



DrVideo: Document Retrieval Based Long Video Understanding

Ziyu Ma^{*1}, Chenhui Gou^{*2}, Hengcan Shi^{1,2}, Bin Sun^{†1}, Shutao Li¹,
Hamid Rezatofighi², Jianfei Cai²

¹ College of Electrical and Information Engineering, Hunan University,

² Data Science & AI Department, Faculty of IT, Monash University

{maziyu, sunbin611, shutao_li}@hnu.edu.cn

{chenhui.gou, hengcan.shi, hamid.rezatofighi, jianfei.cai}@monash.edu

* Equal contribution, † Corresponding author

Abstract

Existing methods for long video understanding primarily focus on videos only lasting tens of seconds, with limited exploration of techniques for handling longer videos. The increased number of frames in longer videos presents two main challenges: difficulty in locating key information and performing long-range reasoning. Thus, we propose DrVideo, a document-retrieval-based system designed for long video understanding. Our key idea is to convert the long-video understanding problem into a long-document understanding task so as to effectively leverage the power of large language models. Specifically, DrVideo transforms a long video into a text-based long document to initially retrieve key frames and augment the information of these frames, which is used this as the system’s starting point. It then employs an agent-based iterative loop to continuously search for missing information, augment relevant data, and provide final predictions in a chain-of-thought manner once sufficient question-related information is gathered. Extensive experiments on long video benchmarks confirm the effectiveness of our method. DrVideo outperforms existing state-of-the-art methods with **+3.8** accuracy on EgoSchema benchmark (3 minutes), **+17.9** in MovieChat-1K break mode, **+38.0** in MovieChat-1K global mode (10 minutes), and **+30.2** on the LLama-Vid QA dataset (over 60 minutes).

1 Introduction

Video understanding is a challenging task in computer vision, requiring the processing of spatio-temporal information and advanced reasoning abilities. Previous works have successfully processed short videos lasting around tens of seconds (Yang et al., 2021; Cheng et al., 2023; Lei et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2022; Surís et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2023b). However, how to extend these methods to long videos remains unclear. Recent advancements in large language models (LLMs)




Figure 1: Accuracy on EgoSchema (Mangalam et al., 2023), MovieChat-1K (Song et al., 2023) global mode, and LLama-Vid-QA (Li et al., 2023c). Our method, DrVideo, achieves the best results on these three benchmarks and has significant advantages on longer videos.

(Ouyang et al., 2022; OpenAI, 2022; Brown et al., 2020; Scao et al., 2022; Touvron et al., 2023; Chowdhery et al., 2022; Ma et al., 2024) demonstrate strong abilities in language understanding and reasoning across long text sequences. These advancements have inspired the development of video-language models (Video-LLMs) to address long video understanding issues.

Video-LLMs typically encode a video as a sequence of visual tokens, which are then concatenated with language tokens into a long sequence and then use LLMs as decoders to understand this combined sequence. While Video-LLMs improve video understanding, their performance is still limited. To handle longer videos, these methods typically perform uniform or random frame sampling at large strides without considering content (Yu et al., 2024), leading to potential key information loss. Additionally, the simple concatenation of visual to-

kens increases the difficulty for the LLM to locate question-related (key) visual information within the long vision sequence, complicating long-range reasoning across the vision token sequences.

As discussed above, these two key issues in long video understanding remain challenging for current Video-LLMs: accurately locating question-related video clips or images and reasoning over long sequences. While these problems are difficult for Video-LLMs to solve, LLMs have demonstrated impressive capabilities in key information location and long-form reasoning on various language-only tasks, such as document retrieval and long-context understanding. Considering that some long videos, like movies, are initially written as scripts or books, humans can answer questions by either watching the videos or reading the books. Inspired by this observation, converting long-video understanding into a long-document understanding task may effectively leverage the power of large language models. Recent works (Zhang et al., 2023a) have attempted to develop strategies to convert videos into text captions and use LLMs for reasoning based on these captions. However, the challenge of converting a video into a long document without losing key information relevant to solving the question is not fully explored. Additionally, locating the key information (clips or frames) has not been thoroughly investigated.

Therefore, in this paper, we propose DrVideo, a document retrieval-based system for long video understanding that progressively finds key frames and generates question-related information for LLMs to make confident predictions. DrVideo comprises five components: (i) a video-document conversion module that transforms raw long videos into long documents, (ii) a retrieval module that identifies frames related to specific video questions, (iii) a document augmentation (DA) module that enriches the information of question-related frames (key frames), (iv) a multi-stage agent interaction loop that dynamically finds the potential missing information through sequential steps of reasoning and then interacts with the DA module to request augmentation of the information, (v) an answering module that provides answers and the logical process of obtaining those answers based on sufficient information. We validate the effect of each component in Section 4.4. Extensive experiments show our method, DrVideo, exceeds existing state-of-the-art methods across different long video benchmarks, from 3 minutes to 10 minutes and longer

than 1 hour, which are shown in Fig. 1. Finally, we summarize the contributions of DrVideo in the following three aspects:

- A system is proposed to adapt long-video understanding to long-document understanding, which can better take advantage of the long-range reasoning ability of LLMs.
- A novel multi-stage interactive loop is proposed to continuously search for missing information and dynamically augment the information.
- DrVideo achieves state-of-the-art results on three long video benchmarks and exceeds existing state-of-the-art methods with +3.8 accuracy on the EgoSchema benchmark (3 minutes), +17.9 in MovieChat-1K breakpoint mode, +38.0 in MovieChat-1K global mode (10 minutes), and +30.2 on the LLama-Vid-QA dataset (over 60 minutes).

2 Related Work

Long Video Understanding. Modeling long videos, which are several minutes or more in length, generally necessitates advanced temporal modeling capabilities, resulting in complex model designs. LF-VILA (Sun et al., 2022) introduces a Temporal Window Attention (HTWA) mechanism to capture long-range dependencies in long videos. MeMViT (Wu et al., 2022) and MovieChat (Song et al., 2023) employs a memory-based design to save question-related information from previously video segments. Some published approaches employ space-time graphs (Hussein et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021) or relational space-time modules (Yang et al., 2023) to capture spatio-temporal dependencies from the raw long videos. Recently, S4ND (Nguyen et al., 2022), ViS4mer (Islam and Bertasius, 2022), and S5 (Wang et al., 2023a) have used Structured State-Space Sequence (S4) (Gu et al., 2021) layers to capture long-range dependencies in videos. Different from these methods, our DrVideo does not design a complex module to perform long video understanding capacity. On the contrary, we develop a document-retrieval-based system for zero-shot long video understanding.

LLMs for Video Understanding. The recent rise of LLMs (Zeng et al., 2022) and VideoChat (Li et al., 2023b) align the visual features extracted by pretrained visual models to LLMs and apply them




Figure 2: **Overview of our DrVideo.** It comprises five components: a video-document conversion module, a retrieval module, a document augmentation module, a multi-stage agent interaction loop and an answering module.

into video understanding filed. Video ChatCaptioner (Chen et al., 2023) and ChatVideo (Wang et al., 2023b) utilize LLMs to represent videos and engage users through dialogues, respectively. VidIL (Wang et al., 2022b) applies the image-level models into video understanding task via few-shot learning. In addition to short-term video understanding, recent studies (Lin et al., 2023a; Chung and Yu, 2023; Bhattacharya et al., 2023) have explored LLMs for long-range video modeling. For instance, GPT-4 is applied in various long-range video modeling tasks in (Lin et al., 2023a), though quantitative evaluation is limited. Meanwhile, research in (Chung and Yu, 2023) focuses on movie datasets with minimal visual analysis (Mangalam et al., 2023), relying largely on speech and subtitles. Unlike this, DrVideo focuses on vision modality and never uses the prior language information.

LLM Agents. In parallel, the computer vision community has started exploring the use of LLMs as agents in various visual domains like GUI understanding and robot navigation (Surís et al., 2023; Hong et al., 2023; Driess et al., 2023; Brohan et al., 2023). In the realm of long-form video comprehension, initial efforts have employed an agent-like approach, where LLMs interact with external tools or integrate additional functionalities (Surís et al., 2023; Gao et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024; Fan et al., 2024). In contrast to these approaches, our method, DrVideo, reconceptualizes video understanding as a process augmented by document retrieval, inspired by how humans watch videos or read books. This view leads to the development of DrVideo, which features document retrieval augmentation and multi-stage interactivity

to distinguish it from existing methods.

3 Method

In this section, we detail our proposed Document Retrieval Based system for long video understanding. As illustrated in Figure 2, given a long video and a question about the video, DrVideo first translates the long video into a long document, referred to as the initial video document. Then, the retrieval module identifies the top K key frames by calculating the similarity between the question and the initial video document. The document augmentation module enriches the information of these key frames and adds it to the initial video document, creating a new video document, referred to as the updated video document, which is used as the multi-stage agent interaction loop’s starting point.

The loop contains two distinct agents: the planning agent and the interaction agent. The planning agent judges whether the updated video document is sufficient for answering the question. If not, the updated video document is fed into the interaction agent to dynamically find missing key frames. The interaction agent interacts with the document augmentation module to request the required information of these key frames. The output of the document augmentation module is added to the current document to obtain the latest updated video document. The new video document is then sent to the planning agent again to further judge whether the current information is sufficient. This searching and interaction loop continues until the planning agent considers the current information sufficient for answering the question or the maximum itera-




Figure 3: Illustration of video-document conversion module, document (frames) retrieval module and document augmentation module.

tion is reached. After the loop ends, the final video document (sufficient information) and the related question are given to the answering module to get the final prediction. The entire system is summarized as Algorithm 1.

3.1 Video-Document Conversion Module

To represent the long video as the initial video document Doc_{init} , we consider single video frame or video clip V_t as a short description S_{V_t} , which can be expressed as eq. (1),

$$Doc_{init} = \{\{1, S_{V_1}\}, \{2, S_{V_2}\}, \dots, \{T, S_{V_T}\}\} \quad (1)$$

where T denotes the frame id, S_{V_t} is generated by a Large Vision-Language Model ϕ_{vlm} (e.g., LLaVA-NeXT (Liu et al., 2024)), $S_{V_t} = \phi_{vlm}(\mathcal{P}, V_t)$, \mathcal{P} is the prompt for requesting a short description about the image, i.e., *describe the picture no more 15 words*. The captioning model used in DrVideo is replaceable and other captioning models (e.g., LaViLa (Zhao et al., 2023), BLIP-2 (Li et al., 2023a)) can also be used in our DrVideo. Experiments with different caption models are shown in Table 6.

3.2 Document (Frames) Retrieval Module

After obtaining Doc_{init} , we introduce a document retrieval module to identify the top question-related frames via calculate the similarity between the question about video and the whole document.

Specifically, we use openAI embedding model (OpenAI, 2024) to get the vector representation of this document. $\mathcal{E}_{doc} = \phi_{emb}(Doc_{init})$, while ϕ_{emb} is the embedding model. Given a specific retrieval text \mathcal{RT} , the retrieval module first computes the embedding $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{RT}} = \phi_{emb}(\mathcal{RT})$. \mathcal{RT} is set as the question Q about the video in our method. Then, the top K frames are retrieved based on the cosine similarity between $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{RT}}$ and \mathcal{E}_{doc_t} :

$$\text{topk_doc} = \arg \text{top}K \cos(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{RT}}, \mathcal{E}_{doc_t}) \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{E}_{doc_t} is the embedding of the t -th short description S_{V_t} .


3.3 Document Augmentation Module

For each $t' \in \text{topk_doc}$, we use the LLaVA-NeXT model (Liu et al., 2024) with different prompts \mathcal{AP} (augment prompt) to generate a detailed description $L_{V_{t'}}$. This is formulated as $L_{V_{t'}} = \phi_{vlm}(V_{t'}, \mathcal{AP}_{t'})$. The initial $\mathcal{AP}_{t'}$ is a general prompt: *If there are factual errors in the questions, provide a precise description of the image; if not, proceed answering the question.* $\{Q\}$. The updated video document \mathcal{AD} is defined as:

$$\mathcal{AD} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \{t, S_{V_t}, L_{V_{t'}}\} & \text{if } t = t' \\ \{t, S_{V_t}\} & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \mid t = 1, \dots, T \right\} \quad (3)$$

Given that K is significantly smaller than the total number of frames ($K = 5$ compared to $T = 90$), the additional descriptions do not substantially increase the overall length of the document.

④ Multi-Stage Agent Interaction Loop



⑤ Answering Module




Figure 4: **Illustration of the multi-stage agent interaction loop and answering module.** There are two agents in the multi-stage agent interaction loop: a planning agent to plan the next step and an interaction agent to dynamically find missing information and interact with the document augmentation module.

3.4 Multi-Stage Agent Interaction Loop

Apart from these key frames retrieved by the document augmentation module, some additional key frames may need to be identified through contextual inference. Therefore, we propose a multi-stage agent interaction loop to dynamically find the potential missing key frames and augment these frames with different types information for final answer. Our system employs two distinct agents, *i.e.*, planning agent and interaction agent, each tailored for specific tasks. Both agents utilize the same LLM, *i.e.*, GPT-3.5, but are given different prompts and reason varying types of information.

Planning Agent. Given the question Q and the updated video document \mathcal{AD}_i (where i denotes the iteration step), along with the analysis history \mathcal{H} from all previous steps (initialized as empty $\{\}$), the planning agent first determines whether the updated video document is sufficient to generate a confident answer. If the information is sufficient, the process moves to the answering module to get the final answer. If the information is insufficient, the agent provides an analysis of why the updated

video document \mathcal{AD}_i is inadequate. The agent then updates \mathcal{H}_i with its analysis and passes the updated \mathcal{H}_i to the interaction agent.

Interaction Agent. Given the current updated video document \mathcal{AD}_i and the updated \mathcal{H}_i , the interaction agent finds N frames with missing details crucial for answering Q :

$$\forall n \in N, n \in T, n \notin \text{topk_doc}, \text{ and } N < K \quad (4)$$

Expect from the key frame number, the interaction agent also identify the augmented information type for each $n \in N$. To achieve it, we define a task-specific prompt, *i.e.*, *Your task is to determine which frame needs which type of information and can answer this question accurately, reasonably, and without contradiction. The two types of information are as follows: A: Given an image, get a detailed description of the image (image caption, just like what is shown in this image?) B: Given an image, get a response to the above question (visual question answering) to the interaction agent.* After obtaining N frames along with their required information type, the agent interacts with the DA

Algorithm 1 DrVideo

Require: V, Q

```
1: ► Video-Document conversion Module
2:  $\text{Doc}_{init} \leftarrow \text{getDoc}(V, \phi_{vlm}, \mathcal{P})$ 
3:  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{doc}} \leftarrow \text{getEmbedding}(\text{Doc}_{init}, \phi_{emb})$ 
4: ► Document Retrieval Module
5:  $\text{init } \mathcal{RT}$  as  $Q$ 
6:  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{RT}} \leftarrow \text{getEmbedding}(\mathcal{RT}, \phi_{emb})$ 
7:  $\text{topk\_doc} \leftarrow \text{Retrieval}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{RT}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{doc}}, K)$ 
8: ► Document Augmentation Module
9:  $\text{init } \mathcal{AP}$ 
10:  $\mathcal{AD}_0 \leftarrow \text{Augment}(\text{topk\_doc}, \text{Doc}_{init}, \mathcal{AP})$ 
11:  $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \text{addToMemory}(\text{topk\_doc})$ 
12: ► Multi-Stage Agent Interaction
13:  $\text{init } i$ 
14: for  $i \leq I$  do
15:   Planning Agent:
16:    $S, R = \text{checkSufficient}(\mathcal{AD}_i, \mathcal{H}_i, Q)$ 
17:   if  $S == 1$  then
18:     break
19:   else
20:      $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \text{addToMemory}(\mathcal{H}, R)$ 
21:     Interaction Agent:
22:      $\mathcal{M} \leftarrow \text{FindMissInfo}(\mathcal{AD}_i, \mathcal{H}, Q)$ 
23:      $N, \mathcal{AP} \leftarrow \mathcal{M}$ 
24:      $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \text{addToMemory}(\mathcal{H}, N)$ 
25:      $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
26:      $\mathcal{AD}_i \leftarrow \text{Augment}(N, \mathcal{AD}_i, \mathcal{AP})$ 
27:   end if
28: end for
29: ► Answering Module
30:  $P \leftarrow \text{GetAnswer}(\mathcal{AD}_i)$ 
31: return  $P$ 
```

module to enrich the information of these frames to update current video document for next step.

3.5 Answering Module

Given the final video document \mathcal{AD}_i , i is the final step id, we employ another agent to provide a prediction using a chain-of-thought (CoT) (Wei et al., 2022) approach. The answering module outputs the corresponding answer, the confidence score, and the reasoning behind the answer. Besides improving prediction accuracy, this CoT approach allows us to trace inference steps, ensuring transparency and explainability in the decision-making process.

4 Experiments

In this section, we first introduce the datasets and implementation details, and then we present the results and ablations of DrVideo.

4.1 Datasets and Metrics

In our experiments, we evaluate our DrVideo using two well-established datasets and a self-built dataset, emphasizing its zero-shot long video understanding capabilities.

EgoSchema. EgoSchema dataset(Mangalam et al., 2023) consists of 5000 multiple-choice questions sourced from 5000 three-minute egocentric videos. This dataset only has a subset of 500 questions with publicly accessible labels. We need to upload the prediction file to the leaderboard to verify the performance of our method.

MovieChat-1K. MovieChat-1K (Song et al., 2023) is a longer video understanding benchmark, which contains 1000 videos from movie and TV shows. These videos typically range between 10000 to 12000 frames, with each video lasting approximately 10 minutes. This dataset has two mode: global mode and breakpoint mode. Similar to the EgoSchema dataset, the test set of MovieChat-1K is not open. We evaluate the performance of our DrVideo to the leaderboard.

LLama-Vid-QA. We create a hour-level video benchmark from MovieNet dataset(Huang et al., 2020) and LLama-Vid dataset(Li et al., 2023c), namely LLama-Vid-QA. The raw videos are from MovieNet dataset, which includes 1100 videos, each lasting between 1-2 hours. The annotation results are from LLama-Vid dataset(Li et al., 2023c), which employs GPT-4 to generate question and answer according to the script and subtitle of movie. The LLama-Vid-QA benchmark includes 400 questions and 23 videos. Note that our evaluation focuses on vision modality.

The accuracy is our evaluation metric in both datasets. Since EgoSchema and MovieChat-1K are evaluated through the leaderboard, we will not discuss it in detail here. The LLama-Vid-QA dataset focuses on open-ended questions, we follow VideoChatGPT (Muhammad Maaz and Khan, 2023) to compare with the generated results and the ground truth along with a confidence score. We select GPT-3.5 as the evaluation assistant and use the same prompt (Muhammad Maaz and Khan, 2023) to conduct a fair comparison.

4.2 Implementation Details

For EgoSchema(Mangalam et al., 2023) dataset, we choose LaViLa (Zhao et al., 2023) as the captioning model to convert videos into documents. Note that the training date of our LaViLa model does not

Method	LLM	Subset	Fullset
<i>Based on open-source LLMs</i>			
SeViLA (Yu et al., 2023)	FlanT5-3B	25.7	22.7
MovieChat (Song et al., 2023)	LLama	53.5	-
MovieChat+ (Song et al., 2023)	LLama	56.4	-
<i>End-to-End Models</i>			
InternVideo (Wang et al., 2022a)	-	32.1	-
LongViViT (Papalampidi et al., 2023)	-	56.8	33.3
MC-ViT-L (Balažević et al., 2024)	-	62.6	44.4
<i>Based on proprietary LLMs</i>			
MoReVQA (Min et al., 2024)	PaLM-2	-	51.7
LLoVi (Zhang et al., 2023a)	GPT-3.5	57.6	50.3
IG-VLM (Kim et al., 2024)	GPT-4V	59.8	-
VideoAgent (Wang et al., 2024)	GPT-4	60.2	54.1
VideoAgent (Fan et al., 2024)	GPT-4	62.8	-
DrVideo(ours)	GPT-4	66.4	61.0

Table 1: **Results on EgoSchema** compared to existing state-of-the-art methods.

include these EgoSchema videos, which is same as LLoVi (Zhang et al., 2023a). For MovieChat-1K and LLama-Vid-QA datasets, LLaVA-NeXT (Liu et al., 2024) as our captioning model is used to generate brief descriptions for each frame. We sample all videos at 2 Fps and also select LLaVA-NeXT (Liu et al., 2024) to enhance key frames in both datasets via different prompts. In the contrast experiment, GPT-4, *i.e.*, gpt-4-1106-preview is used as the agent to evaluate the performance of our DrVideo. In the ablation study, we use GPT-3.5, *i.e.*, gpt-3.5-turbo-1106 to demonstrate the effectiveness of our DrVideo due to the API cost.

4.3 Main Results

Comparative results on EgoSchema. Table 1 contains the comparative of our DrVideo and existing state-of-the-art methods on EgoSchema dataset (Mangalam et al., 2023). From the table we can see that our DrVideo outperforms all the compared methods by a margin. DrVideo is 3.6% accuracy high than VideoAgent (Fan et al., 2024) on EgoSchema subset when both methods use the same proprietary LLMs, *i.e.*, GPT-4 to find missing information and predict the final answer. This indicates that our document (frames) retrieval module and multi-stage interactive loop can find the question-related key frames more accurately. Compared to LLoVi (Zhang et al., 2023a), our DrVideo is 5% accuracy high on EgoSchema subset when both methods use the same proprietary LLMs, *i.e.*, GPT-3.5. It confirms our hypothesis that the challenge of converting a video into a long document

Method	Global		Breakpoint	
	Acc.	Score	Acc.	Score
<i>Using GPT-3.5 for LLM-assisted evaluation</i>				
Video Chat (Li et al., 2023b)	60.2	3.08	46.3	2.32
Video LLaMA (Zhang et al., 2023b)	56.3	2.72	45.8	2.11
Video-ChatGPT (Maaz et al., 2023)	58.7	2.89	47.8	2.43
MovieChat (Song et al., 2023)	63.7	3.15	48.1	2.46
<i>Using Gemini-Pro for LLM-assisted evaluation</i>				
MovieChat (Song et al., 2023)	55.1	2.78	38.5	1.87
DrVideo(ours)	93.1	4.41	56.4	2.75

Table 2: **Quantitative evaluation on MovieChat-1K test set** with Gemini-Pro (Team et al., 2023) and GPT-3.5

Method	Acc.	Score
LLaMA-VID (Li et al., 2023b)	20.42	2.57
MovieChat (Song et al., 2023)	14.58	1.67
DrVideo(ours)	50.58	3.09

Table 3: **Quantitative evaluation on LLama-Vid-QA** with GPT-3.5.

without losing key information relevant to solving the question is not fully explored and our . Besides, compared with the end-to-end methods (Wang et al., 2022a; Papalampidi et al., 2023; Balažević et al., 2024), our DrVideo gains at least 16.6% improvement on EgoSchema fullset. This indicates that the video-to-document method may has more potential than the methods that are directly pre-trained on a large-scale multimodal corpus at present.

Comparative results on MovieChat-1K. In Table 2, we show the comparative results on the MoiveChat-1K dataset of our DrVideo and the current state-of-the-art methods (Song et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023b; Zhang et al., 2023c; Muhammad Maaz and Khan, 2023). From this table, we can find that the MovieChat gains 8.6% and 9.6% decline on both global mode and breakpoint mode when using Gemini-Pro as the LLM-assisted evaluation. Despite this, our DrVideo outperforms all the compared methods at least 29.4% accuracy on global mode and 8.3% accuracy on breakpoint mode under unfair comparison. This shows the our DrVideo can handle longer video effectively and reflects the generalization of our DrVideo.

Comparative results on LLama-Vid-QA. Table 3 presents the results of our DrVideo and other state-of-the-art methods (Li et al., 2023c; Song et al., 2023) on LLama-Vid-QA benchmark. From

RM	MSAIL	CoT	Acc.(%)
✓	✓	✓	62.6
✓	✓	✗	62.2
✓	✗	✓	60.6
✗	✓	✓	59.4
✗	✗	✓	57.4

Table 4: Results on different combinations of retrieval module (RM), multi-stage agent interaction loop (MSAIL), and CoT.

this table, we can see that our DrVideo achieves the best performance and outperforms other methods by a absolute margin. This is a strong evidence that our DrVideo can handle hour-level videos.

4.4 Ablation Studies

Effect of different components of DrVideo. We introduce a retrieval module and a multi-stage agent interaction loop to find potential missing information. After obtaining these augmented information, we employ another agent to predict answer via a CoT approach. To explore the effectiveness of it, we conduct experiments with different combinations of retrieval module, multi-stage agent interaction loop, and CoT. The results are presented in Table 4 and we can find that: (i) Without retrieve module and agent loop, the performance of our DrVideo is similar to LLoVi(Zhang et al., 2023a), this shows the improvement of our method mainly comes from the two modules (i.e., retrieve module and agent loop) we presented. (ii) As retrieve module and agent loop are added, the performance of DrVideo improved progressively (57.4%→60.6%→62.6%). It means that our DrVideo can find key frames through contextual inference, not just by calculating semantic similarity.

Effect of different types of augment information. To better enhance the required information for each potential key frame, we define two types of augment information, namely VQA (question-related information) and caption (general detailed information). Table 5a presents the performance of DrVideo with different type information. When only one type of information (VQA or caption) is used for enhancement, the model’s performance decline. This indicates that the necessity of adaptively enhancing different types of information for each potential key frame.

Effect of different initial top K key frames. In this paper, we set $K = 5$ as the initial key frames to find additional key frames via a multi-stage agent

VQA	Caption	Acc. (%)	Top-K	Acc. (%)
✓	✓	62.6	5	62.6
✗	✓	60.4	10	61.4
✓	✗	61.8	20	60.6

(a) Performance with different type information

(b) Performance with different Top-K

Table 5: Results on different settings and comparisons.

Captioning Model	Type	Acc.(%)
LaViLa(Zhao et al., 2023)	Clip-based	62.6
LLaVA-NeXT(Liu et al., 2024)	Frame-based	61.2
BLIP-2(Li et al., 2023a)	Frame-based	59.6

Table 6: Performance of different captioning models.

interaction loop. An intuitive observation is that enhancing more frames leads to better model performance. To verify this, we conduct experiments with different number initial Top K key frames. The results are shown in Table 5b and we can find that the performance of our DrVideo becomes lower as the improvement of the K frames. The reason may be that enhancing more initial Top K key frames will introduce a lot of useless and harmful information.

Effect of different captioning models. To show the effectiveness of different captioning models, we conduct experiments with three models (i.e., LaViLa(Zhao et al., 2023), LLaVA-NeXT(Liu et al., 2024), and BLIP-2(Li et al., 2023a)). In Table 6, LaViLa get the best performance, while BLIP-2 yields the worst performance. This shows that the captioning model influence the final performance of our DrVideo and the LaViLa is more suited for the EgoSchema dataset.

5 Conclusion

We have proposed DrVideo, a document retrieval-based system for long video understanding. Different from previous methods, DrVideo proposes to adapt long-video understanding to long-document understanding and searches for missing information via document retrieval and multi-stage agent loop. Extensive comparative experiments and ablation experiments on the three challenging datasets, i.e., EgoSchema (3 mins), MovieChat-1K (10 mins), and LLama-Vid-QA (> 60 mins) demonstrate that the effectiveness of DrVideo. We hope our work as a strong baseline that promoted the development of the long video understanding field.

6 Limitation

Although our method achieves impressive results on different long video benchmarks, we identify some limitations in the DrVideo System:

(1) DrVideo heavily relies on the performance of each component, e.g., the captioning model and LLM agents.

(2) The longest video DrVideo can handle depends on the maximum token length of the LLM, which may be a potential bottleneck for much longer videos than existing benchmarks, e.g., 10 hours.

(3) Due to the use of proprietary models, DrVideo is not fully transparent and cannot be trained end-to-end. We hope to explore these aspects in the future.

References

- Ivana Balažević, Yuge Shi, Pinelopi Papalampidi, Rahma Chaabouni, Skanda Koppula, and Olivier J Hénaff. 2024. Memory consolidation enables long-context video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05861*.
- Aanisha Bhattacharya, Yaman K Singla, Balaji Krishnamurthy, Rajiv Ratn Shah, and Changyou Chen. 2023. A video is worth 4096 tokens: Verbalize story videos to understand them in zero shot. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.09758*.
- Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Justice Carbajal, Yevgen Chebotar, Xi Chen, Krzysztof Choromanski, Tianli Ding, Danny Driess, Avinava Dubey, Chelsea Finn, et al. 2023. Rt-2: Vision-language-action models transfer web knowledge to robotic control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15818*.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901.
- Jun Chen, Deyao Zhu, Kilichbek Haydarov, Xiang Li, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. 2023. Video chatcaptioner: Towards the enriched spatiotemporal descriptions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.04227*.
- Feng Cheng, Xizi Wang, Jie Lei, David Crandall, Mohit Bansal, and Gedas Bertasius. 2023. Vindlu: A recipe for effective video-and-language pretraining. In *The IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- Aakanksha Chowdhery, Sharan Narang, Jacob Devlin, Maarten Bosma, Gaurav Mishra, Adam Roberts, Paul Barham, Hyung Won Chung, Charles Sutton, Sebastian Gehrmann, et al. 2022. Palm: Scaling language modeling with pathways. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.02311*.
- Jiwan Chung and Youngjae Yu. 2023. Long story short: a summarize-then-search method for long video question answering. In *BMVC*.
- Danny Driess, Fei Xia, Mehdi SM Sajjadi, Corey Lynch, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Brian Ichter, Ayzaan Wahid, Jonathan Tompson, Quan Vuong, Tianhe Yu, et al. 2023. Palm-e: An embodied multimodal language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03378*.
- Yue Fan, Xiaojian Ma, Rujie Wu, Yuntao Du, Jiaqi Li, Zhi Gao, and Qing Li. 2024. Videoagent: A memory-augmented multimodal agent for video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.11481*.
- Difei Gao, Lei Ji, Luowei Zhou, Kevin Qinghong Lin, Joya Chen, Zihan Fan, and Mike Zheng Shou. 2023. Assisgtpt: A general multi-modal assistant that can plan, execute, inspect, and learn. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.08640*.
- Albert Gu, Karan Goel, and Christopher Ré. 2021. Efficiently modeling long sequences with structured state spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.00396*.
- Wenyi Hong, Weihang Wang, Qingsong Lv, Jiazheng Xu, Wenmeng Yu, Junhui Ji, Yan Wang, Zihan Wang, Yuxiao Dong, Ming Ding, et al. 2023. Cogagent: A visual language model for gui agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08914*.
- Qingqiu Huang, Yu Xiong, Anyi Rao, Jiaye Wang, and Dahua Lin. 2020. Movienet: A holistic dataset for movie understanding. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part IV 16*, pages 709–727. Springer.
- Noureddien Hussein, Efstratios Gavves, and Arnold WM Smeulders. 2019. Videograph: Recognizing minutes-long human activities in videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.05143*.
- Md Mohaiminul Islam and Gedas Bertasius. 2022. Long movie clip classification with state-space video models. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 87–104. Springer.
- Wonkyun Kim, Changin Choi, Wonseok Lee, and Wonjong Rhee. 2024. An image grid can be worth a video: Zero-shot video question answering using a vlm. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.18406*.
- Jie Lei, Linjie Li, Luowei Zhou, Zhe Gan, Tamara L Berg, Mohit Bansal, and Jingjing Liu. 2021. Less is more: Clipbert for video-and-language learning via sparse sampling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 7331–7341.
- Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. 2023a. BLIP-2: bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. In *ICML*.

- KunChang Li, Yinan He, Yi Wang, Yizhuo Li, Wenhai Wang, Ping Luo, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. 2023b. [Videochat: Chat-centric video understanding](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2305.06355.
- Yanwei Li, Chengyao Wang, and Jiaya Jia. 2023c. Llama-vid: An image is worth 2 tokens in large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.17043*.
- Kevin Lin, Faisal Ahmed, Linjie Li, Chung-Ching Lin, Ehsan Azarnasab, Zhengyuan Yang, Jianfeng Wang, Lin Liang, Zicheng Liu, Yumao Lu, Ce Liu, and Lijuan Wang. 2023a. Mm-vid: Advancing video understanding with gpt-4v(ision). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.19773*.
- Kevin Lin, Faisal Ahmed, Linjie Li, Chung-Ching Lin, Ehsan Azarnasab, Zhengyuan Yang, Jianfeng Wang, Lin Liang, Zicheng Liu, Yumao Lu, et al. 2023b. Mm-vid: Advancing video understanding with gpt-4v(ision). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.19773*.
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Sheng Shen, and Yong Jae Lee. 2024. [Llava-next: Improved reasoning, ocr, and world knowledge](#).
- Ziyu Ma, Shutao Li, Bin Sun, Jianfei Cai, Zuxiang Long, and Fuyan Ma. 2024. Gereat: Question-aware prompt captions for knowledge-based visual question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.02503*.
- Muhammad Maaz, Hanoona Rasheed, Salman Khan, and Fahad Shahbaz Khan. 2023. Video-chatgpt: Towards detailed video understanding via large vision and language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.05424*.
- Karttikeya Mangalam, Raiymbek Akshulakov, and Jitendra Malik. 2023. Egoschema: A diagnostic benchmark for very long-form video language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.09126*.
- Juhong Min, Shyamal Buch, Arsha Nagrai, Minsu Cho, and Cordelia Schmid. 2024. Morevqa: Exploring modular reasoning models for video question answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13235–13245.
- Salman Khan Muhammad Maaz, Hanoona Rasheed and Fahad Khan. 2023. Video-chatgpt: Towards detailed video understanding via large vision and language models. *ArXiv 2306.05424*.
- Eric Nguyen, Karan Goel, Albert Gu, Gordon Downs, Preey Shah, Tri Dao, Stephen Baccus, and Christopher Ré. 2022. S4nd: Modeling images and videos as multidimensional signals with state spaces. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:2846–2861.
- OpenAI. 2022. Introducing chatgpt. <https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt>.
- OpenAI. 2024. [New embedding models and api updates](#). Accessed: 2024-06-08.
- Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. 2022. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:27730–27744.
- Pinelopi Papalampidi, Skanda Koppula, Shreya Pathak, Justin Chiu, Joe Heyward, Viorica Patraucean, Jiajun Shen, Antoine Miech, Andrew Zisserman, and Aida Nematzdeh. 2023. A simple recipe for contrastively pre-training video-first encoders beyond 16 frames. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.07395*.
- Teven Le Scao, Angela Fan, Christopher Akiki, Elie Pavlick, Suzana Ilić, Daniel Hesslow, Roman Castagné, Alexandra Sasha Luccioni, François Yvon, Matthias Galle, et al. 2022. Bloom: A 176b-parameter open-access multilingual language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.05100*.
- Enxin Song, Wenhao Chai, Guanhong Wang, Yucheng Zhang, Haoyang Zhou, Feiyang Wu, Xun Guo, Tian Ye, Yan Lu, Jenq-Neng Hwang, et al. 2023. Moviechat: From dense token to sparse memory for long video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.16449*.
- Yuchong Sun, Hongwei Xue, Ruihua Song, Bei Liu, Huan Yang, and Jianlong Fu. 2022. Long-form video-language pre-training with multimodal temporal contrastive learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:38032–38045.
- Dídac Surís, Sachit Menon, and Carl Vondrick. 2023. Vipergpt: Visual inference via python execution for reasoning. *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*.
- Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Yonghui Wu, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M Dai, Anja Hauth, et al. 2023. Gemini: a family of highly capable multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11805*.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. 2023. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*.
- Jue Wang, Wentao Zhu, Pichao Wang, Xiang Yu, Linda Liu, Mohamed Omar, and Raffay Hamid. 2023a. Selective structured state-spaces for long-form video understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6387–6397.
- Junke Wang, Dongdong Chen, Chong Luo, Xiyang Dai, Lu Yuan, Zuxuan Wu, and Yu-Gang Jiang. 2023b. Chatvideo: A tracklet-centric multimodal and versatile video understanding system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14407*.

- Xiaohan Wang, Yuhui Zhang, Orr Zohar, and Serena Yeung-Levy. 2024. Videoagent: Long-form video understanding with large language model as agent. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.10517*.
- Yang Wang, Gedas Bertasius, Tae-Hyun Oh, Abhinav Gupta, Minh Hoai, and Lorenzo Torresani. 2021. Superpixel attention graphs for long-range video modeling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 155–166.
- Yi Wang, Kunchang Li, Yizhuo Li, Yanan He, Bingkun Huang, Zhiyu Zhao, Hongjie Zhang, Jilan Xu, Yi Liu, Zun Wang, et al. 2022a. Internvideo: General video foundation models via generative and discriminative learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.03191*.
- Zhenhailong Wang, Manling Li, Ruochen Xu, Luowei Zhou, Jie Lei, Xudong Lin, Shuohang Wang, Ziyi Yang, Chenguang Zhu, Derek Hoiem, et al. 2022b. Language models with image descriptors are strong few-shot video-language learners. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:8483–8497.
- Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, Denny Zhou, et al. 2022. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:24824–24837.
- Chao-Yuan Wu, Yanghao Li, Karttikeya Mangalam, Haoqi Fan, Bo Xiong, Jitendra Malik, and Christoph Feichtenhofer. 2022. Memvit: Memory-augmented multiscale vision transformer for efficient long-term video recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13587–13597.
- Antoine Yang, Antoine Miech, Josef Sivic, Ivan Laptev, and Cordelia Schmid. 2021. Just ask: Learning to answer questions from millions of narrated videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 1686–1697.
- Antoine Yang, Antoine Miech, Josef Sivic, Ivan Laptev, and Cordelia Schmid. 2022. Zero-shot video question answering via frozen bidirectional language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:124–141.
- Xitong Yang, Fu-Jen Chu, Matt Feiszli, Raghav Goyal, Lorenzo Torresani, and Du Tran. 2023. Relational space-time query in long-form videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6398–6408.
- Zongxin Yang, Guikun Chen, Xiaodi Li, Wenguan Wang, and Yi Yang. 2024. Doraemongpt: Toward understanding dynamic scenes with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.08392*.
- Shoubin Yu, Jaemin Cho, Prateek Yadav, and Mohit Bansal. 2023. Self-chained image-language model for video localization and question answering. *NeurIPS*.
- Shoubin Yu, Jaemin Cho, Prateek Yadav, and Mohit Bansal. 2024. Self-chained image-language model for video localization and question answering. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36.
- Andy Zeng, Maria Attarian, Brian Ichter, Krzysztof Choromanski, Adrian Wong, Stefan Welker, Federico Tombari, Aveek Purohit, Michael Ryoo, Vikas Sindhwani, Johnny Lee, Vincent Vanhoucke, and Pete Florence. 2022. Socratic models: Composing zero-shot multimodal reasoning with language. *arXiv*.
- Ce Zhang, Taixi Lu, Md Mohaiminul Islam, Ziyang Wang, Shoubin Yu, Mohit Bansal, and Gedas Bertasius. 2023a. A simple llm framework for long-range video question-answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.17235*.
- Hang Zhang, Xin Li, and Lidong Bing. 2023b. Videollama: An instruction-tuned audio-visual language model for video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02858*.
- Hang Zhang, Xin Li, and Lidong Bing. 2023c. Videollama: An instruction-tuned audio-visual language model for video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02858*.
- Yue Zhao, Ishan Misra, Philipp Krähenbühl, and Rohit Girdhar. 2023. Learning video representations from large language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6586–6597.