrt{n}$. This includes the famous K_4^3 .

Notations. For an r -graph \mathcal{H} and a vertex $v \in V(\mathcal{H})$, the **link** of v in \mathcal{H} is

$$L_{\mathcal{H}}(v) := \left\{ e \in \binom{V(\mathcal{H})}{r-1} : e \cup \{v\} \in \mathcal{H} \right\}.$$

The **degree** of v in \mathcal{H} is $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) := |L_{\mathcal{H}}(v)|$. The **maximum degree** of \mathcal{H} is denoted by $\Delta(\mathcal{H})$.

For an r -graph \mathcal{H} and a vertex set $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$, we use $\mathcal{H}[S]$ to denote the induced subgraph of \mathcal{H} on S , and use $\mathcal{H} - S$ to denote the induced subgraph of \mathcal{H} on $V(\mathcal{H}) \setminus S$.

Throughout this paper, asymptotic notations are taken with respect to n .

1.1 The reduction theorem

In this subsection, we present the reduction theorem. To state the main result we need some definitions from [HLL⁺23, HHL⁺23].

Let F be an r -graph. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\delta(n, F) := \text{ex}(n, F) - \text{ex}(n-1, F) \quad \text{and} \quad d(n, F) := \frac{r \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{n}.$$

Definition 1.2. Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer and F be an r -graph.

- For two real numbers $c_1, c_2 > 0$, we say F is (c_1, c_2) -**bounded** if there exists N_0 such that every r -graph \mathcal{H} on $n \geq N_0$ vertices with

$$\Delta(\mathcal{H}) \geq d(n, F) + c_1 \binom{n-1}{r-1} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{H}| \geq (1 - c_2) \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)$$

contains a copy of F . We say F is **bounded** if it is (c_1, c_2) -bounded for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$.

- We say F is **smooth** if it is degenerate¹ or there exists N_0 such that

$$|\delta(n, F) - d(n-1, F)| \leq \frac{1 - \pi(F)}{8v(F)} \binom{n}{r-1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_0. \quad (1)$$

Boundedness and smoothness, introduced in [HLL⁺23, HHL⁺23], are crucial properties for determining $\text{ex}(n, tF)$, the maximum number of edges in an n -vertex r -graph without

¹ Since our results do not require (1) for degenerate r -graphs, we consider every degenerate r -graph as smooth by default for convenience.

tF . It was shown in [HLL⁺23] and [HHL⁺] that many well-studied nondegenerate and degenerate r -graphs (see Table 1) are bounded and smooth, respectively.

The following theorem presents an application of boundedness and smoothness in anti-Ramsey theory.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. Suppose that F is an r -graph that is smooth and (c_1, c_2) -bounded for constants c_1, c_2 satisfying $0 < c_1 \leq \frac{1-\pi(F)}{12m}$ and $c_2 > 0$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ and N_0 such that for every $n \geq N_0$ and for every $t \in \left[0, \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{n^{r-1}}\right]$,*

$$\text{ar}(n, (t+2)F) = \binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} + \text{ar}(n-t, 2F).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3 is presented in Section 3.

1.2 Anti-Ramsey results from gaps between Turán numbers

Complementing the theorem from the previous subsection, we present a theorem that addresses $\text{ar}(n, tF)$ when t is small, particularly for $t = 2$, in this subsection.




Figure 1: Fano plane \mathbb{F} (left) and $\mathbb{F} \oplus \mathbb{F}$ (right). Here $\mathbb{F} := \{123, 345, 561, 174, 275, 376, 246\}$.

Given an r -graph F and an edge $e \in F$, let F_{e-} denote the r -graph obtained from F by removing the edge e . To be specific, $F_{e-} := F \setminus \{e\}$. Recall that $F_- = \{F_{e-} : e \in F\}$. Let F, F' be two r -graphs, $(e, e') \in F \times F'$ be a pair of edges, and $\phi: e \rightarrow e'$ be a bijection. Following the definition in [Wag37, KT90], the **edge-sum** $F \oplus_\phi F'$ is the r -graph obtained from F_{e-} and $F'_{e'-}$ by identifying v with $\phi(v)$ for all $v \in e$. For convenience, let

$$F \oplus F' := \{F \oplus_\phi F' : \phi: e \rightarrow e' \text{ is bijective for some pair } (e, e') \in F \times F'\}$$

denote the collection of all edge-sums of F and F' (see Figure 1). A quick observation is that $C_k \oplus C_\ell = \{C_{k+\ell-2}\}$ for all $k, \ell \geq 3$.

For convenience, we slightly abuse notation by letting $\{F\} \cup F \oplus F := \{F\} \cup (F \oplus F)$. Note that $\text{ex}(n, F) - \text{ex}(n, \{F\} \cup F \oplus F) \geq 0$ for every F and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.4. *An r -graph F is **edge-sensitive** if there exists N_0 such that*

$$\text{ex}(n, F) - \text{ex}(n, \{F\} \cup F \oplus F) \geq 2v(F)|F| \binom{n-1}{r-1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_0.$$

The definition of edge-sensitive is motivated by that of edge-critical. It follows easily from the classical Erdős–Stone–Simonovits Theorem [ES66] that every edge-critical graph is edge-sensitive. However, as shown by the even cycle C_{2k} , the converse does not hold (see Proposition 1.6 (ii)).

The following theorem provides an application of the gap between $\text{ex}(n, F)$ and $\text{ex}(n, \{F\} \cup F \oplus F)$ in anti-Ramsey theory.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer and F be an r -graph. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\text{ar}(n, (t+1)F) = \text{ex}(n, tF) + 2 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq t \leq \sqrt{\frac{\text{ex}(n, F) - \text{ex}(n, \{F\} \cup F \oplus F)}{2v(F)|F| \binom{n-1}{r-1}}}$$

In particular, if F is edge-sensitive, then for sufficiently large n ,

$$\text{ar}(n, 2F) = \text{ex}(n, F) + 2.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 is presented in Section 2.

1.3 Applications

In this subsection, we present some applications of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5. Let us first present a simple result concerning Turán numbers.

Proposition 1.6. *The following statements hold.*

- (i) *For every r -graph F , if $\pi(F_-) < \pi(F)$, then F is edge-sensitive.*
- (ii) *For every integer $k \geq 2$, the even cycle C_{2k} is edge-sensitive.*
- (iii) *For the Fano Plane \mathbb{F} , we have $\pi(\mathbb{F}_-) < \pi(\mathbb{F})$.*

In the following table, we summarize hypergraphs that exhibit boundedness/smoothness and are edge-sensitive. Proofs for the boundedness/smoothness can be found in either [HLL⁺23] (for nondegenerate hypergraphs) or [HHL⁺] (for degenerate hypergraphs). Definitions for these hypergraphs are included in the Appendix.

In the following table, we summarize edge-sensitive hypergraphs for which the boundedness and smoothness are unknown.

Having completed these preparations, we can now present the corollary of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5.

Corollary 1.7. *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer and F be an r -graph. There exist $\delta > 0$ and N_0 such that the following statements hold for all $n \geq N_0$.*

- (i) *If F is contained in Table 1, then*

$$\text{ar}(n, (t+2)F) = \binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} + \text{ex}(n, F) + 2 \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{n^{r-1}}.$$

- (ii) *If F is contained in Table 2, then*

$$\text{ar}(n, (t+1)F) = \text{ex}(n, tF) + 2 \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq t \leq \delta\sqrt{n}.$$

| Hypergraphs | References |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Even cycle C_{2k} for $k \geq 2$ | [BS74, LUW95] |
| Edge-critical graphs | [AES74, ES73] |
| Expansion of edge-critical graphs | [Mub06, Pik13] |
| Expansion of extended Erdős–Sós tree | [Sid89, NY18, BIJ17] |
| Expansion of M_2^r for $r \geq 3$ | [HK13, BNY19] |
| Expansion of M_k^3 , L_k^3 , or L_k^4 for $k \geq 2$ | [HK13, JPW18] |
| Expansion of M_k^4 for $k \geq 2$ | [YP23] |
| Expansion of $K_4^3 \sqcup K_3^3$ | [YP22] |
| Generalized triangle \mathbb{T}_r for $3 \leq r \leq 6$ | [Bol74, FF83, Sid87, FF89, Pik08] |
| Expanded triangle \mathcal{C}_3^{2r} for $r \geq 2$ | [Fra90, KS05a] |
| $\mathbb{F}_{3,2}$ (3-book with 3 pages) | [FPS05] |
| \mathbb{F}_7 (4-book with 3 pages) | [FPS06] |
| $\mathbb{F}_{4,3}$ (4-book with 4 pages) | [FMP08] |
| Fano Plane \mathbb{F} | [DCF00, KS05b, FS05] |

Table 1: Edge-sensitive hypergraphs with smoothness and boundedness.

| Hypergraphs | References |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| K_4^{3-} | [FF84, BT11] |
| K_4^3 | [Tur41, Raz10] |
| K_5^4 | [Gir90, Mar09] |
| Tight cycle $C_{3\ell+1}^3$ and $C_{3\ell+2}^3$ for $\ell \geq 1$ | [Raz10, MPS11, FRV12, KLP24] |
| $C_{3\ell+1}^{3-}$ and $C_{3\ell+2}^{3-}$ for $\ell \geq 1$ | [MPS11, BL24] |
| Generalized triangle \mathbb{T}_r for $r \geq 7$ | [Bol74, FF89] |

Table 2: Edge-sensitive hypergraphs whose boundedness/smoothness are unknown.

(iii) If F is nondegenerate and contains an edge $e \in F$ such that $F \setminus \{e\}$ is r -partite, then

$$\text{ar}(n, (t+1)F) = \text{ex}(n, tF) + 2 \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq t \leq \delta\sqrt{n}.$$

Corollary 1.7 (iii) follows easily from a theorem of Erdős [Erd64b], which implies that an r -graph is degenerate iff it is r -partite.

Proof of Proposition 1.6 is presented in Section 4.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.5. The lower bound in Theorem 1.5 comes from the following simple fact, which holds for all r -graphs.

Fact 2.1. For every r -graph and positive integers n, t with $1 \leq t \leq n/v(F)$,

$$\text{ex}(n, tF) + 2 \leq \text{ar}(n, (t+1)F) \leq \text{ex}(n, (t+1)F) + 1.$$

Proof of Fact 2.1. The upper bound in Fact 2.1 is trivial, so it suffices to prove the lower bound. Let $N := \text{ex}(n, tF)$. Fix a tF -free subgraph $\mathcal{H} \subset K_n^r$ with exactly $\text{ex}(n, tF)$ edges. Let $\chi: K_n^r \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an edge-coloring satisfying

- the induced coloring of χ on \mathcal{H} is rainbow and use colors in $[N]$,

- the induced coloring of χ on $K_n^r \setminus \mathcal{H}$ uses only the color $N + 1$.

Suppose to the contrary that there exists a rainbow copy of $(t+1)F$ in K_n^r under χ . Then at most one F in this $(t+1)F$ can use the color $N + 1$, meaning that there is a rainbow copy of tF using colors from $[N]$. However, this is impossible since \mathcal{H} is tF -free. ■

Next, we prove the upper bound in Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Fix integers $m \geq r \geq 2$ and an m -vertex r -graph F . Let n be a sufficiently large integer and t be an integer satisfying

$$1 \leq t \leq \sqrt{\frac{\text{ex}(n, F) - \text{ex}(n, \{F\} \cup F \oplus F)}{2m|F| \binom{n-1}{r-1}}}.$$

Let $N := \text{ex}(n, tF) + 2$. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a surjective edge-coloring $\chi: K_n^r \rightarrow [N]$ without any rainbow copy of $(t+1)F$. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset K_n^r$ be a rainbow (spanning) subgraph with the maximum number of edges. Then, by assumption, \mathcal{H} is $(t+1)F$ -free and

$$|\mathcal{H}| = N = \text{ex}(n, tF) + 2.$$

In particular, this implies that

- there exists a copy of tF , denoted by Γ , in \mathcal{H} , and
- for every $e \in \Gamma$, there exists a copy of tF , denoted by Γ_e , in $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{e\}$.

Let $B := V(\Gamma) \cup (\bigcup_{e \in \Gamma} V(\Gamma_e))$. Note that

$$|B| \leq (1 + t|F|) \cdot tm \leq 2t^2m|F|.$$

Let $U := V(\mathcal{H}) \setminus B$ and $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{H} - B$. The choice of t ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}| &\geq \mathcal{H} - |B| \binom{n-1}{r-1} \geq \text{ex}(n, tF) + 2 - 2t^2m|F| \binom{n-1}{r-1} \\ &\geq \text{ex}(n, tF) + 2 - (\text{ex}(n, F) - \text{ex}(n, \{F\} \cup F \oplus F)) \\ &> \text{ex}(n, \{F\} \cup F \oplus F). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that \mathcal{G} is F -free, since any copy of F in \mathcal{G} would form a copy of $(t+1)F$ with Γ . So it follows from the inequality above that \mathcal{G} contains a member in $F \oplus F$ as a subgraph. Let us assume that $F_{e_-}^1, F_{e'_-}^2 \subset \mathcal{G}$ are copies of two (not necessarily distinct) members in F_- such that $F_{e_-}^1 \cup F_{e'_-}^2$ is a copy of some member in $F \oplus F$. Note that e and e' represent the same r -set in U . Let us consider the color of e under χ . Since $F_{e_-}^1 \cup F_{e'_-}^2$ is rainbow, either $F^1 := F_{e_-}^1 \cup \{e\} \cong F$ or $F^2 := F_{e'_-}^2 \cup \{e'\} \cong F$ is rainbow. By symmetry, we may assume that F^1 is rainbow.

- If $\chi(e)$ is different from all the colors in Γ , then $\Gamma \sqcup F^1$ is a rainbow copy of $(t+1)F$.
- If $\chi(e) = \chi(f)$ for some edge $f \in \Gamma$, then $\Gamma_f \sqcup F^1$ is a rainbow copy of $(t+1)F$.

In both cases, we obtain a rainbow copy of $(t+1)F$, which is a contradiction. ■

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we use Theorem 3.2 to prove Theorem 1.3. The lower bound for Theorem 1.3 comes from the following fact, which holds for all r -graphs.

Fact 3.1. *For every r -graph and integers n, t with $1 \leq t \leq n/v(F)$,*

$$\text{ar}(n, (t+2)F) \geq \binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} + \text{ar}(n-t, 2F).$$

Proof of Fact 3.1. Let $N := \binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} + \text{ar}(n-t, 2F) - 1$. It suffices to show there exists a surjective edge-coloring $\chi: K_n^r \rightarrow [N]$ without any rainbow copy of $(t+2)F$.

Let $M := \text{ar}(n-t, 2F) - 1$ and fix a surjective edge-coloring $\chi': K_{n-t}^r \rightarrow [M]$ without any rainbow copy of $2F$. The existence of such a coloring is guaranteed by the definition of $\text{ar}(n-t, 2F)$. Let $L := [n-t+1, \dots, n]$ and note that $|L| = t$. Let $\mathcal{G} := K_n^r - L \cong K_{n-t}^r$. Note that $|K_n^r \setminus \mathcal{G}| = \binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} = N - M$. Let $\chi: K_n^r \rightarrow [N]$ be an edge-coloring such that

- the induced coloring of χ on \mathcal{G} is identical to χ' , and
- the induced coloring of χ on $K_n^r \setminus \mathcal{G}$ is rainbow and use colors from $[M+1, \dots, N]$.

Note that χ is an edge-coloring of K_n^r and uses exactly N colors. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a rainbow copy of $(t+2)F$ under the coloring χ . Then there are at most t copies of F use vertices from L , which means that there are at least two copies F that are contained in \mathcal{G} . However, this contradicts the fact that the coloring χ' does not contain any rainbow copy of $2F$. \blacksquare

Next, we consider the upper bound in Theorem 1.3. A key ingredient in its proof is the following stability theorem concerning near-extremal r -graphs without tF . The proof of this stability theorem is postponed to Section 5.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $m \geq r \geq 2$ be integers. Suppose that F is an m -vertex r -graph that is smooth and (c_1, c_2) -bounded for constants c_1, c_2 satisfying $0 < c_1 \leq \frac{1-\pi(F)}{12m}$ and $c_2 > 0$. Then there exists N_0 such that the following holds for all $n \geq N_0$ and $t < \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{2m \binom{n-1}{r-1}}$, where $\delta := \min \left\{ \frac{c_2}{4}, \frac{1-\pi(F)}{24m}, \frac{1}{100rm^2} \right\}$. Every n -vertex $(t+2)F$ -free r -graph \mathcal{H} with at least*

$$\binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} + \text{ex}(n-t, F) - \frac{1-\pi(F)}{30} \binom{n}{r-1}$$

edges contains at least t vertices with degree at least $d(n, F) + \frac{1-\pi(F)}{7m} \binom{n-1}{r-1}$.

The following result, which follows easily from the definition of boundedness (see e.g. [HHL⁺23, Lemma 3.2] and [HLL⁺23, Claim 3.7] for a proof), will be used in proofs of both Theorems 1.3 and 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \geq r \geq 2$ be integers, $c_1, c_2, \delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ be real numbers, and F be a (c_1, c_2) -bounded r -graph. Suppose that \mathcal{H} is an n -vertex r -graph and $v \in V(\mathcal{H})$ is a vertex satisfying*

$$|\mathcal{H}| \geq (1 - c_2 + \delta_1) \cdot \text{ex}(n, F) \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq d(n, F) + (c_1 + \delta_2) \binom{n-1}{r-1}.$$

Then for every set $R \subset V(\mathcal{H}) \setminus \{v\}$ of size at most $\min \left\{ \frac{\delta_1 \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}, \frac{\delta_2(n-1)}{r-1} \right\}$, there exists a copy of F in \mathcal{H} that avoids R (i.e. has empty intersection with R).

Now we present the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Fix integers $m \geq r \geq 2$ and an m -vertex r -graph F that is smooth and (c_1, c_2) -bounded for constants c_1, c_2 satisfying $0 < c_1 < \frac{1-\pi(F)}{12m}$ and $c_2 > 0$. Let $\delta := \min \left\{ \frac{c_2}{4}, \frac{1-\pi(F)}{24m}, \frac{1}{100rm^2} \right\}$ and n be a sufficiently large integer. Simple calculations show that $\min \left\{ \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}, \frac{\delta(n-1)}{r-1} \right\} = \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}$. Let

$$1 \leq t \leq \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{2m \binom{n-1}{r-1}} - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N := \binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} + \text{ar}(n-t, 2F).$$

Suppose to the contrary that there exists a surjective edge-coloring $\chi: K_n^r \rightarrow [N]$ without any rainbow copy of $(t+2)F$. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset K_n^r$ be a rainbow (spanning) subgraph with the maximum number of edges. By assumption and Fact 2.1, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{H}| \geq N \geq \binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} + \text{ex}(n-t, F) + 2. \quad (2)$$

Let $V := V(\mathcal{H}) = V(K_n^r)$, $\alpha := \frac{1-\pi(F)}{7m} \geq c_1 + \delta + \frac{1-\pi(F)}{56m}$, and

$$L' := \left\{ v \in V : d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq d(n, F) + \alpha \binom{n-1}{r-1} \right\}.$$

Since \mathcal{H} is $(t+2)F$ -free, it follows from (2) and Theorem 3.2 that $|L'| \geq t$. Fix a t -subset $L \subset L'$ and assume that $L = \{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$. Let $S := V \setminus L$. Since there are exactly $\binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r}$ edges in K_n^r that have nonempty intersection with L , the number of colors used by edges in $K_n^r[S] \cong K_{n-t}^r$ is at least $N - \left(\binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} \right) \geq \text{ar}(n-t, 2F)$. This implies that $K_n^r[S]$ contains a rainbow $2F$. Let $B_0 \subset S$ be a vertex set of size $2m$ such that $K_n^r[B_0]$ contains a rainbow $2F$. Let C be the collection of colors used by edges in this copy of $2F$ and note that $|C| = 2|F|$ is a constant. Let $\mathcal{H}' \subset \mathcal{H}$ denote the subgraph obtained from \mathcal{H} by removing (at most $2|F|$) edges whose colors are within C . To complete the proof, it suffices to find a copy of tF in $\mathcal{H}'[V \setminus B_0]$, as this, together with the $2F$ found above, forms a rainbow copy of $(t+2)F$.

Claim 3.4. *There exists a copy of tF in $\mathcal{H}'[V \setminus B_0]$.*

Proof of Claim 3.4. We will find t vertex-disjoint copies of F , denoted by F_1, \dots, F_t , from $\mathcal{H}'[V \setminus B_0]$ inductively. To start with, let $R_1 := B_0 \cup (L \setminus \{v_1\})$. Notice that

$$|R_1| \leq t + 2m \leq (2t+2)m \leq \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}.$$

Additionally, (2) implies that $|\mathcal{H}'| \geq \text{ex}(n, F) - 2|F| \geq (1 - c_2 + \delta) \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)$, and the definition of L' implies that $d_{\mathcal{H}'}(v_1) \geq d(n, F) + \alpha \binom{n-1}{r-1} - 2|F| \geq d(n, F) + (c_1 + \delta) \binom{n-1}{r-1}$. Thus, by Lemma 3.3, there exists a copy of F , denoted by F_1 , in \mathcal{H}' that avoids R_1 . Suppose that we have found i vertex-disjoint copies of F , namely F_1, \dots, F_i , from \mathcal{H}' for some $i \leq t-1$. Let $R_{i+1} := \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq i} V(F_j) \cup (L \setminus \{v_{i+1}\}) \cup B_0$ and note that

$$|R_{i+1}| \leq t + 2m + im \leq (2t+2)m \leq \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}},$$

Similar to the argument above, we have $|\mathcal{H}'| \geq (1 - c_2 + \delta) \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)$ and $d_{\mathcal{H}'}(v_{i+1}) \geq d(n, F) + (c_1 + \delta) \binom{n-1}{r-1}$. So by Lemma 3.3, there exists a copy of F , denoted by F_{i+1} , in \mathcal{H}' that avoids R_{i+1} . The choice of t ensures that we can repeat this process t times, hence obtaining $tF \subset \mathcal{H}'[V \setminus B_0]$. \blacksquare

Claim 3.4 completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \blacksquare

4 Proof of Proposition 1.6

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.6. We will need the following stability theorem for the proof of Proposition 1.6 (iii).

Theorem 4.1 (Füredi–Simonovits [FS05], Keevash–Sudakov [KS05b]). *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ and N_0 such that every \mathbb{F} -free 3-graph \mathcal{H} on $n \geq N_0$ vertices with at least $(3/4 - \delta) \binom{n}{3}$ edges has bipartition $V(\mathcal{H}) = V_1 \cup V_2$ such that $|\mathcal{H}[V_1]| + |\mathcal{H}[V_2]| < \varepsilon n^3$.*

Proof of Proposition 1.6. Let F be an r -graph. For every integer $k \geq 1$ the k -blow-up of F , denoted by $F[k]$, is the r -graph obtained from F by replacing each vertex with a set of size k and each edge with the corresponding complete r -partite r -graph. It is well-known that $\pi(F) = \pi(F[k])$ for every $k \geq 1$ (see e.g. [Kee11]). Observe that every $F \oplus F$ -free r -graph must be $F_-[2]$ -free (see Figure 1 for example). Thus, $\pi(F \oplus F) \leq \pi(F_-[2]) = \pi(F_-)$, which implies Proposition 1.6 (i).

Proposition 1.6 (ii) follows easily from the following two theorems by Lazebnik–Ustimenko–Woldar [LUW95] and Bondy–Simonovits [BS74], respectively.

- $\text{ex}(n, C_{2k}) = \Omega(n^{1+2/(3k-2)})$ for every $k \geq 2$, and
- $\text{ex}(n, C_{2k} \oplus C_{2k}) = \text{ex}(n, C_{4k-2}) = O(n^{1+1/(2k-1)})$ for every $k \geq 2$.

Next, we prove Proposition 1.6 (iii). It suffices to show that there exist $\delta > 0$ and N_0 such that the following holds for all $n \geq N_0$: Every n -vertex 3-graph with at least $(1/8 - \delta)n^3$ edges contains a copy of \mathbb{F}_- .

Suppose to the contrary that this is not true. Let $\delta > 0$ to be sufficiently small and n to be sufficiently large. Let \mathcal{H} be an n -vertex \mathbb{F}_- -free 3-graph with at least $(1/8 - \delta)n^3$ edges. By Theorem 4.1, there exists a bipartition $V(\mathcal{H}) = V_1 \cup V_2$ such that the bipartite subgraph $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{H} \setminus (\mathcal{H}[V_1] \cup \mathcal{H}[V_2])$ satisfies

$$|\mathcal{G}| = |\mathcal{H}| - |\mathcal{H}[V_1]| - |\mathcal{H}[V_2]| \geq \left(\frac{1}{8} - \delta\right) n^3 - \varepsilon n^3 \geq \left(\frac{1}{8} - 2\varepsilon\right) n^3, \quad (3)$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta) > 0$ is the constant guaranteed by Theorem 4.1 (and by enlarging ε if necessary, we may assume that $\varepsilon \geq \delta$).

Let $x_i := |V_i|/n$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Inequality (3) and simple calculations show that $|x_i - 1/2| \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Claim 4.2. *There exist three vertices $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in V_1$ such that*

$$\left| \binom{V_2}{2} \cap L_{\mathcal{G}}(u_i) \right| \geq (1 - 110\varepsilon) \binom{x_2 n}{2} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Proof of Claim 4.2. Let \mathcal{B} denote the collection of all triples that have nonempty intersection with both V_1 and V_2 . Simple calculations shows that $|\mathcal{B}| \leq n^3/8$. Since $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{B}$, it follows from (3) that

$$|\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{G}| \leq \frac{n^3}{8} - \left(\frac{1}{8} - 2\varepsilon\right) n^3 = 2\varepsilon n^3.$$

Suppose to the contrary that Claim 4.2 fails. Then we would have

$$|\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{G}| \geq (x_1 n - 2) \left(\binom{x_2 n}{2} - (1 - 110\varepsilon) \binom{x_2 n}{2} \right) \geq \frac{n}{3} \cdot 110\varepsilon \cdot \binom{n/3}{2} > 2\varepsilon n^3,$$

a contradiction. ■

Let $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in V_1$ be three vertices guaranteed by Claim 4.2, and let

$$G := \binom{V_2}{2} \cap L_{\mathcal{G}}(u_1) \cap L_{\mathcal{G}}(u_2) \cap L_{\mathcal{G}}(u_3).$$

By definition, $|G| \geq \binom{x_2 n}{2} - 3 \cdot 110\varepsilon \binom{x_2 n}{2} > \frac{(x_2 n)^2}{3}$. So it follows Turán's theorem that there exist four vertices $\{u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7\} \subset V_2$ that induce a copy of K_4 in G . However, this implies that the induced subgraph of \mathcal{H} on $\{u_1, \dots, u_7\}$ contains a copy of \mathbb{F}_- , a contradiction. ■

5 Proof of Theorem 3.2

In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 3.2. The following preliminary results will be useful.

Fact 5.1. *Suppose that integer $n, t, r \geq 1$ satisfy $t \leq \frac{n-r}{5r+1}$. Then*

$$\binom{n-t}{r} \geq \frac{1}{e^{1/5}} \binom{n}{r}.$$

Proof of Fact 5.1. For every $i \in [t]$ it follows from $t \leq \frac{n-r}{5r+1}$ that $\frac{n-i}{n-i-r} \leq \frac{n-t}{n-t-r} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{5t}$. Therefore,

$$\binom{n}{r} = \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} \frac{n-i}{n-i-r} \binom{n-t}{r} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{5t}\right)^t \binom{n-t}{r} \leq e^{1/5} \binom{n-t}{r},$$

proving Fact 5.1. ■

Fact 5.2 ([HLL⁺23, Lemma 3.5]). *Let F be an r -graph. For every n and $t \leq n/r - 1$ we have*

$$|d(n, F) - d(n-t, F)| \leq 4t \binom{n-t}{r-2}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that F is a smooth r -graph. Then for sufficiently large n and for every positive integer $t \leq n$,*

$$|\text{ex}(n, F) - \text{ex}(n-t, F) - t \cdot d(n, F)| \leq \left(\frac{1 - \pi(F)}{8m} t + \frac{4(r-1)t^2}{n} \right) \binom{n}{r-1}.$$

Proof of Lemma 5.3. We may assume that $t \leq n/2$ since otherwise the inequality is trivial. Let $\Delta := |\text{ex}(n, F) - \text{ex}(n-t, F) - t \cdot d(n, F)|$. It follows from Fact 5.2 and the smoothness of F that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &\leq \sum_{i=1}^t |\text{ex}(n-i+1, F) - \text{ex}(n-i, F) - d(n-i, F)| + \sum_{i=1}^t |d(n-i, F) - d(n, F)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^t |\delta(n-i+1, F) - d(n-i, F)| + \sum_{i=1}^t 4i \binom{n-1}{r-2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{1-\pi(F)}{8m} \binom{n}{r-1} + 4t^2 \binom{n-1}{r-2} = \left(\frac{1-\pi(F)}{8m} t + \frac{4t^2(r-1)}{n} \right) \binom{n}{r-1}, \end{aligned}$$

proving Lemma 5.3. ■

Next, we present the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Fix integers $m \geq r \geq 2$ and an m -vertex r -graph F that is smooth and (c_1, c_2) -bounded for constants c_1, c_2 satisfying $0 < c_1 \leq \frac{1-\pi(F)}{12m}$ and $c_2 > 0$. Let

$$\delta := \min \left\{ \frac{c_2}{4}, \frac{1-\pi(F)}{24m}, \frac{1}{100rm^2} \right\}.$$

Let n be a sufficiently large integer and t be a positive integer satisfying $t < \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{2m \binom{n-1}{r-1}}$.

Simple calculations show that

$$t \leq \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{2m \binom{n-1}{r-1}} - 1 = \min \left\{ \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{2m \binom{n-1}{r-1}} - 1, \frac{\delta(n-1)}{2m(r-1)} - 1, \frac{n}{100rm^2} \right\}.$$

Let \mathcal{H} be an n -vertex $(t+2)F$ -free r -graph with

$$|\mathcal{H}| \geq \binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} + \text{ex}(n-t, F) - \frac{1-\pi(F)}{30} \binom{n}{r-1}. \quad (4)$$

Let $V := V(\mathcal{H})$, $\alpha := \frac{1-\pi(F)}{7m} > \frac{1-\pi(F)}{12m} + \frac{1-\pi(F)}{24m} \geq c_1 + \delta$,

$$L := \left\{ v \in V : d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq d(n, F) + \alpha \binom{n-1}{r-1} \right\}, \quad \text{and} \quad S := V \setminus L.$$

Let $\ell := |L|$ and suppose to the contrary that $t - \ell \geq 1$.

Claim 5.4. *The r -graph $\mathcal{H}[S]$ is $(t+2-\ell)F$ -free.*

Proof of Claim 5.4. The proof is similar to that of Claim 3.4. Suppose to the contrary that $(t+2-\ell)F \subset \mathcal{H}[S]$. Let $R_0 \subset S$ be a set of size $(t+2-\ell)m$ such that $(t+2-\ell)F \subset \mathcal{H}[R_0]$. We will find ℓ vertex-disjoint copies of F from $\mathcal{H}[V \setminus R_0]$ inductively. This will contradict the assumption that \mathcal{H} is $(t+2)F$ -free. Assume that $L = \{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$. To start with, let $R_1 := R_0 \cup (L \setminus \{v_1\})$. Notice that

$$|R_1| \leq (t+2-\ell)m + t \leq (2t+2)m \leq \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}.$$

Additionally, (4) implies that $|\mathcal{H}| \geq \text{ex}(n, F) - \frac{1-\pi(F)}{30} \binom{n}{r-1} \geq (1-c_2+\delta) \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)$, and the definition of L implies that $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1) \geq d(n, F) + \alpha \binom{n-1}{r-1} \geq d(n, F) + (c_1+\delta) \binom{n-1}{r-1}$. Thus,

by Lemma 3.3, there exists a copy of F , denoted by F_1 , in \mathcal{H} that avoids R_1 . Suppose that we have found i vertex-disjoint copies of F , namely F_1, \dots, F_i , from \mathcal{H} for some $i \leq \ell - 1$. Let $R_{i+1} := \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq i} V(F_j) \cup (L \setminus \{v_{i+1}\}) \cup R_0$. Since

$$|R_{i+1}| \leq im + t + (t + 2 - \ell)m \leq (2t + 2)m \leq \frac{\delta \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}},$$

similar to the argument above, by Lemma 3.3, there exists a copy of F , denoted by F_{i+1} , in \mathcal{H} that avoids R_{i+1} . The choice of t ensures that we can repeat this process ℓ times, hence obtaining $\ell F \subset \mathcal{H}[V \setminus R_0]$. \blacksquare

Let $\{F_1, \dots, F_t\}$ be a maximum collection of vertex-disjoint copies of F in $\mathcal{H}[S]$ and let $B := \bigcup_{i \in [t]} V(F_i)$. Notice that $\mathcal{H}[S \setminus B]$ is F -free. By Claim 5.4, we know that $|B| = m\hat{t} \leq m(t + 1 - \ell)$. So it follows from the definition of L that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}[S]| &\leq \sum_{v \in B} d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) + |\mathcal{H}[S \setminus B]| \\ &\leq m(t + 1 - \ell) \left(d(n, F) + \alpha \binom{n-1}{r-1} \right) + \text{ex}(n - t - m(t + 1 - \ell), F). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since there are at most $\binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-\ell}{r}$ edges in \mathcal{H} that have nonempty intersection with L , it follows from (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}[S]| &\geq |\mathcal{H}| - \left(\binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-\ell}{r} \right) \\ &= \binom{n-\ell}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} + \text{ex}(n-t, F) - \frac{1 - \pi(F)}{30} \binom{n-1}{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\alpha = \frac{1 - \pi(F)}{7m}$. Therefore, to establish a contradiction, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &:= \left(\binom{n-\ell}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} + \text{ex}(n-t, F) - \frac{1 - \pi(F)}{30} \binom{n-1}{r-1} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(m(t + 1 - \ell) \left(d(n, F) + \frac{1 - \pi(F)}{7m} \binom{n-1}{r-1} \right) + \text{ex}(n-t - m(t + 1 - \ell), F) \right) \end{aligned}$$

is positive. Notice that $\Delta = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &:= \text{ex}(n-t, F) - \text{ex}(n-t - m(t + 1 - \ell), F) - m(t + 1 - \ell) \cdot d(n, F), \quad \text{and} \\ \Delta_2 &:= \binom{n-\ell}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} - \frac{(1 - \pi(F))(t + 1 - \ell)}{7} \binom{n-1}{r-1} - \frac{1 - \pi(F)}{30} \binom{n-1}{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

First, let us consider Δ_2 . Since $\binom{n-\ell}{r} - \binom{n-t}{r} \geq (r - \ell) \binom{n-t}{r-1}$ and $t \leq \frac{n}{100rm^2} \leq \frac{n-(r-1)}{5(r-1)+1}$, it follows from Fact 5.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 &\geq (t - \ell) \binom{n-t}{r-1} - \frac{t + 1 - \ell}{7} \binom{n}{r-1} - \frac{1}{30} \binom{n}{r-1} \\ &\geq \frac{t - \ell}{e^{1/5}} \binom{n}{r-1} - \frac{t + 1 - \ell}{7} \binom{n}{r-1} - \frac{1}{30} \binom{n}{r-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Next, we consider Δ_1 . If F is degenerate, then, by the theorem of Erdős [Erd64b] (see also [HHL⁺23, Theorem A.1] for a more precise form), $d(n, F) = r \cdot \text{ex}(n, F)/n \leq m \cdot$

n^{r-1-c_F} for some constant $c_F > 0$. Combining with the assumption that n is sufficiently large, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1 &= \text{ex}(n-t, F) - \text{ex}(n-t-m(t+1-\ell), F) - m(t+1-\ell) \cdot d(n, F) \\ &\geq -m(t+1-\ell) \cdot d(n, F) \geq -(t+1-\ell)m^2 \cdot n^{r-1-c_F} \geq -\frac{29(t+1-\ell)}{200} \binom{n}{r-1}.\end{aligned}$$

If F is nondegenerate, then we decompose Δ_1 as $\Delta_1 = \Delta_{1,1} + \Delta_{1,2}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{1,1} &:= \text{ex}(n-t, F) - \text{ex}(n-t-m(t+1-\ell), F) - m(t+1-\ell) \cdot d(n-t, F), \quad \text{and} \\ \Delta_{1,2} &:= m(t+1-\ell) \cdot (d(n-t, F) - d(n, F)).\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 5.3 to $\Delta_{1,1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{1,1} &\geq -\left(\frac{(1-\pi(F))m(t+1-\ell)}{8m} + \frac{4(r-1)m^2(t+1-\ell)^2}{n}\right) \binom{n}{r-1} \\ &\geq -\left(\frac{t+1-\ell}{8} + \frac{4(r-1)m^2(t+1-\ell)^2}{n}\right) \binom{n}{r-1} \\ &\geq -\left(\frac{t+1-\ell}{8} + \frac{t+1-\ell}{20}\right) \binom{n}{r-1},\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the assumption that $t \leq \frac{n}{100rm^2}$. Applying Fact 5.2 to $\Delta_{1,2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{1,2} &\geq -4m(t+1-\ell)t \binom{n-1}{r-2} \\ &= -(t+1-\ell) \frac{4mt(r-1)}{n} \binom{n}{r-1} \geq -\frac{t+1-\ell}{20} \binom{n}{r-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Summing up $\Delta_{1,1}$ and $\Delta_{1,2}$, we obtain

$$\Delta_1 \geq -\frac{9(t+1-\ell)}{40} \binom{n}{r-1}.$$

In both cases, we have $\Delta_1 \geq -\frac{9(t+1-\ell)}{40} \binom{n}{r-1}$. Combining with (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 \\ &\geq \frac{t-\ell}{e^{1/5}} \binom{n}{r-1} - \frac{t+1-\ell}{7} \binom{n}{r-1} - \frac{1}{30} \binom{n}{r-1} - \frac{9(t+1-\ell)}{40} \binom{n}{r-1} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{e^{1/5}} - \frac{2}{7} - \frac{1}{30} - \frac{9}{20}\right) \binom{n}{r-1} > \frac{1}{25} \binom{n}{r-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the assumption that $t-\ell \geq 1$. ■

6 Concluding remarks

Recall the definition of edge-sensitive from Section 1.2. Theorem 1.5 motivates the following two questions on Turán problems.

Problem 6.1. *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. Characterize the family of edge-sensitive r -partite r -graphs. In particular, is $K_{t,t}$ edge-sensitive for $t \geq 3$?*

Problem 6.2. *Let $r \geq 3$ be an integer. Characterize the family of r -graphs F such that $\pi(F) > \pi(F_-)$. In particular, is it true that $\pi(K_\ell^r) > \pi(K_\ell^{r-})$ for all $\ell > r \geq 3$?*

Acknowledgement

XL would like to thank Jie Ma and Tianchi Yang for discussions related to Definition 1.4.

References

- [AES74] B. Andrásfai, P. Erdős, and V. T. Sós. On the connection between chromatic number, maximal clique and minimal degree of a graph. *Discrete Math.*, 8:205–218, 1974. 6
- [BIJ17] A. Brandt, D. Irwin, and T. Jiang. Stability and Turán numbers of a class of hypergraphs via Lagrangians. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 26(3):367–405, 2017. 6
- [BL24] J. Balogh and H. Luo. Turán density of long tight cycle minus one hyperedge. *Combinatorica*, pages 1–28, 2024. 6
- [BNY19] A. Bene, W., S. Norin, and L. Yepremyan. A Turán theorem for extensions via an Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for Lagrangians. *Combinatorica*, 39(5):1149–1171, 2019. 6
- [Bol74] B. Bollobás. Three-graphs without two triples whose symmetric difference is contained in a third. *Discrete Math.*, 8:21–24, 1974. 6
- [BS74] J. A. Bondy and M. Simonovits. Cycles of even length in graphs. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, 16:97–105, 1974. 2, 6, 10
- [BT11] R. Baber and J. Talbot. Hypergraphs do jump. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 20(2):161–171, 2011. 6
- [CLT09] He Chen, Xueliang Li, and Jianhua Tu. Complete solution for the rainbow numbers of matchings. *Discrete Math.*, 309(10):3370–3380, 2009. 2
- [DCF00] D. De Caen and Z. Füredi. The maximum size of 3-uniform hypergraphs not containing a Fano plane. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 78(2):274–276, 2000. 6
- [Erd64a] P. Erdős. Extremal problems in graph theory. In *Theory of Graphs and its Applications (Proc. Sympos. Smolenice, 1963)*, pages 29–36. Publ. House Czech. Acad. Sci., Prague, 1964. 2
- [Erd64b] P. Erdős. On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs. *Israel J. Math.*, 2:183–190, 1964. 6, 13
- [ES66] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. A limit theorem in graph theory. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 1:51–57, 1966. 5
- [ES73] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. On a valence problem in extremal graph theory. *Discrete Math.*, 5:323–334, 1973. 6
- [ESS75] P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, and V. T. Sós. Anti-Ramsey theorems. In *Infinite and finite sets (Colloq., Keszthely, 1973; dedicated to P. Erdős on his 60th birthday)*, Vols. I, II, III, volume Vol. 10 of *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, pages 633–643. North-Holland, Amsterdam-London, 1975. 1, 2

- [FCK10] S. Fujita, Magnant C., and Ozeki K. Rainbow generalizations of ramsey theory: A survey. *Graphs Combin.*, 26:1–30, 2010. 2
- [FF83] P. Frankl and Z. Füredi. A new generalization of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. *Combinatorica*, 3(3-4):341–349, 1983. 6
- [FF84] P. Frankl and Z. Füredi. An exact result for 3-graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 50(2-3):323–328, 1984. 6
- [FF89] P. Frankl and Z. Füredi. Extremal problems whose solutions are the blowups of the small Witt-designs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 52(1):129–147, 1989. 6
- [FKSS09] Shinya Fujita, Atsushi Kaneko, Ingo Schiermeyer, and Kazuhiro Suzuki. A rainbow k -matching in the complete graph with r colors. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 16(1):Research Paper 51, 13, 2009. 2
- [FMP08] Z. Füredi, D. Mubayi, and O. Pikhurko. Quadruple systems with independent neighborhoods. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 115(8):1552–1560, 2008. 6
- [FPS05] Z. Füredi, O. Pikhurko, and M. Simonovits. On triple systems with independent neighbourhoods. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 14(5-6):795–813, 2005. 6
- [FPS06] Z. Füredi, O. Pikhurko, and M. Simonovits. 4-books of three pages. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 113(5):882–891, 2006. 6
- [Fra90] P. Frankl. Asymptotic solution of a Turán-type problem. *Graphs Combin.*, 6(3):223–227, 1990. 6
- [FRV12] V. Falgas-Ravry and E. R. Vaughan. Turán H -densities for 3-graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 19(3):Paper 40, 26, 2012. 6
- [FS05] Z. Füredi and M. Simonovits. Triple systems not containing a Fano configuration. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 14(4):467–484, 2005. 6, 10
- [FS13] Zoltán Füredi and Miklós Simonovits. The history of degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems. In *Erdős centennial*, volume 25 of *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, pages 169–264. János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2013. 2
- [Gir90] Guy R. Giraud. Remarques sur deux problèmes extrémaux. *Discrete Math.*, 84(3):319–321, 1990. 6
- [GLS20] R. Gu, J. Li, and Y. Shi. Anti-ramsey numbers of paths and cycles in hypergraphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 34(1):271–307, 2020. 2
- [HHL⁺] Jianfeng Hou, Caiyun Hu, Heng Li, Xizhi Liu, Caihong Yang, and Yixiao Zhang. On the boundedness of degenerate hypergraphs. In preparation. 4, 5
- [HHL⁺23] Jianfeng Hou, Caiyun Hu, Heng Li, Xizhi Liu, Caihong Yang, and Yixiao Zhang. Toward a density Corrádi–Hajnal theorem for degenerate hypergraphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15172*, 2023. 3, 8, 13
- [HK13] D. Hefetz and P. Keevash. A hypergraph Turán theorem via Lagrangians of intersecting families. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 120(8):2020–2038, 2013. 6
- [HLL⁺23] J. Hou, H. Li, X. Liu, L. T. Yuan, and Y. Zhang. A step towards a general density Corrádi–Hajnal theorem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09849*, 2023. 3, 4, 5, 8, 11

- [HY12] Ruth Haas and Michael Young. The anti-Ramsey number of perfect matching. *Discrete Math.*, 312(5):933–937, 2012. 2
- [HY13] L. Özkahya and M. Young. Anti-ramsey number of matchings in hypergraphs. *Discrete Math.*, 313(20):2359–2364, 2013. 1, 2
- [JP09] T. Jiang and O. Pikhurko. Anti-Ramsey numbers of doubly edge-critical graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 61(3):210–218, 2009. 2
- [JPW18] T. Jiang, Y. Peng, and B. Wu. Lagrangian densities of some sparse hypergraphs and Turán numbers of their extensions. *European J. Combin.*, 73:20–36, 2018. 6
- [Kee11] P. Keevash. Hypergraph Turán problems. In *Surveys in combinatorics 2011*, volume 392 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 83–139. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011. 2, 10
- [KLP24] N. Kamčev, S. Letzter, and A. Pokrovskiy. The Turán density of tight cycles in three-uniform hypergraphs. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (6):4804–4841, 2024. 6
- [KS05a] P. Keevash and B. Sudakov. On a hypergraph Turán problem of Frankl. *Combinatorica*, 25(6):673–706, 2005. 6
- [KS05b] P. Keevash and B. Sudakov. The Turán number of the Fano plane. *Combinatorica*, 25(5):561–574, 2005. 6, 10
- [KT90] I. Kříž and R. Thomas. Clique-sums, tree-decompositions and compactness. *Discrete Math.*, 81(2):177–185, 1990. 4
- [LS23a] X. Liu and J. Song. Exact results for some extremal problems on expansions I. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01736*, 2023. 2
- [LS23b] X. Liu and J. Song. Hypergraph anti-Ramsey theorems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01186*, 2023. 2
- [LTY24] T. Li, Y. Tang, and Guiying Yan. Anti-Ramsey numbers of expansions of doubly edge-critical graphs in uniform hypergraphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.11207*, 2024. 2
- [LUW95] F. Lazebnik, V. A. Ustimenko, and A. J. Woldar. A new series of dense graphs of high girth. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 32(1):73–79, 1995. 6, 10
- [Mar09] K. Markström. Extremal hypergraphs and bounds for the Turán density of the 4-uniform K_5 . *Discrete Math.*, 309(16):5231–5234, 2009. 6
- [MBNL02] J. J. Montellano-Ballesteros and V. Neumann-Lara. An anti-Ramsey theorem. *Combinatorica*, 22(3):445–449, 2002. 2
- [MPS11] D. Mubayi, O. Pikhurko, and B. Sudakov. Hypergraph Turán problem: some open questions. In *AIM workshop problem lists, manuscript*, page 166, 2011. 6
- [Mub06] D. Mubayi. A hypergraph extension of Turán’s theorem. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 96(1):122–134, 2006. 6
- [NY18] S. Norin and L. Yepremyan. Turán numbers of extensions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 155:476–492, 2018. 6

- [Pik08] O. Pikhurko. An exact Turán result for the generalized triangle. *Combinatorica*, 28(2):187–208, 2008. 6
- [Pik13] O. Pikhurko. Exact computation of the hypergraph Turán function for expanded complete 2-graphs. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, 103(2):220–225, 2013. 6
- [Raz10] A Razborov. On 3-hypergraphs with forbidden 4-vertex configurations. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 24(3):946–963, 2010. 6
- [Sch04] I. Schiermeyer. Rainbow numbers for matchings and complete graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 286(1-2):157–162, 2004. 1, 2
- [Sid87] A. F. Sidorenko. On the maximal number of edges in a homogeneous hypergraph that does not contain prohibited subgraphs. *Mat. Zametki*, 41(3):433–455, 459, 1987. 6
- [Sid89] A. F. Sidorenko. Asymptotic solution for a new class of forbidden r -graphs. *Combinatorica*, 9(2):207–215, 1989. 6
- [TLY22] Y. Tang, T. Li, and G. Yan. Anti-ramsey number of expansions of paths and cycles in uniform hypergraphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 101(4):668–685, 2022. 2
- [Tur41] P. Turán. On an external problem in graph theory. *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*, 48:436–452, 1941. 2, 6
- [Wag37] K. Wagner. Über eine Eigenschaft der ebenen Komplexe. *Math. Ann.*, 114(1):570–590, 1937. 4
- [WZLX23] Fangfang Wu, Shenggui Zhang, Binlong Li, and Jimeng Xiao. Anti-Ramsey numbers for vertex-disjoint triangles. *Discrete Math.*, 346(1):Paper No. 113123, 13, 2023. 2
- [YP22] Z. Yan and Y. Peng. An irrational Lagrangian density of a single hypergraph. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 36(1):786–822, 2022. 6
- [YP23] Z. Yan and Y. Peng. Lagrangian densities of 4-uniform matchings and degree stability of extremal hypergraphs. Manuscript submitted for publication, 2023. 6

Definitions for hypergraphs in Tables 1 and 2

- A graph F is **edge-critical** if there exists an edge $e \in F$ such that $\chi(F - e) < \chi(F)$.
- Fix a graph F , the **expansion** H_F^r of F is the r -graphs obtained from F by adding a set of $r - 2$ new vertices into each edge of F , and moreover, these new $(r - 2)$ -sets are pairwise disjoint.
- Given an r -graph F with $\ell + 1$ vertices, the **expansion** $H_{\ell+1}^F$ of F is the r -graph obtained from F by adding, for every pair $\{u, v\} \subset V(F)$ that is not contained in any edge of F , an $(r - 2)$ -set of new vertices, and moreover, these $(r - 2)$ -sets are pairwise disjoint.

- We say a tree T is an **Erdős–Sós tree** if it satisfies the famous Erdős–Sós conjecture on trees. The $(r - 2)$ -**extension** $\text{Ext}(T)$ of a tree T is

$$\text{Ext}(T) := \{e \cup A : e \in T\},$$

where A is a set of $r - 2$ new vertices that is disjoint from $V(T)$. An r -graph F is an **extended tree** if $F = \text{Ext}(T)$ for some tree.

- The $(r$ -uniform) **generalized triangle** \mathbb{T}_r is the r -graph with vertex set $[2r - 1]$ and edge set

$$\{\{1, \dots, r - 1, r\}, \{1, \dots, r - 1, r + 1\}, \{r, r + 1, \dots, 2r - 1\}\}.$$

- Let \mathcal{C}_3^{2r} (the expanded triangle) denote the $2r$ -graph with vertex set $[3r]$ and edge set

$$\{\{1, \dots, r, r + 1, \dots, 2r\}, \{r + 1, \dots, 2r, 2r + 1, \dots, 3r\}, \{1, \dots, r, 2r + 1, \dots, 3r\}\}.$$

- The **Fano plane** \mathbb{F} is the 3-graph with vertex set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and edge set

$$\{123, 345, 561, 174, 275, 376, 246\}.$$

- Let \mathbb{F}_7 (4-book with 3-pages) denote the 3-graph with vertex set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and edge set

$$\{1234, 1235, 1236, 4567\}.$$

- Let $\mathbb{F}_{4,3}$ denote the 4-graph with vertex set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and edge set

$$\{1234, 1235, 1236, 1237, 4567\}.$$

- Let $\mathbb{F}_{3,2}$ denote the 3-graph with vertex set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and edge set

$$\{123, 124, 125, 345\}.$$

- The 3-graph $K_4^3 \sqcup K_3^3$ has vertex set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and edge set

$$\{123, 124, 234, 567\}.$$

- The r -graph M_k^r (r -uniform k -matching) is the r -graph consisting of k pairwise disjoint edges.

- The r -graph L_k^r (r -uniform k -sunflower) is the r -graph consisting of k edges e_1, \dots, e_k such that for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, it holds that $e_i \cap e_j = \{v\}$ for some fixed vertex v .

- The r -graph K_ℓ^{r-} is the r -graph obtained from K_ℓ^r by removing one edge.

- The tight cycle C_k^3 is the 3-graph with vertex set $\{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$ and edge set

$$\{\{i, i + 1, i + 2\} \pmod{k} : 0 \leq i \leq k - 1\}.$$

- The r -graph C_k^{3-} is obtained from C_k^3 by removing one edge.