

# Conformal Killing gravity in static spherically-symmetric spacetimes

Carlo Alberto Mantica and Luca Guido Molinari  
*Physics Department Aldo Pontremoli, Università degli Studi di Milano  
and I.N.F.N. sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy.\**  
(Dated: 23 Jul 2024)

We identify an anisotropic divergence-free conformal Killing tensor  $K_{jl}$  for static spherically symmetric spacetimes, and write the conformal Killing gravity equations as Einstein equations augmented by this tensor. The field equations are of second order: this fact allows for analytic solutions and considerably simplifies the derivation of results of previous studies based on the original Harada equations. In particular, we prove the equivalence of the known third order field equations, with the second order ones obtained by us in the conformal Killing parametrization.

The structure of the Ricci tensor and of the conformal Killing tensor are compatible with both anisotropic fluid sources and (non)-linear electrodynamics. We reobtain covariantly and in simple steps the general static spherical solutions for vacuum and linear electrodynamics. Moreover we recover the purely magnetic Lagrangian functions that induce metrics of interest for black holes.

## I. CONFORMAL KILLING GRAVITY

Recently J. Harada [1, 2] introduced a new theory of gravity, with field equations

$$\begin{aligned} H_{jkl} &= 8\pi T_{jkl} & (1) \\ H_{jkl} &= \nabla_j R_{kl} + \nabla_k R_{lj} + \nabla_l R_{jk} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3}(g_{kl}\nabla_j R + g_{lj}\nabla_k R + g_{jk}\nabla_l R) \\ T_{jkl} &= \nabla_j T_{kl} + \nabla_k T_{lj} + \nabla_l T_{jk} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6}(g_{kl}\nabla_j T + g_{lj}\nabla_k T + g_{jk}\nabla_l T) \end{aligned}$$

$R_{jk}$  is the Ricci tensor with trace  $R$ ,  $T_{kl}$  is the stress-energy tensor with trace  $T$ . The Bianchi identity  $\nabla_j R^j_k = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_k R$  implies  $\nabla_j T^j_k = 0$ . Solutions of the Einstein equations are solutions of the new theory.

Shortly after, we found a parametrization showing that Harada's equations are equivalent to the Einstein equations modified by a supplemental conformal Killing tensor (CKT) that is also divergence-free [3]:

$$R_{kl} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{kl} = T_{kl} + K_{kl} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_j K_{kl} + \nabla_k K_{jl} + \nabla_l K_{jk} & \quad (3) \\ = \frac{1}{6}(g_{kl}\nabla_j K + g_{jl}\nabla_k K + g_{jk}\nabla_l K) \end{aligned}$$

For this reason the theory was named Conformal Killing Gravity (CKG). The reformulation makes Harada's extension of GR explicit through the conformal Killing term, that satisfies  $\nabla^k K_{kl} = 0$  and enters as a new source term in the equations.

Feng and Chen [4] proposed an action principle for CKG. Some references on geometrical and physical applications of conformal Killing tensors are [5–8].

As  $R_{kl}$  contains second order derivatives of the metric tensor, higher orders in the field equations (2) may arise with the tensor  $K_{jk}$ . This does not occur in the

present work, as well as in [3], where we obtained a realization of the conformal Killing parametrization in FRW background. In [3] the CKT has the perfect fluid form, candidate for representing the dark sector, and contains the scale factor with no derivatives. The Friedmann equations are thus second order in the metric, and reproduced the same forecasting obtained by Harada [2] with eqs.(1). Vacuum cosmological solutions, wormhole and black hole solutions were obtained by Clément and Nouicer [9]. In FRW background, CKG is embraced by a general parametrization of Codazzi tensors [10].

In the next work [11] we deepened the geometrical aspects and the cosmological consequences. In particular we showed that the density contrast in the matter era behaved as in  $\Lambda$ CDM and provided a fit of the Hubble parameter versus redshift with CC and BAO, with forecast of future singularities.

Most papers on CKG dealt with a static background. Barnes [12] found the general spherically symmetric vacuum solution and [13, 14] the general solution with Maxwell source. Junior, Lobo and Rodriguez [15] investigated regular black holes solutions of CKG coupled to nonlinear electrodynamics and scalar fields. Further in [16] they explored black bounce solutions in CKG coupled to nonlinear electrodynamics and scalar fields. pp-wave solutions were studied by Barnes [17].

In this paper we show a realization of the conformal Killing parametrization in a static spherically symmetric background

$$ds^2 = -b^2(r)dt^2 + f_1^2(r)dr^2 + f_2^2(r)d\Omega_2^2$$

There are clear advantages in the parametrization approach (2): the results based on the equations (1) are here reobtained with simplicity and covariantly.

In Section II we recollect the covariant description of the Ricci tensor of a spherically symmetric static spacetime found in [18]. Then we show that an anisotropic conformal Killing tensor is naturally hosted in such spaces.

\* carlo.mantica@mi.infn.it, luca.molinari@unimi.it

It extends the perfect fluid form recently shown by Barnes in [17] in spherical symmetry.

In Section III we write the field equations of CKG for an anisotropic fluid source: they are second order differential equations in the metric functions, as in GR.

An interesting result for the metric coefficients is obtained: if  $f_2 = r$  then  $p_r + \mu = 0$  if and only if  $b f_1 = (\kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4)^{-1/2}$ . It extends the result obtained by Barnes [13] and Clément and Noucier [9] for vacuum spacetimes and linear electrodynamics.

In section IV the vacuum case is analyzed. We prove the equivalence of the third order differential equation (26) for  $b^2(r)$  by Barnes with the second order one obtained by us, eq.(25). The first one descends from Harada's equations (1), the latter descends from (2).

We show that the (unique) Schwarzschild-like solution is the vacuum by Harada and remark that it cannot originate from a perfect fluid CKT.

In section V we write the CKG equations for nonlinear electrodynamics. The same result for the metric functions in Sect. III is proven in the nonlinear case.

In the linear case (electric and magnetic monopoles) the general solution by Barnes [13] and Clément and Noucier [9] is here obtained as solution of a second order equation.

For the purely magnetic case, we write the second order equation (44) for  $b^2$  with generic magnetic Lagrangian. It straightforwardly reproduces the Lagrangians obtained for black-hole solutions by Junior et al. [15], and a generalization of the Hayward metric.

## II. ANISOTROPIC CKT

A covariant characterization [18–20] of static spacetimes is the existence of a time-like unit vector field that is shear, expansion, vorticity free, with closed acceleration  $\dot{u}_j = u^k \nabla_k u_j$ :

$$\nabla_k u_j = -u_j \dot{u}_j, \quad \nabla_j \dot{u}_k = \nabla_k \dot{u}_j \quad (4)$$

With  $\eta = \dot{u}^k \dot{u}_k$  the normalized acceleration vector is

$$\chi_k = \frac{\dot{u}_k}{\sqrt{\eta}} \quad (5)$$

We focus on static spherically-symmetric spacetimes

$$ds^2 = -b^2(r)dt^2 + f_1^2(r)dr^2 + f_2^2(r)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \quad (6)$$

In this (comoving) frame:  $u_0 = -b$ ,  $u_\mu = 0$ ,  $\dot{u}_0 = 0$ ,  $\dot{u}_r = b'/b$ ,  $\dot{u}_\theta = \dot{u}_\phi = 0$ . A prime denotes a derivative in the variable  $r$ . Then:

$$\sqrt{\eta} = \frac{b'}{f_1 b} \quad (7)$$

and  $\chi_0 = 0$ ,  $\chi_r = f_1$ ,  $\chi_\theta = \chi_\phi = 0$

The covariant expression of the Ricci tensor was obtained

in [18], eq.85:

$$R_{kl} = \frac{R + 4\nabla_p \dot{u}^p}{3} u_k u_l + \frac{R + \nabla_p \dot{u}^p}{3} g_{kl} \quad (8) \\ + \Sigma(r) \left[ \chi_k \chi_l - \frac{u_k u_l + g_{kl}}{3} \right]$$

where the coefficients are (eqs. 87, 89 of [18]):

$$\nabla_p \dot{u}^p = \frac{1}{b f_1^2} \left[ b'' - b' \left( \frac{f_1'}{f_1} - 2 \frac{f_2'}{f_2} \right) \right], \quad (9)$$

$$\Sigma(r) = -\frac{1}{b f_1^2} \left[ b'' - b' \left( \frac{f_1'}{f_1} + \frac{f_2'}{f_2} \right) \right] \quad (10) \\ - \frac{1}{f_1^2} \left[ \frac{f_1^2}{f_2^2} + \frac{f_2''}{f_2} - \left( \frac{f_2'}{f_2} \right)^2 - \frac{f_1' f_2'}{f_1 f_2} \right],$$

$R = R^k{}_k$  and the curvature  $R^*$  of the space-like submanifold are (eqs. 90, 91 of [18]):

$$R = R^* - 2\nabla_p \dot{u}^p, \quad (11)$$

$$R^* = \frac{2}{f_2^2} - \frac{2}{f_1^2} \left[ 2 \frac{f_2''}{f_2} - 2 \frac{f_1' f_2'}{f_1 f_2} + \left( \frac{f_2'}{f_2} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (12)$$

In the background (6) we consider a symmetric tensor with the anisotropic structure of the Ricci tensor:

$$K_{kl} = A(r)u_k u_l + B(r)g_{kl} + C(r)\chi_k \chi_l \quad (13)$$

The following result shows that a static spherically-symmetric spacetime always hosts an anisotropic conformal Killing tensor (the proof is in Appendix 2):

**Theorem 1** *In the static spherically symmetric spacetime (6) the tensor (13) is a divergence-free conformal Killing tensor if and only if*

$$A = \kappa_2 f_2^2 - 2\kappa_3 b^2, \\ B = \kappa_1 + 2\kappa_2 f_2^2 + \kappa_3 b^2 \\ C = -\kappa_2 f_2^2$$

where  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2$  and  $\kappa_3$  are arbitrary constants.

A, B and C are combinations of the metric functions  $b$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  with no derivatives. Therefore, the CKG equations (2) and (3) contain derivatives of the metric not higher than second order.

**Example 2 (Perfect fluid CKT)**  $Z_j = b u_j$  is a Killing vector,  $\nabla_j Z_k + \nabla_k Z_j = 0$ . Following the strategy proposed in [7],  $K_{jk} = \alpha Z_j Z_k + \beta g_{jk}$  with constant  $\alpha$  and scalar function  $\beta$ , is a CKT. The divergence-free condition is  $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha b^2 + \gamma$ .

$$K_{jk} = \alpha b^2 (u_j u_k - \frac{1}{2} g_{jk}) + \gamma g_{jk}$$

is the divergence-free CKT recently shown by Barnes in [17]. It is a particular case of Theorem 1 with  $\kappa_2 = 0$ .

### III. CKG FOR THE ANISOTROPIC FLUID

The structures of the Ricci tensor (8) and of the CKT (13) are compatible with the stress-energy tensor of an anisotropic fluid without heat flow:

$$T_{kl} = (\mu + p_{\perp})u_k u_l + p_{\perp}g_{kl} + (p_r - p_{\perp})\chi_k \chi_l \quad (14)$$

$\mu$  is the energy density,  $p_r$  and  $p_{\perp}$  are the radial and transversal pressures in the comoving frame,  $\chi_k = \dot{u}_k/\sqrt{\eta}$ . The tensor is rewritten as

$$T_{kl} = (\mu + P)u_k u_l + P g_{kl} + (p_r - p_{\perp}) \left[ \chi_k \chi_l - \frac{g_{kl} + u_k u_l}{3} \right]$$

where  $P = \frac{1}{3}(p_r + 2p_{\perp})$  is the total pressure. The CKG equations with the fluid source are

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{R + 4\nabla_p \dot{u}^p}{3} u_k u_l + \frac{2\nabla_p \dot{u}^p - R}{6} g_{kl} + \Sigma \left[ \chi_k \chi_l - \frac{u_k u_l + g_{kl}}{3} \right] \\ &= (\mu + P)u_k u_l + P g_{kl} + (p_r - p_{\perp}) \left[ \chi_k \chi_l - \frac{u_k u_l + g_{kl}}{3} \right] \\ &+ \left[ A + \frac{C}{3} \right] u_k u_l + \left[ B + \frac{C}{3} \right] g_{kl} + C \left[ \chi_k \chi_l - \frac{u_k u_l + g_{kl}}{3} \right] \end{aligned}$$

They give three scalar equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3}(R + 4\nabla_p \dot{u}^p) &= (\mu + P) + A + \frac{1}{3}C \\ \frac{1}{6}(2\nabla_p \dot{u}^p - R) &= P + B + \frac{1}{3}C \\ \Sigma &= (p_r - p_{\perp}) + C \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging terms and using  $R = R^* - 2\nabla_p \dot{u}^p$  and Theorem 1 we obtain

**Proposition 3** *The field equations of CKG in the static spherically symmetric metric (6) with the anisotropic CKT eq.(13) are:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R^*}{2} &= \mu - 3\kappa_3 b^2 - \kappa_2 f_2^2 - \kappa_1 \\ \nabla_p \dot{u}^p &= \frac{3}{2}P + \frac{1}{2}\mu + 2\kappa_2 f_2^2 + \kappa_1 \\ \Sigma &= (p_r - p_{\perp}) + C \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

**Remark 4** *Let  $f_2 = r$  and consider eqs.(15) for a perfect fluid ( $p_r = p_{\perp}$ ). In GR they are  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}R^*$ ,  $P = \frac{2}{3}\nabla_p \dot{u}^p - \frac{1}{6}R^*$  and  $\Sigma = 0$ : three equations for the unknowns  $\mu$ ,  $p$ ,  $b$  and  $f_1$ . A further condition, such as an equation of state, is needed. The same occurs for the conformal Killing equations.*

#### A. Properties of metric functions

Hereafter we consider the static spherical metric with  $f_2 = r$ , and set  $f_1 = h/b$ .

$$ds^2 = -b^2(r)dt^2 + \frac{h^2(r)}{b^2(r)}dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (16)$$

We prove a remarkable property of the metric function  $h(r)$ . First we assert the following geometric result.

#### Lemma 5

$$\frac{R^*}{2} + \nabla_p \dot{u}^p + \Sigma = \frac{3b^2}{r} \frac{h'}{h^3} \quad (17)$$

Proof. Using (9), (10) and (12) the following relations are straightforwardly obtained:

$$R^* = \frac{2}{r^2} + \frac{4b^2}{r} \frac{h'}{h^3} - \frac{4bb'}{r h^2} - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{b^2}{h^2} \quad (18)$$

$$\nabla_p \dot{u}^p = \frac{1}{h^2}(bb' + b'') - \frac{bb'}{h^2} \frac{h'}{h} + \frac{2bb'}{r h^2} \quad (19)$$

$$\Sigma = -\frac{1}{h^2}(bb' + b'') + bb' \frac{h'}{h^3} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{b^2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{b^2}{r} \frac{h'}{h^3} \quad (20)$$

The result (17) follows.  $\square$

We are ready to prove

**Proposition 6** *Consider CKG in the metric (16) with anisotropic fluid source. Then:*

$$\frac{1}{h^2} = \kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4 \iff p_r = -\mu \quad (21)$$

Proof. Addition of eqs. (15) with  $C = -\kappa_2 r^2$  and  $P = \frac{1}{3}(p_r + 2p_{\perp})$  gives

$$\frac{R^*}{2} + \nabla_p \dot{u}^p + \Sigma = \frac{3}{2}(p_r + \mu) - 3\kappa_3 b^2$$

With (17) we obtain

$$\frac{2b^2}{r} \frac{h'}{h^3} + 2\kappa_3 b^2 = p_r + \mu$$

If  $p_r + \mu = 0$  it is  $\frac{h'}{h^3} = -\kappa_3 r$  that integrates to (21) with  $\kappa_4$  a constant. Conversely if  $h^{-2} = \kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4$  then  $p_r + \mu = 0$ .  $\square$

If  $p_r + \mu = 0$  it is

$$f_1(r) = \frac{1}{b(r)\sqrt{\kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4}} \quad (22)$$

Eq.(22) was obtained by Barnes [12] while investigating vacuum solutions and also in solving CKG field equations of linear electrodynamics [13].

### IV. VACUUM SOLUTIONS

In absence of matter eqs.(15) become

$$\begin{aligned} R^* &= -6\kappa_3 b^2 - 2\kappa_2 r^2 - 2\kappa_1 \\ \nabla_p \dot{u}^p &= 2\kappa_2 r^2 + \kappa_1 \\ \Sigma &= C = -\kappa_2 r^2 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

It is also  $h^{-2} = \kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4$ . The remaining metric function  $b^2$  is determined by  $\Sigma = C$ . With the substitution  $y = b^2$  the anisotropic term (20) now is

$$\Sigma = -(\kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4) \frac{y''}{2} - \kappa_3 r \frac{y'}{2} + \kappa_4 \frac{y}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \quad (24)$$

and the equation  $\Sigma = -\kappa_2 r^2$  for  $y(r)$  is:

$$(\kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4) y'' + \kappa_3 r y' - 2 \frac{\kappa_4}{r^2} y + \frac{2}{r^2} = 2\kappa_2 r^2 \quad (25)$$

**Proposition 7** *The second order equation (25) is equivalent to the third-order equation obtained by Barnes in [12] eq.(16), with computer algebra:*

$$(\kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4) r^3 y''' + (\kappa_3 r^2 - 2\kappa_4) r^2 y'' - (\kappa_3 r^2 + 2\kappa_4) r y' + 8\kappa_4 y = 8. \quad (26)$$

*Proof.* The equation  $\Sigma = C$  gives  $\Sigma' = C'$ . Being  $C = -\kappa_2 r^2$  it is  $C' = \frac{2}{r}C$ . Thus the anisotropic term satisfies  $\Sigma' = \frac{2}{r}\Sigma$ , and the integral is  $\Sigma = C$ :

$$\Sigma' - \frac{2}{r}\Sigma = 0 \iff \Sigma = C \quad (27)$$

The left hand side of (27) is evaluated

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma' - \frac{2}{r}\Sigma &= -\frac{y'''}{2}(\kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4) - y'' \left( \frac{\kappa_3}{2} r - \frac{\kappa_4}{r} \right) \\ &\quad + y' \left( \frac{\kappa_3}{2} + \frac{\kappa_4}{r^2} \right) - y \frac{4\kappa_4}{r^3} + \frac{4}{r^3} \end{aligned}$$

and entails the equation by Barnes.  $\square$

The second order equation (25) is solved ( $x = r\sqrt{\kappa_3}$ ,  $\kappa_4 = 1$ ): the sum of the homogeneous (with coefficients  $c_{1,2}$ ) and the inhomogeneous solutions

$$\begin{aligned} b^2(r) &= c_1 \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}}{x} + c_2 \left[ \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}}{x} \operatorname{arcsch} x - 1 \right] \\ &\quad + 1 - \frac{3\kappa_2}{2\kappa_3^2} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}}{x} \operatorname{arcsch} x - 1 - \frac{x^2}{3} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

**Example 8 (Case  $h = 1$ )** *The vacuum static spherical solution of CKG with  $h = 1$  (i.e.  $\kappa_3 = 0$  and  $\kappa_4 = 1$ ) was found by Harada [1], and extends the Schwarzschild-de Sitter solution of GR:*

$$b^2 = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 - \frac{\lambda}{5} r^4 \quad (29)$$

The following result holds:

**Proposition 9** *Eq.(29) is the unique solution with  $h = 1$  of the vacuum equations (23) with  $\kappa_1 = -\Lambda$ ,  $\kappa_2 = -\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* With  $\kappa_3 = 0$  and  $\kappa_4 = 1$  in (25), the equation  $\Sigma = C$  is the Euler equation  $r^2 y'' - 2y = -2 + 2\kappa_2 r^4$  with solution

$$y = b^2 = 1 + \frac{c_1}{r} + c_2 r^2 + \frac{1}{5} \kappa_2 r^4 \quad (30)$$

Thus  $c_1 = -2M$ ,  $c_2 = -\frac{1}{3}\Lambda$  and  $\lambda = -\kappa_2$ .

The solution must also solve the other equations in (23):  $R^* = -2\kappa_2 r^2 - 2\kappa_1$  and  $\nabla_p \dot{u}^p = 2\kappa_2 r^2 + \kappa_1$ .

With  $h = 1$  and  $b^2 = y$  eqs.(18) and (19) become:

$$R^* = -\frac{2}{r} y' - \frac{2}{r^2} y + \frac{2}{r^2} = 2\lambda r^2 + 2\Lambda$$

$$\nabla_p \dot{u}^p = \frac{1}{2} y'' + \frac{1}{r} y' = -2\lambda r^2 - \Lambda$$

The equations are satisfied with  $\kappa_1 = -\Lambda$ .  $\square$

The Schwarzschild de-Sitter metric occurs if and only if  $\kappa_2 = 0$ , i.e.  $C = 0$ , giving the perfect fluid CKT. Then, a perfect fluid CKT in the field equations cannot originate Harada's vacuum solution (29).

## V. (NON)LINEAR ELECTRODYNAMICS IN CKG

In [18] we specified the covariant form of the stress-energy tensor of nonlinear electrodynamics in static spherically-symmetric spacetimes:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{jk}^{nlin} &= 2(\mathbb{E}^2 + \mathbb{B}^2) [u_j u_k - \chi_j \chi_k] \mathcal{L}_F(F) \\ &\quad + 2g_{jk} [\mathbb{B}^2 \mathcal{L}_F(F) - \mathcal{L}(F)]. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$F = \frac{1}{4} F_{jk} F^{jk}$  is the Faraday scalar,  $\mathcal{L}_F = d\mathcal{L}/dF$ . In the static setting it is  $F = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{B}^2 - \mathbb{E}^2)$ , where

**Proposition 10**

$$\mathbb{E}(r) = \frac{q_e}{r^2 \mathcal{L}_F(F)}, \quad \mathbb{B} = \frac{q_m}{r^2} \quad (32)$$

*Proof.* Eq.28 in [18] is:  $\nabla_j [\chi^j \mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}_F] = \sqrt{\eta} \mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}_F$ . Explicitly, using (7) and the formulas in Appendix 1

$$\left( \frac{2}{rf_1} + \frac{b'}{f_1 b} \right) (\mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}_F) + \frac{1}{f_1} \frac{d}{dr} (\mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}_F) = \frac{b'}{f_1 b} (\mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}_F)$$

Terms cancel and the linear equation gives the first result, where  $q_e$  is an integration constant.

Eq.18 in [18] is  $\sqrt{\eta} \chi^p \nabla_p \mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B} \left[ \nabla_p \dot{u}^p - \eta - \frac{\chi^s \nabla_s \eta}{2\sqrt{\eta}} \right]$ . Using (56) the equation is integrated and yields the monopole solution.  $\square$

(different deductions of the proposition are in [21, 22]).

The tensor structure of the Ricci and of the stress-energy tensors is matched by the conformal Killing tensor. Thus the field equations of CKG are:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{R + 4\nabla_p \dot{u}^p}{3} u_k u_l + \frac{2\nabla_p \dot{u}^p - R}{6} g_{kl} \\ &+ \Sigma(r) \left[ \chi_k \chi_l - \frac{u_k u_l + g_{kl}}{3} \right] = \frac{4}{3} (\mathbb{E}^2 + \mathbb{B}^2) \mathcal{L}_F(F) u_k u_l \\ &+ 2 \left[ \frac{1}{3} (2\mathbb{B}^2 - \mathbb{E}^2) \mathcal{L}_F(F) - \mathcal{L}(F) \right] g_{kl} \\ &- 2(\mathbb{E}^2 + \mathbb{B}^2) \mathcal{L}_F(F) \left[ \chi_k \chi_l - \frac{u_k u_l + g_{kl}}{3} \right] \\ &+ \left( \mathbb{A} + \frac{\mathbb{C}}{3} \right) u_k u_l + \left( \mathbb{B} + \frac{\mathbb{C}}{3} \right) g_{kl} + \mathbb{C} \left[ \chi_k \chi_l - \frac{u_k u_l + g_{kl}}{3} \right] \end{aligned}$$

By equating the coefficients one obtains three scalar equations. A rearrangement of terms and use of  $R = R^* - 2\nabla_p \dot{u}^p$  give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}R^* &= 2\mathcal{L}_F(F)\mathbb{E}^2 + 2\mathcal{L}(F) + A - B \\ \nabla_p \dot{u}^p &= 2\mathcal{L}_F(F)\mathbb{B}^2 - 2\mathcal{L}(F) + \frac{A}{2} + B + \frac{C}{2} \\ \Sigma &= -2(\mathbb{E}^2 + \mathbb{B}^2)\mathcal{L}_F(F) + C \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Also in this case we are able to show the validity of (22). The following result holds:

**Proposition 11** *Consider CKG coupled with nonlinear electrodynamics in the metric (16). Then*

$$\frac{1}{h^2} = \kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4$$

Proof. The sum of eqs. (33) is  $\frac{1}{2}R^* + \nabla_p \dot{u}^p + \Sigma = \frac{3}{2}(A + C)$ . Now use Lemma 5 and  $A + C = -2\kappa_3 b^2$ .  $\square$

### A. Linear electrodynamics

In linear electrodynamics  $\mathcal{L}(F) = F = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{B}^2 - \mathbb{E}^2)$ . The electric field is Coulomb  $\mathbb{E}(r) = q_e/r^2$ , so that

$$\mathbb{E}^2 + \mathbb{B}^2 = \frac{q^2}{r^4}$$

with  $q^2 = q_e^2 + q_m^2$ . Equations (33) take the form

$$\frac{1}{2}R^* = \frac{q^2}{r^4} - 3\kappa_3 b^2 - \kappa_2 r^2 - \kappa_1 \quad (34)$$

$$\nabla_p \dot{u}^p = \frac{q^2}{r^4} + 2\kappa_2 r^2 + \kappa_1 \quad (35)$$

$$\Sigma = -2\frac{q^2}{r^4} + C \quad (36)$$

$\Sigma$  is given by (24) and  $C = -\kappa_2 r^2$ . Eq.(36) is the second order differential equation for  $y = b^2$ :

$$(\kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4)y'' + \kappa_3 r y' - 2\kappa_4 \frac{y}{r^2} + \frac{2}{r^2} = 2\kappa_2 r^2 + \frac{4q^2}{r^4} \quad (37)$$

**Proposition 12** *In CKG coupled with linear electrodynamics the second order equation for  $b^2(r)$  in a static spherically symmetric background is equivalent to the following third order equation:*

$$\begin{aligned} (\kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4)r^3 y''' + (\kappa_3 r^2 - 2\kappa_4)r^2 y'' \\ - (\kappa_3 r^2 + 2\kappa_4)r y' + 8\kappa_4 y = 8 - \frac{24q^2}{r^2} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

This is eq.14 worked by Barnes in [13] and the ‘‘master equation’’ by Cl ement and Noucier [9].

Proof. As in the vacuum case,  $\Sigma = -2\frac{q^2}{r^4} + C$  gives  $\Sigma' = \frac{8q^2}{r^5} + C'$ . Since  $C = -\kappa_2 r^2$  it is  $C' = \frac{2}{r}C = \frac{2}{r} \left[ \Sigma + 2\frac{q^2}{r^4} \right]$ .

Thus the anisotropic term satisfies  $\Sigma' - \frac{2}{r}\Sigma = \frac{12q^2}{r^5}$ ; on the other hand the integral is  $\Sigma = -2\frac{q^2}{r^4} + C$ . The following equivalence holds:

$$\Sigma' - \frac{2}{r}\Sigma = \frac{12q^2}{r^5} \iff \Sigma = -2\frac{q^2}{r^4} + C \quad (39)$$

Using (24) the left hand side of (39) is evaluated and gives the third order equation.  $\square$

As a check, the second order equation (37) is solved for  $\kappa_4 = 1$ ,  $x = r\sqrt{\kappa_3}$ . The solution, evaluated with Mathematica, coincides with that by Cl ement and Noucier [9]

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) &= c_1 \frac{\sqrt{1+x}}{x} + c_2 \left[ \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}}{x} \operatorname{arcsch}(x) - 1 \right] + 1 \\ &\quad - \frac{3\kappa_2}{2\kappa_3^2} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}}{x} \operatorname{arcsch}(x) - 1 - \frac{x^2}{3} \right] + \kappa_3 q^2 \left( 2 + \frac{1}{x^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

**Example 13 (Case  $h = 1$ )** *The metric function*

$$b^2(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2 + \frac{q^2}{r^2} - \frac{\lambda}{5}r^4 \quad (40)$$

was obtained in [13, 15] with different strategies. In [15] Junior, Lobo and Rodriguez posed a functional form of  $b(r)$  including the term  $-\frac{\lambda}{5}r^4$  that characterizes CKG, and then engineered a nonlinear electrodynamics Lagrangian and its derivative. On the other hand, in [13] Barnes used computer algebra to obtain the same and more general solutions.

Here the solution (40) is achieved in a much simpler way:

**Proposition 14** *The unique solution of (34)–(36) with  $h = 1$  (i.e.  $\kappa_3 = 0$ ,  $\kappa_4 = 1$ ) is the metric function (40), with  $\kappa_1 = -\Lambda$ ,  $\kappa_2 = -\lambda$ .*

Proof. The equation  $\Sigma = -2\frac{q^2}{r^4} + C$  with  $\Sigma$  in (24) and  $y = b^2$  is Euler’s equation  $r^2 y'' - 2y + 2 = 4\frac{q^2}{r^2} + 2\kappa_2 r^4$  with solution

$$y = b^2 = 1 + \frac{c_1}{r} + c_2 r^2 + \frac{q^2}{r^2} + \frac{\kappa_2}{5}r^4. \quad (41)$$

This is (40) with  $c_1 = -2M$ ,  $c_2 = -\frac{\Lambda}{3}$  and  $\lambda = -\kappa_2$ . Let’s show that it also solves (34) and (35):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}R^* &= q^2/r^4 - \kappa_2 r^2 - \kappa_1 \\ \nabla_p \dot{u}^p &= q^2/r^4 + 2\kappa_2 r^2 + \kappa_1 \end{aligned}$$

With (40),  $h = 1$  and  $b^2 = y$ , eqs.(18) and (19) give:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}R^* &= \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r}y' - \frac{1}{r^2}y = q^2/r^4 - \kappa_2 r^2 - 3c_2 \\ \nabla_p \dot{u}^p &= \frac{1}{2}y'' + \frac{1}{r}y' = q^2/r^4 + 2\kappa_2 r^2 + 3c_2 \end{aligned}$$

Equality is achieved with  $\kappa_1 = 3c_2$ .  $\square$

**Example 15** *For  $\kappa_3 = 1$  and  $\kappa_4 = 0$  eq.(37) is solved by*

$$y = \lambda - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2 + m \ln r - \frac{1}{2r^2} + \frac{q^2}{4r^4} \quad (42)$$

This is eq. 19 in Barnes or 2.21 in Cl ement and Noucier.

### B. Nonlinear electrodynamics: purely magnetic solutions

In this case  $\mathbb{E}(r) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{B} = q_m/r^2$  (magnetic monopole). Then  $F = \frac{\mathbb{B}^2}{2} = \frac{q_m^2}{2r^4}$ . The third equation of (33) is

$$\Sigma = -2\frac{q_m^2}{r^4}\mathcal{L}_F(F) + C$$

Now  $\mathcal{L}_F = \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dF} = \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dr} \frac{dr}{dF} = -\mathcal{L}' \frac{r^5}{2q_m^2}$ , so that the previous equation rewrites as

$$\Sigma = r\mathcal{L}' + C. \quad (43)$$

The knowledge of  $\mathcal{L}(r)$  in (43) gives a second order differential equation for the metric function  $b^2 = y$ :

$$(\kappa_3 r^2 + \kappa_4)y'' + \kappa_3 r y' - \frac{2\kappa_4}{r^2}y + \frac{2}{r^2} = -2(r\mathcal{L}' - \kappa_2 r^2) \quad (44)$$

**Example 16 (Case h = 1)** For  $\kappa_3 = 0$  and  $\kappa = 1$  the solution by the method of variation of parameters is:

$$y(r) = 1 + c_1 r^2 + \frac{c_2}{r} - \frac{\kappa_2}{5} r^4 - \frac{2}{r} \int^r dr' r'^2 \mathcal{L}(r') \quad (45)$$

Given  $\mathcal{L}(F)$ ,  $F = q_m^2/(2r^4)$ , one evaluates  $b(r)$ .

In [15] a different strategy is pursued: an input metric with faithful properties (such as regularity in the origin) is chosen, and the corresponding Lagrangian is reconstructed.

Here this procedure is greatly facilitated by eq.(43). It is illustrated to reproduce two interesting examples:

- Metric eq.34 in [15]:

$$y = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \frac{q_m^2}{r^2} - \frac{\lambda}{5} r^4 + \frac{k_0}{r^4} \quad (46)$$

With  $\Sigma = \frac{y-1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2}y''$  we get  $\Sigma = \lambda r^2 - \frac{2q_m^2}{r^4} - 9\frac{k_0}{r^6}$ . Eq.(43) is easily solved by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \phi_0 + \frac{q_m^2}{2r^4} + \phi_1 r^2 + 3\frac{k_0}{2r^6} \\ &= \phi_0 + F + \phi_1 \frac{q_m}{\sqrt{2F}} + 3\frac{k_0(2F)^{3/2}}{2q_m^3} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

with constants  $\phi_0, \phi_1$ . This is eq 41 in [15].

- Bardeen-type metric eq.72 in [15]):

$$y = 1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{(q_m^2 + r^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 - \frac{\lambda}{5} r^4 \quad (48)$$

Now  $\Sigma = \lambda r^2 - \frac{15Mr^2}{(q_m^2 + r^2)^{7/2}}$ . Eq.(43) is easily solved:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{3Mq_m^2}{(q_m^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \phi_1 r^2 + \phi_0 \quad (49)$$

with  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$  constants. This is eq.73 in [15]. With  $F = q_m^2/(2r^4)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}(F) = \frac{3Mq_m^2}{\left(q_m^2 + \frac{q_m}{\sqrt{2F}}\right)^{5/2}} + \phi_1 \frac{q_m}{\sqrt{2F}} + \phi_0 \quad (50)$$

This is eq.77 presented in [15].

This is a new example:

- Hayward-like solution. The metric

$$b^2 = 1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{q_m^3 + r^3} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 - \frac{\lambda}{5} r^4 \quad (51)$$

is the Hayward black-hole when  $\lambda, \Lambda = 0$  [23]. It is inferred that  $\Sigma = \lambda r^2 - \frac{18Mr^3 q_m^3}{(q_m^3 + r^3)^3}$ . Then (43) is solved by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{3Mq_m^3}{(q_m^3 + r^3)^2} + \phi_1 r^2 + \phi_0 \\ &= \frac{3M(2F)^{3/2}}{(1 + (2Fq_m^2)^{3/4})^2} + \phi_1 \frac{q_m}{\sqrt{2F}} + \phi_0 \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that static spherically symmetric spacetimes naturally host an anisotropic divergence-free conformal Killing tensor (Theorem 1). This makes the parametrization (2) of the Harada equations as modified Einstein equations effective for such background.

The CKG equations can support an anisotropic fluid source as well as (non)-linear electrodynamics. In both cases the equations are second order. This is a great advantage with respect to the solution by components of the third order Harada equations found in the existing literature. We prove the equivalence of our second order equations with the third order ones. Our approach recovers several results obtained so far in a simple and covariant way, and gives some new ones.

### APPENDIX 1. USEFUL FORMULAE

We collect useful formulae for static spherical spacetimes, taken from [18].

A scalar function  $F(r)$  has gradient in the radial direction, given by the unit spacelike vector  $\chi$ :

$$\nabla_j F = \chi_j \chi^k \nabla_k F = \chi_j \frac{F'}{f_1}$$

(the prime is  $d/dr$ ). In particular:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\chi^s \nabla_s \eta}{2\sqrt{\eta}} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta}} \frac{1}{f_1} \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{b'^2}{b^2 f_1^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{f_1^2} \left[ \frac{b''}{b} - \frac{b'^2}{b^2} - \frac{b' f_1'}{b f_1} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

The vector  $\chi_k = \dot{u}_k/\sqrt{\eta}$  is normalized,  $\chi^k\chi_k = 1$ .

$$\nabla_j\chi_k = \frac{\nabla_j\dot{u}_k}{\sqrt{\eta}} - \frac{\chi^s\nabla_s\eta}{2\eta}\chi_j\chi_k \quad (54)$$

Since  $\dot{u}_k$  is closed, also  $\chi_j$  is closed:  $\nabla_j\chi_k = \nabla_k\chi_j$ . A consequence is  $\chi^j\nabla_j\chi_k = \chi^j\nabla_k\chi_j = 0$ . The gradient of the acceleration is (eq.16 in [19]):

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_j\dot{u}_k &= -\eta u_k u_j + \frac{\chi^s\nabla_s\eta}{2\sqrt{\eta}}\chi_j\chi_k \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}N_{jk} \left[ \nabla_p\dot{u}^p - \eta - \frac{\chi^s\nabla_s\eta}{2\sqrt{\eta}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where  $N_{jk} = g_{jk} + u_j u_k - \chi_j\chi_k$  is a projection. Eq. 87 in [18], eqs.(7) and (53) give:

$$\nabla_p\dot{u}^p - \eta - \frac{\chi^s\nabla_s\eta}{2\sqrt{\eta}} = \frac{2}{f_1^2} \left[ \frac{b'}{b} \frac{f'_2}{f_2} \right] \quad (56)$$

One then obtains:

$$\nabla_j\chi_k = \frac{1}{f_1} \left[ \frac{f'_2}{f_2} - \frac{b'}{b} \right] u_k u_j + \frac{f'_2}{f_1 f_2} (g_{jk} - \chi_j\chi_k) \quad (57)$$

$$\nabla_p\chi^p = 2 \frac{f'_2}{f_1 f_2} + \frac{b'}{f_1 b} \quad (58)$$

## APPENDIX 2

Let  $K_{kl} = Au_k u_l + Bg_{kl} + C\chi_k\chi_l$ .

The Conformal Killing condition (3) with the static equation (4) for  $u_i$  and the closedness of  $\chi_i$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_i(B - \frac{1}{6}K)g_{jk} + (\nabla_j B - \frac{1}{6}K)g_{ki} + (\nabla_k B - \frac{1}{6}K)g_{ij} \\ &+ (\nabla_i A - 2A\dot{u}_i)u_j u_k + (\nabla_j A - 2A\dot{u}_j)u_k u_i \\ &+ (\nabla_k A - 2A\dot{u}_k)u_i u_j \\ &+ (\nabla_i C)\chi_j\chi_k + (\nabla_j C)\chi_k\chi_i + (\nabla_k C)\chi_i\chi_j \\ &+ 2C(\chi_i\nabla_j\chi_k + \chi_j\nabla_k\chi_i + \chi_k\nabla_i\chi_j) \end{aligned}$$

Since A only depends on  $r$ , it is  $\nabla_i A = \chi_i\chi^k\nabla_k A = \chi_i A'/f_1$ , and similarly for B and C and  $K$ . The equation, multiplied by  $f_1$  becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (B' - \frac{1}{6}K')(\chi_i g_{jk} + \chi_j g_{ki} + \chi_k g_{ij}) \\ &+ (A' - 2\frac{b'}{b}A)(\chi_i u_j u_k + \chi_j u_k u_i + \chi_k u_i u_j) \\ &+ 3C'\chi_i\chi_j\chi_k + 2f_1 C(\chi_i\nabla_j\chi_k + \chi_j\nabla_k\chi_i + \chi_k\nabla_i\chi_j) \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Contraction with  $\chi^i\chi^j\chi^k$ :

$$0 = 3B' + 3C' - \frac{1}{2}K' = \frac{1}{2}(A' + 2B' + 5C') \quad (60)$$

The identity is used to simplify  $K'$  and  $A'$  from the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (A' - 2\frac{b'}{b}A)(\chi_i u_j u_k + \chi_j u_k u_i + \chi_k u_i u_j) \\ &+ C'[3\chi_i\chi_j\chi_k - (\chi_i g_{jk} + \chi_j g_{ki} + \chi_k g_{ij})] \\ &+ 2f_1 C(\chi_i\nabla_j\chi_k + \chi_j\nabla_k\chi_i + \chi_k\nabla_i\chi_j) \end{aligned}$$

Contraction with  $u^i u^j \chi^k$ :  $0 = (A' - 2\frac{b'}{b}A) + C' + 2f_1 C u^j \dot{\chi}_j$ . It is  $u^j \dot{\chi}_j = -\dot{u}^j \chi_j = -\sqrt{\eta} = -b'/(bf_1)$ . Then:  $A' + C' = 2\frac{b'}{b}(A + C)$ , with solution  $A + C = -2\kappa_3 b^2(r)$ .

Contraction with  $g^{ij}\chi^k$ :

$$0 = -A' + 2\frac{b'}{b}A - 3C' + 2f_1 C \nabla^j \chi_j$$

Use (58) and obtain:

$$0 = -(A' + C') + 2\frac{b'}{b}(A + C) - 2C' + 4\frac{f'_2}{f_2}C$$

It follows that  $C = -\kappa_2 f_2^2$ . Then  $A = -2\kappa_3 b^2 + \kappa_2 f_2^2$ . Eq.(60) gives  $B = \kappa_3 b^2 + 2\kappa_2 f_2^2 + \kappa_1$  and  $K = 6\kappa_3 b^2 + 6\kappa_2 f_2^2 + 4\kappa_1$ .

The found parameters A, B, C are inserted in (59). Up to a factor  $2\kappa_2 f_1 f_2^2$  it is:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{f'_2}{f_1 f_2} (\chi_i g_{jk} + \chi_j g_{ki} + \chi_k g_{ij}) \\ &+ \left[ \frac{f'_2}{f_1 f_2} - \frac{b'}{b f_1} \right] (\chi_i u_j u_k + \chi_j u_k u_i + \chi_k u_i u_j) \\ &- 3\frac{f'_2}{f_2 f_1} \chi_i \chi_j \chi_k - (\chi_i \nabla_j \chi_k + \chi_j \nabla_k \chi_i + \chi_k \nabla_i \chi_j) \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

With the expression (57) the conformal Killing equation is satisfied for any choice of the constants.

Now we prove the opposite statement: in the metric (6), if A, B, C are those in thrm.1, then the tensor (13) is conformal Killing. This is expressed by condition (59), that becomes (61) after substitutions. The latter equation is identically satisfied.  $\square$

## Data availability statement

No data are available because of the nature of the research.

- [2] J. Harada, *Dark energy in conformal Killing gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **108**, 104037 (2023).
- [3] C. A. Mantica and L. G. Molinari, *Note on Harada's conformal Killing gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **108** (2023) 124029 (5pp).
- [4] J. C. Feng and P. Chen, *Cosmological constant as an integration constant*, arXiv:2406.00932 [gr-qc].
- [5] B. Coll, J. J. Ferrando, J. A. Sáez, *On the geometry of Killing and conformal tensors*, J. Math. Phys. **47**, 062503 (2006).
- [6] K. Kobialko, I. Bogush, and D. Gal'tsov, *Slice-reducible conformal Killing tensors*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, 024006 (2022).
- [7] R. Rani, S. B. Edgar and A. Barnes, *Killing tensors and conformal Killing tensors from conformal Killing vectors*, Class. Quantum Grav. **20** (2003), 1929–1942.
- [8] R. Sharma and A. Ghosh, *Perfect fluid space-times whose energy-momentum tensor is conformal Killing*, J. Math. Phys. **51**, 022504 (2010).
- [9] G. Clément and K. Nouicer, *Spherical symmetric solutions of conformal Killing gravity: black holes, wormholes and sourceless cosmologies*, Class. Quantum Grav. **41** (2024) 165005 (16pp).
- [10] C. A. Mantica, and L. G. Molinari, *Friedmann equations in the Codazzi parametrization of Cotton and extended theories of gravity and the dark sector*, Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) 044059 (12pp).
- [11] C.A. Mantica, L.G. Molinari, *Conformal Killing cosmology with Sinjukov tensors: geometry dark sector, growth of structures, and big rip*, arXiv:2404.11468v4 [gr-qc].
- [12] A. Barnes, *Vacuum static spherically symmetric spacetimes in Harada's theory*, (2023), arXiv:2309.05336 [gr-qc].
- [13] A. Barnes, *Harada-Maxwell static spherically symmetric spacetimes*, (2023), arXiv:2311.09171 [gr-qc].
- [14] A. Barnes, *Spherically symmetric electrovac spacetimes in conformal Killing gravity*, Class. Quantum Grav. **41** (2024) 155007 (9pp).
- [15] J. T. S. S. Junior, F. S. N. Lobo and M. E. Rodriguez, *(Regular) black holes in conformal Killing gravity coupled to nonlinear electrodynamics and scalar fields*, Class. Quantum Grav. **41** (5) (2024) 055012 (24pp).
- [16] J. T. S. S. Junior, F. S. N. Lobo and M. E. Rodriguez, *Black bounces in conformal Killing gravity*, Eur. Phys. J. C **84**, 557 (2024).
- [17] A. Barnes, *pp-waves in conformal Killing gravity*, (2024), arXiv:2404.09310 [gr-qc].
- [18] C. A. Mantica, and L. G. Molinari, *The covariant approach to static spacetimes in Einstein and extended gravity theories*, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **55**:100, 2023.
- [19] C. A. Mantica and L. G. Molinari, *Spherical doubly warped spacetimes for radiating stars and cosmology*, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **54**:98, 2022.
- [20] H. Sthepani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Hertl, *Exact solutions of Einstein's Field Equations*, Cambridge University Press 2nd ed. 2003.
- [21] K. A. Bronnikov, *Dyonic configurations in nonlinear electrodynamics coupled to general relativity*, Gravitation and Cosmology **23** (2017) 343–348.
- [22] M. Halilsoy, O. Gurtug and S. H. Mazharimousavi, *Modified Rindler acceleration as a nonlinear electromagnetic effect*, Astroparticle Physics **68** (2015) 1–6.
- [23] S. A. Hayward, *Formation and evaporation of non-singular black holes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 031103 (4pp).