

A Characterization of Semi-Involutory MDS Matrices

TAPAS CHATTERJEE

Indian Institute of Technology Ropar, Punjab, India.

e-mail: tapasc@iitrpr.ac.in

AYANTIKA LAHA

Indian Institute of Technology Ropar, Punjab, India.

e-mail: 2018maz0008@iitrpr.ac.in

Abstract

In symmetric cryptography, maximum distance separable (MDS) matrices with computationally simple inverses have wide applications. Many block ciphers like AES, SQUARE, SHARK, and hash functions like PHOTON use an MDS matrix in the diffusion layer. In this article, we first characterize all 3×3 irreducible semi-involutory matrices over the finite field of characteristic 2. Using this matrix characterization, we provide a necessary and sufficient condition to construct MDS semi-involutory matrices using only their diagonal entries and the entries of an associated diagonal matrix. Finally, we count the number of 3×3 semi-involutory MDS matrices over any finite field of characteristic 2.

Keywords: Inclusion-Exclusion Principle, Irreducible Matrix, MDS Matrix, Semi-involutory Matrix.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 05B20, 12E20, 15B99; Secondary: 94A60, 94B05.

1 Introduction

In the design of symmetric-key cryptography, the concept of “confusion and diffusion” was introduced by Claude Shannon in his seminal paper “Communication Theory of Secrecy System” [18]. The confusion layer hides the relationship between the key and the ciphertext while the goal of the diffusion layer is to conceal the relationship between the ciphertext and the plain text. Perfect diffusion can be achieved by either multipermutations [19] or by using maximum distance separable (MDS) matrices. An MDS matrix offers perfect diffusion because of its maximum branch number. Due to this, MDS matrices play an important role in the security against differential and linear attacks in the design of block ciphers and hash functions. Many modern age block ciphers such as AES [7], Twofish [17], SQUARE [6], SHARK [15] etc., and hash functions like Whirlpool [1], PHOTON [9] rely MDS matrices for enhanced security.

It is known that not all MDS matrices are suitable for the diffusion layer of lightweight cryptography. In this context, the construction of an MDS matrix with efficient implementation is a well studied problem. In [21], Youssef *et al.* introduced the idea of involutory linear transformation in the construction of a substitution permutation network

(SPN) based encryption scheme. Motivated by this, the authors in [20] studied two construction methods for involution linear transformations based on MDS codes. In the first, they constructed an $n \times n$ involutory matrix from an arbitrary non-singular matrix of order $\frac{n}{2} \times \frac{n}{2}$ over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . The other method used the Cauchy matrix to construct an involutory matrix over the finite field. Subsequently, in 2012, Sajadieh *et al.* constructed involutory MDS matrices from Vandermonde matrices over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} [16]. In 2013, Gupta and Ray [10] introduced four distinct methods for constructing MDS matrices using the Cauchy matrix. They also provided construction of an involutory MDS matrix over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^m} when the order of the matrix is a power of 2. Additionally, they gave the construction of an involutory Hadamard MDS matrix using Vandermonde based techniques in [10]. Inspired by the use of circulant MDS matrix in the diffusion layer of AES, Gupta *et al.* [11] studied the circulant involutory matrices over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} and proved the non-existence of involutory circulant matrices of both even and odd order. In 2016, Liu and Sim [13] provided examples of left circulant MDS matrices of odd order with involutory property.

In 2007, Barreto *et al.* designed a block cipher named Curupira [2]. Curupira is a specially designed block cipher for platforms where power consumption and processing time are very constrained resources, such as sensor and mobile networks or systems heavily reliant on tokens or smart cards. The MDS matrix used in this cipher is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 4 \\ 6 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^8} . It is worth noting that this matrix is involutory.

Inspired by the use of order 3 matrix in diffusion layer, in [12], Güzel *et al.* introduced a general format to construct all 3×3 involutory MDS matrices over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . They also counted the total number of such matrices and proved that the number of 1's in a 3×3 involutory MDS matrix is at most 3. Additionally, they provided experimental results regarding 3×3 involutory MDS matrices with low implementation cost over the finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{2^3}, \mathbb{F}_{2^4}$ and \mathbb{F}_{2^8} . In 2021, Cheon *et al.* [5] introduced semi-involutory matrices which is a generalization of the involutory property of matrices. Then, in 2023, Chatterjee *et al.* proved that some Cauchy based MDS constructions given by Gupta and Ray [10] are semi-involutory [4]. They introduced a new construction of the MDS semi-involutory matrix using the Cauchy matrix over the finite field of characteristic $p > 2$. Moreover, they proved that 3×3 semi-involutory matrices are MDS and characterized 4×4 semi-involutory matrices under certain restrictions on the entries of the matrix.

Many authors have continued the search of MDS matrices from finite fields to rings and modules. In 1995, Zain and Rajan defined MDS codes over cyclic groups [22] and Dong *et al.* characterized MDS codes over elementary Abelian groups [8]. By considering a finite Abelian group as a torsion module over a PID, Chatterjee *et al.* proved some non-existence results of MDS matrices in 2022 [3].

2 Contribution

In this article, we extend the previous results as in [12] for a bigger class of semi-involutory matrices and provide the general structure for 3×3 semi-involutory matrices in section § 4. An advantage of considering 3×3 semi-involutory matrices instead of involutory ones is that they are MDS when all entries are non-zero over a finite field. Consequently, we present a necessary and sufficient condition for the general structure of 3×3 semi-involutory matrices to be MDS based on the aforementioned condition. In § 5, we count the total number of 3×3 semi-involutory MDS matrices over a finite field of characteristic 2 using a set of 6-tuple.

3 Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{F}_q denote the finite field with $q = p^m$ elements where p is a prime number and m is a positive integer. \mathbb{F}_q^* denotes the set of all non-zero elements of \mathbb{F}_q . Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]$ linear error correcting code over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Then \mathcal{C} is a subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n of dimension k such that the Hamming distance between any two vectors in \mathcal{C} is at least d . The code \mathcal{C} is a maximum distance separable (MDS) code if the Singleton bound is attained, i.e., $d = n - k + 1$. The generator matrix G of an MDS code \mathcal{C} is a $k \times n$ matrix such that any set of k columns of G is linearly independent. The standard form of G is $[I|A]$, where I is a $k \times k$ identity matrix and A is a $k \times (n - k)$ matrix. From [14], another definition of MDS code is the following.

Definition 3.1. An $[n, k, d]$ code \mathcal{C} with the generator matrix $G = [I|A]$, where A is a $k \times (n - k)$ matrix, is MDS if and only if every $i \times i$ submatrix of A is non-singular, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \min(k, n - k)$.

This definition of MDS code gives the following characterization of an MDS matrix.

Definition 3.2. A square matrix A is said to be MDS if every square submatrix of A is non-singular.

The construction of an MDS matrix with an easily implementable inverse matrix has received a lot of attention due to the use of the inverse matrix in decryption layer of block cipher. Since an involutory matrix has the same implementation circuit with its inverse, involutory MDS matrices are beneficial when the decryption process is required.

Definition 3.3. A square matrix A is said to be involutory if $A^2 = I$.

An exhaustive search for an involutory MDS matrix can be time-consuming, particularly when dealing with a large field size. As a result, determining the total number of involutory MDS matrices over a finite field becomes an interesting problem. In [12], Güzel *et al.* proved that there exist $(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4)$ involutory MDS matrices

of order 3 over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Since our objective is to extend this result to a bigger class of matrices, we now provide the definition of such matrices.

The definition of semi-involutory matrix is the following [5].

Definition 3.4. A non-singular matrix M is said to be semi-involutory if there exist non-singular diagonal matrices D_1 and D_2 such that $M^{-1} = D_1 M D_2$.

In the following example we provide a 2×2 semi-involutory matrix over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^2} .

Example 3.5. Consider the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^2} with elements $\{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha + 1\}$. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ \alpha + 1 & \alpha \end{bmatrix}$. Consider $D_1 = D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $A^{-1} = D_1 A D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha + 1 & \alpha + 1 \\ 1 & \alpha \end{bmatrix}$.

It is also possible to construct semi-involutory matrices over fields of odd characteristic. For example,

Example 3.6. Consider the finite field \mathbb{F}_{11} and $A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 3 \\ 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Here $\det(A) = 2$. Consider $D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ and $D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $A^{-1} = D_1 A D_2$.

Some equivalent conditions of semi-involutory matrices are given in [5], which are as follows:

1. A is semi-involutory.
2. A^{-1} and A^T are semi-involutory.
3. DAD' is semi-involutory for any non-singular diagonal matrices D and D' .
4. $P^T A P$ is semi-involutory for any permutation matrix P .
5. ADA is non-singular and diagonal for some diagonal matrix D .

We say the matrix D in the equivalent definition 5 as an associated diagonal matrix for the semi-involutory matrix A . Cheon *et al.* provided the following characterization of 3×3 semi-involutory matrices [5].

Theorem 3.7. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a real matrix of order 3×3 . Then A is semi-involutory if and only if A is non-singular, $a_{ij} \neq 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq 3$, $a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} = a_{13}a_{21}a_{32}$ and $\det X = 0$,

$$\text{where } X = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}a_{22} & a_{21}a_{22} & a_{23}a_{31} \\ a_{11}a_{31} & a_{21}a_{32} & a_{31}a_{33} \\ a_{12}a_{31} & a_{22}a_{32} & a_{32}a_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

A matrix M_1 is said to be “permutation-similar” to another matrix M_2 if and only if M_2 can be obtained by permutation of any rows (or columns) of M_1 . Cheon *et al.* also provided a relation between the non-singular diagonal matrices of a semi-involutory matrix using the irreducible property.

Definition 3.8. A square matrix A is said to be reducible if it is permutation-similar to an upper triangular matrix. The matrix A is called irreducible if it is not reducible.

Using Definition 3.8, Cheon *et al.* proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9. Let A be an irreducible semi-involutory matrix of order $n \times n$ such that $A^{-1} = D_1 A D_2$, where D_1 and D_2 are non-singular diagonal matrices. Then $D_1 = c D_2$ for some non-zero constant c .

4 Structure of 3×3 semi-involutory MDS matrices

In [12], Güzel *et al.* provided a structure to construct all 3×3 involutory matrices using four arbitrary non-zero elements of the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . We first generalize their result for the case of semi-involutory irreducible matrices in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$ be a 3×3 irreducible, semi-involutory matrix with

an associated diagonal matrix $D = \text{diagonal}(d_1, d_2, d_3)$ over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^m} , where m is a positive integer. Then the entries of A can be expressed in terms of the diagonal entries of A and entries of D as follows: $a_{12} = (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)d_2^{-1}x$, $a_{13} = (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)d_3^{-1}xy$, $a_{21} = (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)d_1^{-1}x^{-1}$, $a_{23} = (a_{22}d_2 + a_{11}d_1)d_3^{-1}y$, $a_{31} = (a_{33}d_3 + a_{22}d_2)d_1^{-1}(xy)^{-1}$ and $a_{32} = (a_{33}d_3 + a_{11}d_1)d_2^{-1}y^{-1}$, where x, y are non-zero elements of \mathbb{F}_{2^m} .

Proof. Since A is an irreducible, semi-involutory matrix, by Theorem 3.9, we have $A^{-1} = c D A D$. This implies that $(DA)^2 = c^{-1}I$. Let $c^{-1} = a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$. The diagonal and non diagonal entries of $(DA)^2$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$a_{11}^2 d_1^2 + a_{12} a_{21} d_1 d_2 + a_{13} a_{31} d_1 d_3 = a \quad (1)$$

$$a_{22}^2 d_2^2 + a_{12} a_{21} d_1 d_2 + a_{23} a_{32} d_2 d_3 = a \quad (2)$$

$$a_{33}^2 d_3^2 + a_{13} a_{31} d_1 d_3 + a_{23} a_{32} d_2 d_3 = a \quad (3)$$

$$a_{11} a_{12} d_1^2 + a_{12} a_{22} d_1 d_2 + a_{13} a_{32} d_1 d_3 = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$a_{11} a_{13} d_1^2 + a_{12} a_{23} d_1 d_3 + a_{13} a_{33} d_1 d_3 = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$a_{11} a_{21} d_1 d_2 + a_{12} a_{22} d_2^2 + a_{23} a_{31} d_2 d_3 = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$a_{13} a_{21} d_1 d_2 + a_{22} a_{23} d_2^2 + a_{23} a_{33} d_2 d_3 = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$a_{11} a_{31} d_1 d_3 + a_{21} a_{32} d_2 d_3 + a_{31} a_{33} d_3^2 = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$a_{12} a_{31} d_1 d_3 + a_{22} a_{32} d_2 d_3 + a_{32} a_{33} d_3^2 = 0 \quad (9)$$

Adding (1), (2) and (3) we get

$$a_{11}^2 d_1^2 + a_{22}^2 d_2^2 + a_{33}^2 d_3^2 = a. \quad (10)$$

Equation (4),(5),(6), (7), (8) and (9) can be re-written in the following form thanks to an idea follows from Theorem 1 in [12]:

$$(a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)(a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3) = a_{12}a_{21}d_1d_2 \quad (11)$$

$$(a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)(a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3) = a_{23}a_{32}d_3d_2 \quad (12)$$

$$(a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)(a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3) = a_{13}a_{31}d_3d_1. \quad (13)$$

Multiply the first term in the product by d_2^{-1} and second term by d_1^{-1} in the left hand side of equation (11), we can write $a_{12} = (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)d_2^{-1}x$ and $a_{21} = (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)d_1^{-1}x^{-1}$ where x is an non-zero element of \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Similarly, from (12), we get $a_{23} = (a_{22}d_2 + a_{11}d_1)d_3^{-1}y$ and $a_{32} = (a_{33}d_3 + a_{11}d_1)d_2^{-1}y^{-1}$, where y is an non-zero element of \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Finally, from (13) we get $a_{13} = (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)d_3^{-1}z$ and $a_{31} = (a_{33}d_3 + a_{22}d_2)d_1^{-1}z^{-1}$ where z is an non-zero element of \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Since A is semi-involutory and irreducible, entries of A satisfy $a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} = a_{13}a_{21}a_{32}$ from Theorem 3.7. This implies x, y and z satisfy $xyz^{-1} = x^{-1}y^{-1}z$. By choosing $z = xy$ we get desired a_{13} and a_{31} . \square

Remark 4.2. Irreducible semi-involutory matrices may not be involutory. Thus Theorem 4.1 holds for a more general class of matrices. For example, consider the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^3} with generating polynomial $x^3 + x^2 + 1$. Let α be a primitive element of the finite field. Consider the

matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^2 + \alpha & 1 & \alpha^2 + 1 \\ 1 & \alpha^2 + \alpha & \alpha + 1 \\ \alpha^2 + 1 & \alpha + 1 & \alpha^2 + \alpha \end{bmatrix}$. Then A is semi-involutory and irreducible with

$D = \text{diagonal } (\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1, \alpha^2 + \alpha, \alpha + 1)$ and $c = 1$ but $A^2 \neq I$.

The converse of Theorem 4.1 is not necessarily true. For example,

Example 4.3. Consider the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^2} with generating polynomial $x^2 + x + 1$. Let β be a primitive element and $a_{11} = 1, a_{22} = \beta, a_{33} = \beta + 1, d_1 = \beta, d_2 = \beta + 1$ and $d_3 = 1$. Take $x = \beta, y = \beta + 1$. Then the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)d_2^{-1}x & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)d_3^{-1}xy \\ (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)d_1^{-1}x^{-1} & a_{22} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)d_3^{-1}y \\ (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)d_1^{-1}(xy)^{-1} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)d_2^{-1}y^{-1} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

is equal to $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \beta + 1 & \beta + 1 \\ \beta + 1 & \beta & \beta \\ 1 & \beta + 1 & \beta + 1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $\det A$ is zero. Hence A is not semi-involutory.

Observe that equation (14) is the generalized form of the matrix provided in Theorem 1 of [12]. Substituting $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = 1$ and $a = 1$ in equation (10), we can deduce to the generalized form of 3×3 involutory matrix.

Under certain condition we prove the converse of Theorem 4.1 in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Let A be a 3×3 matrix over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} as described in equation (14), where $a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3, x, y$ are non-zero and $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0$. Then A is semi-involutory. Moreover, if any two of $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3$ and $a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3$ are non-zero, then A is irreducible.*

Proof. Consider the diagonal matrix D with d_1, d_2, d_3 as consecutive diagonal entries. Then D is a non-singular diagonal matrix and $ADA = \text{diagonal}(d_1^{-1}a, d_2^{-1}a, d_3^{-1}a)$ where a satisfies equation (10). Therefore ADA is a non-singular diagonal matrix. Since $\det A = (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)^3(d_1d_2d_3)^{-1}$, by the given condition $\det A \neq 0$. Hence from the equivalent condition of semi-involutory matrix, A is semi-involutory. Observe that if at least two of $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3$ and $a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3$ are non-zero, then A cannot be permutation similar to a upper triangular matrix as a 3×3 upper triangular matrix must have at least three zeros, but by the given conditions, there can be at most two zero elements in A . Therefore, A cannot be permutation similar to an upper triangular matrix. Thus, A is irreducible. \square

Note that, MDS matrices are irreducible. Using this property we prove the following result.

Theorem 4.5. *Let A be a 3×3 matrix over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} as described in equation (14), where $a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3, x, y$ are non-zero. Then A is semi-involutory MDS matrix if and only if $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3$ and $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3$ are non-zero elements of the finite field.*

Proof. Let A be a semi-involutory MDS matrix. Then A^{-1} exists and $\det A = (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)^3(d_1d_2d_3)^{-1}$. This implies $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3$ is non-zero. Observe that, over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^m} , equation (10) can be written as $(a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)^2 = a$ and there exists a non-zero element b such that $b^2 = a$. Hence $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 = b$. The determinant of all 2×2 sub-matrices of A are following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)d_2^{-1}x \\ (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)d_1^{-1}x^{-1} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} = a_{33}bd_3d_1^{-1}d_2^{-1}, \\ & \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)d_3^{-1}xy \\ (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)d_1^{-1}x^{-1} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)d_3^{-1}y \end{vmatrix} = (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)byd_3^{-1}d_1^{-1}, \\ & \begin{vmatrix} (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)d_2^{-1}x & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)d_3^{-1}xy \\ a_{22} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)d_3^{-1}y \end{vmatrix} = (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)bxyd_2^{-1}d_3^{-1}, \\ & \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)d_2^{-1}x \\ (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)d_1^{-1}(xy)^{-1} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)d_2^{-1}y^{-1} \end{vmatrix} = (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)by^{-1}d_1^{-1}d_2^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \begin{array}{cc} a_{11} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)d_3^{-1}xy \\ (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)d_1^{-1}(xy)^{-1} & a_{33} \end{array} \right| = a_{22}bd_2d_1^{-1}d_3^{-1}, \\
& \left| \begin{array}{cc} (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)d_2^{-1}x & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)d_3^{-1}xy \\ (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)d_2^{-1}y^{-1} & a_{33} \end{array} \right| = (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)bx d_2^{-1}d_3^{-1}, \\
& \left| \begin{array}{cc} (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)d_1^{-1}x^{-1} & a_{22} \\ (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)d_1^{-1}(xy)^{-1} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)d_2^{-1}y^{-1} \end{array} \right| = (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)bx^{-1}y^{-1}d_1^{-1}d_2^{-1}, \\
& \left| \begin{array}{cc} (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)d_1^{-1}x^{-1} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)d_3^{-1}y \\ (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)d_1^{-1}(xy)^{-1} & a_{33} \end{array} \right| = (a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)bx d_1^{-1}d_3^{-1}, \\
& \left| \begin{array}{cc} a_{22} & (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)d_3^{-1}y \\ (a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3)d_2^{-1}y^{-1} & a_{33} \end{array} \right| = a_{11}bd_1d_2^{-1}d_3^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since A is an MDS matrix and d_1, d_2, d_3, x, y, b are non-zero elements of the finite field, then the condition holds.

Conversely, let $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3$ and $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3$ are non-zero elements of the finite field. Then we have all the entries of the matrix A and all 2×2 sub-matrices have non-zero determinant. Since $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0$, $\det A$ is also non-zero. Therefore A is an MDS matrix. By some easy calculation we have $ADA = D'$ with $D = \text{diagonal}(d_1, d_2, d_3)$ and $D' = \text{diagonal}(d_1^{-1}a, d_2^{-1}a, d_3^{-1}a)$, with $a = (a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3)^2$. Since a is a non-zero element of the finite field and D, D' are non-singular matrices, A is semi-involutory. \square

5 A counting problem

In this section, we count the number of semi-involutory MDS matrices over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . We start with the following construction of a set of 6-tuples that satisfy the conditions presented in Theorem 4.5 over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^m} : $S = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}$. Using the cardinality of S , we count the number of semi-involutory MDS matrices in Theorem 5.5. To determine the cardinality of S , we first prove a series of lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $S_1 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{ii} \neq a_{jj}, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}$. Then $|S_1| = (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^{3m} - 9 \cdot 2^{2m} + 26 \cdot 2^m - 24)$.*

Proof. Let $a_{ii} \neq a_{jj}, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. We consider three sub-cases based on the choice of

d_1, d_2, d_3 . As a result, let consider the following three sets:

$$S'_1 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{ii} \neq a_{jj}, d_i = d_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, \\ a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}$$

$$S''_1 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{ii} \neq a_{jj}, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, d_i = d_j \text{ for} \\ (i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3)\}, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, \\ a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}$$

$$S'''_1 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{ii} \neq a_{jj}, d_i \neq d_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, \\ a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}$$

Case I. First consider the set S'_1 . In this case we have $d_i = d_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. This implies that $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3$ are non-zero. Since $d_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$, the equation $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 = 0$ holds if $a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33} = 0$. Consider the sets $A_1 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}) : a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33} = 0\}$ and $A_2 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}) : a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33} \neq 0\}$. Any non-zero $d_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ satisfy all the four conditions of S'_1 for each element of A_2 . Clearly,

$$|A_2| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)(2^m - 3) - (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2) = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4).$$

Hence cardinality of S'_1 is

$$|S'_1| = \{(2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)(2^m - 3) - (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)\}(2^m - 1) \\ = (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4).$$

Case II. Next consider the set S''_1 . Then exactly one pair of d_i is equal. We give the proof for the case $d_1 = d_2, d_1 \neq d_3, d_2 \neq d_3$. The other two cases will follow similarly.

Let $d_1 = d_2, d_1 \neq d_3, d_2 \neq d_3$. Then $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2$ is non-zero. To determine the cardinality of S''_1 , we count the cardinality of non-zero 3-tuple (d_1, d_1, d_3) such that $a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3, a_{22}d_1 + a_{33}d_3, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_1 + a_{33}d_3$ are non-zero.

First we choose an arbitrary (a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}) such that $a_{33} \neq a_{11} + a_{22}$ and fix it. There are $(2^m - 1)$ and $(2^m - 2)$ ways to choose a_{11} and a_{22} respectively. Since a_{33} is different from $a_{11} + a_{22}$, we have $(2^m - 4)$ many options for a_{33} . Therefore (a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}) can be chosen in total $(2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4)$ ways. Let define the following two sets:

$$T = \{(d_1, d_3) \neq (0, 0) : d_1 \neq d_3\} \\ \text{and } X = \{(d_1, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}.$$

Clearly, $|T| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)$. To count the cardinality of X , consider three sets

$$X_1 = \{(d_1, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 = 0\}, \\ X_2 = \{(d_1, d_3) \in T : a_{22}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 = 0\} \\ \text{and } X_3 = \{(d_1, d_3) \in T : (a_{11} + a_{22})d_1 + a_{33}d_3 = 0\}$$

and observe that $|X| = |T| \setminus |X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3|$. To calculate the cardinality of $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, we construct three sets Y_1, Y_2 and Y_3 defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \{(xa_{33}, xa_{11}) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*\}, \\ Y_2 &= \{(ya_{33}, ya_{22}) : y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*\} \\ \text{and } Y_3 &= \{(za_{33}, z(a_{11} + a_{22})) : z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

We prove that $X_i = Y_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$. First observe that, $Y_1 \subseteq X_1, Y_2 \subseteq X_2$ and $Y_3 \subseteq X_3$.

To prove the other side of inclusion, i.e., $X_1 \subseteq Y_1$, consider an arbitrary element $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in X_1$. Therefore $a_{11}\alpha_1 + a_{33}\alpha_2 = 0$. Moreover, there exist non-zero elements β_1, β_2 in $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ such that $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 a_{33}$ and $\alpha_2 = \beta_2 a_{11}$. Hence $a_{11}a_{33}(\beta_1 + \beta_2) = 0$ implies $\beta_1 = \beta_2$. Thus $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in Y_1$ and this implies $X_1 = Y_1$. Similarly, $Y_2 = X_2$ and $Y_3 = X_3$ and $|X_1| = |X_2| = |X_3| = (2^m - 1)$.

Next assume that $X_1 \cap X_2 \neq \phi$. This implies $a_{11} = a_{22}$, which is not possible. Similarly, if $X_1 \cap X_3 \neq \phi$, that implies $a_{11} = a_{11} + a_{22}$, which is not possible. Also, if $X_2 \cap X_3 \neq \phi$, then $a_{22} = a_{11} + a_{22}$, which is not possible. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3| &= 3(2^m - 1) \\ \text{and } |X| &= |T| \setminus |X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2) - 3(2^m - 1) = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 5). \end{aligned}$$

For the case $a_{33} = a_{11} + a_{22}$, first we choose arbitrary a_{11}, a_{22} and fix them. Then $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 = (d_1 + d_3)a_{33} \neq 0$. Hence, in this situation the set X is defined as $X = \{(d_1, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}$. Following a similar approach as in previous case, construct the sets X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 and count $|X|$. Then

$$|X| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2) - 2(2^m - 1) = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 4).$$

Combining both cases for $d_1 = d_2, d_1 \neq d_3, d_2 \neq d_3$ and considering the remaining two cases for d_i 's, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_1''| &= 3\{(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4)(2^m - 5) + (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4)\} \\ &= 3(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Case III. Lastly, consider S_1''' .

First choose an arbitrary triplet (a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}) and fix it. First we define the following two sets:

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \neq (0, 0, 0) : d_i \neq d_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\} \\ X &= \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, \\ &\quad a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $|T| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)(2^m - 3)$.

Consider the sets

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 = 0\}, \\ X_2 &= \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 = 0\}, \\ X_3 &= \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in T : a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 = 0\}, \\ \text{and } X_4 &= \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

First we calculate $|X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4|$. We begin with defining the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \{(xa_{22}, xa_{11}, d_3) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, d_3 \neq (0, xa_{22}, xa_{11})\}, \\ Y_2 &= \{(ya_{33}, d_2, ya_{11}) : y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, d_2 \neq (0, ya_{33}, ya_{11})\}, \\ Y_3 &= \{(d_1, za_{33}, za_{22}) : d_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, za_{33} \neq d_1, za_{11} \neq d_1\} \\ &= \{(d_1, za_{33}, za_{22}) : d_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, z \neq (d_1 a_{33}^{-1}, d_1 a_{22}^{-1})\}, \\ \text{and } Y_4 &= \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) : d_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, d_2 \neq (0, d_1, a_{22}^{-1}a_{11}d_1), (a_{11} + a_{33})a_{22}^{-1}d_1, (a_{22} + a_{33})^{-1}a_{11}d_1, \\ & \quad d_3 \neq 0, d_3 = a_{33}^{-1}(a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We prove that $X_i = Y_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$. For the case $a_{33} = a_{11} + a_{22}$, Y_4 is denoted by

$$Y_4^0 = \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) : d_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, d_2 \neq (0, d_1, a_{22}^{-1}a_{11}d_1), d_3 \neq 0, d_3 = a_{33}^{-1}(a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)\}.$$

The cardinality of Y_4^0 and Y_4 are $|Y_4^0| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 3)$ and $|Y_4| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 5)$.

Clearly, $Y_1 \subseteq X_1, Y_2 \subseteq X_2$ and $Y_3 \subseteq X_3$. We now prove the reverse inclusion starting with the case $X_1 \subseteq Y_1$, and the other two cases are similar.

Let $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in X_1$. From the construction of X_1 this implies $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 = 0$ and $d'_3 \neq \{d'_1, d'_2\}$. There exists non-zero elements $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ such that $d'_1 = \beta_1 a_{22}, d'_2 = \beta_2 a_{11}$. Since $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 = 0$, we have $a_{11}a_{22}(\beta_1 + \beta_2) = 0$. Since a_{11}, a_{22} are non-zero element, this implies $\beta_1 = \beta_2$. Therefore $d'_3 \neq \{\beta_1 a_{22}, \beta_1 a_{11}\}$ and $X_1 = Y_1$. Hence, cardinality of the set Y_1 is $(2^m - 1)(2^m - 3)$ because, we need to choose x from $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ and d_3 from $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \setminus \{0, xa_{22}, xa_{11}\}$.

Likewise, $X_2 = Y_2$ and $X_3 = Y_3$. Hence $|X_1| = |X_2| = |X_3| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 3)$.

We now prove that $Y_4 = X_4$ for the case $a_{33} \neq a_{11} + a_{22}$. Let $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in Y_4$. Then $d'_3 = a_{33}^{-1}(a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2)$ and this implies $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 + a_{33}d'_3 = 0$. Also $d'_3 \neq 0$ implies $a_{11}d'_1 \neq a_{22}d'_2$ i.e., $d'_2 \neq a_{22}^{-1}a_{11}d'_1$.

To prove $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in X_4$, we need to show $d'_3 \neq \{d'_1, d'_2\}$. If $d'_3 = d'_1$ then $d'_1 = a_{33}^{-1}(a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2)$, which implies $d'_2 = (a_{11} + a_{33})a_{22}^{-1}d'_1$, which is not possible from the construction of Y_4 . Similarly, if $d'_3 = d'_2$, then $d'_2 = a_{33}^{-1}(a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2)$, which implies $d'_2 = (a_{22} + a_{33})^{-1}a_{11}d'_1$, which is also not possible from the construction of Y_4 . Therefore, $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in T$ and $Y_4 \subseteq X_4$.

Conversely, let $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in X_4$. Then $d'_1 \neq d'_2, d'_1 \neq d'_3, d'_1 \neq d'_2$ and $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 + a_{33}d'_3 = 0$. Since $a_{33}d'_3 \neq 0$, we have $a_{11}d'_1 \neq a_{22}d'_2$ and this implies $d'_2 \neq a_{22}^{-1}a_{11}d'_1$.

Furthermore, since $d'_3 \neq d'_1, d'_2$, it follows that $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 \neq a_{33}d'_1$ and $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 \neq a_{33}d'_2$. This implies $d'_2 \neq (a_{11} + a_{33})a_{22}^{-1}d'_1$ and $d'_2 \neq (a_{22} + a_{33})^{-1}a_{11}d'_1$ respectively. Therefore $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in Y_4$.

Similarly it can be proved that $Y_4^0 = X_4$ when $a_{33} = a_{11} + a_{22}$.

Next, we calculate the cardinality of $X_i \cap X_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq 4$. We start with calculating $X_1 \cap X_2$ and $X_1 \cap X_4$, and the others cases are similar.

Let $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in X_1 \cap X_2$. This implies $d'_1 = xa_{22} = ya_{33}, d'_2 = xa_{11}, d'_3 = ya_{11}$. Since $a_{22} \neq a_{33}$, and $xa_{22} = ya_{33} = d'_1$ then $x = d'_1 a_{22}^{-1}$ and $y = d'_1 a_{33}^{-1}$. Therefore $\{(d'_1, d'_1 a_{22}^{-1} a_{11}, d'_1 a_{33}^{-1} a_{11}) : d'_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*\} = X_1 \cap X_2$. Then $|X_1 \cap X_2| = (2^m - 1)$. Similar to this, $|X_1 \cap X_3| = |X_2 \cap X_3| = (2^m - 1)$.

Let $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in X_1 \cap X_4$. Then $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 = 0$ and $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 + a_{33}d'_3 = 0$. This implies $a_{33}d'_3 = 0$, which is not possible. Thus $|X_1 \cap X_4| = \phi$. Similarly, $|X_2 \cap X_4| = |X_3 \cap X_4| = \phi$.

Lastly, we calculate the cardinality of the set $X_1 \cap X_2 \cap X_3$. For that, we prove that $X_1 \cap X_2 \cap X_3 = X_1 \cap X_2$.

Let $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in X_1 \cap X_2$. then $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 = 0$ and $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{33}d'_3 = 0$. Adding these, we have $a_{22}d'_2 + a_{33}d'_3 = 0$. Thus $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in X_1 \cap X_2 \cap X_3$. Other side of the inclusion follow easily. Thus $|X_1 \cap X_2 \cap X_3| = (2^m - 1)$.

$$\text{Therefore } |X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4| = \begin{cases} 2(2^m - 1)(2 \cdot 2^m - 7), & \text{for } a_{11} + a_{22} = a_{33} \\ (2^m - 1)(4 \cdot 2^m - 16), & \text{for } a_{11} + a_{22} \neq a_{33} \end{cases} \text{ and}$$

$$|X| = \begin{cases} (2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 9 \cdot 2^m + 20), & \text{for } a_{11} + a_{22} = a_{33} \\ (2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 9 \cdot 2^m + 22), & \text{for } a_{11} + a_{22} \neq a_{33}. \end{cases}$$

Cardinality of S_1''' in this case is $(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)\{(2^m - 4)(2^{2m} - 9 \cdot 2^m + 22) + (2^{2m} - 9 \cdot 2^m + 20)\}$. Since any two of S_1', S_1'' and S_1''' have empty intersection, cardinality of S_1 is:

$$\begin{aligned} |S_1| &= (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4) + 3(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4)^2 + (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2) \\ &\quad \cdot \{(2^m - 4)(2^{2m} - 9 \cdot 2^m + 22) + (2^{2m} - 9 \cdot 2^m + 20)\} \\ &= (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^{3m} - 9 \cdot 2^{2m} + 26 \cdot 2^m - 24). \end{aligned}$$

□

In the next lemma, we consider another condition on the a_{ii} 's and determine the cardinality of the set S_2 derived from S .

Lemma 5.2. *Let $S_2 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{ii} = a_{jj}, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}$. Then $|S_2| = (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4)$.*

Proof. Assume that $a_{ii} = a_{jj}, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. In this case, the only possible choice for d_i 's are $d_i \neq d_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3$.

As a result $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3$ are never zero. Additionally, the elements in the set S_2 satisfy the condition $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 = a_{11}(d_1 + d_2 + d_3) \neq 0$. Since a_{11} is non-zero, we need $d_1 + d_2 + d_3$ cannot be zero i.e., $d_3 \neq d_1 + d_2$. Then for the triplet (d_1, d_2, d_3) , we have

$$(2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)(2^m - 3) - (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2) = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4)$$

many choices. Therefore cardinality of S_2 is $(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4)$. \square

For the last case, let us assume at most one pair of a_{ii} 's are equal i.e., $a_{ii} = a_{jj}$ for $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3)\}$. We prove for the case $a_{11} = a_{22}, a_{11} \neq a_{33}$ and the other two cases follow similarly.

Lemma 5.3. Let $S_3 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{11} = a_{22}, a_{11} \neq a_{33}, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}$. Then $|S_3| = (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^{2m} - 6 \cdot 2^m + 8)$.

Proof. Let $a_{11} = a_{22}, a_{11} \neq a_{33}, a_{22} \neq a_{33}$. We study each sub-cases of d_i separately. Let

$$S'_3 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{11} = a_{22}, a_{11} \neq a_{33}, d_1 = d_2, d_2 \neq d_3, d_1 \neq d_3, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\},$$

$$S''_3 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{11} = a_{22}, a_{11} \neq a_{33}, d_1 \neq d_2, d_2 = d_3, d_1 \neq d_3, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\},$$

$$S'''_3 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{11} = a_{22}, a_{11} \neq a_{33}, d_1 \neq d_2, d_2 \neq d_3, d_1 = d_3, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\},$$

$$S''''_3 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{11} = a_{22}, a_{11} \neq a_{33}, d_1 \neq d_2, d_2 \neq d_3, d_1 \neq d_3, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}.$$

Case I. Consider the set S'_3 . In this set, we have $d_1 = d_2, d_2 \neq d_3, d_1 \neq d_3$. Therefore $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2$ is always zero and this case will never occur.

Case II. Consider the set S''_3 . Then $d_1 \neq d_2, d_2 = d_3, d_1 \neq d_3$.

For each value of d_i 's with $1 \leq i \leq 3$, both $a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3$ and $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2$ are always non-zero in this case.

Furthermore, the elements of the set S_3'' satisfy $a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3$ are non-zero. To find the cardinality of S_3'' , we first fix an arbitrary 3-tuple $(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^3$ with $a_{11} = a_{22}$. Let us define the following sets:

$$T = \{(d_1, d_3) \neq (0, 0) : d_1 \neq d_3\}$$

$$\text{and } X = \{(d_1, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 = a_{11}d_1 + a_{11}d_3 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}$$

Clearly, $|T| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)$. To determine the cardinality of X , we first count the cardinality of the following sets. Let

$$X_1 = \{(d_1, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 = 0\},$$

$$X_2 = \{(d_1, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + (a_{11} + a_{33})d_3 = 0\},$$

$$\text{and } Y_1 = \{(xa_{33}, xa_{11}) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*\},$$

$$Y_2 = \{(z(a_{11} + a_{33}), z(a_{11})) : z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*\}.$$

Our claim is that $X_i = Y_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. One side of the inclusion is evident, i.e., $Y_1 \subseteq X_1, Y_2 \subseteq X_2$. For the converse part, consider an arbitrary element $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in X_1$. Then $a_{11}\alpha_1 + a_{33}\alpha_2 = 0$. There exists non-zero elements β_1, β_2 over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ such that $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 a_{33}$ and $\alpha_2 = \beta_2 a_{11}$. Consequently, we get $a_{11}a_{33}(\beta_1 + \beta_2) = 0$ which implies $\beta_1 = \beta_2$. Thus $X_1 = Y_1$. Similarly, $X_2 = Y_2$ and $|X_1| = |X_2| = (2^m - 1)$.

Next we calculate $|X_1 \cup X_2|$. If $X_1 \cap X_2 \neq \phi$ then $a_{33} = a_{11} + a_{33}$, which is contradiction to $a_{11} \neq 0$. Therefore

$$|X_1 \cup X_2| = 2(2^m - 1)$$

$$\text{and } |X| = |T| \setminus |X_1 \cup X_2| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2) - 2(2^m - 1) = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 4).$$

Thus $|S_3''| = (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4)$.

Case III. Consider the set S_3''' . Then $d_1 \neq d_2, d_2 \neq d_3, d_1 = d_3$.

Proving similarly as Case II of Lemma 5.3, we will get $|S_3'''| = (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4)$.

Case IV. Consider the set S_3'''' . Then $d_1 \neq d_2, d_2 \neq d_3, d_1 \neq d_3$.

First fix an arbitrary triple $(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^3$ with $a_{11} = a_{22}$. Let define the following sets:

$$T = \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \neq (0, 0, 0) : d_i \neq d_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\},$$

$$\text{and } X = \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 = a_{11}(d_1 + d_2) \neq 0,$$

$$a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}.$$

Clearly, $|T| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)(2^m - 3)$. To determine the cardinality of X , we begin with the following four subsets of X .

$$X_1 = \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 = 0\},$$

$$X_2 = \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 = 0\},$$

$$X_3 = \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 = 0\},$$

$$\text{and } X_4 = \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in T : a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 = 0\}.$$

Observe that $|X| = |T| \setminus |X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4|$. Since $d_1 \neq d_2$ and $a_{11} = a_{22}$, $a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 = a_{11}(d_1 + d_2)$ is always non-zero for all (d_1, d_2, d_3) . Therefore $|X_1| = 0$.

Consider the following three sets:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_2 &= \{(ya_{33}, d_2, ya_{11}) : y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^3}^*, d_2 \neq \{0, ya_{33}, ya_{11}\}\}, \\ Y_3 &= \{(d_1, za_{33}, za_{11}) : d_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^3}^*, z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^3}^*, d_1 \neq \{za_{33}, za_{11}\}\} \\ &= \{(d_1, za_{33}, za_{11}) : d_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^3}^*, z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^3}^*, z \neq \{d_1 a_{33}^{-1}, d_1 a_{22}^{-1}\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $Y_4 = \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) : d_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^3}^*, d_2 \neq \{0, d_1, (a_{11} + a_{33})a_{22}^{-1}d_1, (a_{22} + a_{33})^{-1}a_{11}d_1\}, d_3 \neq 0, d_3 = a_{33}^{-1}(a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2)\}$.

Using the same argument as previous cases, we have $Y_2 = X_2, Y_3 = X_3$. Then $|X_2| = |X_3| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 3)$.

Next we prove that $Y_4 = X_4$. Let $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in Y_4$. Then $d'_3 = a_{33}^{-1}(a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2)$ implies $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 + a_{33}d'_3 = 0$. Additionally, since $d'_3 \neq 0$ it follows that $a_{11}d'_1 \neq a_{22}d'_2$ i.e., $d'_2 \neq a_{22}^{-1}a_{11}d'_1 = d'_1$. To prove $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in X_4$, we need to show $d'_3 \neq \{d'_1, d'_2\}$. If we assume $d'_3 = d'_1$ then $d'_1 = a_{33}^{-1}(a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2)$ which implies $d'_2 = (a_{11} + a_{33})a_{22}^{-1}d'_1$, which is not possible. Also, if $d'_3 = d'_2$, then $d'_2 = a_{33}^{-1}(a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2)$ implies $d'_2 = (a_{22} + a_{33})^{-1}a_{11}d'_1$, also not possible. Therefore $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in T$ and $Y_4 \subseteq X_4$.

Conversely, let $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in X_4$. Then $d'_1 \neq d'_2, d'_1 \neq d'_3, d'_1 \neq d'_2$ and $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 + a_{33}d'_3 = 0$. Since $a_{33}d'_3 \neq 0$, we have $a_{11}d'_1 \neq a_{22}d'_2$. This implies $d'_2 \neq a_{22}^{-1}a_{11}d'_1 = d'_1$. Since $d'_3 \neq \{d'_1, d'_2\}$, then $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 \neq a_{33}d'_1$ and $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 \neq a_{33}d'_2$. This implies $d'_2 \neq (a_{11} + a_{33})a_{22}^{-1}d'_1$ and $d'_2 \neq (a_{22} + a_{33})^{-1}a_{11}d'_1$ respectively. Thus $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in Y_4$. Thus $|Y_4| = (2^m - 1)(2^m - 4) = |X_4|$.

Observe that $X_1 \cap X_2 = X_1 \cap X_3 = X_1 \cap X_4 = \phi$. Now we calculate cardinality of $X_2 \cap X_3$. If $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in X_2 \cap X_3$, then $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{33}d'_3 = 0$ and $a_{11}d'_2 + a_{33}d'_3 = 0$. Adding these two equations, we obtain, $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{11}d'_2 = 0$ which is not possible since $d'_1 \neq d'_2$. Therefore we conclude that $X_2 \cap X_3 = \phi$.

Similarly, for the set $X_2 \cap X_4$, assume that $(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) \in X_2 \cap X_4$. Then $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{33}d'_3 = 0$ and $a_{11}d'_1 + a_{22}d'_2 + a_{33}d'_3 = 0$. These two equations imply $a_{33}d'_3 = 0$ which is not possible. For similar reasons, $X_3 \cap X_4$ is also empty. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4| &= |X_1| + |X_2| + |X_3| + |X_4| \\ &= 2(2^m - 1)(2^m - 3) + (2^m - 1)(2^m - 4) \\ &= (2^m - 1)(3 \cdot 2^m - 10) \\ \text{and } |X| &= (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)(2^m - 3) - (2^m - 1)(3 \cdot 2^m - 10) \\ &= (2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 8 \cdot 2^m + 16). \end{aligned}$$

Hence cardinality of S_3'''' is $(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^{2m} - 8 \cdot 2^m + 16)$. Since the intersection of

any two of S'_3, S''_3, S'''_3 and S''''_3 are empty, cardinality of S_3 is

$$\begin{aligned} |S_3| &= 2(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4) + (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^{2m} - 8 \cdot 2^m + 16) \\ &= (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^{2m} - 6 \cdot 2^m + 8). \end{aligned}$$

□

Consider the other two cases similar to S_3 and named them as follows:

$$S_4 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{11} = a_{33}, a_{22} \neq a_{33}, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, \\ a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}$$

$$\text{and } S_5 = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{22} = a_{33}, a_{11} \neq a_{33}, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, \\ a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_3 \cup S_4 \cup S_5| &= 6(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4) + 3(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^{2m} - 8 \cdot 2^m + 16) \\ &= 3(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^{2m} - 6 \cdot 2^m + 8). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.4. *Let S be the set $S = \{(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*)^6, m \geq 2 : a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0, a_{11}d_1 + a_{22}d_2 + a_{33}d_3 \neq 0\}$. Then cardinality of S is $(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^{3m} - 6 \cdot 2^{2m} + 9 \cdot 2^m - 4)$.*

Proof. Note that, the set S is the disjoint union of S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 and S_5 i.e., $S_i \cap S_j = \phi$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$. Therefore, from lemma 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3, we have $|S| = |S_1| + |S_2| + |S_3| + |S_4| + |S_5| = (2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^{3m} - 6 \cdot 2^{2m} + 9 \cdot 2^m - 4)$. □

Theorem 5.5. *The number of 3×3 semi-involutory MDS matrix over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}, m \geq 2$ is $(2^m - 1)^4(2^m - 2)(2^{3m} - 6 \cdot 2^{2m} + 9 \cdot 2^m - 4)$.*

Proof. An MDS semi-involutory matrix is expressed in general form given by the equation (14) using only diagonal entries of the matrix and the entries of an associated diagonal matrix. Let $a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2, d_3$ are those entries and x, y are arbitrary. Since $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$, then the number of choices for x and y is $(2^m - 1)^2$. Using Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 5.4, the number of choices for $a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, d_1, d_2$ and d_3 are $(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^{3m} - 6 \cdot 2^{2m} + 9 \cdot 2^m - 4)$. Therefore total number of MDS semi-involutory matrix is $(2^m - 1)^4(2^m - 2)(2^{3m} - 6 \cdot 2^{2m} + 9 \cdot 2^m - 4)$. □

Remark 5.6. *In [12] it was proved that the number of 3×3 involutory MDS matrices over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}, m > 2$ is $(2^m - 1)^2(2^m - 2)(2^m - 4)$. Therefore, there exists total 1176 and 37800, 3×3 involutory MDS matrices over \mathbb{F}_{2^3} and \mathbb{F}_{2^4} respectively. However, Theorem 5.5 states that there does not exist any 3×3 semi-involutory MDS matrix over \mathbb{F}_{2^2} and the number of 3×3 semi-involutory MDS matrices over \mathbb{F}_{2^3} is 2832576, and over \mathbb{F}_{2^4} is 1913625000.*

6 Conclusion

We prove that it is possible to construct all 3×3 semi-involutory MDS matrices over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^m} by using only three diagonal elements and the entries of an associated diagonal matrix. Our proposed matrix form in equation (14) with MDS property is beneficial to use in the diffusion layer of SPN based block cipher because the inverse matrix is a simple matrix multiplication of two diagonal matrices with the original matrix. Additionally, we provide the count of the total number of 3×3 MDS, semi-involutory matrix over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^m} .

The general structures for the involutory and semi-involutory matrices of even sizes or powers of 2 is still an open problem. Also it will be worth exploring when those matrices have MDS properties.

7 Acknowledgement

The second author thanks Susanta Samanta for his helpful suggestion on an earlier version of this paper.

8 Declaration

Competing interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] P. S. L. M. Barreto and V. Rijmen, *The Whirlpool hashing function*, In Proceedings of the 1st NESSIE Workshop, 2000.
- [2] P. S. L. M. Barreto and M. A. Simplicio Jr., *CURUPIRA, a block cipher for constrained platforms*, Brazilian symposium on Computer Networks and Distributed Systems, 2007.
- [3] T. Chatterjee, A. Laha and S. K. Sanadhya, *On the Structure of Format Preserving Sets in the Diffusion Layer of Block Ciphers*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, **68** (2022), no. 12, pp. 8268–8279.
- [4] T. Chatterjee and A. Laha, *A note on Semi-Orthogonal (G-matrix) and Semi-Involutory MDS Matrices*, Finite Fields and Their Applications, **92** (2023), Paper No. 102279, 27.

- [5] G. Cheon, B. Curtis and H. Kim, *Semi-involutory matrices and signed self-inverse*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **622** (2021), pp. 294–315.
- [6] J. Daemen, L. R. Knudsen and V. Rijmen, *The block cipher SQUARE*, Fast Software Encryption Workshop, LNCS, **1267** (1997), pp. 149 – 165.
- [7] J. Daemen and V. Rijmen, *The Design of Rijndael: AES - The Advanced Encryption Standard*, Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [8] X.D. Dong, B. S. Cheong, and E. Gunawan, *Matrix characterization of MDS linear codes over modules*, Linear Algebra Appl, **277** (1998), pp. 57–61.
- [9] J. Guo, T. Peyrin and A. Poschmann, *The PHOTON family of lightweight hash functions*, CRYPTO 2011, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, **6841** (2011), pp. 222 – 239.
- [10] K. C. Gupta and I. G. Ray, *On Constructions of Involutory MDS Matrices*, Progress in Cryptology – AFRICACRYPT 2013, **7918** (2013), pp. 43–60.
- [11] K. C. Gupta and I. G. Ray, *Cryptographically significant MDS matrices based on circulant and circulant-like matrices for lightweight applications*, Cryptography and Communications, **7** (2015), pp. 257–287.
- [12] G. G. Güzel, M. T. Sakalli, S. Akleylek, V. Rijmen and Y.Çengellenmiş, *A new matrix form to generate all 3×3 matrix over F_{2^m}* , Information Processing Letter, **147** (2019), pp. 61 – 68.
- [13] M. Liu and S. M. Sim, *Lightweight MDS generalized circulant matrices*, Fast Software Encryption, **9783** (2016), pp. 101–120.
- [14] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error Correcting Codes*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977.
- [15] V. Rijmen, J. Daemen, B. Preneel, A. Bosselaers and E.D. Win, *The cipher SHARK*, Fast Software Encryption Workshop, LNCS, **1039** (1996), pp. 99—112.
- [16] M. Sajadieh, M. Dakhilalian, H. Mala and B. Omoomi, *On construction of involutory MDS matrices from Vandermonde Matrices in $GF(2^q)$* , Design, Codes Cryptography, **64** (2012), pp. 287–308.
- [17] B. Schneier, J. Kelsey, D. Whiting, D. Wagner, C. Hall and N. Ferguson, *Twofish: A 128-bit block cipher*, In the first AES Candidate Conference. National Institute for Standards and Technology, 1998.
- [18] C. E. Shannon, *Communication theory of secrecy systems*, The Bell System Technical Journal, **28** (1949), pp. 656–715.
- [19] S. Vaudenay, *On the Need for Multipermutations Cryptanalysis of MD4 and SAFER*, Fast Software Encryption 1995, **1008** (1995), pp. 286–297.

- [20] A. M. Youssef, S. Mister and S.E. Tavares, *On the Design of Linear Transformations for Substitution Permutation Encryption Networks*, SAC 1997, **97** (1997), pp. 40–48.
- [21] A. M. Youssef, S.E. Tavares, and H.M. Heys, *A new class of substitution-permutation networks*, SAC 1996, pp. 132–147.
- [22] A. A. Zain and B. S. Rajan, *Algebraic characterization of MDS group codes over cyclic groups*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, **41** (1995), pp. 2052–2056.