

TONEUNIT: A SPEECH DISCRETIZATION APPROACH FOR TONAL LANGUAGE SPEECH SYNTHESIS

Dehua Tao^{1,*}, Daxin Tan², Yu Ting Yeung², Xiao Chen², Tan Lee¹

¹ Department of Electronic Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

² Huawei Noah’s Ark Lab

dhtao@link.cuhk.edu.hk, tanlee@ee.cuhk.edu.hk,
{tandaxin1, yeung.yu.ting, chen.xiao2}@huawei.com

ABSTRACT

Representing speech as discretized units has numerous benefits in supporting downstream spoken language processing tasks. However, the approach has been less explored in speech synthesis of tonal languages like Mandarin Chinese. Our preliminary experiments on Chinese speech synthesis reveal the issue of “tone shift”, where a synthesized speech utterance contains correct base syllables but incorrect tones. To address the issue, we propose the ToneUnit framework, which leverages annotated data with tone labels as CTC supervision to learn tone-aware discrete speech units for Mandarin Chinese speech. Our findings indicate that the discrete units acquired through the ToneUnit resolve the “tone shift” issue in synthesized Chinese speech and yield favorable results in English synthesis. Moreover, the experimental results suggest that finite scalar quantization enhances the effectiveness of ToneUnit. Notably, ToneUnit can work effectively even with minimal annotated data.¹

Index Terms— Speech discretization, speech synthesis, tonal language, discrete speech unit, vector quantization, finite scalar quantization

1. INTRODUCTION

Discrete speech representation learning has become a recent research interest. The information of a speech segment in a short time interval is represented by a single token, namely a speech unit, instead of a high-dimensional continuous vector. Discrete units facilitate the storage and transmission of speech data. The analogy between discrete speech units and text tokens opens up the potential to apply Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques to speech processing tasks [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. To obtain discrete units, self-supervised learning (SSL) speech foundation model and discretization methods are adopted. SSL models leverage large amounts of unlabelled speech data to derive continuous representations that




Fig. 1. Pitch distribution for four tones of phoneme /i/ in Mandarin Chinese speech. The x-axis represents fundamental frequency, and the y-axis is the number of occurrences.

contain rich information in speech signals [6]. Subsequently, discretization methods convert the learned continuous representations into discrete units.

While considerable progress has been made in developing unit-based speech models primarily for non-tonal languages like English, the exploration of tonal languages such as Mandarin Chinese is still limited. Linguistically, tone refers to the use of pitch in determining the meaning of a word [7]. Tonal languages use tones to differentiate phones and words. This is crucial to lexical and grammatical differentiation [8]. Four lexical tones are used in Mandarin Chinese: High (Tone 1), Rising (Tone 2), Low / Dipping (Tone 3), and Falling (Tone 4). The tone of a syllable is carried out by the vowel nucleus. Our preliminary experiments reveal the issue of “tone shift” in synthesized Mandarin Chinese speech with discrete speech units. “Tone shift” is said to occur when a synthesized speech utterance contains correct base syllables but incorrect tones, causing misunderstanding of the speech content and degradation of speech intelligibility.

We hypothesize that the “tone shift” issue stems from the generation process of discrete speech units. An SSL model with only speech self-supervision and an unsupervised clustering method like k-means are typically adopted to obtain speech units. Since tone is highly related to pitch, we analyze

* This work was done during an internship at Huawei Noah’s Ark Lab.

¹The synthesized speech samples are provided at <https://toneunit1225.github.io/>

the relationship between the tones of Mandarin Chinese and the distribution of pitch values in natural speech. It is found that a similarity exists between Tone 1 and Tone 4, as well as between Tone 2 and Tone 3. Figure 1 illustrates such similarity with the vowel /i/ as an example. This observation suggests that tonal information can not be adequately captured from speech signals. When discrete speech units are derived solely from acoustic signals without the guidance of linguistic information, they may not be able to reproduce the desired tones accurately in synthesized speech. This may explain that when applying unsupervised clustering to latent speech representations derived by SSL, the resulting units may not represent tonal variations of the same phoneme, though they generally suffice to differentiate the phonemes.

The present study introduces a speech discretization framework to generate tone-aware speech units that can effectively capture lexical tone information in Mandarin Chinese. This framework, called *ToneUnit*, comprises an SSL-based speech encoder, a quantization module, and a tonal phone decoder. The speech encoder is pre-trained on a large amount of unlabeled speech data. It is fine-tuned jointly with the quantization module and the tonal phone decoder on a small amount of annotated data. Specifically, phone sequences with tone markers serve as the target labels for fine-tuning the entire framework with Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC) loss, thus compelling the quantizer to generate speech units that model tone variations. Two quantization methods are investigated, including Gumbel-Softmax-based vector quantization (VQ) [9, 10, 11] and finite scalar quantization (FSQ) [12]. Experimental results show that the *ToneUnit* framework can effectively address the “tone shift” issue in Mandarin Chinese speech synthesis, meanwhile demonstrating competitive performance in English speech synthesis. Moreover, FSQ exhibits superior performance to VQ with a more straightforward architecture and improved codebook utilization. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt at applying FSQ to speech discretization.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next Section, we review related works on speech discretization. We describe the proposed *ToneUnit* framework in Section 3 and our experimental setup in Section 4. We discuss the experimental results in Section 5. Finally, we conclude our work in Section 6.

2. RELATED WORKS

2.1. Self-supervised learning models

By leveraging large quantities of unlabeled speech data, SSL models including wav2vec 2.0 [11], HuBERT [2], WavLM [13] and SPIRAL [14], have achieved remarkable performance in various speech-related downstream tasks [15]. SSL models are usually trained with contrastive loss (e.g., wav2vec 2.0, SPIRAL) or masked language model loss

(e.g. HuBERT, WavLM). To further improve model robustness, SPIRAL relies on a teacher-student architecture while WavLM proposes a masked speech denoising and prediction framework during training. The latent representations derived from SSL models contain rich information of speech signals, such as content, speaker identity, style, and emotion. For example, WavLM is designed for full stack speech processing tasks. These representations can serve as alternatives to traditional speech features like Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC) and log Mel filter banks (FBANK) for input into downstream models.

2.2. Speech quantization

In previous studies, discrete speech units are typically obtained by employing VQ modules [9] with SSL models [10, 11], or through k-means clustering applied to hidden embeddings of SSL models [2, 13]. The VQ codebook of a predetermined size is trained to project input vectors into the nearest codebook entry. Optimizing the VQ codebook is challenging due to the codebook collapse problem [16, 10, 17, 18], which leads to poor reconstruction with only a few activated code-words. Finite scalar quantization (FSQ) [12] is proposed to solve the codebook collapse problem without relying on any auxiliary losses.

2.3. Speech synthesis from discrete units

Discrete speech units have been extensively studied in speech generation tasks [9, 19, 4, 20, 21, 22]. HiFi-GAN [23] with a duration predictor [24] can be used as a unit-based vocoder to decode speech signal from discrete speech representations [20, 21]. HiFi-GAN is a generative adversarial network (GAN) model that focuses on modeling periodic patterns in speech audio to enhance quality. VITS [25] is a parallel text-to-speech system designed to perform both learning and synthesis in an end-to-end manner. VITS features a stochastic duration predictor to capture varied rhythms of speech that are unable to be represented by text.

3. TONEUNIT FRAMEWORK

The overall structure of *ToneUnit* is depicted in Figure 2. The framework consists of three components: a speech encoder, a quantizer, and a CTC decoder. The speech encoder converts the input speech signals into continuous representations, which are fed into the quantizer. The quantizer generates speech units and the corresponding codebook vectors. During the fine-tuning stage, the codebook vectors are passed to the CTC-based decoder. The parameters of all three components are jointly updated. During speech synthesis, a speech synthesizer is separately trained to convert discrete speech units from the quantizer into speech signals.




Fig. 2. Components of the ToneUnit. The speech synthesizer is trained independently on discrete speech units generated by ToneUnit.

3.1. Speech encoder

We deploy SPIRAL [14] as the SSL-based speech encoder. SPIRAL demonstrates competitive performance to wav2vec 2.0 [11]. While HuBERT [2] achieves impressive performance with the empirical determination of optimal embedding layers for different tasks, we prefer convenience and simplicity by selecting the output of the final layer of SPIRAL as direct input to the quantization module. We only require high-level speech content information for modeling tone-aware units, which SPIRAL is capable of. Furthermore, SPIRAL is configurable to encode latent speech presentations at different frame rates of 20, 40, and 80 ms, providing the flexibility for experimenting with the effect of different frame rates on speech discretization.

3.2. Quantizer

3.2.1. Gumbel-Softmax-based vector quantization (VQ)

The VQ implementation is similar to that in [11], except that only one codebook is used in our experimental setting. The Gumbel-Softmax enables choosing discrete codebook entries in a fully differentiable manner [26, 27]. We also apply the straight-through estimator proposed in [27]. The quantizer replaces the speech encoder output \mathbf{z} by $\hat{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{E}_i$ from a fixed-size codebook $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{V \times d}$ which contains V vectors of size d . Specifically, the speech encoder output \mathbf{z} is mapped to $\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{R}^V$ logits, and the probabilities for choosing j -th codebook entry are computed by Equation 1,

$$p_j = \frac{\exp(l_j + v_j)/\tau}{\sum_{k=1}^V \exp(l_k + v_k)/\tau} \quad (1)$$

where τ is a non-negative temperature, $v = -\log(-\log(u))$ and u are uniform samples from $\mathcal{U}(0, 1)$.

During the forward pass, the code index i is chosen by $i = \arg \max_j p_j$, and in the backward pass, the true gradient of Gumbel-Softmax outputs is used.

3.2.2. Finite scalar quantization (FSQ)

Mentzer et al. [12] propose FSQ as an alternative to VQ in the latent representation of VQ-VAE [9]. In this approach, the VQ representation is reduced to very low dimensions. Each dimension is quantized into a limited set of discrete values, resulting in an implicit codebook formed by the product of these sets. Specifically, the speech encoder output \mathbf{z} is first projected to a low-dimensional vector $\bar{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where n is typically less than 10. To quantize $\bar{\mathbf{z}}$ to a finite set of codewords, each entry \bar{z}_m is mapped to one of L unique values by $\bar{z}_m \mapsto \lfloor L/2 \rfloor \tanh(\bar{z}_m)$ followed by rounding to integers. Thereby, a quantized $\hat{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathcal{C}$ is obtained. \mathcal{C} represents the implied codebook, constituted by the product of these per-entry codebook sets, with $|\mathcal{C}| = L^n$. Taking $n = 3$ and $L = 3$ as an example, the codebook \mathcal{C} is in the form $\{(-1, -1, -1), (-1, -1, 0), (-1, -1, 1), \dots, (1, 1, 1)\}$, where $|\mathcal{C}| = L^n = 27$ [12]. The vectors in $|\mathcal{C}|$ can be enumerated, leading a bijection from any $\bar{\mathbf{z}}$ (i.e., speech encoder output \mathbf{z}) to an integer in $\{1, 2, \dots, L^n\}$. Each entry of $\bar{\mathbf{z}}$ could be mapped to different L_m values, thus the size of the codebook \mathcal{C} is calculated as $|\mathcal{C}| = \prod_{m=1}^n L_m$.

A straight-through estimator [28] is used to get gradients from the rounding operation to the encoder, similar to that in VQ-VAE. FSQ can use all codewords without relying on any auxiliary losses, subsequently avoiding the codebook collapse in VQ. Benefiting from very high codebook usage, FSQ can use large codebooks for better reconstruction quality.

3.3. CTC fine-tuning

The quantized representation $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ of speech encoder output \mathbf{z} is fed into the CTC decoder. For tonal languages such as Mandarin Chinese, the CTC target is tonal phone sequence corresponding to input speech utterance, which provides tone information as supervision. For non-tonal languages, the training target is the original non-tonal phone sequence. During fine-tuning, the parameters of the speech encoder, quantizer (if applicable), and decoder are jointly updated. For VQ, the fine-tuning objective is the combination of CTC loss and code-book diversity loss proposed in [11]. For FSQ, the fine-tuning objective is the CTC loss only.

3.4. Speech synthesizer

We choose VITS [25] as the speech synthesizer in this study. VITS directly synthesizes naturally sounded speech waveforms from extracted discrete speech units in an end-to-end manner without an external duration predictor. For a comprehensive understanding of this system, we refer readers to [25]. To shorten the input sequence length of speech utterance, we further apply de-duplication [21, 29] which merges consecutively repeated discrete speech units into a single unit.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

4.1. Data

For Mandarin Chinese, we perform self-supervised learning on the SPIRAL speech encoder with 10000 hours of speech data from WenetSpeech [30]. We utilize the 150-hour training set of AISHELL-1 [31] to fine-tune the ToneUnit and train the VITS speech synthesizer. Since the speech synthesizer is trained on discrete speech units generated by the ToneUnit, using the same dataset for both systems is expected to eliminate data mismatch effects, thereby allowing our experiments to focus on obtaining tone-aware discrete speech units at this stage. The development and test sets of AISHELL-1 are used for checkpoint selection during fine-tuning and evaluation of synthesized speech, respectively. The CTC targets, which consist of 171 Mandarin phonemes with tonal markers, are prepared by transforming text with a Chinese grapheme-to-phoneme (G2P) package [32].

For English, we perform SSL on SPIRAL with 960 hours of speech data from LibriSpeech [33]. We apply the 100-hour subset (train-clean-100) for ToneUnit fine-tuning and VITS training with the same considerations as mentioned above. The checkpoint selection and synthesis evaluation are performed based on dev-other and test-clean, respectively. A total of 69 phonemes [34] are used as CTC targets.

4.2. Implementation details

The frame rate of the speech encoder is set to 20 ms. The Gumbel-Softmax quantizer generally follows the configuration used in wav2vec 2.0 [11], but with a single codebook of 512 vector dimensions. The codebook size is set to 1000. For FSQ, the vector dimension is fixed at 4. The quantization level for each dimension is defined as [8, 5, 5, 5] to match the codebook size 1000. A linear layer is applied to obtain the low-dimensional projection from the speech encoder output. The CTC decoder module comprises 4 identical convolutional layers, each with a kernel size of 5, a stride size of 1, and ReLU as activation.

The AdamW optimizer [35] is employed with a learning rate of 3×10^{-5} for fine-tuning. The batch size is set to 8 for the Mandarin Chinese setting and 4 for the English setting. The fine-tuning process lasts for 320 epochs. The checkpoints

with the lowest phone error rate on development sets are selected for extracting discrete speech units. VITS is trained for 100k updates following the training procedures and hyperparameters in [25]. Model evaluation is performed on the reconstructed audio based on the discrete speech units for the test set.

4.3. Evaluation metrics

We track three metrics, including

- Codebook Usage: The fraction of the codewords that are used at least 10 times during encoding the test set.
- Character Error Rate (CER) for Mandarin Chinese, or Word Error Rate (WER) for English: The metrics are used to assess the machine intelligibility of synthesized speech. For both languages, we use Whisper-large-v3 [36, 37] to perform speech recognition on the synthesized audio of the test set.
- Mean Opinion Score (MOS): The scores are collected from human listening tests to evaluate the naturalness of synthesized speech. 10 utterances are randomly sampled from the test set for each system. Each sample is rated by 20 raters on the scale: 1 (Bad), 2 (Poor), 3 (Fair), 4 (Good), 5 (Excellent).

4.4. Baseline

Applying k-means clustering to speech representations from SSL models such as HuBERT is a popular practice for generating discrete speech units [21, 4, 20, 22]. Consequently, we replicate this approach in our experiments using identical datasets as in Section 4.2. Specifically, we utilize publicly available Mandarin Chinese HuBERT BASE [38], which is pre-trained on 10000-hour WenetSpeech Corpus. We re-train the k-means clusters with the AISHELL-1 training set, using the latent representations obtained from the 9th transformer layer of the model. For English speech, the publicly available HuBERT BASE [39], which is pre-trained on the 960-hour LibriSpeech, is employed. We also re-train the k-means clusters with train-clean-100, also using the hidden embeddings from the 9th transformer layer. It should be noted that with k-means clustering, the centroids implicitly serve as a codebook. Thus, the number of k-means clusters is set to 1000 to match the above codebook size.

To compare the effectiveness of k-means clustering in generating discrete speech units with the output of the last transformer layer of SPIRAL, we also include experimental results of SPIRAL with 1000-cluster k-means on the same datasets.

Given the research’s focus on obtaining discrete speech units capable of capturing tone information, a comparative analysis between discrete units from quantizer and continuous embeddings from speech encoder in speech synthesis is not

conducted at this stage, as it falls outside the scope of this paper.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1. Evaluation on speech synthesis

The evaluation results across different model configurations are summarized in Table 1 for Mandarin Chinese. When we perform quantization with k-means, the CER of synthesized speech is much higher with substantially lower MOS results (ID 1 and 2). We notice that with 2 additional iterations of k-means clustering refinement in HuBERT, the setting with the HuBERT speech encoder outperforms that with the SPIRAL speech encoder. For the settings with the SPIRAL speech encoder, the CER decreases significantly with VQ or FSQ-based quantizers. The improvement of machine intelligibility of synthesized speech agrees with the improvement of corresponding MOS (ID 3 and 4). Notably, the FSQ setting (ID 4) exhibits a higher codebook utilization than VQ (ID 3) and achieves the best performance, with the lowest CER and the highest MOS.

Table 1. Evaluation results for various model settings in Mandarin Chinese synthesized speech. The 95% confidence interval for MOS is on average 0.14. GT corresponds to Groundtruth.

ID	Speech encoder	Quantizer	Codebook usage \uparrow (%)	CER \downarrow (%)	MOS \uparrow
	GT	-	-	6.51	4.15 \pm 0.55
1	HuBERT	k-means	100	18.18	2.69 \pm 0.55
2	SPIRAL	k-means	100	25.76	1.99 \pm 0.52
3	SPIRAL	VQ	74.4	13.26	3.16 \pm 0.62
4	SPIRAL	FSQ	100	12.93	3.55\pm0.55

Table 2. Evaluation results for various model settings in English speech. The 95% confidence interval for MOS is on average 0.14. GT corresponds to Groundtruth.

ID	Speech encoder	Quantizer	Codebook usage \uparrow (%)	WER \downarrow (%)	MOS \uparrow
	GT	-	-	1.84	4.52 \pm 0.53
5	HuBERT	k-means	99.5	5.03	3.68 \pm 0.62
6	SPIRAL	k-means	99.9	4.91	3.37 \pm 0.49
7	SPIRAL	VQ	13.0	7.46	3.20 \pm 0.44
8	SPIRAL	FSQ	100	4.31	3.64 \pm 0.46

Table 2 presents the evaluation results for English synthesis. The VQ model (ID 7) exhibits the worst results in terms of WER and MOS. We suspect that the VQ quantizer suffers from code collapse as the code utilization rate is only 13%, which affects speech signal reconstruction adversely. The FSQ model (ID 8) achieves the lowest WER and attains

MOS comparable to that of the HuBERT with k-means (ID 5). This indicates that FSQ is effective in addressing the codebook collapse issue and achieves more robust performance, which aligns with the findings reported in [12].

The above results indicate that, on the one hand, k-means clustering is capable of obtaining discrete speech units of non-tonal languages such as English speech for synthesizing intelligible and natural speech. On the other hand, a performance gap is observed when speech units from k-means clustering are used for synthesizing Mandarin Chinese speech. Our proposed ToneUnit framework improves speech recognition accuracy and MOS of synthesized Mandarin Chinese speech. The results suggest that leveraging text with tonal annotation for deriving discrete speech units is a remedy to the “tone shift” issue.

5.2. Representation of phonemes and tones via discrete speech units

Table 3. Top-3 discrete speech Units for each tone of phonemes /a/, /i/, and /o/. The results are obtained on the AISHELL-1 test set using the FSQ model (ID 4).

Phoneme	Tone	Top-3 speech units
/a/	1	806; 815; 807
	2	4; 3; 9
	3	239; 439; 39
	4	838; 837; 829
/i/	1	600; 601; 800
	2	8; 0; 16
	3	38; 30; 29
	4	834; 826; 833
/o/	1	660; 651; 747
	2	270; 356; 262
	3	278
	4	876; 835; 875

Since the codebook size, i.e., the number of available discrete speech units, is significantly larger than the number of phonemes, each phoneme is represented by a set of speech units. To investigate whether different tones of the same phoneme are represented by distinct sets of speech units, we map phonemes to speech units for each speech utterance in the AISHELL-1 test set using the FSQ model (ID 4). First, the phonemes output from the CTC decoder and the speech units output from the quantizer at the frame level for each utterance are collected. Subsequently, we count the corresponding speech units and their occurrences for each phoneme.

We observe that each of the 171 phonemes is represented by a set of speech units, and conversely, one unit may correspond to several phonemes. For instance, the top-3 speech units with the highest occurrences for the phonemes /a/, /i/, and /o/ are shown in Table 3. It is noteworthy that different tones of the same phoneme are represented by different sets of speech units. For example, Tone 1 and Tone 3 of the vowel /i/ can be distinguished by different speech unit representations despite their similarity in pitch distribution (as shown in Figure 1). A similar phenomenon is observed for Tone 2 and Tone 4 of /i/. This clearly demonstrates that tone differences are well captured by the discrete speech units generated by ToneUnit.

5.3. Ablation study

Table 4. Codebook usage and CER for different sizes of labeled data. The models employ SPIRAL as the speech encoder and FSQ as the quantizer.

Labeled data	Codebook usage \uparrow (%)	CER \downarrow (%)
1 h	100	15.00
10 h	100	13.03
50 h	100	13.04

A con of ToneUnit is the requirement of annotated data with tone labels. To evaluate the required amount of labeled data, we perform ablation under the same configuration as the FSQ model (ID 4) with different sizes of the AISHELL-1 training set. We randomly sample 3 training subsets of 1, 10, and 50 hours from the full 150-hour training set for the fine-tuning process. We still train the speech synthesizer with the full 150-hour AISHELL-1 dataset for 100k updates. Note that the speech synthesizer is trained with extracted discrete speech units without any text labels. The results are reported in Table 4 in terms of codebook usage and CER. FSQ can still fully use the codewords at different sizes of labeled data. With just 1 hour of annotated data with tone labels, the ToneUnit framework successfully generates speech units capable of synthesizing Mandarin Chinese speech at acceptable machine intelligibility. With a subset of 10-hour training data, the CER of synthesized speech is already comparable to those from the full training set. This suggests that the proposed ToneUnit framework retains effectiveness even with limited labeled data.

6. CONCLUSION

This study proposes the ToneUnit framework, which addresses the “tone shift” issue in synthesized Mandarin Chinese speech based on discrete speech units. By leveraging text data annotated with tone labels as CTC supervision, ToneUnit is able to produce discrete speech units with better

phonemic tone discrimination in Mandarin Chinese. Experimental results demonstrate that speech units generated by ToneUnit can synthesize intelligible and natural speech for both Mandarin Chinese and English. Additionally, FSQ is a more effective quantization method to produce tone-aware speech units than VQ, with a much simpler structure. Moreover, ToneUnit works with a limited amount of annotated data. We hypothesize that ToneUnit can serve as a connector between the speech modality and the large language model (LLM) backbone in multimodal LLMs. This potential application will be investigated in our future work.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Alexei Baevski, Michael Auli, and Abdelrahman Mohamed, “Effectiveness of self-supervised pre-training for speech recognition,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.03912*, 2019.
- [2] Wei-Ning Hsu, Benjamin Bolte, Yao-Hung Hubert Tsai, Kushal Lakhotia, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Abdelrahman Mohamed, “HuBERT: Self-supervised speech representation learning by masked prediction of hidden units,” *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 29, pp. 3451–3460, 2021.
- [3] Yu-An Chung, Yu Zhang, Wei Han, Chung-Cheng Chiu, James Qin, Ruoming Pang, and Yonghui Wu, “W2v-BERT: Combining contrastive learning and masked language modeling for self-supervised speech pre-training,” in *2021 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 244–250.
- [4] Kushal Lakhotia, Eugene Kharitonov, Wei-Ning Hsu, Yossi Adi, Adam Polyak, Benjamin Bolte, Tu-Anh Nguyen, Jade Copet, Alexei Baevski, Abdelrahman Mohamed, et al., “On generative spoken language modeling from raw audio,” *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 9, pp. 1336–1354, 2021.
- [5] Zalán Borsos, Raphaël Marinier, Damien Vincent, Eugene Kharitonov, Olivier Pietquin, Matt Sharifi, Dominik Roblek, Olivier Teboul, David Grangier, Marco Tagliasacchi, et al., “AudioLM: A language modeling approach to audio generation,” *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 2023.
- [6] Abdelrahman Mohamed, Hung-yi Lee, Lasse Borgholt, Jakob D Havtorn, Joakim Edin, Christian Igel, Katrin Kirchhoff, Shang-Wen Li, Karen Livescu, Lars Maaløe, et al., “Self-supervised speech representation learning: A review,” *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 1179–1210, 2022.

- [7] Moira Yip, *Tone*, Cambridge Textbooks in Linguistics. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [8] Zhiming Bao, *The Structure of Tone*, Oxford University Press, 05 1999.
- [9] Aaron van den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, and koray kavukcuoglu, “Neural discrete representation learning,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2017, vol. 30.
- [10] Alexei Baevski, Steffen Schneider, and Michael Auli, “vq-wav2vec: Self-supervised learning of discrete speech representations,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.05453*, 2019.
- [11] Alexei Baevski, Yuhao Zhou, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Michael Auli, “wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 33, pp. 12449–12460, 2020.
- [12] Fabian Mentzer, David Minnen, Eirikur Agustsson, and Michael Tschannen, “Finite scalar quantization: VQ-VAE made simple,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15505*, 2023.
- [13] Sanyuan Chen, Chengyi Wang, Zhengyang Chen, Yu Wu, Shujie Liu, Zhuo Chen, Jinyu Li, Naoyuki Kanda, Takuya Yoshioka, Xiong Xiao, et al., “WavLM: Large-scale self-supervised pre-training for full stack speech processing,” *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 1505–1518, 2022.
- [14] Wenyong Huang, Zhenhe Zhang, Yu Ting Yeung, Xin Jiang, and Qun Liu, “SPIRAL: Self-supervised perturbation-invariant representation learning for speech pre-training,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.10207*, 2022.
- [15] Shu-wen Yang, Po-Han Chi, Yung-Sung Chuang, Cheng-I Jeff Lai, Kushal Lakhotia, Yist Y Lin, Andy T Liu, Jiatong Shi, Xuankai Chang, Guan-Ting Lin, et al., “SuperB: Speech processing universal performance benchmark,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.01051*, 2021.
- [16] Sander Dieleman, Aaron van den Oord, and Karen Simonyan, “The challenge of realistic music generation: modelling raw audio at scale,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 31, 2018.
- [17] Prafulla Dhariwal, Heewoo Jun, Christine Payne, Jong Wook Kim, Alec Radford, and Ilya Sutskever, “Jukebox: A generative model for music,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.00341*, 2020.
- [18] Yuhta Takida, Takashi Shibuya, WeiHsiang Liao, Chieh-Hsin Lai, Junki Ohmura, Toshimitsu Uesaka, Naoki Murata, Shusuke Takahashi, Toshiyuki Kumakura, and Yuki Mitsufuji, “SQ-VAE: Variational bayes on discrete representation with self-annealed stochastic quantization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.07547*, 2022.
- [19] Ryan Eloff, André Nortje, Benjamin van Niekerk, Avashna Govender, Leanne Nortje, Arnú Pretorius, Elan Van Biljon, Ewald van der Westhuizen, Lisa van Staden, and Herman Kamper, “Unsupervised acoustic unit discovery for speech synthesis using discrete latent-variable neural networks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.07556*, 2019.
- [20] Ann Lee, Hongyu Gong, Paul-Ambroise Duquenne, Holger Schwenk, Peng-Jen Chen, Changhan Wang, Sravya Popuri, Yossi Adi, Juan Pino, Jiatao Gu, et al., “Textless speech-to-speech translation on real data,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.08352*, 2021.
- [21] Ann Lee, Peng-Jen Chen, Changhan Wang, Jiatao Gu, Sravya Popuri, Xutai Ma, Adam Polyak, Yossi Adi, Qing He, Yun Tang, et al., “Direct speech-to-speech translation with discrete units,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.05604*, 2021.
- [22] Adam Polyak, Yossi Adi, Jade Copet, Eugene Kharitonov, Kushal Lakhotia, Wei-Ning Hsu, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Emmanuel Dupoux, “Speech resynthesis from discrete disentangled self-supervised representations,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.00355*, 2021.
- [23] Jungil Kong, Jaehyeon Kim, and Jaekyoung Bae, “HiFi-GAN: Generative adversarial networks for efficient and high fidelity speech synthesis,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 33, pp. 17022–17033, 2020.
- [24] Yi Ren, Chenxu Hu, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Sheng Zhao, Zhou Zhao, and Tie-Yan Liu, “Fastspeech 2: Fast and high-quality end-to-end text to speech,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.04558*, 2020.
- [25] Jaehyeon Kim, Jungil Kong, and Juhee Son, “Conditional variational autoencoder with adversarial learning for end-to-end text-to-speech,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2021, pp. 5530–5540.
- [26] Chris J Maddison, Daniel Tarlow, and Tom Minka, “A* sampling,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2014, vol. 27.
- [27] Eric Jang, Shixiang Gu, and Ben Poole, “Categorical reparameterization with gumbel-softmax,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.01144*, 2016.
- [28] Yoshua Bengio, Nicholas Léonard, and Aaron Courville, “Estimating or propagating gradients

- through stochastic neurons for conditional computation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.3432*, 2013.
- [29] Xuankai Chang, Brian Yan, Yuya Fujita, Takashi Maekaku, and Shinji Watanabe, “Exploration of efficient end-to-end ASR using discretized input from self-supervised learning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18108*, 2023.
- [30] Binbin Zhang, Hang Lv, Pengcheng Guo, Qijie Shao, Chao Yang, Lei Xie, Xin Xu, Hui Bu, Xiaoyu Chen, Chenchen Zeng, et al., “Wenetspeech: A 10000+ hours multi-domain mandarin corpus for speech recognition,” in *2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP 2022)*, 2022, pp. 6182–6186.
- [31] Hui Bu, Jiayu Du, Xingyu Na, Bengu Wu, and Hao Zheng, “AIShell-1: An open-source Mandarin speech corpus and a speech recognition baseline,” in *2017 20th conference of the oriental chapter of the international coordinating committee on speech databases and speech I/O systems and assessment (O-COCOSDA)*, 2017, pp. 1–5.
- [32] Kyubyong Park and Seanie Lee, “A neural grapheme-to-phoneme conversion package for Mandarin Chinese based on a new open benchmark dataset,” *Proc. Interspeech 2020*, pp. 1723 – 1727, 2020.
- [33] Vassil Panayotov, Guoguo Chen, Daniel Povey, and Sanjeev Khudanpur, “Librispeech: an ASR corpus based on public domain audio books,” in *2015 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*, 2015, pp. 5206–5210.
- [34] Kyubyong Park and Jongseok Kim, “g2pe,” <https://github.com/Kyubyong/g2p>, 2019.
- [35] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter, “Decoupled weight decay regularization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017.
- [36] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brockman, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever, “Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2023, pp. 28492–28518.
- [37] “openai/whisper-large-v3 · Hugging Face,” <https://huggingface.co/openai/whisper-large-v3>.
- [38] “Tencentgamemate/chinese-hubert-base · Hugging Face,” <https://huggingface.co/TencentGameMate/chinese-hubert-base>.
- [39] “HuBERT: Pre-trained and fine-tuned (ASR) models,” <https://github.com/facebookresearch/fairseq/tree/main/examples/hubert>.