

My Body My Choice: Human-Centric Full-Body Anonymization

Umur Aybars Çiftçi
Binghamton University
uciftci@binghamton.edu

Ali Kemal Tanrıverdi
Binghamton University
atanriv1@binghamton.edu

İlke Demir
Intel Labs
ilke.demir@intel.com




Figure 1. My Body My Choice. Four anonymization options are provided for choosing if and how to appear in a photo.

Abstract

In an era of increasing privacy concerns for our on-line presence, we propose that the decision to appear in a piece of content should only belong to the owner of the body. Although some automatic approaches for full-body anonymization have been proposed, human-guided anonymization can adapt to various contexts, such as cultural norms, personal relations, esthetic concerns, and security issues. “My Body My Choice” (MBMC) enables physical and adversarial anonymization by removal and swapping approaches aimed for four tasks, designed by single or multi, ControlNet or GAN modules, combining several diffusion models. We evaluate anonymization on seven datasets; compare with SOTA inpainting and anonymization methods; evaluate by image, adversarial, and generative metrics; and conduct reidentification experiments.

1. Introduction

In the digital age, the proliferation of social media and the ubiquity of data collection have given rise to profound concerns about privacy and security [57]. In physical reality, we *choose* to be somewhere or not, or we *choose* to be seen somewhere or not. In digital world, anyone with a photo or likeness of someone can freely publish that content to be seen by, well, everyone. We introduce “My Body My Choice” (MBMC), so whenever a photo of you is uploaded, you can *choose* to be in it and how you want to appear in it.

MBMC recognizes that anonymization is not a one-size-fits-all endeavor, therefore the system offers four anonymization options (Fig.1). First, the body can be physically deleted without breaking the image integrity. Second, the body can be adversarially deleted so that person detectors cannot process it automatically, while friends and family observes no difference in the image. Third, the body can be replaced by a quantifiably dissimilar body as an anonymization mask. Fourth, the identity of the body can be removed with minimal changes to the rest of the body. Our contributions include, a human-centric full-body anonymization paradigm enabling people to choose if and how they appear in photos, and a full-body anonymization algorithm that masks the input body with quantifiably most dissimilar body without breaking image continuity.

We extensively evaluate our approach, starting by collecting diverse images from seven different datasets, spanning various poses, activities, demographics, scenes, contexts, crowds, and environments. We evaluate the anonymized images in pixel, noise, generative, adversarial, visual, and structural spaces. We believe that on the rise of global privacy regulations, such systems will be the cornerstone of social media platforms.

2. Relevant Work

Early approaches of privacy preservation may be reversible [32, 46] or result in over- or under-anonymization [15]. Efforts have been emerging for content-preserving removal, defining removal as an in-



Figure 2. **Mask-based Removal Pipeline.** (Left) Embedding search, preparation of multiControlNet input, and face enhancements. (Right) Running the system independent of the body order for a multi-body image.

painting task [14, 27, 44, 50, 52]. Beyond traditional image processing operations, various techniques such as style transfer [17, 34, 56], texture manipulation [11], scene rearrangement [48], identity removal [13], and adversarial alterations [22] are applied to replace sensitive features with plausible yet non-identifying content. VAEs and GANs are also explored via inpainting, content transfer, or part swap [20, 49, 51, 53]. With these, generative models solve the image quality issue, however, *how* the anonymization is done is still an open research area.

Most of the anonymization techniques focus on faces [6, 25, 30, 37, 42, 43]. Full body anonymization can address situations where contextual cues beyond the face play a significant role in identifying individuals. There are considerably less approaches focusing on body anonymization [7, 18, 19, 29]. Unfortunately, full-body anonymization almost always breaks the face structure and produces low quality results as seen in [8, 18, 19].

Work	[30]	[44]	[18]	[19]	Ours
Type	inp	inp	cloak	cloak	all
Input	img	img	img,corr	img,corr	im
Scope	face	both	body	body	both
Size	128x128	any	288x160	384x256	any
Guide	keypts	mask	text	[33]	tasks
Order	NA	seq	seq	seq	any
Train	yes	yes	yes	yes	no

Table 1. **Related Work.** We compare existing approaches based on their input and compute requirements.

Some approaches guide anonymization with additional input [31, 40, 54]); define interesting loss functions [13]; aim to replicate predefined priors [30], and let humans interfere [18]. All mentioned generative models used for

anonymization are (1) trained from scratch; (2) on low-quality and low-diversity data; (3) sometimes with additional input; and (4) solving multi-body problem sequentially, order-dependent. We list these shortcomings of the SOTA in Tab. 1. My Body My Choice is a plug-and-play system, **does not need any training or fine-tuning**, works on any image size, does not require any additional input, is applicable to both body and face images, and supports order-invariant multi-body anonymization.

3. My Body My Choice

MBMC merges four anonymization paradigms with photorealistic results for each. Users have five options:

1. **“Delete me from this photo.”** (physical removal)
2. **“Do not auto-tag me.”** (adversarial removal)
3. **“Mask my body.”** (mask-based removal)
4. **“Mask my face.”** (identity removal)
5. **“No Action.”**

3.1. System Overview

MBMC options are designed as separate modules invoking multiple diffusion models or GANs for inpainting, body replacing, face replacing, and face enhancement tasks. All models are frozen, **only used for inference**, and no training or fine-tuning is performed. Operations are performed per body ($MBMC_s$) or per image ($MBMC_m$) as in Fig. 2.

3.2. Physical Removal

This option aims to completely eliminate the body from the image, filling in the cropped area with the background. Given an image I with n humans p_1, \dots, p_n in it, physical removal employs YOLOv8 [23] to segment each p_i in I . We use inpainting model of ControlNetv1.1 [2] where

the control condition of the ControlNet is our segmentation mask with a fine-tuned Realistic Vision [4] model of Stable Diffusion 1.5 [38] backbone to synthesize masked regions with realistic attributes, patterns, or objects.

3.3. Adversarial Removal

This option aims to eliminate or reduce the person detection accuracy as much as possible, which is called ‘vanishing gradient attack’ in adversarial defense literature. We employ YOLOv3 [36] with MobileNetv1 [16] and DarkNet [36] backends as common detectors, operating on ‘person’ class. We design an objectness gradient attack using TOG [12], where the perturbation added to the image causes the gradients to vanish, creating no detection result. TOG targets real-time object detection systems, appropriate for scaling MBMC on a social media platform. Note that, it is possible to (1) create universal adversarial patches for all classes and (2) attack multiple detectors at the same time. We leave these extensions as future work.

3.4. Mask-based Removal

This option aims to replace the body with a quantifiably dissimilar body, utilizing the manifold of diffusion models, as illustrated in Fig. 2. Given an image I with n humans p_1, \dots, p_n in it, mask-based removal first employs YOLOv8 [23] to segment each p_i in I . The segmentation masks $M(p_i)$ are then dilated (Fig 2a). Second, OpenPose [9] extracts pose skeleton and facial landmarks as $P(p_i)$ (Fig 2b). Third, PiDiNet [41] detects soft edges as $E(p_i)$ (Fig 2c). Fourth, YOLOv8 [23] is also used to detect the person bounding box as $B(p_i)$ (Fig 2d).

The main novelty of MBMC is rooted in guiding the body synthesis. First, we create a body manifold as our embedding space with diverse bodies in terms of poses, activities, appearances, and demographics, thus, we select a subset of MPII Humans Dataset [5]. Every image j_k comes with activity labels $A(j_k)$, so we store the same number of images j_1, \dots, j_m from each activity class t . Then, we use CLIP Interrogator [1] to compute the CLIP embeddings [35] $C(j_k)$ of all images in this dataset (Fig 2e). This manifold creation process is done only once and offline.

At run time, this time $B(p_i)$ is fed to the same interrogator, which returns the closest activity class $A(B(p_i))$ of the person and the CLIP embedding $C(B(p_i))$ for the person bounding box. The guide selection (Fig 2f) searches for the j_k satisfying $\forall j_k : A(B(p_i)) = A(j_k)$ and $\max_{j_k} \cos(C(B(p_i)), C(j_k))$ where \cos is cosine distance of embeddings $C(\cdot)$, finding *the furthest embedding within the same activity class*. We use a multi-ControlNet [2] architecture (Fig 2g) based on Realistic Vision [4] that combines multiple modalities. We input masked image $p_i - M(p_i)$, pose $P(p_i)$, soft edges $E(p_i)$ and CLIP embedding $C(j_{max})$ to this architecture to generate an image.

3.5. Identity Removal

Given an image I with n humans p_1, \dots, p_n in it (or the multi-ControlNet output), identity removal applies [13] to find the face most dissimilar to I , within a randomness sphere. SimSwap [10] used in [13] causes under-anonymization based on less than expected drop in face recognition accuracy, so we use InSwapper [3] instead (Fig 2h). Finally, we apply a face enhancer called GFP-GAN [47] which increases face resolution and makes face details more prominent (Fig 2i) by using the image priors that are encapsulated in a pretrained StyleGan2 [24] on face images. In order create faces with non-existing identities, we use a synthetic dataset [21] for our embedding space.

3.6. Multi-body Anonymization

Finally we summarize how everything works for multiple bodies (Fig 2right). We explore two paths: Both of them run single-input MBMC on each person. The first one plugs back all results into the segmented areas, whereas the second one runs a multi-input MBMC with all results to let the diffusion model handle overlapping segmentations. As expected, the first approach creates order dependence on the persons, so we proceed with the second approach.

4. Results

Evaluations are performed on an NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3070 with 8GB GPU, mask-based removal taking 30 sec and physical removal taking 20 sec. We use [4] as our diffusion checkpoint and DPM scheduler [28] with 60 steps. As our system does not require any training or fine-tuning, all listed datasets are used for evaluations. To evaluate MBMC in real world scenarios, so we gather an ambitious mix of diverse datasets as MPII Human Pose [5], More Inclusive Annotations for People (MIAP) [39], People in Social Context (PiSC) [26], Human Interaction Images (HII) [45], Market 1501 [55], LaMa Humans [44], and generated.photos [21].




Figure 3. **Removal Comparison.** Compared to MAT [27] and LaMa [44], MBMC creates less visual artifacts for patterned (top), extreme-pose (middle), and complex scene (bottom) cases.

4.1. Physical Removal

We compare MBMC’s anonymization by physical removal results to MAT [27] and LaMa [44] in Fig. 3 on MPII dataset. Instead of creating blurs in the forest or around the pilates ball, MBMC completes the images clearly with new content based on the diffusion model’s understanding.

4.2. Adversarial Removal

We measure the detector accuracy before and after MBMC adversarial removal option on four datasets. In all of them, 97+% accuracy is reduced to almost zero (Tab.2).

Dataset	LaMa	PiSC	MIAP	MPII
Humans	27	431	270	293
Acc. before	99.26	97.48	98.36	97.51
Acc. after	0	0.07	0	0

Table 2. **Adversarial Removal.** Detector is fooled (0% accuracy) on all four datasets after MBMC’s adversarial removal.

4.3. Mask-based Removal

In Fig. 4, we visually compare original, [18], and our results on MPII. Our results are of higher quality, contextually more coherent, and less similar to anonymized people in the images. Comparing FID scores, 99.92 (ours) vs. 73.00 ([18]), we make more substantial changes.



Figure 4. **Mask-based Removal Comparison.** Original, [18], and ours are compared visually on MPII samples. Our results are more detailed, structured, contextual, and less similar to original.

To motivate our embedding search for closest activity and furthest similarity image, we convey an ablation study with other possible embedding descriptions in Fig. 5. CLIP, PSNR, and FID quantifications are reported to compare different embeddings. We also evaluate with no prompt and with the image’s own embedding.

	Image	Activity	
		Closest	Random
Original	Closest	0.780 14.70 93.14	0.795 14.97 88.28
	Random	0.751 14.35 94.35	0.723 13.92 97.39
Self-replace	Closest	0.767 15.20 87.57	0.728 14.12 99.92
	Random	0.679 13.45 113.00	
No Prompt	Closest		
	Furthest		

Figure 5. **Embedding Ablation.** MBMC currently uses the bottom mid, significantly different appearance with a similar pose.

	mAP	Rank1	Rank5	Rank10	Rank20
Original	41.7	61.3	83.9	93.5	96.8
MBMC	19.1	25.8	45.2	64.5	87.1

Table 3. **Re-identification after Mask-Based Removal.** Recognition accuracy significantly drops after our anonymization.

We validate MBMC’s mask-based removal by a re-identification experiment on Market 1501. In Tab. 3, original precisions (first row) are significantly reduced (%40+ reduction on Rank-1, second row) after MBMC is applied to surveillance images. Example images (left) and anonymized versions (right) are illustrated in Fig. 6.



Figure 6. **Surveillance Anonymization.** MBMC works on any domain, size, resolution images, decreasing reidentification.

4.4. Identity Removal

We evaluate our face identity removal based on several datasets to assess its generalizability (Supp. A). After our modifications, [13] works much prevalently on hard cases.

5. Conclusion

We present “My Body My Choice” to grant back the control over bodies to their owners. Our work extends the utility of diffusion models in anonymization by leveraging their capabilities with human guidance, with more results in Supp. B. As the call for ethical data handling and privacy preservation grows louder, our work marks a pivotal step towards responsible use of biometric data.

References

- [1] clip-interrogator. <https://github.com/pharmapsychotic/clip-interrogator>. Accessed: 2023-08-02. 2, 3
- [2] Controlnet-v1-1. <https://github.com/lillyasviel/ControlNet-v1-1-nightly>. Accessed: 2023-08-02. 2, 3
- [3] Insightface. <https://github.com/deepinsight/insightface>. Accessed: 2023-08-02. 3
- [4] Realistic vision v5.1. <https://civitai.com/models/4201/realistic-vision-v20>. Accessed: 2023-08-02. 3
- [5] Mykhaylo Andriluka, Leonid Pishchulin, Peter Gehler, and Bernt Schiele. 2d human pose estimation: New benchmark and state of the art analysis. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2014. 3
- [6] Thangapavithraa Balaji, Patrick Blies, Georg Göri, Raphael Mitsch, Marcel Wasserer, and Torsten Schön. Temporally coherent video anonymization through gan inpainting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.02328*, 2021. 2
- [7] Vojtěch Bartl, Jakub Špaňhel, and Adam Herout. Persongone: Image inpainting for automated checkout solution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) Workshops*, pages 3115–3123, June 2022. 2
- [8] Karla Brkic, Ivan Sikiric, Tomislav Hrkac, Zoran Kalafatic. I know that person: Generative full body and face de-identification of people in images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) Workshops*, July 2017. 2
- [9] Z. Cao, G. Hidalgo Martinez, T. Simon, S. Wei, and Y. A. Sheikh. Openpose: Realtime multi-person 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2019. 3
- [10] Renwang Chen, Xuanhong Chen, Bingbing Ni, and Yanhao Ge. Simswap: An efficient framework for high fidelity face swapping. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 2003–2011, 2020. 3
- [11] Zhiqin Chen, Kangxue Yin, and Sanja Fidler. Auv-net: Learning aligned uv maps for texture transfer and synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 1465–1474, June 2022. 2
- [12] Ka-Ho Chow, Ling Liu, Margaret Loper, Juhyun Bae, Mehmet Emre Gursoy, Stacey Truex, Wenqi Wei, and Yanzhao Wu. Adversarial objectness gradient attacks in real-time object detection systems. In *IEEE International Conference on Trust, Privacy and Security in Intelligent Systems, and Applications*, pages 263–272. IEEE, 2020. 3
- [13] Umur A Ciftci, Gokturk Yuksek, and Ilke Demir. My face my choice: Privacy enhancing deepfakes for social media anonymization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 1369–1379, 2023. 2, 3, 4
- [14] Antonio Criminisi, Patrick Perez, and Kentaro Toyama. Region filling and object removal by exemplar-based image inpainting. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 13(9):1200–1212, September 2004. MSR-TR-2003-83. 2
- [15] Michael D Ekstrand, Rezvan Joshaghani, and Hoda Mehrpouyan. Privacy for all: Ensuring fair and equitable privacy protections. In *Conference on fairness, accountability and transparency*, pages 35–47. PMLR, 2018. 1
- [16] Andrew G Howard, Menglong Zhu, Bo Chen, Dmitry Kalenichenko, Weijun Wang, Tobias Weyand, Marco Andreetto, and Hartwig Adam. Mobilenets: Efficient convolutional neural networks for mobile vision applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04861*, 2017. 3
- [17] Xun Huang and Serge Belongie. Arbitrary style transfer in real-time with adaptive instance normalization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, Oct 2017. 2
- [18] Håkon Hukkelås and Frank Lindseth. Deepprivacy2: Towards realistic full-body anonymization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, pages 1329–1338, January 2023. 2, 4
- [19] Håkon Hukkelås, Morten Smebye, Rudolf Mester, and Frank Lindseth. Realistic full-body anonymization with surface-guided gans. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, pages 1430–1440, January 2023. 2
- [20] Satoshi Iizuka, Edgar Simo-Serra, and Hiroshi Ishikawa. Globally and locally consistent image completion. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 36(4), jul 2017. 2
- [21] Generated Media Inc. Generated photos, 2022. Online; accessed 18-February-2022. 3
- [22] Shuai Jia, Bangjie Yin, Taiping Yao, Shouhong Ding, Chunhua Shen, Xiaokang Yang, and Chao Ma. Adv-attribute: Inconspicuous and transferable adversarial attack on face recognition. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:34136–34147, 2022. 2
- [23] Glenn Jocher, Ayush Chaurasia, and Jing Qiu. YOLO by Ultralytics, 1 2023. 2, 3
- [24] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, Miika Aittala, Janne Hellsten, Jaakko Lehtinen, and Timo Aila. Analyzing and improving the image quality of StyleGAN. In *Proc. CVPR*, 2020. 3
- [25] Marvin Klemp, Kevin Rösch, Royden Wagner, Jannik Quehl, and Martin Lauer. Ldfa: Latent diffusion face anonymization for self-driving applications. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) Workshops*, pages 3198–3204, June 2023. 2
- [26] Junnan Li, Yongkang Wong, Qi Zhao, and Mohan S Kankanhalli. Dual-glance model for deciphering social relationships. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 2650–2659, 2017. 3
- [27] Wenbo Li, Zhe Lin, Kun Zhou, Lu Qi, Yi Wang, and Jiaya Jia. Mat: Mask-aware transformer for large hole image inpainting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2022. 2, 3, 4
- [28] Cheng Lu, Yuhao Zhou, Fan Bao, Jianfei Chen, Chongxuan Li, and Jun Zhu. DPM-solver: A fast ODE solver for diffusion probabilistic model sampling in around 10 steps.

- In Alice H. Oh, Alekh Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, and Kyunghyun Cho, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022. 3
- [29] Liqian Ma, Qianru Sun, Stamatios Georgoulis, Luc Van Gool, Bernt Schiele, and Mario Fritz. Disentangled person image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 99–108, 2018. 2
- [30] Maxim Maximov, Ismail Elezi, and Laura Leal-Taixe. Ciagan: Conditional identity anonymization generative adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2020. 2
- [31] Kamyar Nazeri, Eric Ng, Tony Joseph, Faisal Qureshi, and Mehran Ebrahimi. Edgeconnect: Structure guided image inpainting using edge prediction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision workshops*, pages 0–0, 2019. 2
- [32] Carman Neustaedter, Saul Greenberg, and Michael Boyle. Blur filtration fails to preserve privacy for home-based video conferencing. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.*, 13(1):1–36, mar 2006. 1
- [33] Natalia Neverova, David Novotny, Marc Szafraniec, Vasil Khalidov, Patrick Labatut, and Andrea Vedaldi. Continuous surface embeddings. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:17258–17270, 2020. 2
- [34] Taesung Park, Ming-Yu Liu, Ting-Chun Wang, and Jun-Yan Zhu. Semantic image synthesis with spatially-adaptive normalization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2019. 2
- [35] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 3
- [36] Joseph Redmon and Ali Farhadi. Yolov3: An incremental improvement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.02767*, 2018. 3
- [37] Zhongzheng Ren, Yong Jae Lee, and Michael S. Ryoo. Learning to anonymize faces for privacy preserving action detection. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, September 2018. 2
- [38] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models, 2021. 3
- [39] Candice Schumann, Susanna Ricco, Utsav Prabhu, Vittorio Ferrari, and Caroline Rebecca Pantofaru. A step toward more inclusive people annotations for fairness. In *Proceedings of the AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society (AI/ES)*, 2021. 3
- [40] Yuhang Song, Chao Yang, Yeji Shen, Peng Wang, Qin Huang, and C-C Jay Kuo. Spg-net: Segmentation prediction and guidance network for image inpainting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.03356*, 2018. 2
- [41] Zhuo Su, Wenzhe Liu, Zitong Yu, Dewen Hu, Qing Liao, Qi Tian, Matti Pietikäinen, and Li Liu. Pixel difference networks for efficient edge detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 5117–5127, 2021. 3
- [42] Qianru Sun, Liqian Ma, Seong Joon Oh, Luc Van Gool, Bernt Schiele, and Mario Fritz. Natural and effective obfuscation by head inpainting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5050–5059, 2018. 2
- [43] Qianru Sun, Ayush Tewari, Weipeng Xu, Mario Fritz, Christian Theobalt, and Bernt Schiele. A hybrid model for identity obfuscation by face replacement. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, pages 553–569, 2018. 2
- [44] Roman Suvorov, Elizaveta Logacheva, Anton Mashikhin, Anastasia Remizova, Arsenii Ashukha, Aleksei Silvestrov, Naejin Kong, Harshith Goka, Kiwoong Park, and Victor Lempitsky. Resolution-robust large mask inpainting with fourier convolutions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.07161*, 2021. 2, 3, 4
- [45] Gokhan Tanisik, Cemil Zalluhoglu, and Nazli Ikişler-Cinbis. Facial descriptors for human interaction recognition in still images. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 73:44–51, 2016. 3
- [46] Julian Todt, Simon Hanisch, and Thorsten Strufe. Fantomas: Evaluating reversibility of face anonymizations using a general deep learning attacker. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.10651*, 2022. 1
- [47] Xintao Wang, Yu Li, Honglun Zhang, and Ying Shan. Towards real-world blind face restoration with generative facial prior. In *The IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2021. 3
- [48] Yinghao Xu, Menglei Chai, Zifan Shi, Sida Peng, Ivan Skokhodov, Aliaksandr Siarohin, Ceyuan Yang, Yujun Shen, Hsin-Ying Lee, Bolei Zhou, et al. Discoscene: Spatially disentangled generative radiance fields for controllable 3d-aware scene synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4402–4412, 2023. 2
- [49] Jie Yang, Zhiqian Qi, and Yong Shi. Learning to incorporate structure knowledge for image inpainting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 34, pages 12605–12612, 2020. 2
- [50] Ahmet Burak Yildirim, Vedat Baday, Erkut Erdem, Aykut Erdem, and Aysegul Dundar. Inst-inpaint: Instructing to remove objects with diffusion models, 2023. 2
- [51] Jiahui Yu, Zhe Lin, Jimei Yang, Xiaohui Shen, Xin Lu, and Thomas S Huang. Generative image inpainting with contextual attention. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5505–5514, 2018. 2
- [52] Jiahui Yu, Zhe Lin, Jimei Yang, Xiaohui Shen, Xin Lu, and Thomas S Huang. Free-form image inpainting with gated convolution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 4471–4480, 2019. 2
- [53] Yanhong Zeng, Jianlong Fu, Hongyang Chao, and Baining Guo. Learning pyramid-context encoder network for high-quality image inpainting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1486–1494, 2019. 2

- [54] Yu Zeng, Zhe Lin, Jimei Yang, Jianming Zhang, Eli Shechtman, and Huchuan Lu. High-resolution image inpainting with iterative confidence feedback and guided upsampling. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XIX 16*, pages 1–17. Springer, 2020. [2](#)
- [55] Liang Zheng, Liyue Shen, Lu Tian, Shengjin Wang, Jingdong Wang, and Qi Tian. Scalable person re-identification: A benchmark. In *Computer Vision, IEEE International Conference on*, 2015. [3](#)
- [56] Peihao Zhu, Rameen Abdal, Yipeng Qin, and Peter Wonka. Sean: Image synthesis with semantic region-adaptive normalization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2020. [2](#)
- [57] Jan Henrik Ziegeldorf, Oscar Garcia Morchon, and Klaus Wehrle. Privacy in the internet of things: threats and challenges. *Security and Communication Networks*, 7(12):2728–2742, 2014. [1](#)

My Body My Choice: Human-Centric Full-Body Anonymization Supplemental Material

Umur Aybars Çiftçi
Binghamton University
uciftci@binghamton.edu

Ali Kemal Tanrıverdi
Binghamton University
atanriv1@binghamton.edu

İlke Demir
Intel Labs
ilke.demir@intel.com




Figure 1. Identity Removal. [1] extension tested on four different datasets, with input output couples for images and faces.

A. Identity Removal

Fig.1 demonstrates single and multi body identity removal samples from MPII (D), PiSC (B, E), MIAP (C, F) and Lama Humans (A, G) datasets, with original (left) anonymized (right) images and supporting source synthetic faces (small squares). We conclude that after our modifications, [1] works much prevalently on hard cases.


B. All Dataset Results

In Fig. 2, we select interesting results from MIAP [3] (Fig. 2-top) and PiSC [2] (Fig. 2-right) for mask-based, and LaMa Humans [4] (Fig. 2-left) for physical removal task. Overall, images look realistic and people look significantly different; even in the existence of multiple people, complex illumination, fog, reflections, complex interactions, patterns, oblique views, occlusions, face paint, etc..

References

- [1] Umur A Ciftci, Gokturk Yuksek, and Ilke Demir. My face my choice: Privacy enhancing deepfakes for social media anonymization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 1369–1379, 2023. 1
- [2] Junnan Li, Yongkang Wong, Qi Zhao, and Mohan S Kankanhalli. Dual-glance model for deciphering social relationships. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 2650–2659, 2017. 1, 2
- [3] Candice Schumann, Susanna Ricco, Utsav Prabhu, Vittorio Ferrari, and Caroline Rebecca Pantofaru. A step toward more inclusive people annotations for fairness. In *Proceedings of the AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society (AIES)*, 2021. 1, 2
- [4] Roman Suvorov, Elizaveta Logacheva, Anton Mashikhin, Anastasia Remizova, Arsenii Ashukha, Aleksei Silvestrov, Naejin Kong, Harshith Goka, Kiwoong Park, and Victor Lempitsky. Resolution-robust large mask inpainting with fourier convolutions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.07161*, 2021. 1, 2

Mask-based Removal on MIAP



Physical Removal on LaMa Humans



Mask-based Removal on PiSC



Figure 2. **More Results.** Interesting example pairs for mask-based removal on MIAP [3] (top) and PiSC [2] (right), and for physical removal on LaMa Humans [4] (left). All inputs are on the left or top of the pair and outputs are on the right or bottom.