

A COMBINATORIAL PERSPECTIVE ON THE NONCOMMUTATIVE SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

ANGELA HICKS AND ROBERT MCCLOSKEY

ABSTRACT. The noncommutative symmetric functions \mathbf{NSym} were first defined abstractly by Gelfand et al. in 1995 as the free associative algebra generated by noncommuting indeterminates $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that were taken as a noncommutative analogue of the elementary symmetric functions Λ . Giving noncommutative analogues of generating function relations for other bases of Λ allowed Gelfand et al. to define additional bases of \mathbf{NSym} and then determine change-of-basis formulas using quasideterminants. In this paper, we aim for a self-contained exposition that expresses these bases concretely as functions in infinitely many noncommuting variables and avoids quasideterminants. Additionally, we look at the noncommutative analogues of two different interpretations of change-of-basis in Λ : both as a product of a minimal number of matrices, mimicking Macdonald’s exposition of Λ in *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, and as statistics on brick tabloids, as in work by Egecioglu and Remmel, 1990.

1. INTRODUCTION

We define three well-known vector spaces: the symmetric functions, Λ , the quasisymmetric functions, \mathbf{QSym} , and lastly, the noncommutative symmetric functions, \mathbf{NSym} , the focus of this work. The noncommutative symmetric functions were first defined in [7] by Gelfand et al., with a definition inspired by the well-known Fundamental Theorem of Symmetric Polynomials.

Theorem 1.1. Every symmetric function can be written uniquely as a polynomial in the elementary symmetric functions $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Inherent to the statement is the fact that the elementary symmetric functions commute with each other. The noncommutative symmetric functions were originally defined by Gelfand et al. in [7], and answer the following question.

“What happens if the elementary symmetric functions are replaced by a non-commutative multiplicative basis?”

Formally, Gelfand et al. define:

Definition 1.2. [[7], section 3] The ring of **noncommutative symmetric functions** is the free associative algebra

$$\mathbf{NSym} = \langle e_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}},$$

where $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a set of algebraically independent indeterminates which do not commute (with $e_0 = 1$). The symbol e_n is the n^{th} **noncommutative elementary symmetric function**.

Gelfand et al. proceed to define additional bases by generalizing the relations between generating series of various well-known bases of Λ . They then determine explicit change-of-basis

formulas between the resulting bases, using their previous work on quasideterminants as a key tool. Finally, they mention briefly a concrete realization of several of their newly defined ring in terms of a set of noncommuting variables, but do not give an explicit characterization of the resulting space. Thus their presentation, while inherently logical to its inspiration, is the reverse of the traditional presentation of the symmetric functions in well-known texts in this area (e.g., Stanley, Chapter 7 in [20], or Macdonald [16]). Such texts start with concrete realizations of these spaces as subspaces of the space of power series in infinitely many commuting variables, define explicit bases in those variables, and then derive relations from those definitions. This convention continues in texts which cover the noncommutative symmetric functions. For example, Luoto et al. in [15] gives a friendly, well-written introduction to Λ , \mathbf{QSym} , and \mathbf{NSym} . They define the first two as subspaces of the space of formal power series in infinitely many variables, but define \mathbf{NSym} using Definition 1.2 above.

Our goal in this work is an elementary (pun intended) exposition of the noncommutative symmetric functions, following traditional presentations of the symmetric functions by emphasizing their realization in terms of a noncommuting set of variables, then deriving the defining relations of Gelfand et al., emphasizing their similarity to the well-studied symmetric functions, while avoiding quasideterminants. Along the way, we will show that many of the change-of-basis matrices between well-known bases of \mathbf{NSym} that were derived in Gelfand et al. can also be interpreted to generalize well-studied change-of-basis results in Λ . Particularly, we will give a natural generalization of brick tabloids, introduced by Egecioglu and Remmel in [6] to unify combinatorial interpretations for a number of basis transitions in Λ , and consider a generalization of the commuting diagram Macdonald gives in [16] to express change of basis as a product of a few key transition matrices.

1.1. Notation. Before we begin, we offer a few brief remarks on notation. In order to distinguish between commuting and noncommuting variables, let $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ give an infinite sequence of commuting variables and $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots)$ give an infinite sequence of noncommuting variables. This paper follows the convention of [15], variable names for bases are deliberately reused across Λ , \mathbf{NSym} , and \mathbf{QSym} . Since this can occasionally cause confusion, we will use “standard” (lowercase) type for bases of Λ , bold type for bases of \mathbf{NSym} , and capitalization for bases of \mathbf{QSym} to make distinguishing them as easy as possible. Repeatedly, we use $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$, the indicator function that is 1 if statement \mathcal{A} is true and 0 if \mathcal{A} is false.

Finally, throughout we give citations to theorems, indicating where they are stated in [7]. With few noted exceptions, the proofs in [7] are distinct, and in many places definitions and theorems are reversed from the presentation below, due to the differences in what we take to be the definition of the space.

2. PARTITIONS AND COMPOSITIONS

The vector spaces discussed here have bases that are naturally indexed by either partitions or compositions, so we begin there.

Definition 2.1. An infinite sequence of nonnegative integers $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots)$ is a **weak integer composition**, or simply a **weak composition**, of n if $\sum \alpha_i = n$, denoted $\alpha \models_w n$. The α_i are the **parts** of α , and the **size** of α is n , written $|\alpha| = n$.

Definition 2.2. A **strong integer composition**, or often just simply a **composition**, is a finite sequence of positive integers $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ summing to n , denoted $\alpha \models n$. In this case, k is the **length** of α , written $\ell(\alpha)$, and α has **last part** $\alpha_{\ell(\alpha)}$.

We also write $\text{strong}(\alpha)$ to denote the composition attained by removing all zeros from the weak composition α . There is a classical bijection between strong compositions of n and subsets of $[n-1] = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. In particular, if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{\ell(\alpha)})$, we say that

$$\text{set}(\alpha) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{\ell(\alpha)-1}\}.$$

There are three well-known involutions on the set of strong compositions (and their associated sets). Consider $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{\ell(\alpha)}) \models n$ and its associated set, $\text{set}(\alpha) \subseteq [n-1]$.

Definition 2.3. The **reverse** of α is $\alpha^r = (\alpha_{\ell(\alpha)}, \alpha_{\ell(\alpha)-1}, \dots, \alpha_1)$.

Definition 2.4. If $A \subseteq [n-1]$, let $A^c = [n-1] \setminus A$, the complement of the set A in $[n-1]$. Then the **complement** of α is the composition $\alpha^c = \text{set}^{-1}(\text{set}(\alpha)^c)$.

Definition 2.5. The **transpose** of α is the composition of the other two maps, in either order, $\alpha^t = (\alpha^r)^c = (\alpha^c)^r$.

It is not hard to check that composing any two of these distinct maps yields the third. We will also repeatedly use the fact that for any composition α , it is true that $\ell(\alpha) + \ell(\alpha^c) - 1 = |\alpha|$.

Example 2.6. If $\alpha = (2, 3, 2, 1) \models 8$, then

- $\alpha^r = (1, 2, 3, 2)$;
- $\alpha^c = \text{set}^{-1}(\text{set}(\alpha)^c) = \text{set}^{-1}(\{2, 5, 7\}^c) = \text{set}^{-1}(\{1, 3, 4, 6\}) = (1, 2, 1, 2, 2)$;
- $\alpha^t = (\alpha^c)^r = (1, 2, 1, 2, 2)^r = (2, 2, 1, 2, 1)$.

Also see that $\ell(\alpha) + \ell(\alpha^c) - 1 = 4 + 5 - 1 = 8 = |\alpha|$.

Definition 2.7. If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell(\alpha)})$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\ell(\beta)})$ are strong compositions of n , then β is a **refinement** of α , or β **refines** α , denoted $\beta \preceq \alpha$, if there exists an integer sequence $0 = j_0 < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{\ell(\alpha)} = \ell(\beta)$ such that for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell(\alpha)\}$,

$$\alpha_i = \beta_{j_{i-1}+1} + \beta_{j_{i-1}+2} + \dots + \beta_{j_i}.$$

That is, each part of α can be obtained by summing consecutive parts of β . Equivalently, $\beta \preceq \alpha$ iff $\text{set}(\beta) \supseteq \text{set}(\alpha)$. In this context, let $\beta^{(i)}$ denote the subcomposition of β which sums to α_i for $i = 1, \dots, \ell(\alpha)$ so that $|\beta^{(i)}| = \alpha_i$.

We note that the direction of refinement (\preceq) is not consistent across the literature in this area, and in particular, this work uses the opposite convention of [7] and follows that of [15].

Example 2.8. Both $\alpha = (1, 6, 3, 4) \models 13$ and $\beta = (1, 3, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 3) \models 13$. See that $\beta \preceq \alpha$ with $\beta^{(1)} = (1)$, $\beta^{(2)} = (3, 1, 2)$, $\beta^{(3)} = (2, 1)$, and $\beta^{(4)} = (1, 3)$.

Since strong compositions of n are in bijection with subsets of $[n-1]$, compositions of n inherit the same Möbius function, μ . We will repeatedly use the following basic facts:

- For $S, T \subseteq [n-1]$, $\mu(S, T) = (-1)^{|S|-|T|}$.
- For $S, T \subseteq [n-1]$, $\sum_{S \subseteq U \subseteq T} \mu(U, T) = \mathbb{1}_{S=T}$.
- (Möbius Inversion.) If K is a commutative ring and $f, g : [n-1] \rightarrow K$, then

$$g(T) = \sum_{S \subseteq T} f(S) \quad \text{for all } T \subseteq [n-1]$$

if and only if

$$f(T) = \sum_{S \subseteq T} g(S) \mu(S, T) \quad \text{for all } T \subseteq [n-1].$$

Definition 2.9. The **sort** of α , $\text{sort}(\alpha)$, is the composition obtained by rewriting α in weakly decreasing order.

Definition 2.10. An **integer partition** of n , or simply a **partition** of n , is a composition λ of n for which $\text{sort}(\lambda) = \lambda$, denoted $\lambda \vdash n$.

Where the order of the parts of a composition α is immaterial, such as when α is a partition, we may write $\alpha = (1^{m_1(\alpha)} 2^{m_2(\alpha)} \dots n^{m_n(\alpha)})$, where $m_i(\alpha)$ gives the number of parts of size i occurring in α .

3. THREE RINGS

We consider three (graded) rings in this paper: the ring of symmetric functions, Λ , the ring of quasisymmetric functions, QSym , and the ring of noncommutative symmetric functions, NSym . Our goal in this section is to briefly introduce all three spaces, with an emphasis on NSym . The unfamiliar reader may wish to consult [16] or Chapter 7 of [20] to learn more about the fundamentals of symmetric function theory, and [15] for an introduction to quasisymmetric functions. There is not, to our knowledge, a well-known text covering noncommutative symmetric functions in detail. A series of papers exploring noncommutative symmetric functions and their significance include [7], [11], [5], [12], and [13]. These comprise perhaps the best known introduction to this area.

Notation. Let X denote an infinite sequence of commuting variables (x_1, x_2, \dots) . Given a composition $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$, we write x^α to mean the monomial $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots$. If $f \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$ is a power series of bounded total degree, we write $f|_{x^\alpha}$ to denote the coefficient of x^α in the expansion of f into monomials. Any such f is **homogeneous of degree n** if each monomial x^α appearing in f is such that $|\alpha| = n$.

Definition 3.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$ be a power series of bounded total degree. Then f is **symmetric** if for all integers $k > 0$, all compositions $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$, and all lists (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) of distinct positive integers,

$$f|_{x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_k^{\alpha_k}} = f|_{x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} x_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}}.$$

Let Λ^n be the set of all symmetric functions homogeneous of degree n . Then the ring of symmetric functions is

$$\Lambda = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Lambda^n.$$

Example 3.2. The power series

$$x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + x_1^2 x_4 + \dots + x_1 x_2^2 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_4 + \dots \in \Lambda^3.$$

Definition 3.3. Similarly, f is **quasisymmetric** if for all integers $k > 0$, all compositions $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$, and all increasing lists $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ of distinct positive integers,

$$f|_{x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_k^{\alpha_k}} = f|_{x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} x_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}}.$$

Let QSym^n to be the set of all quasisymmetric functions homogeneous of degree n . Then the ring of quasisymmetric functions is

$$\text{QSym} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{QSym}^n.$$

Example 3.4. Both of the following power series are quasisymmetric functions in QSym^3 .

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + \cdots + x_2^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_4 + \cdots \\ &x_1 x_2^2 + x_1 x_3^2 + \cdots + x_2 x_3^2 + x_2 x_4^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Bases for Λ^n are generally indexed by partitions of n , while bases for QSym^n are indexed by strong compositions of n (or subsets of $[n - 1]$).

Definition 3.5. Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers. Then the **descent set** of I is

$$\text{des}(I) = \{j \mid i_j > i_{j+1}\}.$$

Definition 3.6. Let \mathbf{NSym} be the subset of $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots]]$ of all power series f of bounded total degree with the following property: for all integers $k > 0$ and all sequences of positive integers $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ such that $\text{des}(I) = \text{des}(J)$,

$$f|_{\mathbf{x}_{i_1} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{i_k}} = f|_{\mathbf{x}_{j_1} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{j_k}}.$$

Example 3.7. We give the all the terms below which involve only the variables $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ for a particular element of \mathbf{NSym}^3 :

$$\mathbf{x}_1^3 + \mathbf{x}_1^2 \mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2^2 + \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{x}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_2^2 \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2^3 + \cdots$$

Below, in Corollary 5.12, we will show that a particular basis, the set of noncommutative elementary symmetric functions, freely generates this space, giving a concrete realization of the space originally defined more abstractly by Gelfand et al. in [7]. Although this characterization is immediate from work in [7], as we will see below, the literature does not appear to include an explicit characterization of the overall space as above to our knowledge.

Remark. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the elements of \mathbf{NSym} are constant under the standard paired parenthesis action of \mathfrak{S}_n , studied for example by Lascoux and Leclerc in [14], and thus satisfy a reasonable analogue of being “symmetric.” See [7], Proposition 7.17 for the proof, although it is immediate from the fact that one of the bases of \mathbf{NSym} is a noncommutative version of a subset of the skew Schur functions sometimes referred to as the ribbon Schur functions. (See just after Example 5.6.) Not all power series f of bounded total degree in $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots]]$ which are closed under this action are in \mathbf{NSym} , however, so one should be careful not to take this as a definition. (See Example below.)

It is also worth noting that the noncommutative symmetric functions defined here are completely distinct from “Symmetric Functions in Noncommuting Variables,” developed more recently, which are defined by being fixed under the more standard \mathfrak{S}_n action which simply permutes the indices of the noncommutative variables. (See Rosas and Sagan [19].)

Example 3.8. For example, $\sum_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{x}_i^2$ is fixed under the standard action of the symmetric group, and thus is a symmetric function in noncommuting variables. It is also closed under the paired parentheses action, and yet, it is not in \mathbf{NSym} .

4. BASES OF Λ^n AND QSym^n

There are a number of well known bases for each of the three spaces defined above. In this section, we will briefly cover some of the relevant ones for Λ^n and QSym^n , before moving on to a more careful study of the bases of NSym^n and their relations.

4.1. Well-Known Bases of Λ^n .

Definition 4.1. For $\lambda \vdash n$, the **monomial symmetric function** (associated to $\lambda \vdash n$) is

$$m_\lambda = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \models_w |\lambda| \\ \text{sort}(\alpha) = \lambda}} x^\alpha.$$

The monomial symmetric function associated to $\lambda \vdash n$ is minimal in the following sense: if $f \in \Lambda$ such that $f|_{x^\lambda} = 1$, then the support of f contains the support of m_λ , i.e.,

$$\{\alpha \models_w n : f|_{x^\alpha} \neq 0\} \supseteq \{\alpha \models_w n : m_\lambda|_{x^\alpha} \neq 0\}.$$

It is easy to see $\{m_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$ is a basis of Λ^n .

Definition 4.2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the n^{th} **elementary symmetric function** is

$$e_n = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n},$$

with $e_0 = 1$. Then, the elementary symmetric function associated to partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)})$ is defined multiplicatively: $e_\lambda = e_{\lambda_1} e_{\lambda_2} \cdots e_{\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}$. The Fundamental Theorem of Symmetric Polynomials gives that $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$ is a basis for Λ^n .

Definition 4.3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the (n^{th}) **complete homogeneous symmetric function** is

$$h_n = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n},$$

with $h_0 = 1$. The complete homogeneous symmetric functions are also defined multiplicatively: $h_\lambda = h_{\lambda_1} h_{\lambda_2} \cdots h_{\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}$. The set $\{h_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$ is also a basis for Λ^n .

Definition 4.4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the n^{th} **power sum symmetric function** is

$$p_n = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} x_i^n,$$

with $p_0 = 1$. Once more, $p_\lambda = p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} \cdots p_{\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}$, and $\{p_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$ is a basis for Λ^n .

One additional important basis of Λ , the Schur functions, can be defined using semistandard Young tableaux, which we describe next.

Definition 4.5. The **Young diagram of shape** $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}) \vdash n$ is the left-justified array of n boxes with λ_i boxes in its i^{th} row from the bottom (adopting French notation), $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda)$. In particular, we assume the box in the i^{th} row and j^{th} column has its upper-right hand corner at the integer lattice point (i, j) .

Definition 4.6. A **filling** of a Young diagram of shape $\lambda \vdash n$ is one where each of its boxes is filled with a positive integer. The resulting filled Young diagram is called a **Young tableau**.

If in Young tableau T the integers are both weakly increasing in the rows from left-to-right and strictly increasing in the columns from bottom-to-top, we call T a **semi-standard**

Young tableau. The set of all semi-standard Young tableaux of shape λ is denoted $\text{SSYT}(\lambda)$. A **standard Young tableau** is a semistandard Young tableau in which each of the integers $\{1, 2, \dots, |\lambda|\}$ occurs exactly once.

Definition 4.7. The **type** or **content** of a Young tableau T , $\text{type}(T)$, is the composition $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \models_w |\lambda|$ where m_i is the number of i 's appearing in T . So in Example 4.10 below, $\text{type}(T) = (2, 2, 3)$.

We can now define the final well-known basis of Λ , which interpolates between the elementary and complete homogeneous symmetric functions.

Definition 4.8. The **Schur function** associated to partition $\lambda \vdash n$ is

$$s_\lambda = \sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda)} x^{\text{type}(T)}.$$

Definition 4.9. Let λ be a partition of n . If the Young diagram of shape λ is flipped about the southwest-northeast diagonal, the resulting Young diagram is of shape λ^t , the **transpose** or **conjugate** partition of λ . Note that $(\lambda^t)^t = \lambda$.

Example 4.10. The following Young tableau is semistandard, but not standard.

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & & & \\ \hline 2 & 3 & & \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \in \text{SSYT}(4, 2, 1).$$

The conjugate partition of $\lambda = (4, 2, 1)$ is $\lambda^t = (3, 2, 1, 1)$.

4.1.1. *Involutions and the Hall inner product on Λ .* Since $\langle e_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates all of Λ , the following defines a homomorphism on Λ :

Definition 4.11. Define the endomorphism $\omega : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ by setting $\omega(e_n) = h_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then we have the following well-known theorem:

Theorem 4.12. For any partition λ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(e_\lambda) &= h_\lambda; \\ \omega(h_\lambda) &= e_\lambda; \\ \omega(p_\lambda) &= (-1)^{|\lambda| - \ell(\lambda)} p_\lambda; \\ \omega(s_\lambda) &= s_{\lambda^t}. \end{aligned}$$


By the first two lines, ω is an involution, and since $\{m_\lambda\}$ is a basis of Λ , the set $\{\omega(m_\lambda)\}$ must form a basis of Λ as well.

Definition 4.13. The **forgotten symmetric function** associated to λ is defined to be $f_\lambda = \omega(m_\lambda)$.¹ Generally, the forgotten symmetric functions are defined indirectly via the map ω or the Hall inner product and duality.

Definition 4.14. The **Hall inner product**, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is determined by

$$(1) \quad \langle m_\lambda, h_\mu \rangle = \mathbb{1}_{\lambda=\mu}.$$

¹The forgotten symmetric functions are sometimes defined by $f_\lambda = (-1)^{|\lambda|} \omega(m_\lambda)$. (See Doubilet [4]).

FIGURE 1. Images of ω and Self-Duality of Λ

Theorem 4.15. The forgotten symmetric functions are dual to the elementary symmetric functions, and the Schur functions form an orthonormal basis of Λ under the Hall inner product. That is,

$$\langle f_\lambda, e_\mu \rangle = \langle s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \mathbb{1}_{\lambda=\mu}.$$

Furthermore, it is also true that

$$\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = z_\lambda \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\lambda=\mu},$$

where

$$z_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} i^{m_i(\lambda)} m_i(\lambda)!$$

is the well-known combinatorial coefficient that measures the size of the centralizer of any symmetric group element having cycle type λ .

It can be also be shown that ω is an isometry with respect to the Hall inner product, i.e., for any $g, g' \in \Lambda$,

$$\langle \omega(g), \omega(g') \rangle = \langle g, g' \rangle.$$

Figure 1 summarizes the results we have provided pertaining to the relationships between the various bases of Λ under ω and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

4.2. Well-Known Bases of QSym. As mentioned above, bases for QSym are indexed by strong compositions of n or subsets of $[n-1]$. A first basis for QSym is the following:

Definition 4.16. For any strong composition α , the **monomial quasisymmetric function** (associated to $\alpha \models n$) is

$$M_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{\beta \models_w |\alpha| \\ \text{strong}(\beta) = \alpha}} x^\beta.$$

The monomial quasisymmetric function associated to $\alpha \models n$ is minimal in the following sense: if $f \in \text{QSym}$ such that $f|_{x^\alpha} = 1$, then the support of f contains the support of M_α , i.e.,

$$\{\beta \models_w n : f|_{x^\beta} \neq 0\} \supseteq \{\beta \models_w n : M_\alpha|_{x^\beta} \neq 0\}.$$

For any $\lambda \vdash n$,

$$m_\lambda = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \models n \\ \text{sort}(\alpha) = \lambda}} M_\alpha.$$

Another well-known basis of QSym^n is **Gessel's fundamental basis**, so called since it was defined by Gessel in [8]. It is one of several bases of quasisymmetric functions considered generalizations of the Schur symmetric function basis.

Definition 4.17. For $\alpha \models n$, the **Gessel Fundamental quasisymmetric function** associated to α is

$$F_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n \\ k \in \text{set}(\alpha) \Rightarrow i_k < i_{k+1}}} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n},$$

There are two other commonly studied quasisymmetric analogues of the Schur functions: the quasisymmetric Schur functions, first defined by Haglund et al. in [9] and the dual immaculate functions, defined by duality to the immaculate noncommutative symmetric functions by Berg et al. in [3]. Images of these bases under the automorphisms below are also sometimes studied. We also mention for completeness that there are two quasisymmetric analogues of the power sums, which were first defined indirectly as dual bases, as described in the next section.

There are not one, but three natural analogues of ω defined on QSym . As in [15], define $\rho, \psi, \omega : \text{QSym} \rightarrow \text{QSym}$, all automorphisms, by

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & \rho(F_\alpha) = F_{\alpha^r}; \\ (3) \quad & \psi(F_\alpha) = F_{\alpha^c}; \\ (4) \quad & \omega(F_\alpha) = F_{\alpha^t}. \end{aligned}$$

The names for these maps are not at all uniform in the literature, so here, as elsewhere, we follow the convention of [15].

5. BASES FOR \mathbf{NSym}

We begin with the basis for the space with the minimal number of terms, the noncommutative ribbon Schur functions.

Definition 5.1. The **noncommutative ribbon Schur function** associated to $\alpha \models n$ is

$$\mathbf{r}_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n \text{ s.t.} \\ \text{des}(I) = \text{set}(\alpha)}} \mathbf{x}_{i_1} \mathbf{x}_{i_2} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{i_n}.$$

Theorem 5.2 ([7], section 4.4). $\{\mathbf{r}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$ is a basis for \mathbf{NSym}^n .

Proof. It is clear from the definition of the space that \mathbf{NSym} is the span of the noncommutative ribbon Schur functions. To see they are independent, note that for every sequence of positive integers $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$, the monomial $\mathbf{x}_{i_1} \mathbf{x}_{i_2} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{i_n}$ occurs uniquely with positive coefficient in exactly one \mathbf{r}_α ; in particular, $\alpha = \text{set}^{-1}(\text{des}(I))$. \square


The expansion of the noncommutative ribbon in noncommuting variables \mathbf{X} can also be found in Huang [10], on page 16. The ribbon symmetric function associated to $\alpha \models n$ is a minimal noncommutative symmetric function in the following sense: if $f \in \mathbf{NSym}$ such that $f|_{(\mathbf{x}_{\ell(\alpha)})^{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell(\alpha)-1})^{\alpha_2}\dots(\mathbf{x}_1)^{\alpha_{\ell(\alpha)}}} = 1$, then the support of f contains the support of r_α . That is, for all n ,

$$\{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) : f|_{\mathbf{x}_{i_1}\mathbf{x}_{i_2}\dots\mathbf{x}_{i_n}} \neq 0\} \supseteq \{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) : r_\alpha|_{\mathbf{x}_{i_1}\mathbf{x}_{i_2}\dots\mathbf{x}_{i_n}} \neq 0\}.$$

While the noncommutative ribbon Schur functions share a minimality condition with the monomial symmetric functions and the monomial quasisymmetric functions, they, like the fundamental quasisymmetric functions, are usually considered an analogue of the Schur functions for a number of reasons.

Definition 5.3. A **skew Young diagram** associated to λ/μ is realized by taking a Young diagram, say of shape λ , and removing μ , a Young diagram sitting inside it. If the resulting skew Young diagram is such that it is connected and it contains no 2×2 boxes, it is called a **ribbon Young diagram**.

Example 5.4. The skew Young diagram $(3, 2, 1)/(1)$ is a ribbon Young diagram since it has no 2×2 boxes, as seen below.




To stay consistent with [7], say that this is the ribbon of **shape** $(1, 2, 2)$, the lengths of the resulting rows when read from *top-to-bottom*.

It is from these combinatorial objects that the basis above gets its name. If the noncommutative ribbon Schur function r_α is expanded in the noncommuting variables \mathbf{x} , the indices appearing on the monomials appearing (left-to-right) in r_α fill in the ribbon of shape α to yield **ribbon tableaux** of shape α .

Definition 5.5. A **ribbon tableau of shape** α is a filling of the ribbon of shape α with positive integers that weakly increase across rows, left-to-right, and increase along columns, bottom-to-top. That is, ribbon tableaux are just semistandard Young tableaux of ribbon shape.

Example 5.6. Let $\alpha = (1, 2, 2)$. Then one of infinitely many ribbon tableaux of shape α is the one corresponding to the monomial $\mathbf{x}_3\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_1^2$, depicted below.



Definition 5.7. Let $\chi : \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$ be the “forgetful” function that sends the noncommutative variables to their commutative analogues: i.e. $\chi(\mathbf{x}_i) = x_i$.

Then $\chi(r_\alpha) = r_\alpha$, the commutative ribbon function α , this is the skew Schur function of ribbon shape α . Thus $\chi(\mathbf{NSym}) = \Lambda$, since the r_α span the symmetric functions.

With a generalization of the Hall inner product defined in [7], \mathbf{QSym} and \mathbf{NSym} are dual spaces. Define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbf{QSym} \times \mathbf{NSym} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where

$$(5) \quad \langle F_\alpha, \mathbf{r}_\beta \rangle = \mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\beta}.$$

The combined results of Gessel in [8] and Malvenuto and Reutenauer in [17] imply that \mathbf{QSym} and \mathbf{NSym} are dual with respect to this inner product, as first observed in [7].

There are three natural involutions f on \mathbf{NSym} that when composed with the forgetful map χ give $\chi \circ f = \omega \circ \chi$ that correspond to the three involutions on \mathbf{QSym} mentioned above.

Definition 5.8. Let $\rho, \psi, \omega : \mathbf{NSym} \rightarrow \mathbf{NSym}$ be linear transformations that satisfy the following:

$$(6) \quad \rho(\mathbf{r}_\alpha) = \mathbf{r}_{\alpha^r} \quad \rho(\mathbf{r}_\alpha \mathbf{r}_\beta) = \rho(\mathbf{r}_\beta) \rho(\mathbf{r}_\alpha)$$

$$(7) \quad \psi(\mathbf{r}_\alpha) = \mathbf{r}_{\alpha^c} \quad \psi(\mathbf{r}_\alpha \mathbf{r}_\beta) = \psi(\mathbf{r}_\alpha) \psi(\mathbf{r}_\beta)$$

$$(8) \quad \omega(\mathbf{r}_\alpha) = \mathbf{r}_{\alpha^t} \quad \omega(\mathbf{r}_\alpha \mathbf{r}_\beta) = \omega(\mathbf{r}_\beta) \omega(\mathbf{r}_\alpha)$$

Here again we adopt the notation as in [15] and we note just as they did that ψ is an automorphism, but ρ and ω are anti-automorphisms. For any $f \in \mathbf{QSym}$ and $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{NSym}$,

$$(9) \quad \langle f, \mathbf{g} \rangle = \langle \rho(f), \rho(\mathbf{g}) \rangle = \langle \psi(f), \psi(\mathbf{g}) \rangle = \langle \omega(f), \omega(\mathbf{g}) \rangle.$$

Definition 5.9. Let $\mathbf{e}_0 = 1$, $\mathbf{h}_0 = 1$, and for any positive integer n , define

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{e}_n = \sum_{i_1 > i_2 > \dots > i_n \geq 1} \mathbf{x}_{i_1} \mathbf{x}_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{x}_{i_n}; \quad \mathbf{h}_n = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n} \mathbf{x}_{i_1} \mathbf{x}_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{x}_{i_n}.$$

Then \mathbf{e}_n is the n^{th} **noncommutative elementary symmetric function** and \mathbf{h}_n is the n^{th} **noncommutative homogeneous complete symmetric function**. For any $\alpha \models n$, let

$$\mathbf{e}_\alpha = \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_2} \dots \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_{\ell(\alpha)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{h}_\alpha = \mathbf{h}_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha_2} \dots \mathbf{h}_{\alpha_{\ell(\alpha)}}.$$

It is easy to see the following from the definitions of \mathbf{h}_n , \mathbf{e}_n , and \mathbf{r}_n :

Theorem 5.10. For all $n \geq 0$, and any $\alpha \models n$,

$$\chi(\mathbf{e}_n) = \mathbf{e}_n, \quad \chi(\mathbf{h}_n) = \mathbf{h}_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(\mathbf{r}_\alpha) = \mathbf{r}_\alpha.$$

Theorem 5.11 ([7], section 4.4 and 4.7).

$$(11) \quad \mathbf{h}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} \mathbf{r}_\beta \quad \mathbf{r}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha) - \ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta$$

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{e}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta^c \succeq \alpha} \mathbf{r}_\beta \quad \mathbf{r}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha^c} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha^c) - \ell(\beta)} \mathbf{e}_\beta$$

Proof. For $\alpha \models n$, and any $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$\mathbf{h}_\alpha |_{\mathbf{x}_{i_1} \mathbf{x}_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{x}_{i_n}} = \mathbb{1}_{\text{set}(\alpha) \supseteq \text{des}(I)},$$

giving the left hand side of (11). Similarly,

$$\mathbf{e}_\alpha |_{\mathbf{x}_{i_1} \mathbf{x}_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{x}_{i_n}} = \mathbb{1}_{\text{set}(\alpha)^c \subseteq \text{des}(I)},$$

giving the left hand side of (12). Then, as observed in [7] the equations on the right are an application of Möbius Inversion, applied to the boolean poset. \square

Corollary 5.12 ([7], p. 16). Both $\{\mathbf{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$ and $\{\mathbf{h}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$ are bases of \mathbf{NSym}^n . In particular, \mathbf{NSym} is generated freely by $\{\mathbf{e}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ or $\{\mathbf{h}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Thus Definition 3.6 above, which gives \mathbf{NSym} as a subspace of $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots]]$, defines a space which is isomorphic to the more abstractly defined space of Gelfand et al. as described in Definition 1.2, justifying the use of the same name. Moreover,

Corollary 5.13 ([7], p. 15 and p. 19). For any strong compositions α and β ,

$$(13) \quad \rho(\mathbf{h}_\alpha) = \mathbf{h}_{\alpha^r}, \quad \psi(\mathbf{h}_\alpha) = \mathbf{e}_\alpha, \quad \omega(\mathbf{h}_\alpha) = \mathbf{e}_{\alpha^r},$$

and

$$(14) \quad \langle M_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\beta \rangle = \mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\beta}.$$

Theorem 5.14 ([7], Section 4.1). For $n \geq 1$, and any $\beta \models n$,

$$\mathbf{h}_\beta = \sum_{\alpha \preceq \beta} (-1)^{(\ell(\alpha) - |\beta|)} \mathbf{e}_\alpha.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \preceq \beta} (-1)^{(\ell(\alpha) - |\beta|)} \mathbf{e}_\alpha &= \sum_{\alpha \succeq \beta^c} (-1)^{(\ell(\alpha) - 1)} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha^c} && \alpha \rightarrow \alpha^c \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \succeq \beta^c} (-1)^{(\ell(\alpha) - 1)} \sum_{\gamma \preceq \alpha} r_\gamma && \text{by (12)} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \models |\beta|} r_\gamma \sum_{\substack{\alpha \succeq \gamma \\ \alpha \succeq \beta^c}} (-1)^{(\ell(\alpha) - 1)} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \models |\beta|} r_\gamma \sum_{\text{set}(\alpha) \subseteq \text{set}(\gamma) \cap \text{set}(\beta)^c} (-1)^{\text{set}(\alpha)} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \succeq \beta} r_\gamma && \text{by properties of the Möbius function} \\ &= \mathbf{h}_\beta. && \text{by (11)} \end{aligned}$$

The second-to-last equality follows from properties of the Möbius function on the Boolean poset, since the sum will be nonzero unless $\text{set}(\gamma) \cap \text{set}(\beta)^c = \emptyset$. \square

As in Λ , the generating series of the noncommutative elementary and complete homogeneous symmetric functions are particularly nice:

$$(15) \quad \mathbf{E}(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{e}_n t^n = \prod_{i \geq 1}^{\leftarrow} (1 + \mathbf{x}_i t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H}(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{h}_n t^n = \prod_{i \geq 1}^{\rightarrow} \frac{1}{(1 - \mathbf{x}_i t)}.$$

Here, we must take t to be a formal variable which commutes with \mathbf{x}_i for all i . It is easy to see that, as in the commutative case,

$$(16) \quad \mathbf{E}(-t)\mathbf{H}(t) = 1 = \mathbf{H}(t)\mathbf{E}(-t).$$

This is taken as the defining relation for the noncommutative homogeneous basis in [7]. As observed there, with this relation it is immediate from comparing the coefficients of t^n in (16) that

Theorem 5.15 ([7], Proposition 3.3).

$$(17) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{h}_{n-i} = 0 = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \mathbf{h}_i \mathbf{e}_{n-i}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Last, we turn our attention to the noncommutative power sums. The reader expecting a similarly simple definition of \mathbf{p}_n , analogous to that of \mathbf{e}_n and \mathbf{h}_n , will be disappointed. In particular, as mentioned above for $k > 1$,

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{x}_i^k \notin \mathbf{NSym}.$$

Thus, if one wishes to define $\mathbf{p}_n \in \mathbf{NSym}$ such that $\chi(\mathbf{p}_n) = p_n$, it must be that \mathbf{p}_n has both positive and negative terms, when written as a sum of monomials. There is not a unique such \mathbf{p}_n in \mathbf{NSym} : Gelfand et al. in [7] define two noncommutative analogues of the power sums, ϕ_n and ψ_n . While they originally define these bases based on their relation to the noncommutative complete homogeneous basis, we begin with their expansion in the noncommutative ribbon basis, since this allows us to easily read off their definition in terms of monomials.

Definition 5.16. Let $\psi_0 = 1$, $\phi_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$,

$$(18) \quad \psi_n = \sum_{I=(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in A_n} (-1)^{k(I)-1} \mathbf{x}_{i_1} \mathbf{x}_{i_2} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{i_n}$$

$$(19) \quad = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \mathbf{r}_{1^k(n-k)}$$

and

$$(20) \quad \phi_n = \sum_{I=(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n} \frac{(-1)^{|\text{des}(I)|}}{\binom{n-1}{|\text{des}(I)|}} \mathbf{x}_{i_1} \mathbf{x}_{i_2} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{i_n}$$

$$(21) \quad = \sum_{\alpha \models n} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\alpha)-1}}{\binom{n-1}{\ell(\alpha)-1}} \mathbf{r}_\alpha,$$

where

$$A_n = \{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n \mid \exists k \text{ s.t. } 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } i_1 > i_2 > \cdots > i_{k-1} > i_k \leq i_{k+1} \leq \cdots \leq i_n\},$$

and where $k(I)$ is the unique k satisfying the condition required for $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in A_n$.

For any strong composition $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$, $\psi_\alpha = \psi_{\alpha_1} \psi_{\alpha_2} \cdots$ and $\phi_\alpha = \phi_{\alpha_1} \phi_{\alpha_2} \cdots$. Then $\{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$ and $\{\phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$ are the **noncommutative power sums of the 1st and 2nd kinds** (respectively).

Example 5.17.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_3 = & \mathbf{x}_1^3 + \mathbf{x}_1^2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_1^2 \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2^2 + \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_3^2 - \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{x}_1^2 - \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_2^3 \\ & + \mathbf{x}_2^2 \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{x}_3^2 - \mathbf{x}_3 \mathbf{x}_1^2 - \mathbf{x}_3 \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_3 \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_3 \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_3 \mathbf{x}_2^2 - \mathbf{x}_3 \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_3^3 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_3 = & \mathbf{x}_1^3 + \mathbf{x}_1^2\mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_1^2\mathbf{x}_3 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2^2 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_3 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_3\mathbf{x}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_3\mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_3^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_1^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_3 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_2^2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2^3 + \mathbf{x}_2^2\mathbf{x}_3 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_3\mathbf{x}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_3\mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_3^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_3\mathbf{x}_1^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_3\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_3\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_3\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_3\mathbf{x}_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_3\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_3 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_3^2\mathbf{x}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_3^2\mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3^3 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using the standard inner product, Definition 5.16 indirectly defines bases of QSym dual to the two kinds of noncommutative power sum symmetric functions. These **quasisymmetric power sums**, $\{\psi_\alpha\}$ and $\{\phi_\alpha\}$, were explored in detail by Ballantine et al. in [1], and satisfy

$$\langle \psi_\alpha, \psi_\beta \rangle = \langle \phi_\alpha, \phi_\beta \rangle = z_\alpha \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\beta}.$$

Here, and elsewhere, for any strong composition α , $z_\alpha = z_{\text{sort}(\alpha)}$, the coefficient seen before.

While the images under the remaining involutions are not as straightforward, it is easy to see from the expansion power sums of the first and second kinds in the ribbon basis that we have:

Theorem 5.18 ([7], Section 3). For any strong composition α ,

$$(22) \quad \omega(\psi_\alpha) = (-1)^{|\alpha|-\ell(\alpha)} \psi_{\alpha^r}$$

$$(23) \quad \omega(\phi_\alpha) = (-1)^{|\alpha|-\ell(\alpha)} \phi_{\alpha^r}$$

$$(24) \quad \psi(\phi_\alpha) = (-1)^{|\alpha|-\ell(\alpha)} \phi_\alpha$$

$$(25) \quad \rho(\phi_\alpha) = \phi_{\alpha^r}$$

These allow us to focus only on change of basis going forward in the noncommutative complete symmetric function basis, since expansion in the elementary symmetric functions will follow from applying the ω map to each side.

Theorem 5.19 ([7], Section 4.2). For $n \geq 0$,

$$\psi_n = \sum_{\beta \models n} (-1)^{1+\ell(\beta)} \beta_{\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \mathbf{r}_{1^k(n-k)} && \text{by Definition 5.16} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \succeq 1^k(n-k)} (-1)^{1+\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta && \text{by (11)} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \models n} (-1)^{1+\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{\beta \succeq (1^k, n-k)} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \models n} (-1)^{1+\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{\beta_{\ell(\beta)} \geq n-k} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \models n} (-1)^{1+\ell(\beta)} \beta_{\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta \end{aligned}$$

□

Before giving an analogous result for the ψ_n , we first need the following lemma:

Lemma 5.20. Let n and c be nonnegative integers. Then,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+c}{k+c}} = \frac{n+c+1}{c+1}.$$

Proof. By induction on n . The base case $n = 0$ is trivially true. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \frac{\binom{n+1}{k}}{\binom{n+1+c}{k+c}} &= 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{n+1}{n+1-k} \binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+1+c}{n+1-k} \binom{n+c}{k+c}} \\ &= 1 + \left(\frac{n+1}{n+1+c} \right) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+c}{k+c}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{i.H.}}{=} 1 + \left(\frac{n+1}{n+1+c} \right) \left(\frac{n+1+c}{c+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{(n+1)+c+1}{c+1} \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.21 ([7], Section 4.3). If n is a nonnegative integer,

$$\phi_n = \sum_{\beta \models n} (-1)^{\ell(\beta)+1} \frac{n}{\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n &= \sum_{\alpha \models n} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\alpha)-1}}{\binom{n-1}{\ell(\alpha)-1}} \mathbf{r}_\alpha && \text{by Definition 5.16} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \models n} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\alpha)-1}}{\binom{n-1}{\ell(\alpha)-1}} \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha)-\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta && \text{by (11)} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \models n} \mathbf{h}_\beta (-1)^{\ell(\beta)+1} \sum_{\alpha \preceq \beta} \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{\ell(\alpha)-1}} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \models n} \mathbf{h}_\beta (-1)^{\ell(\beta)+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \sum_{\alpha \preceq \beta} \mathbb{1}_{\ell(\alpha)=k} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \models n} \mathbf{h}_\beta (-1)^{\ell(\beta)+1} \sum_{k=\ell(\beta)}^n \frac{\binom{n-\ell(\beta)}{k-\ell(\beta)}}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \models n} \mathbf{h}_\beta (-1)^{\ell(\beta)+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-\ell(\beta)} \frac{\binom{n-\ell(\beta)}{k}}{\binom{n-1}{k+\ell(\beta)-1}} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \models n} (-1)^{\ell(\beta)+1} \frac{n}{\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta && \text{by Lemma 5.20} \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.22 ([7], Proposition 3.3). For $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{h}_i \psi_{n-i} = n \mathbf{h}_n$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{h}_i \psi_{n-i} &= \psi_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{h}_i \psi_{n-i} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \models n} (-1)^{1+\ell(\beta)} \beta_{\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{h}_i \sum_{\beta \models n-i} (-1)^{1+\ell(\beta)} \beta_{\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta \\ &= \sum_{\beta \models n} (-1)^{1+\ell(\beta)} \beta_{\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta + \sum_{\substack{\beta \models n \\ \ell(\beta) \geq 2}} (-1)^{\ell(\beta)} \beta_{\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta \\ &= n \mathbf{h}_n \end{aligned}$$

□

There are other equally natural choices for an analogue of the power sums. Gelfand et al. chose these based on two generating series relations on the symmetric functions, whose analogues below are each satisfied by only one of the noncommutative power sum symmetric functions:

Theorem 5.23 ([7], Section 3.1). Let

$$\psi(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \frac{\psi_n}{n} t^n \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \frac{\phi_n}{n} t^n.$$

Then

$$(26) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{H}(t)) = \mathbf{H}(t) \frac{d}{dt} \psi(t),$$

and

$$(27) \quad \mathbf{H}(t) = \exp(\phi(t)),$$

or equivalently

$$(28) \quad \phi(t) = \log \left(1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{h}_k t^k \right).$$

Proof. As explained briefly in [7], Equation (26) follows immediately from Theorem 5.22 and equation (27) is only slightly less straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \left(1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{h}_k t^k \right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{h}_k t^k \right)^n \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \sum_{\ell(\alpha)=n} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha_2} \cdots \mathbf{h}_{\alpha_n} t^{\sum_i \alpha_i} \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^s}{s} \sum_{\beta \models s} (-1)^{\ell(\beta)+1} \frac{s}{\ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_{\beta} \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^s}{s} \phi_s,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Theorem 5.21. \square

Note that while the analogous differential equation in commuting variables,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(H(t)) = H(t)P(t),$$

defines a unique basis of power sums from the basis of homogeneous complete symmetric functions, there are many equivalent ways to write the same relationship that yield distinct noncommutative analogues. (To see one additional easy example, reversing the order of the right hand side of (26) would yield a different basis than $\{\psi_n\}$, which Gelfand et al. do not name, as the result is sufficiently similar as to not be interesting.)

Corollary 5.24 ([7], Section 3.1). For n a nonnegative integer,

$$\chi(\psi_n) = p_n \text{ and } \chi(\phi_n) = p_n.$$

Theorem 5.25 ([7], Section 4.2). For n a nonnegative integer,

$$\mathbf{h}_n = \sum_{\beta \models n} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\beta)} \sum_{j=1}^i \beta_j} \psi_{\beta}.$$

Proof. By strong induction on n , with the base case of $n = 0$ being trivial:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{h}_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{h}_i \psi_{n-i} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \models i} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\beta)} \sum_{j=1}^i \beta_j} \psi_{\beta} \psi_{n-i} \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \models n} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\gamma)} \sum_{j=1}^i \gamma_j} \psi_{\gamma},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = (\beta, n - i)$. \square

Theorem 5.26 ([7], Section 4.3). For n a nonnegative integer,

$$\mathbf{h}_n = \sum_{\beta \models n} \frac{1}{\ell(\beta)! \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\beta)} \beta_i} \phi_{\beta}.$$

Proof. By induction on n , with the base case of $n = 0$ being trivial. We simultaneously prove both the statement, and its image under the ω map:

$$e_n = \sum_{\beta \models n} \frac{1}{\ell(\beta)! \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\beta)} \beta_i} (-1)^{|\beta| - \ell(\beta)} \phi_\beta.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_n &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1-1} (-1)^{n-i} \mathbf{h}_i e_{n-i} && \text{by (17)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-i-1} \sum_{\beta \models i} \left(\frac{1}{\ell(\beta)! \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\beta)} \beta_i} \phi_\beta \right) \\ &\quad \left(\sum_{\gamma \models n-i} \frac{1}{\ell(\gamma)! \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\gamma)} \gamma_i} (-1)^{|\gamma| - \ell(\gamma)} \phi_\gamma \right) && \text{by I.H.} \\ &= \sum_{\delta \models n} \frac{\phi_\delta (-1)^{\ell(\delta)-1}}{\ell(\delta)! \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\delta)} \delta_i} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\delta)-1} (-1)^j \binom{\ell(\delta)}{j} && \delta = (\beta, \gamma) \text{ and } j = \ell(\beta) \\ &= \sum_{\delta \models n} \frac{1}{\ell(\delta)! \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\delta)} \delta_i} \phi_\delta. && \text{by the Binomial Theorem} \end{aligned}$$

Applying the ω map again completes the induction. \square

Corollary 5.27 (Gelfand et al. [7]). Both $\{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$ and $\{\phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$ are bases of \mathbf{NSym}^n .

A number of the reoccurring statistics above are given names in [7] and repeated here. They need to be generalized to cover extending the above results to the multiplicative bases.

Definition 5.28. Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{\ell(\beta)})$ be a strong composition. Denote the **last part** of β by

$$\text{lp}(\beta) = \beta_{\ell(\beta)}.$$

Also say β 's **product of partial sums**, **product**, and **special product**, respectively, are

$$(29) \quad \pi_u(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\beta)} \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_k = \beta_1(\beta_1 + \beta_2) \cdots (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_{\ell(\beta)});$$

$$(30) \quad \prod \beta = \beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_{\ell(\beta)};$$

$$(31) \quad \text{sp}(\beta) = \ell(\beta)! \prod \beta.$$

Recall that if $\beta \preceq \alpha$, $\beta^{(i)}$ is the subcomposition of β which sums to α_i for $i = 1, \dots, \ell(\alpha)$. Extend the above definitions to refinements $\beta \preceq \alpha$, with $|\beta^{(i)}| = \alpha_i$, $i \in [\ell(\alpha)]$:

$$(32) \quad \text{lp}(\beta, \alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\alpha)} \text{lp}(\beta^{(i)}) \quad (34) \quad \pi_u(\beta, \alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\alpha)} \pi_u(\beta^{(i)})$$

$$(33) \quad \ell(\beta, \alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\alpha)} \ell(\beta^{(i)}) \quad (35) \quad \text{sp}(\beta, \alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\alpha)} \text{sp}(\beta^{(i)})$$

In [7], all of the following change-of-basis equations were established. They can all be derived from above, either by recalling the multiplicative definition of \mathbf{h}_α , \mathbf{e}_α , ϕ_α , and ψ_α , or by applying ω to another formula.

Theorem 5.29. For $\alpha \models n$,

$$(36) \quad \mathbf{h}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} (-1)^{|\alpha| - \ell(\beta)} \mathbf{e}_\beta; \quad \mathbf{e}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} (-1)^{|\alpha| - \ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta.$$

$$(37) \quad \mathbf{h}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} \mathbf{r}_\beta \quad \mathbf{r}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha) - \ell(\beta)} \mathbf{h}_\beta$$

$$(38) \quad \mathbf{e}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta^t \succeq \alpha^r} \mathbf{r}_\beta \quad \mathbf{r}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta^r \succeq \alpha^t} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha^t) - \ell(\beta)} \mathbf{e}_\beta$$

$$(39) \quad \mathbf{h}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} \frac{1}{\pi_u(\beta, \alpha)} \psi_\beta \quad \psi_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\beta) - \ell(\alpha)} \text{lp}(\beta, \alpha) \mathbf{h}_\beta$$

$$(40) \quad \mathbf{h}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} \frac{1}{\text{sp}(\beta, \alpha)} \phi_\beta \quad \phi_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\beta) - \ell(\alpha)} \frac{\prod \alpha}{\ell(\beta, \alpha)} \mathbf{h}_\beta$$

$$(41) \quad \mathbf{e}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha| - \ell(\beta)}}{\pi_u(\beta^r, \alpha^r)} \psi_\beta \quad \psi_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} (-1)^{|\alpha| - \ell(\beta)} \text{lp}(\beta^r, \alpha^r) \mathbf{e}_\beta$$

$$(42) \quad \mathbf{e}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha| - \ell(\beta)}}{\text{sp}(\beta, \alpha)} \phi_\beta \quad \phi_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} (-1)^{|\alpha| - \ell(\beta)} \frac{\prod \alpha}{\ell(\beta, \alpha)} \mathbf{e}_\beta$$

There are two remaining explicit change of basis formulas in [7], both of which are follow from the product formula for \mathbf{r}_α :

Theorem 5.30. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{\ell(\alpha)})$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{\ell(\beta)})$ be strong compositions, $\gamma = (\alpha, \beta)$, and $\delta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{\ell(\alpha)-1}, \alpha_{\ell(\alpha)} + \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_{\ell(\beta)})$. Then

$$\mathbf{r}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{r}_\beta = \mathbf{r}_\gamma + \mathbf{r}_\delta.$$

With this inspiration, we need the following definition:

Definition 5.31. Let α and β be strong compositions of n . Let $\gamma = \text{set}^{-1}(\text{set}(\alpha) \cup \text{set}(\beta))$. Then $\gamma \preceq \beta$, so we can let $\gamma = (\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}, \dots, \gamma^{(k)})$ give the subsequences such that $|\gamma^{(j)}| = \beta_j$. Then the **ribbon decomposition of α with respect to β** is

$$\text{rd}(\alpha, \beta) = (\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}, \dots, \gamma^{(k)}).$$

Furthermore, let

$$\text{psr}(\alpha, \beta) = (-1)^{|\gamma^{(1)}|-1} (-1)^{|\gamma^{(2)}|-1} \cdots (-1)^{|\gamma^{(k)}|-1} \mathbb{1}_{\gamma^{(1)} \text{ is a hook}} \mathbb{1}_{\gamma^{(2)} \text{ is a hook}} \cdots \mathbb{1}_{\gamma^{(k)} \text{ is a hook}}$$

and

$$\text{phr}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\gamma^{(1)})-1}}{\binom{n-1}{\ell(\gamma^{(1)})-1}} \cdots \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\gamma^{(k)})-1}}{\binom{n-1}{\ell(\gamma^{(k)})-1}}.$$

Example 5.32. Let $\alpha = (1, 3, 2, 4, 4)$ and $\beta = (4, 3, 5, 2)$. Then $\gamma = (1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2)$ and we have a ribbon decomposition of α with respect to β is

$$\text{rd}(\alpha, \beta) = ((1, 3), (2, 1), (3, 2), (2))$$

As first observed by Gelfand et al., it is easy to see from Definition 5.16 that

Theorem 5.33 ([7], Prop. 4.23 and Prop. 4.27). Let α be a strong composition. Then

$$\psi_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \models n} \text{psr}(\beta, \alpha) \mathbf{r}_\beta \text{ and } \phi_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \models n} \text{phr}(\beta, \alpha) \mathbf{r}_\beta.$$

Remark. Omitted here is the work in [7] towards change of basis between ϕ and ψ , which did not result in as nice of change of basis formulas. The interested reader should consult Section 4.10 in [7], where there is a somewhat more complex formula for ϕ_n in terms of $\{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$.

Note. In [15], the authors mention that $\{\omega(M_\alpha)\}$ yields the dual basis to $\{e_\alpha\}$. For our purposes later, it will be most natural to utilize ψ to define a quasisymmetric analogue to the forgotten symmetric functions. While the result is not quite a dual to $\{e_\alpha\}$, the resulting basis still restricts to the forgotten symmetric functions under the forgetful map. Therefore, define the **forgotten quasisymmetric function** (associated to α) to be

$$\text{For}_\alpha = \psi(M_\alpha).$$

Then, by duality, the following corresponding equations can be established.

Corollary 5.34. For $\alpha \models n$,

$$(43) \quad F_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} M_\beta \qquad M_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\beta) - \ell(\alpha)} F_\beta$$

$$(44) \quad F_\alpha = \sum_{\beta^r \preceq \alpha^t} \text{For}_\beta \qquad \text{For}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta^t \preceq \alpha^r} (-1)^{\ell(\beta^t) - \ell(\alpha)} F_\beta$$

$$(45) \quad \text{For}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha) - |\beta|} M_\beta \qquad M_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha) - |\beta|} \text{For}_\beta.$$

$$(46) \quad \psi_\alpha = z_\alpha \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} \frac{1}{\pi_u(\alpha, \beta)} M_\beta \qquad M_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha) - \ell(\beta)} \text{lp}(\alpha, \beta) \frac{\psi_\beta}{z_\beta}$$

$$(47) \quad \phi_\alpha = z_\alpha \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} \frac{1}{\text{sp}(\alpha, \beta)} M_\beta \qquad M_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha) - \ell(\beta)} \frac{\prod \beta}{\ell(\alpha, \beta)} \frac{\phi_\beta}{z_\beta}$$

$$(48) \quad \psi_\alpha = z_\alpha \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta| - \ell(\alpha)}}{\pi_u(\alpha^r, \beta^r)} \text{For}_\beta \qquad \text{For}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} (-1)^{|\beta| - \ell(\alpha)} \text{lp}(\alpha^r, \beta^r) \frac{\psi_\beta}{z_\beta}$$

$$(49) \quad \phi_\alpha = z_\alpha \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta| - \ell(\alpha)}}{\text{sp}(\alpha, \beta)} \text{For}_\beta \qquad \text{For}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} (-1)^{|\beta| - \ell(\alpha)} \frac{\prod \beta}{\ell(\alpha, \beta)} \frac{\phi_\beta}{z_\beta}$$

Figure 2 gives a diagram analogous to Figure 1 depicting the results from this section thus far. Horizontal dashed segments indicate duality once again. Note the vertical edges labeled ψ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ indicate that a basis element indexed by α is sent to its counterpart (also indexed by α) in the other basis. All other edges, outside set braces, indicate basis elements are most often not sent to their exact counterparts in the image set. Suppressed are four loops that would be labeled with either ρ or $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, as well as the combinatorial coefficients z_α at the bottom, for readability.

We end by discussing two ways in which a number of the change-of-basis results in Λ have been condensed, and show analogous results in the dual bases of **QSym** and **NSym**.

6. RELATIONS BETWEEN TRANSITION MATRICES

A well known diagram in Macdonald [16], p.105, reproduced here in Figure 3, summarizes the relationship between various transitions matrices in Λ . Our next goal is to reproduce a similar diagram for **QSym** and **NSym**.

Notation. Let $b = \{b_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$ and $a = \{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be bases of some vector space V with ordered indexing sets B and A , respectively. Then the **change-of-basis matrix from $\{b_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$ to $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$** is the matrix $M(a, b)$ for which

$$[a_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A} = M(a, b)[b_\beta]_{\beta \in B}.$$

Here, $[a_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A}$ and $[b_\beta]_{\beta \in B}$ denote the column vectors of all the basis elements a_α and b_β , respectively.




FIGURE 2. Automorphisms and Duality of QSym and NSym

Here $K = M(s, m) = [K_{\lambda, \mu}]_{\lambda, \mu}$ is the Kostka matrix, where $K_{\lambda, \mu}$ is the **Kostka number** $K_{\lambda, \mu}$, the number of semi-standard Young tableaux of shape λ and type μ ,

$$K_{\lambda, \mu} = |\{T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda) : \text{type}(T) = \mu\}|.$$

Next, for $p(n)$ the number of integer partitions of n , define the boolean $p(n) \times p(n)$ matrix $J := (\mathbb{1}_{\lambda = \mu^t})_{\mu, \lambda}$. Using the reverse lexicographic ordering on partitions, then we get

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

That is, J is the boolean matrix with 1s on its antidiagonal and 0s elsewhere. Let

$$\varepsilon := (\varepsilon(\lambda) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\lambda = \mu})_{\mu, \lambda} = ((-1)^{|\lambda| - \ell(\lambda)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\lambda = \mu})_{\mu, \lambda}$$


and

$$z := (z_\lambda \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\lambda = \mu})_{\mu, \lambda}.$$

Finally, let $L = L(p, m) = [L_{\lambda, \mu}]_{\lambda, \mu}$, give the change of basis matrix from the power sums to the monomial basis. where in the language of (6.9) in [16],

$$(50) \quad L_{\lambda, \mu} = \left| \left\{ f : [\ell(\lambda)] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid \mu = \left(\sum_{f(j)=i} \lambda_j \right)_{i=1}^{\infty} \right\} \right|.$$

Then Figure 3 gives change of basis in terms of these matrices.


 FIGURE 3. Matrix Change-of-Basis Expressions in Λ

6.1. Change of Basis Expressions in NSym and QSym. In this subsection, we generalize the results on matrix equations in [16] to the spaces of QSym and NSym. The proofs in this section are quite similar to the originals in [16], with only minor additional complexity from the multiple isometries and power sum bases in QSym and NSym.

It is helpful to recall three well known facts about general change of basis, and two additional facts pertaining to our involutions:

- (I) For any bases $\{a_\alpha\}$, $\{b_\alpha\}$, and $\{d_\alpha\}$ in a fixed vector space, $M(d, b)M(b, a) = M(d, a)$;
- (II) For any bases $\{a_\alpha\}$, and $\{b_\alpha\}$, $M(b, a) = M(a, b)^{-1}$.
- (III) For any bases $\{A_\alpha\}, \{B_\alpha\} \subset \text{QSym}$, and their respective dual bases $\{a_\alpha\}, \{b_\alpha\} \subset \text{NSym}$, $M(A, B) = M(b, a)^t$.
- (IV) For any bases $\{A_\alpha\}, \{B_\alpha\} \subset \text{QSym}$, $M(A, B) = M(\psi(A), \psi(B)) = M(\rho(A), \rho(B)) = M(\omega(A), \omega(B))$;
- (V) For any bases $\{a_\alpha\}, \{b_\alpha\} \subset \text{NSym}$, $M(a, b) = M(\psi(a), \psi(b)) = M(\rho(a), \rho(b)) = M(\omega(a), \omega(b))$.

With these at our disposal, we imitate the approach from Chapter 6 of [16].

In the classical case, the matrix of Kostka numbers is defined, $K = M(s, m)$. Define a quasisymmetric analogue to K by (see (43)),

$$(51) \quad \mathcal{K} = M(F, M) = (\mathbb{1}_{\beta \preceq \alpha})_{\alpha, \beta},$$

and $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon(\alpha) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\alpha = \beta})_{\alpha, \beta} = ((-1)^{|\alpha| - \ell(\alpha)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\alpha = \beta})_{\alpha, \beta}$. Here, $\{F_\alpha\}$ and $\{M_\alpha\}$ are the Gessel Fundamental and monomial quasisymmetric function bases of QSym, respectively. Then, by (II) and (III), and from lines (37) and (43), we have the following change-of-basis matrices as well.

$$(52) \quad \mathcal{K}^{-1} = M(M, F) = ((-1)^{\ell(\beta) - \ell(\alpha)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\beta \preceq \alpha})_{\alpha, \beta} = \varepsilon \mathcal{K} \varepsilon$$

$$(53) \quad (\mathcal{K}^t)^{-1} = M(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{h}) = ((-1)^{\ell(\alpha) - \ell(\beta)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\alpha \preceq \beta})_{\alpha, \beta} = \varepsilon \mathcal{K}^t \varepsilon$$

$$(54) \quad \mathcal{K}^t = M(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}) = (\mathbb{1}_{\alpha \succeq \beta})_{\alpha, \beta}$$

Here, $\{\mathbf{r}_\alpha\}$ and $\{\mathbf{h}_\alpha\}$ are the noncommutative ribbon and noncommutative complete homogeneous symmetric function bases of \mathbf{NSym} , respectively. Note that in contrast to Λ , we do not need to use a separate matrix \mathcal{K}^{-1} .

Remark. There are several change of bases matrices in Λ that do not make sense with the defined bases for \mathbf{NSym} and \mathbf{QSym} . For example $M(h, m)$ is well defined, but $\{\mathbf{h}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$ and $\{M_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$ are in different spaces and thus there is no analogous matrix here. In principle, one could define a new basis based on these change of bases results, for example defining $\{\mathbf{m}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$ so that $M(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{h}) := \mathcal{K}^t \mathcal{K}$. The result is consistent (so we can define \mathbf{m} by its relation to any of the other bases and get the same basis), but a bit algebraically uninteresting. Because of duality,

$$\mathbf{m}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\beta) - \ell(\alpha)} \mathbf{r}_\beta,$$

which is not far from

$$M_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \preceq \alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\beta) - \ell(\alpha)} F_\beta.$$

In some cases, where the new resulting basis is F - or \mathbf{r} -positive, the two should encode different representations via the two Frobenius maps of Krob and Thibon in [12], which encode modules of the type A 0-Hecke Algebra. See [18] for additional details.

Remark. Once again, our definition of H_α does not depend on which basis we “start from” in Λ , as indicated by the three equalities above.

To continue, we would like to imitate the usage of the matrix J from Chapter 6 of [16]. With three analogs to the involution ω to choose from, there are three natural generalizations.

Definition 6.1. Let $J_f = (J_f)_{\alpha, \beta} = (\mathbb{1}_{f(F_\alpha) = F_\beta})_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $f = \psi, \rho$, or ω . Equivalently, $J_f = (J_f)_{\alpha, \beta} = (\mathbb{1}_{f(\mathbf{r}_\alpha) = \mathbf{r}_\beta})_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $f = \boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}$, or $\boldsymbol{\omega}$.

Remark. Since all of the maps f under consideration are involutions, it follows that J_f^2 is the identity matrix, and thus $J_f^{-1} = J_f$, just as we saw in the classical case. Furthermore, any orthogonal involutory matrix must be its own transpose. Since J_f is a permutation matrix, it must be orthogonal. Since J_f is also involutory, it must be that J_f is symmetric. Finally, $J_f = J_f$ for $f = \psi, \rho$, or ω .

As we alluded to when defining the forgotten quasisymmetric functions, it turns out most useful to us will be J_ψ , corresponding to the involutions $\psi : \mathbf{QSym} \rightarrow \mathbf{QSym}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi} : \mathbf{NSym} \rightarrow \mathbf{NSym}$, which respectively preserve the indexing on the relevant bases (see Figure 3.1). In fact, if we choose to order the integer compositions which index these matrices J with any ordering consistent with reverse lexicographic ordering, the matrix J_ψ has the particularly simple form with 1s on the antidiagonal and 0s elsewhere.

Our first result shows that each of the remaining permissible relations from the table on page 101 of [16] (as described on pages 22 and 23 of this dissertation) generalize appropriately to the spaces of \mathbf{QSym} (or \mathbf{NSym}), with J_ψ in place of J .

Theorem 6.2. We have the following change-of-basis relations.

- (i) $M(M, \text{For}) = M(\text{For}, M) = \varepsilon \mathcal{K} \varepsilon J_\psi \mathcal{K}$;
- (ii) $M(\text{For}, F) = \varepsilon \mathcal{K} \varepsilon J_\psi$;
- (iii) $M(F, \text{For}) = J_\psi \mathcal{K}$;

- (iv) $M(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{e}) = M(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{h}) = \mathcal{K}^t J_\psi \varepsilon \mathcal{K}^t \varepsilon;$
- (v) $M(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{K}^t J_\psi;$
- (vi) $M(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{e}) = J_\psi \varepsilon \mathcal{K}^t \varepsilon.$

Proof. Throughout this proof, we use freely the facts about J_ψ from the remark following Definition 3.3.16. Large Roman numerals refer back to the properties of change-of-basis matrices.

Utilizing (53), (I), and (V), we can immediately establish (vi):

$$M(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{e}) = M(\boldsymbol{\psi}\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\psi}\mathbf{e}) = M(\boldsymbol{\psi}\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{h}) = M(\boldsymbol{\psi}\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r})M(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{h}) = J_\psi(\mathcal{K}^t)^{-1}.$$

Then (II) gives (v):

$$M(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{r}) = M(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{e})^{-1} = (J_\psi(\mathcal{K}^t)^{-1})^{-1} = \mathcal{K}^t J_\psi.$$

With (53), (54), (vi), and (v), we may use (I) again to establish (iv):

$$\begin{aligned} M(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{h}) &= M(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{r})M(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{h}) \\ &= \mathcal{K}^t J_\psi (\mathcal{K}^t)^{-1} \\ &= M(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r})M(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{e}) \\ &= M(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{e}). \end{aligned}$$

Now recall from Section 3.1.3 that both $\langle F_\alpha, \mathbf{r}_\beta \rangle = \langle \text{For}_\alpha, \mathbf{e}_\beta \rangle = \mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\beta}$. Then, by (III), along with (v), we establish (iii):

$$M(F, \text{For}) = M(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{r})^t = (\mathcal{K}^t J_\psi)^t = J_\psi \mathcal{K}.$$

By (II) once again and (iii), then, we get (ii):

$$M(\text{For}, F) = M(F, \text{For})^{-1} = (J_\psi \mathcal{K})^{-1} = \mathcal{K}^{-1} J_\psi.$$

Lastly, with (51), (52), and (I) once again, we establish (i):

$$\begin{aligned} M(\text{For}, M) &= M(\text{For}, F)M(F, M) \\ &= \mathcal{K}^{-1} J_\psi \mathcal{K} \\ &= M(M, F)M(F, \text{For}) \\ &= M(M, \text{For}). \end{aligned}$$

□

These provide all of the change-of-basis matrices between all the bases considered thus far, excluding both kinds of power sums in each of \mathbf{QSym} and \mathbf{NSym} . We now aim to generalize the results on the power sums in Λ .

Definition 6.3. Let

$$(55) \quad \mathcal{L}_\phi = M(\phi, M);$$

$$(56) \quad \mathcal{L}_\psi = M(\psi, M),$$

where $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ and $\{\psi_\alpha\}$ are the quasisymmetric power sum bases of the second and first kinds, respectively.

Extend the definitions of the diagonal matrices ε and z to integer compositions.

Definition 6.4. For any $n > 1$, define the $2^{n-1} \times 2^{n-1}$ diagonal matrices $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon(\alpha) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\beta})_{\alpha,\beta} = ((-1)^{|\alpha|-\ell(\alpha)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\beta})_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $z = (z_\alpha \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\beta})_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Note that $\varepsilon^{-1} = \varepsilon$ and

$$z^{-1} = \left(\frac{\mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\beta}}{z_\alpha} \right)_{\beta,\alpha}.$$

The results of the following lemma can be found in [1], derived from [7], and can be seen from Theorem 5.18 and duality.

Lemma 6.5. In QSym, for any α , both $\psi(\phi_\alpha) = \varepsilon(\alpha)\phi_\alpha$ and $\omega(\psi_\alpha) = \varepsilon(\alpha)\psi_\alpha$.

The reversals on the bases appearing in the expansions (41) and (48) will force J_ρ to appear in several of the expressions involving the power sums of the first kind we give in the next theorem, adding some complexity that does not appear in the classical case.

Theorem 6.6. In QSym,

$$\begin{aligned} M(\phi, \text{For}) &= \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\phi & \text{and} & & M(\psi, \text{For}) &= \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\psi J_\rho; \\ M(\phi, F) &= \mathcal{L}_\phi \varepsilon \mathcal{K} \varepsilon & \text{and} & & M(\psi, F) &= \mathcal{L}_\psi \varepsilon \mathcal{K} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In NSym,

$$\begin{aligned} M(\mathbf{h}, \phi) &= z^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\phi^t & \text{and} & & M(\mathbf{h}, \psi) &= z^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\psi^t; \\ M(\mathbf{e}, \phi) &= \varepsilon z^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\phi^t & \text{and} & & M(\mathbf{e}, \psi) &= \varepsilon z^{-1} J_\rho \mathcal{L}_\psi^t; \\ M(\mathbf{r}, \phi) &= z^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\phi \varepsilon \mathcal{K} \varepsilon & \text{and} & & M(\mathbf{r}, \psi) &= z^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\psi \varepsilon \mathcal{K} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.18 and (IV),

$$M(\phi, \text{For}) = M(\psi(\phi), \psi(\text{For})) = M(\varepsilon\phi, M) = \varepsilon \cdot M(\phi, M) = \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\phi.$$

Similarly, but also with use of (I) and (IV),

$$\begin{aligned} M(\psi, \text{For}) &= M(\psi, \omega(\text{For}))M(\omega(\text{For}), \text{For}) \\ &= M(\omega(\psi), \omega^2(\text{For}))J_\rho \\ &= M(\varepsilon\psi, \text{For})J_\rho \\ &= \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\psi J_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

By (I),

$$\begin{aligned} M(\phi, F) &= M(\phi, M)M(M, F) = \mathcal{L}_\phi \mathcal{K}^{-1}; \\ M(\psi, F) &= M(\psi, M)M(M, F) = \mathcal{L}_\psi \mathcal{K}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by (III),

$$\begin{aligned} M(\mathbf{h}, \phi) &= M(z^{-1}\phi, M)^t = z^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\phi^t; \\ M(\mathbf{h}, \psi) &= M(z^{-1}\psi, M)^t = z^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\psi^t. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} M(\mathbf{e}, \phi) &= M(z^{-1}\phi, \text{For})^t = z^{-1} \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\phi^t; \\ M(\mathbf{e}, \psi) &= M(z^{-1}\psi, \text{For})^t = \varepsilon z^{-1} J_\rho \mathcal{L}_\psi^t. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, by (III) and (I),

$$\begin{aligned} M(\mathbf{r}, \phi) &= M(z^{-1}\phi, F)^t = z^{-1} (M(\phi, M)M(M, F))^t = z^{-1} (\mathcal{K}^t)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\phi^t; \\ M(\mathbf{r}, \psi) &= M(z^{-1}\psi, F)^t = z^{-1} (M(\psi, M)M(M, F))^t = z^{-1} (\mathcal{K}^t)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\psi^t. \end{aligned}$$

□




FIGURE 4. Matrix Change-of-Basis Expressions in QSym and NSym

Remark. We may also give the change-of-basis relations between the two kinds of power sums in both spaces as matrix products, but they would each involve either the inverse of \mathcal{L}_ϕ or \mathcal{L}_ψ . For example, in QSym,

$$M(\phi, \psi) = M(\phi, M)M(M, \psi) = \mathcal{L}_\phi \mathcal{L}_\psi^{-1}.$$

We provide a figure similar to Figure 3 depicting the results from this subsection. Just as in Figure 3, an arrow from an element from the basis $\{b_\alpha\}$ to $\{a_\alpha\}$ is labeled with $M(a, b)$. We suppress several edges, including the repetitive ones to/from the power sums of the two kinds. The hatted terms \hat{J}_ρ along the bottom edges correspond only to the power sum bases of the first kind, ψ and ψ .

7. COMBINATORIAL MODELS FOR CHANGE OF BASIS

Many of the statistics occurring in the change of bases matrices in NSym are natural generalizations of the statistics on brick tabloids found in [2, 6], which gives combinatorial descriptions of the transition matrices in Λ . In this section we look at generalizing this work to change of basis in QSym and NSym.

7.1. Combinatorial Models in Λ . Before we summarize the results of Egecioglu and Remmel [6], we note that we have taken the liberty of adjusting some of the authors' notation and conventions. Our goal is to generalize the following theorem, which gives a unified combinatorial model for most of the change-of-basis matrices in Λ discussed above:

Theorem 7.1 (Eğecioğlu and Remmel, [6]). For $\lambda \vdash n$,

$$(57) \quad e_\lambda = \sum_{T \in B_\lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda| - \ell(\text{type}(T))} h_{\text{type}(T)}$$

$$(58) \quad h_\lambda = \sum_{T \in B_\lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda| - \ell(\text{type}(T))} e_{\text{type}(T)}$$

$$(59) \quad m_\lambda = \sum_{T \in B^\lambda} (-1)^{|\text{shape}(T)| - \ell(\lambda)} f_{\text{shape}(T)}$$

$$(60) \quad f_\lambda = \sum_{T \in B^\lambda} (-1)^{|\text{shape}(T)| - \ell(\lambda)} m_{\text{shape}(T)}$$

$$(61) \quad p_\lambda = \sum_{T \in B_\lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda| - \ell(\text{type}(T))} w(B_\lambda^{\text{type}(T)}) e_{\text{type}(T)}$$

$$(62) \quad p_\lambda = \sum_{T \in B_\lambda} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda) - \ell(\text{type}(T))} w(B_\lambda^{\text{type}(T)}) e_{\text{type}(T)}$$

$$(63) \quad f_\lambda = \sum_{T \in B^\lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda| - \ell(\text{type}(T))} \frac{w(B_{\text{shape}(T)}^\lambda)}{z_{\text{shape}(T)}} p_{\text{shape}(T)}$$

$$(64) \quad m_\lambda = \sum_{T \in B_\lambda} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda) - \ell(\text{type}(T))} \frac{w(B_\lambda^{\text{type}(T)})}{z_{\text{type}(T)}} p_{\text{type}(T)}$$

$$(65) \quad p_\lambda = \sum_{T \in B^\lambda} |OB_{\text{shape}(T)}^\lambda| m_{\text{shape}(T)}$$

$$(66) \quad p_\lambda = \sum_{T \in B^\lambda} (-1)^{|\text{type}(T)| - \ell(\lambda)} |OB_{\text{shape}(T)}^\lambda| f_{\text{shape}(T)}$$

$$(67) \quad h_\lambda = \sum_{T \in B_\lambda} \frac{|OB_\lambda^{\text{type}(T)}|}{z_{\text{type}(T)}} p_{\text{type}(T)}$$

$$(68) \quad e_\lambda = \sum_{T \in B_\lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda| - \ell(\text{type}(T))} \frac{|OB_\lambda^{\text{type}(T)}|}{z_{\text{type}(T)}} p_{\text{type}(T)}$$


To understand the theorem, the following definitions are necessary.

Definition 7.2. A **brick** b of length k is a horizontal strip of k boxes (a Young diagram of shape (k)). If $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{\ell(\mu)}) \vdash n$, **associate the set of bricks** $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{\ell(\mu)}\}$ **with** μ if brick $|b_i| = \mu_i$ for each $i \in [\ell(\mu)]$. Then, T is a μ -**brick tabloid of shape** λ if T gives a filling of the Young diagram of shape $\lambda \vdash n$ with the set of bricks associated to μ such that (i) each brick b_i covers exactly μ_i boxes in a single row of the diagram of shape λ ; (ii) no two bricks overlap.

Say that B_λ is the set of all possible brick tabloids of shape λ , B^μ is the set of all possible μ -brick tabloids (with **type** μ), and let B_λ^μ denote the set of all μ -brick tabloids of shape λ .

Note. In the above definition, it is important to note that bricks of the same size are indistinguishable.

Example 7.3. Below are the eight $(3, 3, 2, 1)$ -brick tabloids of shape $(6, 3)$.



$$M(e, h)_{(3,3,2,1),(6,3)} = (-1)^{|(6,3)|-\ell((3,3,2,1))} |B_{(6,3)}^{(3,3,2,1)}| = (-1)^{9-4}(8) = -8.$$

Definition 7.4. Define a weight function on brick tabloids, $wt : T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$, as follows. Let $\mu, \lambda \vdash n$ and let T be any μ -brick tabloid of shape λ . If $B(T) = \{b_i\}_{i \in [\ell(\mu)]}$ is the set of bricks associated to T , let $B_r(T) \subseteq B(T)$ be the subset of $\ell(\lambda)$ bricks that appear at the rightmost ends of the rows in T . Then, the **weight** of the brick tabloid T is

$$wt(T) := \prod_{b \in B_r(T)} |b|.$$

The weight of the entire set of μ -brick tabloids of shape λ is the sum of their weights,


$$w(B_\lambda^\mu) := \sum_{T \in B_\lambda^\mu} wt(T).$$

Example 7.5. The brick tabloids from Example 7.3, in reading order, have weights 6, 3, 6, 3, 9, 6, 9, and 3, respectively. Thus $w(B_{(6,3)}^{(3,3,2,1)}) = 45$.

$$M(p, e)_{(3,3,2,1),(6,3)} = (-1)^{|(6,3)|-\ell((3,3,2,1))} |B_{(6,3)}^{(3,3,2,1)}| = (-1)^{9-4}(45) = -45.$$

Definition 7.6. Given a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{\ell(\mu)})$ and associated set of bricks $\{b_i\}_{i \in [\ell(\mu)]}$ ($|b_i| = \mu_i$), index each brick b_i with the subscript $\ell(\mu) - i + 1$, $i \in [\ell(\mu)]$. That is, index the bricks from smallest to largest with the integers $1, 2, \dots, \ell(\mu)$. Then, an **ordered μ -brick tabloid of shape λ** is a μ -brick tabloid of shape λ filled with associated *indexed* bricks such that in each row, the subscripts on the bricks increase from left-to-right. Denote the set of all μ -ordered brick tabloids of shape λ by OB_λ^μ .

Example 7.7. Below are the three $(3, 3, 2, 1)$ -ordered brick tabloids of shape $(6, 3)$.



and

$$M(p, m)_{(6,3),(3,3,2,1)} = |OB_{(6,3)}^{(3,3,2,1)}| = 3.$$

7.2. Brick Walls and Change-of-Basis in QSym and NSym. This section generalizes the concepts in Egecioglu and Remmel [6] to QSym and NSym, where the statistics from the change of basis equations in Gelfand et al. [7] are often very natural extensions of the original statistics on brick tabloids. These first four equations easily generalize the first four equations in Theorem 7.1.

Theorem 7.8. For $\alpha \models n$,

$$(69) \quad \mathbf{e}_\alpha = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{type}(W)) - |W|} \mathbf{h}_{\text{type}(W)}$$

$$(70) \quad \mathbf{h}_\alpha = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{type}(W)) - |W|} \mathbf{e}_{\text{type}(W)}$$

$$(71) \quad \text{For}_\alpha = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}^\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{type}(W)) - |W|} M_{\text{sh}(W)}$$

$$(72) \quad M_\alpha = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}^\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{type}(W)) - |W|} \text{For}_{\text{sh}(W)}$$


We need the following generalizations of brick tabloids, referred to here as walls, which are simpler in this case.

Definition 7.9. If $\beta \preceq \alpha$ with $\beta^{(i)} \models \alpha_i$, for all $i \in [\ell(\alpha)]$, let the *ordered* set of bricks $B = (b_1, \dots, b_{\ell(\beta)})$ be associated to β (where $|b_j| = \beta_j$ for $j \in [\ell(\beta)]$). Then, the (unique) **β -wall of shape α** , or **$\alpha\beta$ -wall**, is the filling of the bricks from B into the Young diagram of shape α in order from left-to-right, bottom-up (adopting French notation).

It is clear that the $\alpha\beta$ -wall exists if and only if $\beta \preceq \alpha$. (See Definition 2.7.) For some integers $0 < j_1 < \dots < j_{\ell(\alpha)} = \ell(\beta)$, the equations below correspond to courses in the $\alpha\beta$ -wall. (A “course” is a continuous horizontal stretch of bricks (or stone) laid to build a wall.)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\ell(\alpha)} &= \beta_{j_{\ell(\alpha)}-1+1} + \beta_{j_{\ell(\alpha)}-1+2} + \dots + \beta_{\ell(\beta)}; \\ &\vdots \\ \alpha_2 &= \beta_{j_1+1} + \beta_{j_1+2} + \dots + \beta_{j_2}; \\ \alpha_1 &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_{j_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 7.10. Let $\alpha = (1, 6, 2, 4)$ and let $\beta = (1, 1, 3, 2, 2, 3, 1)$. Then $\beta \preceq \alpha$, so the $(1, 6, 2, 4)(1, 1, 3, 2, 2, 3, 1)$ -wall exists, and is shown below.



Definition 7.11. Say the $\alpha\beta$ -wall has **shape** $\text{sh}(W) = \alpha$, **size** $|W| = |\alpha|$, and **type** $\text{type}(W) = \beta$. For a fixed composition α , let \mathcal{W}_α denote the set of all walls of shape α . Similarly, for composition β , let \mathcal{W}^β be the set of all walls of type β . Clearly there are one-to-one correspondences between walls and compositions $\mathcal{W}_\alpha \leftrightarrow \{\beta \mid \beta \preceq \alpha\}$ and $\mathcal{W}^\beta \leftrightarrow \{\alpha \mid \alpha \succeq \beta\}$.

Although Egecioglu and Remmel do not mention the Schur functions in their work, the corresponding bases $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$ and $\{r_\alpha\}_{\alpha \models n}$ fit nicely here into the same framework:

Theorem 7.12. For $\alpha \models n$,

$$(73) \quad \mathbf{h}_\alpha = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}^\alpha} \mathbf{r}_{\text{sh}(W)}$$

$$(74) \quad \mathbf{r}_\alpha = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}^\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{sh}(W)) - \ell(\text{type}(W))} \mathbf{h}_{\text{sh}(W)}$$

$$(75) \quad \mathbf{e}_\alpha = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}^\alpha} \mathbf{r}_{\text{sh}(W)^c}$$

$$(76) \quad \mathbf{r}_\alpha = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}^{\alpha^c}} (-1)^{\ell(\text{sh}(W)) - \ell(\text{type}(W))} \mathbf{e}_{\text{sh}(W)}$$

$$(77) \quad F_\alpha = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha} M_{\text{type}(W)}$$

$$(78) \quad M_\alpha = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{type}(W)) - \ell(\text{sh}(W))} F_{\text{type}(W)}$$

$$(79) \quad F_\alpha = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\alpha^c}} \text{For}_{\text{type}(W)}$$

$$(80) \quad \text{For}_\alpha = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{type}(W)) - \ell(\text{sh}(W))} F_{\text{type}(W)^c}$$

In order to establish combinatorial versions of their other equations, we must define several more statistics on walls. Three of them, below, are imitations of the weight function defined in [6] utilizing (32) (taken from [7]).

Definition 7.13. If $\beta \preceq \alpha$ with $\beta^{(i)} \models \alpha_i$ for all $i \in [\ell(\alpha)]$, and W is the $\alpha\beta$ -wall, say the **last parts product** and **first parts product** of W are

$$(81) \quad \text{lp}(W) = \text{lp}(\beta, \alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\alpha)} \beta_{j_i};$$

$$(82) \quad \text{fp}(W) = \text{lp}(\beta^r, \alpha^r) = \prod_{i=0}^{\ell(\alpha)-1} \beta_{j_{i+1}}.$$

Thus the statistic $\text{lp}(W)$ (respectively $\text{fp}(W)$) gives the product of the sizes of the bricks at the right (respectively left) ends of the rows in W .

A less obvious replacement for the weight function in this context is required to cover the power sums of the second kind.

Definition 7.14. If W is an α, β -wall, let $\text{pb}(W)$ give the product of the number of bricks in each row (or course).

Example 7.15. For the wall W in Example 7.10, $\text{pb}(W) = 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 = 6$

Then we have the following two families of equations:

Theorem 7.16. For $\alpha \models n$,


$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\alpha &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{type}(W)) - \ell(\text{sh}(W))} \text{lp}(W) \mathbf{h}_{\text{type}(W)} \\ \psi_\alpha &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{type}(W)) - \ell(\text{sh}(W))} \text{fp}(W) \mathbf{e}_{\text{type}(W)} \\ M_\alpha &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}^\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{type}(W)) - \ell(\text{sh}(W))} \text{lp}(W) \frac{\psi_{\text{sh}(W)}}{z_{\text{sh}(W)}} \\ \text{For}_\alpha &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}^\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{type}(W)) - \ell(\text{sh}(W))} \text{fp}(W) \frac{\psi_{\text{sh}(W)}}{z_{\text{sh}(W)}} \\ \phi_\alpha &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{type}(W)) - \ell(\text{sh}(W))} \frac{\prod \text{sh}(W)}{\text{pb}(W)} \mathbf{h}_{\text{type}(W)} \\ \phi_\alpha &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha} (-1)^{|\text{sh}(W)| - \ell(\text{type}(W))} \frac{\prod \text{sh}(W)}{\text{pb}(W)} \mathbf{e}_{\text{type}(W)} \\ M_\alpha &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}^\alpha} (-1)^{\ell(\text{type}(W)) - \ell(\text{sh}(W))} \frac{\prod \text{sh}(W)}{\text{pb}(W)} \frac{\phi_{\text{sh}(W)}}{z_{\text{sh}(W)}} \\ \text{For}_\alpha &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}^\alpha} (-1)^{|\text{sh}(W)| - \ell(\text{type}(W))} \frac{\prod \text{sh}(W)}{\text{pb}(W)} \frac{\phi_{\text{sh}(W)}}{z_{\text{sh}(W)}} \end{aligned}$$

Next, we imitate the alteration made on brick tabloids to give ordered brick tabloids in [6]. Before we give the definition, we remark that there are always (weakly) fewer μ -ordered brick tabloids of shape λ than there are brick tabloids of the same shape and type. (Consult Examples 7.3 and 7.7.) The opposite will be true of our analogous objects, next.

Definition 7.17. Let $\beta \preceq \alpha$ and let W be the $\alpha\beta$ -wall. Then, a **β -indexed wall of shape α** , or **indexed $\alpha\beta$ -wall**, is an indexing of the bricks in W (associated to β) in order of increasing size with the integers from $[\ell(\beta)]$.

Thus, in an indexed wall, bricks of the same size are distinguishable.

Example 7.18. There are four indexed $(2, 4, 3)(2, 2, 1, 1, 3)$ -walls, shown below.



Note that there is no alteration on the order in which the bricks associated to β are laid to build (an indexed) $\alpha\beta$ -wall, unlike in the case of ordered brick tabloids. (Compare with Examples 7.3 and 7.7 once more).

Definition 7.19. Let \mathcal{IW}_α denote the set of all ordered walls of shape α , let \mathcal{IW}^β denote the set of all ordered walls of type β , and let $\mathcal{IW}_\alpha^\beta$ be the set of indexed $\alpha\beta$ -walls.

Theorem 7.20. For any fixed strong compositions $\beta \preceq \alpha$,

$$|\mathcal{IW}_\alpha^\beta| = m_1(\beta)! m_2(\beta)! \cdots m_n(\beta)!$$

Proof. Since the bricks in the $\alpha\beta$ -wall must be indexed in order of increasing size, there are $m_i(\beta)!$ ways to index the bricks of size i for each $i \in [n]$. \square

Definition 7.21. If W is an indexed wall of shape α and type β , let $\text{fb}(W)$ give the product of the factorial of the number of bricks in each row.

$$\text{fb}(W) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\alpha)} \ell(\beta^{(i)})!$$

Example 7.22. For the wall W in Example 7.10, $\text{fb}(W) = 2! \cdot 1! \cdot 3! \cdot 1! = 12$

Theorem 7.23. For $\alpha \models n$,

$$(83) \quad \begin{aligned} h_\alpha &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{IW}_\alpha} \frac{1}{\text{fb}(W)} \frac{\phi_{\text{type}(W)}}{z_{\text{type}(W)}} \\ e_\alpha &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{IW}_\alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\text{sh}(W)| - \ell(\text{type}(W))}}{\text{fb}(W)} \frac{\phi_{\text{type}(W)}}{z_{\text{type}(W)}} \\ \phi_\alpha &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{IW}_\alpha} \frac{1}{\text{fb}(W)} M_{\text{sh}(W)} \\ \phi_\alpha &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{IW}_\alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\text{sh}(W)| - \ell(\text{type}(W))}}{\text{fb}(W)} \text{For}_{\text{sh}(W)} \end{aligned}$$

The (incredibly) attentive reader will notice that to this point we have yet to give combinatorial interpretations for a number of change of basis equations involving $\{\psi_\alpha\}$. While it's possible to give such an interpretation, there does not appear to be an analogue of indexed walls that is natural and simplifies their presentation from the original in [7], so we omit them here.

Acknowledgement. The first author wishes to gratefully acknowledge the Institut Mittag-Leffler for graciously hosting her during a portion of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cristina Ballantine et al. “On quasisymmetric power sums”. In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* 175 (2020), p. 105273. ISSN: 0097-3165.
- [2] D. Beck, J. Remmel, and T. Whitehead. “The combinatorics of transition matrices between the bases of the symmetric functions and the B_n analogues”. In: *Discrete Math.* 153 (1996), pp. 3–27.
- [3] Chris Berg et al. “A lift of the Schur and Hall–Littlewood bases to non-commutative symmetric functions”. In: *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* 66.3 (2014), pp. 525–565.
- [4] Peter Doubilet. “On the foundations of combinatorial theory. VII: Symmetric functions through the theory of distribution and occupancy”. In: *Studies in Applied Mathematics* 51.4 (1972), pp. 377–396.
- [5] Gérard Duchamp et al. “Noncommutative symmetric functions III: Deformations of Cauchy and convolution algebras”. In: *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science* 1 (1997).

- [6] Ömer Eğecioğlu and Jeffrey B. Remmel. “Brick tabloids and the connection matrices between bases of symmetric functions”. In: *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 34.1–3 (1991), pp. 107–120.
- [7] Israel M. Gelfand et al. “Noncommutative symmetric functions”. In: *Adv. Math.* 112.2 (1995), pp. 218–348. ISSN: 0001-8708,1090-2082. DOI: 10.1006/aima.1995.1032. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1995.1032>.
- [8] Ira M Gessel. “Multipartite P-partitions and inner products of skew Schur functions”. In: *Contemporary Mathematics* 34 (1984), pp. 289–301.
- [9] James Haglund et al. “Quasisymmetric Schur functions”. In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* 118.2 (2011), pp. 463–490.
- [10] Jia Huang. “A tableau approach to the representation theory of 0-Hecke algebras”. In: *Annals of Combinatorics* 20.4 (2016), pp. 831–868.
- [11] Daniel Krob, Bernard Leclerc, and J-Y Thibon. “Noncommutative symmetric functions II: Transformations of alphabets”. In: *International Journal of Algebra and Computation* 7.02 (1997), pp. 181–264.
- [12] Daniel Krob and Jean-Yves Thibon. “Noncommutative symmetric functions IV: Quantum linear groups and Hecke algebras at $q = 0$ ”. In: *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* 6.4 (1997), pp. 339–376.
- [13] Daniel Krob and Jean-Yves Thibon. “Noncommutative symmetric functions V: A degenerate version of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ ”. In: *International Journal of Algebra and Computation* 9 (1999), pp. 405–430.
- [14] Alain Lascoux and Bernard Leclerc. “The plactic monoid”. In: *Algebraic combinatorics on words*. Ed. by Monsieur Lothaire. Vol. 90. Cambridge university press, 2002.
- [15] Kurt Luoto, Stefan Mykytiuk, and Stephanie van Willigenburg. *An Introduction to Quasisymmetric Schur Functions*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. Springer, 2013.
- [16] Ian G. Macdonald. *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, Second Edition*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [17] Claudia Malvenuto and Christophe Reutenauer. “Duality between quasi-symmetrical functions and the solomon descent algebra”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 177.3 (1995), pp. 967–982.
- [18] Robert McCloskey. “On Change-of-Basis of Quasisymmetric and Noncommutative Symmetric Functions”. PhD thesis. Lehigh University, 2024. URL: <https://www.proquest.com/docview/2931516863>.
- [19] Mercedes Rosas and Bruce Sagan. “Symmetric functions in noncommuting variables”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 358.1 (2006), pp. 215–232.
- [20] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 2*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2001.