

SViT-Ego: A Sparse Video-Text Transformer for Egocentric Video

Hector A. Valdez
Intel Labs

hector.a.valdez@intel.com

Kyle Min
Intel Labs

kyle.min@intel.com

Subarna Tripathi
Intel Labs

subarna.tripathi@intel.com

Abstract

*Pretraining egocentric vision-language models has become essential to improving downstream egocentric video-text tasks. These egocentric foundation models commonly use the transformer architecture. The memory footprint of these models during pretraining can be substantial. Therefore, we pretrain **SViT-Ego**, the first sparse egocentric video-text transformer model integrating edge and node sparsification. We pretrain on the EgoClip dataset and incorporate the egocentric-friendly objective EgoNCE, instead of the frequently used InfoNCE. Most notably, **SViT-Ego** obtains a +2.8% gain on EgoMCQ (intra-video) accuracy compared to LAVILA_{LARGE}, with no additional data augmentation techniques other than standard image augmentations, yet pretrainable on memory-limited devices.*

1. Introduction

Pretraining vision-language models is a vital step in constructing foundation models capable of efficient fine-tuning across numerous downstream tasks or, more importantly, zero-shot scenarios. Recently, there has been a surge of interest in egocentric videos prompting a variety of works [1, 12, 15, 23] aimed at pretraining video-text models tailored for egocentric downstream applications. The prevailing model architecture that is used for both vision and text encoder is the transformer.

At the heart of the transformer lies the attention mechanism having quadratic time and space complexity with respect to its input. Memory bottlenecks can quickly arise, particularly when handling multi-frame image inputs in the vision encoder. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to address this issue in the egocentric vision-language paradigm. We propose **SViT-Ego**, and approach the memory bottleneck problem by applying edge and node sparsification to the memory-hungry video and cross-modal encoders.

The key contributions of this work are: (1) a video-text architecture **SViT-Ego** that uses edge and node spar-

sity for egocentric videos; (2) empirical results validating EgoNCE as a superior objective for intra-video EgoMCQ scenarios compared to the InfoNCE objective; (3) state-of-the-art performance on intra-video EgoMCQ task; and (4) efficient pretraining on memory-constrained devices.

2. Related Work

Egocentric video-language pretraining. Vision-language pretraining has seen significant adoption for a number of egocentric video-text downstream tasks. EgoVLP [12] is one of the first egocentric vision-language models that is pretrained on the Ego4D [7] dataset and proposes the EgoNCE objective to optimize model parameters. EgoVLPv2 [15] is a continuation of the EgoVLP work which adds cross-modal fusion to both the vision and text backbones. HierVL [1] uses a hierarchical video-language model that captures both short-term actions and long-term intents in the video. LAVILA [23] uses a Large Language Model (LLM) to rephrase Ego4D’s annotations and synthetically generate more text descriptions per video sample, which augmented their training set by 15×. In our work, we use the EgoNCE objective for egocentric-friendly pretraining.

Sparse transformers. The transformer’s attention mechanism has quadratic time and space complexity. Recently, several token sparsification methods have been proposed to improve the efficiency of vision transformers. For instance, Dynamic ViT [18] and EViT [10] reduce the number of input tokens by identifying the less informative ones. ToMe [3] shows that gradually combining similar tokens significantly improves the throughput of transformers. SViT [9] uses edge sparsity to reduce query-key pairs while maintaining its global reasoning capability and uses node sparsity to minimize the usage of non-informative visual tokens. We adopt SViT for its highly efficient training and inference schemes in this work.

3. Methodology

EgoClip. We pretrain our model on the EgoClip dataset [12], which consists of 3.8 million clip-text pairs selected from Ego4D [7]. The videos cover a diverse range of human daily activities, with a duration of 2.9K video hours. Each clip-text pair consists of a 1-second clip (30 frames per second) and a text description of actions occurring within the clip.

Sampling Strategies. We adopt the same sampling strategies: action-aware positive sampling and scene-aware negative sampling. Action-aware positive samples are text descriptions that share at least one noun and one verb, where nouns and verbs can be synonyms based on the Ego4D dictionary taxonomy. Scene-aware negative samples are considered hard negatives since they are different actions occurring in the same video scene as the positive sample. These hard negatives are temporally adjacent to their positive counterparts and do not overlap in frames.

EgoNCE. Given a batch \mathcal{B} , let the positive sample set be $P_i = \{j \in \mathcal{B} \mid \text{noun}(j) \cap \text{noun}(i) \neq \emptyset, \text{verb}(j) \cap \text{verb}(i) \neq \emptyset\}$. The original batch is augmented with hard negative samples to create an updated batch $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. We optimize the duel encoder using the EgoNCE objective [12]:

$$L = \frac{1}{|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|} \sum_{i \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \log \frac{\sum_{k \in P_i} \exp(\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{t}_k / \tau)}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{B}} (\exp(\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{t}_j / \tau) + \exp(\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{t}_{j'} / \tau))} \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{v} is the [CLS] video feature vector and \mathbf{t} is the [CLS] text feature vector.

4. Results

4.1. Implementation Details

We use the same sparse frame sampling strategy as in [9] and sample 4 frames per video. Each frame is randomly cropped, and then resized into a spatial resolution of 224×224 . Then, each image is decomposed into 14×14 spatial patches. We use an AdamW optimizer [14] with an initial learning rate of 3×10^{-5} ($\beta_1 = 0.9, \beta_2 = 0.999$) and a weight decay of 0.02, with a cosine learning rate schedule and warm-up of one epoch over 10 epochs. We use PyTorch’s native FP16 mixed precision and distributed training with a per GPU batch size of 8 over 8 GPUs, each GPU with 12 GB of memory.

Architecture. Our implementation of sparse video-text transformer is based on SViTT [9], which is composed of a video encoder, text encoder, and multimodal encoder. The video and text encoder can be used as a duel encoder for specific vision-language downstream tasks, while the

multimodal encoder fuses video features with text features using cross-attention for other downstream tasks. Similar to SViTT, the video encoder is a 12-layer BEiT-B [2] initialized with ImageNet weights and inflated for video inputs. The text encoder is initialized with BERT_{BASE} [4] weights, whose last 3 layers are modified to implement the multimodal encoder.

Sparsification. Ablation studies in [9] showed the best balance between sparsity and performance was using both edge and node sparsification. We set two different edge sparsity configurations $(K_l, K_r, G) = (1, 3, 56)$ and $(1, 5, 56)$, where K_l is sparse local attention, K_r is sparse random attention, and G is attention block size. We set node sparsity to $(q_v, q_m) = (0.7, 0.1)$ where q_v is vision token keep rate and q_m is multimodal token keep rate. The token keep strategy uses [CLS] tokens.

Pretraining. **SViTT-Ego** is pretrained on 3.8M video-text pairs from EgoClip. We optimize the duel encoder by projecting the [CLS] tokens of the video and text encoders into a joint representation space where we use the contrastive EgoNCE loss [12]. The output of the multimodal encoder is optimized with video-text matching (VTM) and masked language modeling (MLM) losses, same as [9].

EgoMCQ. Egocentric Multiple Choice Question task contains 39,751 questions created from Ego4D. The task requires selecting the correct video clip from five choices given a text query description.

EgoNLQ. Ego4D Natural Language Queries task is also constructed from Ego4D. The task requires localizing the temporal window within a video, given a natural language question.

4.2. Initial Experiment

Before we performed full pretraining on the entire 3.8M EgoClip dataset, we conducted a quick experiment to evaluate duel encoder objectives InfoNCE [19] and EgoNCE. We use the same configuration as mentioned in 4.1, except we fix edge sparsity to $(K_l, K_r, G) = (1, 3, 56)$ and use a random 10% subset of the 3.8M dataset for each epoch.

When using EgoMCQ as a metric, results in Tab. 1 confirm findings in [12] showing EgoNCE as a better objective to optimize model parameters compared to the naive InfoNCE. We use 4 frames during inference to gather **SViTT-Ego** performance results.

4.3. Pretraining Result

We compare pretrained **SViTT-Ego** to state-of-the-art vision-language models on the validation set of

Objective	# Pretrain Dataset (per epoch)	EgoMCQ Accuracy (%)	
		Inter	Intra
InfoNCE	10%	89.0	43.3
EgoNCE	10%	89.4	53.0

Table 1. In our initial experiment, EgoNCE performs significantly better on intra-video scenarios compared to InfoNCE by a margin of +9.7% accuracy.

Egocentric Multiple Choice Question (EgoMCQ). Results in Tab. 2 show both **SViT-T-Ego** configurations $(K_l, K_r, G) = (1, 3, 56)$ and $(1, 5, 56)$ outperform EgoVLP and EgoVLPv2, when all models used the same amount of training data. Moreover, **SViT-T-Ego** exceeds LAVILA_{BASE} and LAVILA_{LARGE} Intra-video accuracy even though both LAVILA models are pretrained on 15× more narrations generated by GPT-2 [16]. We use 4 frames during inference to gather **SViT-T-Ego** performance results.

Method	# Pretrain Dataset	EgoMCQ Accuracy (%)	
		Inter	Intra
LAVILA _{BASE} [23]	56M	93.8	59.9
LAVILA _{LARGE} [23]	56M	94.5	63.1
HierVL-Avg [1]	3.8M	90.3	53.1
HierVL-SA [1]	3.8M	90.5	52.4
EgoVLP [12]	3.8M	90.6	57.2
EgoVLPv2 [15]	3.8M	91.0	60.9
SViT-T-Ego _{$K_r=3$}	3.8M	92.9	65.1
SViT-T-Ego _{$K_r=5$}	3.8M	92.9	65.9

Table 2. **SViT-T-Ego** outperforms all state-of-the-art models on intra-video accuracy. When considering models trained solely on 3.8M samples without narration augmentations, **SViT-T-Ego** outperforms all models in inter-video and intra-video accuracy.

4.4. SViT-T-Ego Video Features for EgoNLQ

At the time of our work, GroundNLQ [8] was 1st place on the Ego4D NLQ leaderboard so we used it as our video grounding model. However, there are some differences between our use of GroundNLQ and the original work due to computational constraints. The differences can be viewed in Tab. 3. We use **SViT-T-Ego** configuration $(K_l, K_r, G) = (1, 3, 56)$ to extract video features.

Pretraining and finetuning GroundNLQ using **SViT-T-Ego** features shows competitive IoU=0.3 and IoU=0.5 performance in Tab. 4. All grounding models that were pretrained have better performance than those that were only finetuned. Among the pretrained models, our implementation

Method	Video Encoder	Ego4D Dataset	Frame		Hidden Dim
			Window	Stride	
GroundNLQ	InternVideo	NLQ, MQ, VQ	16	16	384
Ours	SViT-T-Ego _{$K_r=3$}	NLQ, MQ	4	4	128

Table 3. Differences between original GroundNLQ and our implementation.

under performs when compared to the original GroundNLQ and NaQ++ (ReLER + NaQ). We speculate that our implementation was at a disadvantage since it has less model parameters and did not include EgoVQ samples in the pretraining stage.

Video Encoder	Grounding Model	PT	IoU=0.3		IoU=0.5	
			R@1	R@5	R@1	R@5
EgoVLP [12]	VSLNet [22]	no	10.46	16.76	6.24	11.29
SlowFast [5]						
+ Omnivore [6]	ActionFormer [21]	no	15.71	28.45	9.57	18.03
+ EgoVLP [12]						
InternVideo [20]	VSLNet [22]	no	16.46	22.95	10.06	16.11
InternVideo [20]	ReLER [13] + NaQ [17]	yes	21.70	25.12	13.64	16.33
InternVideo [20]	GroundNLQ [8]	yes	25.67	42.06	18.18	29.80
SViT-T-Ego _{$K_r=3$}	GroundNLQ [8]	yes	19.41	33.52	13.29	24.28

Table 4. Recall for IoU=0.3 and IoU=0.5 on Ego4D NLQ challenge test set.

4.5. Other Remarks

Qualitative analysis. We visualize the result of node sparsification in Fig. 1. Given $0 < q_v < 1$, **SViT-T-Ego** learns to drop visual tokens by retaining salient patches and ignoring ambient patches.

GPU memory usage. Peak memory usage for configuration $(K_l, K_r, G) = (1, 3, 56)$ was 8.76GB per GPU and $(K_l, K_r, G) = (1, 5, 56)$ was 9.61GB per GPU. This included a copy of the model, current batch, and gradients.

5. Conclusions

We introduce **SViT-T-Ego**, a sparse video-text architecture equipped with multi-frame reasoning capability for egocentric video understanding. **SViT-T-Ego** employs two forms of sparsity: edge sparsity that limits the query-key communications between tokens in self-attention, and node sparsity that discards uninformative visual tokens. **SViT-T-Ego** outperforms dense transformer baselines on the EgoMCQ task with significantly lower peak memory and compute requirements thanks to the sparsity. This shows that sparse architecture such as **SViT-T-Ego** is a potential foundation model choice especially for pretraining on memory-bound devices.

EgoClip annotation: puts the speaker and notepad in both hands on a seat



Figure 1. Given $q_v = 0.7$, we show the following qualitative results with the vision encoder: row 1, shows 4 frame input; row 2, shows video encoder’s layer 4 after visual token pruning; row 3, shows video encoder’s layer 7 after visual token pruning; and row 4, shows video encoder’s layer 10 after visual token pruning. We follow [11] to prune visual tokens.

References

[1] Kumar Ashutosh, Rohit Girdhar, Lorenzo Torresani, and Kristen Grauman. Hiervl: Learning hierarchical video-language embeddings, 2023. 1, 3

[2] Hangbo Bao, Li Dong, Songhao Piao, and Furu Wei. Beit: Bert pre-training of image transformers, 2022. 2

[3] Daniel Bolya, Cheng-Yang Fu, Xiaoliang Dai, Peizhao Zhang, Christoph Feichtenhofer, and Judy Hoffman. Token merging: Your vit but faster. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.09461*, 2022. 1

[4] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding, 2019. 2

[5] Christoph Feichtenhofer, Haoqi Fan, Jitendra Malik, and Kaiming He. Slowfast networks for video recognition, 2019. 3

[6] Rohit Girdhar, Mannat Singh, Nikhila Ravi, Laurens van der Maaten, Armand Joulin, and Ishan Misra. Omnivore: A single model for many visual modalities, 2022. 3

[7] Kristen Grauman, Andrew Westbury, Eugene Byrne, Zachary Chavis, Antonino Furnari, Rohit Girdhar, Jackson Hamburger, Hao Jiang, Miao Liu, Xingyu Liu, and et al. Ego4d: Around the world in 3,000 hours of egocentric video, 2022. 1, 2

[8] Zhijian Hou, Lei Ji, Difei Gao, Wanjun Zhong, Kun Yan, Chao Li, Wing-Kwong Chan, Chong-Wah Ngo, Nan Duan, and Mike Zheng Shou. Groundnlq @ ego4d natural language queries challenge 2023, 2023. 3

[9] Yi Li, Kyle Min, Subarna Tripathi, and Nuno Vasconcelos. Svtt: Temporal learning of sparse video-text transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18919–18929, 2023. 1, 2

[10] Youwei Liang, Chongjian Ge, Zhan Tong, Yibing Song, Jue Wang, and Pengtao Xie. Not all patches are what you need: Expediting vision transformers via token reorganizations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.07800*, 2022. 1

[11] Youwei Liang, Chongjian Ge, Zhan Tong, Yibing Song, Jue Wang, and Pengtao Xie. Not all patches are what you need: Expediting vision transformers via token reorganizations, 2022. 4

[12] Kevin Qinghong Lin, Alex Jinpeng Wang, Mattia Soldan, Michael Wray, Rui Yan, Eric Zhongcong Xu, Difei Gao, Rongcheng Tu, Wenzhe Zhao, Weijie Kong, Chengfei Cai, Hongfa Wang, Dima Damen, Bernard Ghanem, Wei Liu, and Mike Zheng Shou. Egocentric video-language pretraining, 2022. 1, 2, 3

[13] Naiyuan Liu, Xiaohan Wang, Xiaobo Li, Yi Yang, and Yueting Zhuang. Reler@zju-alibaba submission to the ego4d natural language queries challenge 2022, 2022. 3

[14] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization, 2019. 2

[15] Shraman Pramanick, Yale Song, Sayan Nag, Kevin Qinghong Lin, Hardik Shah, Mike Zheng Shou, Rama Chellappa, and Pengchuan Zhang. Egovlpv2: Egocentric video-language pre-training with fusion in the backbone, 2023. 1, 3

[16] Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners, 2019. OpenAI blog. 3

[17] Santhosh Kumar Ramakrishnan, Ziad Al-Halah, and Kristen Grauman. Naq: Leveraging narrations as queries to supervise episodic memory, 2023. 3

[18] Yongming Rao, Wenliang Zhao, Benlin Liu, Jiwen Lu, Jie Zhou, and Cho-Jui Hsieh. Dynamicvit: Efficient vision transformers with dynamic token sparsification. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 34:13937–13949, 2021. 1

[19] Aaron van den Oord, Yazhe Li, and Oriol Vinyals. Representation learning with contrastive predictive coding, 2019. 2

[20] Yi Wang, Kunchang Li, Yizhuo Li, Yanan He, Bingkun Huang, Zhiyu Zhao, Hongjie Zhang, Jilan Xu, Yi Liu, Zun Wang, Sen Xing, and et al. Internvideo: General video foundation models via generative and discriminative learning, 2022. 3

[21] Chenlin Zhang, Jianxin Wu, and Yin Li. Actionformer: Localizing moments of actions with transformers, 2022. 3

[22] Hao Zhang, Aixin Sun, Wei Jing, and Joey Tianyi Zhou. Span-based localizing network for natural language video localization, 2020. 3

[23] Yue Zhao, Ishan Misra, Philipp Krähenbühl, and Rohit Girdhar. Learning video representations from large language models, 2022. 1, 3