

Lower bound of black hole hair in pure Lovelock theory of gravity

Pabitra Tripathy*

*Theory Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics. 1/AF Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata 700064, India
Homi Bhabha National Institute, Training School Complex, Anushaktinagar, Mumbai 400094, India*

As an alternative to the “no hair conjecture,” the “no short hair conjecture” for hairy black holes was established earlier. This theorem stipulates that hair must be present above $3/2$ of the event horizon radius for a hairy black hole. It is assumed that the nonlinear behavior of the matter field plays a key role in the presence of such hair. Subsequently, it was established that the hair must extend beyond the photon sphere of the corresponding black hole. We have investigated the validity of the “no short hair conjecture” in pure Lovelock gravity. Our analysis has shown that irrespective of dimensionality and Lovelock order, the hair of a static, spherically symmetric black hole extends at least up to the photon sphere.

Keywords: Black hole hair, No hair conjecture, Pure Lovelock gravity.

I. INTRODUCTION

Despite their complex interior configurations, black holes can be fully characterized by a few conserved charges measured at asymptotic infinity, specifically, mass, electric charge, magnetic charge, and angular momentum. This concept is central to the “no hair conjecture (NHC)” [1]. According to the NHC, a matter field without conserved charge at asymptotic infinity cannot be stabilized in the presence of a black hole; it will either radiate away or be absorbed by the black hole [2]. As a result, the NHC excludes the presence of scalar [3], massive vector [4], and spinor [5] fields from the exterior of a stationary black hole.

However, this idea was challenged as various “hairy” black holes were discovered, requiring additional parameters unrelated to conserved charges for complete specification (referred to as “hair”). Examples include “colored black holes,” which are solutions of the static SU(2) Einstein-Yang-Mills (EYM) equation and possess additional Yang-Mills hair [6]. Other examples include black hole solutions in Einstein-Skyrme, Einstein-Yang-Mills-Dilaton, Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs, and Einstein-Nonabelian-Proca theories [7–11]. The stability of these black holes has been studied, and not all of them are unstable [12–15]. Another counterexample to the uniqueness theorem is based on multi-horizon configurations in asymptotically de Sitter spacetime, where two black holes can be in static equilibrium due to a balance between gravitational pull and cosmic expansion, violating the uniqueness theorem without matter [16].

This existence of hair challenges the NHC’s validity and raises questions about the assumed behavior of the field that led to its establishment. It has become clear that the interaction between matter content near the horizon and in the faraway region is a key factor in the existence of such hair [2]. This raises the question of whether a lower bound of matter content following nontrivial character is necessary, or in other words, what is the minimum length of hair, and can it become arbitrarily small? The “no short hair conjecture” elegantly demonstrates a universal lower bound on the length of hair (r_{hair}) [2], stating that the nonlinear behavior of the matter field extends beyond $3/2$ of the horizon radius (r_H), terming this region the “hairsphere.”

Null circular geodesics play a crucial role in understanding the geometric structure of black hole spacetime, with the photon orbit separating the exterior of the black hole spacetime into two regions, below which no test particle can orbit the black hole. The photon orbit of Schwarzschild spacetime is $3r_H/2$. This connection with the hairsphere in the Schwarzschild case naturally raises the question: is there a connection between the photon orbit and the lower bound of the nontrivial behavior of the near-horizon field? A fascinating development showed that the hair must extend at least up to the height of the photon sphere (r_{photon}) of a static spherically symmetric black hole [17]. Specifically, it was shown that $r_{\text{hair}} \geq r_{\text{photon}}$. This finding increases the importance of the no short hair conjecture from an observational perspective, as it implies that if hair is present, it must extend at least up to the photon orbit, making the study of the near-photon orbit region sufficient for detection. The gradual improvement in the precision of gravitational wave detection and analysis has enabled more accurate probing of the near-field region, offering the possibility of testing the signature of black hole hair [18–22].

It is important to note that the formal proof of the no short hair conjecture and its connection with the photon orbit is limited to Einstein’s theory and four dimensions. However, it was shown that the no short hair theorem can

*Electronic address: pabitra.tripathy@saha.ac.in

be generalized to arbitrary spacetime dimensions ($d \geq 4$) and other theories of gravity, stating that regardless of the theory of gravitation and dimension, the hair of any static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically flat black hole must extend at least up to the innermost light ring [22]. This generalization aids in studying the no short hair theorem in modified theories of gravity and dismisses the possibility of using it to describe the theory.

Motivated by this generalization of the no short hair conjecture in arbitrary dimensions, this study investigates the theorem for pure Lovelock gravity without restrictions in dimension and Lovelock order. We verify that for a static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically flat pure Lovelock black hole, the nontrivial characteristic of the matter field must extend at least up to the photon orbit. Pure Lovelock gravity is a theory distinguished by a modified kinetic term in dimensions greater than 4, expressed through a polynomial in the Riemann curvature of order N . When N is set to 1, the theory simplifies to the linear term, corresponding to scalar curvature and aligning with Einstein's General Relativity (GR). In the case of four dimensions, N is restricted to 1. Generally, the values of d and N are independent, except for the constraint that N greater than one is only allowed in dimensions greater than 4, while it consistently holds that N is less than $[(d-1)/2]$. Despite the presence of higher curvature terms in the Lagrangian for pure Lovelock theories, the field equations remain second-order [23–29].

The structure of this paper unfolds as follows: In Section II, we delve into the computation of the lower bound of black hole hair within this theory. Our conclusions, detailed in Section III, encapsulate a thorough discussion of our discoveries.

Notations and Conventions: Throughout our calculations, we adopt the convention $c = \hbar = G = 1$. Additionally, our metric adheres to the mostly positive signature convention, where in four spacetime dimensions, the Minkowski metric is represented by $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag.}(-1, +1, +1, +1)$.

II. BOUND IN BLACK HOLE HAIR IN PURE LOVELOCK THEORY

We consider static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically flat black-hole spacetime in pure Lovelock gravity. The line element can be written in the following form,

$$ds^2 = -e^\nu dt^2 + e^\lambda dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{d-2}^2, \quad (1)$$

where ν and λ are functions of radial coordinate r and $d\Omega_{d-2}^2$ is the line element on the surface of a $d-2$ dimensional sphere.

Asymptotic flatness requires that as $r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$e^\nu \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad e^\lambda \rightarrow 1, \quad (2)$$

At the event horizon $r = r_H$,

$$e^{-\lambda(r_H)} = 0. \quad (3)$$

We take the energy-momentum tensor components as $T_t^t = -\rho$, $T_r^r = T_\theta^\theta = T_\psi^\psi = \dots = p$, where ρ is the energy density and p is the radial pressure. Without loss of generality, we have taken all tangential pressure equal to the radial pressure to keep the analytical expression simple.

The corresponding field equations can be written as [30],

$$8\pi\rho(r) = \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda})^{N-1}}{2^{N-1}r^{2N}} [rN\lambda'e^{-\lambda} + (d - 2N - 1)(1 - e^{-\lambda})] \quad (4)$$

and

$$8\pi p(r) = \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda})^{N-1}}{2^{N-1}r^{2N}} [rN\nu'e^{-\lambda} - (d - 2N - 1)(1 - e^{-\lambda})]. \quad (5)$$

From the conservation of energy-momentum tensor, i.e., $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ we get,

$$2p' = -\nu'(\rho + p). \quad (6)$$

Here, all prime denotes the derivative with respect to the radial coordinate r .

Substituting Eq. 5 in Eq. 6 we get the pressure gradient as follows,

$$p'(r) = -\frac{(\rho + p)}{2} \frac{2^{N+2}\pi p r^{2N} + (d - 2N - 1)(1 - e^{-\lambda})^N}{N r e^{-\lambda} (1 - e^{-\lambda})^{N-1}}. \quad (7)$$

Now we consider a function $P(r) = r^d p(r)$. We analyze the behavior of this function in the horizon and near-horizon regions. $P(r)$ represents the behavior of the matter field in the black hole spacetime. Our goal is to find out the region where $P(r)$ depicts a nontrivial behavior, i.e., $P(r) \neq 0$, and we follow the prescription of the paper [17].

Using Eq. 7, we find the gradient of the function P as follows,

$$P'(r) = d r^{d-1} p - \frac{(\rho + p) 2^{N+2} \pi p r^{2N} + (d - 2N - 1)(1 - e^{-\lambda})^N}{2 N r^{1-d} e^{-\lambda} (1 - e^{-\lambda})^{N-1}} . \quad (8)$$

We assume some conditions on the energy-momentum tensor of the matter field outside the horizon. The conditions are the following:

1. The weak energy condition must be satisfied, which implies,

$$\rho \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho + p \geq 0 . \quad (9)$$

2. The trace of energy-momentum tensor is non-positive, i.e.,

$$T \leq 0 , \quad (10)$$

which implies, $\rho \geq (d - 1)p$.

3. There is no conserved charge at asymptotic infinity associated with the matter field following the definition of hair [2]. We assume that p and ρ go to zero faster than r^{-d} to satisfy this condition. Therefore,

$$P(r) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty . \quad (11)$$

Now, we shall study the behavior of $P(r)$ and $P'(r)$ at the horizon. From Eq. 3 and Eq. 5 we find that, $p(r_H) < 0$. Therefore,

$$P(r_H) < 0 . \quad (12)$$

From Eq. 8, it follows that the second term on the right-hand side diverges. The requirement of the regularity of the horizon ensures that the left-hand side is finite on the horizon. This gives the following conditions,

$$\rho(r_H) = -p(r_H) . \quad (13)$$

Substituting Eq. 3, Eq. 5 and Eq. 13 into Eq. 8, we obtain

$$P'(r_H) < 0 . \quad (14)$$

Since gravity is kinematic in critical dimension $d = 2N + 1$, we have considered dimensions $d > 2N + 1$ [25]. Next, we shall find out the radius of the photon orbit and examine the nature of $P(r)$ there. Photon orbit is the bound below which no test particle can orbit the black hole. For the line element Eq. 1, one finds the Lagrangian describes the geodesic in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$) as follows

$$2L = -e^\nu \dot{t}^2 + e^\lambda \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\phi}^2 , \quad (15)$$

where dots represent the derivative with respect to the proper time. Corresponding conjugate momenta are given by,

$$p_t = -e^\nu \dot{t} \equiv -\mathcal{E} = \text{const} , \quad (16)$$

$$p_\phi = r^2 \dot{\phi} \equiv \mathcal{L} = \text{const} , \quad (17)$$

and

$$p_r = e^\lambda \dot{r} . \quad (18)$$

As the exterior spacetime is static and spherically symmetric, there are two constants of motion. These are represented by \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{L} . Using Eq. 16, Eq. 17, and Eq. 15 the Hamiltonian of the system can be written as,

$$2H = -\mathcal{E}^2 e^{-\nu} + \frac{\mathcal{L}^2}{r^2} + e^\lambda \dot{r}^2 = \epsilon = \text{const} , \quad (19)$$




FIG. 1: A schematic representation depicts the behavior of the function $P(r)$ across various regions of spacetime (illustrated by the green curve), with r represented on the horizontal axis. Here, r denotes the coordinate distance from the center of the black hole. The vertical red line signifies the position of the local minima of $P(r)$. We illustrate the lower bound of the position of these minima. At $r = r_H$, $P(r)$ is negative, while as r approaches infinity, $P(r)$ converges to 0.

where $\epsilon = 0$ and $\epsilon = -1$ represent the null and timelike geodesics, respectively. Rearranging Eq. 19 we obtain

$$\dot{r}^2 = e^{-\lambda} \left[\mathcal{E}^2 e^{-\nu} - \frac{\mathcal{L}^2}{r^2} + \epsilon \right]. \quad (20)$$

The circular geodesics are defined by $\dot{r}^2 = (\dot{r}^2)' = 0$ [31]. Imposing this condition into Eq. 20, we find the following relations for timelike circular geodesic,

$$\mathcal{E}^2 = \frac{2e^\nu}{2 - r\nu'} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}^2 = \frac{r^3 \nu'}{2 - r\nu'}. \quad (22)$$

To ensure the positivity of energy, we find the following inequality,

$$2 - r\nu' > 0. \quad (23)$$

The radius of the null circular orbit can be found from Eq. 20, which is given by

$$r_p = \frac{2}{\nu'}. \quad (24)$$

Using Eq. 5, Eq. 23 and Eq. 24 we obtain the following condition

$$2\mathcal{A}N e^{-\lambda} - 8\pi p - \mathcal{A}(d - 2N - 1)(1 - e^{-\lambda}) \geq 0, \quad (25)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda})^{N-1}}{2^{N-1} r^{2N}}$. The equality sign refers to the null circular orbits. This bound specifies the spacetime region permissible for the corresponding timelike and null geodesics. To find the characteristic of $P(r)$ in this region, we put Eq. 25 into Eq. 8 and use the trace condition Eq. 10, we obtain the following relation

$$P'(r_p) = r_p^{d-1} T \leq 0, \quad (26)$$

where $T = [-\rho + (d - 1)p]$ is the trace of energy-momentum tensor.

Analysis: Let's analyze the functional behavior of $P(r)$ in different regions of spacetime. From the above derivation, we see that the function $P(r)$ and its derivative (Eq. 12, Eq. 14) is negative at the event horizon. Next, we find that the gradient of $P(r)$ either vanishes or is negative at the photon sphere (Eq. 26). According to the conditions on the energy-momentum tensor, the function $P(r)$ must vanish at asymptotic infinity. Therefore, it is clear that $P(r)$ admits a local minimum at the photon sphere ($r = r_p$) or beyond it (see Fig.1). This analysis concludes that the hair must present at least up to the photon orbit of the corresponding black hole spacetime, i.e., $r_{hair} \geq r_p$. Therefore, the matter field's nontrivial (nonasymptotic) behavior must be present at least up to the null circular geodesic starting from the horizon. Therefore, the lower bound of the length of the hair of a hairy black hole in pure Lovelock gravity is the radius of the light ring (r_p), independent of the dimensionality and Lovelock order.

III. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we've confirmed the 'no short hair conjecture' validity for black holes in pure Lovelock gravity. Through thorough examination, we've established that the hair surrounding these black holes extends at least to the photon sphere. What's intriguing is that regardless of the dimensionality or Lovelock order, the length of this hair remains consistent, highlighting a universal aspect of these gravitational phenomena.

Interestingly, our analysis doesn't require explicit knowledge of the metric coefficients. The spherical symmetry and staticity of the spacetime and strong energy condition are sufficient for our investigation. This analysis provides a roadmap for detecting the presence of hair in astrophysical measurements of pure Lovelock black holes.

A growing interest has recently been in studying hairy black holes in various systems. It would be particularly fascinating to discover such hairy black holes in pure Lovelock gravity and explicitly explore their hair's characteristics.

Furthermore, investigating the conjecture for pure Lovelock black holes while relaxing the staticity and spherical symmetry conditions presents an intriguing avenue for future research.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Chiranjeeb Sinha for proposing the problem and providing valuable insights through useful discussions. We also extend our special thanks to Amit Ghosh and Pritam Nanda for their fruitful discussions and suggestions at various stages of this work.

-
- [1] Remo Ruffini, John A. Wheeler “Introducing the black hole” *Physics Today* 1 January 1971; 24 (1): 30–41.
 - [2] D. Nunez, H. Quevedo and D. Sudarsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** (1996), 571-574 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.571 [arXiv:gr-qc/9601020 [gr-qc]].
 - [3] J. E. Chase, “Event horizons in static scalar-vacuum space-times” *Commun.Math. Phys.* 19, 276–288 (1970); J. D. Bekenstein, “Transcendence of the Law of Baryon-Number Conservation in Black-Hole Physics”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 28, 452 (1972); C. Teitelboim, “Nonmeasurability of the baryon number of a black-hole”, *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* 3, 326 (1972)
 - [4] J. D. Bekenstein, “Nonexistence of Baryon Number for Static Black Holes”, *Phys. Rev. D* 5, 1239 (1972); 5, 2403 (1972); M. Heusler, “A no-hair theorem for self-gravitating nonlinear sigma models”, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* 33, 3497 (1992); D. Sudarsky, “A simple proof of a no-hair theorem in Einstein-Higgs theory”, *Classical Quantum Gravity* 12, 579 (1995).
 - [5] J. Hartle, “Long-Range Neutrino Forces Exerted by Kerr Black Holes”, *Phys. Rev. D* 3, 2938 (1971); C. Teitelboim, “Nonmeasurability of the lepton number of a black hole”, *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* 3, 397 (1972).
 - [6] P. Bizoń, “Colored Black Holes”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 64, 2844 (1990); M. S. Volkov and D. V. Gal'tsov, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 51, 1171 (1990); H. P. Kuenzle and A. K. M. Masood-ul-Alam, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* 31, 928 (1990).
 - [7] P. Bizoń and T. Chamj, “Gravitating skyrmions”, *Phys. Lett. B* 297, 55 (1992); M. Heusler, S. Droz, and N. Straumann, “New black hole solutions with hair”, *Phys. Lett. B* 268, 371 (1991).
 - [8] G. Lavrelashvili and D. Maison, “Regular and black hole solutions of Einstein-Yang-Mills dilaton theory”, *Nucl. Phys.* B410, 407 (1993).
 - [9] B. R. Greene, S. D. Mathur, and C. O'Neill, “Eluding the no-hair conjecture: Black holes in spontaneously broken gauge theories”, *Phys. Rev. D* 47, 2242 (1993); T. Torii, K. Maeda, and T. Tachizawa, *Phys. Rev. D* 51, 1510 (1995).
 - [10] N. E. Mavromatos and E. Winstanley, “Aspects of hairy black holes in spontaneously broken Einstein-Yang-Mills systems: Stability analysis and entropy considerations”, *Phys. Rev. D* 53, 3190 (1996).
 - [11] M. S. Volkov and D. V. Gal'tsov, “Gravitating non-Abelian solitons and black holes with Yang-Mills fields”, *Phys. Rep.* 319, 1 (1999).
 - [12] N. Straumann and Z.-H. Zhou, “Instability of a colored black hole solution”, *Phys. Lett. B* 243, 33 (1990).
 - [13] P. Bizoń and R. M. Wald, “The n=1 colored black hole is unstable”, *Phys. Lett. B* 267, 173 (1991).
 - [14] G. V. Lavrelashvili and D. Maison, “A remark on the instability of the Bartnik-McKinnon solutions”, *Phys. Lett. B* 343, 214 (1995).
 - [15] P. Bizoń, “Stability of Einstein Yang-Mills black holes”, *Phys. Lett. B* 259, 53 (1991).
 - [16] Dias, Óscar J.C. . Dias, Gary W. Gibbons, Jorge E. Santos, and Benson Way, “Static Black Binaries in de Sitter”, 10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.131401.
 - [17] Hod, Shahar, “Hairy black holes and null circular geodesics”, 10.1103/physrevd.84.124030.
 - [18] P. Kocherlakota et al. (Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* 103, 104047 (2021).
 - [19] P. V. P. Cunha, C. A. R. Herdeiro, E. Radu, and H. F. Runarsson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 115, 211102 (2015).
 - [20] V. P. P. Cunha, C. A. R. Herdeiro, and E. Radu, *Universe* 5, 220 (2019).
 - [21] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116, 061102 (2016).
 - [22] Rajes Ghosh and Selim Sk and Sudipta Sarkar, 10.1103/PhysRevD.108.L041501.

- [23] R.Gannouji, Y.Rodr, guez Baez and N. Dadhich, “Pure Lovelock black holes in dimensions $d = 3N + 1$ are stable,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) no.8, 084011 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.084011 [arXiv:1907.09503 [gr-qc]].
- [24] N. Dadhich and J. M. Pons, “Static pure Lovelock black hole solutions with horizon topology $S^{(n)} \times S^{(n)}$,” *JHEP* **05** (2015) 067, arXiv:1503.00974 [gr-qc].
- [25] N. Dadhich, S. G. Ghosh, and S. Jhingan, *Phys. Lett. B* **711** (2012) 196–198, arXiv:1202.4575 [gr-qc].
- [26] R. Gannouji and N. Dadhich, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014) 165016, arXiv:1311.4543 [gr-qc].
- [27] N. Dadhich, R. Durka, N. Merino, and O. Miskovic, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** no. 6, (2016) 064009, arXiv:1511.02541 [hep-th].
- [28] T. Padmanabhan and D. Kothawala, *Phys. Rept.* **531** (2013) 115–171, arXiv:1302.2151 [gr-qc].
- [29] N. Dadhich, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** no. 3, (2016) 104, arXiv:1506.08764 [gr-qc].
- [30] Dadhich, Naresh and Chakraborty, Sumanta, 10.1103/physrevd.95.064059.
- [31] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1983).