
Situational Instructions Database: Task Guidance in Dynamic Environments

Muhammad Saif Ullah Khan
DFKI

Sankalp Sinha
DFKI

Didier Stricker
DFKI

Muhammad Zeshan Afzal
DFKI

Abstract

The Situational Instructions Database (SID) addresses the need for enhanced situational awareness in artificial intelligence (AI) systems operating in dynamic environments. By integrating detailed scene graphs with dynamically generated, task-specific instructions, SID provides a novel dataset that allows AI systems to perform complex, real-world tasks with improved context sensitivity and operational accuracy. This dataset leverages advanced generative models to simulate a variety of realistic scenarios based on the 3D Semantic Scene Graphs (3DSSG) dataset, enriching it with scenario-specific information that details environmental interactions and tasks. SID facilitates the development of AI applications that can adapt to new and evolving conditions without extensive retraining, supporting research in autonomous technology and AI-driven decision-making processes. This dataset is instrumental in developing robust, context-aware AI agents capable of effectively navigating and responding to unpredictable settings. Available for research and development, SID serves as a critical resource for advancing the capabilities of intelligent systems in complex environments. Dataset available at <https://github.com/mindgarage/situational-instructions-database>.

1 Introduction

Artificial intelligence [12] (AI) systems are increasingly required to understand and interact effectively with dynamic environments in a variety of complex settings. A crucial component of such capabilities is situational awareness [10], which involves the recognition of environmental elements, comprehension of their implications, and prediction of their future states. However, most existing AI datasets do not provide the rich contextual information necessary for effective performance in dynamic or novel situations.

To address this gap, we introduce the Situational Instructions Database (SID), a novel resource that combines detailed scene graphs with situational instructions. SID aims to enhance situational awareness by providing AI systems with data that reflects the complexities of real-world environments and the tasks that may be performed within them. This integration of scene-specific data with dynamic task instructions offers significant potential for the development of AI models that can assist users in real-time by providing contextually relevant guidance based on their immediate surroundings.

The contributions of this work are threefold:

- We propose a novel method for the generation of realistic, varied scenarios applicable to diverse 3D scenes, using a Large Language Model (LLM).

- We develop a refined process for the creation of scenario-specific scene graphs. These graphs are pruned and enhanced based on human feedback to ensure relevance and accuracy.
- We design a multi-agent system employing LLMs to dynamically generate detailed, context-sensitive task instructions. This system leverages the entire conversation history and the specificities of the given scenario to provide nuanced and actionable guidance.

These contributions represent a significant advancement in the use of AI for situational understanding and task execution, providing a robust framework for future research and practical applications in dynamic environments.

2 Related Work

2.1 Situational Awareness

Situational awareness [10] is a critical capability for intelligent systems [12], comprising three main components: recognizing objects in the environment, comprehending their meaning, and projecting their future status. In artificial intelligence (AI), various tasks contribute to these components. Scene recognition [50] and object detection [55] tasks enable the system to identify the environment and locate items within it, forming the foundation of situational awareness. Semantic segmentation [13] provides a pixel-level understanding of the scene, enhancing comprehension by categorizing each element’s role and context. Scene graph prediction [6] further augments scene understanding by modeling object relationships, providing a structured environment representation. Temporal action detection [14], segmentation [9], and prediction [35] allow the system to understand dynamic elements and their interactions over time, essential for projecting future states. Furthermore, reinforcement learning [41] allows AI systems to make informed decisions and adapt actions based on their situational understanding, continuously improving as they interact with new information and dynamic environments. These capabilities collectively enable situational awareness, allowing systems to operate effectively and safely across various real-world applications. These include critical operations such as search and rescue missions, disaster response, and surveillance [11, 31]. Intelligent systems with situational awareness can also assist smart healthcare systems [38], including cognitive rehabilitation for neurodegenerative conditions [27, 20]. Additionally, they can enhance the safety and efficiency of autonomous vehicles [15].

2.2 Scene Graphs

Scene graphs enhance situational awareness within artificial intelligence systems by providing a structured environment representation. These graphs detail the relationships and attributes of objects within a scene, enabling AI to comprehend complex spatial hierarchies and interactions [18]. This structural understanding is pivotal for navigation, interaction, and dynamic decision-making tasks in varied contexts. Scene graph generation involves identifying objects and their attributes in visual data and establishing relational links critical for accurate scene interpretation and reasoning [21, 51]. For instance, scene graphs help distinguish between static objects like street signs and dynamic entities like pedestrians in autonomous driving, enhancing the vehicle’s ability to predict potential hazards and navigate safely [30, 54]. Similarly, scene graphs facilitate more effective interaction with objects in the environment in robotics by providing detailed contextual information that informs robotic manipulation tasks [3]. By enabling a deeper understanding of the environmental context, scene graphs significantly contribute to the development of AI systems capable of executing complex instructions and adapting to new scenarios with high levels of precision and reliability.

2.3 Generative AI

Advanced generative models, including large language models (LLMs) like GPT-4 [1], LLaMA [43, 2], and Mixtral [16], and vision models such as LLaVA [24, 22, 23], and GPT-4V [29], play a crucial role in enhancing AI’s ability to understand and interact with dynamic environments. LLMs utilize zero-shot learning to swiftly adapt to new scenarios without specific prior training, while vision models process visual data to comprehend the physical world deeply. These capabilities allow AI systems to generate realistic and varied training datasets, significantly improving their performance in real-world situational awareness tasks by enabling more accurate predictions and effective actions across diverse environments.

2.4 Instruction Tuning

Instructions tuning is the process of fine-tuning LLMs to respond to user instructions [53]. Typically, pre-trained language models for text generation are further trained on datasets containing instructional prompts as (INSTRUCTION, OUTPUT) pairs in a supervised manner. This helps align the next-token prediction behavior of standard LLMs [33, 5] more with user expectations, who want the LLMs to follow their instructions to perform specific tasks [42, 53]. Instruction tuning on web-scale datasets like P3 [4], Natural Instructions [28, 46], and Dolly-v2 [8] helps LLMs can follow instructions for a wide variety of unseen tasks in a zero-shot manner [36, 48, 7, 28]. Domain-specific instructional datasets, such as biomedical [17, 37] or legal [34, 19] domains, have also been created to help LLMs perform specialized tasks.

In this context, a “task” refers to what we want the LLM to do, such as answering questions, summarizing text, or extracting information. This differs from the tasks (or scenarios) for which we create situational instructions in this work. These are what a user wants to do, as opposed to what the user wants the LLM to do. To avoid confusion, we will use the word “scenario” to refer to these user tasks in the rest of the paper. The situational instructions are steps for completing these scenarios, as opposed to the instructions for the LLMs in instruction tuning datasets. The goal of our SID-Instruct dataset is to fine-tune an LLM so that it can help users complete different scenarios by providing instructions based on the user environment.

3 Dataset Construction

This section describes the construction pipeline for the Situational Instructions Database (SID), which extends the 3D Semantic Scene Graphs (3DSSG) [45] dataset with additional labels for situational awareness and dynamic task guidance.

The 3DSSG dataset contains semantic scene graphs derived from 1,482 RGB-D scans taken from the 3RScan dataset [44]. This dataset includes 478 indoor environments captured at various points in time, allowing a better understanding of how objects and spaces change over time. It categorizes 534 distinct object classes and describes the relationships between various object pairs. Each object instance also includes a detailed list of 93 unique attributes and 41 types of relationships, providing detailed insights into the characteristics of objects and their potential interactions within each scene.

Fig. 1 illustrates the SID construction pipeline. The following subsections explain each dataset component in more detail, including the creation process. This includes scenarios, scenario-specific scene graphs, task guidance conversations, and situational instructions.

3.1 Scenarios

We define scenarios as situational contexts or tasks that arise in different environments. For each of the 1482 scans in the 3RScan [44] dataset, we rely on the corresponding scene graphs from 3DSSG [45] to generate possible scenarios in this scene. This is achieved by using an LLM (GPT-3.5-Turbo [52]) initialized with the following system prompt:

Given a list of objects in a real-world environment, you can list scenarios that can potentially arise in this environment. A scenario can be a task that one or more people complete in the environment, e.g., "*cooking a meal in a kitchen*" or "*playing a game in a park*." It can also be a situation in the scene, e.g., "*a fire breaking out in a building*" or "*a storm approaching a beach*." When a user provides you with a list of objects, your task is to generate a list of up to ten scenarios. For each scenario, you should give a one-sentence description and a list of objects involved.

We receive up to ten scenarios for each scan from the LLM but we only retain the five most diverse scenarios. This is achieved by using a cosine similarity score obtained from a pretrained CLIP [32] text encoder and choosing the five scenarios with the least similarity to each other. Fig. 2 shows the distribution of item names and action verbs in the generated scenario descriptions, highlighting contextual diversity and action-oriented content.




Figure 1: We create the Situational Instructions Database (SID) in three steps: In **Step 1**, for each 3D scene, we provide the complete ground-truth scene graph to an LLM and ask it to suggest up to five scenarios that can arise in this scene. In addition, the LLM also suggests a subset of scene graph nodes (objects) relevant to each suggested scenario. These pruned scene graphs are manually verified and refined by human evaluators. In **Step 2**, for each scenario and the corresponding scenario-specific scene graph, we ask two specialized LLMs, a Humanoid (*high temperature, does not know the scene*) and an Oracle (*medium temperature, knows the scene*) to talk to each other about how to perform this task in the given scene. This results in a conversation containing a series of questions/answers with instructions at different levels of detail. Finally, in **Step 3**, the complete conversation history and the scenario description are provided to another LLM with a very low temperature, summarizing key instructions into a step-by-step list.




Figure 2: Word clouds showing the distribution of nouns and verbs in scenarios in the SID dataset, highlighting contextual diversity and action-oriented content.

3.2 Scenario-Specific Scene Graphs

With each scenario description, the LLM outputs a list of objects it considers relevant to the task. This list is a smaller selection of the objects in the complete scene graph of the environment. It is crucial to get this step right for generating instructions later, so we use human feedback to ensure the selected subset is accurate. A group of evaluators was shown one scenario at a time and a checklist of all scene objects. The LLM-selected objects were already pre-checked on this form. Then, the evaluators were asked to mark the items they thought were relevant or irrelevant to the scenario. The resulting object lists were used to prune the extra nodes and edges in the scene graph, leaving a smaller scene graph comprising only scenario-specific information.

Scene Graph Language. We encode our scene graphs into a text-based format before providing them to an LLM. A sentence in this language describes one scene graph, and it is made up of two types of tokens, `obj-` for objects and `rel-` for relationships. The object tokens include names, instance IDs, and attributes of the objects. The relationship tokens include the subject, object, and relationship predicate. The complete sentence looks as follows:

```

obj-<label>-<id>:[<attr1>,<attr2>,...]; ...;
rel-<id>:(<subject>-<id>,<predicate>,<object>-<id>); ...;

```

This compact format allows us to fit the entire scene graph in the LLM context length, which is then used conversationally to generate situational instructions in the next step.




Figure 3: Scenario-specific scene graphs are created for each of the 3D point cloud from RGB-D scans in 3RScan dataset [44] using the corresponding scene graphs provided in 3DSSG [45]. An LLM provides a preliminary list of scenario-related graph nodes, which are then validated and optionally refined by a human annotator.

3.3 Situational Instructions

In this step, we use a multi-agent LLM system to generate detailed step-by-step instructions for completing scenarios. This is illustrated in Steps 2 and 3 in Fig. 1. The system comprises three agents: Humanoid, Oracle, and Summarizer. These specialized LLMs interact in a conversational setup with the following configuration:

Humanoid: This LLM agent acts as a robotic humanoid that wants to perform different tasks in a novel environment. It does not have any scene-understanding capabilities. However, it can verbally communicate with other agents by asking questions that help it complete its goal of completing the given task. The robot has analytical capabilities, allowing it to ask pointed questions about the instructions received and whether they are incomplete, contradictory, or irrelevant to the task. It can also think of multiple possibilities while following the received instructions and asking for further clarifications. This behavior is achieved through a specialized system prompt and high temperature. The Humanoid initiates the conversation for each new scenario and decides when to stop it. The conversation stops only when it is satisfied that it has all the information it needs to complete the task. To prevent the conversation from going on indefinitely, we also set a maximum cap on the number of follow-up questions the Humanoid can ask.

Oracle: This omnipotent LLM agent understands the 3D environment currently occupied by the Humanoid. Its job is to help the Humanoid complete its task, and it has access to the scenario-specific scene graph of the environment. When asked a question, the Oracle provides actionable steps the Humanoid can take to achieve its goal in the environment. When answering questions, the Oracle uses a medium temperature value. It relies on the available scene graph and its general knowledge about similar environments and tasks. This compensates for potentially incomplete information in the scene graph while minimizing assumptions about the 3D scene to avoid hallucinated instructions.

Summarizer: Once the Humanoid is satisfied, the entire conversation history is passed to the Summarizer. This LLM reads the whole conversation and creates a final list of instructions. This list contains the refined version of the steps, including any revisions and clarifications made during the conversation, to complete the original task. The steps are also re-arranged in a chronological sequence if required. This LLM is aware of both the scene graph and the scenario. It uses this information to also correct any potential hallucinations, or remove instructions that are not required for completing the task. Summarizer has a very low temperature to limit creativity and encourage factual summaries.

We employ several specific prompt engineering techniques to enhance the quality and coherence of the generated instructions. To achieve specialized behavior for different LLMs in our setup, we use role-based prompting [47]. Each agent has specific roles defined in their system prompts to ensure they perform their tasks accurately. The Oracle benefits from generated knowledge prompting [25] in the form of scenario-specific scene graphs to provide contextual responses [26, 40], enabling

situational awareness. The conversational nature of Humanoid-Oracle interaction in the environment, and pointed questions from the Humanoid provide linguistic feedback to the Oracle, prompting reflection [39]. When deciding which questions to ask to achieve its objective of completing a specific task, the Humanoid is also encouraged to self-reflect. Generating situational instructions is a complex task, and our use of multiple, specialized LLM agents constitutes a form of prompt chaining [49] where we break the complex task into smaller parts. These techniques are integrated into the LLM agent-based data generation pipeline to ensure the generated instructions are detailed, accurate, and contextually appropriate. We provide complete system prompts for each LLM agent in the appendix.

For each scenario in the dataset, we run this pipeline to generate situational instructions grounded in real-world 3D scenes. We save these instructions along with the conversation history in our dataset. We split the dataset by using 80% of the 3D scans for training, and the remaining data for testing. This is detailed in Tab. 1.

Table 1: SID Splits. We split the data by using 80% of the 3D scenes for training, and the rest for testing. The number of pruned graphs, conversations, and instruction sets is the same as the number of scenarios.

	Training	Testing	Total
Scans	1185	297	1482
Scenarios	5519	1408	6927

3.4 Situational Instructions from Images

Our data generation pipeline is designed for generating instructions from scene graph inputs. However, most real-world applications use images as input. By using a scene graph prediction network [21, 51] as an adapter, our pipeline can be seamlessly extended to real-world applications. It is also possible to use Vision-Language Models (VLMs), such as GPT-4V [29] or LLaVA [24, 22, 23], to predict scene graphs for any input image in a zero-shot manner. We demonstrate two examples of this with GPT-4V in Figs. 5 and 6 in Sec. 5, where we use image inputs to provide more interpretable examples.

4 SID for Instruction Tuning

We construct the SID-Instruct dataset to use the situational instructions generated in previous sections. This dataset transforms different ground-truth labels from previous steps (scenarios, pruned scene graphs, conversations, task instructions) into instructional prompts as shown in Fig. 4.




Figure 4: SID-Instruct Dataset. We create an instruction-tuning variant of SID for fine-tuning LLMs for situational awareness.

This dataset can be used for fine-tuning standard LLMs for situational awareness tasks. SID-Instruct contains 95.2 million tokens in total. Table 2 shows further dataset statistics. Due to expensive computational requirements, we leave the actual fine-tuning of LLMs to future work.

Table 2: SID-Instruct Statistics.

	Training	Testing	Total
Instructions	131.2k	32.6k	163.8k
Input Tokens	71.8M	17.0M	88.9M
Output Tokens	5.1M	1.2M	6.3M
Total Tokens	76.9M	18.3M	95.2M

5 Qualitative Ablations

To understand the contribution of each component in our dataset generation pipeline, we conduct several qualitative ablation studies by removing or modifying individual components and empirically observing the quality of generated data.




Figure 5: Impact of Scenario-Specific Scene Graphs. We use GPT-4V to create a scene graph for a kitchen image. Giving the full scene graph to GPT-3.5-Turbo, the initial instructions it generates for “cutting bread” talk about irrelevant things (red). The LLM corrects itself only after the user asks for an explanation in a subsequent message. In comparison, if only the scenario-specific scene graph is provided, the LLM can produce significantly better instructions in the first attempt.

Impact of Scenario-Specific Scene Graphs. In Fig. 5, we study the impact of scenario-specific graphs on the quality of generated instructions. As shown in the figure, the output contains completely irrelevant steps when given the complete scene graph. This indicates that superfluous information in the context is detrimental to the output quality. This is validated by the improved quality of the generated instructions when the context is restricted to only include scenario-relevant information.

Impact of Conversational Generation. As shown in Fig. 5, despite outputting wrong instructions initially, the LLM is able to self-correct after feedback in the next user message. This is because of reflection, as discussed earlier [39]. This behavior illustrates the importance of a dialog-based setup for generating instructions. In addition to reducing wrong instructions, the QA session also helps

refine the granularity of instructions and provides missing steps. To benefit from this setup while avoiding extensive manual effort, we use the Humanoid LLM to ask these questions.




Figure 6: Impact of Summarizer LLM. Demonstrates how the Summarizer refines instructions from the Oracle, integrating key points from the Humanoid-Oracle conversation to ensure clarity and relevance.

Impact of Summarizer LLM. Fig. 6 demonstrates the importance of the summarization module in our conversational setup. The **bold** text highlights the refinements in the consolidated instruction set, compared to the initial instructions provided by the Oracle. These refinements came from the QA session between the Humanoid and the Oracle. The Summarizer cherry-picks the key points from the conversation and incorporates them in the initial instructions. This module also helps mitigate the potential drawbacks of dialog-based instruction generation. For example, if the conversation veers too far away from the initial goal, the Summarizer can ignore the irrelevant discussion between the Oracle and the Humanoid.

6 Limitations

While the Situational Instructions Database (SID) represents a significant advancement in dynamic and situational instruction generation for AI systems, there are inherent limitations that must be acknowledged. First, the scope of scenarios within SID, although diverse, is limited by the initial generation process utilizing specific language models and the constraints of the 3D Semantic Scene Graphs (3DSSG) dataset, which may not capture the full spectrum of potential real-world scenarios, potentially affecting the generalizability of AI systems trained on this dataset. Additionally, the quality and comprehensiveness of the scenario-specific scene graphs directly influence the quality of the generated instructions; inaccuracies or incompleteness in these graphs can misguide AI systems. The subjective nature of pruning these graphs to match scenarios may also introduce biases or inconsistencies. Furthermore, training situational instruction models, especially larger variants, requires substantial computational resources, which could limit experiment replicability for researchers with less access to such resources. The evaluation metrics employed, such as GPT4Eval, might not fully capture the contextual appropriateness or practical usability of the instructions in real-world applications. Lastly, SID does not account for dynamic changes within the same scenario over time, such as environmental alterations or unexpected human actions, which limits its utility in applications where environments are highly volatile. Addressing these limitations through continuous dataset updates, improved scenario generation techniques, and robust training and evaluation methods will be crucial for enhancing the practical utility of SID and similar resources in developing AI systems capable of complex interactions in dynamic real-world settings.

7 Conclusion

In this work, we presented the Situational Instructions Database (SID), a comprehensive resource designed to enhance the situational awareness capabilities of AI systems. SID integrates detailed scene graphs with dynamic task instructions, providing a unique dataset that reflects the complexity of real-world environments. This integration allows AI models to offer contextually relevant guidance for a variety of tasks, thereby improving their performance in dynamic and novel situations. The development of SID involves innovative methods for scenario generation, the refinement of scene graphs through human feedback, and the use of a multi-agent LLM system to generate actionable, context-sensitive instructions. These contributions are pivotal for advancing AI research and practical applications, enabling more effective and intuitive human-AI interactions in complex settings.

The introduction of SID marks a significant step forward in the field of AI, particularly in enhancing models' ability to understand and interact with their environments. By providing a structured and rich dataset, SID facilitates the development of intelligent systems that can adapt to and operate within diverse and changing conditions. Future work will focus on expanding the range of scenarios and improving the accuracy of scene graphs, addressing the current limitations and ensuring that AI systems trained on SID can achieve greater generalizability and effectiveness across various real-world applications. The ongoing refinement and expansion of datasets like SID will be crucial in realizing the full potential of AI in assisting humans in complex, dynamic environments.

References

- [1] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [2] AI@Meta. Llama 3 model card. 2024.
- [3] Iro Armeni, Zhi-Yang He, JunYoung Gwak, Amir R Zamir, Martin Fischer, Jitendra Malik, and Silvio Savarese. 3d scene graph: A structure for unified semantics, 3d space, and camera. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 5664–5673, 2019.
- [4] Stephen H Bach, Victor Sanh, Zheng-Xin Yong, Albert Webson, Colin Raffel, Nihal V Nayak, Abheesht Sharma, Taewoon Kim, M Saiful Bari, Thibault Fevry, et al. Promptsource: An integrated development environment and repository for natural language prompts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.01279*, 2022.
- [5] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901, 2020.
- [6] Xiaojun Chang, Pengzhen Ren, Pengfei Xu, Zhihui Li, Xiaojiang Chen, and Alex Hauptmann. A comprehensive survey of scene graphs: Generation and application. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 45(1):1–26, 2023.
- [7] Hyung Won Chung, Le Hou, Shayne Longpre, Barret Zoph, Yi Tay, William Fedus, Yunxuan Li, Xuezhi Wang, Mostafa Dehghani, Siddhartha Brahma, et al. Scaling instruction-finetuned language models. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 25(70):1–53, 2024.
- [8] Mike Conover, Matt Hayes, Ankit Mathur, Jianwei Xie, Jun Wan, Sam Shah, Ali Ghodsi, Patrick Wendell, Matei Zaharia, and Reynold Xin. Free dolly: Introducing the world's first truly open instruction-tuned llm. *Company Blog of Databricks*, 2023.
- [9] Guodong Ding, Fadime Sener, and Angela Yao. Temporal action segmentation: An analysis of modern techniques. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 46(2):1011–1030, 2024.
- [10] Mica R Endsley. Toward a theory of situation awareness in dynamic systems. *Human factors*, 37(1):32–64, 1995.
- [11] Rúben Geraldes, Artur Gonçalves, Tin Lai, Mathias Villerabel, Wenlong Deng, Ana Salta, Kotaro Nakayama, Yutaka Matsuo, and Helmut Prendinger. Uav-based situational awareness system using deep learning. *IEEE Access*, 7:122583–122594, 2019.

- [12] C. Grosan and A. Abraham. *Intelligent Systems: A Modern Approach*. Intelligent Systems Reference Library. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [13] Shijie Hao, Yuan Zhou, and Yanrong Guo. A brief survey on semantic segmentation with deep learning. *Neurocomputing*, 406:302–321, 2020.
- [14] Kai Hu, Chaowen Shen, Tianyan Wang, Keer Xu, Qingfeng Xia, Min Xia, and Chengxue Cai. Overview of temporal action detection based on deep learning. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 57(2):26, Feb 2024.
- [15] Henry Alexander Ignatious, Hesham El-Sayed, Manzoor Ahmed Khan, and Bassem Mahmoud Mokhtar. Analyzing factors influencing situation awareness in autonomous vehicles—a survey. *Sensors*, 23(8), 2023.
- [16] Albert Q Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Antoine Roux, Arthur Mensch, Blanche Savary, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Emma Bou Hanna, Florian Bressand, et al. Mixtral of experts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.04088*, 2024.
- [17] Qiao Jin, Bhuwan Dhingra, Zhengping Liu, William W Cohen, and Xinghua Lu. Pubmedqa: A dataset for biomedical research question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.06146*, 2019.
- [18] Justin Johnson, Ranjay Krishna, Michael Stark, Li-Jia Li, David Shamma, Michael Bernstein, and Li Fei-Fei. Image retrieval using scene graphs. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3668–3678, 2015.
- [19] Yuta Koreeda and Christopher D Manning. Contractnli: A dataset for document-level natural language inference for contracts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.01799*, 2021.
- [20] Stefano Lasaponara, Fabio Marson, Fabrizio Doricchi, and Marco Cavallo. A scoping review of cognitive training in neurodegenerative diseases via computerized and virtual reality tools: What we know so far. *Brain Sciences*, 11(5), 2021.
- [21] Yikang Li, Wanli Ouyang, Bolei Zhou, Kun Wang, and Xiaogang Wang. Scene graph generation from objects, phrases and region captions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 1261–1270, 2017.
- [22] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning, 2023.
- [23] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Sheng Shen, and Yong Jae Lee. Llava-next: Improved reasoning, ocr, and world knowledge, January 2024.
- [24] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning, 2023.
- [25] Jiacheng Liu, Alisa Liu, Ximing Lu, Sean Welleck, Peter West, Ronan Le Bras, Yejin Choi, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. Generated knowledge prompting for commonsense reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.08387*, 2021.
- [26] Kelong Mao, Zhicheng Dou, Fengran Mo, Jiewen Hou, Haonan Chen, and Hongjin Qian. Large language models know your contextual search intent: A prompting framework for conversational search. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.06573*, 2023.
- [27] Thais Massetti, Talita Dias da Silva, Tânia Brusque Crocetta, Regiani Guarnieri, Bruna Leal de Freitas, Priscila Bianchi Lopes, Suzanna Watson, James Tonks, and Carlos Bandeira de Mello Monteiro. The clinical utility of virtual reality in neurorehabilitation: A systematic review. *Journal of Central Nervous System Disease*, 10:1179573518813541, 2018. PMID: 30515028.
- [28] Swaroop Mishra, Daniel Khashabi, Chitta Baral, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. Cross-task generalization via natural language crowdsourcing instructions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08773*, 2021.
- [29] OpenAI. Gpt-4v(ision) system card. 2023.
- [30] Julian Ost, Fahim Mannan, Nils Thuerey, Julian Knodt, and Felix Heide. Neural scene graphs for dynamic scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2856–2865, 2021.
- [31] Dalei Qiao, Guangzhong Liu, Taizhi Lv, Wei Li, and Juan Zhang. Marine vision-based situational awareness using discriminative deep learning: A survey. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 9(4), 2021.

- [32] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- [33] Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, 1(8):9, 2019.
- [34] Abhilasha Ravichander, Alan W Black, Shomir Wilson, Thomas Norton, and Norman Sadeh. Question answering for privacy policies: Combining computational and legal perspectives. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.00841*, 2019.
- [35] Andrey Rudenko, Luigi Palmieri, Michael Herman, Kris M Kitani, Dariu M Gavrilă, and Kai O Arras. Human motion trajectory prediction: a survey. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 39(8):895–935, 2020.
- [36] Victor Sanh, Albert Webson, Colin Raffel, Stephen H Bach, Lintang Sutawika, Zaid Alyafeai, Antoine Chaffin, Arnaud Stiegler, Teven Le Scao, Arun Raja, et al. Multitask prompted training enables zero-shot task generalization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.08207*, 2021.
- [37] Tal Schuster, Adam Fisch, and Regina Barzilay. Get your vitamin c! robust fact verification with contrastive evidence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.08541*, 2021.
- [38] Tawseef Ayoub Shaikh, Tabasum Rasool Dar, and Shabir Sofi. A data-centric artificial intelligent and extended reality technology in smart healthcare systems. *Social Network Analysis and Mining*, 12(1):122, Sep 2022.
- [39] Noah Shinn, Beck Labash, and Ashwin Gopinath. Reflexion: an autonomous agent with dynamic memory and self-reflection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11366*, 2023.
- [40] Chuanneng Sun, Zeeshan Ahmed, Yingyi Ma, Zhe Liu, Lucas Kabela, Yutong Pang, and Ozlem Kalinli. Contextual biasing of named-entities with large language models. In *ICASSP 2024-2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 10151–10155. IEEE, 2024.
- [41] R.S. Sutton and A.G. Barto. *Reinforcement Learning, second edition: An Introduction*. Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning series. MIT Press, 2018.
- [42] Romal Thoppilan, Daniel De Freitas, Jamie Hall, Noam Shazeer, Apoorv Kulshreshtha, Heng-Tze Cheng, Alicia Jin, Taylor Bos, Leslie Baker, Yu Du, et al. Lamda: Language models for dialog applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.08239*, 2022.
- [43] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- [44] Johanna Wald, Armen Avetisyan, Nassir Navab, Federico Tombari, and Matthias Niessner. Rio: 3d object instance re-localization in changing indoor environments. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, October 2019.
- [45] Johanna Wald, Helisa Dharmo, Nassir Navab, and Federico Tombari. Learning 3d semantic scene graphs from 3d indoor reconstructions. In *Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2020.
- [46] Yizhong Wang, Swaroop Mishra, Pegah Alipoormolabashi, Yeganeh Kordi, Amirreza Mirzaei, Anjana Arunkumar, Arjun Ashok, Arut Selvan Dhanasekaran, Atharva Naik, David Stap, et al. Super-naturalinstructions: Generalization via declarative instructions on 1600+ nlp tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.07705*, 2022.
- [47] Zekun Moore Wang, Zhongyuan Peng, Haoran Que, Jiaheng Liu, Wangchunshu Zhou, Yuhan Wu, Hongcheng Guo, Ruitong Gan, Zehao Ni, Man Zhang, et al. Rolellm: Benchmarking, eliciting, and enhancing role-playing abilities of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00746*, 2023.
- [48] Jason Wei, Maarten Bosma, Vincent Y Zhao, Kelvin Guu, Adams Wei Yu, Brian Lester, Nan Du, Andrew M Dai, and Quoc V Le. Finetuned language models are zero-shot learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.01652*, 2021.
- [49] Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, Denny Zhou, et al. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:24824–24837, 2022.

- [50] Lin Xie, Feifei Lee, Li Liu, Koji Kotani, and Qiu Chen. Scene recognition: A comprehensive survey. *Pattern Recognition*, 102:107205, 2020.
- [51] Danfei Xu, Yuke Zhu, Christopher B Choy, and Li Fei-Fei. Scene graph generation by iterative message passing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5410–5419, 2017.
- [52] Junjie Ye, Xuanning Chen, Nuo Xu, Can Zu, Zekai Shao, Shichun Liu, Yuhan Cui, Zeyang Zhou, Chao Gong, Yang Shen, et al. A comprehensive capability analysis of gpt-3 and gpt-3.5 series models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.10420*, 2023.
- [53] Shengyu Zhang, Linfeng Dong, Xiaoya Li, Sen Zhang, Xiaofei Sun, Shuhe Wang, Jiwei Li, Runyi Hu, Tianwei Zhang, Fei Wu, et al. Instruction tuning for large language models: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10792*, 2023.
- [54] Yunpeng Zhang, Deheng Qian, Ding Li, Yifeng Pan, Yong Chen, Zhenbao Liang, Zhiyao Zhang, Shurui Zhang, Hongxu Li, Maolei Fu, et al. Graphad: Interaction scene graph for end-to-end autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.19098*, 2024.
- [55] Zhong-Qiu Zhao, Peng Zheng, Shou-Tao Xu, and Xindong Wu. Object detection with deep learning: A review. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 30(11):3212–3232, 2019.