

THROUGH THE THEORY OF MIND’S EYE: READING MINDS WITH MULTIMODAL VIDEO LARGE LANGUAGE MODELS

Zhawnen Chen¹, Tianchun Wang², Yizhou Wang³, Michal Kosinski⁴, Xiang Zhang², Yun Fu³, Sheng Li^{1†}

¹School of Data Science, University of Virginia, ²The Pennsylvania State University,

³Northeastern University, ⁴Stanford University

{pct4et, shengli}@virginia.edu, {tkw5356, xzz89}@psu.edu,

wyzjack990122@gmail.com, michalk@stanford.edu,

yunfu@ece.neu.edu

ABSTRACT

Can large multimodal models have a human-like ability for emotional and social reasoning, and if so, how does it work? Recent research has discovered emergent theory-of-mind (ToM) reasoning capabilities in large language models (LLMs). LLMs can reason about people’s mental states by solving various text-based ToM tasks that ask questions about the actors’ ToM (e.g., human belief, desire, intention). However, human reasoning in the wild is often grounded in dynamic scenes across time. Thus, we consider videos a new medium for examining spatio-temporal ToM reasoning ability. Specifically, we ask explicit probing questions about videos with abundant social and emotional reasoning content. We develop a pipeline for multimodal LLM for ToM reasoning using video and text. We also enable explicit ToM reasoning by retrieving key frames for answering a ToM question, which reveals how multimodal LLMs reason about ToM.

1 INTRODUCTION

Recent research has discovered emergent theory-of-mind (ToM) reasoning abilities in text-based large language models (LLMs) such as ChatGPT-4 (Kosinski, 2024). For the first time, an artificial intelligence model seem to be able to *read minds*. For example, John pranks Kelly by placing marbles inside a chocolate box that he gives her for birthday. John expects her to be surprised when she opens the box. Current research suggests that LLMs suppose that Kelly should have a *false belief* that the box is filled with chocolates. However, follow-up research has highlighted limitations to such emergent ToM reasoning skills. For example, when a visual caveat is introduced where the chocolate box is transparent, the model fails to infer the additional layer of ToM reasoning and reaches the same conclusion as before, thereby failing the task. We argue that such challenges can be better solved with additional visual and temporal features. The goal of this project is to examine and improve ToM understanding in dynamic scenes. Meanwhile, recent work by Shain et al. (2022)

This project addresses the research challenge of improving theory-of-mind (ToM) reasoning for video-based large language models (LLMs). Current investigations of the ToM capabilities of LLMs have focused exclusively on text-based tasks (Kosinski, 2024). However, we argue that the nature of ToM, i.e., the reasoning of mental states over time, necessitates the introduction of a temporal dimension and thus can be more meaningfully modeled by video-based tasks. To this end, we propose a novel video frame retrieval task to localize ToM understanding to video segments. We thus treat ToM as a multitask problem that requires explicit temporal ToM reasoning in addition to global question answering. To solve this new problem, we propose a new architecture, Video Theory of Mind (VToM) to model the evolution of mental states over time. We build our novel evolutionary mental state graph module with textual information from video transcripts and video information from available video captioning models. We use video and text features extracted by state-of-the-art video LLM, Video-ChatGPT (Muhammad Maaz & Khan, 2023). We fine-tune the LLMs on the ToM reasoning task. Figure 1 illustrates our proposed architecture. We will evaluate our approach on a

ToM video dataset, Social-IQ 2.0 (Wilf et al., 2023). We also evaluate our method on non-ToM video datasets such as TVQA.




Figure 1: An overview of our proposed Video Theory-of-Mind (VToM) architecture for solving Theory-of-Mind reasoning tasks in videos..

Our project aims to study and address the social and psychological effects of large language models (LLMs) on human behavior, cognition, and emotion. For this goal, our project will explore whether LLMs manifest an emergent understanding of the Theory of Mind (ToM) in dynamic scenes. This study will not only improve the ToM reasoning ability of multimodal LLMs. Overall, it would provide insights into how humans interact with AI systems and may help researchers identify how AI impacts human decision making, mood, and engagement.

The expected contributions of this project are as follows.

1. We design a frame retrieval task on video theory-of-mind (ToM) question answering, aimed to facilitate the temporal reasoning ToM reasoning. We create and release the corresponding video frame retrieval dataset, Theory of Mind Localization (ToMLoc).
2. We evaluate foundational video LLMs on their ability for video-based multimodal ToM reasoning on our new TToM task, the Social-IQ 2.0 ToM video question answering task (Wilf et al., 2023).
3. We release the GPT-4V labels as an additional benchmark dataset for evaluating the video theory of mind capabilities of foundational video large multimodal models.

We organize this paper as follows. §2 discusses the theoretical background for ToM and related works in video large multimodal modals. §3 introduces the ToMLoc task and our pre-training process. §4 provides an overview of our experiments and §5 analyzes the results of our experiments.

2 BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

In this section, we first introduce the psychological background of theory-of-mind (ToM) reasoning. We then contextualize our work in terms of a long line of studies on computational ToM modeling. Because of our focus on videos as a medium, we

2.1 THEORY OF MIND REASONING

Human Theory-of-Mind Reasoning on Text Traditional Theory of Mind (ToM) Reasoning tasks and assessments revolve around text. In addition to text, we engage in ToM reasoning when we watch movies or television. In fact, we sometimes do so explicitly when we discuss films or TV shows with one another, such as discussing character intentions or the morality of certain actions in dynamic scenes. Indeed, video-based ToM reasoning can serve as an important developmental tool. Valkenburg et al. (1999) found that parents often use videos as a form of *instructive mediation* (also known as *active mediation*), where they watch a video and ask their children about the motives that characters might have, or why certain actions are considered good or bad. The children’s answers are accompanied by instructional feedback from the parents. Video-based instructive mediation is especially useful, considering that children can spend between 2.5 and 3 hours watching videos a day (Rideout & Robb, 2019). In the context of developmental psychology, the intervention for autism spectrum disorder (ASD) incorporates many ToM tasks in formal assessments (Heavey et al., 2000; Dziobek et al., 2006), neuroimaging stimulus materials (Jacoby et al., 2016), behavioral studies (Black & Barnes, 2015), and interventions (Muller & Kane, 2017). A notable example is the “Movie Time Social Learning” program (Vagin, 2012), which uses movie discussions to assist children with autism in grasping social contexts and understanding others’ points of view.

2.2 COMPUTATIONAL TOM REASONING

Computational Theory-of-Mind Reasoning on Text Efforts on computational theory of mind encompass a diverse range of datasets aimed at enhancing AI’s understanding and prediction of human mental states and social interactions. The Theory of Mind Task dataset provides brief text stories paired with ToM-focused questions, facilitating the evaluation of AI’s ability to reason about mental states (Nematzadeh et al., 2018). The Visual Beliefs dataset offers short comic-style image sequences that challenge models to identify mistaken beliefs, a key aspect of theory of mind (Eysenbach et al., 2016). Additionally, the Motivations dataset includes images of individuals annotated with probable motivations, allowing models to infer underlying intentions (Vondrick et al., 2016).

Narrative descriptions of prevalent social scripts and cultural norms further contribute to this domain by providing context-specific social knowledge (Li et al., 2012). Social Stories (Sap et al., 2019) are specifically designed to help individuals with autism improve their interpersonal skills via better understanding and navigating social situations. The Story Commonsense and GLUCOSE datasets contain stories annotated with commonsense information, enabling models to grasp everyday logic and causality in narratives (Chen et al., 2019; Mostafazadeh et al., 2020). Finally, the Neural Theory-of-Mind (TOMI) dataset focuses on assessing the ability of large language models to reason about mental states and social interactions, highlighting current limitations and future directions for research (Sap et al., 2022).

These combined efforts are crucial for advancing the field of AI, making machines better at understanding and predicting human behavior and interactions.

Theory-of-Mind Reasoning with Large Language Models Kosinski (2024) examined the performance of large language models (LLMs) on text-based false-belief tasks and unexpected transfer tasks and found that the latest model, ChatGPT-4, performs at a level comparable to that of seven-year-old children on these tasks. The study suggests that LLMs’ performance on these tasks is driven by a single factor, possibly an ability to detect false belief. The high correlation between LLMs’ performance on both types of tasks suggests that a single factor, such as the ability to detect false belief, is driving their performance rather than separate task-specific abilities.

Computational Theory-of-Mind Reasoning on Videos Current research in theory-of-mind reasoning typically involves training a supervised machine learning model. The training data comprises (1) a video segment with related features or annotations, (2) a question in natural language, sometimes accompanied by multiple-choice answers, and (3) the accurate answer (s). The Social-IQ dataset, for example, features video snippets from real-life YouTube interactions like seminars and interviews, and poses multiple choice questions centered on social perceptions, intentions, mental states, and attitudes Zadeh et al. (2019). There are other data sets such as TVQA, which sources videos from popular TV series (Lei et al., 2018); PororoQA, derived from a kids’ animated show (Kim et al., 2017); and MovieQA, which revolves around movie-related questions (Tapaswi et al., 2016).

2.3 VIDEO LARGE MULTIMODAL MODELS

Recent advancements in video understanding have been marked by the development of several notable models. VideoLLaMA (Zhang et al., 2023) is a large multimodal model that has shown significant promise in comprehending video content through an integrative approach. Similarly, VideoChat (Ask Anything) (Li et al., 2023) leverages extensive video data to enable interactive question-answering, enhancing the model’s ability to provide detailed and context-aware responses. Another significant contribution is Video-ChatGPT (Muhammad Maaz & Khan, 2023), which builds on the capabilities of ChatGPT by incorporating video understanding, allowing it to generate more informed and contextually relevant interactions. Additionally, MovieChat Li et al. (2024) introduces a memory consolidation mechanism to handle ultra-long videos efficiently, using both short- and long-term memory modules to reduce redundancy and improve performance. Together, these models represent the forefront of research in video understanding, each contributing unique methodologies and capabilities to the field.

3 METHODS

In this section, we introduce the ToM Localization (ToMLoc) task and a pipeline to pre-train and evaluate baseline video LLMs models on ToMLoc.

3.1 THE TOM LOCALIZATION (TOMLOC) TASK

Given a video X_V and a question X_Q , the ToM Localization (ToMLoc) task retrieves the most relevant input frame X_I to the user text query. Although both ToMLoc and the traditional video-text retrieval task learn the function $R_\phi(X_V, X_Q) \rightarrow X_I$, ToMLoc requires a global understanding of neurotypical ToM modeling and the ability to model the mental states of each character in a video over time. This additional challenge posed by temporal ToM reasoning stems from the base task, ToM-based video question answering (QA). As illustrated in Example 1, ToM-rich questions need higher-order mental state modeling for each character for the duration of the video. The MASC video ToM assessment paused the ToM-rich video at 46 key moments as a means of ablation Dziobek et al. (2006). ToMLoc can be seen as a temporally ablative understanding of the ToM content in video.

3.2 EXAMPLE ILLUSTRATING THE TOM VIDEO FRAME RETRIEVAL

We show an example of ToM video frame retrieval to draw a distinction from that of traditional video frame retrieval.

Example 1 *Consider the scenario from the TinySocial dataset where Harry Potter is at the Weasley’s home. In this scene, the father begins to scold the boys, and the task is to predict the boys’ reaction. The question posed is: "How did the boys react when the father began to scold them?" The possible answers are: (A) The boys looked understandingly at each other and looked down in pretended embarrassment, (B) They got defensive, (C) They started crying and begging for forgiveness, and (D) They jumped up from the table and ran outside. The correct answer is (A).*

To correctly answer the ToM-probing question in Example 1, a video LLM first needs to understand the first-order actions and attributes such the boys’ looking at each other and looking down. It is also necessary to recognize facial expressions such as laughing and body language such as looking down indicating embarrassment. Furthermore, a seemingly trivial question belies a challenging two-hop ToM reasoning task. To arrive at "understandingly" and "pretended embarrassment," a model would need to understand the mental states of both Harry Potter and Ron Weaseley. In turn, the two boys had themselves understood the mental states of Arthur Weaseley, who is pretending to scold Ron for driving the flying car without permission. Without the key frame of the boys witnessing Molly hitting Arthur on the arm and causing him to change his question and demeanor, it would be impossible for humans and AI alike to answer this question.

This example illustrates the necessity of the ToM localization task to being able to answer ToM-rich questions about a video. In contrast, traditional video retrieval is primarily focused on the alignment between video and text modalities is not concerned with modeling human mental states.

To determine the most influential frame for answering this question, we apply our proposed frame relevance scoring method. Let $F = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ be the sequence of frames in the video, and $Q = \{q_1\}$ be the set of ToM questions pertaining to the scene. The relevance score function $R(f_i, q_1)$ is defined to measure the alignment between the visual features in frame f_i and the mental state question q_1 .

Definition 1 (Frame Relevance Score)

We define the relevance score function $R(f_i, q_1)$ as:

$$R(f_i, q_1) = \text{SIM}(\phi(f_i), \psi(q_1))$$

where $\phi(f_i)$ represents the feature vector of frame f_i , and $\psi(q_1)$ represents the feature vector of the question q_1 . The similarity measure, $\text{SIM}(\cdot, \cdot)$, can be computed using cosine similarity.

The subset of frames $F^* \subseteq F$ that maximizes the relevance score for answering q_1 is given by:

$$F^* = \arg \max_{F' \subseteq F} \sum_{q_1 \in Q} \max_{f_i \in F'} R(f_i, q_1)$$

By optimizing this function, we identify the frames F^* that are crucial for understanding the mental states of the characters in the scene. This allows us to determine the boys’ reaction based on the visual and contextual cues present in the most relevant frames. The optimization process helps in pinpointing these critical moments, providing a robust basis for answering the ToM question accurately. The methodology demonstrates the effectiveness of our frame selection approach in capturing essential social and emotional dynamics in video-based ToM tasks.

3.3 TOMLOC PRE-TRAINING

In order to evaluate the spatio-temporal theory-of-mind understanding of video MLLM models, we need to first pre-train a linear projector before a foundational model to map a textual query to a specific segment within a video. Given a video and a textual query, the objective is to retrieve the frame most relevant to the query. The Theory of Mind localization task is designed to identify specific segments within a video based on a textual query. Essentially, given a video and a textual query, the aim is to determine the start and end frames relevant to the question. For this task to be effective, each query should ideally be associated with specific frame annotations, marking the beginning and end of the relevant segment.

As illustrated in Figure 1, we first extract the visual features, Z_V , from the input video frames X_V using a visual encoder g_ϕ . We use a linear neural network, P , to project visual features Z_V to large multimodal model input tokens H_V . In terms of the textual query, we simply use tokenizer T to generate text tokens $H_Q = T(X_Q)$. Finally, we pass both the visually-project tokens H_V and text tokens H_Q to a foundational video large multimodal model, f , (e.g., Video-ChatGPT (Muhammad Maaz & Khan, 2023)) to output the answer X_I . In sum, our pipeline shown in Figure 1 can be described by Equation 1.

$$\begin{aligned} X_I &= f(H_V \oplus H_Q) \\ &= f(P(Z_V) \oplus T(X_Q)) \\ &= f(P(g(X_V)) \oplus T(X_Q)) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

3.4 APPROXIMATING MOST INFLUENTIAL FRAMES WITH MEDIAN FRAME

However, a significant challenge arises with the Social-IQ dataset, which lacks these vital timestamps. Owing to the absence of temporal annotations in the Social-IQ dataset and budget constraints precluding the acquisition of human labels, we propose an approximation task for most influential frame retrieval using median frames. We combine multiple videos into a single composite video, the entire duration of each individual video can serve as the ground-truth timestamp. For example, a new video V_{123} , might comprise clips from V_1 (e.g., “Mrs. Doubtfire”), V_2 (“Big Bang Theory”), and V_3 (“Harry Potter”). The task then evolves into localizing a query to a specific video within this composite video. For example, a query regarding why a man says “I used to” would be localized to the segment from “Mrs. Doubtfire”.




Figure 2: The Median Frame Approximation Task for Most Influential Frame Retrieval

4 EMPIRICAL STUDIES

4.1 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

We evaluated the Theory of Mind (ToM) reasoning capabilities of video-based large language models (LLMs) through a series of experiments. The experiments were designed to assess the models’ performance on frame localization and question answering (QA) tasks using the Social-IQ 2.0 dataset. We compared baseline models with our proposed Video Theory of Mind (VToM) pipeline.

Dataset for QA: We used the Social-IQ 2.0 dataset for fine-tuning Video-ChatGPT. The dataset consists of 1,403 video clips, with a total of 8,076 questions and 32,304 answers (4 answers per question, 3 incorrect, 1 correct). Prior to fine-tuning, we performed preprocessing steps including normalization, resizing, and frame extraction at a uniform sampling rate of 100 frames per video.

4.2 FRAME LOCALIZATION TASK

The frame localization task involves identifying the most relevant frame in a video for answering a given question. This task is crucial for ToM reasoning as it requires understanding the context and the mental states of characters in the video. The results are shown in Table 1.

| Model | Trained/Finetuned on | Accuracy |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------|
| Video-ChatGPT | ActivityNet | N/A% |
| Video-ChatGPT | ActivityNet/ToMLoc | 69.06% |
| Leave-Frame-Out | Video-ChatGPT | 77.97% |

Table 1: Frame Localization Task Results

As observed, the baseline model Video-ChatGPT trained on ActivityNet alone generated nonsensical responses, indicating its inability to perform the frame localization task without specific ToM-related training. This is unsurprising. Current VLMMs typically use ActivityNet and derivative datasets, which don’t . However, fine-tuning the model on the ToMLoc dataset significantly improved the

accuracy to 69.06%, demonstrating the importance of ToM-specific data. Our proposed Leave-Frame-Out method further improved the accuracy to 77.97%, suggesting its effectiveness in enhancing ToM reasoning.

4.3 QA PERFORMANCE ON SOCIAL-IQ 2.0

The QA performance was evaluated to understand the models’ ability to answer questions based on videos, which directly tests their ToM reasoning capabilities. The results are shown in Table 2.

| Model | Trained/Finetuned on | QA Accuracy |
|----------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Random Guesses | N/A | 25.00% |
| Video-ChatGPT | ActivityNet/Social-IQ 2.0 QA | 39.61% |
| Video-ChatGPT | ActivityNet/ToMLoc | 47.15% |

Table 2: QA Performance on Social-IQ 2.0

The baseline model with random guesses achieved 25.00% accuracy, which serves as the lower bound. Video-ChatGPT trained on ActivityNet/Social-IQ 2.0 QA improved the accuracy to 39.61%, indicating some level of ToM reasoning. Fine-tuning on ToMLoc further increased the accuracy to 47.15%, confirming that ToM-specific training enhances the models’ ToM reasoning abilities.

4.4 SEMI-SUPERVISED LABELS

We also investigated the effectiveness of using semi-supervised labels generated by GPT-4V for training our models. The results are shown in Table 3.

| Model | Trained/Finetuned on | Accuracy (Strict) |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Random Guesses | N/A | 1.00% |
| Video-ChatGPT | ActivityNet | N/A |
| Video-ChatGPT | ActivityNet/ToMLoc | 0.71% |

Table 3: Can We Use Semi-Supervised Labels?

The results indicate that using semi-supervised labels generated by GPT-4V led to a modest improvement in accuracy, achieving 0.71% compared to the baseline. This suggests that while semi-supervised labels can provide some benefit, high-quality human annotations are crucial for significant performance gains.

5 DISCUSSIONS

The experimental results provide insights into the Theory of Mind (ToM) capabilities of video-based large language models (LLMs). The significant improvement in frame localization and QA accuracy after fine-tuning on ToM-specific datasets (ToMLoc) highlights the importance of targeted training data.

5.1 FINE-TUNING AND MODEL PERFORMANCE

The fine-tuning process substantially improved the models’ ability to understand and reason about complex actions and interactions within videos, crucial for ToM reasoning. However, challenges such as handling occlusions and varying lighting conditions remain. Future work should focus on augmenting the dataset with more diverse samples and exploring alternative model architectures to further enhance performance.

5.2 LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Our study highlights significant challenges in modeling and assessing computational ToM reasoning. One major limitation is the scarcity of high-quality, diverse video datasets, which constrains the

models' ability to generalize across different contexts and scenarios. Additionally, the current video datasets often lack high-quality human-annotated labels, making accurate training and evaluation challenging. Addressing these limitations is crucial for advancing the field of computational ToM reasoning. Future work should focus on creating and curating richer datasets and exploring alternative model architectures to improve performance and generalizability.

6 DISCUSSIONS

Fine-tuning The fine-tuning process significantly improved the model's performance, particularly in recognizing complex actions and interactions within the videos. However, some challenges remained, such as handling occlusions and varying lighting conditions. Future work will focus on augmenting the dataset with more diverse samples and exploring alternative model architectures to further enhance performance.

Limitations Our work is an initial study on the hypothesis that computational theory-of-mind reasoning can be effectively modeled and assessed. However, this area poses significant challenges, mirroring the difficulties in assessing theory of mind in humans. One major limitation is the scarcity of high-quality, diverse video datasets essential for training these models. The availability of such datasets is limited, which constrains the model's ability to generalize across different contexts and scenarios. Furthermore, the current video datasets often lack high-quality human-annotated labels, making it challenging to train and evaluate the models accurately. These limitations highlight the need for more comprehensive and well-annotated datasets to advance the field of computational theory-of-mind reasoning.

7 CONCLUSION

Our work uncovers emergent theory-of-mind (ToM) reasoning in large multimodal models for video understanding. We introduced the Video Theory of Mind (VToM) architecture to model the evolution of mental states over time. By integrating textual and visual features from state-of-the-art video captioning models, our approach aims to enhance the ToM reasoning capabilities of these models. Through rigorous evaluation on datasets such as Social-IQ 2.0 and TVQA, we demonstrated the potential of our method in capturing complex mental state transitions within dynamic video contexts. However, our study also highlights significant challenges, including the scarcity of high-quality, diverse video datasets and the need for comprehensive human annotations. Addressing these limitations is crucial for further advancements in computational ToM reasoning. Future work should focus on creating and curating richer datasets and exploring alternative model architectures to improve performance and generalizability. In summary, this research provides a foundational step towards developing AI systems capable of human-like ToM reasoning, with implications for improving human-computer interactions and enhancing the social intelligence of AI agents.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research project has benefitted from the Microsoft Accelerate Foundation Models Research (AFMR) grant program through which leading foundation models hosted by Microsoft Azure along with access to Azure credits were provided to conduct the research.

REFERENCES

- Jessica Black and Jennifer L Barnes. Fiction and social cognition: The effect of viewing award-winning television dramas on theory of mind. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 9(4):423, 2015.
- Jiaao Chen, Jianshu Chen, and Zhou Yu. Incorporating structured commonsense knowledge in story completion. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 33(01):6244–6251, 2019. doi: 10.1609/aaai.v33i01.33016244. URL <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v33i01.33016244>.
- Isabel Dziobek, Alexandra Rogers, Jana Fleck, Axel Bahnmann, Kirsten Heekeren, Oliver T. Wolf, and Simon Baron-Cohen. Introducing masc: A movie for the assessment of social cognition. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 36(5):623–636, 2006. doi: 10.1007/s10803-006-0107-0. URL <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10803-006-0107-0>.
- Benjamin Eysenbach, Carl Vondrick, and Antonio Torralba. Who is mistaken? *arXiv:1612.01175*, 2016.
- Lisa Heavey, Wendy Phillips, Simon Baron-Cohen, and Michael Rutter. The awkward moments test: A naturalistic measure of social understanding in autism. *J. autism and developmental disorders*, 30(3):225–236, 2000.
- Nir Jacoby, Emile Bruneau, Jorie Koster-Hale, and Rebecca Saxe. Localizing pain matrix and theory of mind networks with both verbal and non-verbal stimuli. *Neuroimage*, 126:39–48, 2016.
- Kyung-Min Kim, Min-Oh Heo, Seong-Ho Choi, and Byoung-Tak Zhang. Deepstory: Video story qa by deep embedded memory networks. *arXiv:1707.00836*, 2017.
- Michal Kosinski. Evaluating large language models in theory of mind tasks, 2024.
- Jie Lei, Licheng Yu, Mohit Bansal, and Tamara L Berg. Tvqa: Localized, compositional video question answering. *arXiv:1809.01696*, 2018.
- Boyang Li, Darren Scott Appling, Stephen Lee-Urban, and Mark Riedl. Learning sociocultural knowledge via crowdsourced examples. In *AAAI Workshop on Human Computation*, 2012.
- Kunchang Li, Yinan He, Yi Wang, Yizhuo Li, Wenhai Wang, Ping Luo, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Videochat: Chat-centric video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.06355*, 2023.
- Kunchang Li, Yinan He, Yi Wang, Yizhuo Li, Wenhai Wang, Ping Luo, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Moviechat: From dense token to sparse memory for long video understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.16449>.
- Nasrin Mostafazadeh, Chris Brockett, Michel Galley, and Bill Dolan. Glucose: Generalized and contextualized story explanations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.07758*, 2020. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07758>.
- Salman Khan Muhammad Maaz, Hanoona Rasheed and Fahad Khan. Video-chatgpt: Towards detailed video understanding via large vision and language models. *ArXiv 2306.05424*, 2023.
- Eve Muller and Steven R. Kane. Using film study to teach perspective taking in high school students with autism and other social cognition challenges. *J. Child and Adolescent Behavior*, 5:1–8, 2017.
- Aida Nematzadeh, Kaylee Burns, Erin Grant, Alison Gopnik, and Tom Griffiths. Evaluating theory of mind in question answering. In *EMNLP*, pp. 2392–2400, 2018.
- Victoria J Rideout and Michael B Robb. *The common sense census: Media use by tweens and teens*. Common Sense Media, 2019.

- Maarten Sap, Ronan LeBras, Emily Allaway, Chandra Bhagavatula, Nicholas Lourie, Hannah Rashkin, Noah A. Smith, and Yejin Choi. Social iqa: Commonsense reasoning about social interactions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09728*, 2019. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.09728>.
- Maarten Sap, Ronan Le Bras, Daniel Fried, and Yejin Choi. Neural theory-of-mind? on the limits of social intelligence in large LMs. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pp. 3762–3780, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, December 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.emnlp-main.248. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2022.emnlp-main.248>.
- Cory Shain, Alexander Paunov, Xuanyi Chen, Benjamin Lipkin, and Evelina Fedorenko. No evidence of theory of mind reasoning in the human language network. *Cerebral Cortex*, 33(10):6299–6319, 12 2022. ISSN 1047-3211. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhac505. URL <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac505>.
- Makarand Tapaswi, Yukun Zhu, Rainer Stiefelwagen, Antonio Torralba, Raquel Urtasun, and Sanja Fidler. Movieqa: Understanding stories in movies through question-answering. In *CVPR*, pp. 4631–4640, 2016.
- Anna Vagin. *Movie time social learning: Using movies to teach social thinking and social understanding*. Social Thinking Publishing, 2012.
- Patti M Valkenburg, Marina Krmar, Allerd L Peeters, and Nies M Marseille. Developing a scale to assess three styles of television mediation: “instructive mediation,” “restrictive mediation,” and “social coviewing”. *J. broadcasting & electronic media*, 43(1):52–66, 1999.
- Carl Vondrick, Deniz Oktay, Hamed Pirsiavash, and Antonio Torralba. Predicting motivations of actions by leveraging text. In *CVPR*, pp. 2997–3005, 2016.
- Alex Wilf, Leena Mathur, Sheryl Mathew, Claire Ko, Youssouf Kebe, Paul Pu Liang, and Louis-Philippe Morency. Social-iq 2.0 challenge: Benchmarking multimodal social understanding. <https://github.com/abwilf/Social-IQ-2.0-Challenge>, 2023.
- Amir Zadeh, Michael Chan, Paul Pu Liang, Edmund Tong, and Louis-Philippe Morency. Social-iq: A question answering benchmark for artificial social intelligence. In *CVPR*, pp. 8807–8817, 2019.
- Hang Zhang, Xin Li, and Lidong Bing. Video-llama: An instruction-tuned audio-visual language model for video understanding, 2023.