

# Snowy Scenes, Clear Detections: A Robust Model for Traffic Light Detection in Adverse Weather Conditions

Shivank Garg\*\*

shivank\_g@mfs.iitr.ac.in

Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee  
Roorkee, India

Amit Agarwal

aagarwal3@cs.iitr.ac.in

Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee.  
Roorkee, India

Abhishek Baghel

abhishek\_b@mfs.iitr.ac.in

Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee  
Roorkee, India

Durga Toshniwal

durga.toshniwal@cs.iitr.ac.in

Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee  
Roorkee, India

## ABSTRACT

With the rise of autonomous vehicles and advanced driver-assistance systems (ADAS), ensuring reliable object detection in all weather conditions is crucial for safety and efficiency. Adverse weather like snow, rain, and fog presents major challenges for current detection systems, often resulting in failures and potential safety risks. This paper introduces a novel framework and pipeline designed to improve object detection under such conditions, focusing on traffic signal detection where traditional methods often fail due to domain shifts caused by adverse weather. We provide a comprehensive analysis of the limitations of existing techniques. Our proposed pipeline significantly enhances detection accuracy in snow, rain, and fog. Results show a 40.8% improvement in average IoU and F1 scores compared to naive fine-tuning and a 22.4% performance increase in domain shift scenarios, such as training on artificial snow and testing on rain images.

## KEYWORDS

Object Detection, Traffic Light Detection, Synthetic Dataset, Autonomous Driving

### ACM Reference Format:

Shivank Garg, Abhishek Baghel, Amit Agarwal, and Durga Toshniwal. 2024. Snowy Scenes, Clear Detections: A Robust Model for Traffic Light Detection in Adverse Weather Conditions. In *Proceedings of Make sure to enter the correct conference title from your rights confirmation email (KDD-UC '24)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the rise of autonomous vehicles has significantly increased the demand for reliable object detection systems [Zaidi et al. 2021] that can operate effectively under various weather conditions. Ensuring the accurate identification of traffic signals during adverse weather, such as snow, is essential for the safe operation of self-driving cars. Maintaining high detection accuracy in these

challenging conditions can greatly enhance the safety and reliability of autonomous driving systems [Badue et al. 2021], helping to prevent accidents and improve overall traffic safety. Beyond autonomous vehicles, robust object detection models are crucial for other critical applications, including advanced driver-assistance systems (ADAS), intelligent traffic management, and disaster response robotics, where precise and reliable visual recognition under varying environmental conditions is vital.

The importance of dependable traffic signal detection is highlighted by real-world incidents. For instance, during testing periods in California, autonomous vehicles have experienced accidents due to the failure to correctly detect traffic signals. In some cases, these failures have led to disengagements where human drivers had to take control to avoid potential collisions [Favarò et al. 2017]. Reports indicate that Google's autonomous vehicles recorded several disengagements due to improper perception of traffic lights, which could have resulted in accidents if not promptly managed by safety drivers [Dixit et al. 2016]. Moreover, a comprehensive survey [Van Brummelen et al. 2018] on vehicle perception underscores the ongoing need to improve vehicular perception, particularly for object detection in poor weather conditions, and suggests that data fusion could address this issue.


Over the past decade, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) [Li et al. 2021] have become essential tools for tasks such as object detection [Zaidi et al. 2021], image segmentation [Cheng et al. 2001], and image restoration [Banham and Katsaggelos 1997]. Despite their widespread use, these deep learning models are largely data-driven and tend to overfit their training data [Rice et al. 2020], which can result in significant performance drops when faced with datasets that differ substantially from the training data. This issue is particularly crucial in high-stakes applications like autonomous driving, where dependable object detection is vital to prevent accidents and ensure safety. The growing importance of robust object detection in such sensitive tasks underscores the need to address the challenges posed by differing data distributions.

This research introduces a novel pipeline and framework aimed at improving model training by incorporating both synthetic and real images. This method seeks to enhance overall accuracy, especially when handling test data that significantly diverges from the training distribution. The proposed approach demonstrates potential for increased reliability and safety in critical real-world

\*First three authors contributed equally to this research.

KDD-UC '24, August 25–29, 2024, Barcelona, Spain

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here for your personal use. Not for redistribution. The definitive Version of Record was published in *Proceedings of Make sure to enter the correct conference title from your rights confirmation email (KDD-UC '24)*, <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>.



**Figure 1: Our Pipeline: We create a synthetic version of the ground truth image and use either the original or the synthesized one for training the model.**

applications. Notably, this work is among the first to address the detection of traffic signals in snowy conditions.

A new traffic light dataset has been created, comprising both synthetic and real images. The real images are based on the Bosch Small Traffic Light Dataset [Behrendt and Novak 2017], while the synthetic images are generated using the approach by [Zhang et al. 2023].

Several state-of-the-art object detection models, including Detec-tron 2 [Wu et al. 2019], YOLO v7 [Wang et al. 2023], and YOLO v8 [Jocher et al. 2023], are evaluated using this dataset. Performance comparisons between the ground truth dataset and the artificially generated images indicate that the highest detection accuracy is achieved with the newly created dataset. Additionally, experiments demonstrate that models trained using this pipeline also perform well in real-life environments with fire, fog, smog, and snow [Zhang et al. 2021], suggesting robust applicability across various adverse weather conditions.

The proposed pipeline is versatile, accommodating various noise types and image editing techniques, such as those based on Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [Goodfellow et al. 2014], diffusion models [Ho et al. 2020], and OpenCV [Bradski 2000]. This flexibility allows for the synthesis of adverse weather images and the application of different models for fine-tuning. While this study focuses on traffic light detection under hazing conditions, the pipeline can be extended to other tasks as well. The code for our work is available at [Link to code](#)

## 2 RELATED WORKS

Recent advancements in deep learning have revolutionized the field of image editing [Bayar and Stamm 2016], enabling sophisticated modifications with high levels of realism and precision. Techniques such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [Goodfellow et al. 2014] and diffusion models [Ho et al. 2020] have proven particularly effective in generating and altering images. These methods can introduce artificial elements, remove noise, and enhance image quality, making them invaluable for applications in various domains, including improving the robustness for traffic signal detection under adverse weather conditions.

### 2.1 Object Detection during Bad weather condition:

There are several examples of deep learning-based identification tasks for autonomous driving-related objects like cars, people, traffic lights, drivable pathways, or lanes. For instance, deep learning frameworks were utilized by [Tao et al. 2022] to detect vehicles in foggy conditions using an attention module for better feature extraction. The ZUT dataset offered by [Tumas et al. 2020] and the YOLOv3 [Redmon and Farhadi 2018] technique were employed to identify pedestrians in adverse weather conditions, including rain, fog, and frost. Further, YOLO approaches have been used to detect pedestrians in hazy weather [Li et al. 2019]. More examples of deep learning networks for object detection in adverse weather conditions include the dual subnet network (DSNet) [Huang et al. 2020] that jointly learned visibility enhancement, object classification, and localization [Qin et al. 2022], and DENet, an adaptive image enhancement model trained with YOLOv3 for better detection in challenging conditions.

### 2.2 Recent Technique for object detection:

**2.2.1 Faster RCNN.** Faster R-CNN [Ren et al. 2015] [Girshick 2015] is a object detection model that integrates deep convolutional networks with Region Proposal Networks (RPNs) to achieve high-speed and accurate object detection. The model's architecture effectively combines feature extraction and region proposal into a single, unified framework, enhancing both efficiency and performance. Its design set a new object detection standard, demonstrating superior capabilities in various applications.

**2.2.2 YOLO.** The YOLO ("You Only Look Once") [Redmon et al. 2016] model processes an input image using a deep convolutional neural network to detect objects within the image. Initially, the model's convolutional layers are pre-trained on the ImageNet dataset by incorporating a temporary average pooling layer and a fully connected layer. Following this pre-training phase, the model is adapted and fine-tuned specifically for object detection tasks.

**2.2.3 YOLO V7.** The YOLO v7 [Wang et al. 2023] model significantly outperforms previous YOLO-based models. Unlike its predecessors, YOLO v7 employs a focal loss function rather than the

traditional cross-entropy loss, enhancing its detection capabilities. Additionally, it utilizes a set of nine predefined anchor boxes for more efficient image detection and operates at a higher resolution, further improving its accuracy and performance.

**2.2.4 YOLO V8.** YOLO v8 [Jocher et al. 2023] builds upon the strengths of its predecessors, enhancing both speed and accuracy in object detection. It utilizes the CSPDarknet53 architecture as its backbone and incorporates a Path Aggregation Network to integrate information from different scales within the image. Additionally, YOLO v8 introduces more efficient feature extraction methods and improved anchor-free detection mechanisms, enabling superior performance with reduced computational resource requirements.

### 3 METHOD AND EXPERIMENTS

We propose an innovative pipeline to fine-tune and train object detection models, achieving higher accuracy even when there is a high difference between the actual test data and the training data. Our work in particular, focuses on the challenging task of detecting traffic lights in adverse, snowy weather conditions. Our approach involves using ground truth images and labels to generate synthetic images [Section 3.2]. These synthetic images are then used to fine-tune our models, with detailed parameters and model choices explained in [Section 3.3]. The structure of our pipeline is illustrated in [Figure 1].

#### 3.1 Dataset

To train and test our model, we use the Bosch Small Traffic Light Dataset [Behrendt and Novak 2017], which consists of 13,427 camera images at a resolution of 1280x720 pixels, and approximately 24,000 annotated traffic lights. For our experiments, we consider a subset of 1,600 images to train our models.

#### 3.2 Synthetic Dataset Generation

Based on the approach detailed in the [Zhang et al. 2023] paper, we developed a method to create a synthetic dataset of snowy images. The approach leverages principles from light transport to automate the generation of high-quality image pairs, ensuring diverse and realistic weather conditions. The method utilizes light transport principles to effectively simulate and curate weather conditions, particularly snow, without the need for manual labeling which was required by previous works. The process involves the following key steps:

- (1) **Resize the Image and Initialize variables:** Resize the image to a fixed shape. Select the mean and standard deviation for sampling from the Gaussian distribution. Determine the number of different particle sizes to add to the image using the values in SCALE\_ARRAY (we use five different scales). Iterate over the different scales and during each iteration, perform the following steps:
- (2) **Create Noise Array:** Create a noise array from the selected mean and standard deviation using a Gaussian distribution.
- (3) **Apply Gaussian Filter:** Smooth the noise array using a Gaussian filter [Young and Van Vliet 1995]. Apply a threshold value, setting all values above it to one and all values below

it to zero.

$$G(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad (1)$$

Where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the Gaussian distribution, and  $x$  and  $y$  are the spatial coordinates.

- (4) **Motion Blur:** Apply a motion blur [Gong et al. 2017] to the noise array using a kernel. The kernel is created by first generating an array with a horizontal line and then rotating it by a random angle to apply the motion blur in random directions. The kernel is smoothed according to the scales using a Gaussian filter. If the scale is small, the kernel is smoothed more; if the scale is large, the smoothing is less; and for moderate scales, the kernel is moderately smoothed.
- (5) **Blend Noise Layer:** Blend the obtained noisy layer with the input image for each iteration using the formula:

$$\text{input\_img}^k = \text{input\_img}^{k-1} \times (1 - \text{layer}) + \text{layer} \times 255 \quad (2)$$

- (6) **Resize to Original Dimensions:** Resize the final image back to the original dimensions and return it.

#### 3.3 Fine Tuning

To demonstrate the efficiency of our proposed pipeline, we conducted extensive experiments evaluating the performance of Faster-RCNN pre-trained on COCO Dataset using Detectron 2, YOLO v7, and YOLO v8. We fine-tuned these pre-trained models on three different datasets: the ground truth dataset, the synthetic dataset, and the dataset generated using our proposed pipeline. The configurations for fine-tuning the models are detailed in [Table 1].

	YOLO v8	YOLO v7	Faster RCNN
<b>Epochs</b>	50	50	12000(Iterations)
<b>Batch Size</b>	16	16	2
<b>Learning Rate</b>	0.01-0.1	0.01-0.1	0.001
<b>Scheduler</b>	Linear	Linear	None

Table 1: Configuration details for model fine-tuning

In our experiments, we first fine-tuned each model on the ground truth dataset to establish a baseline performance. Next, we trained the models on the synthetic dataset, which was created using the method described earlier. Finally, we applied our proposed pipeline to generate an enhanced training dataset that includes both synthetic and real images with adverse weather conditions.

Our results indicate that the models achieve significantly better performance on the test data and real-life images when trained using our proposed pipeline.

## 4 RESULTS

### 4.1 Validation Results

In this work, all fine-tuned models were rigorously evaluated using a validation dataset that comprised a diverse mix of real, synthetic, and real snow images. The detailed results of these evaluations are presented in [Table 2]. Our evaluation metrics included Average Intersection over Union (IoU), mean Average Precision (mAP50-95) – which represents the mean mAP over IoU thresholds ranging



**Figure 2: Comparison of YOLO v8 tested for naturally occurring real images. Left: Images tested on model fine-tuned on the proposed pipeline for artificial snow dataset. Right: Images tested on model model fine-tuned on ground truth traffic light dataset**

from 0.5 to 0.95, map50 - which represents mAP over IoU threshold of 0.5, Precision (P) and F1 scores [Padilla et al. 2020]. These metrics were assessed on a substantial validation set containing 600 images.

Based on our evaluations, the baseline model and the model fine-tuned using our pipeline performed similarly for images with light snowfall. However, for images with heavy snowfall, our models demonstrated significantly better performance compared to the baseline. Additionally, in scenarios without any snowfall, the baseline model occasionally misclassified car lights as traffic lights. In contrast, our model did not make such errors, likely due to the augmentation added during training when fine-tuning with our proposed pipeline. Some images from the validation set are shown in [Figure 3].

The calculation of IoU was performed using the following methodology:

- IoU Calculation Between Two Boxes:** The IoU between two boxes is determined by the ratio of the area of intersection to the area of union of the two boxes.

- Image-Level IoU Calculation:** For an entire image, we calculate the IoU by first finding the IoU for each matched pair of ground truth and predicted boxes. A match is identified as the pair with the highest IoU, provided this IoU exceeds a threshold of 0.5. Each ground truth box is allowed to match with only one predicted box.

- Summing IoU of Matches:** The IoU values of all matches are summed and then divided by the total number of ground truth boxes plus any additional predicted boxes, which introduces a penalty for extra predictions.

- Dataset-Level IoU Calculation:** The overall IoU for the dataset is computed as the average IoU of all individual images.


	Yolo v7		Yolo v8		Faster R-CNN	
	Base	Ours	Base	Ours	Base	Ours
Average IOU	0.183	<b>0.301</b>	0.218	<b>0.345</b>	0.313	<b>0.362</b>
mAP@50-95	0.0569	<b>0.102</b>	0.142	<b>0.312</b>	0.135	<b>0.191</b>
mAP@50	0.122	<b>0.191</b>	0.238	<b>0.504</b>	0.251	<b>0.336</b>
Precision	0.848	<b>0.74</b>	0.697	<b>0.86</b>	0.544	<b>0.531</b>
F1 Score	0.220	<b>0.344</b>	0.320	<b>0.576</b>	0.554	<b>0.588</b>

**Table 2: Performance comparison between Yolo v7, Yolo v8, and Faster R-CNN**

It is observed that YOLO v8 performs the best among the three models for our task. We note an approximate average performance increase of 28% for YOLO v7, 41% for YOLO v8, and 16% for Faster-RCNN across all evaluation metrics, as detailed in [Table 2].

## 4.2 Results Based on Domain Shift and Real Examples

To demonstrate the robustness of the proposed pipeline, extensive experiments were conducted using real images with domain shifts. The performance of YOLO v8 fine-tuned with the proposed pipeline on snowy images was compared to YOLO v8 fine-tuned solely on the ground truth traffic light dataset. The evaluation involved 50 images each of snowfall, rainfall, fog, smog, and fire-based smoke conditions sourced from Google searches. Results indicated that the model fine-tuned using the proposed pipeline achieved superior performance. This improvement is attributed to data-based augmentation, which shifts the training data distribution, enhancing the model’s performance in scenarios where the testing data distribution largely differs from the training data, i.e., in cases involving domain shifts. Specifically, in scenarios of heavy traffic and snowfall, the baseline model showed significant inaccuracies, such as misclassifying bus lights as traffic lights, which is problematic in



**Figure 3: Visual Comparison of Results on Validation Set Obtained by YOLO v8 Model**

real-life applications. In high fog and smog conditions, the baseline model failed to detect all traffic lights within an image. Additionally, in images with substantial smoke and low visibility due to rainfall or fire, models trained using our pipeline outperformed the baseline model due to the extensive augmentation in the test set. Detailed results on a selection of real images are shown in [Figure 2].

## 5 LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The proposed method has some limitations. It may struggle with very low visibility conditions [Ouyang et al. 2013] and may not perform well with non-standard traffic signal colors, such as brown instead of black or yellow [Zhu et al. 2012]. There is room for improvement in these specific scenarios.

## 6 CONCLUSION

In this study, a novel pipeline was introduced to enhance the training and fine-tuning of object detection models under adverse weather conditions, with a particular focus on traffic light detection in snowy environments. Using the Bosch Small Traffic Light Dataset, artificial snow was applied to the images, and models such as YOLO v7, YOLO v8, and Faster RCNN within the Detectron 2 framework were fine-tuned. Experimental results show that models fine-tuned with our proposed pipeline outperform those trained on traditional datasets in terms of IoU, mAP, and F1 metrics. This method significantly improves model performance, especially in cases of domain

shift, contributing to the development of more robust models for critical applications like autonomous driving, thereby enhancing their reliability and safety in challenging weather conditions.

## REFERENCES

- Claudine Badue, R nik Guidolini, Raphael Vivacqua Carneiro, Pedro Azevedo, Vinicius B Cardoso, Avelino Forechi, Luan Jesus, Rodrigo Berriel, Thiago M Paixao, Filipe Mutz, et al. 2021. Self-driving cars: A survey. *Expert systems with applications* 165 (2021), 113816.
- Mark R Banham and Aggelos K Katsaggelos. 1997. Digital image restoration. *IEEE signal processing magazine* 14, 2 (1997), 24–41.
- Belhassen Bayar and Matthew C Stamm. 2016. A deep learning approach to universal image manipulation detection using a new convolutional layer. In *Proceedings of the 4th ACM workshop on information hiding and multimedia security*. 5–10.
- Karsten Behrendt and Libor Novak. 2017. A Deep Learning Approach to Traffic Lights: Detection, Tracking, and Classification. In *Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2017 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE.
- Gary Bradski. 2000. The opencv library. *Dr. Dobb's Journal: Software Tools for the Professional Programmer* 25, 11 (2000), 120–123.
- Heng-Da Cheng, X\_H\_ Jjiang, Ying Sun, and Jingli Wang. 2001. Color image segmentation: advances and prospects. *Pattern recognition* 34, 12 (2001), 2259–2281.
- Vinayak V Dixit, Sai Chand, and Divya J Nair. 2016. Autonomous vehicles: disengagements, accidents and reaction times. *PLoS one* 11, 12 (2016), e0168054.
- Francesca M Favaro, Nazanin Nader, Sky O Eurich, Michelle Tripp, and Naresh Varadaraju. 2017. Examining accident reports involving autonomous vehicles in California. *PLoS one* 12, 9 (2017), e0184952.
- Ross Girshick. 2015. Fast r-cnn. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*. 1440–1448.
- Dong Gong, Jie Yang, Lingqiao Liu, Yanning Zhang, Ian Reid, Chunhua Shen, Anton Van Den Hengel, and Qinfeng Shi. 2017. From motion blur to motion flow: A deep learning solution for removing heterogeneous motion blur. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2319–2328.
- Ian J. Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Generative Adversarial Networks. arXiv:1406.2661 [stat.ML]
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 33 (2020), 6840–6851.
- Shih-Chia Huang, Trung-Hieu Le, and Da-Wei Jaw. 2020. DSNet: Joint semantic learning for object detection in inclement weather conditions. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 43, 8 (2020), 2623–2633.
- Glenn Jocher, Ayush Chaurasia, and Jing Qiu. 2023. Ultralytics YOLO. <https://github.com/ultralytics/ultralytics>
- Guofa Li, Yifan Yang, and Xingda Qu. 2019. Deep learning approaches on pedestrian detection in hazy weather. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics* 67, 10 (2019), 8889–8899.
- Zewen Li, Fan Liu, Wenjie Yang, Shouheng Peng, and Jun Zhou. 2021. A survey of convolutional neural networks: analysis, applications, and prospects. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems* 33, 12 (2021), 6999–7019.
- Wanli Ouyang, Xingyu Zeng, and Xiaogang Wang. 2013. Modeling mutual visibility relationship in pedestrian detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 3222–3229.
- Rafael Padilla, Sergio L Netto, and Eduardo AB Da Silva. 2020. A survey on performance metrics for object-detection algorithms. In *2020 international conference on systems, signals and image processing (IWSSIP)*. IEEE, 237–242.
- Qingpao Qin, Kan Chang, Mengyuan Huang, and Guiqing Li. 2022. DENet: detection-driven enhancement network for object detection under adverse weather conditions. In *Proceedings of the Asian Conference on Computer Vision*. 2813–2829.
- Joseph Redmon, Santosh Divvala, Ross Girshick, and Ali Farhadi. 2016. You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 779–788.
- Joseph Redmon and Ali Farhadi. 2018. Yolov3: An incremental improvement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.02767* (2018).
- Shaoqing Ren, Kaiming He, Ross Girshick, and Jian Sun. 2015. Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).
- Leslie Rice, Eric Wong, and Zico Kolter. 2020. Overfitting in adversarially robust deep learning. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 8093–8104.
- Ning Tao, Jia Xiangkun, Duan Xiaodong, Song Jimiao, and Liang Ranran. 2022. Vehicle detection method with low-carbon technology in haze weather based on deep neural network. *International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies* 17 (2022), 1151–1157.
- Paulius Tumas, Adam Nowosielski, and Arturas Serackis. 2020. Pedestrian detection in severe weather conditions. *Ieee Access* 8 (2020), 62775–62784.
- Jessica Van Brummelen, Marie O'brien, Dominique Gruyer, and Homayoun Najjaran. 2018. Autonomous vehicle perception: The technology of today and tomorrow. *Transportation research part C: emerging technologies* 89 (2018), 384–406.

- Chien-Yao Wang, Alexey Bochkovskiy, and Hong-Yuan Mark Liao. 2023. YOLOv7: Trainable bag-of-freebies sets new state-of-the-art for real-time object detectors. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- Yuxin Wu, Alexander Kirillov, Francisco Massa, Wan-Yen Lo, and Ross Girshick. 2019. Detectron2. <https://github.com/facebookresearch/detectron2>.
- Ian T Young and Lucas J Van Vliet. 1995. Recursive implementation of the Gaussian filter. *Signal processing* 44, 2 (1995), 139–151.
- Syed Sahil Abbas Zaidi, Mohammad Samar Ansari, Asra Aslam, Nadia Kanwal, Mamoona Asghar, and Brian Lee. 2021. A Survey of Modern Deep Learning based Object Detection Models. arXiv:2104.11892 [cs.CV]
- Howard Zhang, Yunhao Ba, Ethan Yang, Varan Mehra, Blake Gella, Akira Suzuki, Arnold Pfahnl, Chethan Chinder Chandrappa, Alex Wong, and Achuta Kadambi. 2023. WeatherStream: Light Transport Automation of Single Image Deweathering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*.
- Marvin Zhang, Henrik Marklund, Nikita Dhawan, Abhishek Gupta, Sergey Levine, and Chelsea Finn. 2021. Adaptive risk minimization: Learning to adapt to domain shift. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 34 (2021), 23664–23678.
- Xiangxin Zhu, Carl Vondrick, Deva Ramanan, and Charless C Fowlkes. 2012. Do We Need More Training Data or Better Models for Object Detection?.. In *BMVC*, Vol. 3. Citeseer.